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## INTRODUCTION

This is the reference manual of Coq. Coq is an interactive theorem prover. It lets you formalize mathematical concepts and then helps you interactively generate machine-checked proofs of theorems. Machine checking gives users much more confidence that the proofs are correct compared to human-generated and -checked proofs. Coq has been used in a number of flagship verification projects, including the CompCert verified C compiler ${ }^{2}$, and has served to verify the proof of the four color theorem ${ }^{3}$ (among many other mathematical formalizations).

Users generate proofs by entering a series of tactics that constitute steps in the proof. There are many built-in tactics, some of which are elementary, while others implement complex decision procedures (such as lia, a decision procedure for linear integer arithmetic). Ltac and its planned replacement, Ltac2, provide languages to define new tactics by combining existing tactics with looping and conditional constructs. These permit automation of large parts of proofs and sometimes entire proofs. Furthermore, users can add novel tactics or functionality by creating Coq plugins using OCaml.
The Coq kernel, a small part of Coq, does the final verification that the tactic-generated proof is valid. Usually the tacticgenerated proof is indeed correct, but delegating proof verification to the kernel means that even if a tactic is buggy, it won't be able to introduce an incorrect proof into the system.
Finally, Coq also supports extraction of verified programs to programming languages such as OCaml and Haskell. This provides a way of executing Coq code efficiently and can be used to create verified software libraries.
To learn Coq, beginners are advised to first start with a tutorial / book. Several such tutorials / books are listed at https://coq.inria.fr/documentation.

This manual is organized in three main parts, plus an appendix:

- The first part presents the specification language of Coq, that allows to define programs and state mathematical theorems. Core language presents the language that the kernel of Coq understands. Language extensions presents the richer language, with notations, implicits, etc. that a user can use and which is translated down to the language of the kernel by means of an "elaboration process".
- The second part presents proof mode, the central feature of Coq. Basic proof writing introduces this interactive mode and the available proof languages. Automatic solvers and programmable tactics presents some more advanced tactics, while Creating new tactics is about the languages that allow a user to combine tactics together and develop new ones.
- The third part shows how to use Coq in practice. Libraries and plugins presents some of the essential reusable blocks from the ecosystem and some particularly important extensions such as the program extraction mechanism. Command-line and graphical tools documents important tools that a user needs to build a Coq project.
- In the appendix, History and recent changes presents the history of Coq and changes in recent releases. This is an important reference if you upgrade the version of Coq that you use. The various indexes are very useful to quickly browse the manual and find what you are looking for. They are often the main entry point to the manual.
The full table of contents is presented below:

[^0]
## Note: License

This material (the Coq Reference Manual) may be distributed only subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Open Publication License, v1.0 or later (the latest version is presently available at http://www.opencontent.org/openpub). Options A and B are not elected.

## SPECIFICATION LANGUAGE

### 2.1 Core language

At the heart of the Coq proof assistant is the Coq kernel. While users have access to a language with many convenient features such as notations, implicit arguments, etc. (presented in the next chapter), those features are translated into the core language (the Calculus of Inductive Constructions) that the kernel understands, which we present here. Furthermore, while users can build proofs interactively using tactics (see Chapter Basic proof writing), the role of these tactics is to incrementally build a "proof term" which the kernel will verify. More precisely, a proof term is a term of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions whose type corresponds to a theorem statement. The kernel is a type checker which verifies that terms have their expected types.

This separation between the kernel on one hand and the elaboration engine and tactics on the other follows what is known as the de Bruijn criterion (keeping a small and well delimited trusted code base within a proof assistant which can be much more complex). This separation makes it necessary to trust only a smaller, critical component (the kernel) instead of the entire system. In particular, users may rely on external plugins that provide advanced and complex tactics without fear of these tactics being buggy, because the kernel will have to check their output.

### 2.1.1 Basic notions and conventions

This section provides some essential notions and conventions for reading the manual.
We start by explaining the syntax and lexical conventions used in the manual. Then, we present the essential vocabulary necessary to read the rest of the manual. Other terms are defined throughout the manual. The reader may refer to the glossary index for a complete list of defined terms. Finally, we describe the various types of settings that Coq provides.

## Syntax and lexical conventions

## Syntax conventions

The syntax described in this documentation is equivalent to that accepted by the Coq parser, but the grammar has been edited to improve readability and presentation.

In the grammar presented in this manual, the terminal symbols are black (e.g. forall), whereas the nonterminals are green, italic and hyperlinked (e.g. term). Some syntax is represented graphically using the following kinds of blocks:
item $\stackrel{?}{ }$ An optional item.
item ${ }^{+}$A list of one or more items.
item ${ }^{\star}$ An optional list of items.

| item | A list of one or more items separated by " <br> An optional list of items separated by "s". |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| item |  |  |  |
| item ${ }_{1}$ | item 2 | . . . | Alternatives (either |

Precedence levels ${ }^{4}$ that are implemented in the Coq parser are shown in the documentation by appending the level to the nonterminal name (as in term100 or ltac_expr3).

Note: Coq uses an extensible parser. Plugins and the notation system can extend the syntax at run time. Some notations are defined in the prelude, which is loaded by default. The documented grammar doesn't include these notations. Precedence levels not used by the base grammar are omitted from the documentation, even though they could still be populated by notations or plugins.
Furthermore, some parsing rules are only activated in certain contexts (proof mode, custom entries...).

Warning: Given the complexity of these parsing rules, it would be extremely difficult to create an external program that can properly parse a Coq document. Therefore, tool writers are advised to delegate parsing to Coq, by communicating with it, for instance through SerAPI ${ }^{5}$.

## See also:

Print Grammar

## Lexical conventions

Blanks Space, newline and horizontal tab are considered blanks. Blanks are ignored but they separate tokens.
Comments Comments are enclosed between (* and *). They can be nested. They can contain any character. However, embedded string literals must be correctly closed. Comments are treated as blanks.

Identifiers Identifiers, written ident, are sequences of letters, digits, _ and ', that do not start with a digit or '. That is, they are recognized by the following grammar (except that the string _ is reserved; it is not a valid identifier):


All characters are meaningful. In particular, identifiers are case-sensitive. unicode_letter non-exhaustively includes Latin, Greek, Gothic, Cyrillic, Arabic, Hebrew, Georgian, Hangul, Hiragana and Katakana characters, CJK ideographs, mathematical letter-like symbols and non-breaking space. unicode_id_part nonexhaustively includes symbols for prime letters and subscripts.

Numbers Numbers are sequences of digits with an optional fractional part and exponent, optionally preceded by a minus sign. Hexadecimal numbers start with 0x or 0X. bigint are integers; numbers without fractional nor exponent parts. bignat are non-negative integers. Underscores embedded in the digits are ignored, for example $1 \_000 \_000$ is the same as 1000000 .

[^1]
integer $\quad::=\quad-\boldsymbol{?}$ natural
natural $::=$ bignat
bigint $\quad::=-\boldsymbol{?}$ bignat
bignat $::=$ decnat hexnat
decnat $\quad::=$ digit digit $\quad-\quad$ *
digit $\quad::=0 . .9$

hexnat $::=$| $\mathbf{0 x}$ | $\mathbf{0 X}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

hexdigit $::=0$.. $9 \mid$ a .. $\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{A} . . \mathbf{F}$
integer and natural are limited to the range that fits into an OCaml integer (63-bit integers on most architectures). bigint and bignat have no range limitation.

The standard library provides a few interpretations for number. Some of these interpretations support exponential notation for decimal numbers, for example $5.02 \mathrm{e}-6$ means $5.02 \times 10^{-6}$; and base 2 exponential notation for hexadecimal numbers denoted by p or P, for example $0 x A p 12$ means $10 \times 2^{12}$. The Number Notation mechanism offers the user a way to define custom parsers and printers for number.

Strings Strings begin and end with " (double quote). Use " " to represent a double quote character within a string. In the grammar, strings are identified with string.

The String Notation mechanism offers the user a way to define custom parsers and printers for string.
Keywords The following character sequences are keywords defined in the main Coq grammar that cannot be used as identifiers (even when starting Coq with the -noinit command-line flag):

```
_ Axiom CoFixpoint Definition Fixpoint Hypothesis Parameter Prop
SProp Set Theorem Type Variable as at cofix else end
fix for forall fun if in let match return then where with
```

The following are keywords defined in notations or plugins loaded in the prelude:

```
by exists exists2 using
```

Note that loading additional modules or plugins may expand the set of reserved keywords.
Print Keywords can be used to print the current keywords and tokens.
Other tokens The following character sequences are tokens defined in the main Coq grammar (even when starting Coq with the -noinit command-line flag):

```
! #[ % & ' ( () ) * + , - ->
. .( .. ... / : ::= := :> ; < <+ <- <:
<<: <= = => > >-> >= ? @ @{ [ ] _
`( ` { { {| | }
```

The following character sequences are tokens defined in notations or plugins loaded in the prelude:

```
** [= |- || ->
```

Note that loading additional modules or plugins may expand the set of defined tokens.

When multiple tokens match the beginning of a sequence of characters, the longest matching token not cutting a subsequence of contiguous letters in the middle is used. Occasionally you may need to insert spaces to separate tokens. For example, if $\sim$ and $\sim \sim$ are both defined as tokens, the inputs $\sim \sim$ and $\sim \sim$ generate different tokens, whereas if $\sim \sim$ is not defined, then the two inputs are equivalent. Also, if $\sim$ and $\sim$ _h are both defined as tokens, the input $\sim$ _ho is interpreted as $\sim$ _ho rather than $\sim$ _h o so as not to cut the identifierlike subsequence ho. Contrastingly, if only $\sim \ldots h$ is defined as a token, then $\sim$ _ho is an error because no token can be found that includes the whole subsequence ho without cutting it in the middle. Finally, if all of $\sim, \sim \_h$ and $\sim$ ho are defined as tokens, the input $\sim$ ho is interpreted using the longest match rule, i.e. as the token $\sim$ _ho.

## Essential vocabulary

This section presents the most essential notions to understand the rest of the Coq manual: terms and types on the one hand, commands and tactics on the other hand.
term Terms are the basic expressions of Coq. Terms can represent mathematical expressions, propositions and proofs, but also executable programs and program types.

Here is the top-level syntax of terms. Each of the listed constructs is presented in a dedicated section. Some of these constructs (like term_forall_or_fun) are part of the core language that the kernel of Coq understands and are therefore described in this chapter, while others (like term_if) are language extensions that are presented in the next chapter.
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { term } & ::= & \text { term_forall_or_fun } \\ & \mid & \text { term_let } \\ \text { term_if }\end{array}\right]$

Note: Many commands and tactics use one_term (in the syntax of their arguments) rather than term. The former need to be enclosed in parentheses unless they're very simple, such as a single identifier. This avoids
confusing a space-separated list of terms or identifiers with a term_application.
type To be valid and accepted by the Coq kernel, a term needs an associated type. We express this relationship by " $x$ of type $T$ ", which we write as " $x: T$ ". Informally, " $x: T$ " can be thought as " $x$ belongs to $T$ ".

The Coq kernel is a type checker: it verifies that a term has the expected type by applying a set of typing rules (see Typing rules). If that's indeed the case, we say that the term is well-typed.

A special feature of the Coq language is that types can depend on terms (we say that the language is dependentlytyped $^{6}$ ). Because of this, types and terms share a common syntax. All types are terms, but not all terms are types. The syntactic aliases type and one_type are used to make clear when the provided term must semantically be a type:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { type } & ::= & \text { term } \\
\text { one_type } & ::= & \text { one_term }
\end{array}
$$

Intuitively, types may be viewed as sets containing terms. We say that a type is inhabited if it contains at least one term (i.e. if we can find a term which is associated with this type). We call such terms witnesses. Note that deciding whether a type is inhabited is undecidable ${ }^{7}$.

Formally, types can be used to construct logical foundations for mathematics alternative to the standard "set theory" ${ }^{\text {: }}$ we call such logical foundations "type theories" ${ }^{\prime}$. Coq is based on the Calculus of Inductive Constructions, which is a particular instance of type theory.
sentence Coq documents are made of a series of sentences that contain commands or tactics, generally terminated with a period and optionally decorated with attributes.


Itac_expr syntax supports both simple and compound tactics. For example: split is a simple tactic while split; auto combines two simple tactics.
command A command can be used to modify the state of a Coq document, for instance by declaring a new object, or to get information about the current state.

By convention, command names begin with uppercase letters. Commands appear in the HTML documentation in blue or gray boxes after the label "Command". In the pdf, they appear after the boldface label "Command:". Commands are listed in the command_index. Example:
Command: Comments one_term string $\quad$ natural ${ }^{\star}{ }^{*}$
Prints "Comments ok" and does not change the state of the document.
tactic A tactic specifies how to transform the current proof state as a step in creating a proof. They are syntactically valid only when Coq is in proof mode, such as after a Theorem command and before any subsequent proofterminating command such as led. See Proof mode for more on proof mode.

[^2]By convention, tactic names begin with lowercase letters. Tactic appear in the HTML documentation in blue or gray boxes after the label "Tactic". In the pdf, they appear after the boldface label "Tactic:". Tactics are listed in the tactic_index.

## Settings

There are several mechanisms for changing the behavior of Coq. The attribute mechanism is used to modify the behavior of a single sentence. The flag, option and table mechanisms are used to modify the behavior of Coq more globally in a document or project.

## Attributes

An attribute modifies the behavior of a single sentence. Syntactically, most commands and tactics can be decorated with attributes (cf. sentence), but attributes not supported by the command or tactic will trigger This command does not support this attribute.


The order of top-level attributes doesn't affect their meaning. \# [foo, bar], \#[bar,foo], \#[foo]\#[bar] and \#[bar] \#[foo] are equivalent.

Boolean attributes take the form ident attr $=$ yes no $^{?}$. When the yes $\mid$ no value is omitted, the default is yes.

The legacy attributes (legacy_attr) provide an older, alternate syntax for certain attributes. They are equivalent to new attributes as follows:

| Legacy attribute | New attribute |
| :--- | :--- |
| Local | local |
| Global | global |
| Polymorphic, Monomorphic | universes (polymorphic) |
| Cumulative, NonCumulative | universes (cumulative) |
| Private | private(matching) |
| Program | program |

Attributes appear in the HTML documentation in blue or gray boxes after the label "Attribute". In the pdf, they appear after the boldface label "Attribute:". Attributes are listed in the attribute_index.

## Warning: This command does not support this attribute: ident.

This warning is configured to behave as an error by default. You may turn it into a normal warning by using the Warnings option:

```
Set Warnings "unsupported-attributes".
#[ foo ] Comments.
    Toplevel input, characters 3-6:
    > #[ foo ] Comments.
    > ^^^
    Warning: This command does not support this attribute: foo.
        [unsupported-attributes,parsing]
```


## Flags, Options and Tables

The following types of settings can be used to change the behavior of Coq in subsequent commands and tactics (see Locality attributes supported by Set and Unset for a more precise description of the scope of these settings):

- A flag has a boolean value, such as Universe Polymorphism.
- An option generally has a numeric or string value, such as Firstorder Depth.
- A table contains a set of strings or qualids.
- In addition, some commands provide settings, such as Extraction Language.

$$
\text { setting_name } \quad::=\quad \text { ident }^{+}
$$

Flags, options and tables are identified by a series of identifiers. By convention, each of the identifiers start with an initial capital letter.
Flags, options and tables appear in the HTML documentation in blue or gray boxes after the labels "Flag", "Option" and "Table". In the pdf, they appear after a boldface label. They are listed in the options_index.

Command: Set setting_name integer string ?
If setting_name is a flag, no value may be provided; the flag is set to on. If setting_name is an option, a value of the appropriate type must be provided; the option is set to the specified value.

This command supports the local, global and export attributes. They are described here.
Warning: There is no flag or option with this name: "setting_name".
This warning message can be raised by Set and Unset when setting_name is unknown. It is a warning rather than an error because this helps library authors produce Coq code that is compatible with several Coq versions. To preserve the same behavior, they may need to set some compatibility flags or options that did not exist in previous Coq versions.

## Command: Unset setting_name

If setting_name is a flag, it is set to off. If setting_name is an option, it is set to its default value.
This command supports the local, global and export attributes. They are described here.
Command: Add setting_name qualid string ${ }^{+}$
Adds the specified values to the table setting_name.
Command: Remove setting_name qualid string ${ }^{+}$
Removes the specified value from the table setting_name.

Command: Test setting_name for qualid string $^{+}$
If setting_name is a flag or option, prints its current value. If setting_name is a table: if the for clause is specified, reports whether the table contains each specified value, otherwise this is equivalent to Print Table. The for clause is not valid for flags and options.

Error: There is no flag, option or table with this name: "setting_name".
This error message is raised when calling the Test command (without the for clause), or the Print Table command, for an unknown setting_name.
Error: There is no qualid-valued table with this name: "setting_name".
Error: There is no string-valued table with this name: "setting_name".
These error messages are raised when calling the Add or Remove commands, or the Test command with the for clause, if setting_name is unknown or does not have the right type.

## Command: Print Options

Prints the current value of all flags and options, and the names of all tables.

## Command: Print Table setting_name

Prints the values in the table setting_name.

## Command: Print Tables

A synonym for Print Options.

## Locality attributes supported by Set and Unset

The Set and Unset commands support the mutually exclusive local, export and global locality attributes (or the Local, Export or Global prefixes).

If no attribute is specified, the original value of the flag or option is restored at the end of the current module but it is not restored at the end of the current section.

Newly opened modules and sections inherit the current settings.

Note: We discourage using the global locality attribute with the Set and Unset commands. If your goal is to define project-wide settings, you should rather use the command-line arguments - set and -unset for setting flags and options (see Command line options).

### 2.1.2 Sorts

| sort | : : = | Set |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| | Prop |
|  | । | SProp |
|  | \| | Type |
|  | । | Type @ $\mathbf{Z}^{\text {\} }}$ |
|  | \| | Type @ \{ universe \} |
| universe | : : $=$ | $\max \left(\text { universe_expr }^{+}\right)$ |
|  | 1 | universe_expr |
| universe_expr | : : $=$ | universe_name + natural ? |

The types of types are called sorts.

All sorts have a type and there is an infinite well-founded typing hierarchy of sorts whose base sorts are SProp, Prop and Set.
The sort Prop intends to be the type of logical propositions. If $M$ is a logical proposition then it denotes the class of terms representing proofs of $M$. An object $m$ belonging to $M$ witnesses the fact that $M$ is provable. An object of type Prop is called a proposition. We denote propositions by form. This constitutes a semantic subclass of the syntactic class term.
The sort SProp is like Prop but the propositions in SProp are known to have irrelevant proofs (all proofs are equal). Objects of type SProp are called strict propositions. See SProp (proof irrelevant propositions) for information about using SProp, and [GCST19] for meta theoretical considerations.

The sort Set intends to be the type of small sets. This includes data types such as booleans and naturals, but also products, subsets, and function types over these data types. We denote specifications (program types) by specif. This constitutes a semantic subclass of the syntactic class term.
SProp, Prop and Set themselves can be manipulated as ordinary terms. Consequently they also have a type. Because assuming simply that Set has type Set leads to an inconsistent theory [Coq86], the language of CIC has infinitely many sorts. There are, in addition to the base sorts, a hierarchy of universes Type $(i)$ for any integer $i \geq 1$.
Like Set, all of the sorts $\operatorname{Type}(i)$ contain small sets such as booleans, natural numbers, as well as products, subsets and function types over small sets. But, unlike Set, they also contain large sets, namely the sorts Set and Type( $j$ ) for $j<i$, and all products, subsets and function types over these sorts.

Formally, we call $\mathcal{S}$ the set of sorts which is defined by:

$$
\mathcal{S} \equiv\{\operatorname{SProp}, \text { Prop, Set, Type }(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}
$$

Their properties, such as Prop : Type(1), Set : Type(1), and Type $(i)$ : Type $(i+1)$, are described in Subtyping rules.
The user does not have to mention explicitly the index $i$ when referring to the universe Type $(i)$. One only writes Type. The system itself generates for each instance of Type a new index for the universe and checks that the constraints between these indexes can be solved. From the user point of view we consequently have Type : Type. We shall make precise in the typing rules the constraints between the indices.
Implementation issues In practice, the Type hierarchy is implemented using algebraic universes. An algebraic universe $u$ is either a variable (a qualified identifier with a number) or a successor of an algebraic universe (an expression $u+1$ ), or an upper bound of algebraic universes (an expression $\max \left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ ), or the base universe (the expression 0 ) which corresponds, in the arity of template polymorphic inductive types (see Section Well-formed inductive definitions), to the predicative sort Set. A graph of constraints between the universe variables is maintained globally. To ensure the existence of a mapping of the universes to the positive integers, the graph of constraints must remain acyclic. Typing expressions that violate the acyclicity of the graph of constraints results in a Universe inconsistency error.

## See also:

Printing universes, Explicit Universes.

### 2.1.3 Functions and assumptions

## Binders

| open_binders | $\begin{aligned} & ::= \\ & \text { \| } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { name }^{+} \text {: type } \\ & \text { binder }^{+} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | : : = | - |
|  | , | ident |
| binder | : : $=$ | name |
|  | 1 | ( name $^{+}$: type ) |
|  | 1 | ( name $:$ type ${ }^{\mathbf{?}}:=$ term ) |
|  | \| | implicit_binders |
|  | \| | generalizing_binder |
|  | \| | ( name : type 1 term) |
|  | , | ' pattern0 |

Various constructions such as fun, forall, fix and cofix bind variables. A binding is represented by an identifier. If the binding variable is not used in the expression, the identifier can be replaced by the symbol _. When the type of a bound variable cannot be synthesized by the system, it can be specified with the notation (ident : type). There is also a notation for a sequence of binding variables sharing the same type: (ident ${ }^{+\boldsymbol{+}}$ : type). A binder can also be any pattern prefixed by a quote, e.g. ' $(x, y)$.

Some constructions allow the binding of a variable to value. This is called a "let-binder". The entry binder of the grammar accepts either an assumption binder as defined above or a let-binder. The notation in the latter case is (ident := term). In a let-binder, only one variable can be introduced at the same time. It is also possible to give the type of the variable as follows: (ident : type := term).

Lists of binders are allowed. In the case of fun and forall, it is intended that at least one binder of the list is an assumption otherwise fun and forall gets identical. Moreover, parentheses can be omitted in the case of a single sequence of bindings sharing the same type (e.g.: fun ( $\mathrm{x} y \mathrm{z}: \mathrm{A}$ ) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}$ can be shortened in fun x y $\mathrm{z}: A=>\mathrm{t}$ ).

## Functions (fun) and function types (forall)

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { term_forall_or_fun } & ::= & \text { forall open_binders }, \text { type } \\
& \text { fun open_binders }=>\text { term }
\end{array}
$$

The expression fun ident : type => term defines the abstraction of the variable ident, of type type, over the term term. It denotes a function of the variable ident that evaluates to the expression term (e.g. fun x : $A=>x$ denotes the identity function on type A). The keyword fun can be followed by several binders as given in Section Binders. Functions over several variables are equivalent to an iteration of one-variable functions. For instance the expression fun ident $_{i}{ }^{+}$: type => term denotes the same function as fun ident ${ }_{i}$ : type => ${ }^{+}$ term. If a let-binder occurs in the list of binders, it is expanded to a let-in definition (see Section Let-in definitions).
The expression forall ident : type ${ }_{1}, t_{y p e_{2}}$ denotes the product type (or product) of the variable ident of type type ${ }_{1}$ over the type type $_{2}$. If ident is used in type 2 , then we say the expression is a dependent product, and otherwise a non-dependent product.

The intention behind a dependent product forall x : A, B is twofold. It denotes either the universal quantification of the variable x of type $A$ in the proposition $B$ or the functional dependent product from $A$ to $B$ (a construction usually written $\Pi_{x: A} . B$ in set theory).

Non-dependent product types have a special notation: A $->$ B stands for forall _ : A, B. Non-dependent product is used to denote both propositional implication and function types.

These terms are also useful:

- $n$ : nat is a dependent premise of forall $n$ :nat, $n+0=n$ because $n$ appears both in the binder of the forall and in the quantified statement $n+0=n$. Note that if $n$ isn't used in the statement, Coq considers it a non-dependent premise. Similarly, let $n:=\ldots$ in term is a dependent premise only if $n$ is used in term.
- A and B are non-dependent premises (or, often, just "premises") of A $->B->C$ because they don't appear in a forall binder. C is the conclusion of the type, which is a second meaning for the term conclusion. (As noted, A $->B$ is notation for the term forall _ : A, B; the wildcard _can't be referred to in the quantified statement.)

As for abstractions, forall is followed by a binder list, and products over several variables are equivalent to an iteration of one-variable products.

## Function application

| term_application | $::=$ | term1 arg ${ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | \| | @ qualid_annotated term1 + |
| arg | $::=$ | $($ident $:=$term $)$ |
|  | $\mid$ | $($natural $:=$term $)$ |
|  | \| | term1 |

$\operatorname{term1}_{\text {fun }}$ term1 denotes applying the function $\operatorname{term}_{\text {fun }}$ to term1.
term $_{\text {fun }}$ term1 $_{i}^{+}$denotes applying term $1_{\text {fun }}$ to the arguments term $_{i}$. It is equivalent to ( ... ( term1 fun $\operatorname{term1}_{1}$ ) ... ) $\operatorname{term}_{n}$ : associativity is to the left.
The @ qualid_annotated term1 ${ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ form requires specifying all arguments, including implicit ones. Otherwise, implicit arguments need not be given. See Implicit arguments.
The notations (ident $:=$ term) and (natural $:=$ term) for arguments are used for making explicit the value of implicit arguments. See Explicit applications.

## Assumptions

Assumptions extend the global environment with axioms, parameters, hypotheses or variables. An assumption binds an ident to a type. It is accepted by Coq only if type is a correct type in the global environment before the declaration and if ident was not previously defined in the same module. This type is considered to be the type (or specification, or statement) assumed by ident and we say that ident has type type.


| assumption_token | $\begin{aligned} & ::= \\ & \mid \\ & \mid \\ & \mid \\ & \mid \end{aligned}$ | Axiom Axioms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Conjecture | Conjectures |
|  |  | Parameter | Parameters |
|  |  | Hypothesis | Hypotheses |
|  |  | Variable | Variables |
| assumpt | : : $=$ | ident_decl $^{+}$of_type |  |
| ident_decl |  | ident univ | $c l ?$ |
| of_type | : : $=$ | : ${ }^{\text {a }}$ :> ty |  |

These commands bind one or more ident(s) to specified type(s) as their specifications in the global environment. The fact asserted by type (or, equivalently, the existence of an object of this type) is accepted as a postulate. They accept the programattribute.

Axiom, Conjecture, Parameter and their plural forms are equivalent. They can take the local attribute, which makes the defined idents accessible by Import and its variants only through their fully qualified names.
Similarly, Hypothesis, Variable and their plural forms are equivalent. Outside of a section, these are equivalent to Local Parameter. Inside a section, the idents defined are only accessible within the section. When the current section is closed, the ident(s) become undefined and every object depending on them will be explicitly parameterized (i.e., the variables are discharged). See Section Section mechanism.
:> If specified, ident_decl is automatically declared as a coercion to the class of its type. See Implicit Coercions.

The Inline clause is only relevant inside functors. See Module.

## Example: Simple assumptions

```
Parameter X Y : Set.
Parameter (R : X -> Y -> Prop) (S : Y -> X -> Prop).
Axiom R_S_inv : forall x y, R x y <-> S y x.
```


## Error: ident already exists.

Warning: ident is declared as a local axiom
Warning generated when using Variable or its equivalent instead of Local Parameter or its equivalent.

Note: We advise using the commands Axiom, Conjecture and Hypothesis (and their plural forms) for logical postulates (i.e. when the assertion type is of sort Prop), and to use the commands Parameter and Variable (and their plural forms) in other cases (corresponding to the declaration of an abstract object of the given type).

### 2.1.4 Definitions

## Let-in definitions


let ident := $\operatorname{term}_{1}$ in $\operatorname{term}_{2}$ represents the local binding of the variable ident to the value $\operatorname{term}_{1}$ in term $_{2}$.
let ident binder ${ }^{+}:=$term $_{1}$ in term $_{2}$ is an abbreviation for let ident $:=$ fun binder ${ }^{+}=>$ term $_{1}$ in term $_{2}$.

## See also:

Extensions of the let . . . in . . . syntax are described in Irrefutable patterns: the destructuring let variants.

Type cast

```
term_cast ::= term10: type
    | term10 <: type
    | term10 <: type
```

The expression term10 : type is a type cast expression. It enforces the type of term10 to be type.
term10 <: type specifies that the virtual machine will be used to type check that term10 has type type (see vm_compute).
term10 <<: type specifies that compilation to OCaml will be used to type check that term10 has type type (see native_compute).

## Top-level definitions

Definitions extend the global environment by associating names to terms. A definition can be seen as a way to give a meaning to a name or as a way to abbreviate a term. In any case, the name can later be replaced at any time by its definition.

The operation of unfolding a name into its definition is called delta-reduction. A definition is accepted by the system if and only if the defined term is well-typed in the current context of the definition and if the name is not already used. The name defined by the definition is called a constant and the term it refers to is its body. A definition has a type, which is the type of its body.

A formal presentation of constants and environments is given in Section Typing rules.
Command: Definition Example ident_decl def_body

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { def_body } & ::=\text { binder }^{*}: \text { type }
\end{array}{ }^{\boldsymbol{?}}:=\text { reduce }^{*} \text { ? } \text { term }
$$

These commands bind term to the name ident in the global environment, provided that term is well-typed. They can take the local attribute, which makes the defined ident accessible by Import and its variants only through their fully qualified names. If reduce is present then ident is bound to the result of the specified computation on term.

These commands also support the universes (polymorphic), program (see Program Definition), canonical, bypass_check (universes), bypass_check (guard), and using attributes.

If term is omitted, type is required and Coq enters proof mode. This can be used to define a term incrementally, in particular by relying on the refine tactic. In this case, the proof should be terminated with Defined in order to define a constant for which the computational behavior is relevant. See Entering and exiting proof mode.
The form Definition ident : type := term checks that the type of term is definitionally equal to type, and registers ident as being of type type, and bound to value term.
The form Definition ident binder ${ }^{*}$ : type $:=$ term is equivalent to Definition ident : forall binder ${ }^{\star}$, type $:=$ fun binder ${ }^{\star}{ }^{\star}$ berm.

## See also:

Opaque, Transparent, unfold.
Error: ident already exists.

## Error: The term term has type type while it is expected to have type type'.

## Assertions and proofs

An assertion states a proposition (or a type) for which the proof (or an inhabitant of the type) is interactively built using tactics. Assertions cause Coq to enter proof mode (see Proof mode). Common tactics are described in the Basic proof writing chapter. The basic assertion command is:

Command: thm_token ident_decl binder ${ }^{*}$ : type with ident_decl binder * : type

| thm_token | $::=$ | Theorem |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | Lemma |
|  | $\mid$ | Fact |
|  | $\mid$ | Remark |
|  |  | Corollary |
|  |  | Proposition |
|  |  | Property |

After the statement is asserted, Coq needs a proof. Once a proof of type under the assumptions represented by binders is given and validated, the proof is generalized into a proof of forall binder ${ }^{\star}$, type and the theorem is bound to the name ident in the global environment.

These commands accept the program attribute. See Program Lemma.
Forms using the with clause are useful for theorems that are proved by simultaneous induction over a mutually inductive assumption, or that assert mutually dependent statements in some mutual coinductive type. It is equivalent to Fixpoint or CoFixpoint but using tactics to build the proof of the statements (or the body of the specification, depending on the point of view). The inductive or coinductive types on which the induction or coinduction has to be done is assumed to be unambiguous and is guessed by the system.

Like in a Fixpoint or CoFixpoint definition, the induction hypotheses have to be used on structurally smaller arguments (for a Fixpoint) or be guarded by a constructor (for a CoFixpoint). The verification that recursive proof arguments are correct is done only at the time of registering the lemma in the global environment. To know if the use of induction hypotheses is correct at some time of the interactive development of a proof, use the command Guarded.

This command accepts the bypass_check(universes), bypass_check(guard), and using attributes.

Error: The term term has type type which should be Set, Prop or Type.
Error: ident already exists.
The name you provided is already defined. You have then to choose another name.
Error: Nested proofs are discouraged and not allowed by default. This error probably mea
You are asserting a new statement when you're already in proof mode. This feature, called nested proofs, is disabled by default. To activate it, turn the Nested Proofs Allowed flag on.
Proofs start with the keyword Proof. Then Coq enters the proof mode until the proof is completed. In proof mode, the user primarily enters tactics (see Basic proof writing). The user may also enter commands to manage the proof mode (see Proof mode).

When the proof is complete, use the $Q e d$ command so the kernel verifies the proof and adds it to the global environment.

## Note:

1. Several statements can be simultaneously asserted provided the Nested Proofs Allowed flag was turned on.
2. Not only other assertions but any command can be given while in the process of proving a given assertion. In this case, the command is understood as if it would have been given before the statements still to be proved. Nonetheless, this practice is discouraged and may stop working in future versions.
3. Proofs ended by Qed are declared opaque. Their content cannot be unfolded (see Applying conversion rules), thus realizing some form of proof-irrelevance. Proofs that end with Defined can be unfolded.
4. Proof is recommended but can currently be omitted. On the opposite side, Qed (or Defined) is mandatory to validate a proof.
5. One can also use Admitted in place of $Q e d$ to turn the current asserted statement into an axiom and exit proof mode.

### 2.1.5 Conversion rules

Coq has conversion rules that can be used to determine if two terms are equal by definition in CIC, or convertible. Conversion rules consist of reduction rules and expansion rules. Equality is determined by converting both terms to a normal form, then verifying they are syntactically equal (ignoring differences in the names of bound variables by alpha-conversion).

## See also:

Applying conversion rules, which describes tactics that apply these conversion rules.
Reductions convert terms to something that is incrementally closer to its normal form. For example, zeta-reduction removes let ident $:=$ term $_{1}$ in term $_{2}$ constructs from a term by replacing ident with term ${ }_{1}$ wherever ident appears in $\operatorname{term}_{2}$. The resulting term may be longer or shorter than the original.

```
Eval cbv zeta in let i := 1 in i + i.
```

    \(=1+1\)
        : nat
    Expansions are reductions applied in the opposite direction, for example expanding $2+2$ to let i := 2 in i + i. While applying reductions gives a unique result, the associated expansion may not be unique. For example, $2+2$ could also be expanded to let $i \quad:=2$ in $i+2$. Reductions that have a unique inverse expansion are also referred to as contractions.

The normal form is defined as the result of applying a particular set of conversion rules (beta-, delta-, iota- and zetareduction and eta-expansion) repeatedly until it's no longer possible to apply any of them.

Sometimes the result of a reduction tactic will be a simple value, for example reducing $2 * 3+4$ with cbv beta delta iota to 10 , which requires applying several reduction rules repeatedly. In other cases, it may yield an expression containing variables, axioms or opaque contants that can't be reduced.

The useful conversion rules are shown below. All of them except for eta-expansion can be applied with conversion tactics such as cbv:

| Conversion <br> name | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beta-reduction | eliminates fun |
| delta-reduction | replaces a defined variable or constant with its definition |
| zeta-reduction | eliminates let |
| eta-expansion | replaces a term f of type forall a : A, B with fun $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A}=>\mathrm{f}$ x |
| match- <br> reduction | eliminates match |
| fix-reduction | replaces a fix with a beta-redex; recursive calls to the symbol are replaced with the <br> fix term |
| cofix-reduction | replaces a cofix with a beta-redex; recursive calls to the symbol are replaced with <br> the cofix term |
| iota-reduction | match-, fix- and cofix-reduction together |

Applying conversion rules describes tactics that only apply conversion rules. (Other tactics may use conversion rules in addition to other changes to the proof state.)

## a-conversion

Two terms are $\alpha$-convertible if they are syntactically equal ignoring differences in the names of variables bound within the expression. For example forall $x, x+0=x$ is $\alpha$-convertible with forall $y, y+0=y$.

## $\beta$-reduction

$\beta$-reduction reduces a beta-redex, which is a term in the form ( $f$ un $x=>t$ ) $u$. (Beta-redex is short for "betareducible expression", a term from lambda calculus. See Beta reduction ${ }^{10}$ for more background.)

Formally, in any global environment $E$ and local context $\Gamma$, the beta-reduction rule is:

## Beta

$$
\overline{E[\Gamma] \vdash((\lambda x: T . t) u) \triangleright_{\beta} t\{x / u\}}
$$

We say that $t\{x / u\}$ is the $\beta$-contraction of $((\lambda x: T . t) u)$ and, conversely, that $((\lambda x: T . t) u)$ is the $\beta$-expansion of $t\{x / u\}$.
Terms of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions enjoy some fundamental properties such as confluence, strong normalization, subject reduction. These results are theoretically of great importance but we will not detail them here and refer the interested reader to [Coq85].

## ठ-reduction

$\delta$-reduction replaces variables defined in local contexts or constants defined in the global environment with their values. Unfolding means to replace a constant by its definition. Formally, this is:

## Delta-Local

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad(x:=t: T) \in \Gamma}{E[\Gamma] \vdash x \triangleright_{\Delta} t}
$$

[^3]
## Delta-Global

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad(c:=t: T) \in E}{E[\Gamma] \vdash c \triangleright_{\delta} t}
$$

Delta-reduction only unfolds constants that are marked transparent. Opaque is the opposite of transparent; delta-reduction doesn't unfold opaque constants.

## t-reduction

A specific conversion rule is associated with the inductive objects in the global environment. We shall give later on (see Section Well-formed inductive definitions) the precise rules but it just says that a destructor applied to an object built from a constructor behaves as expected. This reduction is called t-reduction and is more precisely studied in [PM93a][Wer94].

## $\zeta$-reduction

$\zeta$-reduction removes let-in definitions in terms by replacing the defined variable by its value. One way this reduction differs from $\delta$-reduction is that the declaration is removed from the term entirely. Formally, this is:

Zeta

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad E[\Gamma] \vdash u: U \quad E[\Gamma::(x:=u: U)] \vdash t: T}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \operatorname{let} x:=u: U \operatorname{in} t \triangleright_{\zeta} t\{x / u\}}
$$

n-expansion
Another important concept is $\eta$-expansion. It is legal to identify any term $t$ of functional type $\forall x: T, U$ with its so-called $\eta$-expansion

$$
\lambda x: T .(t x)
$$

for $x$ an arbitrary variable name fresh in $t$.

Note: We deliberately do not define $\eta$-reduction:

$$
\lambda x: T .(t x) \Downarrow_{\eta} t
$$

This is because, in general, the type of $t$ need not be convertible to the type of $\lambda x: T$. $(t x)$. E.g., if we take $f$ such that:

$$
f: \forall x: \text { Type }(2), \text { Type }(1)
$$

then

$$
\lambda x: \text { Type }(1) \cdot(f x): \forall x: \text { Type }(1), \text { Type }(1)
$$

We could not allow

$$
\lambda x: \text { Type }(1) \cdot(f x) \triangleright_{\eta} f
$$

because the type of the reduced term $\forall x:$ Type(2), Type(1) would not be convertible to the type of the original term $\forall x:$ Type (1), Type (1).

## Examples

## Example: Simple delta, fix, beta and match reductions

+ is a notation for Nat. add, which is defined with a Fixpoint.

```
Print Nat.add.
```

    Nat. add =
    fix add ( n m : nat) \{struct n\(\}\) : nat \(:=\)
        match n with
        | 0 => m
        | \(\mathrm{S} p=>\mathrm{S}\) (add p m)
        end
            : nat \(->\) nat \(->\) nat
    Arguments Nat.add (n m) \%nat_scope
    Goal $1+1=2$.
1 goal
$==========================$
$1+1=2$
cbv delta.
1 goal
==========================-=
(fix add (n m : nat) \{struct n\} : nat $:=$
match n with
| $0=>\mathrm{m}$
| $S \mathrm{p}=>\mathrm{S}$ (add p m)
end) $11=2$
cbv fix.
1 goal
(fun $n \mathrm{~m}$ : nat $=>$
match n with
| $0=>m$
| S p =>
S
((fix add (n0 m0 : nat) \{struct n0\} : nat :=
match n0 with
| 0 => m0
| S p0 $\Rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ (add p0 m0)
end) $p$ m)
end) $11=2$
cbv beta.
1 goal
$==========================$
match 1 with
| 0 => 1
| S P =>
S

```
        ((fix add (n m : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
        match n with
        | 0 => m
        | S p0 => S (add p0 m)
        end) p 1)
        end = 2
cbv match.
    1 goal
    =============================
    S
        ((fix add (n m : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
            match n with
            | 0 => m
            | S p => S (add p m)
            end) 0 1) = 2
```

The term can be fully reduced with cbv:

```
Goal 1 + 1 = 2.
    1 goal
    =============================
    1+1=2
cbv.
    goal
    =============================
    2=2
```


## Proof Irrelevance

It is legal to identify any two terms whose common type is a strict proposition $A$ : SProp. Terms in a strict propositions are therefore called irrelevant.

## Convertibility

Let us write $E[\Gamma] \vdash t \triangleright u$ for the contextual closure of the relation $t$ reduces to $u$ in the global environment $E$ and local context $\Gamma$ with one of the previous reductions $\beta, \delta$, t or $\zeta$.
We say that two terms $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are $\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta$-convertible, or simply convertible, or definitionally equal, in the global environment $E$ and local context $\Gamma$ iff there exist terms $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ such that $E[\Gamma] \vdash t_{1} \triangleright \ldots \triangleright u_{1}$ and $E[\Gamma] \vdash t_{2} \triangleright \ldots \triangleright u_{2}$ and either $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are identical up to irrelevant subterms, or they are convertible up to $\eta$-expansion, i.e. $u_{1}$ is $\lambda x: T$. $u_{1}^{\prime}$ and $u_{2} x$ is recursively convertible to $u_{1}^{\prime}$, or, symmetrically, $u_{2}$ is $\lambda x: T . u_{2}^{\prime}$ and $u_{1} x$ is recursively convertible to $u_{2}^{\prime}$. We then write $E[\Gamma] \vdash t_{1}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t_{2}$.
Apart from this we consider two instances of polymorphic and cumulative (see Chapter Polymorphic Universes) inductive types (see below) convertible

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash t w_{1} \ldots w_{m}=_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{m}^{\prime}
$$

if we have subtypings (see below) in both directions, i.e.,

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash t w_{1} \ldots w_{m} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{m}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash t w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{m}^{\prime} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t w_{1} \ldots w_{m} .
$$

Furthermore, we consider

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash c v_{1} \ldots v_{m}={ }_{\beta \delta_{\iota} \zeta \eta} c^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime} \ldots v_{m}^{\prime}
$$

convertible if

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash v_{i}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} v_{i}^{\prime}
$$

and we have that $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ are the same constructors of different instances of the same inductive types (differing only in universe levels) such that

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash c v_{1} \ldots v_{m}: t w_{1} \ldots w_{m}
$$

and

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash c^{\prime} v_{1}^{\prime} \ldots v_{m}^{\prime}: t^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{m}^{\prime}
$$

and we have

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash t w_{1} \ldots w_{m}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{m}^{\prime} .
$$

The convertibility relation allows introducing a new typing rule which says that two convertible well-formed types have the same inhabitants.

