People in the biodiversity knowledge graph and their roles in building the data connections we need

26 July 2023

Erica Krimmel & Holly Little

BOTANY 2023, Special Lecture: Supporting inclusive and sustainable research infrastructure for systematics (SISRIS) by connecting scientists and their specimens

Abstract: Herbaria are connected to each other by intricate and deep-rooted social histories. A student trained at one collection moves on and eventually becomes the curator of another. A prolific amateur collector donates specimens to multiple herbaria over the course of their lifetime. Rival systematists wage a decades-long battle documented by annotations back-and-forth on specimen labels. Although 21st-century data management in herbaria has not prioritized information about the people associated with specimens, people are often a critical link to data beyond the basic specimen occurrence record. Capturing and sharing more data about the "who" of specimens can improve connections across institutions and individuals, augment local data records, and encourage expertise-sharing. Typically, data about people are digitized and managed individually by each herbarium or institution, or at best by a consortia of institutions using the same collections management system. Not only does this lead to redundant time spent, but it also results in isolated knowledge management. Shared knowledge management, in contrast, can improve knowledge completeness, raise the visibility of the work required to manage knowledge, and make data more accessible to the linked open data ecosphere. Ultimately, these benefits lead to improved discoverability for specimens by increasing their data connectivity in the biodiversity knowledge graph.

Over the past few years, Wikidata has gained visibility in the biodiversity collections community as a centralized, accessible platform for working collaboratively to disambiguate entities, e.g., people associated with herbaria, and to mobilize information about them. In this way, Wikidata is a tool for shared knowledge management, and we can use it to support inclusive and sustainable research infrastructure. Such research infrastructure depends on social systems as much as on

information systems for successful knowledge management. Wikidata also provides an established social system with its collaborative, community-oriented approach to curation. This approach may be initially uncomfortable to many herbarium professionals, but relinquishing total control over "our" data promotes inclusivity by recognizing that we may not be the ultimate authorities on every aspect of our collections data. This is especially true of data related to people, who are frequently important to domains other than herbaria. Even within the herbarium community, many individuals involved with collections are not fully acknowledged for their work or have been misrepresented, especially those who are women, non-White, and/or Indigenous. Tools like Wikidata offer the opportunity for data to be augmented and/or corrected, and for this work to be done in a shared knowledge management context that benefits all herbaria and specimens connected to an individual.



Key talking points: (1:15)

- Herbaria are connected to each other by intricate and deep-rooted social histories. A student trained at one collection moves on and eventually becomes the curator of another. A prolific amateur collector donates specimens to multiple herbaria over the course of their lifetime. Rival systematists wage a decades-long battle documented by annotations back-and-forth on specimen labels.
- Although 21st-century data management in herbaria has not prioritized information about the people associated with specimens, people are often a critical link to data beyond the basic specimen occurrence record.
- Capturing and sharing more data about the "who" of specimens can improve connections across institutions and individuals, augment local data records, and encourage expertise-sharing.
- In this talk, using Elizabeth Atwater as a throughline. Atwater was an amateur botanist who lived in the Midwest in the mid-1800s and collected plants both near her home and on travels to the western US. Shown on this slide are institutions that hold specimens collected or identified by Atwater.

- Elizabeth Atwater on Bionomia: https://bionomia.net/Q66581882
- Image source: <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Elizabeth_Emerson_Atwater.jpg</u>



Key talking points: (1:10)

- This slide shows several of the many name variations used for Elizabeth Atwater on her specimens and in the digitized specimen data available on aggregators such as GBIF. Disambiguating these name variations can be easy or difficult, depending on the context. Note that in one of the variations Elizabeth Atwater is only identified by her husband's name, which is very common with female collectors of this era.
- Using text strings to identify a person doesn't lead to strong connections because text can be so variable.
- Typically, data about people are digitized and managed individually by each herbarium or institution, or at best by a consortia of institutions using the same collections management system. Not only does this lead to redundant time spent, but it also results in isolated knowledge management and fails to make connections between the same concept represented in different datasets.

