

# WorldFAIR Chemistry: Aligning IUPAC Standards with FAIR Data Practices

Leah McEwen, Cornell University Library IUPAC Committee on Publications and Cheminformatics Data Standards

WorldFAIR Webinar 2023.09.13

#### I U P A C

#### Chemistry is everywhere: Chemistry & UN Sustainability Goals



# WorldFAIR Chemistry project

- The International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) is a standards organization with over 100 yrs of global consensus in defining a common and systematic language for chemistry.
- IUPAC stewards <u>dozens of standards</u> that provide authoritative definitions and parameters for consistent expression of chemical data and information.
- The community needs machine processable representations of these expert defined standards for digital applications and guidance for incorporating these into FAIR data reporting.





# GOAL: align chemical data standards with FAIR

FAIR attributes	Functionality	Chemical notations (examples)				
Findable	Indexing, matching	InChI, nomenclature				
metadata schema	Searching	Chemical notations (e.g., SMILES), terms (e.g., properties, methods)				
Accessible retrieval protocols	Searching, retrieving (APIs) (consistent across systems)	Chemical structure resolver (general spec underway in WFC)				
Interoperable knowledge	File formats for chemical entities and experimental measurements	SDF, CIF, ThermoML, JCAMP-DX, mzML				
representations,	Referrable terms and definitions	Gold Book, VIM, MeSH				
metadata references	Classification, modeling	CHMO, RXNO, ChEBI, FAIRSpec				
Reusable validation services	Completeness, consistency	checkCIF				

## FAIR data implementation in Crystallography



# Chemical Structure Representation



#### **IUPAC** name - standardized nomenclature

(3a*R*,3a1*R*,4*R*,5*S*,5a*R*,10b*R*)-Methyl 4-acetoxy-3a-ethyl-9-((5*S*,7*S*,9*S*)-5ethyl-5-hydroxy-9-(methoxycarbonyl)-2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10-octahydro-1*H*-3,7methano[1]azacycloundecino[5,4-*b*]indol-9-yl)-6-formyl-5-hydroxy-8methoxy-3a,3a1,4,5,5a,6,11,12-octahydro-1*H*-indolizino[8,1cd]carbazole-5-carboxylate

### SMILES – linear notation for searching, substructures *defacto* use, efforts underway to standardize

CC[C@@]1(C[C@@H]2C[C@@](c3c(c4ccccc4[nH]3)CC[N@@](C2)C1)(c 5cc6c(cc5OC)N([C@@H]7[C@]68CCN9[C@H]8[C@@](C=CC9)([C@H]([ C@@]7(C(=O)OC)O)OC(=O)C)C=O)C(=O)OC)O

Adapted from Scalfani & McEwen, 2019, https://osf.io/psq7k

### Molfile – connection table for data exchange, *defacto* use, not yet standardized

🥘 vincristine.mol - Notepad								-			×	(				
File Edit Format View Help																
vincristine.mol ChemDraw03301721232D									^							
60 68 0	0100	0 0	0999	V20	00											
-5.6011	-0.4961	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-5.0361	-1.0973	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-4.2330	-0.9086	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-3.4431	-1.1469	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-2.8418	-0.5820	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-2.0314	-0.4277	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-1.5188	0.2187	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-1.5535	1.0430	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-2.1184	1.6442	0.00	00 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~
1																

### InChI - formal descriptor standard for identifying, canonical matching and linking of structures

InChI=1S/C46H56N4O10/c1-7-42(55)22-28-23-45(40(53)58-5,36-30(14-18-48(24-28)25-42)29-12-9-10-13-33(29)47-36)32-20-31-34(21-35(32)57-4)50(26-51)38-44(31)16-19-49-17-11-15-43(8-2,37(44)49)39(60-27(3)52)46(38,56)41(54)59-6/h9-13,15,20-21,26,28,37-39,47,55-56H,7-8,14,16-19,22-25H2,1-6H3/t28-,37+,38-,39-,42+,43-,44-,45+,46+/m1/s1