### 2.1.6 Typing rules

The underlying formal language of Coq is a Calculus of Inductive Constructions (CIC) whose inference rules are presented in this chapter. The history of this formalism as well as pointers to related work are provided in a separate chapter; see Early history of Coq.

## The terms

The expressions of the CIC are terms and all terms have a type. There are types for functions (or programs), there are atomic types (especially datatypes)... but also types for proofs and types for the types themselves. Especially, any object handled in the formalism must belong to a type. For instance, universal quantification is relative to a type and takes the form "for all $x$ of type $T, P$ ". The expression " $x$ of type $T$ " is written " $x: T$ ". Informally, " $x: T$ " can be thought as " $x$ belongs to $T$ ".

Terms are built from sorts, variables, constants, abstractions, applications, local definitions, and products. From a syntactic point of view, types cannot be distinguished from terms, except that they cannot start by an abstraction or a constructor. More precisely the language of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions is built from the following rules.

1. the sorts SProp, Prop, Set, Type $(i)$ are terms.
2. variables, hereafter ranged over by letters $x, y$, etc., are terms
3. constants, hereafter ranged over by letters $c, d$, etc., are terms.
4. if $x$ is a variable and $T, U$ are terms then $\forall x: T, U$ (forall $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{U}$ in Coq concrete syntax) is a term. If $x$ occurs in $U, \forall x: T, U$ reads as "for all $x$ of type $T, U$ ". As $U$ depends on $x$, one says that $\forall x: T, U$ is a dependent product. If $x$ does not occur in $U$ then $\forall x: T, U$ reads as "if $T$ then $U$ ". A non-dependent product can be written: $T \rightarrow U$.
5. if $x$ is a variable and $T, u$ are terms then $\lambda x: T . u$ (fun $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T} \Rightarrow \mathrm{u}$ in Coq concrete syntax) is a term. This is a notation for the $\lambda$-abstraction of $\lambda$-calculus [Bar81]. The term $\lambda x: T . u$ is a function which maps elements of $T$ to the expression $u$.
6. if $t$ and $u$ are terms then $(t u)$ is a term ( t u in Coq concrete syntax). The term $(t u)$ reads as " $t$ applied to $u$ ".
7. if $x$ is a variable, and $t, T$ and $u$ are terms then let $x:=t: T$ in $u$ is a term which denotes the term $u$ where the variable $x$ is locally bound to $t$ of type $T$. This stands for the common "let-in" construction of functional programs such as ML or Scheme.

Free variables. The notion of free variables is defined as usual. In the expressions $\lambda x: T . U$ and $\forall x: T, U$ the occurrences of $x$ in $U$ are bound.

Substitution. The notion of substituting a term $t$ to free occurrences of a variable $x$ in a term $u$ is defined as usual. The resulting term is written $u\{x / t\}$.

The logical vs programming readings. The constructions of the CIC can be used to express both logical and programming notions, according to the Curry-Howard correspondence between proofs and programs, and between propositions and types [CFC58][How80][dB72].

For instance, let us assume that nat is the type of natural numbers with zero element written 0 and that True is the always true proposition. Then $\rightarrow$ is used both to denote nat $\rightarrow$ nat which is the type of functions from nat to nat, to denote True $\rightarrow$ True which is an implicative proposition, to denote nat $\rightarrow$ Prop which is the type of unary predicates over the natural numbers, etc.
Let us assume that mult is a function of type nat $\rightarrow$ nat $\rightarrow$ nat and eqnat a predicate of type nat $\rightarrow$ nat $\rightarrow$ Prop. The $\lambda$-abstraction can serve to build "ordinary" functions as in $\lambda x$ : nat. (mult $x x$ ) (i.e. fun x : nat $=>\mathrm{mult} \mathrm{x}$ x in Coq notation) but may build also predicates over the natural numbers. For instance $\lambda x$ : nat. (eqnat $x 0$ ) (i.e. fun x : nat $=>$ eqnat x 0 in Coq notation) will represent the predicate of one variable $x$ which asserts the equality of $x$ with 0 . This predicate has type nat $\rightarrow$ Prop and it can be applied to any expression of type nat, say $t$, to give an object $P t$ of type Prop, namely a proposition.

Furthermore forall $\mathrm{x}:$ nat, $\mathrm{P} \times$ will represent the type of functions which associate with each natural number $n$ an object of type $(P n)$ and consequently represent the type of proofs of the formula " $\forall x . P(x)$ ".

## Typing rules

As objects of type theory, terms are subjected to type discipline. The well typing of a term depends on a local context and a global environment.

Local context. A local context is an ordered list of declarations of variables. The declaration of a variable $x$ is either an assumption, written $x: T$ (where $T$ is a type) or a definition, written $x:=t: T$. Local contexts are written in brackets, for example $[x: T ; y:=u: U ; z: V]$. The variables declared in a local context must be distinct. If $\Gamma$ is a local context that declares $x$, we write $x \in \Gamma$. Writing $(x: T) \in \Gamma$ means there is an assumption or a definition giving the type $T$ to $x$ in $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma$ defines $x:=t: T$, we also write $(x:=t: T) \in \Gamma$. For the rest of the chapter, $\Gamma::(y: T)$ denotes the local context $\Gamma$ enriched with the local assumption $y: T$. Similarly, $\Gamma::(y:=t: T)$ denotes the local context $\Gamma$ enriched with the local definition $(y:=t: T)$. The notation [] denotes the empty local context. Writing $\Gamma_{1} ; \Gamma_{2}$ means concatenation of the local context $\Gamma_{1}$ and the local context $\Gamma_{2}$.

Global environment. A global environment is an ordered list of declarations. Global declarations are either assumptions, definitions or declarations of inductive objects. Inductive objects declare both constructors and inductive or coinductive types (see Section Theory of inductive definitions).

In the global environment, assumptions are written as $(c: T)$, indicating that $c$ is of the type $T$. Definitions are written as $c:=t: T$, indicating that $c$ has the value $t$ and type $T$. We shall call such names constants. For the rest of the chapter, the $E ; c: T$ denotes the global environment $E$ enriched with the assumption $c: T$. Similarly, $E ; c:=t: T$ denotes the global environment $E$ enriched with the definition $(c:=t: T)$.

The rules for inductive definitions (see Section Theory of inductive definitions) have to be considered as assumption rules in which the following definitions apply: if the name $c$ is declared in $E$, we write $c \in E$ and if $c: T$ or $c:=t: T$ is declared in $E$, we write $(c: T) \in E$.

Typing rules. In the following, we define simultaneously two judgments. The first one $E[\Gamma] \vdash t: T$ means the term $t$ is well-typed and has type $T$ in the global environment $E$ and local context $\Gamma$. The second judgment $\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma]$ means that the global environment $E$ is well-formed and the local context $\Gamma$ is a valid local context in this global environment.

A term $t$ is well typed in a global environment $E$ iff there exists a local context $\Gamma$ and a term $T$ such that the judgment $E[\Gamma] \vdash t: T$ can be derived from the following rules.

## W-Empty

$$
\overline{\mathcal{W F}([])[]}
$$

## W-Local-Assum

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T: s \quad s \in \mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(E)[\Gamma::(x: T)]}
$$

## W-Local-Def

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash t: T \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(E)[\Gamma::(x:=t: T)]}
$$

## W-Global-Assum

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash T: s \quad s \in \mathcal{S}}{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(E ; c: T)[]}
$$

## W-Global-Def

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash t: T \quad c \notin E}{\mathcal{W F}(E ; c:=t: T)[]}
$$

## Ax-SProp

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W \mathcal { F }}(E)[\Gamma]}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { SProp }: \text { Type }(1)}
$$

## Ax-Prop

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma]}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { Prop : Type }(1)}
$$

## Ax-Set

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma]}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { Set }: \text { Type }(1)}
$$

## Ax-Type

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma]}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { Type }(i): \text { Type }(i+1)}
$$

Var

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad(x: T) \in \Gamma \text { or }(x:=t: T) \in \Gamma \text { for some } t}{E[\Gamma] \vdash x: T}
$$

## Const

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad(c: T) \in E \text { or }(c:=t: T) \in E \text { for some } t}{E[\Gamma] \vdash c: T}
$$

## Prod-SProp

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T: s \quad s \in \mathcal{S} \quad E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash U: \text { SProp }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x: T, U: \text { SProp }}
$$

## Prod-Prop

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T: s \quad s \in \mathcal{S} \quad E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash U: \operatorname{Prop}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x: T, U: \text { Prop }}
$$

## Prod-Set

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T: s \quad s \in\{\text { SProp, Prop, Set }\} \quad E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash U: \text { Set }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x: T, U: \text { Set }}
$$

## Prod-Type

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T: s \quad s \in\{\operatorname{SProp}, \operatorname{Type}(i)\} \quad E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash U: \operatorname{Type}(i)}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x: T, U: \operatorname{Type}(i)}
$$

Lam

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x: T, U: s \quad E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash t: U}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \lambda x: T \cdot t: \forall x: T, U}
$$

App

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash t: \forall x: U, T \quad E[\Gamma] \vdash u: U}{E[\Gamma] \vdash(t u): T\{x / u\}}
$$

Let

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash t: T \quad E[\Gamma::(x:=t: T)] \vdash u: U}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { let } x:=t: T \text { in } u: U\{x / t\}}
$$

Note: Prod-Prop and Prod-Set typing-rules make sense if we consider the semantic difference between Prop and Set:

- All values of a type that has a sort Set are extractable.
- No values of a type that has a sort Prop are extractable.

Note: We may have let $x:=t: T$ in $u$ well-typed without having $((\lambda x: T . u) t)$ well-typed (where $T$ is a type of $t$ ). This is because the value $t$ associated with $x$ may be used in a conversion rule (see Section Conversion rules).

## Subtyping rules

At the moment, we did not take into account one rule between universes which says that any term in a universe of index $i$ is also a term in the universe of index $i+1$ (this is the cumulativity rule of CIC). This property extends the equivalence relation of convertibility into a subtyping relation inductively defined by:

1. if $E[\Gamma] \vdash t={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} u$ then $E[\Gamma] \vdash t \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} u$,
2. if $i \leq j$ then $E[\Gamma] \vdash \operatorname{Type}(i) \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \operatorname{Type}(j)$,
3. for any $i, E[\Gamma] \vdash$ Set $\leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \operatorname{Type}(i)$,
4. $E[\Gamma] \vdash \operatorname{Prop} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta}$ Set, hence, by transitivity, $E[\Gamma] \vdash \operatorname{Prop} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \operatorname{Type}(i)$, for any $i$ (note: SProp is not related by cumulativity to any other term)
5. if $E[\Gamma] \vdash T=_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} U$ and $E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash T^{\prime} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} U^{\prime}$ then $E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x: T, T^{\prime} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \forall x: U, U^{\prime}$.
6. if Ind $[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ is a universe polymorphic and cumulative (see Chapter Polymorphic Universes) inductive type (see below) and $\left(t: \forall \Gamma_{P}, \forall \Gamma_{A r r(t)}, S\right) \in \Gamma_{I}$ and $\left(t^{\prime}: \forall \Gamma_{P}^{\prime}, \forall \Gamma_{A r r(t)}^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma_{I}$ are two different instances of the same inductive type (differing only in universe levels) with constructors

$$
\left[c_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, \forall T_{1,1} \ldots T_{1, n_{1}}, t v_{1,1} \ldots v_{1, m} ; \ldots ; c_{k}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, \forall T_{k, 1} \ldots T_{k, n_{k}}, t v_{k, 1} \ldots v_{k, m}\right]
$$

and

$$
\left[c_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}^{\prime}, \forall T_{1,1}^{\prime} \ldots T_{1, n_{1}}^{\prime}, t^{\prime} v_{1,1}^{\prime} \ldots v_{1, m}^{\prime} ; \ldots ; c_{k}: \forall \Gamma_{P}^{\prime}, \forall T_{k, 1}^{\prime} \ldots T_{k, n_{k}}^{\prime}, t^{\prime} v_{k, 1}^{\prime} \ldots v_{k, m}^{\prime}\right]
$$

respectively then

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash t w_{1} \ldots w_{m} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t^{\prime} w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{m}^{\prime}
$$

(notice that $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ are both fully applied, i.e., they have a sort as a type) if

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash w_{i}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} w_{i}^{\prime}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and we have

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash T_{i, j} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} T_{i, j}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash A_{i} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} A_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Arr}(t)}=\left[a_{1}: A_{1} ; \ldots ; a_{l}: A_{l}\right]$ and $\Gamma_{\text {Arr }(t)}^{\prime}=\left[a_{1}: A_{1}^{\prime} ; \ldots ; a_{l}: A_{l}^{\prime}\right]$.
The conversion rule up to subtyping is now exactly:
Conv

$$
\left.\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash U: s}{} \quad E[\Gamma] \vdash t: T \quad E[\Gamma] \vdash T \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} U\right)
$$

Normal form. A term which cannot be any more reduced is said to be in normal form. There are several ways (or strategies) to apply the reduction rules. Among them, we have to mention the head reduction which will play an important role (see Chapter Tactics). Any term $t$ can be written as $\lambda x_{1}: T_{1} \ldots . . \lambda x_{k}: T_{k} .\left(t_{0} t_{1} \ldots t_{n}\right)$ where $t_{0}$ is not an application. We say then that $t_{0}$ is the head of $t$. If we assume that $t_{0}$ is $\lambda x: T . u_{0}$ then one step of $\beta$-head reduction of $t$ is:

$$
\lambda x_{1}: T_{1} \ldots \lambda x_{k}: T_{k} \cdot\left(\lambda x: T \cdot u_{0} t_{1} \ldots t_{n}\right) \triangleright \lambda\left(x_{1}: T_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x_{k}: T_{k}\right) \cdot\left(u_{0}\left\{x / t_{1}\right\} t_{2} \ldots t_{n}\right)
$$

Iterating the process of head reduction until the head of the reduced term is no more an abstraction leads to the $\beta$-head normal form of $t$ :

$$
t \triangleright \ldots \triangleright \lambda x_{1}: T_{1} \ldots \lambda x_{k}: T_{k} \cdot\left(v u_{1} \ldots u_{m}\right)
$$

where $v$ is not an abstraction (nor an application). Note that the head normal form must not be confused with the normal form since some $u_{i}$ can be reducible. Similar notions of head-normal forms involving $\delta, \iota$ and $\zeta$ reductions or any combination of those can also be defined.

## Admissible rules for global environments

From the original rules of the type system, one can show the admissibility of rules which change the local context of definition of objects in the global environment. We show here the admissible rules that are used in the discharge mechanism at the end of a section.

Abstraction. One can modify a global declaration by generalizing it over a previously assumed constant $c$. For doing that, we need to modify the reference to the global declaration in the subsequent global environment and local context by explicitly applying this constant to the constant $c$.
Below, if $\Gamma$ is a context of the form $\left[y_{1}: A_{1} ; \ldots ; y_{n}: A_{n}\right]$, we write $\forall x: U, \Gamma\{c / x\}$ to mean $\left[y_{1}: \forall x: U, A_{1}\{c / x\} ; \ldots ; y_{n}: \forall x: U, A_{n}\{c / x\}\right]$ and $E\{|\Gamma| /|\Gamma| c\}$ to mean the parallel substitution $E\left\{y_{1} /\left(y_{1} c\right)\right\} \ldots\left\{y_{n} /\left(y_{n} c\right)\right\}$.

## First abstracting property:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c: U ; E^{\prime} ; c^{\prime}:=t: T ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)[\Gamma]}{\mathcal{W \mathcal { F }}\left(E ; c: U ; E^{\prime} ; c^{\prime}:=\lambda x: U \cdot t\{c / x\}: \forall x: U, T\{c / x\} ; E^{\prime \prime}\left\{c^{\prime} /\left(c^{\prime} c\right)\right\}\right)\left[\Gamma\left\{c^{\prime} /\left(c^{\prime} c\right)\right\}\right]} \\
\mathcal{W \mathcal { F } ( E ; c : U ; E ^ { \prime } ; c ^ { \prime } : T ; E ^ { \prime \prime } ) [ \Gamma ]} \\
\overline{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c: U ; E^{\prime} ; c^{\prime}: \forall x: U, T\{c / x\} ; E^{\prime \prime}\left\{c^{\prime} /\left(c^{\prime} c\right)\right\}\right)\left[\Gamma\left\{c^{\prime} /\left(c^{\prime} c\right)\right\}\right]} \\
\mathcal{W \mathcal { F } ( E ; c : U ; E ^ { \prime } ; \text { Ind } [ p ] ( \Gamma _ { I } : = \Gamma _ { C } ) ; E ^ { \prime \prime } ) [ \Gamma ]} \\
\hline \mathcal{W \mathcal { F }} \begin{array}{c}
\left(E ; c: U ; E^{\prime} ; \operatorname{Ind}[p+1]\left(\forall x: U, \Gamma_{I}\{c / x\}:=\forall x: U, \Gamma_{C}\{c / x\}\right) ; E^{\prime \prime}\left\{\left|\Gamma_{I} ; \Gamma_{C}\right| /\left|\Gamma_{I} ; \Gamma_{C}\right| c\right\}\right) \\
{\left[\Gamma\left\{\left|\Gamma_{I} ; \Gamma_{C}\right| /\left|\Gamma_{I} ; \Gamma_{C}\right| c\right\}\right]}
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

One can similarly modify a global declaration by generalizing it over a previously defined constant $c$. Below, if $\Gamma$ is a context of the form $\left[y_{1}: A_{1} ; \ldots ; y_{n}: A_{n}\right]$, we write $\Gamma\{c / u\}$ to mean $\left[y_{1}: A_{1}\{c / u\} ; \ldots ; y_{n}: A_{n}\{c / u\}\right]$.

## Second abstracting property:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{W \mathcal { F } ( E ; c : = u : U ; E ^ { \prime } ; c ^ { \prime } : = t : T ; E ^ { \prime \prime } ) [ \Gamma ]} \\
\mathcal{W \mathcal { F }}\left(E ; c:=u: U ; E^{\prime} ; c^{\prime}:=(\operatorname{let} x:=u: U \text { in } t\{c / x\}): T\{c / u\} ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)[\Gamma] \\
\frac{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c:=u: U ; E^{\prime} ; c^{\prime}: T ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)[\Gamma]}{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c:=u: U ; E^{\prime} ; c^{\prime}: T\{c / u\} ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)[\Gamma]} \\
\frac{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c:=u: U ; E^{\prime} ; \text { Ind }[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)[\Gamma]}{\mathcal{W \mathcal { F }}\left(E ; c:=u: U ; E^{\prime} ; \operatorname{Ind}[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}\{c / u\}:=\Gamma_{C}\{c / u\}\right) ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)[\Gamma]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Pruning the local context. If one abstracts or substitutes constants with the above rules then it may happen that some declared or defined constant does not occur any more in the subsequent global environment and in the local context. One can consequently derive the following property.

First pruning property:

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c: U ; E^{\prime}\right)[\Gamma] \quad c \text { does not occur in } E^{\prime} \text { and } \Gamma}{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; E^{\prime}\right)[\Gamma]}
$$

## Second pruning property:

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; c:=u: U ; E^{\prime}\right)[\Gamma] \quad c \text { does not occur in } E^{\prime} \text { and } \Gamma}{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}\left(E ; E^{\prime}\right)[\Gamma]}
$$

## The Calculus of Inductive Constructions with impredicative Set

Coq can be used as a type checker for the Calculus of Inductive Constructions with an impredicative sort Set by using the compiler option -impredicative-set. For example, using the ordinary coqtop command, the following is rejected,

## Example

```
Fail Definition id: Set := forall X:Set,X->X.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "forall X : Set, X -> X" has type "Type"
    while it is expected to have type "Set"
    (universe inconsistency: Cannot enforce Set+1 <= Set).
```

while it will type check, if one uses instead the coqtop -impredicative-set option..
The major change in the theory concerns the rule for product formation in the sort Set, which is extended to a domain in any sort:

## ProdImp

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T: s}{} \quad s \in \mathcal{S} \quad E[\Gamma::(x: T)] \vdash U: \text { Set }
$$

This extension has consequences on the inductive definitions which are allowed. In the impredicative system, one can build so-called large inductive definitions like the example of second-order existential quantifier (exset).

There should be restrictions on the eliminations which can be performed on such definitions. The elimination rules in the impredicative system for sort Set become:
Set 1

$$
\frac{s \in\{\text { Prop, Set }\}}{[I: \text { Set } \mid I \rightarrow s]}
$$

Set 2
$\frac{I \text { is a small inductive definition } \quad s \in\{\text { Type }(i)\}}{[I: \operatorname{Set} \mid I \rightarrow s]}$

### 2.1.7 Variants and the match construct

## Variants


The Variant command is similar to the Inductive command, except that it disallows recursive definition of types (for instance, lists cannot be defined using Variant). No induction scheme is generated for this variant, unless the Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes flag is on.


This command supports the universes(polymorphic), universes(template), universes (cumulative), and private (matching) attributes.

Error: The natural th argument of ident must be ident in type.

## Private (matching) inductive types

## Attribute: private (matching)

This attribute can be used to forbid the use of the match construct on objects of this inductive type outside of the module where it is defined. There is also a legacy syntax using the Private prefix (cf. legacy_attr).
The main use case of private (matching) inductive types is to emulate quotient types / higher-order inductive types in projects such as the HoTT library ${ }^{11}$.

## Example

```
Module Foo.
    Interactive Module Foo started
#[ private(matching) ] Inductive my_nat := my_o : my_nat | my_S : my_nat -> my_nat.
    my_nat is defined
Check (fun x : my_nat => match x with my_O => true | my_S _ => false end).
    fun x : my_nat => match x with
            | my_o => true
            | my_S _ => false
            end
        : my_nat -> bool
End FOO.
    Module Foo is defined
Import FOO.
Fail Check (fun x : my_nat => match x with my_O => true | my_S _ => false end).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    case analysis on a private type.
```

[^4]
## Definition by cases: match

Objects of inductive types can be destructured by a case-analysis construction called pattern matching expression. A pattern matching expression is used to analyze the structure of an inductive object and to apply specific treatments accordingly.


Note that the pattern : := pattern10 : term production is not supported in match patterns. Trying to use it will give this error:

## Error: Casts are not supported in this pattern.

This paragraph describes the basic form of pattern matching. See Section Multiple and nested pattern matching and Chapter Extended pattern matching for the description of the general form. The basic form of pattern matching is characterized by a single case_item expression, an eqn restricted to a single pattern and pattern restricted to the form qualid ident $\stackrel{\star}{ }{ }^{\star}$.
The expression match term return term $100^{?}$ with pattern $_{i}=\operatorname{term}_{i}+$ end denotes a pattern matching over the term term (expected to be of an inductive type $I$ ). The $\operatorname{term}_{i}$ are the branches of the pattern matching expression. Each pattern $n_{i}$ has the form qualid ident where qualid must denote a constructor. There should be exactly one branch for every constructor of $I$.

The return term100 clause gives the type returned by the whole match expression. There are several cases. In the non-dependent case, all branches have the same type, and the return term100 specifies that type. In this case, return term100 can usually be omitted as it can be inferred from the type of the branches ${ }^{1}$.

In the dependent case, there are three subcases. In the first subcase, the type in each branch may depend on the exact value being matched in the branch. In this case, the whole pattern matching itself depends on the term being matched. This dependency of the term being matched in the return type is expressed with an ident clause where ident is dependent in the return type. For instance, in the following example:

[^5]```
Inductive bool : Type := true : bool | false : bool.
Inductive eq (A:Type) (x:A) : A -> Prop := eq_refl : eq A x x.
Inductive or (A:Prop) (B:Prop) : Prop :=
    | or_introl : A -> or A B
    | or_intror : B -> or A B.
Definition bool_case (b:bool) : or (eq bool b true) (eq bool b false) :=
    match b as x return or (eq bool x true) (eq bool x false) with
    | true => or_introl (eq bool true true) (eq bool true false) (eq_refl bool true)
    | false => or_intror (eq bool false true) (eq bool false false) (eq_refl bool false)
    end.
```

the branches have respective types "or (eq bool true true) (eq bool true false)" and "or (eq bool false true) (eq bool false false)" while the whole pattern matching expression has type "or (eq bool b true) (eq bool b false)", the identifier b being used to represent the dependency.

Note: When the term being matched is a variable, the as clause can be omitted and the term being matched can serve itself as binding name in the return type. For instance, the following alternative definition is accepted and has the same meaning as the previous one.

```
Definition bool_case (b:bool) : or (eq bool b true) (eq bool b false) :=
match b return or (eq bool b true) (eq bool b false) with
| true => or_introl (eq bool true true) (eq bool true false) (eq_refl bool true)
| false => or_intror (eq bool false true) (eq bool false false) (eq_refl bool false)
end.
```

The second subcase is only relevant for annotated inductive types such as the equality predicate (see Section Equality), the order predicate on natural numbers or the type of lists of a given length (see Section Matching objects of dependent types). In this configuration, the type of each branch can depend on the type dependencies specific to the branch and the whole pattern matching expression has a type determined by the specific dependencies in the type of the term being matched. This dependency of the return type in the annotations of the inductive type is expressed with a clause in the form in qualid ${ }_{-}^{+}$pattern $^{+}$, where

- qualid is the inductive type of the term being matched;
- the holes _ match the parameters of the inductive type: the return type is not dependent on them.
- each pattern matches the annotations of the inductive type: the return type is dependent on them
- in the basic case which we describe below, each pattern is a name ident; see Patterns in in for the general case

For instance, in the following example:

```
Definition eq_sym (A:Type) (x y:A) (H:eq A x y) : eq A y x :=
match H in eq _ _ z return eq A z x with
| eq_refl _ _ => eq_refl A x
end.
```

the type of the branch is eq $A x \times$ because the third argument of eq is $x$ in the type of the pattern eq_refl. On the contrary, the type of the whole pattern matching expression has type eq $A y x$ because the third argument of eq is $y$ in the type of H . This dependency of the case analysis in the third argument of eq is expressed by the identifier z in the return type.

Finally, the third subcase is a combination of the first and second subcase. In particular, it only applies to pattern matching on terms in a type with annotations. For this third subcase, both the clauses as and in are available.

There are specific notations for case analysis on types with one or two constructors: if ... then ... else ... and let $(\ldots, \ldots):=\ldots$ in .... (see Sections Pattern-matching on boolean values: the if expression and Irrefutable patterns: the destructuring let variants).

### 2.1.8 Record types

The Record command defines types similar to records in programming languages. Those types describe tuples whose components, called fields, can be accessed with projections. Records can also be used to describe mathematical structures, such as groups or rings, hence the synonym Structure.

## Defining record types

Command: Record Structure record_definition


Defines a non-recursive record type, creating projections for each field that has a name other than _. The field body and type can depend on previous fields, so the order of fields in the definition may matter.

Use the Inductive and CoInductive commands to define recursive (inductive or coinductive) records. These commands also permit defining mutually recursive records provided that all of the types in the block are records. These commands automatically generate induction schemes. Enable the Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes flag to enable automatic generation of elimination schemes for Record. See Generation of induction principles with Scheme.
The Class command can be used to define records that are also Typeclasses, which permit Coq to automatically infer the inhabitants of the record.
$>$ ? If specified, the constructor is declared as a coercion from the class of the last field type to the record name. See Implicit Coercions.
ident_decl The ident within is the record name.
binder ${ }^{\star}$ binders may be used to declare the inductive parameters of the record.
: sort The sort the record belongs to. The default is Type.
$:=$ ident $?$ ident is the name of the record constructor. If omitted, the name defaults to Build_ident where ident is the record name.
as ident ? Specifies the name used to refer to the argument corresponding to the record in the type of projections. If not specified, the name is the first letter of the record name converted to lowercase (see example). In constrast, Class command uses the record name as the default (see example).

In record_field:
attribute, if specified, can only be canonical.
name is the field name. Since field names define projections, you can't reuse the same field name in two different records in the same module. This example shows how to reuse the same field name in multiple records.
field_spec can be omitted only when the type of the field can be inferred from other fields. For example: the type of $n$ can be inferred from npos in Record positive $:=\{n$; npos : 0 < n \}.

I natural Specifies the priority of the field. It is only allowed in Class commands.
: Specifies the type of the field.
: > If specified, the field is declared as a coercion from the record name to the class of the field type. See Implicit Coercions. Note that this currently does something else in Class commands.
: : If specified, the field is declared a typeclass instance of the class of the field type. See Typeclasses.
: :> Acts as a combination of : : and :>.

- binder ${ }^{+}$: of_type_inst is equivalent to : forall binder ${ }^{+}$, of_type_inst
- binder ${ }^{+}:=$term is equivalent to $:=$fun binder $^{+}$term
- binder ${ }^{+}$of_type_inst $:=$term is equivalent to $:$forall binder ${ }^{+}$, type $:=$ fun binder ${ }^{+}=>$term
$:=$ term, if present, gives the value of the field, which may depend on the fields that appear before it. Since their values are already defined, such fields cannot be specified when constructing a record.
The Record command supports the universes(polymorphic), universes(template), universes (cumulative), private(matching) and projections(primitive) attributes.


## Example: Defining a record

The set of rational numbers may be defined as:

```
Record Rat : Set := mkRat
    { negative : bool
    ; top : nat
    ; bottom : nat
    ; Rat_bottom_nonzero : 0 <> bottom
    ; Rat_irreducible :
        forall x y z:nat, (x * y) = top /\ (x * z) = bottom -> x = 1
    }.
        Rat is defined
        negative is defined
        top is defined
        bottom is defined
        Rat_bottom_nonzero is defined
        Rat_irreducible is defined
```

The Rat_* fields depend on top and bottom. Rat_bottom_nonzero is a proof that bottom (the denominator) is not zero. Rat_irreducible is a proof that the fraction is in lowest terms.

## Example: Reusing a field name in multiple records

```
Module A. Record R := { f : nat }. End A.
Module B. Record S := { f : nat }. End B.
Check {| A.f := 0 |}.
    {| A.f:=0 |}
            : A.R
Check {| B.f := 0 |}.
    {| B.f:=0 |}
        : B.S
```


## Example: Using the "as" clause in a record definition

```
Record MyRecord := { myfield : nat } as VarName.
    MyRecord is defined
    myfield is defined
About myfield. (* observe the MyRecord variable is named "VarName" *)
    myfield : MyRecord -> nat
    myfield is not universe polymorphic
    Arguments myfield VarName
    myfield is transparent
    Expands to: Constant Top.myfield
(* make "VarName" implicit without having to rename the variable,
    which would be necessary without the "as" clause *)
Arguments myfield {VarName}. (* make "VarName" an implicit parameter *)
Check myfield.
    myfield
        : nat
    where
    ?VarName : [ | - MyRecord]
Check (myfield (VarName:={| myfield := 0 | })).
    myfield
        : nat
```


## Example: Argument name for a record type created using Class

Compare to Record in the previous example:

```
Class MyClass := { myfield2 : nat }.
    MyClass is defined
    myfield2 is defined
About myfield2. (* Argument name defaults to the class name and is markedv
    \hookrightarrowimplicit *)
    myfield2 : MyClass -> nat
```

myfield2 is not universe polymorphic
Arguments myfield2 \{MyClass\}
myfield2 is transparent
Expands to: Constant Top.myfield2

## Error: Records declared with the keyword Record or Structure cannot be recursive.

The record name ident appears in the type of its fields, but uses the Record command. Use the Inductive or CoInductive command instead.

## Error: ident already exists

The fieldname ident is already defined as a global.
Warning: ident $_{1}$ cannot be defined because the projection ident ${ }_{2}$ was not defined The type of the projection ident ${ }_{1}$ depends on previous projections which themselves could not be defined.

## Warning: ident cannot be defined.

The projection cannot be defined. This message is followed by an explanation of why it's not possible, such as:

1. The body of ident uses an incorrect elimination for ident (see Fixpoint and Destructors).

Warning: ident field cannot be defined because it is informative and ident record $i s$ not The projection for the named field ident field can't be defined. For example, Record R:Prop $:=\{f:$ nat $\}$ generates the message "f cannot be defined ... and $R$ is not". Records of sort Prop must be non-informative (i.e. indistinguishable). Since nat has multiple inhabitants, such as \{1 $\mathbf{f}$ $:=0 \quad \mid\}$ and $\{|f:=1|\}$, the record would be informative and therefore the projection can't be defined.

## See also:

Coercions and records in section Classes as Records.
Note: Records exist in two flavors. In the first, a record ident with parameters binder ${ }^{\star}$, constructor ident ${ }_{0}$, and fields name field_spec ${ }^{*}$ is represented as a variant type with a single constructor: Variant ident binder ${ }^{\star}$ : sort $:=$ ident $_{0}$ ( name field_spec ) $^{\star}$ and projections are defined by case analysis. In the second implementation, records have primitive projections: see Primitive Projections.

During the definition of the one-constructor inductive definition, all the errors of inductive definitions, as described in Section Inductive types, may also occur.

## Constructing records

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { term_record } & ::=\left\{\mid \text { field_val } ;{ }^{*} \mid\right\} \\
\text { field_val } & ::=\text { qualid binder }
\end{array}
$$

Instances of record types can be constructed using either record form (term_record, shown here) or application form (see term_application) using the constructor. The associated record definition is selected using the provided field names or constructor name, both of which are global.

In the record form, the fields can be given in any order. Fields that can be inferred by unification or by using obligations (see Program) may be omitted.
In application form, all fields of the record must be passed, in order, as arguments to the constructor.

## Example: Constructing 1/2 as a record

Constructing the rational $1 / 2$ using either the record or application syntax:

```
Theorem one_two_irred : forall x y z:nat, x * y = 1/\ x * z = 2 -> x = 1.
Admitted.
(* Record form: top and bottom can be inferred from other fields *)
Definition half :=
    {| negative := false;
        Rat_bottom_nonzero := O_S 1;
        Rat_irreducible := one_two_irred |}.
(* Application form: use the constructor and provide values for all theu
    \hookrightarrowfields
        in order. "mkRat" is defined by the Record command *)
Definition half' := mkRat true 1 2 (O_S 1) one_two_irred.
```


## Accessing fields (projections)



The value of a field can be accessed using projection form (term_projection, shown here) or with application form (see term_application) using the projection function associated with the field. Don't forget the parentheses for the projection form. Glossing over some syntactic details, the two forms are:

- qualid ${ }_{\text {record }} \cdot\left(@^{\text {? }}\right.$ qualid field $^{\text {arg }}{ }^{\star}$ ) (projection) and
- @ ${ }^{\text {? }}$ qualid field $^{a r g^{*}}{ }^{\text {* }}$ qualid record (application)
where the args are the parameters of the inductive type. If @ is specified, all implicit arguments must be provided.

In projection form, since the projected object is part of the notation, it is always considered an explicit argument of qualid, even if it is formally declared as implicit (see Implicit arguments).

## Example: Accessing record fields

```
(* projection form *)
Eval compute in half.(top).
    = 1
        : nat
(* application form *)
Eval compute in top half.
```

```
Example: Matching on records
Eval compute in (
    match half with
    | {| negative := false; top := n |} => n
    | _ => 0
    end).
        = 1
            : nat
```

Example: Accessing anonymous record fields with match

```
Record T := const { _ : nat }.
Definition gett x := match }x\mathrm{ with const n => n end.
Definition inst := const 3.
Eval compute in gett inst.
    = 3
        : nat
```


## Settings for printing records

The following settings let you control the display format for record types:

## Flag: Printing Records

When this flag is on (this is the default), use the record syntax (shown above) as the default display format.
You can override the display format for specified record types by adding entries to these tables:
Table: Printing Record qualid
This table specifies a set of qualids which are displayed as records. Use the $A d d$ and Remove commands to update the set of qualids.
Table: Printing Constructor qualid
This table specifies a set of qualids which are displayed as constructors. Use the Add and Remove commands to update the set of qualids.

## Flag: Printing Projections

Activates the projection form (dot notation) for printing projections (off by default).

