



# THE LANDSCAPE OF QUANTUM SENSING

## References

[1] CL Degen et al "Quantum Sensing" Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 035002  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.035002>  
 [2] J Barry et al "Sensitivity optimization for NV-diamond magnetometry" Rev. Mod. Phys. 92, 015004  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.92.015004>  
 [3] V Giovannetti et al "Quantum Metrology" PRL 96, 010401  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.010401>  
 [4] D Braun et al "Quantum-enhanced measurements without entanglement" Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 035006  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.035006>  
 [5] BL Higgins et al "Entanglement-free Heisenberg-limited phase estimation" Nature 450 393-396  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06257>  
 [6] J Rovny et al "Nanoscale covariance magnetometry with diamond quantum sensors" Science Vol 378 Issue 6626  
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade9858>  
 [7] M Naghiloo et al "Achieving Optimal Quantum Acceleration of Frequency Estimation Using Adaptive Coherent Control" PRL 119, 180801  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.180801>  
 [8] Zhibo Hou et al "Super-Heisenberg and Heisenberg Scalings Achieved Simultaneously in the Estimation of a Rotating Field" PRL 126, 070503  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.070503>  
 [9] LE de Clerq et al "Estimation of a general time-dependent Hamiltonian for a single qubit" Nature Communications volume 7, Article number: 11218  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11218>  
 [10] K Duivenvoorden et al "Single-mode displacement sensor" PRA 95, 012305  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.95.012305>  
 [11] L Maccone et al "Squeezing metrology: a unified framework" Quantum 4, 292  
<https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2020-07-09-292>  
 [12] A J. Brady et al "Entangled Sensor-Networks for Dark-Matter Searches" PRX Quantum 3, 030333  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.030333>  
 [13] K M Backes, et al "A quantum enhanced search for dark matter axions" Nature volume 590, pages 238-242  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03226-7>  
 [14] S Danilin et al "Quantum sensing with superconducting circuits" arXiv  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2103.11022>  
 [15] J Zhang et al "Single NV Centers as Sensors for Radio-Frequency Fields"  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2207.05474>  
 [16] S Magaletti et al "A quantum radio frequency signal analyzer based on nitrogen vacancy centers in diamond" Communications Engineering volume 1, Article number: 19 (2022)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s44172-022-00017-4>  
 [17] M Kristen et al "Amplitude and frequency sensing of microwave fields with a superconducting transmon qubit" npj Quantum Information volume 6, Article number: 57 (2020)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-020-00287-w>  
 [18] YX Wang et al "Non-Hermitian dynamics without dissipation in quantum systems" PRA 99, 063834  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.063834>  
 [19] HK Lau et al "Fundamental limits and non-reciprocal approaches in non-Hermitian quantum sensing" Nature Communications volume 9, Article number: 4320 (2018)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06477-7>  
 [20] R Kaubruegger et al "Quantum Variational Optimization of Ramsey Interferometry and Atomic Clocks" Phys. Rev. X 11, 041045  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.041045>  
 [21] C Marciniak et al "Optimal metrology with programmable quantum sensors" Nature volume 603, pages 604-609 (2022)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04435-4>  
 [22] Q Zhuang et al "Distributed quantum sensing enhanced by continuous-variable error correction" New Journal of Physics, Volume 22, February 2020  
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab7257>  
 [23] Q Zhuang et al "Physical-Layer Supervised Learning Assisted by an Entangled Sensor Network" PRX 9, 041023  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041023>  
 [24] Y Xia et al "Quantum-Enhanced Data Classification with a Variational Entangled Sensor Network" PRX 11, 021047  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021047>  
 [25] T Krisnanda et al "Beating the classical phase precision limit using a quantum neuromorphic platform" PRA 18, 034011 (2022)  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.18.034011>  