InChIKey: OGWKCGZFUXNPDA-XQKSVPLYSA-N

Ρ 

# Expression of chemical data

Mole fraction of substance 1,  $x_1$  or x(1):

$$x_1 = n_1 / \sum_{s=1}^{c} n_s$$

Mass fraction of substance 1, 
$$w_1$$
 or  $w(1)$ :

$$w_1 = g_1 / \sum_{s=1}^{c} g_s$$

Molality of solute 1 in a solvent 2,  $m_1$ :

$$m_1 = n_1/n_2 M_2$$

	2									
60_3	COMPONENTS	S:		ORIGINAL MEASUREMENTS:						
	(1) Tetrabromo	methane (Carbo	n tetrabromide);	Gross, P. M.; Saylor, J. H.						
	CBr <sub>4</sub> ; [558-	13-4]		J. Am. Soc. Soc. <u>1931</u> , 53, 1744-51.						
	(2) water; H <sub>2</sub> C	); [7732-18-3]								
	VARIABLES:			PREPARED BY:						
	T/K = 303			A. L. Horvath						
	EXPERIMENT	AL VALUES:								
		t/°C	$1000 g_1/g_2$	100 w <sub>i</sub> (compiler)	$10^5 x$ , (compiler)					
5		30	0.24	$2.4 \times 10^{-2}$	1.30					
			AUXILIARY I	NFORMATION						
	METHOD/APP	ETHOD/APPARATUS/PROCEDURE:			SOURCE AND PURITY OF MATERIALS:					
5	An excess was shaken for ples were then y an interferomete description of the	of tetrabromome 12 hours in a the withdrawn and r r made by Zeiss ne complete proc	ethane in 500 g water ermostat bath. Sam- ead against water in s (ref. 1). A detailed ædure is given in a	<ol> <li>Eastman Kodak Co., recrystallized from ethyl alcohol and petroleum ether before use</li> <li>Distilled.</li> </ol>						
	FIL D. LICSIS (I	<i>c</i> 1. <i>2)</i> .		ESTIMATED ERRORS:						
٢,				Solubility: Temperature:	± 8.0%. ± 0.02 K.					

**REFERENCES:** 

(1) Gross, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>1929</u>, 51, 2362. (2) Saylor, J. H. Ph. D. thesis, Duke University, Durham, <u>1930</u>.

I U P A C



IUPAC does not dictate policy; the aim is to provide how-to guidance on reporting chemical data robustly in support of data exchange.

#### ADVANCING CHEMISTRY WORLDWIDE

# WorldFAIR Chemistry Deliverable 3.1

### Digital guidance for Chemistry FAIR data policy and practice

- Landscape overview
  - What is a Chemical?
  - Chemical data across disciplines
  - Community level strategies
  - Open Science and Data Sharing Guidance
- Implementation frameworks
  - RIPE for sharing: Reliable, Interpretable, Processible, Exchangeable
  - IUPAC Standards as FAIR-Enabling Resources
  - Aligning across interoperability frameworks
  - Ecosystems of implementation

"We emphasize the critical need for software and infrastructure developers, repositories, publishers and others who are building systems and services, to actively incorporate, use and reference chemical data standards in workflows, policies and guidelines."



Life Sciences



U P A C

### RIPE: well-defined chemical data are broadly reusable

RIPE 4 sharing	Chemical data	Standard definitions (examples)				
<b>Reliable</b> information for samples & measurements	Samples: identity of substance(s), sample description (provenance, purity, state)	nomenclature (Blue/Red/Purple books), graphical representation, InChI				
	Measurements: techniques, conditions, calibrations, uncertainties	Terminology for analytical chemistry (Orange book), metrology (VIM)				
Interpretable scientific expression	Results: quantities, units, calculations, dependencies, processing/derivation	Notations, symbols, terminology for physical chemistry (Green book)				
<b>Processable</b> formatted for machines	File formats, validation	SDF, CIF, ThermoML, JCAMP-DX, mzML				
	Referrable terms, ontologies	Gold Book, CHMO, RXNO, ChEBI				
	Data models, metadata schema	FAIRSpec, Solubility, Periodic Table				
Exchangeable	Registered metadata for indexing chemicals	InChIs, standard terms/notations				
metadata online	Standardized exchange APIs for chemicals	Chemical structure API specification				