NOTES:

- Image source: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Elizabeth Emerson Atwater.jpg

um of	Recorded	d by	\sim
emy of Sciences	🗸 Atwa	ter, Elizabeth E.	
a	🗸 Atwa	ter, E. E.	
FIELD No. 1561	Colle	ctor(s): Elizabeth Emerson Atwater	
HERBARIUM N. Y. STATE MUSEUM	GBIF		
Name Leutinis Leladli	Global Biodiversity		
Collected by Mrs. E.E. atwatter	Information Facility		
No. Date		< Occurrences	
Y. STATE	SEARCH OCCORRENCES 0 RESULTS	Recorded by ID	~ 2
lutea Walt?	DMY METRICS 🛓 DOWNLOAD	http://www.wikidata.org/entity/Q66581882	
FOR		2	
	um of lemy of Sciences a FIELD No. 1561 HERBARIUM N. Y. STATE MUSEUM Num Lantania lebate: Locity Virginia Coloned WM. E. E. Atratta Na Dav Y. STATE Buttea Walt?	um of lemy of Sciences a FIELD No. 156 { HERRARIUM NV, STATE MUSEUM Mum Lentwins Electric Locity Viriginia Calmed by Mr. E. E. Ativation Date Y. STATE Buttea Walt: HERMARIUM NV, STATE MUSEUM Mutrics ± DOWNLOAD	um of lemy of Sciences FIELD No. 1561 FIELD No. 1561 FIELD No. 1561 Collector(s): Elizabeth E. Atwater, E. E. Collector(s): Elizabeth Emerson Atwater HEREARUUM N. Y. STATE MUSEUM New Eactions Elevate: New Eactions Elevate: New Eactions Elevate: New Eactions Elevate: New Eactions Elevate: New Eactions Elevate: New Elevat

Key talking points: (1:20)

- The idea of connecting the same concept represented in different datasets is crucial to the biodiversity knowledge graph, which itself is an idea that we can contribute massive amounts of specimen (and other) data into a network that is interpretable by both humans and computers.
- In a biodiversity knowledge graph, people can be valuable entry points into aggregated data, but only if they are discoverable.
- Rather than using text strings, we can use unique identifiers to make explicit connections between the same person in different datasets.
- We aren't doing this very well yet, as shown in this slide. The specimen labels on the left all have different variations of Atwater's name, and the GBIF screenshot on the top right shows how the collector from each of these labels was transcribed into the local herbarium's database. None of these text strings even match the text as written on the label, much less each other.
- In the screenshot on the bottom right, we see that searching GBIF specimen data by an identifier used for Atwater gets us... zero results. Ideally, each of the individual specimen records would record Atwater's identifier in their local data and then it wouldn't matter how they transcribed her name because all name variations would be connected to this unique identifier.

NOTES:

- Labels from <u>https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3413423261</u>, https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/473431739, - https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/3414040169



Key talking points: (1:20)

- Identifiers are easier to talk about than to implement, which is why we haven't solved this problem already.
- Over the past few years, Wikidata has gained visibility in the biodiversity collections community as a tool for using identifiers, and a complement to other cyberinfrastructure components, like collection management systems and data aggregators.
- Wikidata is a centralized, accessible platform for working collaboratively to disambiguate entities, e.g., people associated with herbaria, and to mobilize information about them. In this way, Wikidata is a tool for shared knowledge management, and we can use it to support inclusive and sustainable research infrastructure.
- Shared knowledge management can improve knowledge completeness, raise the visibility of the work required to manage knowledge, and make data more accessible to the linked open data ecosphere. Ultimately, these benefits lead to improved discoverability for specimens by increasing their data connectivity in the biodiversity knowledge graph.
- In the next few slides we'll explore how Elizabeth Atwater is or could be connected between Wikidata, Arctos (a collection management system), Bionomia, and GBIF.



Key talking points: (0:25)

- The name variations issue we started this talk with encapsulates a major benefit of using identifiers to share the burden of knowledge management.
- In this example, both Arctos and Wikidata track variations of Atwater's name. They are not coordinated and thus have different information.
- Identifiers provide a mechanism by which different cyberinfrastructure components could exchange information and, ideally, synchronize what they each know.

