

ल ू and लू \mathbf{I}_r in Sanskrit Lexicography

Felix Rau

University of Cologne – Lazarus Project

ल l and ल lr in Sanskrit Lexicography Lazarus Project: Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon, Project Documentation 1

EXAMPLE This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

cite as: Rau, Felix 2017. ऌ *l and* ऌ *lr in Sanskrit Lexicography.* Lazarus Project: Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon, Project Documentation 1. Cologne: Lazarus Project. doi:10.5281/zenodo.837257

Lazarus Project (Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon) University of Cologne http://www.cceh.uni-koeln.de/lazarus http://www.sanskrit-lexicon.uni-koeln.de/

1 Introduction

This report documents the graphematic representation of the vocalic L (Devanagari: ऌ/ ISO 15919:]) and the combination of consonantal L with vocalic R (Devanagari: लृ/ ISO 15919: lr) in Sanskrit lexicography.

2 ऌ l and ऌ lr

Vocalic L is represented by a simple vowel letter ऌ in Devanagari, while the combination of consonantal L with vocalic R is represented by the akṣara लू. Although these two are clearly distinct entities, they were conflated in the original digitisation of the Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon (CSL).¹ However, a closer look shows that problems of inconsistent treatment of ऌ] and ॡ] Ir have a long and distinguished tradition in the writing systems used in modern Sanskrit lexicography. The relevant writing systems in this context are Devanagari and Latin script. Of the various Latin-based transliteration systems, four are considered in this report: The ISO standard ISO 15919:2001, the transliteration used in Monier-Williams (1872, 1899), the Sanskrit Library Phonetic Basic encoding scheme (SLP1), and the popular Harvard-Kyoto transliteration system.

2.1 The vowel ऌ !

Vocalic L ॡ] belongs to a group of linguistically controversial graphemes (and ultimately phonemes) of Sanskrit. This controversial group of graphemes in Sanskrit scripts consists of the long vocalic R ऋ \bar{r} , the vocalic L ॡ], and in particularly the long vocalic L ॡ]. Indologists have treated these graphemes with suspicion. Böhtlingk and Roth avoided $\bar{\pi} r$, $\bar{\pi} r$, \bar{r} , and $\bar{\alpha}$] in verbal forms by replacing them with their guna forms *ar*, $\bar{a}r$, and *al* in the entries of the Großes Petersburger Wörterbuch (Böhtlingk and Roth, 1855-1875). Monier-Williams (1872) groups these three graphemes in one section " $\bar{\pi} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}$ " and prefixes this section with the following paragraph:

This text is missing from Monier-Williams (1899) where each of the three letters has its own section. As Monier-Williams (1872) states, vocalic L र । is rare in Sanskrit words and nearly exclusively occurs in forms of the root कूप klp 'to be well ordered'. Other occurrences are doubtful or artificial. The only possible occurrence is in the root कूष klb 'accomplishment' which is suspiciously close to कूप klp. Several dictionaries list the proper name रतक ltaka. However, this form is generally considered to be a mispronunciation of ऋतक rtaka by these lexicographers. The lemma र l 'the earth, the mother of the gods' is secondary lemma from tantric texts derived from the vowel र l. Other entries containing र l concern the letter and sound र l itself and derivations of it: रकार lkāra and रवर्ण lvarṇa both meaning 'the sound र l'.

Lazarus Project (Cologne Sanskrit Lexicon) Project Documentation 1

¹http://www.sanskrit-lexicon.uni-koeln.de/

2.2 The akṣara लृ lr

The akṣara $\overline{q} \, lr - the$ combination of the consonant $\overline{q} \, l$ with the vowel $\overline{\varkappa} \, r - is$ even less common. The only well-attested entries that contain $\overline{q} \, lr$ are not for lexemes in the strict sense, but for technical terms derived from inflectional affixes. Monier-Williams (1872) gives the following definitions:

लृङ् lr़n 'a technical term or symbol for the terminations of the Conditional or for that Mood itself.'

लृट् lrt 'a technical term or symbol for the terminations of the Second Future or for that Tense itself.'

