

## Speculation on Maxwell-Boltzmann Distribution From a Microcanonical Power Law

Francesco R Ruggeri Hanwell, N.B. Oct. 4, 2023

In (1), a power law distribution is obtained through geometric (using n-sphere and n-1 sphere surface areas) means for n one-dimensional particles with a total energy E, i.e. the condition of the microcanonical distribution is imposed. In the large n limit, this power law distribution becomes the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. The geometrical approach is based on the equation:  $p_1 p_1 + p_2 p_2 + \dots + p_n p_n = RR$  where  $E = RR/2m$  (nonrelativistic case), where each  $p_i$  is like a co-ordinate.

We try to argue that the result of (1), namely  $f(p) = (1 - pp/RR)^{\text{power}(n-3)/2}$  may be obtained without using the geometric n dimensional sphere approach of (1). We write:  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = E$  and argue that ultimately each e should have the same probability and degeneracy. The degeneracy arises from:  $de = d(pp/2m) = p dp/2m$  or  $C1 \sqrt{e} dp$ . In (1), a distribution as a function of p is desired. For a given e and E, the energy which may be distributed is E-e. We argue that for n=3, the probability should be the same for all particles. We further suggest a product of degeneracy values and thus suggest:  $\sqrt{E-e}^{\text{power}(n-3)}$ . This is the exact result of (1). In the case of 3-dimensional particles, we apply the degeneracy also to  $dp_x dp_y dp_z$  so  $\sqrt{e}$  becomes  $\sqrt{e}\sqrt{e}\sqrt{e}$ . This then yields the correct relationship between energy and T (temperature) in the Maxwell-Boltzmann large n limit.

### Microcanonical Approach of (1) to Obtaining the Maxwell-Boltzmann Distribution

The geometrical approach of (1) seems to be based on  $e = pp/2m$  (nonrelativistic). For 1-dimensional motion one has the microcanonical condition:

$$p_1 p_1 + p_2 p_2 + \dots + p_n p_n = RR \quad \text{where } E = RR/2m \quad ((1))$$

((1)) is interpreted in (1) as an n-dimensional sphere. For a given  $p_n$  value, the remaining energy is related to  $RR - p_n p_n$  and (1) argues that the  $p_1$  to  $p_{(n-1)}$  remaining particles are distributed over an  $S_{n-1}$  surface i.e. a sphere of n-1 dimensions.

To get a sense of this approach, consider three particles, i.e. n=3. Next consider  $p_1$  mapping to x,  $p_2$  to y and  $p_3$  to z. Consider any point on the 3-space sphere. One may immediately rotate the co-ordinate system so that the point lies on the z axis. The  $S_{n-1}$  object is then a circle of radius R for any point. The probability for any point then is the same, because one has the same circle. Thus, the formula from (1) should yield a constant for  $f(p)$  for n=3 which it does.

The argument of (1) is to write:

$$RR \cos(\theta) \cos(\theta) = RR - p_n p_n \quad ((2))$$

In other words one projects onto a lower dimension, i.e.  $S_{n-1}$ . In the 3-sphere case,  $RR = xx + yy + zz$ .  $RR - zz$  is the remaining number if  $zz$  has a certain value.  $Z$  is  $R \sin(90 - \theta_1)$  if  $\theta_1$  is measured from the z axis and the projection is linked with  $R \cos(90 - \theta_1)$ . If one calls  $90 - \theta_1 = \theta$ , then one sees the emergence of ((2)).

((2)) implies that  $p_n/R = \sin(\theta)$  so obtaining  $d\theta$  from ((2)) yields:

$$R d\theta = dp_n / \sqrt{1-p_n^2/R^2} \quad ((3))$$

A key idea, we argue, is that for curved objects (e.g. a circle) one uses equal intervals along the curve. For a straight line, like an x-axis, one uses constant  $dx$  pieces. Here the geometrical picture is one of curved spaces, so the degeneracy factor is not  $dp_n$ , but rather  $R d\theta$  which may be written in terms of  $p_n$ , i.e. ((3)).