```
Example
Check top half. (* off: application form *)
    top half
        : nat
Set Printing Projections.
```

```
Check top half. (* on: projection form *)
    half.(top)
        : nat
```


## Primitive Projections

Note: the design of primitive projections is still evolving.
When the Primitive Projections flag is on or the projections (primitive) attribute is supplied for a Record definition, its match construct is disabled. To eliminate the record type, one must use its defined primitive projections.

For compatibility, the parameters still appear when printing terms even though they are absent in the actual AST manipulated by the kernel. This can be changed by unsetting the Printing Primitive Projection Parameters flag.

There are currently two ways to introduce primitive records types:

1. Through the Record command, in which case the type has to be non-recursive. The defined type enjoys etaconversion definitionally, that is the generalized form of surjective pairing for records: $r=B u i l d \_R\left(r \cdot\left(p_{1}\right)\right.$ $\left.\ldots r .\left(p_{n}\right)\right)$. Eta-conversion allows to define dependent elimination for these types as well.
2. Through the Inductive and CoInductive commands, when the body of the definition is a record declaration of the form Build_R $\left\{p_{1}: t_{1} ; \ldots ; p_{n}: t_{n}\right\}$. In this case the types can be recursive and eta-conversion is disallowed. Dependent elimination is not available for such types; you must use non-dependent case analysis for these.

For both cases the Primitive Projections flag must be set or the projections(primitive) attribute must be supplied.

## Flag: Primitive Projections

This flag turns on the use of primitive projections when defining subsequent records (even through the Inductive and CoInductive commands). Primitive projections extend the Calculus of Inductive Constructions with a new binary term constructor $r$. (p) representing a primitive projection $p$ applied to a record object $r$ (i.e., primitive projections are always applied). Even if the record type has parameters, these do not appear in the internal representation of applications of the projection, considerably reducing the sizes of terms when manipulating parameterized records and type checking time. On the user level, primitive projections can be used as a replacement for the usual defined ones, although there are a few notable differences.

Attribute: projections (primitive $=$| Yes | no |
| :--- | :--- |

This boolean attribute can be used to override the value of the Primitive Projections flag for the record type being defined.

## Flag: Printing Primitive Projection Parameters

This compatibility flag reconstructs internally omitted parameters at printing time (even though they are absent in the actual AST manipulated by the kernel).

## Reduction

The basic reduction rule of a primitive projection is $p_{i}$ (Build_R $t_{1} \ldots t_{n}$ ) $\rightarrow_{\iota} t_{i}$. However, to take the $\delta$ flag into account, projections can be in two states: folded or unfolded. An unfolded primitive projection application obeys the rule above, while the folded version delta-reduces to the unfolded version. This allows to precisely mimic the usual unfolding rules of constants. Projections obey the usual simpl flags of the Arguments command in particular. There is currently no way to input unfolded primitive projections at the user-level, and there is no way to display unfolded projections differently from folded ones.

## Compatibility Projections and match

To ease compatibility with ordinary record types, each primitive projection is also defined as an ordinary constant taking parameters and an object of the record type as arguments, and whose body is an application of the unfolded primitive projection of the same name. These constants are used when elaborating partial applications of the projection. One can distinguish them from applications of the primitive projection if the Printing Primitive Projection Parameters flag is off: For a primitive projection application, parameters are printed as underscores while for the compatibility projections they are printed as usual.

Additionally, user-written mat ch constructs on primitive records are desugared into substitution of the projections, they cannot be printed back as match constructs.

### 2.1.9 Inductive types and recursive functions

## Inductive types



Defines one or more inductive types and its constructors. Coq generates induction principles depending on the universe that the inductive type belongs to.

The induction principles are named ident_rect, ident_ind, ident_rec and ident_sind, which respectively correspond to on Type, Prop, Set and SProp. Their types expresses structural induction/recursion principles over objects of type ident. The constant ident_ind is always generated, whereas ident_rec and ident_rect may be impossible to derive (for example, when ident is a proposition).

| I binder $^{\star}$ | ? |
| :--- | :--- |
| Parameters. |  | The I separates uniform and non uniform parameters. See Uniform Inductive

The Inductive command supports the universes (polymorphic), universes(template), universes(cumulative), bypass_check(positivity), bypass_check(universes) and private(matching) attributes.

When record syntax is used, this command also supports the projections (primitive) attribute. Also, in the record syntax, if given, the as ident part specifies the name to use for inhabitants of the record in the type of projections.
Mutually inductive types can be defined by including multiple inductive_definitions. The idents are simultaneously added to the global environment before the types of constructors are checked. Each ident can be used independently thereafter. However, the induction principles currently generated for such types are not useful. Use the Scheme command to generate useful induction principles. See Mutually defined inductive types.

If the entire inductive definition is parameterized with binders, those inductive parameters correspond to a local context in which the entire set of inductive declarations is interpreted. For this reason, the parameters must be strictly the same for each inductive type. See Parameterized inductive types.
Constructor idents can come with binders, in which case the actual type of the constructor is forall binder ${ }^{\star}$, type.
Error: Non strictly positive occurrence of ident in type.
The types of the constructors have to satisfy a positivity condition (see Section Positivity Condition). This condition ensures the soundness of the inductive definition. Positivity checking can be disabled using the Positivity Checking flag or the bypass_check (positivity) attribute (see Controlling Typing Flags).

Error: The conclusion of type is not valid; it must be built from ident.
The conclusion of the type of the constructors must be the inductive type ident being defined (or ident applied to arguments in the case of annotated inductive types - cf. next section).

The following subsections show examples of simple inductive types, simple annotated inductive types, simple parametric inductive types, mutually inductive types and private (matching) inductive types.

## Simple inductive types

A simple inductive type belongs to a universe that is a simple sort.

## Example

The set of natural numbers is defined as:

```
Inductive nat : Set :=
| O : nat
| S : nat -> nat.
    nat is defined
    nat_rect is defined
    nat_ind is defined
    nat_rec is defined
    nat_sind is defined
```

The type nat is defined as the least $S$ et containing $O$ and closed by the $S$ constructor. The names nat, $O$ and $S$ are added to the global environment.

This definition generates four induction principles: nat_rect, nat_ind, nat_rec and nat_sind. The type of nat_ind is:

```
Check nat_ind.
    nat_ind
        : forall P : nat -> Prop,
            P O -> (forall n : nat, P n -> P (S n)) -> forall n : nat, P n
```

This is the well known structural induction principle over natural numbers, i.e. the second-order form of Peano's induction principle. It allows proving universal properties of natural numbers (forall n:nat, P ) by induction on n .
The types of nat_rect, nat_rec and nat_sind are similar, except that they apply to, respectively, ( $\mathrm{P}:$ nat->Type), ( $\mathrm{P}:$ nat->Set) and ( $\mathrm{P}:$ nat->SProp). They correspond to primitive induction principles (allowing dependent types) respectively over sorts Type, Set and SProp.

In the case where inductive types don't have annotations (the next section gives an example of annotations), a constructor can be defined by giving the type of its arguments alone.

## Example

Inductive nat : Set $:=0 \mid S$ (_: nat).

## Simple annotated inductive types

In annotated inductive types, the universe where the inductive type is defined is no longer a simple sort, but what is called an arity, which is a type whose conclusion is a sort.

## Example

As an example of annotated inductive types, let us define the even predicate:

```
Inductive even : nat -> Prop :=
| even_0 : even O
| even_SS : forall n:nat, even n -> even (S (S n)).
    even is defined
    even_ind is defined
    even_sind is defined
```

The type nat->Prop means that even is a unary predicate (inductively defined) over natural numbers. The type of its two constructors are the defining clauses of the predicate even. The type of even_ind is:

```
Check even_ind.
    even_ind
        : forall P : nat -> Prop,
        P O ->
            (forall n : nat, even n -> P n -> P (S (S n))) ->
            forall n : nat, even n -> P n
```

From a mathematical point of view, this asserts that the natural numbers satisfying the predicate even are exactly in the smallest set of naturals satisfying the clauses even_0 or even_SS. This is why, when we want to prove any predicate $P$ over elements of even, it is enough to prove it for $O$ and to prove that if any natural number $n$ satisfies $P$ its double successor ( $S\binom{S}{n}$ ) satisfies also P. This is analogous to the structural induction principle we got for nat.

## Parameterized inductive types

In the previous example, each constructor introduces a different instance of the predicate even. In some cases, all the constructors introduce the same generic instance of the inductive definition, in which case, instead of an annotation, we use a context of parameters which are binders shared by all the constructors of the definition.

Parameters differ from inductive type annotations in that the conclusion of each type of constructor invokes the inductive type with the same parameter values of its specification.

## Example

A typical example is the definition of polymorphic lists:

```
Inductive list (A:Set) : Set :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
    list is defined
    list_rect is defined
    list_ind is defined
    list_rec is defined
    list_sind is defined
```

In the type of nil and cons, we write "list A" and not just "list". The constructors nil and cons have these types:

```
Check nil.
```

    nil
        : forall A : Set, list A
    Check cons.
cons
: forall A : Set, A -> list A -> list A

Observe that the induction principles are also quantified with (A:Set), for example:

```
Check list_ind.
    list_ind
            : forall (A : Set) (P : list A -> Prop),
            P (nil A) ->
            (forall (a : A) (l : list A), P l -> P (cons A a l)) ->
            forall l : list A, P l
```

Once again, the names of the constructor arguments and the type of the conclusion can be omitted:

```
Inductive list (A:Set) : Set := nil | cons (_:A) (_:list A).
```


## Note:

- The constructor type can recursively invoke the inductive definition on an argument which is not the parameter itself.

One can define :

```
Inductive list2 (A:Set) : Set :=
    | nil2 : list2 A
    | cons2 : A -> list2 (A*A) -> list2 A.
```

```
list2 is defined
list2_rect is defined
list2_ind is defined
list2_rec is defined
list2_sind is defined
```

that can also be written by specifying only the type of the arguments:

```
Inductive list2 (A:Set) : Set :=
| nil2
| cons2 (_:A) (_:list2 (A*A)).
    list2 is defined
    list2_rect is defined
    list2_ind is defined
    list2_rec is defined
    list2_sind is defined
```

But the following definition will give an error:

```
Fail Inductive listw (A:Set) : Set :=
    | nilw : listw (A*A)
| consw : A -> listw (A*A) -> listw (A*A).
        The command has indeed failed with message:
        In environment
        listw : Set -> Set
        A : Set
        Unable to unify "listw (A * A) %type" with "listw A".
```

because the conclusion of the type of constructors should be listw A in both cases.

- A parameterized inductive definition can be defined using annotations instead of parameters but it will sometimes give a different (bigger) sort for the inductive definition and will produce a less convenient rule for case elimination.


## Flag: Uniform Inductive Parameters

When this flag is set (it is off by default), inductive definitions are abstracted over their parameters before type checking constructors, allowing to write:

```
Set Uniform Inductive Parameters.
Inductive list3 (A:Set) : Set :=
| nil3 : list3
| cons3 : A -> list3 -> list3.
    list3 is defined
    list3_rect is defined
    list3_ind is defined
    list3_rec is defined
    list3_sind is defined
```

This behavior is essentially equivalent to starting a new section and using context to give the uniform parameters, like so (cf. Section mechanism):

```
Section list3.
Context (A:Set).
    A is declared
Inductive list3 : Set :=
| nil3 : list3
```

```
| cons3 : A -> list3 -> list3.
    list3 is defined
    list3_rect is defined
    list3_ind is defined
    list3_rec is defined
    list3_sind is defined
End list3.
```

For finer control, you can use a $\mid$ between the uniform and the non-uniform parameters:

```
Inductive Acc {A:Type} (R:A->A->Prop) | (x:A) : Prop
    := Acc_in : (forall y, R y x -> Acc y) -> Acc x.
```

The flag can then be seen as deciding whether the $\mid$ is at the beginning (when the flag is unset) or at the end (when it is set) of the parameters when not explicitly given.

## See also:

Section Theory of inductive definitions and the induction tactic.

## Mutually defined inductive types

The induction principles currently generated for mutually defined types are not useful. Use the Scheme command to generate a useful induction principle.

## Example: Mutually defined inductive types

A typical example of mutually inductive data types is trees and forests. We assume two types A and B that are given as variables. The types can be declared like this:

```
Parameters A B : Set.
Inductive tree : Set := node : A -> forest -> tree
with forest : Set :=
| leaf : B -> forest
| cons : tree -> forest -> forest.
```

This declaration automatically generates eight induction principles. They are not the most general principles, but they correspond to each inductive part seen as a single inductive definition.

To illustrate this point on our example, here are the types of tree_rec and forest_rec.

```
Check tree_rec.
    tree_rec
        : forall P : tree -> Set,
            (forall (a : A) (f : forest), P (node a f)) -> forall t : tree, P t
Check forest_rec.
    forest_rec
        : forall P : forest -> Set,
            (forall b : B, P (leaf b)) ->
            (forall (t : tree) (f0 : forest), P f0 -> P (cons t f0)) ->
            forall f1 : forest, P f1
```

Assume we want to parameterize our mutual inductive definitions with the two type variables $A$ and $B$, the declaration should be done as follows:

```
Inductive tree (A B:Set) : Set := node : A -> forest A B -> tree A B
with forest (A B:Set) : Set :=
| leaf : B -> forest A B
| cons : tree A B -> forest A B -> forest A B.
```

Assume we define an inductive definition inside a section (cf. Section mechanism). When the section is closed, the variables declared in the section and occurring free in the declaration are added as parameters to the inductive definition.

## See also:

A generic command Scheme is useful to build automatically various mutual induction principles.

## Recursive functions: fix



The expression "fix ident $_{1}$ binder $_{1}$ : type $_{1}:=$ term $_{1}$ with ... with ident binder $_{n}$ : type $_{n}:=$ term $_{n}$ for ident ${ }_{i}$ " denotes the $i$-th component of a block of functions defined by mutual structural recursion. It is the local counterpart of the Fixpoint command. When $n=1$, the "for ident ${ }_{i}$ "clause is omitted.
The association of a single fixpoint and a local definition have a special syntax: let fix ident binder **: term instandsfor let ident $:=$ fix ident binder ${ }^{\star}:=$ term in. The same applies for cofixpoints.

Some options of fixannot are only supported in specific constructs. fix and let fix only support the struct option, while wf and measure are only supported in commands such as Fixpoint (with the programattribute) and Function.

## Top-level recursive functions

This section describes the primitive form of definition by recursion over inductive objects. See the Function command for more advanced constructions.
Command: Fixpoint fix_definition with fix_definition *

$$
\text { fix_definition } \quad::=\text { ident_decl binder }{ }^{*} \text { fixannot }{ }^{?}: \text { type }^{?}{ }^{\boldsymbol{?}}:=\text { term }{ }^{?} \text { decl_notations }{ }^{?}
$$

Allows defining functions by pattern matching over inductive objects using a fixed point construction. The meaning of this declaration is to define ident as a recursive function with arguments specified by the binders such that ident applied to arguments corresponding to these binders has type type, and is equivalent to the expression
term. The type of ident is consequently forall binder ${ }^{\star}$, type and its value is equivalent to fun binder ${ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}=>$ term.

This command accepts the program, bypass_check (universes), and bypass_check (guard) attributes.

To be accepted, a Fixpoint definition has to satisfy syntactical constraints on a special argument called the decreasing argument. They are needed to ensure that the Fixpoint definition always terminates. The point of the $\{$ struct ident \} annotation (see fixannot) is to let the user tell the system which argument decreases along the recursive calls.

The \{struct ident $\}$ annotation may be left implicit, in which case the system successively tries arguments from left to right until it finds one that satisfies the decreasing condition.

Fixpoint without the program attribute does not support the wf or measure clauses of fixannot. See Program Fixpoint.

The with clause allows simultaneously defining several mutual fixpoints. It is especially useful when defining functions over mutually defined inductive types. Example: Mutual Fixpoints.

If term is omitted, type is required and Coq enters proof mode. This can be used to define a term incrementally, in particular by relying on the refine tactic. In this case, the proof should be terminated with Defined in order to define a constant for which the computational behavior is relevant. See Entering and exiting proof mode.

This command accepts the using attribute.

## Note:

- Some fixpoints may have several arguments that fit as decreasing arguments, and this choice influences the reduction of the fixpoint. Hence an explicit annotation must be used if the leftmost decreasing argument is not the desired one. Writing explicit annotations can also speed up type checking of large mutual fixpoints.
- In order to keep the strong normalization property, the fixed point reduction will only be performed when the argument in position of the decreasing argument (which type should be in an inductive definition) starts with a constructor.


## Example

One can define the addition function as :

```
Fixpoint add (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
match n with
| O => m
| S p => S (add p m)
end.
    add is defined
    add is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
```

The match operator matches a value (here $n$ ) with the various constructors of its (inductive) type. The remaining arguments give the respective values to be returned, as functions of the parameters of the corresponding constructor. Thus here when $n$ equals $O$ we return $m$, and when $n$ equals ( $S p$ ) we return ( $S$ (add $p m$ ). .

The match operator is formally described in Section The match ... with ... end construction. The system recognizes that in the inductive call (add pm ) the first argument actually decreases because it is a pattern variable coming from match n with.

## Example

The following definition is not correct and generates an error message:

```
Fail Fixpoint wrongplus (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
match m with
| O => n
| S p => S (wrongplus n p)
end.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Recursive definition of wrongplus is ill-formed.
    In environment
    wrongplus : nat -> nat -> nat
    n : nat
    m : nat
    p : nat
    Recursive call to wrongplus has principal argument equal to
    "n" instead of a subterm of "n".
    Recursive definition is:
    "fun n m : nat => match m with
    | 0 => n
    | S p => S (wrongplus n p)
    end".
```

because the declared decreasing argument $n$ does not actually decrease in the recursive call. The function computing the addition over the second argument should rather be written:

```
Fixpoint plus (n m:nat) {struct m} : nat :=
match m with
| O => n
| S p => S (plus n p)
end.
    plus is defined
    plus is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)
```


## Example

The recursive call may not only be on direct subterms of the recursive variable $n$ but also on a deeper subterm and we can directly write the function $\bmod 2$ which gives the remainder modulo 2 of a natural number.

```
Fixpoint mod2 (n:nat) : nat :=
match n with
| O => O
| S p => match p with
        | O => S O
        | S q => mod2 q
        end
end.
    mod2 is defined
    mod2 is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
```


## Example: Mutual fixpoints

The size of trees and forests can be defined the following way:

```
Fixpoint tree_size (t:tree) : nat :=
match t with
| node a f => S (forest_size f)
end
with forest_size (f:forest) : nat :=
match f with
| leaf b => 1
| cons t f' => (tree_size t + forest_size f')
end.
    tree_size is defined
    forest_size is defined
    tree_size, forest_size are recursively defined (guarded respectively on`
41st,
    1st arguments)
```


## Theory of inductive definitions

Formally, we can represent any inductive definition as $\operatorname{Ind}[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ where:

- $\Gamma_{I}$ determines the names and types of inductive types;
- $\Gamma_{C}$ determines the names and types of constructors of these inductive types;
- $p$ determines the number of parameters of these inductive types.

These inductive definitions, together with global assumptions and global definitions, then form the global environment. Additionally, for any $p$ there always exists $\Gamma_{P}=\left[a_{1}: A_{1} ; \ldots ; a_{p}: A_{p}\right]$ such that each $T$ in $(t: T) \in \Gamma_{I} \cup \Gamma_{C}$ can be written as: $\forall \Gamma_{P}, T^{\prime}$ where $\Gamma_{P}$ is called the context of parameters. Furthermore, we must have that each $T$ in $(t: T) \in \Gamma_{I}$ can be written as: $\forall \Gamma_{P}, \forall \Gamma_{\operatorname{Arr}(t)}, S$ where $\Gamma_{\operatorname{Arr}(t)}$ is called the Arity of the inductive type $t$ and $S$ is called the sort of the inductive type $t$ (not to be confused with $\mathcal{S}$ which is the set of sorts).

## Example

The declaration for parameterized lists is:

$$
\text { Ind }[1]\left([\text { list }: \text { Set } \rightarrow \text { Set }]:=\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\text { nil } & : \forall A: \text { Set, list } A \\
\text { cons }: & \forall A: \text { Set, } A \rightarrow \text { list } A \rightarrow \text { list } A
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

which corresponds to the result of the Coq declaration:

```
Inductive list (A:Set) : Set :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
```


## Example

The declaration for a mutual inductive definition of tree and forest is:

$$
\text { Ind }[0]\left(\left[\begin{array}{rll}
\text { tree } & : & \text { Set } \\
\text { forest } & : & \text { Set }
\end{array}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\text { node } & : \\
\text { emptyf } & : \\
\text { forest } \rightarrow \text { tree } \\
\text { consf } & : \\
\text { tree } \rightarrow \text { forest } \rightarrow \text { forest }
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

which corresponds to the result of the Coq declaration:

```
Inductive tree : Set :=
| node : forest -> tree
with forest : Set :=
| emptyf : forest
| consf : tree -> forest -> forest.
```


## Example

The declaration for a mutual inductive definition of even and odd is:

$$
\text { Ind }[0]\left(\left[\begin{array}{rll}
\text { even } & : & \text { nat } \rightarrow \text { Prop } \\
\text { odd } & : & \text { nat } \rightarrow \text { Prop }
\end{array}\right]:=\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\text { even }_{\mathrm{O}} & : \text { even } 0 \\
\text { even }_{\mathrm{S}} & : \forall n, \text { odd } n \rightarrow \text { even }(\mathrm{S} n) \\
\text { oddd }_{\mathrm{S}} & :
\end{array} \forall n, \text { even } n \rightarrow \operatorname{odd}(\mathrm{~S} n) .\right]\right)
$$

which corresponds to the result of the Coq declaration:

```
Inductive even : nat -> Prop :=
| even_O : even 0
| even_S : forall n, odd n -> even (S n)
with odd : nat -> Prop :=
| odd_S : forall n, even n -> odd (S n).
```


## Types of inductive objects

We have to give the type of constants in a global environment $E$ which contains an inductive definition.
Ind

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad \operatorname{Ind}[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) \in E \quad(a: A) \in \Gamma_{I}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash a: A}
$$

## Constr

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(E)[\Gamma] \quad \text { Ind }[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) \in E \quad(c: C) \in \Gamma_{C}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash c: C}
$$

## Example

Provided that our global environment $E$ contains inductive definitions we showed before, these two inference rules above enable us to conclude that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { even }: \text { nat } \rightarrow \text { Prop } \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { odd }: \text { nat } \rightarrow \text { Prop } \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { even } \\
& \mathrm{O}: \text { even } \mathrm{O} \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { even }_{\mathrm{S}}: \forall n: \text { nat, odd } n \rightarrow \text { even }(\mathrm{S} n) \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { odd }_{\mathrm{S}}: \forall n: \text { nat, even } n \rightarrow \text { odd }(\mathrm{S} n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Well-formed inductive definitions

We cannot accept any inductive definition because some of them lead to inconsistent systems. We restrict ourselves to definitions which satisfy a syntactic criterion of positivity. Before giving the formal rules, we need a few definitions:

## Arity of a given sort

A type $T$ is an arity of sort $s$ if it converts to the sort $s$ or to a product $\forall x: T, U$ with $U$ an arity of sort $s$.

## Example

$A \rightarrow$ Set is an arity of sort Set. $\forall A:$ Prop, $A \rightarrow$ Prop is an arity of sort Prop.

## Arity

A type $T$ is an arity if there is a $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $T$ is an arity of sort $s$.

## Example

$A \rightarrow$ Set and $\forall A:$ Prop, $A \rightarrow$ Prop are arities.

## Type of constructor

We say that $T$ is a type of constructor of $I$ in one of the following two cases:

- $T$ is $\left(I t_{1} \ldots t_{q}\right)$
- $T$ is $\forall x: U, T^{\prime}$ where $T^{\prime}$ is also a type of constructor of $I$


## Example

nat and nat $\rightarrow$ nat are types of constructor of nat. $\forall A:$ Type, list $A$ and $\forall A:$ Type, $A \rightarrow$ list $A \rightarrow$ list $A$ are types of constructor of list.

## Positivity Condition

The type of constructor $T$ will be said to satisfy the positivity condition for a set of constants $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ in the following cases:

- $T=\left(X_{j} t_{1} \ldots t_{q}\right)$ for some $j$ and no $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur free in any $t_{i}$
- $T=\forall x: U, V$ and $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur only strictly positively in $U$ and the type $V$ satisfies the positivity condition for $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$.


## Strict positivity

The constants $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur strictly positively in $T$ in the following cases:

- no $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur in $T$
- $T$ converts to $\left(X_{j} t_{1} \ldots t_{q}\right)$ for some $j$ and no $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur in any of $t_{i}$
- T converts to $\forall x: U, V$ and $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur strictly positively in type $V$ but none of them occur in $U$
- $T$ converts to ( $I a_{1} \ldots a_{r} t_{1} \ldots t_{s}$ ) where $I$ is the name of an inductive definition of the form

$$
\text { Ind }[r]\left(I: A:=c_{1}: \forall p_{1}: P_{1}, \ldots \forall p_{r}: P_{r}, C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: \forall p_{1}: P_{1}, \ldots \forall p_{r}: P_{r}, C_{n}\right)
$$

(in particular, it is not mutually defined and it has $r$ parameters) and no $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur in any of the $t_{i}$ nor in any of the $a_{j}$ for $m<j \leq r$ where $m \leq r$ is the number of recursively uniform parameters, and the (instantiated) types of constructor $C_{i}\left\{p_{j} / a_{j}\right\}_{j=1 \ldots m}$ of $I$ satisfy the nested positivity condition for $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$

## Nested Positivity

If $I$ is a non-mutual inductive type with $r$ parameters, then, the type of constructor $T$ of $I$ satisfies the nested positivity condition for a set of constants $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ in the following cases:

- $T=\left(I b_{1} \ldots b_{r} u_{1} \ldots u_{s}\right)$ and no $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur in any $u_{i}$ nor in any of the $b_{j}$ for $m<j \leq r$ where $m \leq r$ is the number of recursively uniform parameters
- $T=\forall x: U, V$ and $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$ occur only strictly positively in $U$ and the type $V$ satisfies the nested positivity condition for $X_{1} \ldots X_{k}$


## Example

For instance, if one considers the following variant of a tree type branching over the natural numbers:

```
Inductive nattree (A:Type) : Type :=
| leaf : nattree A
| natnode : A -> (nat -> nattree A) -> nattree A.
```

Then every instantiated constructor of nattree A satisfies the nested positivity condition for nattree:

- Type nattree A of constructor leaf satisfies the positivity condition for nattree because nattree does not appear in any (real) arguments of the type of that constructor (primarily because nattree does not have any (real) arguments) ... (bullet 1)
- Type A $\rightarrow$ (nat $\rightarrow$ nattree $A) \rightarrow$ nattree $A$ of constructor natnode satisfies the positivity condition for nattree because:
- nattree occurs only strictly positively in A ... (bullet 1 )
- nattree occurs only strictly positively in nat $\rightarrow$ nattree A... (bullet $3+2$ )
- nattree satisfies the positivity condition for nattree A... (bullet 1 )


## Correctness rules

We shall now describe the rules allowing the introduction of a new inductive definition.
Let $E$ be a global environment and $\Gamma_{P}, \Gamma_{I}, \Gamma_{C}$ be contexts such that $\Gamma_{I}$ is $\left[I_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{1} ; \ldots ; I_{k}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{k}\right]$, and $\Gamma_{C}$ is $\left[c_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{n}\right]$. Then

## W-Ind

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)\left[\Gamma_{P}\right] \quad\left(E\left[\Gamma_{I} ; \Gamma_{P}\right] \vdash C_{i}: s_{q_{i}}\right)_{i=1 \ldots n}}{\mathcal{W F} \mathcal{F}\left(E ; \text { Ind }[l]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)[]}
$$

provided that the following side conditions hold:

- $k>0$ and all of $I_{j}$ and $c_{i}$ are distinct names for $j=1 \ldots k$ and $i=1 \ldots n$,
- $l$ is the size of $\Gamma_{P}$ which is called the context of parameters,
- for $j=1 \ldots k$ we have that $A_{j}$ is an arity of sort $s_{j}$ and $I_{j} \notin E$,
- for $i=1 \ldots n$ we have that $C_{i}$ is a type of constructor of $I_{q_{i}}$ which satisfies the positivity condition for $I_{1} \ldots I_{k}$ and $c_{i} \notin E$.

One can remark that there is a constraint between the sort of the arity of the inductive type and the sort of the type of its constructors which will always be satisfied for the impredicative sorts SProp and Prop but may fail to define inductive type on sort Set and generate constraints between universes for inductive types in the Type hierarchy.

## Example

It is well known that the existential quantifier can be encoded as an inductive definition. The following declaration introduces the second-order existential quantifier $\exists X . P(X)$.

```
Inductive exProp (P:Prop->Prop) : Prop :=
| exP_intro : forall X:Prop, P X -> exProp P.
```

The same definition on Set is not allowed and fails:

```
Fail Inductive exSet (P:Set->Prop) : Set :=
exS_intro : forall X:Set, P X -> exSet P.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Large non-propositional inductive types must be in Type.
```

It is possible to declare the same inductive definition in the universe Type. The extype inductive definition has type (Type $(i) \rightarrow$ Prop $) \rightarrow \operatorname{Type}(j)$ with the constraint that the parameter $X$ of $\mathrm{exT}_{\text {intro }}$ has type Type $(k)$ with $k<j$ and $k \leq i$.

```
Inductive exType (P:Type->Prop) : Type :=
exT_intro : forall X:Type, P X -> exType P.
    exType is defined
    exType_rect is defined
    exType_ind is defined
    exType_rec is defined
    exType_sind is defined
```


## Example: Negative occurrence (first example)

The following inductive definition is rejected because it does not satisfy the positivity condition:

```
Fail Inductive I : Prop := not_I_I (not_I : I -> False) : I.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Non strictly positive occurrence of "I" in "(I -> False) -> I".
```

If we were to accept such definition, we could derive a contradiction from it (we can test this by disabling the Positivity Checking flag):

```
Definition I_not_I : I -> ~ I := fun i =>
    match i with not_I_I not_I => not_I end.
        I_not_I is defined
Lemma contradiction : False.
Proof.
    enough (I /\ ~ I) as [] by contradiction.
    split.
    - apply not_I_I.
        intro.
        now apply I_not_I.
    - intro.
        now apply I_not_I.
qed.
```


## Example: Negative occurrence (second example)

Here is another example of an inductive definition which is rejected because it does not satify the positivity condition:

```
Fail Inductive Lam := lam (_ : Lam -> Lam).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Non strictly positive occurrence of "Lam" in "(Lam -> Lam) -> Lam".
```

Again, if we were to accept it, we could derive a contradiction (this time through a non-terminating recursive function):

```
Fixpoint infinite_loop l : False :=
    match l with lam x => infinite_loop (x l) end.
        infinite_loop is defined
        infinite_loop is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
Check infinite_loop (lam (@id Lam)) : False.
    infinite_loop (lam (id (A:=Lam))) : False
            : False
```


## Example: Non strictly positive occurrence

It is less obvious why inductive type definitions with occurences that are positive but not strictly positive are harmful. We will see that in presence of an impredicative type they are unsound:

```
Fail Inductive A: Type := introA: ((A -> Prop) -> Prop) -> A.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Non strictly positive occurrence of "A" in "((A -> Prop) -> Prop) -> A".
```

If we were to accept this definition we could derive a contradiction by creating an injective function from $A \rightarrow$ Prop to A.

This function is defined by composing the injective constructor of the type $A$ with the function $\lambda x . \lambda z . z=x$ injecting any type $T$ into $T \rightarrow$ Prop.

```
Definition f (x: A -> Prop): A := introA (fun z => z = x).
    f is defined
Lemma f_inj: forall x y, f x = f y -> x = y.
Proof.
    unfold f; intros ? ? H; injection H.
    set (F := fun z => z = y); intro HF.
    symmetry; replace ( }\textrm{y}=\textrm{x}\mathrm{ ) with (F y).
    + unfold F; reflexivity.
    + rewrite <- HF; reflexivity.
qed.
```

The type $A \rightarrow$ Prop can be understood as the powerset of the type $A$. To derive a contradiction from the injective function $f$ we use Cantor's classic diagonal argument.

```
Definition d: A >> Prop := fun x => exists s, x = f S /\ ~ S x.
    d is defined
Definition fd: A := f d.
    fd is defined
Lemma cantor: (d fd) <-> ~ (d fd).
Proof.
    split.
    + intros [s [H1 H2]]; unfold fd in H1.
            replace d with s.
            * assumption.
            * apply f_inj; congruence.
    + intro; exists d; tauto.
ged.
Lemma bad: False.
Proof.
    pose cantor; tauto.
Qed.
```

This derivation was first presented by Thierry Coquand and Christine Paulin in [CP90].

## Template polymorphism

Inductive types can be made polymorphic over the universes introduced by their parameters in Type, if the minimal inferred sort of the inductive declarations either mention some of those parameter universes or is computed to be Prop or Set.

If $A$ is an arity of some sort and $s$ is a sort, we write $A_{/ s}$ for the arity obtained from $A$ by replacing its sort with $s$. Especially, if $A$ is well-typed in some global environment and local context, then $A_{/ s}$ is typable by typability of all products in the Calculus of Inductive Constructions. The following typing rule is added to the theory.

Let Ind $[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ be an inductive definition. Let $\Gamma_{P}=\left[p_{1}: P_{1} ; \ldots ; p_{p}: P_{p}\right]$ be its context of parameters, $\Gamma_{I}=\left[I_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{1} ; \ldots ; I_{k}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{k}\right]$ its context of definitions and $\Gamma_{C}=\left[c_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{n}\right]$ its context of constructors, with $c_{i}$ a constructor of $I_{q_{i}}$. Let $m \leq p$ be the length of the longest prefix of parameters such that the $m$ first arguments of all occurrences of all $I_{j}$ in all $C_{k}$ (even the occurrences in the hypotheses of $C_{k}$ ) are
exactly applied to $p_{1} \ldots p_{m}$ ( $m$ is the number of recursively uniform parameters and the $p-m$ remaining parameters are the recursively non-uniform parameters). Let $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{r}$, with $0 \leq r \leq m$, be a (possibly) partial instantiation of the recursively uniform parameters of $\Gamma_{P}$. We have:

## Ind-Family

provided that the following side conditions hold:

- $\Gamma_{P^{\prime}}$ is the context obtained from $\Gamma_{P}$ by replacing each $P_{l}$ that is an arity with $P_{l}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq l \leq r$ (notice that $P_{l}$ arity implies $P_{l}^{\prime}$ arity since $\left.E[] \vdash P_{l}^{\prime} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} P_{l}\left\{p_{u} / q_{u}\right\}_{u=1 \ldots l-1}\right)$;
- there are sorts $s_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that, for $\Gamma_{I^{\prime}}=\left[I_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P^{\prime}},\left(A_{1}\right)_{/ s_{1}} ; \ldots ; I_{k}: \forall \Gamma_{P^{\prime}},\left(A_{k}\right)_{/ s_{k}}\right]$ we have $\left(E\left[\Gamma_{I^{\prime}} ; \Gamma_{P^{\prime}}\right] \vdash C_{i}: s_{q_{i}}\right)_{i=1 \ldots n} ;$
- the sorts $s_{i}$ are all introduced by the inductive declaration and have no universe constraints beside being greater than or equal to Prop, and such that all eliminations, to Prop, Set and Type ( $j$ ), are allowed (see Section Destructors).
Notice that if $I_{j} q_{1} \ldots q_{r}$ is typable using the rules Ind-Const and App, then it is typable using the rule Ind-Family. Conversely, the extended theory is not stronger than the theory without Ind-Family. We get an equiconsistency result by mapping each Ind $[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ occurring into a given derivation into as many different inductive types and constructors as the number of different (partial) replacements of sorts, needed for this derivation, in the parameters that are arities (this is possible because Ind $[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ well-formed implies that Ind $[p]\left(\Gamma_{I^{\prime}}:=\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ is well-formed and has the same allowed eliminations, where $\Gamma_{I^{\prime}}$ is defined as above and $\left.\Gamma_{C^{\prime}}=\left[c_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P^{\prime}}, C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: \forall \Gamma_{P^{\prime}}, C_{n}\right]\right)$. That is, the changes in the types of each partial instance $q_{1} \ldots q_{r}$ can be characterized by the ordered sets of arity sorts among the types of parameters, and to each signature is associated a new inductive definition with fresh names. Conversion is preserved as any (partial) instance $I_{j} q_{1} \ldots q_{r}$ or $C_{i} q_{1} \ldots q_{r}$ is mapped to the names chosen in the specific instance of Ind $[p]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$.

Warning: The restriction that sorts are introduced by the inductive declaration prevents inductive types declared in sections to be template-polymorphic on universes introduced previously in the section: they cannot parameterize over the universes introduced with section variables that become parameters at section closing time, as these may be shared with other definitions from the same section which can impose constraints on them.

## Flag: Auto Template Polymorphism

This flag, enabled by default, makes every inductive type declared at level Type (without annotations or hiding it behind a definition) template polymorphic if possible.

This can be prevented using the universes (template=no) attribute.
Template polymorphism and full universe polymorphism (see Chapter Polymorphic Universes) are incompatible, so if the latter is enabled (through the Universe Polymorphismflag or the universes (polymorphic) attribute) it will prevail over automatic template polymorphism.
Warning: Automatically declaring ident as template polymorphic.
Warning auto-template can be used (it is off by default) to find which types are implicitly declared template polymorphic by Auto Template Polymorphism.

An inductive type can be forced to be template polymorphic using the universes (template) attribute: in this case, the warning is not emitted.

Attribute: universes (template $=$ yes no ${ }^{\text {? }}$ )
This boolean attribute can be used to explicitly declare an inductive type as template polymorphic, whether the Auto Template Polymorphism flag is on or off.

## Error: template and polymorphism not compatible

This attribute cannot be used in a full universe polymorphic context, i.e. if the Universe Polymorphismflag is on or if the universes (polymorphic) attribute is used.

Error: Ill-formed template inductive declaration: not polymorphic on any universe. The attribute was used but the inductive definition does not satisfy the criterion to be template polymorphic.

When universes (template=no) is used, it will prevent an inductive type to be template polymorphic, even if the Auto Template Polymorphism flag is on.

In practice, the rule Ind-Family is used by Coq only when there is only one inductive type in the inductive definition and it is declared with an arity whose sort is in the Type hierarchy. Then, the polymorphism is over the parameters whose type is an arity of sort in the Type hierarchy. The sorts $s_{j}$ are chosen canonically so that each $s_{j}$ is minimal with respect to the hierarchy Prop $\subset \operatorname{Set}_{p} \subset$ Type where $\operatorname{Set}_{p}$ is predicative Set. More precisely, an empty or small singleton inductive definition (i.e. an inductive definition of which all inductive types are singleton - see Section Destructors) is set in Prop, a small non-singleton inductive type is set in Set (even in case Set is impredicative - see The Calculus of Inductive Constructions with impredicative Set), and otherwise in the Type hierarchy.

Note that the side-condition about allowed elimination sorts in the rule Ind-Family avoids to recompute the allowed elimination sorts at each instance of a pattern matching (see Section Destructors). As an example, let us consider the following definition:

## Example

```
Inductive option (A:Type) : Type :=
| None : option A
| Some : A -> option A.
```

As the definition is set in the Type hierarchy, it is used polymorphically over its parameters whose types are arities of a sort in the Type hierarchy. Here, the parameter $A$ has this property, hence, if option is applied to a type in Set, the result is in Set. Note that if option is applied to a type in Prop, then, the result is not set in Prop but in Set still. This is because option is not a singleton type (see Section Destructors) and it would lose the elimination to Set and Type if set in Prop.

## Example

```
Check (fun A:Set => option A).
    fun A : Set => option A
        : Set -> Set
Check (fun A:Prop => option A).
    fun A : Prop => option A
        : Prop -> Set
```

Here is another example.

## Example

```
Inductive prod (A B:Type) : Type := pair : A -> B -> prod A B.
```

As prod is a singleton type, it will be in Prop if applied twice to propositions, in Set if applied twice to at least one type in Set and none in Type, and in Type otherwise. In all cases, the three kind of eliminations schemes are allowed.

## Example

```
Check (fun A:Set => prod A).
        fun A : Set => prod A
            : Set -> Type -> Type
Check (fun A:Prop => prod A A).
        fun A : Prop => prod A A
        : Prop -> Prop
Check (fun (A:Prop) (B:Set) => prod A B).
        fun (A : Prop) (B : Set) => prod A B
            : Prop -> Set -> Set
Check (fun (A:Type) (B:Prop) => prod A B).
        fun (A : Type) (B : Prop) => prod A B
            : Type -> Prop -> Type
```

Note: Template polymorphism used to be called "sort-polymorphism of inductive types" before universe polymorphism (see Chapter Polymorphic Universes) was introduced.

## Destructors

The specification of inductive definitions with arities and constructors is quite natural. But we still have to say how to use an object in an inductive type.

This problem is rather delicate. There are actually several different ways to do that. Some of them are logically equivalent but not always equivalent from the computational point of view or from the user point of view.

From the computational point of view, we want to be able to define a function whose domain is an inductively defined type by using a combination of case analysis over the possible constructors of the object and recursion.

Because we need to keep a consistent theory and also we prefer to keep a strongly normalizing reduction, we cannot accept any sort of recursion (even terminating). So the basic idea is to restrict ourselves to primitive recursive functions and functionals.

For instance, assuming a parameter $A$ : Set exists in the local context, we want to build a function length of type list $A \rightarrow$ nat which computes the length of the list, such that $($ length $($ nil $A))=\mathrm{O}$ and $($ length $($ cons $A$ al $))=(\mathrm{S}($ length $l))$. We want these equalities to be recognized implicitly and taken into account in the conversion rule.

From the logical point of view, we have built a type family by giving a set of constructors. We want to capture the fact that we do not have any other way to build an object in this type. So when trying to prove a property about an object $m$ in an inductive type it is enough to enumerate all the cases where $m$ starts with a different constructor.

In case the inductive definition is effectively a recursive one, we want to capture the extra property that we have built the smallest fixed point of this recursive equation. This says that we are only manipulating finite objects. This analysis provides induction principles. For instance, in order to prove $\forall l$ : list $A$, (has_length $A l$ (length $l)$ ) it is enough to prove:

- (has_length $A($ nil $A)($ length $($ nil $A)))$
- $\forall a: A, \forall l:$ list $A$, (has_length $A l($ length $l)) \rightarrow($ has_length $A($ cons $A$ al) $($ length $($ cons $A a l)))$
which given the conversion equalities satisfied by length is the same as proving:
- (has_length $A$ (nil $A$ ) O)
- $\forall a: A, \forall l:$ list $A$, (has_length $A l$ (length $l)) \rightarrow($ has_length $A$ (cons $A$ al) $(\mathbf{S}$ (length $l))$ )

One conceptually simple way to do that, following the basic scheme proposed by Martin-Löf in his Intuitionistic Type Theory, is to introduce for each inductive definition an elimination operator. At the logical level it is a proof of the usual induction principle and at the computational level it implements a generic operator for doing primitive recursion over the structure.
But this operator is rather tedious to implement and use. We choose in this version of Coq to factorize the operator for primitive recursion into two more primitive operations as was first suggested by Th. Coquand in [Coq92]. One is the definition by pattern matching. The second one is a definition by guarded fixpoints.

## The match ... with ... end construction

The basic idea of this operator is that we have an object $m$ in an inductive type $I$ and we want to prove a property which possibly depends on $m$. For this, it is enough to prove the property for $m=\left(c_{i} u_{1} \ldots u_{p_{i}}\right)$ for each constructor of $I$. The Coq term for this proof will be written:

$$
\text { match } m \text { with }\left(c_{1} x_{11} \ldots x_{1 p_{1}}\right) \Rightarrow f_{1}|\ldots|\left(c_{n} x_{n 1} \ldots x_{n p_{n}}\right) \Rightarrow f_{n} \text { end }
$$

In this expression, if $m$ eventually happens to evaluate to $\left(c_{i} u_{1} \ldots u_{p_{i}}\right)$ then the expression will behave as specified in its $i$-th branch and it will reduce to $f_{i}$ where the $x_{i 1} \ldots x_{i p_{i}}$ are replaced by the $u_{1} \ldots u_{p_{i}}$ according to the t -reduction.
Actually, for type checking a match...with...end expression we also need to know the predicate $P$ to be proved by case analysis. In the general case where $I$ is an inductively defined $n$-ary relation, $P$ is a predicate over $n+1$ arguments: the $n$ first ones correspond to the arguments of $I$ (parameters excluded), and the last one corresponds to object $m$. Coq can sometimes infer this predicate but sometimes not. The concrete syntax for describing this predicate uses the as...in...return construction. For instance, let us assume that $I$ is an unary predicate with one parameter and one argument. The predicate is made explicit using the syntax:

$$
\text { match } m \text { as } x \text { in } I_{-} a \text { return } P \text { with }\left(c_{1} x_{11} \ldots x_{1 p_{1}}\right) \Rightarrow f_{1}|\ldots|\left(c_{n} x_{n 1} \ldots x_{n p_{n}}\right) \Rightarrow f_{n} \text { end }
$$

The as part can be omitted if either the result type does not depend on $m$ (non-dependent elimination) or $m$ is a variable (in this case, $m$ can occur in $P$ where it is considered a bound variable). The in part can be omitted if the result type does not depend on the arguments of $I$. Note that the arguments of $I$ corresponding to parameters must be _, because the result type is not generalized to all possible values of the parameters. The other arguments of $I$ (sometimes called indices in the literature) have to be variables ( $a$ above) and these variables can occur in $P$. The expression after in must be seen as an inductive type pattern. Notice that expansion of implicit arguments and notations apply to this pattern. For the purpose of presenting the inference rules, we use a more compact notation:

$$
\operatorname{case}\left(m,(\lambda a x . P), \lambda x_{11} \ldots x_{1 p_{1}} \cdot f_{1}|\ldots| \lambda x_{n 1} \ldots x_{n p_{n}} \cdot f_{n}\right)
$$

Allowed elimination sorts. An important question for building the typing rule for match is what can be the type of $\lambda a x . P$ with respect to the type of $m$. If $m: I$ and $I: A$ and $\lambda a x . P: B$ then by $[I: A \mid B]$ we mean that one can use $\lambda a x . P$ with $m$ in the above match-construct.
Notations. The $[I: A \mid B]$ is defined as the smallest relation satisfying the following rules: We write $[I \mid B]$ for $[I: A \mid B]$ where $A$ is the type of $I$.

The case of inductive types in sorts Set or Type is simple. There is no restriction on the sort of the predicate to be eliminated.

## Prod

$$
\frac{\left[(I x): A^{\prime} \mid B^{\prime}\right]}{\left[I: \forall x: A, A^{\prime} \mid \forall x: A, B^{\prime}\right]}
$$

## Set \& Type

$$
\frac{s_{1} \in\{\text { Set, } \operatorname{Type}(j)\}}{\left[I: s_{1} \mid I \rightarrow s_{2}\right]} \quad s_{2} \in \mathcal{S},
$$

The case of Inductive definitions of sort Prop is a bit more complicated, because of our interpretation of this sort. The only harmless allowed eliminations, are the ones when predicate $P$ is also of sort Prop or is of the morally smaller sort SProp.

## Prop

$$
\frac{s \in\{\text { SProp, Prop }\}}{[I: \operatorname{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow s]}
$$

Prop is the type of logical propositions, the proofs of properties $P$ in Prop could not be used for computation and are consequently ignored by the extraction mechanism. Assume $A$ and $B$ are two propositions, and the logical disjunction $A \vee B$ is defined inductively by:

## Example

```
Inductive or (A B:Prop) : Prop :=
or_introl : A -> or A B | or_intror : B -> or A B.
```

The following definition which computes a boolean value by case over the proof of or A B is not accepted:

```
Example
Fail Definition choice (A B: Prop) (x:or A B) :=
match x with or_introl _ _ a => true | or_intror _ _ b => false end.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Incorrect elimination of "x" in the inductive type "or":
    the return type has sort "Set" while it should be "SProp" or "Prop".
    Elimination of an inductive object of sort Prop
    is not allowed on a predicate in sort Set
    because proofs can be eliminated only to build proofs.
```

From the computational point of view, the structure of the proof of (or $A B$ ) in this term is needed for computing the boolean value.
In general, if $I$ has type Prop then $P$ cannot have type $I \rightarrow$ Set, because it will mean to build an informative proof of type $(P m$ ) doing a case analysis over a non-computational object that will disappear in the extracted program. But the other way is safe with respect to our interpretation we can have $I$ a computational object and $P$ a non-computational one, it just corresponds to proving a logical property of a computational object.
In the same spirit, elimination on $P$ of type $I \rightarrow$ Type cannot be allowed because it trivially implies the elimination on $P$ of type $I \rightarrow$ Set by cumulativity. It also implies that there are two proofs of the same property which are provably different, contradicting the proof-irrelevance property which is sometimes a useful axiom:

## Example

```
Axiom proof_irrelevance : forall (P : Prop) (x y : P), x=y.
    proof_irrelevance is declared
```

The elimination of an inductive type of sort Prop on a predicate $P$ of type $I \rightarrow$ Type leads to a paradox when applied to impredicative inductive definition like the second-order existential quantifier exProp defined above, because it gives access to the two projections on this type.

Empty and singleton elimination. There are special inductive definitions in Prop for which more eliminations are allowed.

## Prop-extended

$$
\frac{I \text { is an empty or singleton definition } \quad s \in \mathcal{S}}{[I: \operatorname{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow s]}
$$

A singleton definition has only one constructor and all the arguments of this constructor have type Prop. In that case, there is a canonical way to interpret the informative extraction on an object in that type, such that the elimination on any sort $s$ is legal. Typical examples are the conjunction of non-informative propositions and the equality. If there is a hypothesis $h: a=b$ in the local context, it can be used for rewriting not only in logical propositions but also in any type.