(items in italics are in progress)

WorldFAIR Project D3.1 (Table 1) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7887283 P 

Are these digital

programmatic

**IUPAC** standards **FAIR enabling resources** InChl **Identifier Services Standard Metadata** Metadata Schema Schema standards FAIR for Structure Exchange Registries **Specifications Communication Protocols** access and reuse? **File formats Knowledge Representation** Molecular Representations **Structured Vocabularies GOLD Book Semantic Models** Models: chemical **Usage Licences** systems, quantities, measurements **Provenance** Need **Criteria for Validation Services** interoperability

#### I U P A C



# Desired points of cross-domain data integration

**Chemical substance:** integration by chemical identification → *standard chemical identifier* 

**Chemical property:** integration of property values

→ standard property terms

Measurement: integration by technique, by conditions

→ standard definitions

**Units:** integration of quantities **>** *standard units of measure* 

**Material sample:** integration by composition, state of matter, space group → *standard classifications/descriptions* 

Origin of sample: integration by location, source (e.g., species), named reactions

→ standard location metadata, species classification, reaction classification

**Origin of measurement:** integration by analyst or lab, by instrument → *PIDs: ORCID, ROR, etc.* 

**Temporal**: integration by date of sample collection, date of measurement → standard date format





# Further work on cross-domain interoperability



- Samples: provenance and identification
  - Many well-developed identifiers and other semantic descriptions to describe different facets of sample provenance, can we harmonize?



• RDA P21, Birds of a Feather (WP02, WP03, WP04, WP05, WP10, and others) 'Describing Chemical, Physical and Biological samples digitally'

#### • Measurements & quantities

- Molecular structures ⇔ Physical systems ⇔ System conditions
- Daghstuhl workshop, Oct 1-6 (hosted by WP02 and GO FAIR)
   *'Defining a core metadata framework for cross-domain data sharing and reuse'*
- Terminologies => ontologies
  - Application of authoritative terminologies in semantic frameworks
  - Ontologies4Chem Workshop, Oct 11-12 (hosted by NFDI4Chem)
  - RDA WG proposal: 'Harmonised terminologies and ontologies for FAIR materials data documentation'



### Workshop, Nov 2

Editors4Chem



# Future Outlook: Challenges & Opportunities

### • Sustainability

- Parlous shortage of time and resources currently available to develop and maintain standards, policies, guidance and tools needed to enable machine-actionable reporting of chemical research data into the pipeline
- Who should be funding the work necessary long term?
- IUPAC workshop, Nov 14-15 (hosted by the Pistoia Alliance)
   'Sustainable business models for digital standards development'

#### • Roadmap

- WorldFAIR is initiating excellent synergies towards interoperability and assessment but implementation still primarily at the organizational level and bespoke, system by system
- What can IUPAC do to continue to enable success in chemistry digital standards, by and for the broader community?
- What does broad adoption and functional chemical FAIR data exchange across domains look like in practice?
- Beyond FAIR: how do we align demand for chemical data and foster more data reuse across sectors to support SDGs?





### WorldFAIR Chemistry Deliverable Prototypes

→ develop **guidelines, training materials and tools** that facilitate use of standards



WorldFAIR "Global cooperation on FAIR data policy and practice" is funded by the EC HORIZON-WIDERA-2021-ERA-01-41 Coordination and Support Action under Grant Agreement No. 101058393.

# Acknowledgements



WorldFAIR Chemistry team (iupac.org/project/2022-012-1-024)

- **IUPAC Secretariat & volunteers**
- Community collaborators (chemical sciences & beyond)
- WorldFAIR project collaborators
- WorldFAIR project funders



WorldFAIR "Global cooperation on FAIR data policy and practice" is funded by the EC HORIZON-WIDERA-2021-ERA-01-41 Coordination and Support Action under Grant Agreement No. 101058393.