- https://arctos.database.museum/agent/21295246
- https://bionomia.net/Q66581882
- https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q66581882

Ider	htifier		ahle sl	hared I	knov	vle	adue
		5 CII					.ogc
mər	nagem	nent					
	- 3 -						
	C m	. *					
	P Ba	onomias				_ /	rctos
Overview	Specialties	Network	Deposited At	Relationship	s To Elizabeth	Emers	on Atwater
	•			From	Relationship Be	egin End	Remark
Co-collec	Co-collectors Identified For Identifications By		Samuel Tyler Atwater	spouse of			
				Increase Allen	associate of		
Has collec	ted with:			Emma M.	associate of		
				Mrs E Aiken	associate of		1
				Mrs. William Gooding	associate of		
(A) E	Floretta Allen Curtiss * December 01, 1822 – March 03, 1899 † United States		YK .	E. P. Stevens	associate of		
			Yer I	Mrs. Gale	associate of		
Lin				Mrs. Hilliard	associate of		
			Mrs. Pearson	associate of			
Collected	Rhodomelaceae a	and identified	d	Mrs. George P. Hansen	associate of		
Halimeda	ceae			Mrs. Patrick Anderson	associate of		Travelled with Elizabeth Emerson Atwater to the Unite Kingdom, France, and Italy [jweller: Did Atwater travel outside of the US?]
and an end of the	ens claimed DO	I 10.5281/zeno	do.8034076				

Key talking points: (0:45)

- We're all familiar with networks of people. People who collect together, whose research impacts each other, who are friends or spouses or colleagues with each other. In the biodiversity knowledge graph, understanding the connections between people can provide context for specimens.
- Both Bionomia and Arctos make an effort to capture connections between people. For Atwater, the connections that Bionomia and Arctos know about are non-overlapping.
- Again, if these two systems were able to speak to each other, our view of Atwater's human network would be more complete.

- https://arctos.database.museum/agent/21295246
- https://bionomia.net/Q66581882
- <u>https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q66581882</u>



Key talking points: (0:30)

- If we want to explore Atwater's collecting activity, we could do so via many different facets: geography, taxonomy, type of specimen, timespan, etc.
- Arctos and Bionomia have gathered and summarized information for us about Atwater's collecting activity, each focusing on different facets.
- If these two systems were able to speak to each other, we could have richer insight into Atwater's collecting activity.

- https://arctos.database.museum/agent/21295246
- https://bionomia.net/Q66581882
- https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q66581882

Identifiers enable shared knowledge management





Orrell T, Informatics Office (2023). NMNH Extant Specimen Records (USNM, US). Version 170. National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution. Occurrence dataset https://doi.org/10.15468/hhnrg3 accessed via GBIE.org on 2023-07-20. https://doi.org/10.15468/hhrg3



Key talking points: (2:00)

- From a specimen perspective–which is the perspective those of us here are probably most familiar with–connecting information about collectors can supplement what we know, particularly for historic specimens.
- For example, Atwater collected a moss in Yosemite in 1873 that was described as a new species, *Bryum atwateriae*. Although the species has since been synonymized, her specimens remain types and are important to taxonomic history. But these specimens were collected 150 years ago and the information present on each of three types is variable.
- In the leftmost image, NYBG has a poor specimen but with lots of historical annotations.
- In the center image, NMNH has a better specimen but very little information and some difficult to read handwriting.
- In the top rightmost screenshot, Harvard hasn't made an image of this specimen available, although they have transcribed the label data.
- Without some very intentional searching, you wouldn't know that these specimens are related. In fact, that they *are* related is only made clear by ancillary information from Atwater's archives. These archives also provide valuable context about the collecting event for these types.
- Using an identifier for Atwater in the specimen data would allow someone to then follow breadcrumbs to find her archives, which are curated separately from any of her specimens, and in part by institutions that don't even have a foothold in the biodiversity science community. You can see in the lower rightmost screenshot that Wikidata has information about where Atwater's

• archives are held.