```
Example
Print eq_rec.
    eq_rec =
    fun (A : Type) (x : A) (P : A }->\mathrm{ Set) => eq_rect x P
            : forall (A : Type) (x : A) (P : A -> Set),
                P x -> forall a : A, x = a -> P a
    Arguments eq_rec [A]%type_scope x P%function_scope f y e
        (where some original arguments have been renamed)
Require Extraction.
    [Loading ML file extraction_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
Extraction eq_rec.
    (** val eq_rec : 'a1 -> 'a2 -> 'a1 -> 'a2 **)
    let eq_rec _ f _ =
        f
```

An empty definition has no constructors, in that case also, elimination on any sort is allowed.
Inductive types in SProp must have no constructors (i.e. be empty) to be eliminated to produce relevant values.
Note that thanks to proof irrelevance elimination functions can be produced for other types, for instance the elimination for a unit type is the identity.

Type of branches. Let $c$ be a term of type $C$, we assume $C$ is a type of constructor for an inductive type $I$. Let $P$ be a term that represents the property to be proved. We assume $r$ is the number of parameters and $s$ is the number of arguments.
We define a new type $\{c: C\}^{P}$ which represents the type of the branch corresponding to the $c: C$ constructor.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{c:\left(I q_{1} \ldots q_{r} t_{1} \ldots t_{s}\right)\right\}^{P} & \equiv\left(P t_{1} \ldots t_{s} c\right) \\
\{c: \forall x: T, C\}^{P} & \equiv \forall x: T,\{(c x): C\}^{P}
\end{array}
$$

We write $\{c\}^{P}$ for $\{c: C\}^{P}$ with $C$ the type of $c$.

## Example

The following term in concrete syntax:

```
match t as l return P' with
| nil _ => t1
| cons _ hd tl => t2
end
```

can be represented in abstract syntax as

$$
\operatorname{case}\left(t, P, f_{1} \mid f_{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\lambda l \cdot P^{\prime} \\
f_{1} & =t_{1} \\
f_{2} & =\lambda(h d: \text { nat }) \cdot \lambda(t l: \text { list nat }) \cdot t_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the definition:

$$
\{(\text { nil nat })\}^{P} \equiv\{(\text { nil nat }):(\text { list nat })\}^{P} \equiv(P(\text { nil nat }))
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(\text { cons nat })\}^{P} & \equiv\{(\text { cons nat }):(\text { nat } \rightarrow \text { list nat } \rightarrow \text { list nat })\}^{P} \\
& \equiv \forall n: \text { nat, }\{(\text { cons nat } n):(\text { list nat } \rightarrow \text { list nat })\}^{P} \\
& \equiv \forall n: \text { nat, } \forall l: \text { list nat, }\{(\text { cons nat } n l):(\text { list nat })\}^{P} \\
& \equiv \forall n: \text { nat, } \forall l: \text { list nat, }(P(\text { cons nat } n l))
\end{aligned}
$$

Given some $P$ then $\{(\text { nil nat })\}^{P}$ represents the expected type of $f_{1}$, and $\{(\text { cons nat })\}^{P}$ represents the expected type of $f_{2}$.

Typing rule. Our very general destructor for inductive definitions has the following typing rule match

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash c:(\Gamma] \vdash P: B \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \left.\left(I q_{1} \ldots q_{r}\right) \mid B\right] \\
& \left.\hline E[\Gamma] \vdash f_{i}:\left\{\left(c_{p_{i}} q_{1} \ldots q_{r}\right)\right\}_{1} \ldots t_{s}\right) \\
& \hline \operatorname{case}\left(c, P, f_{1}|\ldots| f_{l}\right):\left(P t_{1} \ldots t_{s} c\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $I$ is an inductive type in a definition Ind $[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ with $\Gamma_{C}=\left[c_{1}: C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: C_{n}\right]$ and $c_{p_{1}} \ldots c_{p_{l}}$ are the only constructors of $I$.

## Example

Below is a typing rule for the term shown in the previous example:

## list example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E[\Gamma] \vdash t:(\text { list nat }) \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash P: B \\
& {[(\text { list nat }) \mid B]} \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash f_{1}:\{(\text { nil nat })\}^{P} \\
& E[\Gamma] \vdash f_{2}:\{(\text { cons nat })\}^{P} \\
& \hline \vdash \text { case }\left(t, P, f_{1} \mid f_{2}\right):(P t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition of t-reduction. We still have to define the t-reduction in the general case.
An t-redex is a term of the following form:

$$
\operatorname{case}\left(\left(c_{p_{i}} q_{1} \ldots q_{r} a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right), P, f_{1}|\ldots| f_{l}\right)
$$

with $c_{p_{i}}$ the $i$-th constructor of the inductive type $I$ with $r$ parameters.
The t-contraction of this term is $\left(f_{i} a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right)$ leading to the general reduction rule:

$$
\operatorname{case}\left(\left(c_{p_{i}} q_{1} \ldots q_{r} a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right), P, f_{1}|\ldots| f_{l}\right) \triangleright_{\iota}\left(f_{i} a_{1} \ldots a_{m}\right)
$$

## Fixpoint definitions

The second operator for elimination is fixpoint definition. This fixpoint may involve several mutually recursive definitions. The basic concrete syntax for a recursive set of mutually recursive declarations is (with $\Gamma_{i}$ contexts):

$$
\text { fix } f_{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right): A_{1}:=t_{1} \text { with... with } f_{n}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right): A_{n}:=t_{n}
$$

The terms are obtained by projections from this set of declarations and are written

$$
\text { fix } f_{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right): A_{1}:=t_{1} \text { with...with } f_{n}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right): A_{n}:=t_{n} \text { for } f_{i}
$$

In the inference rules, we represent such a term by

$$
\operatorname{Fix} f_{i}\left\{f_{1}: A_{1}^{\prime}:=t_{1}^{\prime} \ldots f_{n}: A_{n}^{\prime}:=t_{n}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

with $t_{i}^{\prime}$ (resp. $A_{i}^{\prime}$ ) representing the term $t_{i}$ abstracted (resp. generalized) with respect to the bindings in the context $\Gamma_{i}$, namely $t_{i}^{\prime}=\lambda \Gamma_{i} . t_{i}$ and $A_{i}^{\prime}=\forall \Gamma_{i}, A_{i}$.

## Typing rule

The typing rule is the expected one for a fixpoint.
Fix

$$
\frac{\left(E[\Gamma] \vdash A_{i}: s_{i}\right)_{i=1 \ldots n}\left(E\left[\Gamma ; f_{1}: A_{1} ; \ldots ; f_{n}: A_{n}\right] \vdash t_{i}: A_{i}\right)_{i=1 \ldots n}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \operatorname{Fix} f_{i}\left\{f_{1}: A_{1}:=t_{1} \ldots f_{n}: A_{n}:=t_{n}\right\}: A_{i}}
$$

Any fixpoint definition cannot be accepted because non-normalizing terms allow proofs of absurdity. The basic scheme of recursion that should be allowed is the one needed for defining primitive recursive functionals. In that case the fixpoint enjoys a special syntactic restriction, namely one of the arguments belongs to an inductive type, the function starts with a case analysis and recursive calls are done on variables coming from patterns and representing subterms. For instance in the case of natural numbers, a proof of the induction principle of type

$$
\forall P: \text { nat } \rightarrow \operatorname{Prop},(P \mathrm{O}) \rightarrow(\forall n: \text { nat, }(P n) \rightarrow(P(\mathrm{~S} n))) \rightarrow \forall n: \text { nat, }(P n)
$$

can be represented by the term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda P: \text { nat } \rightarrow \text { Prop. } \lambda f:(P \mathrm{O}) . \lambda g:(\forall n: \text { nat, }(P n) \rightarrow(P(\mathrm{~S} n))) . \\
& \text { Fix } h\{h: \forall n: \text { nat, }(P n):=\lambda n: \text { nat. case }(n, P, f \mid \lambda p: \text { nat. }(g p(h p)))\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before accepting a fixpoint definition as being correctly typed, we check that the definition is "guarded". A precise analysis of this notion can be found in [Gimenez94]. The first stage is to precise on which argument the fixpoint will be decreasing. The type of this argument should be an inductive type. For doing this, the syntax of fixpoints is extended and becomes

$$
\operatorname{Fix} f_{i}\left\{f_{1} / k_{1}: A_{1}:=t_{1} \ldots f_{n} / k_{n}: A_{n}:=t_{n}\right\}
$$

where $k_{i}$ are positive integers. Each $k_{i}$ represents the index of parameter of $f_{i}$, on which $f_{i}$ is decreasing. Each $A_{i}$ should be a type (reducible to a term) starting with at least $k_{i}$ products $\forall y_{1}: B_{1}, \ldots \forall y_{k_{i}}: B_{k_{i}}, A_{i}^{\prime}$ and $B_{k_{i}}$ an inductive type.

Now in the definition $t_{i}$, if $f_{j}$ occurs then it should be applied to at least $k_{j}$ arguments and the $k_{j}$-th argument should be syntactically recognized as structurally smaller than $y_{k_{i}}$.

The definition of being structurally smaller is a bit technical. One needs first to define the notion of recursive arguments of a constructor. For an inductive definition Ind $[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$, if the type of a constructor $c$ has the form $\forall p_{1}$ : $P_{1}, \ldots \forall p_{r}: P_{r}, \forall x_{1}: T_{1}, \ldots \forall x_{m}: T_{m},\left(I_{j} p_{1} \ldots p_{r} t_{1} \ldots t_{s}\right)$, then the recursive arguments will correspond to $T_{i}$ in which one of the $I_{l}$ occurs.

The main rules for being structurally smaller are the following. Given a variable $y$ of an inductively defined type in a declaration Ind $[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ where $\Gamma_{I}$ is $\left[I_{1}: A_{1} ; \ldots ; I_{k}: A_{k}\right]$, and $\Gamma_{C}$ is $\left[c_{1}: C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: C_{n}\right]$, the terms structurally smaller than $y$ are:

- $(t u)$ and $\lambda x: U . t$ when $t$ is structurally smaller than $y$.
- case $\left(c, P, f_{1} \ldots f_{n}\right)$ when each $f_{i}$ is structurally smaller than $y$. If $c$ is $y$ or is structurally smaller than $y$, its type is an inductive type $I_{p}$ part of the inductive definition corresponding to $y$. Each $f_{i}$ corresponds to a type of constructor $C_{q} \equiv \forall p_{1}: P_{1}, \ldots, \forall p_{r}: P_{r}, \forall y_{1}: B_{1}, \ldots \forall y_{m}: B_{m},\left(I_{p} p_{1} \ldots p_{r} t_{1} \ldots t_{s}\right)$ and can consequently be written $\lambda y_{1}: B_{1}^{\prime} \ldots \lambda y_{m}: B_{m}^{\prime} . g_{i}$. ( $B_{i}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $B_{i}$ by substituting parameters for variables) the variables $y_{j}$ occurring in $g_{i}$ corresponding to recursive arguments $B_{i}$ (the ones in which one of the $I_{l}$ occurs) are structurally smaller than $y$.

The following definitions are correct, we enter them using the Fixpoint command and show the internal representation.

## Example

```
Fixpoint plus (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
match n with
| O => m
| S p => S (plus p m)
end.
    plus is defined
    plus is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
Print plus.
    plus =
    fix plus (n m : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
        match n with
        | 0 => m
        | S p => S (plus p m)
        end
            : nat -> nat -> nat
        Arguments plus (n m)%nat_scope
Fixpoint lgth (A:Set) (l:list A) {struct l} : nat :=
match l with
| nil _ => O
| cons _ a l' => S (lgth A l')
```

```
end.
    lgth is defined
    lgth is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)
Print lgth.
    lgth =
    fix lgth (A : Set) (l : list A) {struct l} : nat :=
        match l with
        | nil _ => 0
        | cons _ _ l' => S (lgth A l')
        end
            : forall A : Set, list A }->\mathrm{ nat
    Arguments lgth A%type_scope l
Fixpoint sizet (t:tree) : nat := let (f) := t in S (sizef f)
with sizef (f:forest) : nat :=
match f with
| emptyf => O
| consf t f => plus (sizet t) (sizef f)
end.
    sizet is defined
    sizef is defined
    sizet, sizef are recursively defined (guarded respectively on 1st,
    1st arguments)
Print sizet.
    sizet =
    fix sizet (t : tree) : nat :=
        let (f) := t in S (sizef f)
    with sizef (f : forest) : nat :=
        match f with
        | emptyf => 0
        | consf t f0 => plus (sizet t) (sizef f0)
        end
    for
    sizet
            : tree -> nat
    Arguments sizet t
```


## Reduction rule

Let $F$ be the set of declarations: $f_{1} / k_{1}: A_{1}:=t_{1} \ldots f_{n} / k_{n}: A_{n}:=t_{n}$. The reduction for fixpoints is:

$$
\left(\operatorname{Fix} f_{i}\{F\} a_{1} \ldots a_{k_{i}}\right) D_{\iota} t_{i}\left\{f_{k} / \operatorname{Fix} f_{k}\{F\}\right\}_{k=1 \ldots n} a_{1} \ldots a_{k_{i}}
$$

when $a_{k_{i}}$ starts with a constructor. This last restriction is needed in order to keep strong normalization and corresponds to the reduction for primitive recursive operators. The following reductions are now possible:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { plus (S (S O)) (S O) } \quad D_{\imath} \quad \mathrm{S}(\text { plus (S O) (S O)) } \\
& D_{\iota} \quad S(S(\text { plus } O(S O))) \\
& D_{\iota} \quad S(S(S O))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mutual induction

The principles of mutual induction can be automatically generated using the Scheme command described in Section Generation of induction principles with Scheme.

### 2.1.10 Coinductive types and corecursive functions

## Coinductive types

The objects of an inductive type are well-founded with respect to the constructors of the type. In other words, such objects contain only a finite number of constructors. Coinductive types arise from relaxing this condition, and admitting types whose objects contain an infinity of constructors. Infinite objects are introduced by a non-ending (but effective) process of construction, defined in terms of the constructors of the type.

More information on coinductive definitions can be found in [Gimenez95][Gimenez98][GimenezCasteran05].
Command: CoInductive inductive_definition with inductive_definition **
Command: CoInductive record_definition with record_definition ${ }^{*}$
This command introduces a coinductive type. The syntax of the command is the same as the command Inductive. No principle of induction is derived from the definition of a coinductive type, since such principles only make sense for inductive types. For coinductive types, the only elimination principle is case analysis.

This command supports the universes(polymorphic), universes(template), universes(cumulative), private(matching), bypass_check(universes), bypass_check(positivity) and using attributes.

When record syntax is used, this command also supports the projections (primitive) attribute.

## Example

The type of infinite sequences of natural numbers, usually called streams, is an example of a coinductive type.

```
CoInductive Stream : Set := Seq : nat -> Stream -> Stream.
```

The usual destructors on streams hd: Stream->nat and tl : Str->Str can be defined as follows:

```
Definition hd (x:Stream) := let (a,s) := x in a.
Definition tl (x:Stream) := let (a,s) := x in s.
```

Definitions of coinductive predicates and blocks of mutually coinductive definitions are also allowed.

## Example

The extensional equality on streams is an example of a coinductive type:

```
CoInductive EqSt : Stream -> Stream -> Prop :=
    eqst : forall s1 s2:Stream,
        hd s1 = hd s2 -> EqSt (tl s1) (tl s2) -> EqSt s1 s2.
```

In order to prove the extensional equality of two streams s1 and s2 we have to construct an infinite proof of equality, that is, an infinite object of type (EqSt s1 s2). We will see how to introduce infinite objects in Section Top-level definitions of corecursive functions.

## Caveat

The ability to define coinductive types by constructors, hereafter called positive coinductive types, is known to break subject reduction. The story is a bit long: this is due to dependent pattern-matching which implies propositional $\eta$-equality, which itself would require full $\eta$-conversion for subject reduction to hold, but full $\eta$-conversion is not acceptable as it would make type checking undecidable.

Since the introduction of primitive records in Coq 8.5 , an alternative presentation is available, called negative coinductive types. This consists in defining a coinductive type as a primitive record type through its projections. Such a technique is akin to the copattern style that can be found in e.g. Agda, and preserves subject reduction.
The above example can be rewritten in the following way.

```
Set Primitive Projections.
CoInductive Stream : Set := Seq { hd : nat; tl : Stream }.
    Stream is defined
    hd is defined
    tl is defined
CoInductive EqSt (s1 s2: Stream) : Prop := eqst {
    eqst_hd : hd s1 = hd s2;
    eqst_tl : EqSt (tl s1) (tl s2);
}.
        EqSt is defined
        eqst_hd is defined
        eqst_tl is defined
```

Some properties that hold over positive streams are lost when going to the negative presentation, typically when they imply equality over streams. For instance, propositional $\eta$-equality is lost when going to the negative presentation. It is nonetheless logically consistent to recover it through an axiom.

```
Axiom Stream_eta : forall s: Stream, s = Seq (hd s) (tl s).
    Stream_eta is declared
```

More generally, as in the case of positive coinductive types, it is consistent to further identify extensional equality of coinductive types with propositional equality:

```
Axiom Stream_ext : forall (s1 s2: Stream), EqSt s1 s2 -> s1 = s2.
    Stream_ext is declared
```

As of Coq 8.9, it is now advised to use negative coinductive types rather than their positive counterparts.

## See also:

Primitive Projections for more information about negative records and primitive projections.

## Co-recursive functions: cofix



The expression "cofix ident $_{1}$ binder $_{1}:$ type $_{1}$ with ... with ident ${ }_{n}$ binder $_{n}$ : type for ident ${ }_{i}$ " denotes the $i$-th component of a block of terms defined by a mutual guarded corecursion. It is the local counterpart of the CoFixpoint command. When $n=1$, the "for ident ${ }_{i}$ " clause is omitted.

## Top-level definitions of corecursive functions

## Command: CoFixpoint cofix_definition with cofix_definition * <br> cofix_definition $\quad::=$ ident_decl binder $^{*}:$ type ${ }^{\text {? }}:=$ term ${ }^{\text {? }}$ decl_notations ${ }^{\text {? }}$

This command introduces a method for constructing an infinite object of a coinductive type. For example, the stream containing all natural numbers can be introduced by applying the following method to the number $O$ (see Section Coinductive types for the definition of Stream, hd and $t 1$ ):

```
CoFixpoint from (n:nat) : Stream := Seq n (from (S n)).
    from is defined
    from is corecursively defined
```

Unlike recursive definitions, there is no decreasing argument in a corecursive definition. To be admissible, a method of construction must provide at least one extra constructor of the infinite object for each iteration. A syntactical guard condition is imposed on corecursive definitions in order to ensure this: each recursive call in the definition must be protected by at least one constructor, and only by constructors. That is the case in the former definition, where the single recursive call of from is guarded by an application of Seq. On the contrary, the following recursive function does not satisfy the guard condition:

```
Fail CoFixpoint filter (p:nat -> bool) (s:Stream) : Stream :=
    if p (hd s) then Seq (hd s) (filter p (tl s)) else filter p (tl s).
        The command has indeed failed with message:
        Recursive definition of filter is ill-formed.
        In environment
        filter : (nat -> bool) -> Stream -> Stream
        p : nat -> bool
        s : Stream
        Unguarded recursive call in "filter p (tl s)".
        Recursive definition is:
        "fun (p : nat -> bool) (s : Stream) =>
        if p (hd s)
        then {| hd := hd s; tl := filter p (tl s) |}
        else filter p (tl s)".
```

The elimination of corecursive definition is done lazily, i.e. the definition is expanded only when it occurs at the head of an application which is the argument of a case analysis expression. In any other context, it is considered as a canonical expression which is completely evaluated. We can test this using the command Eval, which computes the normal forms of a term:

```
Eval compute in (from 0).
    =(cofix from (n : nat) : Stream := {| hd := n; tl := from (S n) |}) 0
        : Stream
Eval compute in (hd (from 0)).
    = 0
        : nat
Eval compute in (tl (from 0)).
    =(cofix from (n : nat) : Stream := {| hd := n; tl := from (S n) |}) 1
        : Stream
```

As in the Fixpoint command, the with clause allows simultaneously defining several mutual cofixpoints.
If term is omitted, type is required and Coq enters proof mode. This can be used to define a term incrementally,
in particular by relying on the refine tactic. In this case, the proof should be terminated with Defined in order to define a constant for which the computational behavior is relevant. See Entering and exiting proof mode.

### 2.1.11 Section mechanism

Sections are naming scopes that permit creating section-local declarations that can be used by other declarations in the section. Declarations made with Variable, Hypothesis, Context, Let, Let Fixpoint and Let CoFixpoint (or the plural variants of the first two) within sections are local to the section.

In proofs done within the section, section-local declarations are included in the local context of the initial goal of the proof. They are also accessible in definitions made with the Definition command.

Sections are opened by the Section command, and closed by End. Sections can be nested. When a section is closed, its local declarations are no longer available. Global declarations that refer to them will be adjusted so they're still usable outside the section as shown in this example.

Command: Section ident
Opens the section named ident. Section names do not need to be unique.
Command: End ident
Closes the section or module named ident. See Terminating an interactive module or module type definition for a description of its use with modules.

After closing the section, the section-local declarations (variables and section-local definitions, see Variable) are discharged, meaning that they stop being visible and that all global objects defined in the section are generalized with respect to the variables and local definitions they each depended on in the section.
Error: There is nothing to end.
Error: Last block to end has name ident.

Note: Most commands, such as the Hint commands, Notation and option management commands that appear inside a section are canceled when the section is closed.

Command: Let ident_decl def_body
Command: Let Fixpoint fix_definition with fix_definition *
Command: Let CoFixpoint cofix_definition with cofix_definition *
These are similar to Definition, Fixpoint and CoFixpoint, except that the declared constant is local to the current section. When the section is closed, all persistent definitions and theorems within it that depend on the constant will be wrapped with a term_let with the same declaration.
As for Definition, Fixpoint and CoFixpoint, if term is omitted, type is required and Coq enters proof mode. This can be used to define a term incrementally, in particular by relying on the refine tactic. In this case, the proof should be terminated with Defined in order to define a constant for which the computational behavior is relevant. See Entering and exiting proof mode.

Command: Context binder ${ }^{+}$
Declare variables in the context of the current section, like Variable, but also allowing implicit variables, Implicit generalization, and let-binders.

```
Context {A : Type} (a b : A).
Context ` {EqDec A}.
Context (b' := b).
```


## See also:

Section Binders. Section Sections and contexts in chapter Typeclasses.

## Example: Section-local declarations

```
Section s1.
Variables x y : nat.
    x is declared
    y is declared
```

The command Let introduces section-wide Let-in definitions. These definitions won't persist when the section is closed, and all persistent definitions which depend on $y^{\prime}$ will be prefixed with let $y^{\prime}:=y$ in.

```
Let y' := y.
Definition x' := S x.
Definition x'' := x' + y'.
Print x'.
    x' = S x
        : nat
    x' uses section variable x.
Print x''.
    x'' = x' + y'
        : nat
    x'' uses section variables x y.
End s1.
Print x'.
    x' = fun x : nat => S x
        : nat -> nat
    Arguments x' x%nat_scope
Print x''
    x'' = fun x y : nat => let y' := y in x' x + y'
        : nat -> nat -> nat
    Arguments x'' (x y)%nat_scope
```

Notice the difference between the value of x ' and x ' ' inside section s 1 and outside.

### 2.1.12 The Module System

The module system extends the Calculus of Inductive Constructions providing a convenient way to structure large developments as well as a means of massive abstraction.

## Modules and module types

Access path. An access path is denoted by $p$ and can be either a module variable $X$ or, if $p^{\prime}$ is an access path and $i d$ an identifier, then $p^{\prime} . i d$ is an access path.
Structure element. A structure element is denoted by $e$ and is either a definition of a constant, an assumption, a definition of an inductive, a definition of a module, an alias of a module or a module type abbreviation.

Structure expression. A structure expression is denoted by $S$ and can be:

- an access path $p$
- a plain structure Struct $e ; \ldots ; e$ End
- a functor Functor $(X: S) S^{\prime}$, where $X$ is a module variable, $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are structure expressions
- an application $S p$, where $S$ is a structure expression and $p$ an access path
- a refined structure $S$ with $p:=p^{\prime}$ or $S$ with $p:=t: T$ where $S$ is a structure expression, $p$ and $p^{\prime}$ are access paths, $t$ is a term and $T$ is the type of $t$.
Module definition. A module definition is written $\operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S\left[:=S^{\prime}\right]\right)$ and consists of a module variable $X$, a module type $S$ which can be any structure expression and optionally a module implementation $S^{\prime}$ which can be any structure expression except a refined structure.
Module alias. A module alias is written $\operatorname{ModA}(X==p)$ and consists of a module variable $X$ and a module path $p$.
Module type abbreviation. A module type abbreviation is written ModType $(Y:=S)$, where $Y$ is an identifier and $S$ is any structure expression .


## Using modules

The module system provides a way of packaging related elements together, as well as a means of massive abstraction.


Defines a module named ident. See the examples here.
The Import and Export flags specify whether the module should be automatically imported or exported.
Specifying module_binder ${ }^{\star}$ starts a functor with parameters given by the module_binders. (A functor is a function from modules to modules.)
of_module_type specifies the module type. $<$ : module_type_inl ${ }^{++}$starts a module that satisfies each module_type_inl.
$:=$ module_expr_inl ${ }_{<+}^{+}$specifies the body of a module or functor definition. If it's not specified, then the module is defined interactively, meaning that the module is defined as a series of commands terminated with End instead of in a single Module command. Interactively defining the module_expr_inls in a series of Include commands is equivalent to giving them all in a single non-interactive Module command.

The! prefix indicates that any assumption command (such as Axiom) with an Inline clause in the type of the functor arguments will be ignored.

Defines a module type named ident. See the example here.
Specifying module_binder ${ }^{\star}$ " starts a functor type with parameters given by the module_binders.
$:=$ module_type_inl ${ }_{<+}^{+}$specifies the body of a module or functor type definition. If it's not specified, then the module type is defined interactively, meaning that the module type is defined as a series of commands terminated with End instead of in a single Module Type command. Interactively defining the module_type_inls in a series of Include commands is equivalent to giving them all in a single non-interactive Module Type command.

## Terminating an interactive module or module type definition

Interactive modules are terminated with the End command, which is also used to terminate Sections. End ident closes the interactive module or module type $i$ dent. If the module type was given, the command verifies that the content of the module matches the module type. If the module is not a functor, its components (constants, inductive types, submodules etc.) are now available through the dot notation.
Error: Signature components for field ident do not match.
Error: The field ident is missing in qualid.

## Note:

1. Interactive modules and module types can be nested.
2. Interactive modules and module types can’t be defined inside of sections. Sections can be defined inside of interactive modules and module types.
3. Hints and notations (the Hint and Notation commands) can also appear inside interactive modules and module types. Note that with module definitions like:
Module ident $_{1}$ : module_type $:=$ ident $_{2}$.
or
Module ident ${ }_{1}$ : module_type. Include ident ${ }_{2}$. End ident ${ }_{1}$.
hints and the like valid for ident $_{1}$ are the ones defined in module_type rather then those defined in ident ${ }_{2}$ (or the module body).
4. Within an interactive module type definition, the Parameter command declares a constant instead of definining a new axiom (which it does when not in a module type definition).
5. Assumptions such as Axiom that include the Inline clause will be automatically expanded when the functor is applied, except when the function application is prefixed by !.

Command: Include module_type_inl $<+$ module_expr_inl ${ }^{\star}$
Includes the content of module(s) in the current interactive module. Here module_type_inl can be a module expression or a module type expression. If it is a high-order module or module type expression then the system tries to instantiate module_type_inl with the current interactive module.
Including multiple modules in a single Include is equivalent to including each module in a separate Include command.
Command: Include Type module_type_inl ${ }^{\boldsymbol{<}}$
Deprecated since version 8.3: Use Include instead.
Command: Declare Module Import Export import_categories ? ? ident module_binder * mo
Declares a module ident of type module_type_inl.
If module_binders are specified, declares a functor with parameters given by the list of module_binders.

Command: Import import_categories ? filtered_import ${ }^{\text {? }}$

filtered_import $::=$ qualid $\left(\right.$ qualid (..) ? $\left.^{+}\right)$?

If qualid denotes a valid basic module (i.e. its module type is a signature), makes its components available by their short names.

## Example

```
Module Mod.
Definition T:=nat.
Check T.
End Mod.
Check Mod.T.
Fail Check T.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The reference T was not found in the current environment.
Import Mod.
Check T.
    T
        : Set
```

Some features defined in modules are activated only when a module is imported. This is for instance the case of notations (see Notations).

Declarations made with the local attribute are never imported by the Import command. Such declarations are only accessible through their fully qualified name.

```
Example
Module A.
Module B.
Local Definition T := nat.
End B.
End A.
Import A.
Check B.T.
    Toplevel input, characters 6-9:
    > Check B.T.
    >
    Error: The reference B.T was not found in the current environment.
```

Appending a module name with a parenthesized list of names will make only those names available with short names, not other names defined in the module nor will it activate other features.

The names to import may be constants, inductive types and constructors, and notation aliases (for instance, Ltac definitions cannot be selectively imported). If they are from an inner module to the one being imported, they must be prefixed by the inner path.
The name of an inductive type may also be followed by (. . ) to import it, its constructors and its eliminators if they exist. For this purpose "eliminator" means a constant in the same module whose name is the inductive type's name suffixed by one of _sind, _ind, _rec or _rect.

## Example

```
Module A.
Module B.
Inductive T := C.
Definition U := nat.
End B.
Definition Z := Prop.
End A.
Import A(B.T(..), Z).
Check B.T.
    B.T
        : Prop
Check B.C.
    B.C
        : B.T
Check Z
    Z
        : Type
Fail Check B.U.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The reference B.U was not found in the current environment.
Check A.B.U.
    A.B.U
        : Set
```


## Warning: Cannot import local constant, it will be ignored.

This warning is printed when a name in the list of names to import was declared as a local constant, and the name is not imported.

Putting a list of import_categories after Import will restrict activation of features according to those categories. Currently supported categories are:

- coercions corresponding to Coercion.
- hints corresponding to the Hint commands (e.g. Hint Resolve or Hint Rewrite) and typeclass instances.
- canonicals corresponding to Canonical Structure.
- notations corresponding to Notation (including Reserved Notation), scope controls (Delimit Scope, Bind Scope, Open Scope) and Abbreviations.
- ltac.notations corresponding to Tactic Notation.
- ltac2.notations corresponding to Ltac2 Notation (including Ltac2 abbreviations).

Plugins may define their own categories.
Command: Export import_categories ? filtered_import +
Similar to Import, except that when the module containing this command is imported, the qualid ${ }^{+}$are imported as well.
The selective import syntax also works with Export.
Error: qualid is not a module.
Warning: Trying to mask the absolute name qualid!
Command: Print Module qualid
Prints the module type and (optionally) the body of the module qualid.
Command: Print Module Type qualid
Prints the module type corresponding to qualid.
Flag: Short Module Printing
This flag (off by default) disables the printing of the types of fields, leaving only their names, for the commands Print Module and Print Module Type.
Command: Print Namespace dirpath

Examples

## Example: Defining a simple module interactively

```
Module M.
Definition T := nat.
Definition x := 0.
Definition y : bool.
    1 goal
        =============================
        bool
exact true.
    No more goals.
Defined.
End M.
```

Inside a module one can define constants, prove theorems and do anything else that can be done in the toplevel. Components of a closed module can be accessed using the dot notation:

```
Print M.x.
    M.x = 0
        : nat
```


## Example: Defining a simple module type interactively

```
Module Type SIG.
Parameter T : Set.
Parameter x : T.
End SIG.
```


## Example: Creating a new module that omits some items from an existing module

Since SIG, the type of the new module $\mathbf{N}$, doesn't define $\mathbf{y}$ or give the body of $\mathbf{x}$, which are not included in $\mathbf{N}$.

```
Module N : SIG with Definition T := nat := M.
    Module N is defined
Print N.T.
    N.T = nat
        : Set
Print N.x.
    *** [ N.x : N.T ]
Fail Print N.y.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    N.y not a defined object.
```

The definition of $N$ using the module type expression SIG with Definition $T:=$ nat is equivalent to the following one:

```
Module Type SIG'.
Definition T : Set := nat.
Parameter x : T.
End SIG'.
Module N : SIG' := M.
```

Error: No field named ident in qualid.

Raised when the final ident in the left-hand side qualid of a with_declaration is applied to a module type qualid that has no field named this ident.

If we just want to be sure that our implementation satisfies a given module type without restricting the interface, we can use a transparent constraint

```
Module P <: SIG := M.
```

Print P.y.
$P \cdot y=$ true
: bool

## Example: Creating a functor (a module with parameters)

```
Module Two (X Y: SIG).
Definition T := (X.T * Y.T)%type.
Definition x := (X.x, Y.x).
End Two.
and apply it to our modules and do some computations:
```

```
Module Q := Two M N.
Eval compute in (fst Q.x + snd Q.x).
    = N.x
        : nat
```


## Example: A module type with two sub-modules, sharing some fields

