

Potential Effectiveness and Efficiency Issues in usability evaluation within Digital Health: A Systematic Literature Review

Bilal Maqbool^{a,*}, Sebastian Herold^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Health, Science and Technology, Karlstad University, Universitetsgatan 2, Karlstad, 651 88, Värmland, Sweden

Data Extraction Form

No.	Paper number
Relevance	Cell for authors review coding like 0 = reject, 1 = unclear, 2 = accept
Paper ID	Paper unique id, like LNameYearTitleFWord (quiroz2020alexa). Note: Use this id to locate papers in the Excel sheet as found in Nvivo search results.
Paper Year	
Paper Title	
Paper primary aim(s)/objective(s)	
System(s) primary aim(s)/objective(s)	
Usability Evaluation Method(s) for Data Collection & Recording	
Usability Evaluation Method(s) for Data Analysis	
System Evaluated Usability Characteristics	
Participants in Usability Evaluation	
No. of Participants Involved in Usability Evaluation	
Usability Testing Tool(s) or Platforms	
System maturity	Iterative Development, Fully Developed System, Prototype
System Type(s) (Classified acc. to US-FDA and DTA)	health information technology (HIT), mobile health (mHealth), telehealth (or telemedicine), wearable devices, personalised medicine (Per. Med.) and Digital Therapeutics (DTx).
System Targeted User Type(s)	
Health Condition(s) or Disease(s) (Classified acc. to ICD-WHO)	
Paper Focus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DH system Usability Evaluation focus • Usability Method Evaluation focus • Or Both in a single article
Usability method perception	First-hand experience expressed by authors about issues or perceptions with Usability Evaluation Methods
BibTeX	references

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: bilal.maqbool@kau.se (Bilal Maqbool), sebastian.herold@kau.se (Sebastian Herold)