- https://arctos.database.museum/agent/21295246
- https://bionomia.net/Q66581882
- https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q66581882



Key talking points: (1:15)

- In the last example, we talked about tracing breadcrumbs from a specimen to Wikidata; the reverse is also possible if we increase our adoption of identifiers. Wikidata enables data to be discovered from a non-specimen perspective, which is in contrast to how our data are primarily accessed.
- The left diagram on this slide visualizes what Wikidata knows about institutions holding archival materials for botanists. This "archives at" property is extremely useful to our community because it provides a clue as to where you might be able to go for primary source material, like field notes or correspondence.
- The structure of Wikidata links items through various properties and expands the scope of knowledge we are able to build as a community. It's not just novel discovery points, it's new pathways through our data.
- The right diagram on this slide shows the institutions represented by botanists whose Wikidata items include an affiliation. It can be helpful to look up a person and see where they've worked. This is a common scenario but without Wikidata, we lack a structured way to discover such cross-institutional connections.
- One major benefit of using Wikidata is that it provides an established social system for collaborative, community-oriented data curation. This is important because sustainable and inclusive research infrastructure depends on social systems as much as on information systems for successful knowledge management.

- -
- https://w.wiki/75Si https://w.wiki/75Sn -

Connected data changes collections management

Last: (Perso	First: (Middle: (P
Gardner	J.	Α.
Gardner	Julia	Α.
Gardner	Julia	Anna
Gardner	Julie	
Gardner		



Inclusion of Wikidata ID in EMu

Key talking points:

- Using Wikidata more will have an effect on day-to-day internal collection data practices and institutional data models. For example, harmonizing names and documenting their aliases through shared knowledge management in Wikidata completely changes our ability to manage people data locally.
- In the screenshots here, you can see that even if I have all these variations within my own collection data, I can work to integrate the Wikidata Q #s into our database to help contend with those variations and to assist data entry when translating from one verbatim name on a label to the documented name in the database record.
- Although it may be initially uncomfortable to many herbarium professionals, relinquishing total control over "our" data has the potential to not only lessen the workload on collections staff but also to promote inclusivity by recognizing that we may not be the ultimate authorities on every aspect of our collections data.

- Ida Shepard: https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q21664806
- NMNH EMu: 4 records for Ellen James Moore, 152 event/site records, 2555 specimen records



Key talking points: (1:00)

- This is especially true of data related to people, who are frequently important to domains other than herbaria. Even within the herbarium community, many individuals involved with collections are not fully acknowledged for their work or have been misrepresented, especially those who are women, non-White, and/or Indigenous.
- Although Atwater made valuable contributions to science, she wasn't a prominent figure of her era. Without additional context, herbaria that only have a specimen or two collected by Atwater likely lack the ability to recognize these specimens as originating from a place of expertise.
- Identifiers provide a way to connect people like Atwater into our knowledge of biodiversity.
- Tools like Wikidata offer the opportunity for data to be augmented and/or corrected, and for this work to be done in a shared knowledge management context that benefits all herbaria and specimens connected to an individual.



Key talking points: (1:00)

- Our current reality is not one where people are all connected into the biodiversity knowledge graph and we can seamlessly navigate through rich forests of information. However, we aren't far off and the technology to get from here to there largely exists.
- If you are interested in exploring Wikidata, I wanted to share this resource created by the Paleo Data Working Group: "Guidelines for Using Wikidata to Mobilize Information about People in Collections: A Paleontology Perspective." These guidelines are designed to lay out conventions for creating and editing Wikidata items about people connected to biodiversity collections, as well as to serve as a step-by-step learning resource.
- While the examples used in this document all relate to people associated with paleontology, we wrote it to be general enough for the broader community to use, and we also published it in the public domain to encourage maximum uptake and reuse.

Thank you!

Get in touch...



Erica Krimmel ORCID 0000-0003-3192-0080 ekrimmel@gmail.com



Holly Little ORCID 0000-0001-7909-4166 littleh@si.edu

Key talking points: ()