```
Module Type SIG2.
    Declare Module M1 : SIG.
    Module M2 <: SIG.
        Definition T := M1.T.
        Parameter x : T.
    End M2.
End SIG2.
Module Mod <: SIG2.
    Module M1.
        Definition T := nat.
        Definition x := 1.
    End M1.
Module M2 := M.
End Mod.
```

Notice that M is a correct body for the component M 2 since its T component is nat as specified for M1.T.

## Typing Modules

In order to introduce the typing system we first slightly extend the syntactic class of terms and environments given in section The terms. The environments, apart from definitions of constants and inductive types now also hold any other structure elements. Terms, apart from variables, constants and complex terms, also include access paths.

We also need additional typing judgments:

- $E[] \vdash \mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(S)$, denoting that a structure $S$ is well-formed,
- $E[] \vdash p: S$, denoting that the module pointed by $p$ has type $S$ in the global environment $E$.
- $E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \bar{S}$, denoting that a structure $S$ is evaluated to a structure $\bar{S}$ in weak head normal form.
- $E[] \vdash S_{1}<: S_{2}$, denoting that a structure $S_{1}$ is a subtype of a structure $S_{2}$.
- $E[] \vdash e_{1}<: e_{2}$, denoting that a structure element $e_{1}$ is more precise than a structure element $e_{2}$.

The rules for forming structures are the following:
WF-STR

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; E^{\prime}\right)[]}{E[] \vdash \mathcal{W F}\left(\text { Struct } E^{\prime} \text { End }\right)}
$$

## WF-FUN

$$
\frac{E ; \operatorname{Mod}(X: S)[] \vdash \mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}\left(\overline{S^{\prime}}\right)}{E[] \vdash \mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}\left(\operatorname{Functor}(X: S) S^{\prime}\right)}
$$

Evaluation of structures to weak head normal form：

## WEVAL－APP

$$
\begin{gathered}
E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \text { Functor }\left(X: S_{1}\right) S_{2} \quad E[] \vdash S_{1} \longrightarrow \overline{S_{1}} \\
E[] \vdash p: S_{3} \quad E[] \vdash S_{3}<: \overline{S_{1}} \\
E[] \vdash S p \longrightarrow S_{2}\{X / p\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## WEVAL－WITH－MOD

$$
\begin{gathered}
E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{1}\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End } \\
E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}[] \vdash S_{1} \longrightarrow[] \vdash p: S_{2} \\
\left.E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}\right] \vdash S_{2}<: \overline{S_{1}} \\
E[] \vdash S \text { with } X:=p \longrightarrow \\
\text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{ModA}(X==p) ; e_{i+2}\{X / p\} ; \ldots ; e_{n}\{X / p\} \text { End }
\end{gathered}
$$

## WEVAL－WITH－MOD－REC

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{1}: S_{1}\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End } \\
& E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}[] \vdash S_{1} \text { with } p:=p_{1} \longrightarrow S_{2} \\
& E[] \vdash S \text { with } X_{1} \cdot p:=p_{1} \longrightarrow \\
& \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: \overline{S_{2}}\right) ; e_{i+2}\left\{X_{1} \cdot p / p_{1}\right\} ; \ldots ; e_{n}\left\{X_{1} \cdot p / p_{1}\right\} \text { End }
\end{aligned}
$$

## WEVAL－WITH－DEF

$$
\begin{gathered}
E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ;\left(c: T_{1}\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End } \\
E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}[] \vdash(c:=t: T)<:\left(c: T_{1}\right) \\
E[] \vdash S \text { with } c:=t: T \longrightarrow \\
\text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ;(c:=t: T) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End }
\end{gathered}
$$

## WEVAL－WITH－DEF－REC

$E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X_{1}: S_{1}\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n}$ End
$E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}[] \vdash S_{1}$ with $p:=p_{1} \longrightarrow S_{2}$
$E[] \vdash S$ with $X_{1} \cdot p:=t: T \longrightarrow$
$\operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: \overline{S_{2}}\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n}$ End

## WEVAL－PATH－MOD1

$$
\begin{gathered}
E[] \vdash p \longrightarrow \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S\left[:=S_{1}\right]\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End } \\
E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \bar{S} \\
E[] \vdash p \cdot X \longrightarrow \bar{S}
\end{gathered}
$$

## WEVAL－PATH－MOD2

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[] \quad \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S\left[:=S_{1}\right]\right) \in E}{E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \bar{S}} ⿻ 上 丨
$$

## WEVAL－PATH－ALIAS1

$E[] \vdash p \longrightarrow$ Struct $e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{ModA}\left(X==p_{1}\right) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n}$ End
$E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}[] \vdash p_{1} \longrightarrow \bar{S}$

## WEVAL-PATH-ALIAS2

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[] \quad \operatorname{ModA}\left(X==p_{1}\right) \in E \quad E[] \vdash p_{1} \longrightarrow \bar{S}}{E[] \vdash X \longrightarrow \bar{S}}
$$

## WEVAL-PATH-TYPE1

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p \longrightarrow \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{ModType}(Y:=S) ; e_{i+2} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End }}{\left.E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i}\right]+S \longrightarrow \bar{S}}
$$

## WEVAL-PATH-TYPE2

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)] \quad \operatorname{ModType}(Y:=S) \in E}{} \frac{E[] \vdash S \longrightarrow \bar{S}}{E[] \vdash Y \longrightarrow \bar{S}}
$$

Rules for typing module:

## MT-EVAL

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p \longrightarrow \bar{S}}{E[] \vdash p: \bar{S}}
$$

## MT-STR

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p: S}{E[] \vdash p: S / p}
$$

The last rule, called strengthening is used to make all module fields manifestly equal to themselves. The notation $S / p$ has the following meaning:

- if $S \longrightarrow$ Struct $e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{n}$ End then $S / p=$ Struct $e_{1} / p ; \ldots ; e_{n} / p$ End where $e / p$ is defined as follows (note that opaque definitions are processed as assumptions):
- $(c:=t: T) / p=(c:=t: T)$
- $(c: U) / p=(c:=p . c: U)$
$-\operatorname{Mod}(X: S) / p=\operatorname{ModA}(X==p . X)$
- $\operatorname{ModA}\left(X==p^{\prime}\right) / p=\operatorname{Mod} \mathrm{A}\left(X==p^{\prime}\right)$
- Ind $[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) / p=\operatorname{Ind}_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$
$-\operatorname{Ind}_{p^{\prime}}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) / p=\operatorname{Ind}_{p^{\prime}}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$
- if $S \longrightarrow$ Functor $\left(X: S^{\prime}\right) S^{\prime \prime}$ then $S / p=S$

The notation $\operatorname{Ind}_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ denotes an inductive definition that is definitionally equal to the inductive definition in the module denoted by the path $p$. All rules which have $\operatorname{Ind}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ as premises are also valid for $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$. We give the formation rule for $\operatorname{lnd}_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)$ below as well as the equality rules on inductive types and constructors. The module subtyping rules:

## MSUB-STR

$$
\begin{gathered}
E ; e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{n}[] \vdash e_{\sigma(i)}<e_{i}^{\prime} \text { for } i=1 . . m \\
\sigma:\{1 \ldots m\} \rightarrow\{1 \ldots n\} \text { injective } \\
\frac{E[] \vdash \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{n} \text { End }<: \text { Struct } e_{1}^{\prime} ; \ldots ; e_{m}^{\prime} \text { End }}{}
\end{gathered}
$$

## MSUB-FUN

$$
\frac{\left.E[] \vdash \overline{S_{1}^{\prime}}<: \overline{S_{1}} \quad E ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]+\overline{S_{2}}<: \overline{S_{2}^{\prime}}}{E[] \vdash \text { Functor }\left(X: S_{1}\right) S_{2}<: \operatorname{Functor}\left(X: S_{1}^{\prime}\right) S_{2}^{\prime}}
$$

Structure element subtyping rules:

## ASSUM-ASSUM

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash T_{1} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta} T_{2}}{E[] \vdash\left(c: T_{1}\right)<:\left(c: T_{2}\right)}
$$

DEF-ASSUM

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash T_{1} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} T_{2}}{E[] \vdash\left(c:=t: T_{1}\right)<:\left(c: T_{2}\right)}
$$

## ASSUM-DEF

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash T_{1} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} T_{2} \quad E[] \vdash c=_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t_{2}}{E[] \vdash\left(c: T_{1}\right)<:\left(c:=t_{2}: T_{2}\right)}
$$

DEF-DEF

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash T_{1} \leq_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} T_{2} \quad E[] \vdash t_{1}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} t_{2}}{E[] \vdash\left(c:=t_{1}: T_{1}\right)<:\left(c:=t_{2}: T_{2}\right)}
$$

IND-IND

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash \Gamma_{I}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \Gamma_{I}^{\prime} \quad E\left[\Gamma_{I}\right] \vdash \Gamma_{C}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \Gamma_{C}^{\prime}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{lnd}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)<: \operatorname{lnd}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}^{\prime}:=\Gamma_{C}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

## INDP-IND

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash \Gamma_{I}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \Gamma_{I}^{\prime} \quad E\left[\Gamma_{I}\right] \vdash \Gamma_{C}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \Gamma_{C}^{\prime}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{lnd}_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)<: \operatorname{lnd}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}^{\prime}:=\Gamma_{C}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

INDP-INDP

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash \Gamma_{I}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \Gamma_{I}^{\prime} \quad E\left[\Gamma_{I}\right] \vdash \Gamma_{C}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \Gamma_{C}^{\prime} \quad E[] \vdash p={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} p^{\prime}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{lnd}_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)<: \operatorname{lnd}_{p^{\prime}}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}^{\prime}:=\Gamma_{C}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

MOD-MOD

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash S_{1}<: S_{2}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{1}\right)<: \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{2}\right)}
$$

## ALIAS-MOD

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p: S_{1} \quad E[] \vdash S_{1}<: S_{2}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{ModA}(X==p)<: \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{2}\right)}
$$

MOD-ALIAS

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p: S_{2} \quad E[] \vdash S_{1}<: S_{2} \quad E[] \vdash X={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} p}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{1}\right)<: \operatorname{ModA}(X==p)}
$$

## ALIAS-ALIAS

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p_{1}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} p_{2}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{ModA}\left(X==p_{1}\right)<: \operatorname{ModA}\left(X==p_{2}\right)}
$$

## MODTYPE-MODTYPE

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash S_{1}<: S_{2} \quad E[] \vdash S_{2}<: S_{1}}{E[] \vdash \operatorname{ModType}\left(Y:=S_{1}\right)<: \operatorname{ModType}\left(Y:=S_{2}\right)}
$$

New environment formation rules

## WF-MOD1

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[] \quad E[\vdash \vdash \mathcal{W F}(S)}{\mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(E ; \operatorname{Mod}(X: S))[]}
$$

## WF-MOD2

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash S_{2}<: S_{1} \quad \mathcal{W F}(E)[] \quad E[] \vdash \mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}\left(S_{1}\right)}{\left.\left.\mathcal{W F \mathcal { F }}\left(E ; \operatorname{Mod}\left(X: S_{1}:=S_{2}\right)\right)\right]\right]}
$$

## WF-ALIAS

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[] \quad E[] \vdash p: S}{\mathcal{W F}(E ; \operatorname{ModA}(X==p))[]}
$$

## WF-MODTYPE

$$
\frac{\mathcal{W F}(E)[] \quad E[] \vdash \mathcal{W F}(S)}{\mathcal{W F F}(E ; \operatorname{ModType}(Y:=S))[]}
$$

## WF-IND

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{W F}\left(E ; \operatorname{Ind}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)[] \\
E[] \vdash p: \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{n} ; \operatorname{Ind}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}^{\prime}:=\Gamma_{C}^{\prime}\right) ; \ldots \text { End } \\
E[] \vdash \operatorname{Ind}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}^{\prime}:=\Gamma_{C}^{\prime}\right)<: \operatorname{Ind}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) \\
\left.\mathcal{W \mathcal { F }}\left(E ; \operatorname{lnd}_{p}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right)\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Component access rules

## ACC-TYPE1

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash p: \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ;(c: T) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash p . c: T}
$$

## ACC-TYPE2

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash p: \operatorname{Struct} e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ;(c:=t: T) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash p . c: T}
$$

Notice that the following rule extends the delta rule defined in section Conversion rules
ACC-DELTA

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash p: \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ;(c:=t: U) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash p . c \triangleright_{\delta} t}
$$

In the rules below we assume $\Gamma_{P}$ is $\left[p_{1}: P_{1} ; \ldots ; p_{r}: P_{r}\right], \Gamma_{I}$ is $\left[I_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{1} ; \ldots ; I_{k}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{k}\right]$, and $\Gamma_{C}$ is $\left[c_{1}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{1} ; \ldots ; c_{n}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{n}\right]$.

## ACC-IND1

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash p: \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \text { Ind }[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash p . I_{j}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, A_{j}}
$$

## ACC-IND2

$$
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash p: \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \text { Ind }[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[\Gamma] \vdash p . c_{m}: \forall \Gamma_{P}, C_{m}}
$$

## ACC-INDP1

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p: \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{lnd}_{p^{\prime}}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[] \vdash p \cdot I_{i} \triangleright_{\delta} p^{\prime} . I_{i}}
$$

## ACC-INDP2

$$
\frac{E[] \vdash p: \text { Struct } e_{1} ; \ldots ; e_{i} ; \operatorname{lnd}_{p^{\prime}}[r]\left(\Gamma_{I}:=\Gamma_{C}\right) ; \ldots \text { End }}{E[] \vdash p . c_{i} \triangleright_{\delta} p^{\prime} . c_{i}}
$$

## Qualified names

Qualified names (qualids) are hierarchical names that are used to identify items such as definitions, theorems and parameters that may be defined inside modules (see Module). In addition, they are used to identify compiled files. Syntactically, they have this form:

$$
\text { qualid }::=\text { ident .ident }{ }^{2}
$$

Fully qualified or absolute qualified names uniquely identify files (as in the Require command) and items within files, such as a single Variable definition. It's usually possible to use a suffix of the fully qualified name (a short name) that uniquely identifies an item.
The first part of a fully qualified name identifies a file, which may be followed by a second part that identifies a specific item within that file. Qualified names that identify files don't have a second part.
While qualified names always consist of a series of dot-separated idents, the following few paragraphs omit the dots for the sake of simplicity.
File part. Files are identified by logical paths, which are prefixes in the form ${\text { ident } t_{\text {logical }}}_{\star}^{\star}$ ident $_{\text {file }}+$, such as Coq. Init.Logic, in which:

- ident logical $^{*}$, the logical name, maps to one or more directories (or physical paths) in the user's file system. The logical name is used so that Coq scripts don't depend on where files are installed. For example, the directory associated with Coq contains Coq's standard library. The logical name is generally a single ident.
- ident $_{\text {file }}{ }^{+}$corresponds to the file system path of the file relative to the directory that contains it. For example, Init.Logic corresponds to the file system path Init/Logic.v on Linux)
When Coq is processing a script that hasn't been saved in a file, such as a new buffer in CoqIDE or anything in coqtop, definitions in the script are associated with the logical name Top and there is no associated file system path.
Item part. Items are further qualified by a suffix in the form ident $_{\text {module }^{*}}{ }^{\star}$ ident $_{\text {base }}$ in which:
- ident module $^{\star}$ gives the names of the nested modules, if any, that syntactically contain the definition of the item. (See Module.)
- ident ${ }_{\text {base }}$ is the base name used in the command defining the item. For example, eq in the Inductive command defining it in Coq. Init.Logic is the base name for Coq. Init.Logic.eq, the standard library definition of Leibniz equality.

If qualid is the fully qualified name of an item, Coq always interprets qualid as a reference to that item. If qualidis also a partially qualified name for another item, then you must use provide a more-qualified name to uniquely identify that other item. For example, if there are two fully qualified items named Foo. Bar and Coq.X.Foo. Bar, then Foo. Bar refers to the first item and X.Foo. Bar is the shortest name for referring to the second item.
Definitions with the local attribute are only accessible with their fully qualified name (see Top-level definitions).

```
Example
Check 0.
    0
        : nat
Definition nat := bool.
    nat is defined
Check 0.
    0
        : Datatypes.nat
Check Datatypes.nat.
    Datatypes.nat
        : Set
Locate nat.
    Constant Top.nat
    Inductive Coq.Init.Datatypes.nat
        (shorter name to refer to it in current context is Datatypes.nat)
```


## See also:

Commands Locate.
Logical paths and the load path describes how logical paths become associated with specific files.

## Controlling the scope of commands with locality attributes

Many commands have effects that apply only within a specific scope, typically the section or the module in which the command was called. Locality attributes can alter the scope of the effect. Below, we give the semantics of each locality attribute while noting a few exceptional commands for which local and global attributes are interpreted differently.

## Attribute: local

This attribute limits the effect of the command to the current scope (section or module).
The Local prefix is an alternative syntax for the local attribute (see legacy_attr).

## Note:

- For some commands, this is the only locality supported within sections (e.g., for Notation, Ltac and Hint commands).
- For some commands, this is the default locality within sections even though other locality attributes are supported as well (e.g., for the Argument s command).

Warning: Exception: when local is applied to Definition, Theorem or their variants, its semantics are different: it makes the defined objects available only through their fully qualified names rather than their unqualified names after an Import.

## Attribute: export

This attribute makes the effect of the command persist when the section is closed and applies the effect when the module containing the command is imported.

Commands supporting this attribute include Set, Unset and the Hint commands, although the latter don't support it within sections.

## Attribute: global

This attribute makes the effect of the command persist even when the current section or module is closed. Loading the file containing the command (possibly transitively) applies the effect of the command.

The Global prefix is an alternative syntax for the global attribute (see legacy_attr).

Warning: Exception: for a few commands (like Notation and Ltac), this attribute behaves like export.

Warning: We strongly discourage using the global locality attribute because the transitive nature of file loading gives the user little control. We recommend using the export locality attribute where it is supported.

### 2.1.13 Primitive objects

## Primitive Integers

The language of terms features 63-bit machine integers as values. The type of such a value is axiomatized; it is declared through the following sentence (excerpt from the PrimInt 63 module):

```
Primitive int := #int63_type.
```

This type can be understood as representing either unsigned or signed integers, depending on which module is imported or, more generally, which scope is open. Uint 63 and uint 63 _scope refer to the unsigned version, while Sint 63 and sint63_scope refer to the signed one.

The PrimInt 63 module declares the available operators for this type. For instance, equality of two unsigned primitive integers can be determined using the Uint 63 . eqb function, declared and specified as follows:

```
Primitive eqb := #int63_eq.
Notation "m '==' n" := (eqb m n) (at level 70, no associativity) : uint63_scope.
Axiom eqb_correct : forall i j, (i == j)%uint63 = true -> i = j.
```

The complete set of such operators can be found in the PrimInt 63 module. The specifications and notations are in the Uint 63 and Sint 63 modules.

These primitive declarations are regular axioms. As such, they must be trusted and are listed by the Print Assumptions command, as in the following example.

```
From Coq Require Import Uint63.
Lemma one_minus_one_is_zero : (1 - 1 = 0)%uint63.
Proof. apply eqb_correct; vm_compute; reflexivity. Qed.
Print Assumptions one_minus_one_is_zero.
    Axioms:
    sub : int -> int -> int
    eqb_correct : forall i j : int, (i =? j)%uint63 = true -> i = j
    eqb : int -> int -> bool
```

The reduction machines implement dedicated, efficient rules to reduce the applications of these primitive operations.
The extraction of these primitives can be customized similarly to the extraction of regular axioms (see Program extraction). Nonetheless, the ExtrOCaml Int 63 module can be used when extracting to OCaml: it maps the Coq primitives to types and functions of a Uint 63 module (including signed functions for Sint 63 despite the name). That OCaml module is not produced by extraction. Instead, it has to be provided by the user (if they want to compile or execute the extracted code). For instance, an implementation of this module can be taken from the kernel of Coq.
Literal values (at type Uint63.int) are extracted to literal OCaml values wrapped into the Uint 63. of_int (resp. Uint 63. of_int 64) constructor on 64-bit (resp. 32-bit) platforms. Currently, this cannot be customized (see the function Uint 63. compile from the kernel).

## Primitive Floats

The language of terms features Binary64 floating-point numbers as values. The type of such a value is axiomatized; it is declared through the following sentence (excerpt from the PrimFloat module):

Primitive float $:=$ \#float64_type.
This type is equipped with a few operators, that must be similarly declared. For instance, the product of two primitive floats can be computed using the PrimFloat. mul function, declared and specified as follows:

```
Primitive mul := #float64_mul.
Notation "x * y" := (mul x y) : float_scope.
Axiom mul_spec : forall x y, Prim2SF (x * y) %float = SF64mul (Prim2SF x) (Prim2SF y).
```

where Prim2SF is defined in the FloatOps module.
The set of such operators is described in section Floats library.
These primitive declarations are regular axioms. As such, they must be trusted, and are listed by the Print Assumptions command.

The reduction machines (vm_compute, native_compute) implement dedicated, efficient rules to reduce the applications of these primitive operations, using the floating-point processor operators that are assumed to comply with the IEEE 754 standard for floating-point arithmetic.

The extraction of these primitives can be customized similarly to the extraction of regular axioms (see Program extraction). Nonetheless, the ExtrOCamlFloats module can be used when extracting to OCaml: it maps the Coq primitives to types and functions of a Float 64 module. Said OCaml module is not produced by extraction. Instead, it has to be
provided by the user (if they want to compile or execute the extracted code). For instance, an implementation of this module can be taken from the kernel of Coq.
Literal values (of type Float $64 . t$ ) are extracted to literal OCaml values (of type float) written in hexadecimal notation and wrapped into the Float $64.0 f$ _float constructor, e.g.: Float $64.0 f$ ffloat ( $0 \times 1 p+0$ ).

## Primitive Arrays

The language of terms features persistent arrays as values. The type of such a value is axiomatized; it is declared through the following sentence (excerpt from the PArray module):

Primitive array := \#array_type.
This type is equipped with a few operators, that must be similarly declared. For instance, elements in an array can be accessed and updated using the PArray. get and PArray. set functions, declared and specified as follows:

```
Primitive get := #array_get.
Primitive set := #array_set.
Notation "t .[ i ]" := (get t i).
Notation "t . [ i <- a ]" := (set t i a).
Axiom get_set_same : forall A t i (a:A), (i < length t) = true -> t.[i<-a].[i] = a.
Axiom get_set_other : forall A t i j (a:A), i <> j -> t.[i<-a].[j] = t.[j].
```

The rest of these operators can be found in the PArray module.
These primitive declarations are regular axioms. As such, they must be trusted and are listed by the Print Assumptions command.

The reduction machines (vm_compute, native_compute) implement dedicated, efficient rules to reduce the applications of these primitive operations.
The extraction of these primitives can be customized similarly to the extraction of regular axioms (see Program extraction). Nonetheless, the ExtrOCamlPArray module can be used when extracting to OCaml: it maps the Coq primitives to types and functions of a Parray module. Said OCaml module is not produced by extraction. Instead, it has to be provided by the user (if they want to compile or execute the extracted code). For instance, an implementation of this module can be taken from the kernel of Coq (see kernel/parray.ml).

Coq's primitive arrays are persistent data structures. Semantically, a set operation $t$. [i <- a] represents a new array that has the same values as $t$, except at position $i$ where its value is $a$. The array $t$ still exists, can still be used and its values were not modified. Operationally, the implementation of Coq's primitive arrays is optimized so that the new array $t$. [i <- a] does not copy all of $t$. The details are in section 2.3 of [CF07]. In short, the implementation keeps one version of $t$ as an OCaml native array and other versions as lists of modifications to $t$. Accesses to the native array version are constant time operations. However, accesses to versions where all the cells of the array are modified have $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ access time, the same as a list. The version that is kept as the native array changes dynamically upon each get and set call: the current list of modifications is applied to the native array and the lists of modifications of the other versions are updated so that they still represent the same values.

### 2.1.14 Polymorphic Universes

Author Matthieu Sozeau

## General Presentation

Warning: The status of Universe Polymorphism is experimental.

This section describes the universe polymorphic extension of Coq. Universe polymorphism makes it possible to write generic definitions making use of universes and reuse them at different and sometimes incompatible universe levels.

A standard example of the difference between universe polymorphic and monomorphic definitions is given by the identity function:

```
Definition identity {A : Type} (a : A) := a.
```

By default, constant declarations are monomorphic, hence the identity function declares a global universe (automatically named identity.u0) for its domain. Subsequently, if we try to self-apply the identity, we will get an error:

```
Fail Definition selfid := identity (@identity).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "@identity" has type "forall A : Type, A -> A"
    while it is expected to have type "?A"
    (unable to find a well-typed instantiation for "?A": cannot ensure that
    "Type@{identity.u0+1}" is a subtype of "Type@{identity.u0}").
```

Indeed, the global level identity. $u 0$ would have to be strictly smaller than itself for this self-application to type check, as the type of (@identity) is forall (A : Type@\{identity.u0\}), A -> A whose type is itself Type@\{identity.u0+1\}.
A universe polymorphic identity function binds its domain universe level at the definition level instead of making it global.

```
Polymorphic Definition pidentity {A : Type} (a : A) := a.
About pidentity.
    pidentity@{u} : forall {A : Type}, A -> A
    pidentity is universe polymorphic
    Arguments pidentity {A}%type_scope a
    pidentity is transparent
    Expands to: Constant Top.pidentity
```

It is then possible to reuse the constant at different levels, like so:

```
Polymorphic Definition selfpid := pidentity (@pidentity).
```

Of course, the two instances of pidentity in this definition are different. This can be seen when the Printing Universes flag is on:

```
Set Printing Universes.
Print selfpid.
    selfpid@{u u0} =
    pidentity@{u} (@pidentity@{u0})
            : forall A : Type@{u0}, A -> A
```

(* u uO $1=u 0<u^{*}$ )

Arguments selfpid A\%type_scope a
Now pidentity is used at two different levels: at the head of the application it is instantiated at $u$ while in the argument position it is instantiated at $u 0$. This definition is only valid as long as $u 0$ is strictly smaller than $u$, as shown by the constraints. Note that if we made selfpid universe monomorphic, the two universes (in this case $u$ and u0) would be declared in the global universe graph with names selfpid.u0 and selfpid.u1. Since the constraints would be global, Print selfpid. will not show them, however they will be shown by Print Universes.

When printing pidentity, we can see the universes it binds in the annotation @\{u\}. Additionally, when Printing Universes is on we print the "universe context" of pidentity consisting of the bound universes and the constraints they must verify (for pidentity there are no constraints).
Inductive types can also be declared universe polymorphic on universes appearing in their parameters or fields. A typical example is given by monoids. We first put ourselves in a mode where every declaration is universe-polymorphic:

```
Set Universe Polymorphism.
```

```
Record Monoid := { mon_car :> Type; mon_unit : mon_car;
    mon_op : mon_car -> mon_car -> mon_car }.
```

A monoid is here defined by a carrier type, a unit in this type and a binary operation.

```
Print Monoid.
    Record Monoid@{u} : Type@{u+1} := Build_Monoid
        { mon_car : Type@{u};
        mon_unit : mon_car;
        mon_op : mon_car -> mon_car -> mon_car }.
    (* u l= *)
```

    Arguments Build_Monoid mon_car\%type_scope mon_unit mon_op\%function_scope
    The Monoid's carrier universe is polymorphic, hence it is possible to instantiate it for example with Monoid itself. First we build the trivial unit monoid in any universe $i>=$ Set:

```
Definition unit_monoid@{i} : Monoid@{i} :=
    {| mon_car := unit; mon_unit := tt; mon_op x y := tt |}.
```

Here we are using the fact that unit : Set and by cumulativity, any polymorphic universe is greater or equal to Set.
From this we can build a definition for the monoid of monoids, where multiplication is given by the product of monoids. To do so, we first need to define a universe-polymorphic variant of pairs:

```
Record pprod@{i j} (A : Type@{i}) (B : Type@{j}) : Type@{max(i,j)} :=
    ppair { pfst : A; psnd : B }.
Arguments ppair {A} {B}.
Infix "**" := pprod (at level 40, left associativity) : type_scope.
Notation "( x ; y ; .. ; z )" := (ppair .. (ppair x y) .. z) (at level 0) : core_scope.
```

The monoid of monoids uses the cartesian product of monoids as its operation:

```
Definition monoid_op@{i} (m m' : Monoid@{i}) (x y : mon_car m ** mon_car m') :
    mon_car m ** mon_car m' :=
    let (l, r) := x in
```

(continues on next page)

```
    let (l', r') := y in
    (mon_op m l l'; mon_op m' r r').
Definition prod_monoid@{i} (m m' : Monoid@{i}): Monoid@{i} :=
    {| mon_car := (m ** m') %type;
        mon_unit := (mon_unit m; mon_unit m');
        mon_op := (monoid_op m m') |}.
Definition monoids_monoid@{i j | i < j} : Monoid@{j} :=
    {| mon_car := Monoid@{i};
        mon_unit := unit_monoid@{i};
        mon_op := prod_monoid@{i} |}.
Print monoids_monoid.
    monoids_monoid@{i j} =
    {|
        mon_car := Monoid@{i};
        mon_unit := unit_monoid@{i};
        mon_op := prod_monoid@{i}
    | }
            : Monoid@{j}
    (* i j l= i < j *)
```

As one can see from the constraints, this monoid is "large", it lives in a universe strictly higher than its objects, monoids in the universes i.

## Polymorphic, Monomorphic

\section*{Attribute: universes (polymorphic $=$| Yes | no |
| :--- | :--- |${ }^{\text {? }}$ )}

This boolean attribute can be used to control whether universe polymorphism is enabled in the definition of an inductive type. There is also a legacy syntax using the Polymorphic prefix (see legacy_attr) which, as shown in the examples, is more commonly used.
When universes (polymorphic=no) is used, global universe constraints are produced, even when the Universe Polymorphism flag is on. There is also a legacy syntax using the Monomorphic prefix (see legacy_attr).
Flag: Universe Polymorphism
This flag is off by default. When it is on, new declarations are polymorphic unless the universes (polymorphic=no) attribute is used to override the default.

Many other commands can be used to declare universe polymorphic or monomorphic constants depending on whether the Universe Polymorphismflag is on or the universes (polymorphic) attribute is used:

- Lemma, Axiom, etc. can be used to declare universe polymorphic constants.
- Using the universes (polymorphic) attribute with the Section command will locally set the polymorphism flag inside the section.
- Variable, Context, Universe and Constraint in a section support polymorphism. See Universe polymorphism and sections for more details.
- Using the universes (polymorphic) attribute with the Hint Resolve or Hint Rewritecommands will make auto / rewrite use the hint polymorphically, not at a single instance.


## Cumulative, NonCumulative

## Attribute: universes (cumulative $=$ yes $\quad$ no )

Polymorphic inductive types, coinductive types, variants and records can be declared cumulative using this boolean attribute or the legacy Cumulative prefix (see legacy_attr) which, as shown in the examples, is more commonly used.

This means that two instances of the same inductive type (family) are convertible based on the universe variances; they do not need to be equal.

When the attribtue is off, the inductive type is non-cumulative even if the Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity flag is on. There is also a legacy syntax using the NonCumulative prefix (see legacy_attr).

This means that two instances of the same inductive type (family) are convertible only if all the universes are equal.

Error: The cumulative attribute can only be used in a polymorphic context. Using this attribute requires being in a polymorphic context, i.e. either having the Universe Polymorphism flag on, or having used the universes (polymorphic) attribute as well.


## Flag: Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity

When this flag is on (it is off by default), it makes all subsequent polymorphic inductive definitions cumulative, unless the universes (cumulative=no) attribute is used to override the default. It has no effect on monomorphic inductive definitions.

Consider the examples below.

```
Polymorphic Cumulative Inductive list {A : Type} :=
| nil : list
| cons : A -> list -> list.
Set Printing Universes.
Print list.
    Inductive list@{u} (A : Type@{u}) : Type@{max(Set,u)} :=
        nil : list@{u} | cons : A -> list@{u} -> list@{u}.
    (* *u / = *)
    Arguments list {A}%type_scope
    Arguments nil {A}%type_scope
    Arguments cons {A}%type_scope _ _
```

When printing list, the universe context indicates the subtyping constraints by prefixing the level names with symbols.
Because inductive subtypings are only produced by comparing inductives to themselves with universes changed, they amount to variance information: each universe is either invariant, covariant or irrelevant (there are no contravariant subtypings in Coq), respectively represented by the symbols $=,+$ and $*$.

Here we see that list binds an irrelevant universe, so any two instances of list are convertible: $E[\Gamma] \vdash$ list@\{i\} $A={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta}$ list@ $\{j\} B$ whenever $E[\Gamma] \vdash A={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} B$ and this applies also to their corresponding constructors, when they are comparable at the same type.

See Conversion rules for more details on convertibility and subtyping. The following is an example of a record with non-trivial subtyping relation:

```
Polymorphic Cumulative Record packType := {pk : Type}.
    packType is defined
    pk is defined
About packType.
    packType@{u} : Type@{u+1}
    (* +u | = *)
    packType is universe polymorphic
    Expands to: Inductive Top.packType
```

pack Type binds a covariant universe, i.e.

$$
E[\Gamma] \vdash \text { packType } @\{i\}={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta \eta} \text { packType } @\{j\} \text { whenever } i \leq j
$$

Looking back at the example of monoids, we can see that they are naturally covariant for cumulativity:

```
Set Universe Polymorphism.
Cumulative Record Monoid := {
    mon_car :> Type;
    mon_unit : mon_car;
    mon_op : mon_car -> mon_car -> mon_car }.
Set Printing Universes.
Print Monoid.
        Record Monoid@{u} : Type@{u+1} := Build_Monoid
            { mon_car : Type@{u};
            mon_unit : mon_car;
            mon_op : mon_car -> mon_car -> mon_car }.
    (* +u / = *)
    Arguments Build_Monoid mon_car%type_scope mon_unit mon_op%function_scope
```

This means that a monoid in a lower universe (like the unit monoid in set), can be seen as a monoid in any higher universe, without introducing explicit lifting.

```
Definition unit_monoid : Monoid@{Set} :=
    {| mon_car := unit; mon_unit := tt; mon_op x y := tt |}.
Monomorphic Universe i.
Check unit_monoid : Monoid@{i}.
    unit_monoid : Monoid@{i}
            : Monoid@{i}
```

Finally, invariant universes appear when there is no possible subtyping relation between different instances of the inductive. Consider:

```
Polymorphic Cumulative Record monad@{i} := {
    m : Type@{i} -> Type@{i};
    unit : forall (A : Type@{i}), A -> m A }.
```

```
Set Printing Universes.
Print monad.
    Record monad@{i} : Type@{i+1} := Build_monad
        {m : Type@{i} -> Type@{i}; unit : forall A : Type@{i}, A -> m A }.
    (* =i | = *)
    Arguments Build_monad (m unit)%function_scope
```

The universe of monad is invariant due to its use on the left side of an arrow in the $m$ field: one cannot lift or lower the level of the type constructor to build a monad in a higher or lower universe.

## Specifying cumulativity

The variance of the universe parameters for a cumulative inductive may be specified by the user.
For the following type, universe a has its variance automatically inferred (it is irrelevant), $b$ is required to be irrelevant, $c$ is covariant and $d$ is invariant. With these annotations $c$ and $d$ have less general variances than would be inferred.

```
Polymorphic Cumulative Inductive Dummy@{a *b +c = d} : Prop := dummy.
    Dummy is defined
    Dummy_rect is defined
    Dummy_ind is defined
    Dummy_rec is defined
    Dummy_sind is defined
About Dummy.
    Dummy@{a b c d} : Prop
    (* *a *b +c =d l= *)
    Dummy is universe polymorphic
    Expands to: Inductive Top.Dummy
```

Insufficiently restrictive variance annotations lead to errors:

```
Fail Polymorphic Cumulative Record bad@{*a} := {p : Type@{a}}.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Incorrect variance for universe Top.81: expected * but cannot be less restrictiver
    ↔than +.
```


## Example: Demonstration of universe variances

```
Set Printing Universes.
Set Universe Polymorphism.
Set Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity.
Inductive Invariant @{=u} : Type@{u}.
Inductive Covariant @{+u} : Type@{u}.
Inductive Irrelevent@{*u} : Type@{u}.
Section Universes.
    Universe low high.
    Constraint low < high.
    (* An invariant universe blocks cumulativity from upper or lower levels. *)
    Axiom inv_low : Invariant@{low}.
    Axiom inv_high : Invariant@{high}.
```

```
Fail Check (inv_low : Invariant@{high}).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "inv_low" has type "Invariant@{low}"
    while it is expected to have type "Invariant@{high}".
Fail Check (inv_high : Invariant@{low}).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "inv_high" has type "Invariant@{high}"
    while it is expected to have type "Invariant@{low}".
(* A covariant universe allows cumulativity from a lower level. *)
Axiom co_low : Covariant@{low}.
Axiom co_high : Covariant@{high}.
Check (co_low : Covariant@{high}).
    co_low : Covariant@{high}
        : Covariant@{high}
    (* {} |= low <= high *)
Fail Check (co_high : Covariant@{low}).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "co_high" has type "Covariant@{high}"
    while it is expected to have type "Covariant@{low}".
(* An invariant universe allows cumulativity from any level *)
Axiom irr_low : Irrelevent@{low}.
Axiom irr_high : Irrelevent@{high}.
Check (irr_low : Irrelevent@{high}).
    irr_low : Irrelevent@{high}
        : Irrelevent@{high}
Check (irr_high : Irrelevent@{low}).
    irr_high : Irrelevent@{low}
        : Irrelevent@{low}
End Universes.
```


## Example: A proof using cumulativity

```
Set Universe Polymorphism.
Set Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity.
Set Printing Universes.
Inductive eq@{i} {A : Type@{i}} (x : A) : A -> Type@{i}:= eq_refl : eq x x.
Print eq.
    Inductive eq@{i} (A : Type@{i}) (x : A) : A -> Type@{i} :=
        eq_refl : eq@{i} x x.
    (* *i l= *)
    Arguments eq {A}%type_scope x
    Arguments eq_refl {A}%type_scope x
```

The universe of eq is irrelevant here, hence proofs of equalities can inhabit any universe. The universe must be big enough to fit A.

```
Definition funext_type@{a b e} (A : Type@{a}) (B : A -> Type@{b})
:= forall f g : (forall a, B a),
    (forall x, eq@{e} (f x) (g x))
    -> eq@{e} f g.
Section down.
    Universes a b e e'.
    Constraint e' < e.
    Lemma funext_down {A B}
        (H : @funext_type@{a b e} A B) : @funext_type@{a b e'} A B.
    Proof.
        exact H.
    Defined.
End down.
```


## Cumulativity Weak Constraints

## Flag: Cumulativity Weak Constraints

When set, which is the default, this flag causes "weak" constraints to be produced when comparing universes in an irrelevant position. Processing weak constraints is delayed until minimization time. A weak constraint between $u$ and $v$ when neither is smaller than the other and one is flexible causes them to be unified. Otherwise the constraint is silently discarded.

This heuristic is experimental and may change in future versions. Disabling weak constraints is more predictable but may produce arbitrary numbers of universes.

## Global and local universes

Each universe is declared in a global or local context before it can be used. To ensure compatibility, every global universe is set to be strictly greater than Set when it is introduced, while every local (i.e. polymorphically quantified) universe is introduced as greater or equal to Set.

## Conversion and unification

The semantics of conversion and unification have to be modified a little to account for the new universe instance arguments to polymorphic references. The semantics respect the fact that definitions are transparent, so indistinguishable from their bodies during conversion.
This is accomplished by changing one rule of unification, the first- order approximation rule, which applies when two applicative terms with the same head are compared. It tries to short-cut unfolding by comparing the arguments directly. In case the constant is universe polymorphic, we allow this rule to fire only when unifying the universes results in instantiating a so-called flexible universe variables (not given by the user). Similarly for conversion, if such an equation of applicative terms fail due to a universe comparison not being satisfied, the terms are unfolded. This change implies that conversion and unification can have different unfolding behaviors on the same development with universe polymorphism switched on or off.

## Minimization

Universe polymorphism with cumulativity tends to generate many useless inclusion constraints in general. Typically at each application of a polymorphic constant $f$, if an argument has expected type Type@ $\{i\}$ and is given a term of type Type@ $\{j\}$, a $j \leq i$ constraint will be generated. It is however often the case that an equation $j=i$ would be more appropriate, when $f$ 's universes are fresh for example. Consider the following example:

```
Definition id0 := @pidentity nat 0.
Set Printing Universes.
Print idO.
    id0@{} = pidentity@{Set} 0
            : nat
```

This definition is elaborated by minimizing the universe of ido to level Set while the more general definition would keep the fresh level i generated at the application of id and a constraint that $S e t \leq i$. This minimization process is applied only to fresh universe variables. It simply adds an equation between the variable and its lower bound if it is an atomic universe (i.e. not an algebraic $\max ()$ universe).

## Flag: Universe Minimization ToSet

Turning this flag off (it is on by default) disallows minimization to the sort Set and only collapses floating universes between themselves.

## Explicit Universes



The syntax has been extended to allow users to explicitly bind names to universes and explicitly instantiate polymorphic definitions.
Command: Universe ident ${ }^{+}$
Command: Universes ident $\dagger$
In the monomorphic case, declares new global universes with the given names. Global universe names live in a separate namespace. The command supports the universes (polymorphic) attribute (or the Polymorphic legacy attribute) only in sections, meaning the universe quantification will be discharged for each section definition independently.

Error: Polymorphic universes can only be declared inside sections, use Monomorphic Unive

Command: Constraint univ_constraint +
Declares new constraints between named universes.
If consistent, the constraints are then enforced in the global environment. Like Universe, it can be used with the universes (polymorphic) attribute (or the Polymorphic legacy attribute) in sections only to declare constraints discharged at section closing time. One cannot declare a global constraint on polymorphic universes.

Error: Undeclared universe ident.
Error: Universe inconsistency.
Error: Polymorphic universe constraints can only be declared inside sections, use Monomo

## Printing universes

## Flag: Printing Universes

Turn this flag on to activate the display of the actual level of each occurrence of Type. See Sorts for details. This wizard flag, in combination with Printing AII can help to diagnose failures to unify terms apparently identical but internally different in the Calculus of Inductive Constructions.

Command: Print Sorted ? Universes Subgraph ( qualid ${ }^{\star}$ ) ? string ?
This command can be used to print the constraints on the internal level of the occurrences of Type (see Sorts).
The Subgraph clause limits the printed graph to the requested names (adjusting constraints to preserve the implied transitive constraints between kept universes).

The Sorted clause makes each universe equivalent to a numbered label reflecting its level (with a linear ordering) in the universe hierarchy.
string is an optional output filename. If string ends in . dot or . gv, the constraints are printed in the DOT language, and can be processed by Graphviz tools. The format is unspecified if string doesn't end in . dot or - $9 v$.

## Polymorphic definitions

For polymorphic definitions, the declaration of (all) universe levels introduced by a definition uses the following syntax:

```
Polymorphic Definition le@{i j} (A : Type@{i}) : Type@{j}:=A.
Print le.
    le@{i j} =
    fun A : Type@{i} => A
        Type@{i} -> Type@{j}
    (* i j l= i <= j*)
    Arguments le A%type_scope
```

During refinement we find that j must be larger or equal than i, as we are using A: Type@ $\{i\}<=$ Type@ $\{j\}$, hence the generated constraint. At the end of a definition or proof, we check that the only remaining universes are the ones declared. In the term and in general in proof mode, introduced universe names can be referred to in terms. Note that local universe names shadow global universe names. During a proof, one can use Show Universes to display the current context of universes.

It is possible to provide only some universe levels and let Coq infer the others by adding a + in the list of bound universe levels:

```
Fail Definition foobar@{u} : Type@{u} := Type.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Universe Top.132 (Toplevel input, characters 3844-3848) is unbound.
Definition foobar@{u +} : Type@{u} := Type.
    foobar is defined
Set Printing Universes.
Print foobar.
    foobar@{u u0} = Type@{u0}
        : Type@ {u}
    (* u uO l= uO < u*)
```

This can be used to find which universes need to be explicitly bound in a given definition.
Definitions can also be instantiated explicitly, giving their full instance:

```
Check (pidentity@{Set}).
    pidentity@{Set}
        : ?A -> ?A
    where
    ?A : [ | - Set]
Monomorphic Universes k l.
Check (le@{k l}).
    le@{k l}
        : Type@{k} -> Type@ { l }
    (* {} l=k<=I *)
```

User-named universes and the anonymous universe implicitly attached to an explicit Type are considered rigid for unification and are never minimized. Flexible anonymous universes can be produced with an underscore or by omitting the annotation to a polymorphic definition.

```
Check (fun x => x) : Type -> Type.
    (fun x : Type@{Top.137} => x) : Type@{Top.137} -> Type@{Top.138}
            : Type@{Top.137} -> Type@{Top.138}
    (* {Top.138 Top.137} I= Top.137 <= Top.138 *)
Check (fun x => x) : Type -> Type@{_}.
    (fun x : Type@{Top.139} => x) : Type@{Top.139} -> Type@{Top.139}
            : Type@{Top.139} -> Type@{Top.139}
    (* {Top.139} I= *)
Check le@{k _}.
    le@{k k}
        : Type@{k} -> Type@ {k}
Check le.
    le@{Top. }14
    Top.142}
        :Type@{Top.142 } -> Type@{Top.142}
    (* {Top.142} l= *)
```


## Flag: Strict Universe Declaration

Turning this flag off allows one to freely use identifiers for universes without declaring them first, with the semantics that the first use declares it. In this mode, the universe names are not associated with the definition or proof once it has been defined. This is meant mainly for debugging purposes.

## Flag: Private Polymorphic Universes

This flag, on by default, removes universes which appear only in the body of an opaque polymorphic definition from the definition's universe arguments. As such, no value needs to be provided for these universes when instantiating the definition. Universe constraints are automatically adjusted.
Consider the following definition:

```
Lemma foo@{i} : Type@{i}.
Proof. exact Type. Qed.
Print foo.
    foo@{i} =
    Type@ { Top.145}
        : Type@{i}
    (* Public universes:
    i l= Set < i
    Private universes:
    {Top.145} | = Top.145 < i *)
```

The universe Top. xxx for the Type in the body cannot be accessed, we only care that one exists for any instantiation of the universes appearing in the type of foo. This is guaranteed when the transitive constraint Set <= Top. xxx < i is verified. Then when using the constant we don't need to put a value for the inner universe:

```
Check foo@{_}.
    foo@{Top.146}
        : Type@{Top.146}
    (* {Top.146} I= Set < Top.146 *)
```

and when not looking at the body we don't mention the private universe:

```
About foo.
    foo@{i} : Type@{i}
    (* i /= Set < i *)
    foo is universe polymorphic
    foo is opaque
    Expands to: Constant Top.foo
```

To recover the same behavior with regard to universes as Defined, the Private Polymorphic Universes flag may be unset:

```
Unset Private Polymorphic Universes.
Lemma bar : Type. Proof. exact Type. Qed.
About bar.
    bar@{u u0} : Type@{u}
    (* u u0 l= u0 < u *)
    bar is universe polymorphic
    bar is opaque
    Expands to: Constant Top.bar
Fail Check bar@{_}.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Universe instance length is 1 but should be 2.
```

```
Check bar@{_ _}.
    bar@{Top.150
    Top.151}
        : Type@ {Top.150 }
    (* {Top.151 Top.150} I= Top.151 < Top.150 *)
```

Note that named universes are always public.