The various dictionaries differ slightly in their treatment of these technical terms from Pāṇini. The dictionnaire sanscrit-français (Stchoupak et al., 1932) has both लृङ् lr̥'n and लृट् lr̥ț in romanisation and Apte's Sanskrit English Dictionary (Apte, 1890) lists लृङ् lr̥'n and लृट् lr̥ț in Devanagari. Cappeler (1887, 1891) and Macdonnell (1893) only have an entry for लृट lr̥ț. In contrast to Monier-Williams (1872), Monier-Williams (1899) has an entry for लृट् lr̥ț, but instead of लृङ् lr̥'n, the Monier-Williams (1899) edition gives लृ lr̥ 'N[ame]. of the terminations of the Conditional Mood or N[ame] of that Mood itself' as an entry, although he lists लृङ् lr̥ṁ and लृट् lr̥ț – not लृ lr̥ – under the entry ल la.

Apte in his Sanskrit English Dictionary (1890) is particularly inconsistent in his treatment of ऌ] and ल lr. Under the entry ऌ], he states that "No Sanskrit word begins with] or], except some of the technical names of Pâṇini for tenses and moods; e. g. lṅ [lʰ] and lṭ [l̥t]." However, लृङ् lṛṅ and लृट् lṛṭ are clearly instances of ल lr with a consonantal onset and Apte (1890) himself lists them correctly under the consonant ल l in the same dictionary.

The only proper lexeme reported to contain the combination ला lr is absent from all major lexicographic works such as the Große Petersburger Wörterbuch (Böhtlingk and Roth, 1855-1875) and Monier-Williams (1872, 1899). However, Wilson (1832), Yates (1846), and the Vidyāsāgara (1900) contain an entry for a root ल्ञ्य lrnc with the meaning 'to put away'. All three dictionaries give the additional form ल्ञ्यति lrncati. Neither of these dictionaries give references to occurrences and it is unclear where and in which particular form or forms this lexeme is attested. In particular, whether the lexeme is attested in its base form ल्ञ्य lrnc or a guṇa form (presumably लञ्च larnc) would be relevant. The three entries read as follows:

लूञ्च r. 1st cl. (लृञ्चति) To put away. (Wilson, 1832, p. 722)

लूञ्च लृञ्चति 1. a. To put away. (Yates, 1846, p. 646)

लुञ्च r. 1st cl. (लृञ्चति) To put away. (Vidyāsāgara, 1900, p. 619)

Vidyāsāgara (1900) is based on Wilson (1832). So the fact that it occurs in both works with an identical entry is no surprise. Whether Yates has also taken this lemma from Wilson or whether he did verify it independently is unknown. The lack of entries for this lexeme in later works and especially in Böhtlingk and Roth (1855-1875) and Monier-Williams (1899) is suspicious and suggests that it only occurs in late varieties of Sanskrit, if it is genuine at all.

3 Conflating ऌ l and ऌ lr

3.1 Conflating ऌ l and ऌ lr in Devanagari

The confusion or conflation of $\overline{\alpha}$ l and $\overline{\eta}$ lr occurs throughout Sanskrit lexicography and probably beyond that throughout the whole philological tradition. The two glyphs $\overline{\eta}$ and $\overline{\alpha}$ are very similar in shape in Devanagari script, with $\overline{\alpha}$ l looking very much like a frozen combination of $\overline{\eta}$ l and ς , the diacritical form of $\overline{\varkappa}$ r. Some cuts of Devanagari typefaces seem to make no distinction in shape between $\overline{\eta}$ lr and $\overline{\alpha}$ l or at least the distinction was blurred in the usage of these typefaces. Apte's discussion of $\overline{\eta} \zeta$ lrt and $\overline{\eta} \underline{\varsigma}$ lrn under $\overline{\alpha}$ l (see above) is a clear instance of this confusion.

The similarity is shape between $\overline{\alpha}$ l and $\overline{\eta}$ lr is particularly striking in Devanagari. Other Brahmi-derived scripts display far less or virtually no likeness between the two graphemes as the Table 1 shows.