 [26] F Hu et al "Fundamental Limits to Expressive Capacity of Finitely Sampled Qubit-Based Systems" arXiv  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2301.00042>  
 [27] P Humphreys et al "Quantum Enhanced Multiple Phase Estimation" PRL 111, 070403  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.070403>  
 [28] T Proctor et al "Multiparameter Estimation in Networked Quantum Sensors" PRL 120, 080501  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.080501>  
 [29] R Kaubruegger et al "Optimal and Variational Multi-Parameter Quantum Metrology and Vector Field Sensing" arXiv  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2302.07785>  
 [30] R Demkowicz-Dobrzański et al "Multi-parameter estimation beyond quantum Fisher information" J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 53 363001  
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ab8ef3>  
 [31] F Albarelli et al "Evaluating the Holevo Cramér-Rao Bound for Multiparameter Quantum Metrology" PRL 123, 200503  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.200503>  
 [32] K Tsujino et al "Quantum Receiver beyond the Standard Quantum Limit of Coherent Optical Communication" PRL 106, 250503  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.250503>  
 [33] R Han et al "Helstrom measurement: A nondestructive implementation" PRA 101, 032103  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.032103>  
 [34] C Cui et al "Quantum receiver enhanced by adaptive learning" Light: Science & Applications volume 11, Article number: 344 (2022)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-022-01039-5>  
 [35] S Paesani et al "Experimental Bayesian Quantum Phase Estimation on a Silicon Photonic Chip" PRL 118, 100503  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.100503>  
 [36] A Hentschel et al "Machine Learning for Precise Quantum Measurement" PRL 104, 063603  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.063603>  
 [37] W Wang et al "Quantum-enhanced radiometry via approximate quantum error correction" Nature Communications volume 13, Article number: 3214 (2022)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30410-8>  
 [38] E Kessler et al "Quantum Error Correction for Metrology" PRL 112, 150802  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.150802>  
 [39] S Zhou et al "Achieving the Heisenberg limit in quantum metrology using quantum error correction" <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02510-3>  
 [40] S Huelga et al "Improvement of Frequency Standards with Quantum Entanglement" PRL 79 3865  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.3865>  
 [41] A Chin et al "Quantum Metrology in Non-Markovian Environments" PRL 109 233601  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.233601>  
 [42] P Titum et al "Optimal control for quantum detectors" npj Quantum Information volume 7, Article number: 53 (2021)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-021-00383-5>  
 [43] M O'Keefe et al "Hamiltonian Engineering with Constrained Optimization for Quantum Sensing and Control" New J. Phys. 21 (2019) 023015  
<https://doi.org/10.1098/njph.2019.023015>  
 [44] A Bhattacharyya et al "Disorder-induced enhancement of precision in quantum metrology" arXiv  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2212.08523>  
 [45] M Gong et al "Quantum Neuronal Sensing of Quantum Many-Body States on a 61-Qubit Programmable Superconducting Processor" arXiv  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2201.05957>  
 [46] I Cong et al "Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks" Nature Physics volume 15, pages 1273-1278 (2019)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0648-9>  
 [47] J Meyer et al "Quantum Metrology in the finite sample regime" arXiv  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.06370>  
 [48] C Delaney et al "Demonstration of a quantum advantage by a joint detection receiver for optical communication using quantum belief propagation on a trapped-ion device" PRA 106 032613  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.106.032613>  
 [49] S Guha et al "On quantum limit of optical communications: concatenated codes and joint-detection receivers" ISIT 2011 6034073  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ISIT.2011.6034073>  
 [50] N Rengaswamy et al "Belief propagation with quantum messages for quantum-enhanced classical communications" npj QI 9 97 (2021)  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-021-00422-1>