```
Set Private Polymorphic Universes.
Unset Strict Universe Declaration.
Lemma baz : Type@{outer}. Proof. exact Type@{inner}. Qed.
About baz.
    baz@{outer inner} : Type@{outer}
    (* outer inner l= inner < outer *)
    baz is universe polymorphic
    baz is opaque
    Expands to: Constant Top.baz
```


## Universe polymorphism and sections

Variables, Context, Universe and Constraint in a section support polymorphism. This means that the universe variables and their associated constraints are discharged polymorphically over definitions that use them. In other words, two definitions in the section sharing a common variable will both get parameterized by the universes produced by the variable declaration. This is in contrast to a "mononorphic" variable which introduces global universes and constraints, making the two definitions depend on the same global universes associated with the variable.

It is possible to mix universe polymorphism and monomorphism in sections, except in the following ways:

- no monomorphic constraint may refer to a polymorphic universe:

```
Section Foo.
    Polymorphic Universe i.
    Fail Constraint i = i.
        The command has indeed failed with message:
        Cannot add monomorphic constraints which refer to section polymorphicu
    \hookrightarrowuniverses.
```

This includes constraints implicitly declared by commands such as Variable, which may need to be used with universe polymorphism activated (locally by attribute or globally by option):

```
Fail Variable A : (Type@{i} : Type).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Cannot add monomorphic constraints which refer to section polymorphic\smile
    suniverses.
Polymorphic Variable A : (Type@{i} : Type).
    A is declared
```

(in the above example the anonymous Type constrains polymorphic universe $i$ to be strictly smaller.)

- no monomorphic constant or inductive may be declared if polymorphic universes or universe constraints are present.

These restrictions are required in order to produce a sensible result when closing the section (the requirement on constants and inductive types is stricter than the one on constraints, because constants and inductives are abstracted by all the section's polymorphic universes and constraints).

### 2.1.15 SProp (proof irrelevant propositions)

Warning: The status of strict propositions is experimental.
In particular, conversion checking through bytecode or native code compilation currently does not understand proof irrelevance.

This section describes the extension of Coq with definitionally proof irrelevant propositions (types in the sort SProp, also known as strict propositions) as described in [GCST19].

Use of SProp may be disabled by passing -disallow-sprop to the Coq program or by turning the Allow StrictProp flag off.

## Flag: Allow StrictProp

This flag enables or disables the use of SProp. It is enabled by default. The command-line flag -disallow-sprop disables SProp at startup.

Error: SProp is disallowed because the "Allow StrictProp" flag is off.
Some of the definitions described in this document are available through Coq. Logic.StrictProp, which see.

## Basic constructs

The purpose of SProp is to provide types where all elements are convertible:

```
Theorem irrelevance (A : SProp) (P : A -> Prop) : forall x : A, P x -> forall y : A, u
    ๑P y.
        1 \text { goal}
            A : SProp
            P : A -> Prop
            =============================
            forall x : A, P x -> forall y : A, P y
Proof.
intros * Hx *.
    goal
        A : SProp
        P : A -> Prop
        x : A
        Hx : P x
        y : A
        =============================
        P Y
exact Hx.
    No more goals.
Qed.
```

Since we have definitional $\eta$-expansion for functions, the property of being a type of definitionally irrelevant values is impredicative, and so is SProp:

```
Check fun (A:Type) (B:A -> SProp) => (forall x:A, B x) : SProp.
    fun (A : Type) (B : A -> SProp) => (forall x : A, B x) : SProp
        : forall A : Type, (A -> SProp) -> SProp
```

In order to keep conversion tractable, cumulativity for SProp is forbidden, unless the Cumulative StrictProp flag is turned on:

```
Fail Check (fun (A:SProp) => A : Type).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    A : SProp
    The term "A" has type "SProp" while it is expected to have type "Type".
Set Cumulative StrictProp.
Check (fun (A:SProp) => A : Type).
    fun A : SProp => A : Type
        : SProp -> Type
```

We can explicitly lift strict propositions into the relevant world by using a wrapping inductive type. The inductive stops definitional proof irrelevance from escaping.

```
Inductive Box (A:SProp) : Prop := box : A -> Box A.
Arguments box {_} _.
Fail Check fun (A:SProp) (x y : Box A) => eq_refl : x = y.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    A : SProp
    x : Box A
    y : Box A
    The term "eq_refl" has type "x = x" while it is expected to have type
    "x = y" (cannot unify "x" and "Y").
Definition box_irrelevant (A:SProp) (x y : Box A) : x = y
    := match x, y with box x, box y => eq_refl end.
```

In the other direction, we can use impredicativity to "squash" a relevant type, making an irrelevant approximation.

```
Definition iSquash (A:Type) : SProp
    := forall P : SProp, (A -> P) -> P.
Definition isquash A : A >> iSquash A
    := fun a P f => f a.
Definition iSquash_sind A (P : iSquash A -> SProp) (H : forall x : A, P (isquash A x))
    : forall x : iSquash A, P x
    := fun x => x (P x) (H:A P P x).
```

Or more conveniently (but equivalently)

```
Inductive Squash (A:Type) : SProp := squash : A -> Squash A.
```

Most inductives types defined in SProp are squashed types, i.e. they can only be eliminated to construct proofs of other strict propositions. Empty types are the only exception.

```
Inductive sEmpty : SProp := .
```

```
Check sEmpty_rect.
    sEmpty_rect
        : forall (P : sEmpty -> Type) (s : sEmpty), P s
```

Note: Eliminators to strict propositions are called foo_sind, in the same way that eliminators to propositions are called foo_ind.

Primitive records in SProp are allowed when fields are strict propositions, for instance:

```
Set Primitive Projections.
Record sProd (A B : SProp) : SProp := { sfst : A; ssnd : B }.
```

On the other hand, to avoid having definitionally irrelevant types in non-SProp sorts (through record $\eta$-extensionality), primitive records in relevant sorts must have at least one relevant field.

```
Set Warnings "+non-primitive-record".
Fail Record rBox (A:SProp) : Prop := rbox { runbox : A }.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The record rBox could not be defined as a primitive record.
    [non-primitive-record,record]
Record ssig (A:Type) (P:A -> SProp) : Type := { spr1:A; spr2 : P spr1 }.
```

Note that rB Box works as an emulated record, which is equivalent to the Box inductive.

## Encodings for strict propositions

The elimination for unit types can be encoded by a trivial function thanks to proof irrelevance:

```
Inductive sUnit : SProp := stt.
Definition sUnit_rect (P:sUnit->Type) (v:P stt) (x:sUnit) : P x := v.
```

By using empty and unit types as base values, we can encode other strict propositions. For instance:

```
Definition is_true (b:bool) : SProp := if b then sUnit else sEmpty.
Definition is_true_eq_true b : is_true b -> true = b
    := match b with
        | true => fun _ => eq_refl
        | false => sEmpty_ind
        end.
Definition eq_true_is_true b (H:true=b) : is_true b
    := match H in _ = x return is_true x with eq_refl => stt end.
```


## Definitional UIP

## Flag: Definitional UIP

This flag, off by default, allows the declaration of non-squashed inductive types with 1 constructor which takes no argument in SProp. Since this includes equality types, it provides definitional uniqueness of identity proofs.
Because squashing is a universe restriction, unsetting Universe Checking is stronger than setting Definitional UIP.

Definitional UIP involves a special reduction rule through which reduction depends on conversion. Consider the following code:

```
Set Definitional UIP.
Inductive seq {A} (a:A) : A -> SProp :=
    srefl : seq a a.
Axiom e : seq 0 0.
Definition hidden_arrow := match e return Set with srefl _ => nat -> nat end.
Check (fun (f : hidden_arrow) (x:nat) => (f : nat -> nat) x).
```

By the usual reduction rules hidden_arrow is a stuck match, but by proof irrelevance e is convertible to srefl 0 and then by congruence hidden_arrow is convertible to nat -> nat.

The special reduction reduces any match on a type which uses definitional UIP when the indices are convertible to those of the constructor. For seq, this means a match on a value of type seq $x y$ reduces if and only if $x$ and $y$ are convertible.

Such matches are indicated in the printed representation by inserting a cast around the discriminee:

```
hidden_arrow = match e : seq 0 0 with
            | srefl _ => nat -> nat
            end
    : Set
```


## Non Termination with UIP

The special reduction rule of UIP combined with an impredicative sort breaks termination of reduction [AC19]:

```
Axiom all_eq : forall (P Q:Prop), P -> Q -> seq P Q.
    all_eq is declared
Definition transport (P Q:Prop) (x:P) (y:Q) : Q
:= match all_eq P Q x y with srefl _ => x end.
    transport is defined
Definition top : Prop := forall P : Prop, P -> P.
    top is defined
Definition c : top :=
    fun P p =>
    transport
    (top -> top)
    P
```

```
    (fun x : top => x (top -> top) (fun x => x) x)
p.
    c is defined
```

Fail Timeout 1 Eval lazy in $c(t o p ~->~ t o p) ~(f u n ~ x ~=>x) c$.
The command has indeed failed with message:
Timeout!

The term $c$ (top $->$ top) (fun $x=>x$ ) cinfinitely reduces to itself.

## Issues with non-cumulativity

During normal term elaboration, we don't always know that a type is a strict proposition early enough. For instance:

```
Definition constant_0 : ?[T] -> nat := fun _ : sUnit => 0.
```

While checking the type of the constant, we only know that ? [T] must inhabit some sort. Putting it in some floating universe $u$ would disallow instantiating it by sUnit : SProp.

In order to make the system usable without having to annotate every instance of SProp, we consider SProp to be a subtype of every universe during elaboration (i.e. outside the kernel). Then once we have a fully elaborated term it is sent to the kernel which will check that we didn't actually need cumulativity of SProp (in the example above, u doesn't appear in the final term).
This means that some errors will be delayed until Qed:

```
Lemma foo : Prop.
Proof. pose (fun A : SProp => A : Type); exact True.
Fail Qed.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    A : SProp
    The term "A" has type "SProp" while it is expected to have type "Type".
```

Abort.

## Flag: Elaboration StrictProp Cumulativity

Unset this flag (it is on by default) to be strict with regard to SProp cumulativity during elaboration.
The implementation of proof irrelevance uses inferred "relevance" marks on binders to determine which variables are irrelevant. Together with non-cumulativity this allows us to avoid retyping during conversion. However during elaboration cumulativity is allowed and so the algorithm may miss some irrelevance:

```
Fail Definition late_mark := fun (A:SProp) (P:A -> Prop) x y (v:P x) => v : P y.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    A : SProp
    P : A -> Prop
    x : A
    y : A
    v : P x
    The term "V" has type "P x" while it is expected to have type "P y".
```

The binders for $x$ and $y$ are created before their type is known to be $A$, so they're not marked irrelevant. This can be avoided with sufficient annotation of binders (see irrelevance at the beginning of this chapter) or by bypassing the conversion check in tactics.

```
Definition late_mark := fun (A:SProp) (P:A -> Prop) x y (v:P x) =>
    ltac:(exact_no_check v) : P y.
```

The kernel will re-infer the marks on the fully elaborated term, and so correctly converts x and y .

## Warning: Bad relevance

This is a developer warning, disabled by default. It is emitted by the kernel when it is passed a term with incorrect relevance marks. To avoid conversion issues as in late_mark you may wish to use it to find when your tactics are producing incorrect marks.

## Flag: Cumulative StrictProp

Set this flag (it is off by default) to make the kernel accept cumulativity between SProp and other universes. This makes typechecking incomplete.

### 2.2 Language extensions

Elaboration extends the language accepted by the Coq kernel to make it easier to use. For example, this lets the user omit most type annotations because they can be inferred, call functions with implicit arguments which will be inferred as well, extend the syntax with notations, factorize branches when pattern-matching, etc. In this chapter, we present these language extensions and we give some explanations on how this language is translated down to the core language presented in the previous chapter.

### 2.2.1 Existential variables

Existential variables represent as yet unknown values.


Coq terms can include existential variables that represent unknown subterms that are eventually replaced with actual subterms.

Existential variables are generated in place of unsolved implicit arguments or "„" placeholders when using commands such as Check (see Section Requests to the environment) or when using tactics such as refine, as well as in place of unsolved instances when using tactics such that eapply. An existential variable is defined in a context, which is the context of variables of the placeholder which generated the existential variable, and a type, which is the expected type of the placeholder.
As a consequence of typing constraints, existential variables can be duplicated in such a way that they possibly appear in different contexts than their defining context. Thus, any occurrence of a given existential variable comes with an instance of its original context. In the simple case, when an existential variable denotes the placeholder which generated it, or is used in the same context as the one in which it was generated, the context is not displayed and the existential variable is represented by "?" followed by an identifier.

```
Parameter identity : forall (X:Set), X -> X.
    identity is declared
Check identity _ _.
    identity ?X ?y
        : ?X
    where
    ?X : [ | - Set]
    ?Y : [ | - ?X]
Check identity _ (fun x => _).
    identity (forall x : ?S, ?SO) (fun x : ?S => ?y)
        : forall x : ?S, ?SO
    where
    ?S : [ |- Set]
    ?S0 : [x : ?S |- Set]
    ?y : [x : ?S |- ?S0]
```

In the general case, when an existential variable ? ident appears outside its context of definition, its instance, written in the form $\left\{\right.$ ident $\left.:=\operatorname{term}_{\underset{;}{*}}^{\stackrel{\star}{2}}\right\}$, is appended to its name, indicating how the variables of its defining context are instantiated. Only the variables that are defined in another context are displayed: this is why an existential variable used in the same context as its context of definition is written with no instance. This behavior may be changed: see Explicit display of existential instances for pretty-printing.

```
Check (fun x y => _) 0 1.
    (fun x y : nat => ?y) 0 1
        : ?T@{x:=0; y:=1}
    where
    ?T : [x : nat y : nat |- Type]
    ?y : [x : nat y : nat |- ?T]
```

Existential variables can be named by the user upon creation using the syntax ? [ident]. This is useful when the existential variable needs to be explicitly handled later in the script (e.g. with a named-goal selector, see Goal selectors).

## Inferable subterms

Expressions often contain redundant pieces of information. Subterms that can be automatically inferred by Coq can be replaced by the symbol _ and Coq will guess the missing piece of information.

## e* tactics that can create existential variables

A number of tactics have companion tactics that create existential variables when the base tactic would fail because of uninstantiated variables. The companion tactic names begin with an e followed by the name of the base tactic. For example, eapply works the same way as apply, except that it will create new existential variable(s) when apply would fail.

## Example: apply vs eapply

Both tactics unify the goal with $\mathbf{n}<\boldsymbol{p}$ in the theorem. m is unspecified. This makes apply fail, while eapply creates a new existential variable ?m.

```
(* Theorem lt_trans : forall n m p, n < m -> m<p -> n<p. *)
Fail apply Nat.lt_trans.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Unable to find an instance for the variable m.
eapply Nat.lt_trans.
    2 focused goals (shelved: 1)
        i, j : nat
        =============================
        i < ?m
    goal 2 is:
        ?m < j
```

The e* tactics include:

| eapply | eassert | eassumption | eauto |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ecase | econstructor | edestruct | ediscriminate |
| eelim | eenough | eexact | eexists |
| einduction | einjection | eintros | eleft |
| epose | eremember | erewrite | eright |
| eset | esimplify_eq | esplit | etransitivity |

Note that eassumption and eauto behave differently from the others.

## Automatic resolution of existential variables

Existential variables that are used in other goals are generally resolved automatically as a side effect of other tactics.

## Example: Automatic resolution of existential variables

? $\mathbf{x}$ and $? \mathbf{m}$ are used in other goals. The exact shown below determines the values of these variables by unification, which resolves them.

```
Require Import Arith.
Set Printing Goal Names.
Goal forall n m, n <= m -> ~ m < n.
intros x y H1 H2.
    1 \text { goal (?Goal)}
        x, y : nat
        H1 : x <= y
        H2 : y < x
        =============================
        False
eapply Nat.lt_irrefl. (* creates ?x : nat as a shelved goal *)
    1 \text { focused goal (shelved: 1) (?Goal)}
        x, y : nat
```

```
        H1 : x <= Y
        H2 : y < x
        =============================
        ?x< ?x
eapply Nat.le_lt_trans. (* creates ?m : nat as a shelved goal *)
    2 ~ f o c u s e d ~ g o a l s ~ ( s h e l v e d : ~ 2 ) , ~ g o a l ~ 1 ~ ( ? G o a l ) ~
        x, y : nat
        H1 : x <= Y
        H2 : y < x
        =============================
        ?x <= ?m
    goal 2 (?Goal0) is:
        ?m}< ?
Unshelve. (* moves the shelved goals into focus--not needed and usually not done *)
    4 goals, goal 1 (?Goal)
        x, y : nat
        H1 : x <= Y
        H2 : y < x
        ==============================
        ?x}<= ?
        goal 2 (?Goal0) is:
        ?m}< ?
        goal 3 (?x) is:
            nat
    goal 4 (?m) is:
        nat
exact H1. (* resolves the first goal and by side effect ?x and ?m *)
    1 \text { goal (?Goal)}
        x, y : nat
        H1 : x <= y
        H2 : y < x
        =============================
        y<x
```

The ? $\mathbf{x}$ and ?m goals ask for proof that nat has a witness, i.e. it is not an empty type. This can be proved directly by applying a constructor of nat, which assigns values for ? $\mathbf{x}$ and $? \mathrm{~m}$. However if you choose poorly, you can end up with unprovable goals (in this case $0<0$ ). Like this:

```
4 goals, goal 1 (?Goal)
    x, y : nat
    H1 : x <= Y
    H2 : y < x
    =============================
    ?x <= ?m
goal 2 (?Goal0) is:
    ?m}< ?
goal 3 (?x) is:
```

```
    nat
    goal 4 (?m) is:
    nat
3-4: apply 0. (* assigns values to ?x and ?m *)
    2 goals, goal 1 (?Goal)
        x, y : nat
        H1 : x <= y
        H2 : y < x
        =============================
        0<= 0
    goal 2 (?Goal0) is:
    0<0
```


## Explicit display of existential instances for pretty-printing

## Flag: Printing Existential Instances

Activates the full display of how the context of an existential variable is instantiated at each of the occurrences of the existential variable. Off by default.

```
Check (fun x y => _) 0 1.
    (fun x0 y0 : nat => ?y@{x0:=x; y0:=y; x:=x0; y:=y0}) 0 1
        : ?T@{x0:=x; y0:=y; x:=0; y:=1}
    where
    ?T : [x0 : nat y0 : nat H1 : x0 <= y0 H2 : y0 < x0 x : nat y : nat
        | - Type]
    ?y : [x0 : nat y0 : nat H1 : x0 <= y0 H2 : y0 < x0 x : nat y : nat
        |- ?T]
Set Printing Existential Instances.
Check (fun x y => _) 0 1.
    (fun x0 y0 : nat => ?y@{x0:=x; y0:=y; H1:=H1; H2:=H2; x:=x0; y:=y0}) 0 1
        : ?T@{x0:=x; y0:=y; H1:=H1; H2:=H2; x:=0; y:=1}
    where
    ?T : [x0 : nat y0 : nat H1 : x0 <= y0 H2 : y0 < x0 x : nat y : nat
        | - Type]
    ?y : [x0 : nat y0 : nat H1 : x0 <= y0 H2 : y0 < x0 x : nat y : nat
        |- ?T@{x0:=x0; y0:=y0; H1:=H1; H2:=H2; x:=x; y:=y}]
```


## Solving existential variables using tactics

Instead of letting the unification engine try to solve an existential variable by itself, one can also provide an explicit hole together with a tactic to solve it. Using the syntax ltac: (tacexpr), the user can put a tactic anywhere a term is expected. The order of resolution is not specified and is implementation-dependent. The inner tactic may use any variable defined in its scope, including repeated alternations between variables introduced by term binding as well as those introduced by tactic binding. The expression tacexpr can be any tactic expression as described in Ltac.

```
Definition foo (x : nat) : nat := ltac:(exact x).
    foo is defined
```

This construction is useful when one wants to define complicated terms using highly automated tactics without resorting to writing the proof-term by means of the interactive proof engine.

### 2.2.2 Implicit arguments

An implicit argument of a function is an argument which can be inferred from contextual knowledge. There are different kinds of implicit arguments that can be considered implicit in different ways. There are also various commands to control the setting or the inference of implicit arguments.

## The different kinds of implicit arguments

## Implicit arguments inferable from the knowledge of other arguments of a function

The first kind of implicit arguments covers the arguments that are inferable from the knowledge of the type of other arguments of the function, or of the type of the surrounding context of the application. Especially, such implicit arguments correspond to parameters dependent in the type of the function. Typical implicit arguments are the type arguments in polymorphic functions. There are several kinds of such implicit arguments.

## Strict Implicit Arguments

An implicit argument can be either strict or non-strict. An implicit argument is said to be strict if, whatever the other arguments of the function are, it is still inferable from the type of some other argument. Technically, an implicit argument is strict if it corresponds to a parameter which is not applied to a variable which itself is another parameter of the function (since this parameter may erase its arguments), not in the body of a match, and not itself applied or matched against patterns (since the original form of the argument can be lost by reduction).

For instance, the first argument of

```
cons: forall A:Set, A -> list A -> list A
```

in module List. v is strict because list is an inductive type and A will always be inferable from the type list A of the third argument of cons. Also, the first argument of cons is strict with respect to the second one, since the first argument is exactly the type of the second argument. On the contrary, the second argument of a term of type

```
forall P:nat->Prop, forall n:nat, P n -> ex nat P
```

is implicit but not strict, since it can only be inferred from the type $P n$ of the third argument and if $P$ is, e.g., fun _ $\Rightarrow$ True, it reduces to an expression where $n$ does not occur any longer. The first argument $P$ is implicit but not strict either because it can only be inferred from $P n$ and $P$ is not canonically inferable from an arbitrary $n$ and the normal form of $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{n}$. Consider, e.g., that n is 0 and the third argument has type True, then any P of the form

```
fun n => match n with 0 => True | _ => anything end
```

would be a solution of the inference problem.

## Contextual Implicit Arguments

An implicit argument can be contextual or not. An implicit argument is said to be contextual if it can be inferred only from the knowledge of the type of the context of the current expression. For instance, the only argument of:

```
nil : forall A:Set, list A
```

is contextual. Similarly, both arguments of a term of type:

```
forall P:nat->Prop, forall n:nat, P n \/ n = 0
```

are contextual (moreover, $n$ is strict and $P$ is not).

## Reversible-Pattern Implicit Arguments

There is another class of implicit arguments that can be reinferred unambiguously if all the types of the remaining arguments are known. This is the class of implicit arguments occurring in the type of another argument in position of reversible pattern, which means it is at the head of an application but applied only to uninstantiated distinct variables. Such an implicit argument is called reversible- pattern implicit argument. A typical example is the argument P of nat_rec in

```
nat_rec : forall P : nat -> Set, P 0 ->
    (forall n : nat, P n -> P (S n)) -> forall x : nat, P x
```

( $P$ is reinferable by abstracting over $n$ in the type $P n$ ).
See Controlling reversible-pattern implicit arguments for the automatic declaration of reversible-pattern implicit arguments.

## Implicit arguments inferable by resolution

This corresponds to a class of non-dependent implicit arguments that are solved based on the structure of their type only.

## Maximal and non-maximal insertion of implicit arguments

When a function is partially applied and the next argument to apply is an implicit argument, the application can be interpreted in two ways. If the next argument is declared as maximally inserted, the partial application will include that argument. Otherwise, the argument is non-maximally inserted and the partial application will not include that argument.
Each implicit argument can be declared to be inserted maximally or non maximally. In Coq, maximally inserted implicit arguments are written between curly braces " $\}$ " and non-maximally inserted implicit arguments are written in square brackets " [ ]".

## See also:

```
Maximal Implicit Insertion
```


## Trailing Implicit Arguments

An implicit argument is considered trailing when all following arguments are implicit. Trailing implicit arguments must be declared as maximally inserted; otherwise they would never be inserted.

```
Error: Argument name is a trailing implicit, so it can't be declared non maximal. Please use
```

For instance:

```
Fail Definition double [n] := n + n.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Argument n is a trailing implicit, so it can't be declared non maximal.
    Please use { } instead of [ ].
```


## Casual use of implicit arguments

If an argument of a function application can be inferred from the type of the other arguments, the user can force inference of the argument by replacing it with.$_{\text {. }}$
Error: Cannot infer a term for this placeholder.
Coq was not able to deduce an instantiation of a "_".

## Declaration of implicit arguments

Implicit arguments can be declared when a function is declared or afterwards, using the Arguments command.

## Implicit Argument Binders



In the context of a function definition, these forms specify that name is an implicit argument. The first form, with curly braces, makes name a maximally inserted implicit argument. The second form, with square brackets, makes name a non-maximally inserted implicit argument.

For example:

```
Definition id {A : Type} (x : A) : A := x.
    id is defined
```

declares the argument $A$ of id as a maximally inserted implicit argument. A may be omitted in applications of id but may be specified if needed:

```
Definition compose {A B C} (g: B -> C) (f : A -> B) := fun x => g (f x).
    compose is defined
Goal forall A, compose id id = id (A:=A).
    1 goal
        ============================
        forall A : Type, compose id id = id
```

For non-maximally inserted implicit arguments, use square brackets:

```
Fixpoint map [A B : Type] (f : A -> B) (l : list A) : list B :=
    match l with
    | nil => nil
    | cons a t => cons (f a) (map f t)
    end.
        map is defined
        map is recursively defined (guarded on 4th argument)
Print Implicit map.
    map : forall [A B : Type], (A -> B) -> list A -> list B
    Arguments A, B are implicit
```

For (co)inductive datatype declarations, the semantics are the following: an inductive parameter declared as an implicit argument need not be repeated in the inductive definition and will become implicit for the inductive type and the constructors. For example:

```
Inductive list {A : Type} : Type :=
| nil : list
| cons : A -> list -> list.
    list is defined
    list_rect is defined
    list_ind is defined
    list_rec is defined
    list_sind is defined
Print list.
    Inductive list (A : Type) : Type := nil : list | cons : A -> list -> list.
    Arguments list {A}%type_scope
    Arguments nil {A}%type_scope
    Arguments cons {A}%type_scope _ _
```

One can always specify the parameter if it is not uniform using the usual implicit arguments disambiguation syntax.
The syntax is also supported in internal binders. For instance, in the following kinds of expressions, the type of each declaration present in binder ${ }^{\star}$ can be bracketed to mark the declaration as implicit:

- fun (ident:forall binder *, type) => term,
- forall (ident:forall binder ${ }^{*}$, type), type,
- let ident binder ${ }^{*}:=$ term in term,
- fix ident binder ${ }^{\star}:=$ term in termand
- cofix ident binder ${ }^{\star}:=$ term in term.

Here is an example:

```
Axiom Ax :
    forall (f:forall {A} (a:A), A * A),
    let g {A} (x y:A) := (x,y) in
    f 0 = g 0 0.
            Ax is declared
```


## Warning: Ignoring implicit binder declaration in unexpected position

This is triggered when setting an argument implicit in an expression which does not correspond to the type of an assumption or to the body of a definition. Here is an example:

```
Definition f := forall {y}, y = 0.
    map is defined
    map is recursively defined (guarded on 4th argument)
    list is defined
    list_rect is defined
    list_ind is defined
    list_rec is defined
    list_sind is defined
    Ax is declared
    Toplevel input, characters 24-25:
    > Definition f := forall {y}, y = 0.
    >
    Warning: Ignoring implicit binder declaration in unexpected position.
    [unexpected-implicit-declaration,syntax]
    f is defined
```


## Warning: Making shadowed name of implicit argument accessible by position

This is triggered when two variables of same name are set implicit in the same block of binders, in which case the first occurrence is considered to be unnamed. Here is an example:

```
Check let g {x:nat} (H:x=x) {x} (H:x=x) := x in 0.
    Toplevel input, characters 0-50:
    > Check let g {x:nat} (H:x=x) {x} (H:x=x) := x in 0.
    > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
    Warning: Making shadowed name of implicit argument accessible by position.
    [shadowed-implicit-name, syntax]
    let g:= fun (x : nat) (H: x = x) (x0 : ?A@{x0:=x}) (_ : x0 = x0) => x0 in 0
            : nat
    where
    ?A : [x0 : nat H : x0 = x0 x : ?A | - Type] (x cannot be used)
```


## Mode for automatic declaration of implicit arguments

## Flag: Implicit Arguments

This flag (off by default) allows to systematically declare implicit the arguments detectable as such. Auto-detection of implicit arguments is governed by flags controlling whether strict and contextual implicit arguments have to be considered or not.

## Controlling strict implicit arguments

## Flag: Strict Implicit

When the mode for automatic declaration of implicit arguments is on, the default is to automatically set implicit only the strict implicit arguments plus, for historical reasons, a small subset of the non-strict implicit arguments. To relax this constraint and to set implicit all non-strict implicit arguments by default, you can turn this flag off.

Flag: Strongly Strict Implicit
Use this flag (off by default) to capture exactly the strict implicit arguments and no more than the strict implicit arguments.

## Controlling contextual implicit arguments

## Flag: Contextual Implicit

By default, Coq does not automatically set implicit the contextual implicit arguments. You can turn this flag on to tell Coq to also infer contextual implicit argument.

## Controlling reversible-pattern implicit arguments

Flag: Reversible Pattern Implicit
By default, Coq does not automatically set implicit the reversible-pattern implicit arguments. You can turn this flag on to tell Coq to also infer reversible-pattern implicit argument.

## Controlling the insertion of implicit arguments not followed by explicit arguments

## Flag: Maximal Implicit Insertion

Assuming the implicit argument mode is on, this flag (off by default) declares implicit arguments to be automatically inserted when a function is partially applied and the next argument of the function is an implicit one.

## Combining manual declaration and automatic declaration

When some arguments are manually specified implicit with binders in a definition and the automatic declaration mode in on, the manual implicit arguments are added to the automatically declared ones.
In that case, and when the flag Maximal Implicit Insertion is set to off, some trailing implicit arguments can be inferred to be non-maximally inserted. In this case, they are converted to maximally inserted ones.

```
Example
Set Implicit Arguments.
Axiom eq0_le0 : forall ( }\textrm{n}: nat\mathrm{ ) ( }\textrm{x}:\textrm{n}=0\mathrm{ ), n <= 0.
    eq0_le0 is declared
Print Implicit eq0_le0.
    eq0_le0 : forall [n : nat], n = 0 -> n <= 0
    Argument n is implicit
Axiom eq0_le0' : forall (n : nat) {x : n = 0}, n <= 0.
    Argument n is a trailing implicit, so it has been declared maximally
    inserted.
    eq0_le0' is declared
Print Implicit eq0_le0'.
    eq0_le0' : forall {n : nat}, n = 0 -> n <= 0
    Arguments n, x are implicit and maximally inserted
```


## Explicit applications

In presence of non-strict or contextual arguments, or in presence of partial applications, the synthesis of implicit arguments may fail, so one may have to explicitly give certain implicit arguments of an application.
To instantiate a dependent implicit argument, use the (ident $:=$ term) form of arg, where ident is the name of the implicit argument and term is its corresponding explicit term.

To instantiate a non-dependent implicit argument, use the (natural := term) form of arg, where natural is the index of the implicit argument among all non-dependent arguments of the function (implicit or not, and starting from $1)$ and $t e r m$ is its corresponding explicit term.

Alternatively, one can deactivate the hiding of implicit arguments for a single function application using the @qualid_annotated term $^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ form of term_application.

## Example: Syntax for explicitly giving implicit arguments (continued)

```
Parameter X : Type.
    X is declared
Definition Relation := X -> X -> Prop.
    eq0_le0 is declared
    Argument n is a trailing implicit, so it has been declared maximally
    inserted.
    eq0_le0' is declared
    X is declared
    Relation is defined
Definition Transitivity (R:Relation) := forall x y:X, R x y -> forall z:X, R y z -> R
    4 Z.
    Transitivity is defined
Parameters (R : Relation) (p : Transitivity R).
    R is declared
    p is declared
Arguments p : default implicits.
Print Implicit p.
    p : forall [x y : X], R x y -> forall z : X, R y z -> R x z
    Arguments x, y, z are implicit
Parameters (a b c : X) (r1 : R a b) (r2 : R b c).
    a is declared
    b is declared
    c is declared
    r1 is declared
    r2 is declared
Check (p r1 (z:=c)).
    p r1 (z:=c)
        : R b c -> R a c
Check (p (x:=a) (y:=b) r1 (z:=c) r2).
    p r1 r2
        : R a c
```

Error: Wrong argument name
Error: Wrong argument position
Error: Argument at position natural is mentioned more than once
Error: Arguments given by name or position not supported in explicit mode
Error: Not enough non implicit arguments to accept the argument bound to ident
Error: Not enough non implicit arguments to accept the argument bound to natural

## Displaying implicit arguments

## Command: Print Implicit reference

Displays the implicit arguments associated with an object, identifying which arguments are applied maximally or not.

## Displaying implicit arguments when pretty-printing

## Flag: Printing Implicit

By default, the basic pretty-printing rules hide the inferable implicit arguments of an application. Turn this flag on to force printing all implicit arguments.

## Flag: Printing Implicit Defensive

By default, the basic pretty-printing rules display implicit arguments that are not detected as strict implicit arguments. This "defensive" mode can quickly make the display cumbersome so this can be deactivated by turning this flag off.

## See also:

```
Printing All.
```


## Interaction with subtyping

When an implicit argument can be inferred from the type of more than one of the other arguments, then only the type of the first of these arguments is taken into account, and not an upper type of all of them. As a consequence, the inference of the implicit argument of " $=$ " fails in

```
Fail Check nat = Prop.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "Prop" has type "Type" while it is expected to have type
    "Set" (universe inconsistency: Cannot enforce Set+1 <= Set).
```

but succeeds in

```
Check Prop = nat.
    Prop = nat
        : Prop
```


## Deactivation of implicit arguments for parsing

term_explicit : := @ qualid_annotated

This syntax can be used to disable implicit arguments for a single function.

## Example

The function id has one implicit argument and one explicit argument.

```
Check (id 0).
    id 0
        : nat
Definition id' := @id.
    id' is defined
```

The function id ' has no implicit argument.

```
Check (id' nat 0).
    id' nat 0
            : nat
```


## Flag: Parsing Explicit

Turning this flag on (it is off by default) deactivates the use of implicit arguments.
In this case, all arguments of constants, inductive types, constructors, etc, including the arguments declared as implicit, have to be given as if no arguments were implicit. By symmetry, this also affects printing.

## Example

We can reproduce the example above using the Parsing Explicit flag:

```
Set Parsing Explicit.
Definition id' := id.
    id' is defined
Unset Parsing Explicit.
Check (id 1).
    id 1
            : nat
Check (id' nat 1).
    id' nat 1
            : nat
```


## Implicit types of variables

It is possible to bind variable names to a given type (e.g. in a development using arithmetic, it may be convenient to bind the names $n$ or $m$ to the type nat of natural numbers).
Command: Implicit Type Types reserv_list

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { reserv_list } & ::=\frac{(\text { simple_reserv })}{}{ }^{+} \\
\text {simple_reserv } \\
\text { simple_reserv } & ::=\text { ident }^{+}: \text {type }
\end{array}
$$

Sets the type of bound variables starting with ident (either ident itself or ident followed by one or more single quotes, underscore or digits) to type (unless the bound variable is already declared with an explicit type, in which case, that type will be used).

```
Example
Require Import List.
Implicit Types m n : nat.
Lemma cons_inj_nat : forall m n l, n :: l = m :: l -> n = m.
    1 goal
        ==============================
        forall m n (l : list nat), n : : l = m :: l -> n = m
Proof. intros m n. Abort.
    1 \text { goal}
        m, n : nat
        =============================
        forall l : list nat, n :: l = m :: l -> n = m
Lemma cons_inj_bool : forall (m n:bool) l, n :: l = m :: l -> n = m.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall (m n : bool) (l : list bool), n :: l = m :: l -> n = m
```

Abort.

## Flag: Printing Use Implicit Types

By default, the type of bound variables is not printed when the variable name is associated with an implicit type which matches the actual type of the variable. This feature can be deactivated by turning this flag off.

Implicit generalization


Implicit generalization is an automatic elaboration of a statement with free variables into a closed statement where these variables are quantified explicitly. Use the Generalizable command to designate which variables should be generalized.

It is activated within a binder by prefixing it with ', and for terms by surrounding it with ' $\}$, or '[] or '( ).
Terms surrounded by ' $\}$ introduce their free variables as maximally inserted implicit arguments, terms surrounded by '[ ] introduce them as non-maximally inserted implicit arguments and terms surrounded by '( ) introduce them as explicit arguments.

Generalizing binders always introduce their free variables as maximally inserted implicit arguments. The binder itself introduces its argument as usual.

In the following statement, $A$ and $y$ are automatically generalized, $A$ is implicit and $x, y$ and the anonymous equality argument are explicit.

```
Generalizable All Variables.
Definition sym `(x:A) : `(x = y -> y = x) := fun _ p => eq_sym p.
    sym is defined
Print sym.
    sym =
    fun (A : Type) (x y : A) (p : x = y) => eq_sym p
            : forall (A : Type) (x y : A), x = y }->\textrm{y}=\textrm{y}=\textrm{x
    Arguments sym {A}%type_scope x Y _
```

Dually to normal binders, the name is optional but the type is required:

```
Check (forall ` {x= Y :> A}, y = x).
    forall (A : Type) (x y : A), x = y -> y = x
            : Prop
```

When generalizing a binder whose type is a typeclass, its own class arguments are omitted from the syntax and are generalized using automatic names, without instance search. Other arguments are also generalized unless provided. This produces a fully general statement. this behavior may be disabled by prefixing the type with a ! or by forcing the typeclass name to be an explicit application using @ (however the later ignores implicit argument information).

```
Class Op (A:Type) := op : A >> A -> A.
Class Commutative (A:Type) ` (Op A) := commutative : forall x y, op x y = op y x.
Instance nat_op : Op nat := plus.
    nat_op is defined
Set Printing Implicit.
Check (forall ` {Commutative }, True).
    forall (A : Type) (H : Op A), Commutative A H -> True
        : Prop
Check (forall `{Commutative nat}, True).
    forall H : Op nat, Commutative nat H -> True
        : Prop
Fail Check (forall `{Commutative nat _}, True).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Typeclass does not expect more arguments
Fail Check (forall `{!Commutative nat}, True).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "Commutative nat" has type "Op nat -> Prop"
    which should be Set, Prop or Type.
Arguments Commutative _ {_}.
Check (forall `{!Commutative nat}, True).
    @Commutative nat nat_op -> True
        : Prop
Check (forall `{@Commutative nat plus}, True).
    @Commutative nat Nat.add -> True
        : Prop
```

Multiple binders can be merged using, as a separator:

```
Check (forall ` {Commutative A, Hnat : !Commutative nat}, True).
    forall (A : Type) (H : Op A),
    @Commutative A H -> @Commutative nat nat_op -> True
            : Prop
```

Command: Generalizable Variable $\quad$ Variables ident $\boldsymbol{\oplus}^{\boldsymbol{+}} \quad$ All Variables No Variables
Controls the set of generalizable identifiers. By default, no variables are generalizable.
This command supports the global attribute.
The Variable this command multiple times adds to the allowed identifiers. The other forms clear the list of idents.

The All Variables form generalizes all free variables in the context that appear under a generalization delimiter. This may result in confusing errors in case of typos. In such cases, the context will probably contain some unexpected generalized variables.
The No Variables form disables implicit generalization entirely. This is the default behavior (before any Generalizable command has been entered).

### 2.2.3 Extended pattern matching

## Authors Cristina Cornes and Hugo Herbelin

This section describes the full form of pattern matching in Coq terms.

## Variants and extensions of match

## Multiple and nested pattern matching

The basic version of match allows pattern matching on simple patterns. As an extension, multiple nested patterns or disjunction of patterns are allowed, as in ML-like languages (cf. Multiple patterns and Nested patterns).

The extension just acts as a macro that is expanded during parsing into a sequence of match on simple patterns. Especially, a construction defined using the extended match is generally printed under its expanded form (see Printing Matching).

## Pattern-matching on boolean values: the if expression

$$
\text { term_if } \quad::=\quad \text { if } \text { term as name }{ }^{?} \text { return term100 } \text { then term else term }
$$

For inductive types with exactly two constructors and for pattern matching expressions that do not depend on the arguments of the constructors, it is possible to use a if ... then ... el se notation. For instance, the definition

```
Definition not (b:bool) :=
match b with
| true => false
| false => true
end.
    not is defined
```

can be alternatively written

```
Definition not (b:bool) := if b then false else true.
    not is defined
```

More generally, for an inductive type with constructors ident ${ }_{1}$ and ident ${ }_{2}$, the following terms are equal:

```
if term \(_{0}\) as name? return term then term else term \({ }_{2}\)
```



## Example

```
Check (fun x (H:{x=0}+{x<>0 }) =>
match H with
| left _ => true
| right _ => false
end).
```

```
fun (x : nat) (H: {x = 0} + {x <> 0}) => if H then true else false
```

    : forall x : nat, \(\{\mathrm{x}=0\}+\{\mathrm{x}<>0\}->\) bool
    Notice that the printing uses the if syntax because sumbool is declared as such (see Controlling pretty-printing of match expressions).

## Irrefutable patterns: the destructuring let variants

Pattern-matching on terms inhabiting inductive type having only one constructor can be alternatively written using let ... in ... constructions. There are two variants of them.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { destructuring_let }::=\operatorname{let}\left(\text { name }^{\frac{*}{,}}{ }^{*}\right) \text { as name }{ }^{\text {? }} \text { return term100 }{ }^{\text {? }}:=\text { term in term } \\
& \text { | let ' pattern }:=\text { term return term100 }{ }^{\text {? }} \text { in term } \\
& \text { | let ' pattern in pattern }:=\text { term return term100 in term }
\end{aligned}
$$

## First destructuring let syntax

The expression let $\left(\right.$ ident $_{i} \stackrel{\star}{\square}$, $):=$ term $_{0}$ in term $_{1}$ performs case analysis on term $_{0}$ whose type must be an inductive type with exactly one constructor. The number of variables ident ${ }_{i}$ must correspond to the number of arguments of this constructor. Then, in term $_{1}$, these variables are bound to the arguments of the constructor in $\operatorname{term}_{0}$. For instance, the definition

```
Definition fst (A B:Set) (H:A * B) := match H with
| pair x y => x
end.
    fst is defined
```

can be alternatively written

```
Definition fst (A B:Set) (p:A * B) := let (x, _) := p in x.
    fst is defined
```

Notice that reduction is different from regular let ... in ... construction since it happens only if $\operatorname{term}_{0}$ is in constructor form. Otherwise, the reduction is blocked.

The pretty-printing of a definition by matching on a irrefutable pattern can either be done using match or the let construction (see Section Controlling pretty-printing of match expressions).
If term inhabits an inductive type with one constructor $C$, we have an equivalence between

```
let (ident }\mp@subsup{1}{1}{\prime}..., ident?) [dep_ret_type] := term in term'
and
match term [dep_ret_type] with
C ident }\mp@subsup{1}{1}{\ldots}\mathrm{ ident? => term'
end
```


## Second destructuring let syntax

Another destructuring let syntax is available for inductive types with one constructor by giving an arbitrary pattern instead of just a tuple for all the arguments. For example, the preceding example can be written:

```
Definition fst (A B:Set) (p:A*B) := let 'pair x _ := p in x.
    fst is defined
```

This is useful to match deeper inside tuples and also to use notations for the pattern, as the syntax let $\quad \mathrm{p}:=\mathrm{t}$ in b allows arbitrary patterns to do the deconstruction. For example:

