

User Interaction Mining:

Discovering the Gap Between the Conceptual Model of a Geospatial Search Engine and Its Corresponding User Mental Model

Dagoberto José Herrera-Murillo¹ (A), Javier Nogueras-Iso¹, Paloma Abad-Power², and Francisco J. Lopez-Pellicer¹

> ¹ University of Zaragoza ² Spanish National Center for Geographic information

Ascoli Pisceno, 13 September 2023







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 955569.



Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Results
- 4. Final remarks







1. Introduction







Geographic Information Infrastructures (GII)

- Geographic Information Infrastructures are relevant examples of Open Data ecosystems
- The success of a GII depends greatly on the effectiveness and efficiency of their catalog and geospatial search engines
- The design of a geospatial search engine should be user-driven
- A user-centric open data platform requires UI/UX research, design and testing methods where the user interacts with the platform in realistic usage scenarios





Objective of this work

- We want to identify potential mismatches between the mental models of various user groups and the conceptual model of the designers of a data portal
- Mental models: one of the key concepts of UX design











Visual metaphor

Design model

User model

Source: van der Aalst. (2012). Process Mining How are my systems used and when do they fail? https://processmining.org/old-version/files/bc2012es-process-mining-wvda.pdf

Our proposal

 Design a method to identify the potential mismatch between the conceptual model of designers and the conceptual models of users

Data portal managers can improve the user experience by aligning or complementing mental models

• A method based on usability evaluation

Representative users perform a search task in a think-aloud session guided by a moderator

• Use case to test its feasibility



The new geospatial search engine developed by the Spanish National Geographic Institute







2. Methodology







Workflow



The researcher identifies the activities of interest at the interface The participant completes questionnaire and informed consent

The moderator explains the search task to the participant

The participant and the moderator complete the task

The participant completes an SUS questionnaire exit interview with the moderator

Process mining techniques are applied and results are delivered to the product team





Conceptual model of the geospatial search engine



- Search bar
- Result list
- Ranking
- Pagination

 Dynamic exploration of search results through geographic tools

- Geographic context
- Мар
- Layers
- Spatial relationships





Mapping activities through the geospatial search engine

QUICK SEARCH



ADVANCED SEARCH & RESULTS



METADATA



Filter Text search









This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 955569.



Participant demographics, n (%)

	Novice users	Expert unfamiliar users	Expert familiar users	All
Gender				
Male	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	11 (48%)
Female	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	10 (52%)
Age				
18-24	1 (14%)	- (0%)	- (0%)	1 (5%)
25-34	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	2 (29%)	5 (24%)
35-44	- (0%)	1 (14%)	2 (29%)	3 (14%)
45-54	3 (43%)	4 (57%)	3 (43%)	10 (48%)
54-65	2 (29%)	- (0%)	- (0%)	2 (10%)
Education				
High School	1 (14%)	- (0%)	- (0%)	1 (5%)
Graduate	5 (71%)	3 (43%)	7 (100%)	15 (71%)
Postgraduate	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	- (0%)	5 (24%)
Total	7	7	7	21







Search task representative of intended use

"This Christmas, you are planning to visit the Sierra Nevada National Park and you require information about the area. Your objective is to use the search engine to find resources that will enhance your understanding of the region. Find information about the park, download files, or add products to your cart that you consider valuable for your trip".



Source: Enquiries received through the CNIG general mailbox Oct 2021- Oct 2022







Analytical toolkit



2

https://pm4py.fit.fraunhofer.de/

https://pmtk.fit.fraunhofer.de/

PM4PY







3. Results







Novice users reported shorter sessions and fewer interactions



A standard user "ignores" the engine's geographic tools

Median number of interactions					_
Activities	 Novice users 	 Expert unfamiliar users 	 Expert familiar users 	All	_
View	2	4	6	4	
Filter	2	2	5	4	••• • •• •• •• •• ••• •••
Download	2	3	2	2	
Text search	1	2	2	1	*** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Locate	0	2	0	0	
Map exploration	0	1	1	1	•. • • . • . • . • . •
Buy	0	0	1	0	•••••
Geometry search	0	0	1	0	• ••
Point search	0	0	0	0	• • •
File search	0	0	0	0	•
					0 Relative duration 100%







"Rage clicks" revealed that filtering requires adjustments



18

4. Final remarks







Key takeaways

- Opinion surveys are not enough!
- Interaction studies allow us to delve deeper into the WHYs
- Process mining tools are useful for analysing user behaviour

Limitations!

- Small sample size
- Moderator effect
- Search task bias







Challenges and Future Work

An open discussion on the development of user-intensive methodologies for IU research, design and evaluation.

- Tools for modelling mental models
- Test automation
- Conformance checking
- Personalised interfaces based on usage patterns









THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION AND QUESTIONS!

KEEP IN TOUCH!

ODECO: Towards a sustainable Open Data ECOsystem

> odeco-research.eu Odeco etn