# SENSOR COMPLEXITY (ie the difficulty of realizing experimentally)

# TASK COMPLEXITY (ie the complexity of parameters/task to be sensed)

### LEGEND

- Red circle: Involves Heisenberg scaling
- Green circle: Involves Machine Learning / Variational approaches
- Light green circle: Well understood theoretically & experimentally accessible
- Orange circle: Well understood theoretically
- Blue circle: Experimentally accessible

### CRAMER-RAO & FISHER INFO

Provides a bound on maximum information obtainable by a sensor. Based on locally unbiased measurements.

Estimated Variance  $\rightarrow \sigma^2 \geq \frac{1}{N F(\rho_\theta)}$

Fisher Info  $\rightarrow \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{P_\theta(\omega)} \left[ \frac{\partial P_\theta(\omega)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2$

The Fisher Info is based on a given measurement protocol & bounds are obtained by optimizing over all possible protocols

Maximum possible Fisher Info can be analytically derived to simple tasks such as phase sensing, but needs to be numerically optimized for multi-parameter scenarios.

### HEISENBERG LIMIT

In the standard quantum limit (SQL), the error in prediction scales via the central limit theorem. Therefore given N qubits all unentangled, we have  $\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{N}$ . Given one qubit for interrogation for time T, we have  $\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{T}$ .

In Heisenberg scaling, we go beyond this regime; only limited by quantum mechanics (uncertainty principle). We obtain  $\sigma \sim 1/N$  or  $\sim 1/T$ .

### MULTI-PARAMETER SENSING

This involves performing sensing based on more than one variable [27-28]

- For commuting variables, one can achieve Heisenberg scaling and a common sensing protocol works for all
- Can use variational methods [29]
- For non-commuting variables, a general protocol doesn't exist, but bounds based on the Holevo Cramer Rao bound found by numerically optimizing the Quantum Fisher Information matrix. While usually a convex optimization problem, can be computationally intractable to optimize over protocols unless for specific symmetries/conserved quantities in the system. [30, 31]

### VARIATIONAL METHODS

- The encoding & decoding layers can be parameterized which are numerically optimized
- Optimization performed close loop with parameter update performed by classical computers (hybrid methods)
- Takes into account native operations in hardware
- Robust to device specific noise processes
- Goal to reduce user defined cost function
- Can take into account finite shot analysis
- Can take into account dynamic range / apriori distribution [20, 21]

### DISTRIBUTED QUANTUM SENSING

The goal is to estimate a global property of multiple parameters [22]

- Useful when there are classical correlations in signal
- Applications in dark matter detection with multiple entangled sensors [12]
- SEARN (Supervised Learning Assisted by an Entangled Sensor Network): Machine Learning task such as classification and regression based on optimizing variationally. [23, 24]

### JOINT-DETECTION RECEIVERS

- Using entanglement can help increase sensitivity in detecting classical signals
- Idea of quantum belief propagation [48-60]

### BAYESIAN METROLOGY

- Beyond Cramer-Rao bounds in a finitely sampled regime [30, 21]
- Amenable for adaptive methods which take into account current knowledge
- Probably approximately correct methodology [47]

### RESERVOIR SENSING

The goal is to use a configuration of entangled modes as a reservoir for sensing advantage

- This can be useful for the decoding layer whose goal is to extract high dimensional features from sensing state
- Quantum neuromorphic platform [25]
- Theory of expressive capacity of systems at finite sampling
- Hierarchy of eigenfunctions based on noise resilience
- Expressive capacity sample for all L-qubit states of infinite samples  $\sim 2^L$
- Expressive capacity usually higher for entangled states compared to product states at finite sampling [26]

### QUANTUM ERROR CORRECTION

- By correcting for dominant errors, one can extend the lifetime of the system & achieve a sensing advantage & potentially recover Heisenberg limit [37]
- Works when QEC protocol faster than noise rate, which is more feasible & can correct for high frequency noise, which dynamical decoupling can't. [38]
- Usually performed with ancilla modes. The new quantum resource are the fidelities of the QEC protocol & these determine the timescales where Heisenberg scaling extends till.
- Hamilton-not-in-Lindblad span (HNILS): As long as the sensing Hamiltonian H is not in span  $e \{1, L_i, L_i^\dagger, L_i^\dagger L_j\}$  where  $L_i$  are noise jump processes, then one can achieve Heisenberg scaling [39]

### NON-HERMITIAN SYSTEMS

Non hermitian physics can be explored by introducing gain & loss; both in classical & quantum contexts.