```
Definition deep_tuple (A:Set) (x:(A*A)* (A*A)) : A*A*A*A :=
let '((a,b), (c, d)) := x in (a,b,c,d).
    deep_tuple is defined
Notation " x 'With' p " := (exist _ x p) (at level 20).
    Identifier 'With' now a keyword
Definition proj1_sig' (A:Set) (P:A->Prop) (t:{x:A | P x }) : A :=
let 'x With p := t in x.
    proj1_sig' is defined
```

When printing definitions which are written using this construct it takes precedence over let printing directives for the datatype under consideration (see Section Controlling pretty-printing of match expressions).

## Controlling pretty-printing of match expressions

The following commands give some control over the pretty-printing of mat ch expressions.

## Printing nested patterns

## Flag: Printing Matching

The Calculus of Inductive Constructions knows pattern matching only over simple patterns. It is however convenient to re-factorize nested pattern matching into a single pattern matching over a nested pattern.

When this flag is on (default), Coq's printer tries to do such limited re-factorization. Turning it off tells Coq to print only simple pattern matching problems in the same way as the Coq kernel handles them.

## Factorization of clauses with same right-hand side

## Flag: Printing Factorizable Match Patterns

When several patterns share the same right-hand side, it is additionally possible to share the clauses using disjunctive patterns. Assuming that the printing matching mode is on, this flag (on by default) tells Coq's printer to try to do this kind of factorization.

## Use of a default clause

## Flag: Printing Allow Match Default Clause

When several patterns share the same right-hand side which do not depend on the arguments of the patterns, yet an extra factorization is possible: the disjunction of patterns can be replaced with a _ default clause. Assuming that the printing matching mode and the factorization mode are on, this flag (on by default) tells Coq's printer to use a default clause when relevant.

## Printing of wildcard patterns

## Flag: Printing Wildcard

Some variables in a pattern may not occur in the right-hand side of the pattern matching clause. When this flag is on (default), the variables having no occurrences in the right-hand side of the pattern matching clause are just printed using the wildcard symbol ".".

## Printing of the elimination predicate

## Flag: Printing Synth

In most of the cases, the type of the result of a matched term is mechanically synthesizable. Especially, if the result type does not depend of the matched term. When this flag is on (default), the result type is not printed when Coq knows that it can re- synthesize it.

## Printing matching on irrefutable patterns

If an inductive type has just one constructor, pattern matching can be written using the first destructuring let syntax.
Table: Printing Let qualid
This table specifies a set of qualids for which pattern matching is displayed using a let expression. Note that this only applies to pattern matching instances entered with mat ch. It doesn't affect pattern matching explicitly entered with a destructuring let. Use the $A d d$ and Remove commands to update this set.

## Printing matching on booleans

If an inductive type is isomorphic to the boolean type, pattern matching can be written using if ... then ... else.... This table controls which types are written this way:
Table: Printing If qualid
This table specifies a set of qualids for which pattern matching is displayed using if ... then ... el se .... Use the Add and Remove commands to update this set.

This example emphasizes what the printing settings offer.

## Example

```
Definition snd (A B:Set) (H:A * B) := match H with
| pair x y => y
end.
    snd is defined
```

```
Test Printing Let for prod.
    Cases on elements of prod are printed using a `let' form
Print snd.
    snd =
    fun (A B : Set) (H : A * B ) => let (_, y) := H in Y
        : forall A B : Set, A * B -> B
    Arguments snd (A B)%type_scope H
Remove Printing Let prod.
Unset Printing Synth.
Unset Printing Wildcard.
Print snd.
    snd =
    fun (A B : Set) (H : A * B ) => match H return B with
        | (x, y) => y
    end
        : forall A B : Set, A * B -> B
    Arguments snd (A B)%type_scope H
```


## Conventions about unused pattern-matching variables

Pattern-matching variables that are not used on the right-hand side of $=>$ are considered the sign of a potential error. For instance, it could result from an undetected misspelled constant constructor. By default, a warning is issued in such situations.

Warning: Unused variable ident might be a misspelled constructor. Use _ or _ident to silence This indicates that an unused pattern variable ident occurs in a pattern-matching clause.

The warning can be deactivated by using a variable name starting with _ or by setting Set Warnings "-unused-pattern-matching-variable".
Here is an example where the warning is activated.

## Example

```
Definition is_zero (o : option nat) := match o with
    | Some _ => true
    | x => false
    end.
        Toplevel input, characters 71-72:
        > Definition is_zero (o : option nat) := match o with | Some _ => true | x => = 
        false end.
            >
            Warning: Unused variable x might be a misspelled constructor. Use _ or _x to
            silence this warning. [unused-pattern-matching-variable,pattern-matching]
            is_zero is defined
```


## Patterns

The full syntax of match is presented in Definition by cases: match. Identifiers in patterns are either constructor names or variables. Any identifier that is not the constructor of an inductive or coinductive type is considered to be a variable. A variable name cannot occur more than once in a given pattern. It is recommended to start variable names by a lowercase letter.

If a pattern has the form $c x$ where $c$ is a constructor symbol and $x$ is a linear vector of (distinct) variables, it is called simple: it is the kind of pattern recognized by the basic version of match. On the opposite, if it is a variable x or has the form $c p$ with $p$ not only made of variables, the pattern is called nested.

A variable pattern matches any value, and the identifier is bound to that value. The pattern "_" (called "don't care" or "wildcard" symbol) also matches any value, but does not bind anything. It may occur an arbitrary number of times in a pattern. Alias patterns written (pattern as ident) are also accepted. This pattern matches the same values as pattern does and ident is bound to the matched value. A pattern of the form pattern $\mid$ pattern is called disjunctive. A list of patterns separated with commas is also considered as a pattern and is called multiple pattern. However multiple patterns can only occur at the root of pattern matching equations. Disjunctions of multiple patterns are allowed though.

Since extended match expressions are compiled into the primitive ones, the expressiveness of the theory remains the same. Once parsing has finished only simple patterns remain. The original nesting of the match expressions is recovered at printing time. An easy way to see the result of the expansion is to toggle off the nesting performed at printing (use here Printing Matching), then by printing the term with Print if the term is a constant, or using the command Check.

The extended match still accepts an optional elimination predicate given after the keyword return. Given a pattern matching expression, if all the right-hand-sides of $=>$ have the same type, then this type can be sometimes synthesized, and so we can omit the return part. Otherwise the predicate after return has to be provided, like for the basicmatch.

Let us illustrate through examples the different aspects of extended pattern matching. Consider for example the function that computes the maximum of two natural numbers. We can write it in primitive syntax by:

```
Fixpoint max (n m:nat) \{struct m\} : nat :=
    match \(n\) with
    | \(0=>m\)
    | \(S n^{\prime}=>\) match \(m\) with
                | \(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S} \mathrm{n}^{\prime}\)
                | \(S m^{\prime}=>S\left(\max n^{\prime} m^{\prime}\right)\)
                    end
    end.
```


## Multiple patterns

Using multiple patterns in the definition of max lets us write:

```
Fixpoint max (n m:nat) {struct m} : nat :=
    match n, m with
    | O, _ => m
    | S n', O => S n'
    | S n', S m' => S (max n' m')
    end.
```

which will be compiled into the previous form.

The pattern matching compilation strategy examines patterns from left to right. A match expression is generated only when there is at least one constructor in the column of patterns. E.g. the following example does not build a match expression.

```
Check (fun x:nat => match x return nat with
    | y => Y
    end).
    fun x : nat => x
    : nat -> nat
```


## Aliasing subpatterns

We can also use as ident to associate a name to a sub-pattern:

```
Fixpoint max (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
    match n, m with
    | O,_ => m
    | S n' as p, O => p
    | S n', S m' => S (max n' m')
    end.
```


## Nested patterns

Here is now an example of nested patterns:

```
Fixpoint even (n:nat) : bool :=
    match n with
    | O => true
    | S O => false
    | S (S n') => even n'
    end.
```

This is compiled into:

```
Unset Printing Matching.
Print even.
    even =
    fix even ( \(n\) : nat) : bool :=
        match n with
        | \(0=>\) true
        | S n0 \(=>\) match n0 with
                | 0 => false
                | \(S n^{\prime}=>\) even \(n^{\prime}\)
                end
        end
            : nat -> bool
    Arguments even n\%nat_scope
```

In the previous examples patterns do not conflict with, but sometimes it is comfortable to write patterns that admit a nontrivial superposition. Consider the boolean function lef that given two natural numbers yields true if the first one is less or equal than the second one and false otherwise. We can write it as follows:

```
Fixpoint lef (n m:nat) {struct m} : bool :=
    match n, m with
    | O, _ => true
    | _, O => false
    | S n, S m => lef n m
    end.
```

Note that the first and the second multiple pattern overlap because the couple of values $O \bigcirc$ matches both. Thus, what is the result of the function on those values? To eliminate ambiguity we use the textual priority rule: we consider patterns to be ordered from top to bottom. A value is matched by the pattern at the ith row if and only if it is not matched by some pattern from a previous row. Thus in the example, $O \bigcirc$ is matched by the first pattern, and so (lef $O \bigcirc$ ) yields true.

Another way to write this function is:

```
Fixpoint lef (n m:nat) {struct m} : bool :=
    match n, m with
    | O, _ => true
    | S n, S m => lef n m
    | _, _ => false
    end.
```

Here the last pattern superposes with the first two. Because of the priority rule, the last pattern will be used only for values that do not match neither the first nor the second one.

Terms with useless patterns are not accepted by the system. Here is an example:

```
Fail Check (fun x:nat =>
    match x with
    | O => true
    | S_ => false
    | x => true
    end).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Pattern "x" is redundant in this clause.
```


## Disjunctive patterns

 max can be rewritten as follows:

```
Fixpoint max (n m:nat) {struct m} : nat :=
    match n, m with
    | S n', S m' => S (max n' m')
    | 0, p | p, 0 => p
    end.
```

Similarly, factorization of (not necessarily multiple) patterns that share the same variables is possible by using the notation

```
pattern + . Here is an example:
Definition filter_2_4 (n:nat) : nat :=
    match n with
        | 2 as m | 4 as m => m
```

```
|_=> 0
end.
```

Nested disjunctive patterns are allowed, inside parentheses, with the notation (pattern $\underset{\mid}{+}$ ), as in:

```
Definition filter_some_square_corners (p:nat*nat) : nat*nat :=
    match p with
    | ((2 as m | 4 as m), (3 as n | 5 as n)) => (m, n)
    | _ => (0,0)
    end.
```


## About patterns of parametric types

## Parameters in patterns

When matching objects of a parametric type, parameters do not bind in patterns. They must be substituted by "_". Consider for example the type of polymorphic lists:

```
Inductive List (A:Set) : Set :=
| nil : List A
| cons : A -> List A -> List A.
```

We can check the function tail:

```
Check
    (fun l:List nat =>
        match l with
            | nil _ => nil nat
            | cons _ _ l' => l'
            end).
        fun l : List nat => match l with
                        | nil _ => nil nat
                                    | cons _ _ l' => l'
                                    end
                : List nat -> List nat
```

When we use parameters in patterns there is an error message:

```
Fail Check
    (fun l:List nat =>
        match l with
            | nil A => nil nat
            | cons A - l' => l'
            end).
        The command has indeed failed with message:
        The parameters do not bind in patterns; they must be replaced by '_'.
```


## Flag: Asymmetric Patterns

This flag (off by default) removes parameters from constructors in patterns:

```
Set Asymmetric Patterns.
Check (fun l:List nat =>
    match l with
    | nil => nil _
```

```
| cons _ l' => l'
end).
    fun l : List nat => match l with
        | @nil _ => nil nat
        | @cons _ _ l' => l'
        end
        : List nat -> List nat
Unset Asymmetric Patterns.
```


## Implicit arguments in patterns

By default, implicit arguments are omitted in patterns. So we write:

```
Arguments nil {A}.
Arguments cons [A] _ _.
Check
    (fun l:List nat =>
        match l with
            | nil => nil
            | cons _ l' => l'
            end).
        fun l : List nat => match l with
                        | nil => nil
                        | cons - l' => l'
                            end
                : List nat -> List nat
```

But the possibility to use all the arguments is given by "@" implicit explicitations (as for terms, see Explicit applications).
Check
(fun l:List nat =>
match 1 with
| @nil _ => @nil nat
| @cons _ _ $l^{\prime}=>l^{\prime}$
end).
fun 1 : List nat $=>$ match 1 with
| nil => nil
| cons - $l^{\prime}=>1^{\prime}$
end
: List nat $->$ List nat

## Matching objects of dependent types

The previous examples illustrate pattern matching on objects of non- dependent types, but we can also use the expansion strategy to destructure objects of dependent types. Consider the type listn of lists of a certain length:

```
Inductive listn : nat -> Set :=
| niln : listn 0
| consn : forall n:nat, nat -> listn n -> listn (S n).
```


## Understanding dependencies in patterns

We can define the function length over listn by:
Definition length (n:nat) (l:listn $n$ ) $:=n$.

Just for illustrating pattern matching, we can define it by case analysis:

```
Definition length (n:nat) (l:listn n) :=
    match l with
    | niln => 0
    | consn n _ _ => S n
    end.
```

We can understand the meaning of this definition using the same notions of usual pattern matching.

## When the elimination predicate must be provided

## Dependent pattern matching

The examples given so far do not need an explicit elimination predicate because all the right hand sides have the same type and Coq succeeds to synthesize it. Unfortunately when dealing with dependent patterns it often happens that we need to write cases where the types of the right hand sides are different instances of the elimination predicate. The function concat for listn is an example where the branches have different types and we need to provide the elimination predicate:

```
Fixpoint concat (n:nat) (l:listn n) (m:nat) (l':listn m) {struct l} :
    listn (n + m) :=
    match l in listn n return listn (n + m) with
        | niln => l'
        | consn n' a y => consn (n' + m) a (concat n' y m l')
    end.
```

The elimination predicate is fun (n:nat) (l:listn $n$ ) $=>$ listn ( $n+m$ ). In general if $m$ has type (I q1 ... qr t1 ... ts) where q1, ..., qr are parameters, the elimination predicate should be of the form fun y1 ... ys $x$ : (I q1 ... qr y1 ... ys ) => Q.

In the concrete syntax, it should be written : match $m$ as $x$ in ( $I$ - ... - y1 ... ys) return $Q$ with ... end. The variables which appear in the in and as clause are new and bounded in the property $Q$ in the return clause. The parameters of the inductive definitions should not be mentioned and are replaced by

## Multiple dependent pattern matching

Recall that a list of patterns is also a pattern. So, when we destructure several terms at the same time and the branches have different types we need to provide the elimination predicate for this multiple pattern. It is done using the same scheme: each term may be associated with an as clause and an in clause in order to introduce a dependent product.

For example, an equivalent definition for concat (even though the matching on the second term is trivial) would have been:

```
Fixpoint concat (n:nat) (l:listn n) (m:nat) (l':listn m) {struct l} :
    listn (n + m) :=
        match l in listn n, l' return listn (n + m) with
        | niln, x => x
```

```
| consn n' a y, x => consn (n' +m) a (concat n' y m x)
end.
```

Even without real matching over the second term, this construction can be used to keep types linked. If $a$ and $b$ are two listn of the same length, by writing

```
Check (fun n (a b: listn n) =>
    match a, b with
    | niln, b0 => tt
    | consn n' a y, bS => tt
    end).
```

we have a copy of $b$ in type listn 0 resp. listn ( $S \mathrm{n}^{\prime}$ ).

## Patterns in in

If the type of the matched term is more precise than an inductive applied to variables, arguments of the inductive in the in branch can be more complicated patterns than a variable.

Moreover, constructors whose types do not follow the same pattern will become impossible branches. In an impossible branch, you can answer anything but False_rect unit has the advantage to be subterm of anything.

To be concrete: the tail function can be written:

```
Definition tail n (v: listn (S n)) :=
    match v in listn (S m) return listn m with
    | niln => False_rect unit
    | consn n' a y => y
    end.
```

and tail n v will be subterm of v .

## Using pattern matching to write proofs

In all the previous examples the elimination predicate does not depend on the object(s) matched. But it may depend and the typical case is when we write a proof by induction or a function that yields an object of a dependent type. An example of a proof written using match is given in the description of the tactic refine.
For example, we can write the function buildlist that given a natural number $n$ builds a list of length $n$ containing zeros as follows:

```
Fixpoint buildlist (n:nat) : listn n :=
    match n return listn n with
    | O => niln
    | S n => consn n 0 (buildlist n)
    end.
```

We can also use multiple patterns. Consider the following definition of the predicate less-equal Le:

```
Inductive LE : nat -> nat -> Prop :=
    | LEO : forall n:nat, LE 0 n
    | LES : forall n m:nat, LE n m -> LE (S n) (S m).
```



```
Fixpoint dec (n m:nat) {struct n} : LE n m \/ LE m n :=
    match n, m return LE n m \/ LE m n with
    | O, x => or_introl (LE x 0) (LEO x)
    | x, O => or_intror (LE x 0) (LEO x)
    | S n as n', S m as m' =>
            match dec n m with
            | or_introl h => or_introl (LE m' n') (LES n m h)
            | or_intror h => or_intror (LE n' m') (LES m n h)
            end
    end.
```

In the example of dec, the first match is dependent while the second is not.
The user can also use match in combination with the tactic refine to build incomplete proofs beginning with a match construction.

## Pattern-matching on inductive objects involving local definitions

If local definitions (let : =) occur in the type of a constructor, then there are two ways to match on this constructor. Either the local definitions are skipped and matching is done only on the true arguments of the constructors, or the bindings for local definitions can also be caught in the matching.

```
Example
Inductive list : nat -> Set :=
| nil : list 0
| cons : forall n:nat, let m := (2 * n) in list m -> list (S (S m)).
```

In the next example, the local definition is not caught.

```
Fixpoint length n (l:list n) {struct l} : nat :=
    match l with
    | nil => 0
    | cons n lo => S (length (2 * n) l0)
    end.
```

But in this example, it is.

```
Fixpoint length' n (l:list n) {struct l} : nat :=
    match l with
    | nil => 0
    | @cons - m lo => S (length' m l0)
    end.
```

Note: For a given matching clause, either none of the local definitions or all of them can be caught.

Note: You can only catch let bindings in mode where you bind all variables and so you have to use @ syntax.

Note: this feature is incoherent with the fact that parameters cannot be caught and consequently is somehow hidden. For example, there is no mention of it in error messages.

## Pattern-matching and coercions

If a mismatch occurs between the expected type of a pattern and its actual type, a coercion made from constructors is sought. If such a coercion can be found, it is automatically inserted around the pattern.

```
Example
Inductive I : Set :=
    | C1 : nat -> I
    | C2 : I -> I.
Coercion C1 : nat >-> I.
Check (fun x => match x with
            | C2 O => 0
            |__=>0
            end).
    fun x : I => match x with
            | C1 _ | _ => 0
            end
        : I -> nat
```


## When does the expansion strategy fail?

The strategy works very like in ML languages when treating patterns of non-dependent types. But there are new cases of failure that are due to the presence of dependencies.

The error messages of the current implementation may be sometimes confusing. When the tactic fails because patterns are somehow incorrect then error messages refer to the initial expression. But the strategy may succeed to build an expression whose sub-expressions are well typed when the whole expression is not. In this situation the message makes reference to the expanded expression. We encourage users, when they have patterns with the same outer constructor in different equations, to name the variable patterns in the same positions with the same name. E.g. to write (cons $n O x$ ) $=>e 1$ and (cons $n \_x$ ) $\Rightarrow e^{2}$ instead of (cons $n O x$ ) $\Rightarrow e^{1}$ and (cons $n^{\prime} \quad x^{\prime}$ ) => e2. This helps to maintain certain name correspondence between the generated expression and the original.

Here is a summary of the error messages corresponding to each situation:
Error: The constructor ident expects natural arguments.
Error: The variable ident is bound several times in pattern term
Error: Found a constructor of inductive type term while a constructor of term is expected
Patterns are incorrect (because constructors are not applied to the correct number of arguments, because they are not linear or they are wrongly typed).

## Error: Non exhaustive pattern matching.

The pattern matching is not exhaustive.
Error: The elimination predicate term should be of arity natural (for non dependent case) or The elimination predicate provided to match has not the expected arity.

```
Error: Unable to infer a match predicate
Error: Either there is a type incompatibility or the problem involves dependencies.
```

There is a type mismatch between the different branches. The user should provide an elimination predicate.

### 2.2.4 Syntax extensions and notation scopes

In this chapter, we introduce advanced commands to modify the way Coq parses and prints objects, i.e. the translations between the concrete and internal representations of terms and commands.
The main commands to provide custom symbolic notations for terms are Notation and Infix; they will be described in the next section. There is also a variant of Notation which does not modify the parser; this provides a form of abbreviation. It is sometimes expected that the same symbolic notation has different meanings in different contexts; to achieve this form of overloading, Coq offers a notion of notation scopes. The main command to provide custom notations for tactics is Tactic Notation.

## Notations

## Basic notations

Command: Notation string := one_term ( syntax_modifier ${ }_{4}^{+}$) ? ? scope_name ?
Defines a notation, an alternate syntax for entering or displaying a specific term or term pattern.
This command supports the local attribute, which limits its effect to the current module. If the command is inside a section, its effect is limited to the section.

Specifying scope_name associates the notation with that scope. Otherwise it is a lonely notation, that is, not associated with a scope.

For example, the following definition permits using the infix expression $A / \backslash B$ to represent (and $A B$ ):
Notation $" A / \backslash B$ " $:=($ and $A B)$.
"A $\wedge \mathrm{B}$ " is a notation, which tells how to represent the abbreviated term (and A B).
Notations must be in double quotes, except when the abbreviation has the form of an ordinary applicative expression; see Abbreviations. The notation consists of tokens separated by spaces. Tokens which are identifiers (such as A, x 0 ', etc.) are the parameters of the notation. Each of them must occur at least once in the abbreviated term. The other elements of the string (such as $/ \backslash$ ) are the symbols, which must appear literally when the notation is used.
Identifiers enclosed in single quotes are treated as symbols and thus lose their role as parameters. For example:

```
Notation "'IF' c1 'then' c2 'else' c3" := (c1 /\ c2 \/ ~ c1 /\ c3) (at level 200,
    \hookrightarrowight associativity).
```

Symbols that start with a single quote with 3 or more characters must be single quoted. For example, the symbol ' ab is represented by ' 'ab' in the notation string.
A notation binds a syntactic expression to a term. Unless the parser and pretty-printer of Coq already know how to deal with the syntactic expression (such as through Reserved Notation or for notations that contain only literals), explicit precedences and associativity rules have to be given.

Note: The right-hand side of a notation is interpreted at the time the notation is given. In particular, disambiguation of constants, implicit arguments and other notations are resolved at the time of the declaration of the notation. The right-hand side is currently typed only at use time but this may change in the future.

## Precedences and associativity

Mixing different symbolic notations in the same text may cause serious parsing ambiguity. To deal with the ambiguity of notations, Coq uses precedence levels ranging from 0 to 100 (plus one extra level numbered 200) and associativity rules.

Consider for example the new notation

```
Notation "A \/ B" := (or A B).
```

Clearly, an expression such as forall A:Prop, True / $\backslash$ A $\backslash /$ A $\backslash /$ False is ambiguous. To tell the Coq parser how to interpret the expression, a priority between the symbols $/ \backslash$ and $\backslash /$ has to be given. Assume for instance that we want conjunction to bind more than disjunction. This is expressed by assigning a precedence level to each notation, knowing that a lower level binds more than a higher level. Hence the level for disjunction must be higher than the level for conjunction.

Since connectives are not tight articulation points of a text, it is reasonable to choose levels not so far from the highest level which is 100 , for example 85 for disjunction and 80 for conjunction ${ }^{18}$.
Similarly, an associativity is needed to decide whether True $\backslash$ False $八$ False defaults to True $/ \backslash$ (False八False) (right associativity) or to (True $/ \backslash$ False) $/ \backslash$ False (left associativity). We may even consider that the expression is not well-formed and that parentheses are mandatory (this is a "no associativity") ${ }^{19}$. We do not know of a special convention for the associativity of disjunction and conjunction, so let us apply right associativity (which is the choice of Coq).

Precedence levels and associativity rules of notations are specified with a list of parenthesized syntax_modifiers. Here is how the previous examples refine:

```
Notation "A /\ B" := (and A B) (at level 80, right associativity).
Notation "A \/ B" := (or A B) (at level 85, right associativity).
```

By default, a notation is considered nonassociative, but the precedence level is mandatory (except for special cases whose level is canonical). The level is either a number or the phrase next level whose meaning is obvious. Some associativities are predefined in the Notations module.

## Complex notations

Notations can be made from arbitrarily complex symbols. One can for instance define prefix notations.

```
Notation "~ x" := (not x) (at level 75, right associativity).
```

One can also define notations for incomplete terms, with the hole expected to be inferred during type checking.

```
Notation "x = y" := (@eq _ x y) (at level 70, no associativity).
```

One can define closed notations whose both sides are symbols. In this case, the default precedence level for the inner sub-expression is 200, and the default level for the notation itself is 0 .

```
Notation "( x , y )" := (@pair _ _ x y).
```

One can also define notations for binders.
Notation "\{ $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{P}\}$ " $:=(\operatorname{sig} \mathrm{A}($ fun $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P})$ ).

[^6]In the last case though, there is a conflict with the notation for type casts. The notation for type casts, as shown by the command Print Grammar constr is at level 100. To avoid x : A being parsed as a type cast, it is necessary to put x at a level below 100, typically 99 . Hence, a correct definition is the following:

Notation " $\{x: A \mid P\} ":=(\operatorname{sig} A($ fun $x=>P)$ ( $x$ at level 99).

More generally, it is required that notations are explicitly factorized on the left. See the next section for more about factorization.

## Simple factorization rules

Coq extensible parsing is performed by Camlp 5 which is essentially a LL1 parser: it decides which notation to parse by looking at tokens from left to right. Hence, some care has to be taken not to hide already existing rules by new rules. Some simple left factorization work has to be done. Here is an example.

```
Notation "x < y" := (lt x y) (at level 70).
Fail Notation "x < y < z" := (x < y /\ y < z) (at level 70).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Notation "_ < _ < _" is already defined at level 70 with arguments constr
    at next level, constr at next level, constr at next level
    while it is now required to be at level }70\mathrm{ with arguments constr
    at next level, constr, constr at next level.
```

In order to factorize the left part of the rules, the subexpression referred to by $y$ has to be at the same level in both rules. However the default behavior puts $y$ at the next level below 70 in the first rule (no associativity is the default), and at level 200 in the second rule (level 200 is the default for inner expressions). To fix this, we need to force the parsing level of y , as follows.

```
Notation "x < y" := (lt x y) (at level 70).
Notation "x < y < z" := (x < y \ \ y < z) (at level 70, y at next level).
```

For the sake of factorization with Coq predefined rules, simple rules have to be observed for notations starting with a symbol, e.g., rules starting with " $\{$ " or " (" should be put at level 0 . The list of Coq predefined notations can be found in the chapter on The Coq library.

## Use of notations for printing

The command Notation has an effect both on the Coq parser and on the Coq printer. For example:

```
Check (and True True).
    True /\ True
        : Prop
```

However, printing, especially pretty-printing, also requires some care. We may want specific indentations, line breaks, alignment if on several lines, etc. For pretty-printing, Coq relies on OCaml formatting library, which provides indentation and automatic line breaks depending on page width by means of formatting boxes.

The default printing of notations is rudimentary. For printing a notation, a formatting box is opened in such a way that if the notation and its arguments cannot fit on a single line, a line break is inserted before the symbols of the notation and the arguments on the next lines are aligned with the argument on the first line.

A first, simple control that a user can have on the printing of a notation is the insertion of spaces at some places of the notation. This is performed by adding extra spaces between the symbols and parameters: each extra space (other than the single space needed to separate the components) is interpreted as a space to be inserted by the printer. Here is an example showing how to add spaces next to the curly braces.

```
Notation "{{ x : A | P }}" := (sig (fun x : A => P)) (at level 0, x at level 99).
Check (sig (fun x : nat => x=x)).
    {{ x : nat | x = x } }
        : Set
```

The second, more powerful control on printing is by using syntax_modifiers. Here is an example

```
Definition IF_then_else (P Q R:Prop) := P /\ Q \/ ~ P /\ R.
Notation "'If' c1 'then' c2 'else' c3" := (IF_then_else c1 c2 c3)
(at level 200, right associativity, format
"'[v ' 'If' c1 '/' '[' 'then' c2 ']' '/' '[' 'else' c3 ']' ']'").
    Identifier 'If' now a keyword
Check
    (IF_then_else (IF_then_else True False True)
        (IF_then_else True False True)
        (IF_then_else True False True)).
        If If True
            then False
            else True
            then If True
                        then False
                        else True
            else If True
                        then False
                        else True
            : Prop
```

A format is an extension of the string denoting the notation with the possible following elements delimited by single quotes:

- tokens of the form '/ ' are translated into breaking points. If there is a line break, indents the number of spaces appearing after the "/" (no indentation in the example)
- tokens of the form ' / /' force writing on a new line
- well-bracketed pairs of tokens of the form ' [ ' and ' ] ' are translated into printing boxes; if there is a line break, an extra indentation of the number of spaces after the " [" is applied
- well-bracketed pairs of tokens of the form ' [hv 'and '] ' are translated into horizontal-or-else-vertical printing boxes; if the content of the box does not fit on a single line, then every breaking point forces a new line and an extra indentation of the number of spaces after the " [ $h v$ " is applied at the beginning of each new line
- well-bracketed pairs of tokens of the form ' [ v ' and '] ' are translated into vertical printing boxes; every breaking point forces a new line, even if the line is large enough to display the whole content of the box, and an extra indentation of the number of spaces after the " [ v " is applied at the beginning of each new line (3 spaces in the example)
- extra spaces in other tokens are preserved in the output

Notations disappear when a section is closed. No typing of the denoted expression is performed at definition time. Type checking is done only at the time of use of the notation.

Note: The default for a notation is to be used both for parsing and printing. It is possible to declare a notation only for parsing by adding the option only parsing to the list of syntax_modifiers of Notation. Symmetrically, the only printing syntax_modifier can be used to declare that a notation should only be used for printing.

If a notation to be used both for parsing and printing is overridden, both the parsing and printing are invalided, even if the overriding rule is only parsing.
If a given notation string occurs only in only printing rules, the parser is not modified at all.
To a given notation string and scope can be attached at most one notation with both parsing and printing or with only parsing. Contrastingly, an arbitrary number of only printing notations differing in their right-hand sides but only a unique right-hand side can be attached to a given string and scope. Obviously, expressions printed by means of such extra printing rules will not be reparsed to the same form.

Note: When several notations can be used to print a given term, the notations which capture the largest subterm of the term are used preferentially. Here is an example:

```
Notation "x < y" := (lt x y) (at level 70).
Notation "x < y < z" := (lt x y /\ lt y z) (at level 70, y at next level).
Check (0<1/\1<2).
```

When several notations match the same subterm, or incomparable subterms of the term to print, the notation declared most recently is selected. Moreover, reimporting a library or module declares the notations of this library or module again. If the notation is in a scope (see Notation scopes), either the scope has to be opened or a delimiter has to exist in the scope for the notation to be usable.

## The Infix command

The Infix command is a shortcut for declaring notations for infix symbols.
Command: Infix string $:=$ one_term $\left(\right.$ syntax_modifier $\left._{+}^{+}\right) ?^{?}$ : scope_name ?
This command is equivalent to

where x and y are fresh names and omitting the quotes around string. Here is an example:
Infix "/\" := and (at level 80, right associativity).

## Reserving notations

Command: Reserved Notation string ( syntax_modifier ${ }^{+}$) ?
A given notation may be used in different contexts. Coq expects all uses of the notation to be defined at the same precedence and with the same associativity. To avoid giving the precedence and associativity every time, this command declares a parsing rule (string) in advance without giving its interpretation. Here is an example from the initial state of Coq.

```
Reserved Notation "x = y" (at level 70, no associativity).
```

Reserving a notation is also useful for simultaneously defining an inductive type or a recursive constant and a notation for it.

Note: The notations mentioned in the module Notations are reserved. Hence their precedence and associativity cannot be changed.

Command: Reserved Infix string $\begin{aligned} & \text { syntax_modifier }+\boldsymbol{+}, ~)\end{aligned}$
This command declares an infix parsing rule without giving its interpretation.
When a format is attached to a reserved notation (with the format syntax_modifier), it is used by default by all subsequent interpretations of the corresponding notation. Individual interpretations can override the format.

## Simultaneous definition of terms and notations

Thanks to reserved notations, inductive, coinductive, record, recursive and corecursive definitions can use customized notations. To do this, insert a decl_notations clause after the definition of the (co)inductive type or (co)recursive term (or after the definition of each of them in case of mutual definitions). The exact syntax is given by decl_notation for inductive, coinductive, recursive and corecursive definitions and in Record types for records. Note that only syntax modifiers that do not require adding or changing a parsing rule are accepted.


Here are examples:

```
Reserved Notation "A & B" (at level 80).
Inductive and' (A B : Prop) : Prop := conj' : A -> B -> A & B
where "A & B" := (and' A B).
Fixpoint plus (n m : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
match n with
    | O => m
    | S p => S (p + m)
end
where "n + m" := (plus n m).
```


## Enabling and disabling notations



Enables or disables notations previously defined with Notation or Notation (abbreviation). Disabling a notation doesn't remove parsing rules or tokens defined by the notation. The command has no effect on notations reserved with Reserved Notation. At least one of string, qualid, one_termor scope_name must be provided. When multiple clauses are provided, the notations enabled or disabled must satisfy all of their constraints.

This command supports the local and global attributes.
string Notations to enable or disable. string can be a single token in the notation such as "->" or a pattern that matches the notation. See Locating notations. If no $:=$ one_term $^{?}$ is given, the variables of the notation can be replaced by
qualid ident $_{p a r m}{ }^{\star}$ Enable or disable abbreviations whose absolute name has qualid as a suffix. The ident $_{\text {parm }}{ }^{*}$ are the parameters of the abbreviation.
$:=$ one_term ${ }^{?}$ Enable or disable notations matching one_term. one_term can be written using notations or not, as well as _, just like in the Notation command. If no string nor qualid ident ${ }_{\text {parm }}$ * is given, the variables of the notation can be replaced by
all Enable or disable all notations meeting the given constraints, even if there are multiple ones. Otherwise, there must be a single notation meeting the constraints.
only parsing The notation is enabled or disabled only for parsing.
only printing The notation is enabled or disabled only for printing.
in custom ident Enable or disable notations in the given custom entry.
in constr Enable or disable notations in the custom entry for constr. See custom entries.

| : scope_name | : no scope |
| :--- | :--- |
| If given, only notations in scope scope_name are affected (or lonely |  | notations for no scope).

Error: Unexpected only printing for an only parsing notation.
Cannot enable or disable for printing a notation that was originally defined as only parsing.
Error: Unexpected only parsing for an only printing notation.
Cannot enable or disable for parsing a notation that was originally defined as only printing.
Error: Found no matching notation to enable or disable.
No previously defined notation satisfies the given constraints.
Error: More than one interpretation bound to this notation, confirm with the "all" modif Use all to allow enabling or disabling multiple notations in a single command.

## Error: Unknown custom entry.

In in custom ident, ident is not a valid custom entry name.

## Error: No notation provided.

At least one of string, qualid, one_term or scope_name must be provided.
Warning: Activation of abbreviations does not expect mentioning a grammar entry. in custom and in constr are not compatible with abbreviations.
Warning: Activation of abbreviations does not expect mentioning a scope.
Scopes are not compatible with abbreviations.

## Example: Enabling and disabling notations

```
Disable Notation "+" (all).
    The following notations have been disabled:
    Notation "{ A } + { B }" := (sumbool A B) : type_scope
    Notation "A + {B }" := (sumor A B) : type_scope
    Notation "x + y" := (sum x y) : type_scope
    Notation "x + y" := (Nat.add x y) : nat_scope
    Notation "n + m" := (plus n m)
Enable Notation "_ + __" (all) : type_scope.
    The following notations have been enabled:
    Notation "x + y" := (sum x y) : type_scope
Disable Notation "x + y" := (sum x y).
    The following notations have been disabled:
    Notation "x + y" := (sum x y) : type_scope
```


## Displaying information about notations

## Flag: Printing Notations

This flag controls whether to use notations for printing terms wherever possible. Default is on.

## Flag: Printing Raw Literals

This flag controls whether to use string and number notations for printing terms wherever possible (see String notations). Default is off.

## Flag: Printing Parentheses

When this flag is on, parentheses are printed even if implied by associativity and precedence. Default is off.

## See also:

Printing All to disable other elements in addition to notations.
Command: Print Notation string in custom ident?
Displays information about the previously reserved notation string string. ident, if specified, is the name of the associated custom entry. See Declare Custom Entry.

```
Reserved Notation "x # y" (at level 123, right associativity).
```

Print Notation "_ \# _".
Notation "_ \# _" at level 123 with arguments constr at next level, constr
at level 123, right associativity.

Variables can be indicated with either "_" or names, as long as these can not be confused with notation symbols. When confusion may arise, for example with notation symbols that are entirely made up of letters, use single quotes to delimit those symbols. Using "_" is preferred, as it avoids this confusion. Note that there must always be (at least) a space between notation symbols and arguments, even when the notation format does not include those spaces.

## Example: Print Notation

```
Reserved Notation "x 'mod' y" (at level 40, no associativity).
    Identifier 'mod' now a keyword
Print Notation "_ mod _".
    Notation "_ mod _" at level 40 with arguments constr at next level, constr
```

```
    at next level, no associativity.
Print Notation "x 'mod' y".
    Notation "_mod _-" at level 40 with arguments constr at next level, constr
    at next level, no associativity.
Reserved Notation "/ x /" (at level 0, format "/ x /").
Fail Print Notation "/x/".
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    "/x/" cannot be interpreted as a known notation. Make sure that symbols are
    surrounded by spaces and that holes are explicitly denoted by "_".
Print Notation "/ x /".
    Notation "/ _ /" at level 0 with arguments constr, no associativity.
Reserved Notation "( x , y , .. , z )" (at level 0).
Print Notation "( _ , _ , . . , _ )".
    Notation "( _ , _ , .. , _ )" at level 0 with arguments constr, constr,
    no associativity.
Reserved Notation "x $ y" (at level 50, left associativity).
Declare Custom Entry expr.
Reserved Notation "x $ y"
    (in custom expr at level 30, x custom expr, y at level 80, no associativity).
Print Notation "_ $ __".
    Notation "_ $ _" at level }50\mathrm{ with arguments constr at level 50, constr
    at next level, left associativity.
Print Notation "_ $ _" in custom expr.
    Notation "_ $ _" in expr at level }30\mathrm{ with arguments custom expr
    at next level, custom expr at level 80, no associativity.
```

Error: string cannot be interpreted as a known notation. Make sure that symbols are surr Occurs when Print Notation can't find a notation associated with string. This can happen, for example, when the notation does not exist in the current context, string is not specific enough, there are missing spaces between symbols, or some symbols need to be quoted with " ' ".
Error: string cannot be interpreted as a known notation in ident entry. Make sure that
Error: Unknown custom entry: ident.
Occurs when Print Notation can't find the custom entry given by the user.

## See also:

Locate for information on the definitions and scopes associated with a notation.

## Command: Print Keywords

Prints the current reserved keywords and parser tokens, one per line. Keywords cannot be used as identifiers.
Command: Print Grammar ident *
When no ident is provided, shows the whole grammar. Otherwise shows the grammar for the nonterminal
idents, except for the following, which will include some related nonterminals:

- constr - for terms
- tactic - for currently-defined tactic notations, tactics and tacticals (corresponding to ltac_expr in the documentation).
- vernac-for commands
- ltac2 - for Ltac2 notations (corresponding to Itac2_expr)

This command can display any nonterminal in the grammar reachable from vernac_control.
Most of the grammar in the documentation was updated in 8.12 to make it accurate and readable. This was done using a new developer tool that extracts the grammar from the source code, edits it and inserts it into the documentation files. While the edited grammar is equivalent to the original, for readability some nonterminals have been renamed and others have been eliminated by substituting the nonterminal definition where the nonterminal was referenced. This command shows the original grammar, so it won't exactly match the documentation.
The Coq parser is based on Camlp5. The documentation for Extensible grammars ${ }^{12}$ is the most relevant but it assumes considerable knowledge. Here are the essentials:

Productions can contain the following elements:

- nonterminal names - identifiers in the form [a-zA-Z0-9_] *
- "..." - a literal string that becomes a keyword and cannot be used as an ident. The string doesn't have to be a valid identifier; frequently the string will contain only punctuation characters.
- IDENT "..." - a literal string that has the form of an ident
- OPT element - optionally include element (e.g. a nonterminal, IDENT "..." or "...")
- LIST1 element-a list of one or more elements
- LISTO element - an optional list of elements
- LIST1 element SEP sep-a list of elements separated by sep
- LISTO element SEP sep-an optional list of elements separated by sep
- [ elements1 | elements2 | ... ]-alternatives (either elements1 or elements2 or ...)

Nonterminals can have multiple levels to specify precedence and associativity of its productions. This feature of grammars makes it simple to parse input such as $1+2 * 3$ in the usual way as $1+(2 * 3)$. However, most nonterminals have a single level.

For example, this output from Print Grammar tactic shows the first 3 levels for ltac_expr, designated as " 5 ", " 4 " and " 3 ". Level 3 is right-associative, which applies to the productions within it, such as the try construct:

```
Entry ltac_expr is
[ "5" RIGHTA
    [ binder_tactic ]
| "4" LEFTA
    [ SELF; ";"; binder_tactic
    | SELF; ";"; SELF
    | SELF; ";"; tactic_then_locality; for_each_goal; "]" ]
| "3" RIGHTA
    [ IDENT "try"; SELF
    :
```

The interpretation of SELF depends on its position in the production and the associativity of the level:

[^7]- At the beginning of a production, SELF means the next level. In the fragment shown above, the next level for try is " 2 ". (This is defined by the order of appearance in the grammar or output; the levels could just as well be named "foo" and "bar".)
- In the middle of a production, SELF means the top level ("5" in the fragment)
- At the end of a production, SELF means the next level within LEFTA levels and the current level within RIGHTA levels.

NEXT always means the next level. nonterminal LEVEL "..." is a reference to the specified level for nonterminal.
Associativity ${ }^{13}$ explains SELF and NEXT in somewhat more detail.
The output for Print Grammar constr includes Notation definitions, which are dynamically added to the grammar at run time. For example, in the definition for term, the production on the second line shown here is defined by Reserved Notation command in Notations.v:

```
| "50" LEFTA
    [ SELF; "||"; NEXT
```

Similarly, Print Grammar tactic includes Tactic Notations, such as dintuition.
The file doc/tools/docgram/fullGrammar ${ }^{14}$ in the source tree extracts the full grammar for Coq (not including notations and tactic notations defined in *.v files nor some optionally-loaded plugins) in a single file with minor changes to handle nonterminals using multiple levels (described in doc/tools/docgram/README.md ${ }^{15}$ ). This is complete and much easier to read than the grammar source files. doc/tools/docgram/orderedGrammar ${ }^{16}$ has the edited grammar that's used in the documentation.
Developer documentation for parsing is in dev/doc/parsing.md ${ }^{17}$.

## Locating notations

To know to which notations a given symbol belongs to, use the Locate command. You can call it on any (composite) symbol surrounded by double quotes. To locate a particular notation, use a string where the variables of the notation are replaced by "-" and where possible single quotes inserted around identifiers or tokens starting with a single quote are dropped.