	ļ	lŗ
Devanagari	ऌ	लृ
Bengali	৯	ল্
Oriya	S	ଲ୍ଟ
Telugu	V	లృ

Table 1: l and lr in different Indic scripts

3.2 Conflating ऌ I and ऌ Ir in Latin script

The ISO standard 15919:2001 clearly distinguishes between the two and transliterates $\overline{\alpha}$ as l and $\overline{\eta}$ as l_r (l for $\overline{\eta}$ and r_r for $\overline{\varkappa}$). The romanisation used in Monier-Williams (1872, 1899) conflates the two. The combination $\overline{\eta}$ l_r is transliterated as lri - as a combination of l for $\overline{\eta}$ l and ri for $\overline{\varkappa}$ r. The vowel $\overline{\alpha}$ l_r is represented by the complex sequence lri, unfortunately identical to the juxtaposition of l and ri. The *Sanskrit Library Phonetic Basic encoding scheme* (SLP1) as defined in Scharf and Hyman (2011) treats Sanskrit Sounds – and by that Devanagari characters – very consistently. The combination $\overline{\eta}$ l_r is encoded as lf - as a combination of l for $\overline{\pi}$ l and f for $\overline{\varkappa}$ r – while the simple vowel $\overline{\alpha}$ l_r is encoded by the simplex x. The very popular romanisation system Harvard-Kyoto conflates $\overline{\eta}$ l_r and $\overline{\alpha}$ l_r . In the Harvard-Kyoto system, $\overline{\eta}$ l_r is transliterated as lR - as a combination of l for $\overline{\varkappa}$ r. The vowel $\overline{\alpha}$ l_r is represented by the combined lR, unfortunately again identical to the juxtaposition of l and R. All four transliteration systems are given in Table 2.

4 Conclusion

The vocalic L ॡ l and akṣara or grapheme combination ज् lr are marginal to the Sanskrit language and entries containing the two graphemes are of questionable status. However, both ज् lr and ॡ l are part of Sanskrit or at least of the traditional and modern analysis and representation of the Sanskrit language. Lexicographic works that aim at representing

ऌ	लृ
ļ	lŗ
lŗi	lŗi
х	lf
lR	lR
	l lŗi x

Table 2: l and lr in different transliterations

the complete lexical knowledge concerning the Sanskrit language have to distinguish the two consistently to be faithful to this tradition.

For Sanskrit lexicography this means that systems used to represent Sanskrit have to encode the difference between the ऌ l and ऌ lr unambiguously. While Indic writing systems such as Devanagari fulfill this requirement, some Latin-based systems fail in this regard. In particular the transliteration employed in the most widely used Sanskrit-English dictionary (Monier-Williams, 1899) conflates ऌ l and ऌ lr, as does the most popular Latinbased transliteration, Harvard-Kyoto. SLP 1 (Scharf and Hyman, 2011) and ISO 15919 accomplish an unequivocal transliteration of Sanskrit and are much better suited for lexicographical work.

References

Apte, Vaman Shivaram. 1890. The practical Sanskrit-English dictionary. Poona: Shiralkar.

- Böhtlingk, Otto von, and Rudolf Roth. 1855-1875. *Sanskrit-Wörterbuch*. St. Petersburg: Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Cappeler, Carl. 1887. Sanskrit-Wörterbuch. Straßburg: Trübner.
- Cappeler, Carl. 1891. Sanskrit-English dictionary. Straßburg: Trübner.
- Macdonnell, Arthur Anthony. 1893. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. London: Longmans.
- Monier-Williams, Monier. 1872. A Sanskrit-English dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Monier-Williams, Monier. 1899. A Sanskrit-English dictionary, new edition.. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Scharf, Peter M., and Malcolm D. Hyman. 2011. Linguistic issues in encoding Sanskrit. Providence: The Sanskrit Library. URL sanskrit1.ccv.brown.edu/tomcat/sl/-/pub/ lies_sl.pdf.
- Stchoupak, Nadine, Luigia Nitti-Dolchi, and Louis Renou. 1932. *Dictionnaire sanskritfrançais*. Publications de l'Institut de Civilisation Indienne. Paris: Maisonneuve.
- Vidyāsāgara, Jīvānanda. 1900. Shabda-sagara, or a comprehensive Sanskrit-English lexicon : chiefly based on professor Horace Hayman Wilsons Sanskrit-English dictionary and compiled from various recent authorities for the use of schools and colleges. Calcutta: Calcutta Press.

Wilson, Horace H. 1832. *A dictionary in Sanscrit and English*. Calcutta: Education Press, 2. ed., greatly extended edition.

Yates, William. 1846. A dictionary in Sanscrit and English, designed for the use of private students and of indian colleges and schools. Calcutta: The Baptist Mission Press.