- Such methods introduce bifurcation points in spectrum, sensors operating around this regime will be more sensitive to parameters causing perturbations to the eigenvalues [18]
- Topological systems: PT symmetry can be embedded in dynamics of Hermitian systems. Only a quantum setting can show exponential enhancement in sensitivity over modes [19]

### HAMILTONIAN ENGINEERING

- Time dependent sensing: sense a single parameter describing a time dependent signal. With time dependent control one can show super-Heisenberg scaling of  $1/T^2$  [7-9]
- Dephasing noise (which commutes with Hamiltonian) cannot be error-corrector. This can destroy Heisenberg scaling. [40, 41]
- Hamiltonian engineering: based on pulse control, interactions, disorder can enhance sensitivity [42-44]

### QUANTUM RECEIVER

The goal is to have a scaling advantage w/rt signal strength [32]

- Limited by Helshorn bound for state discrimination. Given discriminating  $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle$  received with probability  $p_1, p_2$  the upper bound in probability is:  $P = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \sqrt{1 - 4p_1 p_2} \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle]$  [33]
- Can be combined with adaptive methods & ML approaches: Quantum Receiver enhanced by adaptive learning (QREAL) [34]
- Can be used in a variational context and applied generally to discrimination of quantum phases of modes [46, 46]

### ADAPTIVE METHODS

- These are methods which determine the next sensing protocol based on measurement history [36]
- Can achieve beyond SQL scaling
- RL based approaches can outperform analytical solutions & constrain policy search based on Markovian assumptions (to avoid exponentially increasing search space) [36]

### MAXIMAL ENTANGLEMENT

Canonical sensing task of phase: Ramsey interferometry [1-3]

- Heisenberg scaling in number of modes:  $\Delta \theta \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$  [10, 11]
- The scaling can cease due to the noise also being enhanced by N
- Transducers allow for sensing of physical parameters
- Magnetic Field: Zeeman shift of NV centers, Flux of SQUIDS
- Electric Field: Pockels effect imprinting phase on light
- Dominated by 1/f noise & hence not quantum limited

### DISPLACEMENT SENSING

- Single Mode: since position & momentum don't commute; there is no Heisenberg scaling. Optimal states include compass states, GKP [10]. For single axis displacement, squeezed modes give Heisenberg scaling in squeezing strength [11]
- Two Mode: squeezing in orthogonal directions for each modes gives Heisenberg scaling since the displacement on different modes commute
- Dark Matter Detection: An application for displacement sensing where the displacement corresponds to a dark matter mode. Figure of merits include scan rate & dynamic range [12, 13]

### RADIO-FREQUENCY SENSING

Here the goal is to sense properties of AC signals eg amplitude & frequency [1, 14-16]

- Echo sequences & Carr Purcell multipulse sequence can be used to modify where the system is most sensitive.
- Such methods are also used for dynamical decoupling to reduce the effect of slow varying noise and in characterizing  $T_2^*$
- Originally developed in NMR settings
- Cryogenic UNA: by using higher Fock states of qubit can help characterize AC signals inside the fridge: figure of merit include sensitivity & dynamic range [17]

### ENTANGLEMENT-FREE

- Single few mode sensors [4, 5]
- Heisenberg scaling for phase sensing:  $1/T$ : Kraus's phase estimation
- $1/N$ : single mode bosonic states  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |10\rangle)$
- $1/N^2$ : NOON states  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |100\rangle)$
- Sensitive to noise
- Adaptive methods which update protocols based on measurement history
- Classical correlations among sensors to infer higher order moments of sensing signal: eg in NV centers [6]