Thus probability is given by:

$$\text{Probability} = S_{n-1} (\text{area of an } n-1 \text{ curved sphere}) * \text{degeneracy factor} \quad ((4))$$

The degeneracy factor is  $R d\theta$ . Using the result:

$S_{n-1}$  proportional to Radius power  $(n-1)$  one has:

$$\text{Probability} = \text{Radius}^{n-1} dp_n / \sqrt{1-p_n^2/R^2}$$

The radius of the  $n-1$  sphere is  $\sqrt{1-p_n^2/R^2}$  so:

$$\text{Probability} = dp_n (1-p_n^2/R^2)^{-(n-1)/2} = dp_n (1-p_n^2/R^2)^{-(n/2 - 1/2)} \quad ((5))$$

As argued above, this yields a constant for  $n=3$ . In the large  $n$  limit one obtains the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. Thus (1) shows that the microcanonical approach leads to a power law which in the large  $n$  limit yields the MB distribution.

### Non-geometric Approach

We suggest that ((5)) may be obtained without using  $n$ -space spheres. We use instead:

$$e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = E \quad ((6))$$

We argue that in ((6)) all  $e_i$  values appear on the same footing and should carry the same weight, i.e. degeneracy. This degeneracy is given by:

$$D_e = d(p/2m) \text{ or } de = p dp / 2m = \sqrt{e} C_1 dp \quad ((7))$$

(1) Wishes to write the probability distribution in terms of  $dp$ , but we are interested in degeneracy associated with  $e$ , so we use the degeneracy factor  $\sqrt{e}$ , except that we apply it to the energy which may be distributed when given  $e_n$ , i.e.  $E - e_n$ .

Thus we consider the degeneracy  $\sqrt{E - e_n}$  which applies to  $n-3$  particles if  $n=3$  is to yield 0, i.e. a constant probability. We try to argue that  $n=3$  particles should have a constant probability in the following way.

For a given number  $e_3$ , one must distribute  $E - e_3$  to  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ . One may, however, distribute  $E - e_3$  uniformly to  $e_1$  as  $e_2$  automatically receives the remaining value. Thus, one really performs a uniform distribution of  $E - e_3$  to  $e_1$ . This argument, however, should apply separately to  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  and  $e_3$ . This suggests a constant probability distribution in  $e$ , we argue. This leads to:

$$\text{Probability} = dp \sqrt{E - e}^{n-3} \quad ((8))$$

Here we suggest multiplying the degeneracies leaving out factors for 3 particles.

This is the same result as the (1) and leads to the Maxwell-Boltzmann result for high  $n$ . The Maxwell-Boltzmann result is achieved even if one uses  $n$  instead of  $n-3$  in the high  $n$  limit. One may note that the  $\sqrt{E - e}$  in ((8)) leads to  $e_{ave}/2$  appearing in the MB distribution if  $E = e_{ave} n$ . For the three dimensional case, we argue that one has  $dp_x dp_y dp_z$  and may apply the degeneracy  $\sqrt{E - e}$  to each (just like to a separate particle). This is then consistent with  $e_{ave} = 3/2 n T$ .

## Conclusion

Ref. 1 presents a geometrical  $n$ -sphere approach to finding a power law probability associated with  $n$  one-dimensionally moving particles based on  $\sum_i p(i)p(i) = RR$ . The probability is  $S_{n-1}$  (i.e. a sphere of one less dimension), but a degeneracy factor must be found which follows from:  $RR \cos(\theta) \cos(\theta) = RR - p_n p_n$ . Thus, spherical symmetry is key to the solution of (1).

We argue that one may use  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n = E$  with no geometrical picture. We suggest that each  $e_i$  carries the same degeneracy weight which follows from:  $e = p^2/2m$  or  $de = C p dp$  since one wishes to find a function in  $p$ . Thus we use  $\sqrt{e}$  as the degeneracy weight, or rather  $\sqrt{E - e}$ . We argue that for  $n=3$ , i.e. 3 particles, each has a uniform independent distribution, so the probability distribution for  $n=3$  is a constant. Thus we use  $\sqrt{E - e}^{n-3}$  which is the same result as (1). In the high  $n$  limit with  $E = e_{ave} n$ , one obtains the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution from the power law one.

## References

1. Lopez-Ruiz, R. and Calbet, Z. Why the Maxwell Distribution is the Attractive Fixed Point of the Boltzmann Equation 2006  
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/nlin/0611044.pdf>

