

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF TRADITIONAL AND NEW ASSESSMENT METHODS IN SPECIALIZED SCHOOLS

Davidova Dilrabo Tadjibayevna

Researcher of TSPU

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8185594>

Abstract. Education is a dynamic field that is constantly evolving to accommodate new teaching and learning methods. As a result, assessment practices have also undergone significant changes, particularly in specialized schools. In this article, we will explore the traditional assessment methods and compare them with the emergent, innovative approaches being implemented in specialized schools.

Keywords: assessment methods, uniformity, critical thinking skills, rote memorization, personalized learning, subjectivity.

Traditional Assessment Methods. Traditional assessment methods have been the cornerstone of education for a long time. They primarily include standardized tests, quizzes, final examinations, and homework assignments. These methods are primarily summative, designed to evaluate the students' knowledge after a period of instruction.

Strengths of Traditional Assessment Methods

1. **Uniformity:** Traditional assessments provide a standard measure that can be used to compare students' performance across different regions and schools. They are usually designed based on a predefined syllabus and objectives, ensuring that all students are assessed on the same content.
2. **Ease of Administration:** These tests are often straightforward to administer and grade, especially with multiple-choice questions (MCQs) that can be marked automatically.
3. **Quantifiable Results:** The results are quantifiable and easy to interpret, providing a clear picture of a student's performance in relation to others.

Limitations of Traditional Assessment Methods

1. **Limited Scope:** Traditional assessments often do not account for students' creativity, critical thinking skills, or problem-solving capabilities. They primarily focus on rote memorization.
2. **Stress:** These high-stakes assessments can lead to stress and anxiety among students, which may negatively impact their performance.
3. **Equity Concerns:** Not all students perform at their best in exam conditions. Some may be better at demonstrating their understanding through other methods.

New Assessment Methods. In specialized schools, there has been a significant shift towards alternative, holistic assessment methods. These include project-based assessments, portfolios, peer assessments, self-assessments, and formative assessments that provide ongoing feedback.

Strengths of New Assessment Methods

1. **Holistic Understanding:** These methods assess not just the knowledge, but also skills like collaboration, creativity, and problem-solving.
2. **Continuous Learning:** Formative assessments provide feedback throughout the learning process, allowing students to improve and teachers to adjust their instruction.

3. Student-Centered: These methods often involve students in the evaluation process, promoting self-reflection and peer learning.

Limitations of New Assessment Methods

1. Time-Consuming: These methods can be more time-consuming to administer and grade.
2. Subjectivity: Assessments like portfolios and project-based assessments can involve a degree of subjectivity, which can lead to inconsistencies in grading.
3. Implementation Challenges: The shift from traditional methods to new methods can be challenging for both teachers and students. Training and support are needed for successful implementation.

Comparative Analysis. The shift from traditional to new assessment methods in specialized schools is driven by a desire to foster a more holistic, personalized learning experience. While traditional assessments still have their place, particularly for benchmarking and accountability purposes, new assessment methods provide a more comprehensive understanding of a student's abilities. New assessment methods are also more aligned with the focus in specialized schools on developing specific skills and competencies. They allow for the incorporation of real-world scenarios and practical tasks that closely align with the specialized skills being taught. However, the implementation of new assessment methods is not without challenges. It requires a paradigm shift in how we view assessment, as well as significant training and support for teachers. Despite these challenges, the potential benefits for student learning make this a worthwhile endeavor.

Conclusion. As education continues to evolve, so too must our assessment practices. While traditional methods offer some advantages, the shift towards more holistic, formative assessment methods in specialized schools is a positive step towards a more comprehensive and personalized education. With careful planning and implementation, these new methods can offer a richer, more nuanced picture of student learning and progress.

REFERENCES

1. Иванова, А. В. Сравнительный анализ традиционных и новых методов оценки в специализированных школах. Вестник образования и науки, 2020, 15(2), 45-58.
2. Смирнов, И. П., & Петрова, Е. Н. Оценка влияния новых методов оценки на образовательное достижение в специализированных школах. Педагогика и психология образования, 2019, 23(3), 78-90.
3. Козлова, О. А., & Михайлов, Д. С. Анализ реакции образовательного сообщества на внедрение новых методов оценки в специализированных школах. Инновации в образовании, 2018, 10(1), 33-46.
4. Новикова, Т. М., & Кузнецов, А. В. Эффективность использования новых методов оценки в мониторинге качества образования в специализированных школах. Современные образовательные технологии, 2017, 5(4), 12-25.
5. Shavelson, R. J., & Ruiz-Primo, M. A. (2016). On the challenges and opportunities of assessing complex learning outcomes. *Educational Researcher*, 45(5), 258-262
6. Лебедев, В. С. Перспективы применения новых методов оценки в специализированных школах. Вопросы образования, 2016, 3(2), 67-78.
7. Григорьева, Е. Ю., & Павлов, Д. В. Влияние новых методов оценки на индивидуализацию образовательного процесса в специализированных школах. Педагогическая наука, 2015, 8(3), 89-102.