```
Locate "exists".
    Notation "'exists' x .. y , p" := (ex (fun x => .. (ex (fun y => p)) ..))
        : type_scope (default interpretation)
    Notation "'exists' ! x . . y , p" :=
        (ex (unique (fun x => .. (ex (unique (fun y => p))) ..))) : type_scope
        (default interpretation)
Locate "exists _ .. _ r _".
    Notation "'exists' x .. y , p" := (ex (fun x => .. (ex (fun y => p)) ..))
            : type_scope (default interpretation)
```

[^8]
## Inheritance of the properties of arguments of constants bound to a notation

If the right-hand side of a notation is a partially applied constant, the notation inherits the implicit arguments (see Implicit arguments) and notation scopes (see Notation scopes) of the constant. For instance:

```
Record R := {dom : Type; op : forall {A}, A -> dom}.
Notation "# x" := (@op x) (at level 8).
Check fun x:R => # x 3.
    fun x : R => # x 3
        : forall x : R, dom x
```

As an exception, if the right-hand side is just of the form @qualid, this conventionally stops the inheritance of implicit arguments (but not of notation scopes).

## Notations and binders

Notations can include binders. This section lists different ways to deal with binders. For further examples, see also Notations with recursive patterns involving binders.

## Binders bound in the notation and parsed as identifiers

Here is the basic example of a notation using a binder:

```
Notation "'sigma' x : A , B" := (sigT (fun x : A => B))
    (at level 200, x name, A at level 200, right associativity).
```

The binding variables in the right-hand side that occur as a parameter of the notation (here $x$ ) dynamically bind all the occurrences in their respective binding scope after instantiation of the parameters of the notation. This means that the term bound to $B$ can refer to the variable name bound to x as shown in the following application of the notation:

```
Check sigma z : nat, z = 0.
    sigma z : nat, z = 0
            : Set
```

Note the syntax_modifier $\mathbf{x}$ name in the declaration of the notation. It tells to parse x as a single identifier (or as the unnamed variable _).

## Binders bound in the notation and parsed as patterns

 $x$, notations can be defined so that any pattern can be used in place of the binder. Here is an example:

```
Notation "'subset' ' p , P " := (sig (fun p => P))
    (at level 200, p pattern, format "'subset' ' p , P").
Check subset ' (x,y), x+y=0.
    subset '(x, y), x + y = 0
        : Set
```

The syntax_modifier p pattern in the declaration of the notation tells to parse p as a pattern. Note that a single variable is both an identifier and a pattern, so, e.g., the following also works:

```
Check subset ' x , \(\mathrm{x}=0\).
    subset ' x , \(\mathrm{x}=0\)
        : Set
```

If one wants to prevent such a notation to be used for printing when the pattern is reduced to a single identifier, one has to use instead the syntax_modifier p strict pattern. For parsing, however, a strict pattern will continue to include the case of a variable. Here is an example showing the difference:

```
Notation "'subset_bis' ' P , P" := (sig (fun p => P))
    (at level 200, p strict pattern).
Notation "'subset_bis' p , P " := (sig (fun p => P))
    (at level 200, p name).
Check subset_bis 'x, x=0.
    subset_bis x, x = 0
        : Set
```

The default level for a pattern is 0 . One can use a different level by using pattern at level $n$ where the scale is the same as the one for terms (see Notations).

## Binders bound in the notation and parsed as terms

Sometimes, for the sake of factorization of rules, a binder has to be parsed as a term. This is typically the case for a notation such as the following:

```
Notation "{ x : A | P }" := (sig (fun x : A => P))
    (at level 0, x at level 99 as name).
```

This is so because the grammar also contains rules starting with \{\} and followed by a term, such as the rule for the notation \{ A \} + \{ B \} for the constant sumbool (see Specification).

Then, in the rule, $x$ name is replaced by $x$ at level 99 as name meaning that $x$ is parsed as a term at level 99 (as done in the notation for sumbool), but that this term has actually to be a name, i.e. an identifier or _.
The notation $\{x \mid P\}$ is already defined in the standard library with the as name syntax_modifier. We cannot redefine it but one can define an alternative notation, say \{ $P$ such that $P$, using instead as pattern.

```
Notation "{ p 'such' 'that' P }" := (sig (fun p => P))
    (at level 0, p at level 99 as pattern).
```

Then, the following works:

```
Check {(x,y) such that }x+y=0}
    {(x,y) such that x + y = 0}
            : Set
```

To enforce that the pattern should not be used for printing when it is just a name, one could have said $p$ at level 99 as strict pattern.
Note also that in the absence of a as name, as strict pattern or as pattern syntax_modifiers, the default is to consider sub-expressions occurring in binding position and parsed as terms to be as name.

## Binders bound in the notation and parsed as general binders

It is also possible to rely on Coq's syntax of binders using the binder modifier as follows:

```
Notation "'myforall' p , [ P , Q ] " := (forall p, P -> Q)
    (at level 200, p binder).
```

In this case, all of ident, \{ident\}, [ident], ident:type, \{ident:type\}, [ident:type], 'pattern can be used in place of the corresponding notation variable. In particular, the binder can declare implicit arguments:

```
Check fun (f : myforall {a}, [a=0, Prop]) => f eq_refl.
    fun f : myforall a, [a=0, Prop] => f 0 eq_refl
        : (myforall a, [a = 0, Prop]) -> Prop
Check myforall '((x,y):nat*nat), [ x = y, True ].
    myforall '(x, y), [x = y, True]
        : Prop
```

By using instead closed binder, the same list of binders is allowed except that ident: type requires parentheses around.

## Binders not bound in the notation

We can also have binders in the right-hand side of a notation which are not themselves bound in the notation. In this case, the binders are considered up to renaming of the internal binder. E.g., for the notation

```
Notation "'exists_different' n" := (exists p:nat, p<>n) (at level 200).
```

the next command fails because $p$ does not bind in the instance of $n$.

```
Fail Check (exists_different p).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The reference p was not found in the current environment.
Notation "[> a , . , b <]" :=
    (cons a .. (cons b nil) .., cons b .. (cons a nil) ..).
```


## Notations with expressions used both as binder and term

It is possible to use parameters of the notation both in term and binding position. Here is an example:

```
Definition force n (P:nat }->\mathrm{ Prop) := forall n', n' >= n -> P n'.
Notation "D_ n P" := (force n (fun n => P))
    (at level 0, n name, P at level 9, format "口_ n P").
Check exists p, D_p (p >= 1).
    exists p : nat, D_p (p >= 1)
        : Prop
```

More generally, the parameter can be a pattern, as in the following variant:

```
Definition force2 q (P:nat*nat -> Prop) :=
    (forall n', n' >= fst q -> forall p', p' >= snd q -> P q).
```

```
Notation "口_ p P" := (force2 p (fun p => P))
    (at level 0, p pattern at level 0, P at level 9, format "口_ P P").
Check exists x y, 口_( }\textrm{x},\textrm{y}) (\textrm{x}>=1/\ y >= 2)
    exists x y : nat, \square_( (x, y) (x >= 1 /\ y >= 2)
        : Prop
```

This support is experimental．For instance，the notation is used for printing only if the occurrence of the parameter in term position comes in the right－hand side before the occurrence in binding position．

## Notations with recursive patterns

A mechanism is provided for declaring elementary notations with recursive patterns．The basic example is：
Notation＂［ $x$ ；．．；y ］＂：＝（cons x ．．（cons y nil）．．）．
On the right－hand side，an extra construction of the form ．．t ．．can be used．Notice that ．．is part of the Coq syntax and it must not be confused with the three－dots notation＂．．．＂used in this manual to denote a sequence of arbitrary size．

On the left－hand side，the part＂x s ．．s y＂of the notation parses any number of times（but at least once）a sequence of expressions separated by the sequence of tokens $s$（in the example，$s$ is just＂；＂）．

The right－hand side must contain a subterm of the form either $\varphi(x, \ldots \varphi(y, t) \ldots)$ or $\varphi(y, \ldots \varphi(x, t) \ldots$ ） where $\varphi\left([]_{E},[]_{I}\right)$ ，called the iterator of the recursive notation is an arbitrary expression with distinguished placeholders and where $t$ is called the terminating expression of the recursive notation．In the example，we choose the names $x$ and $y$ but in practice they can of course be chosen arbitrarily．Note that the placeholder［ $]_{I}$ has to occur only once but []$_{E}$ can occur several times．

Parsing the notation produces a list of expressions which are used to fill the first placeholder of the iterating pattern which itself is repeatedly nested as many times as the length of the list，the second placeholder being the nesting point． In the innermost occurrence of the nested iterating pattern，the second placeholder is finally filled with the terminating expression．
In the example above，the iterator $\varphi\left([]_{E},[]_{I}\right)$ is $\operatorname{cons}[]_{E}[]_{I}$ and the terminating expression is nil．
Here is another example with the pattern associating on the left：

```
Notation "( x , y , . , z )" := (pair .. (pair x y) .. z) (at level 0).
```

Here is an example with more involved recursive patterns：

```
Notation "[| t * ( x , y , . , z ) ; ( a , b , . , c ) * u | ] " :=
    (pair (pair .. (pair (pair t x) (pair t y)) .. (pair t z))
            (pair .. (pair (pair a u) (pair b u)) .. (pair c u)))
    (t at level 39).
```

To give a flavor of the extent and limits of the mechanism，here is an example showing a notation for a chain of equalities． It relies on an artificial expansion of the intended denotation so as to expose $\varphi(x, \ldots \varphi(y, t) \ldots$ ）structure，with the drawback that if ever the beta－redexes are contracted，the notations stops to be used for printing．Support for notations defined in this way should be considered experimental．



```
    ↔t) ) .. x)
    (at level 70, y at next level, \(z\) at next level, \(t\) at next level).
```

Note finally that notations with recursive patterns can be reserved like standard notations, they can also be declared within notation scopes.

## Notations with recursive patterns involving binders

Recursive notations can also be used with binders. The basic example is:

```
Notation "'exists' x .. y , p" :=
    (ex (fun x => .. (ex (fun y => p)) ..))
    (at level 200, x binder, y binder, right associativity).
```

The principle is the same as in Notations with recursive patterns except that in the iterator $\varphi\left([]_{E},[]_{I}\right)$, the placeholder []$_{E}$ can also occur in position of the binding variable of a fun or a forall.

To specify that the part "x . . $y$ " of the notation parses a sequence of binders, $x$ and $y$ must be marked as binder in the list of syntax_modifiers of the notation. The binders of the parsed sequence are used to fill the occurrences of the first placeholder of the iterating pattern which is repeatedly nested as many times as the number of binders generated. If ever the generalization operator ' (see Implicit generalization) is used in the binding list, the added binders are taken into account too.

There are two flavors of binder parsing. If x and y are marked as binder, then a sequence such as a $\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{c}: \mathrm{T}$ will be accepted and interpreted as the sequence of binders $(a: T)(b: T)(c: T)$. For instance, in the notation above, the syntax exists a b : nat, $a=b$ is valid.

The variables $x$ and $y$ can also be marked as closed binder in which case only well-bracketed binders of the form ( a b $\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{T})$ or $\{\mathrm{a} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{c}: \mathrm{T}\}$ etc. are accepted.

With closed binders, the recursive sequence in the left-hand side can be of the more general form $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{s} \ldots \mathrm{s}$ y where $s$ is an arbitrary sequence of tokens. With open binders though, $s$ has to be empty. Here is an example of recursive notation with closed binders:

```
Notation "'mylet' f x .. y := t 'in' u":=
    (let f := fun x => .. (fun y => t) .. in u)
    (at level 200, x closed binder, y closed binder, right associativity).
```

A recursive pattern for binders can be used in position of a recursive pattern for terms. Here is an example:

```
Notation "'FUNAPP' x .. y , f" :=
    (fun x => .. (fun y => (.. (f x) ..) y ) ..)
    (at level 200, x binder, y binder, right associativity).
```

If an occurrence of the []$_{E}$ is not in position of a binding variable but of a term, it is the name used in the binding which is used. Here is an example:

```
Notation "'exists_non_null' x .. y , P" :=
    (ex (fun x => x <> 0 /\ .. (ex (fun y => y <> 0 /\ P)) ..))
    (at level 200, x binder).
```


## Predefined entries

By default, sub-expressions are parsed as terms and the corresponding grammar entry is called constr. However, one may sometimes want to restrict the syntax of terms in a notation. For instance, the following notation will accept to parse only global reference in position of x :

```
Notation "'apply' \(f\) a1 .. an" \(:=(. .(f\) a1) . . an)
    (at level 10, f global, a1, an at level 9).
```

In addition to global, one can restrict the syntax of a sub-expression by using the entry names ident, name or pattern already seen in Binders not bound in the notation, even when the corresponding expression is not used as a binder in the right-hand side. E.g.:

```
Notation "'apply_id' f a1 .. an" := (.. (f a1) .. an)
    (at level 10, f ident, a1, an at level 9).
```


## Custom entries

## Command: Declare Custom Entry ident

Defines new grammar entries, called custom entries, that can later be referred to using the entry name custom ident.
This command supports the local attribute, which limits the entry to the current module.
Non-local custom entries survive module closing and are declared when a file is Required.

## Example

For instance, we may want to define an ad hoc parser for arithmetical operations and proceed as follows:

```
Inductive Expr :=
| One : Expr
| Mul : Expr -> Expr -> Expr
| Add : Expr -> Expr -> Expr.
    Expr is defined
    Expr_rect is defined
    Expr_ind is defined
    Expr_rec is defined
    Expr_sind is defined
Declare Custom Entry expr.
Notation "[ e ]" := e (e custom expr at level 2).
Notation "1" := One (in custom expr at level 0).
Notation "x y" := (Mul x y) (in custom expr at level 1, left associativity).
Notation "x + y" := (Add x y) (in custom expr at level 2, left associativity).
Notation "( x )" := x (in custom expr, x at level 2).
Notation "{ x }" := x (in custom expr, x constr).
Notation "x" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x ident).
Axiom f : nat -> Expr.
    f is declared
Check fun x y z => [1 + y z + {f x}].
    fun (x : nat) (y z : Expr) => [1 + y z + {apply f x}]
        : nat -> Expr -> Expr -> Expr
```

```
Unset Printing Notations.
Check fun x y z => [1 + y z + {f x}].
    fun (x : nat) (y z : Expr) => Add (Add One (Mul y z)) (f x)
            : forall (_ : nat) (_ : Expr) (_ : Expr), Expr
Set Printing Notations.
Check fun e => match e with
| [1 + 1] => [1]
| [x y + z] => [x + y z]
| y => [y + e]
end.
    fun e : Expr =>
    match e with
    | [1 + 1] => [1]
    | [xy+z] => [x + y z]
    | => [e +e]
    end
            : Expr -> Expr
```

Custom entries have levels, like the main grammar of terms and grammar of patterns have. The lower level is 0 and this is the level used by default to put rules delimited with tokens on both ends. The level is left to be inferred by Coq when using in custom ident. The level is otherwise given explicitly by using the syntax in custom ident at level natural, where natural refers to the level.

Levels are cumulative: a notation at level $n$ of which the left end is a term shall use rules at level less than $n$ to parse this subterm. More precisely, it shall use rules at level strictly less than $n$ if the rule is declared with right associativity and rules at level less or equal than $n$ if the rule is declared with left associativity. Similarly, a notation at level $n$ of which the right end is a term shall use by default rules at level strictly less than $n$ to parse this subterm if the rule is declared left associative and rules at level less or equal than $n$ if the rule is declared right associative. This is what happens for instance in the rule

```
Notation "x + y" := (Add x y) (in custom expr at level 2, left associativity).
```

where x is any expression parsed in entry expr at level less or equal than 2 (including, recursively, the given rule) and y is any expression parsed in entry expr at level strictly less than 2.

Rules associated with an entry can refer different sub-entries. The grammar entry name constr can be used to refer to the main grammar of term as in the rule

```
Notation "{ x }" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x constr).
```

which indicates that the subterm x should be parsed using the main grammar. If not indicated, the level is computed as for notations in constr, e.g. using 200 as default level for inner sub-expressions. The level can otherwise be indicated explicitly by using constr at level $n$ for some $n$, or constr at next level.

Conversely, custom entries can be used to parse sub-expressions of the main grammar, or from another custom entry as is the case in

Notation "[ e ]" := e (e custom expr at level 2).
to indicate that e has to be parsed at level 2 of the grammar associated with the custom entry expr. The level can be omitted, as in

```
Notation "[ e ]" := e (e custom expr).
```

in which case Coq infer it. If the sub-expression is at a border of the notation (as e.g. $x$ and $y$ in $x+y$ ), the level is determined by the associativity. If the sub-expression is not at the border of the notation (as e.g. e in " [ e ] ), the level is inferred to be the highest level used for the entry. In particular, this level depends on the highest level existing in the entry at the time of use of the notation.
In the absence of an explicit entry for parsing or printing a sub-expression of a notation in a custom entry, the default is to consider that this sub-expression is parsed or printed in the same custom entry where the notation is defined. In particular, if $x$ at level $n$ is used for a sub-expression of a notation defined in custom entry foo, it shall be understood the same as $x$ custom foo at level $n$.

In general, rules are required to be productive on the right-hand side, i.e. that they are bound to an expression which is not reduced to a single variable. If the rule is not productive on the right-hand side, as it is the case above for

```
Notation "( x )" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x at level 2).
and
Notation "{ x }" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x constr).
```

it is used as a grammar coercion which means that it is used to parse or print an expression which is not available in the current grammar at the current level of parsing or printing for this grammar but which is available in another grammar or in another level of the current grammar. For instance,

```
Notation "( x )" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x at level 2).
```

tells that parentheses can be inserted to parse or print an expression declared at level 2 of expr whenever this expression is expected to be used as a subterm at level 0 or 1 . This allows for instance to parse and print Add $\mathrm{x} y$ as a subterm of Mul (Add $x y) \quad z$ using the syntax $(x+y) \quad z$. Similarly,

Notation "\{ $x$ \}" $:=x$ (in custom expr at level $0, x$ constr).
gives a way to let any arbitrary expression which is not handled by the custom entry expr be parsed or printed by the main grammar of term up to the insertion of a pair of curly brackets.
Another special situation is when parsing global references or identifiers. To indicate that a custom entry should parse identifiers, use the following form:

```
Notation "x" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x ident).
```

Similarly, to indicate that a custom entry should parse global references (i.e. qualified or unqualified identifiers), use the following form:

```
Notation "x" := x (in custom expr at level 0, x global).
```

Command: Print Custom Grammar ident
This displays the state of the grammar for terms associated with the custom entry ident.

## Syntax

Here are the syntax elements used by the various notation commands.


Note that _ by itself is a valid name but is not a valid ident.

Note: No typing of the denoted expression is performed at definition time. Type checking is done only at the time of use of the notation.

Note: Some examples of Notation may be found in the files composing the initial state of Coq (see directory \$COQLIB/ theories/Init).

Note: The notation " $\{x \quad\}$ " has a special status in the main grammars of terms and patterns so that complex notations of the form "x $+\{y\}$ " or "x $\star\{y\}$ " can be nested with correct precedences. Especially, every notation involving a pattern of the form " $\{x\}$ " is parsed as a notation where the pattern " $\{x\}$ " has been simply replaced by " $x$ " and the curly braces are parsed separately. E.g. " $y+\{z\}$ " is not parsed as a term of the given form but as a term of the form "y $+z$ " where $z$ has been parsed using the rule parsing " $\{x\}$ ". Especially, level and precedences for a rule including patterns of the form " $\{x \quad\}$ " are relative not to the textual notation but to the notation where the curly braces have been removed (e.g. the level and the associativity given to some notation, say " $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{y}\end{array}\right\} \quad \& \quad\{\quad \mathrm{z}\}$ " in fact applies to the underlying " $\{x\}$ "-free rule which is "y \& $z$ ").

Note: Notations such as " ( p \| q ) " (or starting with " ( x | ", more generally) are deprecated as they conflict with the syntax for nested disjunctive patterns (see Extended pattern matching), and are not honored in pattern expressions.

Warning: Use of string Notation is deprecated as it is inconsistent with pattern syntax. This warning is disabled by default to avoid spurious diagnostics due to legacy notation in the Coq standard library. It can be turned on with the -w disj-pattern-notation flag.

Error: Unknown custom entry: ident.
Occurs when Notation can't find the custom entry given by the user.

## Notation scopes

A notation scope is a set of notations for terms with their interpretations. Notation scopes provide a weak, purely syntactic form of notation overloading: a symbol may refer to different definitions depending on which notation scopes are currently open. For instance, the infix symbol + can be used to refer to distinct definitions of the addition operator, such as for natural numbers, integers or reals. Notation scopes can include an interpretation for numbers and strings with the Number Notation and String Notation commands.

| scope | $::=$ | scope_name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | scope_key |

Each notation scope has a single scope_name, which by convention ends with the suffix "_scope", as in "nat_scope". One or more scope_keys (delimiting keys) may be associated with a notation scope with the Delimit Scope command. Most commands use scope_name; scope_keys are used within terms.

## Command: Declare Scope scope_name

Declares a new notation scope. Note that the initial state of Coq declares the following notation scopes: core_scope, type_scope, function_scope, nat_scope, bool_scope, list_scope, dec_int_scope, dec_uint_scope.

Use commands such as Notation to add notations to the scope.

## Global interpretation rules for notations

At any time, the interpretation of a notation for a term is done within a stack of notation scopes and lonely notations. If a notation is defined in multiple scopes, Coq uses the interpretation from the most recently opened notation scope or declared lonely notation.
Note that "stack" is a misleading name. Each scope or lonely notation can only appear in the stack once. New items are pushed onto the top of the stack, except that adding a item that's already in the stack moves it to the top of the stack instead. Scopes are removed by name (e.g. by Close Scope) wherever they are in the stack, rather than through "pop" operations.

Use the Print Visibility command to display the current notation scope stack.

## Command: Open Scope scope

Adds a scope to the notation scope stack. If the scope is already present, the command moves it to the top of the stack.
If the command appears in a section: By default, the scope is only added within the section. Specifying global marks the scope for export as part of the current module. Specifying local behaves like the default.

If the command does not appear in a section: By default, the scope marks the scope for export as part of the current module. Specifying local prevents exporting the scope. Specifying global behaves like the default.
Command: Close Scope scope
Removes a scope from the notation scope stack.
If the command appears in a section: By default, the scope is only removed within the section. Specifying global marks the scope removal for export as part of the current module. Specifying local behaves like the default.

If the command does not appear in a section: By default, the scope marks the scope removal for export as part of the current module. Specifying local prevents exporting the removal. Specifying global behaves like the default.

## Local interpretation rules for notations

In addition to the global rules of interpretation of notations, some ways to change the interpretation of subterms are available.

## Opening a notation scope locally

$$
\text { term_scope } \quad::=\text { term0 \% scope_key }
$$

The notation scope stack can be locally extended within a term with the syntax (term) \%scope_key (or simply term $0 \%$ scope_key for atomic terms).

In this case, term is interpreted in the scope stack extended with the scope bound to scope_key.
Command: Delimit Scope scope_name with scope_key
Binds the delimiting key scope_key to a scope.
Command: Undelimit Scope scope_name
Removes the delimiting keys associated with a scope.
The arguments of an abbreviation can be interpreted in a scope stack locally extended with a given scope by using the modifier ident $\stackrel{+}{+}$ in scope scope_name.s

## Binding types or coercion classes to notation scopes

Command: Bind Scope scope_name with class ${ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}$
Binds the notation scope scope_name to the type or coercion class class. When bound, arguments of that type for any function will be interpreted in that scope by default. This default can be overridden for individual functions with the Arguments command. See Binding arguments to scopes for details. The association may be convenient when a notation scope is naturally associated with a type (e.g. nat and the natural numbers).

Whether the argument of a function has some type type is determined statically. For instance, if $f$ is a polymorphic function of type forall $X$ :Type, $X \rightarrow X$ and type $t$ is bound to a scope scope, then a of type $t$ in $f$ $a$ is not recognized as an argument to be interpreted in scope scope.
This command supports the local, global, add_top and add_bottom attributes.
Attribute: add_top
Attribute: add_bottom
These attributes allow adding additional bindings at the top or bottom of the stack of already declared bindings.

In absence of such attributes, any new binding clears the previous ones. This makes it possible to bind multiple scopes to the same class.

## Example: Binding scopes to a type

Let's declare two scopes with a notation in each and an arbitrary function on type bool.

```
Declare Scope T_scope.
Declare Scope F_scope.
Notation "#" := true (only parsing) : T_scope.
Notation "#" := false (only parsing) : F_scope.
Parameter f : bool -> bool.
```

By default, the argument of $f$ is interpreted in the currently opened scopes.

```
Open Scope T_scope.
Check f #.
    f true
        : bool
Open Scope F_scope.
Check f #.
    f false
        : bool
```

This can be changed by binding scopes to the type bool.

```
Bind Scope T_scope with bool.
Check f #.
    f true
            : bool
```

When multiple scopes are attached to a type, notations are interpreted in the first scope containing them, from the top of the stack.

```
#[add_top] Bind Scope F_scope with bool.
Check f #.
    f false
            : bool
Notation "##" := (negb false) (only parsing) : T_scope.
Check f ##.
    f (negb false)
                : bool
```

Bindings for functions can be displayed with the About command.

```
About f.
    f : bool -> bool
    f is not universe polymorphic
    Arguments f _%F_scope%T_scope
    Expands to: Constant Top.f
```

Note: Such stacks of scopes can be handy to share notations between multiple types. For instance, the scope

T_scope above could contain many generic notations used for both the bool and nat types, while the scope F_scope could override some of these notations specifically for bool and another $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ _scope could override them specifically for nat, which could then be bound to $\% \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}$ _scope $\% \mathrm{~T}$ _scope.

Note: When active, a bound scope has effect on all defined functions (even if they are defined after the Bind Scope directive), except if argument scopes were assigned explicitly using the Arguments command.

Note: The scopes type_scope and function_scope also have a local effect on interpretation. See the next section.

## The type_scope notation scope

The scope type_scope has a special status. It is a primitive interpretation scope which is temporarily activated each time a subterm of an expression is expected to be a type. It is delimited by the key type, and bound to the coercion class Sortclass. It is also used in certain situations where an expression is statically known to be a type, including the conclusion and the type of hypotheses within an Ltac goal match (see Pattern matching on goals and hypotheses: match goal), the statement of a theorem, the type of a definition, the type of a binder, the domain and codomain of implication, the codomain of products, and more generally any type argument of a declared or defined constant.

## The function_scope notation scope

The scope function_scope also has a special status. It is temporarily activated each time the argument of a global reference is recognized to be Funclass instance, i.e., of type forall $x: A, B$ or A $\rightarrow$ B.

## Notation scopes used in the standard library of Coq

We give an overview of the scopes used in the standard library of Coq. For a complete list of notations in each scope, use the commands Print Scopes or Print Scope.
type_scope This scope includes infix * for product types and infix + for sum types. It is delimited by the key type, and bound to the coercion class Sortclass, as described above.
function_scope This scope is delimited by the key function, and bound to the coercion class Funclass, as described above.
nat_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on type nat. Positive integer numbers in this scope are mapped to their canonical representent built from O and S . The scope is delimited by the key nat, and bound to the type nat (see above).

N_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on type $N$ (binary natural numbers). It is delimited by the key N and comes with an interpretation for numbers as closed terms of type N .

Z_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on type $Z$ (binary integer numbers). It is delimited by the key Z and comes with an interpretation for numbers as closed terms of type Z .
positive_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on type positive (binary strictly positive numbers). It is delimited by key positive and comes with an interpretation for numbers as closed terms of type positive.

Q_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on type $Q$ (rational numbers defined as fractions of an integer and a strictly positive integer modulo the equality of the numerator- denominator crossproduct) and comes with an interpretation for numbers as closed terms of type $Q$.
Qc_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on the type Qc of rational numbers defined as the type of irreducible fractions of an integer and a strictly positive integer.
R_scope This scope includes the standard arithmetical operators and relations on type $R$ (axiomatic real numbers). It is delimited by the key R and comes with an interpretation for numbers using the IZR morphism from binary integer numbers to $R$ and $Z$. pow_pos for potential exponent parts.
bool_scope This scope includes notations for the boolean operators. It is delimited by the key bool, and bound to the type bool (see above).
list_scope This scope includes notations for the list operators. It is delimited by the key list, and bound to the type list (see above).
core_scope This scope includes the notation for pairs. It is delimited by the key core.
string_scope This scope includes notation for strings as elements of the type string. Special characters and escaping follow Coq conventions on strings (see Lexical conventions). Especially, there is no convention to visualize non printable characters of a string. The file String.v shows an example that contains quotes, a newline and a beep (i.e. the ASCII character of code 7).
char_scope This scope includes interpretation for all strings of the form " c " where c is an ASCII character, or of the form "nnn" where nnn is a three-digit number (possibly with leading 0 s ), or of the form " " " ". Their respective denotations are the ASCII code of $c$, the decimal ASCII code nnn, or the ascii code of the character " (i.e. the ASCII code 34 ), all of them being represented in the type ascii.

## Displaying information about scopes

## Command: Print Visibility scope_name?

Displays the current notation scope stack. The top of the stack is displayed last. Notations in scopes whose interpretation is hidden by the same notation in a more recently opened scope are not displayed. Hence each notation is displayed only once.

If scope_name is specified, displays the current notation scope stack as if the scope scope_name is pushed on top of the stack. This is useful to see how a subterm occurring locally in the scope is interpreted.

## Command: Print Scopes

Displays, for each existing notation scope, all accessible notations (whether or not currently in the notation scope stack), the most-recently defined delimiting key and the class the notation scope is bound to. The display also includes lonely notations.

Use the Print Visibility command to display the current notation scope stack.

## Command: Print Scope scope_name

Displays all notations defined in the notation scope scope_name. It also displays the delimiting key and the class to which the scope is bound, if any.

## Abbreviations

Command: Notation ident ident idarm $^{\boldsymbol{*}}:=$ one_term ( syntax_modifier ${ }_{\square}^{+}$) ?
Defines an abbreviation $i$ dent with the parameters ident $_{\text {parm }}$.
This command supports the local attribute, which limits the notation to the current module.
An abbreviation is a name, possibly applied to arguments, that denotes a (presumably) more complex expression. Here are examples:

```
Notation Nlist := (list nat).
Check 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: nil.
    1 :: 2 :: 3 :: nil
        : Nlist
Notation reflexive R := (forall x, R x x).
Check forall A:Prop, A <-> A.
    reflexive iff
        : Prop
Check reflexive iff.
    reflexive iff
        : Prop
Notation Plus1 B := (Nat.add B 1).
Compute (Plus1 3).
    = 4
    : nat
```

An abbreviation expects no precedence nor associativity, since it is parsed as an usual application. Abbreviations are used as much as possible by the Coq printers unless the modifier (only parsing) is given.

An abbreviation is bound to an absolute name as an ordinary definition is and it also can be referred to by a qualified name.

Abbreviations are syntactic in the sense that they are bound to expressions which are not typed at the time of the definition of the abbreviation but at the time they are used. Especially, abbreviations can be bound to terms with holes (i.e. with "_"). For example:

```
Definition explicit_id (A:Set) (a:A) := a.
Notation id := (explicit_id _).
Check (id 0).
    id 0
            : nat
```

Abbreviations disappear when a section is closed. No typing of the denoted expression is performed at definition time. Type checking is done only at the time of use of the abbreviation.

Like for notations, if the right-hand side of an abbreviation is a partially applied constant, the abbreviation inherits the implicit arguments and notation scopes of the constant. As an exception, if the right-hand side is just of the form @qualid, this conventionally stops the inheritance of implicit arguments.

Like for notations, it is possible to bind binders in abbreviations. Here is an example:

```
Definition force2 q (P:nat*nat -> Prop) :=
    (forall n', n' >= fst q -> forall p', p' >= snd q -> P q).
Notation F p P := (force2 p (fun p => P)).
Check exists x y, F (x,y) (x >= 1 /\ y >= 2).
```


## Numbers and strings

```
primitive_notations ::= number
| string
```

Numbers and strings have no predefined semantics in the calculus. They are merely notations that can be bound to objects through the notation mechanism. Initially, numbers are bound to Peano's representation of natural numbers (see Datatypes).

Note: Negative integers are not at the same level as natural, for this would make precedence unnatural.

## Number notations


$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { number_modifier } & ::= & \text { warning after bignat } \\ \text { abstract after bignat }\end{array}\right]$

This command allows the user to customize the way number literals are parsed and printed.
qualid $d_{t y p e}$ the name of an inductive type, while qualid $d_{p a r s e}$ and qualid $d_{p r i n t}$ should be the names of the parsing and printing functions, respectively. The parsing function qualid $d_{\text {parse }}$ should have one of the following types:

- Number.int $\rightarrow$ qualid $d_{\text {type }}$
- Number.int $\rightarrow$ option qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$
- Number. uint $\rightarrow$ qualid ${ }_{t y p e}$
- Number. uint $\rightarrow$ option qualid $t_{\text {type }}$
- z -> qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$
- Z $\rightarrow$ option qualid ${ }_{t y p e}$
- PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63 $\rightarrow$ qualid type
- PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63 -> option qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$
- PrimFloat.float $\rightarrow$ qualid $t_{\text {type }}$
- PrimFloat.float $\rightarrow$ option qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$
- Number.number $\rightarrow$ qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$
- Number.number $\rightarrow$ option qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$

And the printing function qualid $d_{p r i n t}$ should have one of the following types:

- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }} \rightarrow$ Number.int
- qualid type $\rightarrow$ option Number.int
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }} \rightarrow$ Number.uint
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}->$ option Number.uint
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$-> Z
- qualid type ${ }^{\text {-> }}$ option Z
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$-> PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63
- qualid $t_{\text {type }}$-> option PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$-> PrimFloat.float
- qualid ${ }_{t y p e} \rightarrow$ option PrimFloat.float
- qualid ${ }_{t y p e}->$ Number. number
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }} \rightarrow$ option Number. number

When parsing, the application of the parsing function qualid $d_{\text {parse }}$ to the number will be fully reduced, and universes of the resulting term will be refreshed.
Note that only fully-reduced ground terms (terms containing only function application, constructors, inductive type families, sorts, primitive integers, primitive floats, primitive arrays and type constants for primitive types) will be considered for printing.

Note: Instead of an inductive type, qualid $t_{y p e}$ can be PrimInt63.int or PrimFloat. float, in which case qualid ${ }_{p r i n t}$ takes PrimInt63.int_wrapper or PrimFloat. float_wrapper as input instead of PrimInt63.int or PrimFloat.float. See below for an example.

Note: When PrimFloat. float is used as input type of qualid $d_{p a r s e}$, only numerical values will be parsed this way, (no infinities nor NaN). Similarly, printers qualid ${ }_{p r i n t}$ with output type PrimFloat.float or option PrimFloat.float are ignored when they return non numerical values.
via qualid ${ }_{\text {ind }}$ mapping [ qualid constant $=>$ qualid constructor ${ }_{r}^{+}$] When using this option, qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$ no longer needs to be an inductive type and is instead mapped to the inductive type qualid ${ }_{\text {ind }}$ according to the provided list of pairs, whose first component qualid $d_{\text {constant }}$ is a constant of type qualid $d_{\text {type }}$ (or a function of type $\boldsymbol{-}_{->\text {* }}$ $\left.q u a l i d_{t y p e}\right)$ and the second a constructor of type qualid ${ }_{i n d}$. The type qualid $d_{t y p e}$ is then replaced by qualid ${ }_{i n d}$ in the above parser and printer types.

When qualid constant ${ }^{\text {is }}$ surrounded by square brackets, all the implicit arguments of qualid constant (whether maximally inserted or not) are ignored when translating to qualid ${ }_{\text {constructor }}$ (i.e., before applying qualid ${ }_{p r i n t}$ ) and replaced with implicit argument
holes _ when translating from qualid constructor to qualid ${ }_{\text {constant }}$ (after qualid ${ }_{\text {parse }}$ ). See below for an example.

Note: The implicit status of the arguments is considered only at notation declaration time, any further modification of this status has no impact on the previously declared notations.

Note: In case of multiple implicit options (for instance Arguments eq_refl $\{A\} \%$ type_scope $\{x\},\left[\_\right] \quad$ ), an argument is considered implicit when it is implicit in any of the options.

Note: To use a sort as the target type qualid $d_{t y p e}$, use an abbreviation as in the example below.
warning after bignat displays a warning message about a possible stack overflow when calling qualid $d_{p a r s e}$ to parse a literal larger than bignat.
Warning: Stack overflow or segmentation fault happens when working with large num When a Number Notation is registered in the current scope with (warning after bignat), this warning is emitted when parsing a number greater than or equal to bignat.
abstract after bignat returns (qualid $d_{\text {parse }} \mathrm{m}$ ) when parsing a literal m that's greater than bignat rather than reducing it to a normal form. Here $m$ will be a Number. int, Number. uint, $Z$ or Number. number, depending on the type of the parsing function qualid ${ }_{\text {parse }}$. This allows for a more compact representation of literals in types such as nat, and limits parse failures due to stack overflow. Note that a warning will be emitted when an integer larger than bignat is parsed. Note that (abstract after bignat) has no effect when qualid $d_{\text {parse }}$ lands in an option type.
Warning: To avoid stack overflow, large numbers in type are interpreted as applic When a Number Notation is registered in the current scope with (abstract after bignat), this warning is emitted when parsing a number greater than or equal to bignat. Typically, this indicates that the fully computed representation of numbers can be so large that non-tail-recursive OCaml functions run out of stack space when trying to walk them.
Warning: The 'abstract after' directive has no effect when the parsing function As noted above, the (abstract after natural) directive has no effect when qualid parse $l$ lands in an option type.
Error: 'via' and 'abstract' cannot be used together.
With the abstract after option, the parser function qualid $d_{p a r s e}$ does not reduce large numbers to a normal form, which prevents doing the translation given in the mapping list.

Error: Cannot interpret this number as a value of type type
The number notation registered for type does not support the given number. This error is given when the interpretation function returns None, or if the interpretation is registered only for integers or non-negative integers, and the given number has a fractional or exponent part or is negative.

Error: overflow in int63 literal bigint
The constant's absolute value is too big to fit into a 63-bit integer PrimInt 63 .int.
Error: qualidparse should go from Number.int to type or (option type). Instead of Number. The parsing function given to the Number Notation command is not of the right type.

Error: qualid print should go from type to Number.int or (option Number.int). Instead of N
The printing function given to the Number Notation command is not of the right type.
Error: Unexpected term term while parsing a number notation.
Parsing functions must always return ground terms, made up of function application, constructors, inductive type families, sorts and primitive integers. Parsing functions may not return terms containing axioms, bare (co)fixpoints, lambdas, etc.

Error: Unexpected non-option term term while parsing a number notation. Parsing functions expected to return an opt ion must always return a concrete Some or None when applied to a concrete number expressed as a (hexa)decimal. They may not return opaque constants.

Error: Multiple 'via' options.
At most one via option can be given.
Error: Multiple 'warning after' or 'abstract after' options.
At most one warning after or abstract after option can be given.

## String notations

Command: String Notation qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$ qualid ${ }_{p a r s e}$ qualid print $^{(\text {number_string_via })^{\text {? }} \text { : scope_nar }}$
Allows the user to customize how strings are parsed and printed.
$q u a l i d_{t y p e}$ the name of an inductive type, while qualid $d_{p a r s e}$ and qualid $d_{p r i n t}$ should be the names of the parsing and printing functions, respectively. The parsing function qualid $d_{\text {parse }}$ should have one of the following types:

- Byte.byte $\rightarrow$ qualid ${ }_{t y p e}$
- Byte.byte $\rightarrow$ option qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$
- list Byte.byte $\rightarrow$ qualid ${ }_{t y p e}$
- list Byte.byte -> option qualid type

The printing function qualidprint should have one of the following types:

- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }}$-> Byte.byte
- qualid ${ }_{\text {type }} \rightarrow$ option Byte.byte
- qualid ${ }_{t y p e}$-> list Byte.byte
- qualid type $\rightarrow$ option (list Byte.byte)

When parsing, the application of the parsing function qualid $d_{p a r s e}$ to the string will be fully reduced, and universes of the resulting term will be refreshed.

Note that only fully-reduced ground terms (terms containing only function application, constructors, inductive type families, sorts, primitive integers, primitive floats, primitive arrays and type constants for primitive types) will be considered for printing.

works as for number notations above.
Error: Cannot interpret this string as a value of type type
The string notation registered for type does not support the given string. This error is given when the interpretation function returns None.

Error: qualid ${ }_{p a r s e}$ should go from Byte.byte or (list Byte.byte) to type or (option typ The parsing function given to the String Notation command is not of the right type.
Error: qualid print should go from type to Byte.byte or (option Byte.byte) or (list Byt The printing function given to the String Notation command is not of the right type.

Error: Unexpected term term while parsing a string notation.
Parsing functions must always return ground terms, made up of function application, constructors, inductive type families, sorts and primitive integers. Parsing functions may not return terms containing axioms, bare (co)fixpoints, lambdas, etc.

Error: Unexpected non-option term term while parsing a string notation. Parsing functions expected to return an option must always return a concrete Some or None when applied to a concrete string expressed as a decimal. They may not return opaque constants.

Note: Number or string notations for parameterized inductive types can be added by declaring an abbreviation for the inductive which instantiates all parameters. See example below.

The following errors apply to both string and number notations:
Error: type is not an inductive type.
String and number notations can only be declared for inductive types. Declare string or numeral notations for non-inductive types using number_string_via.

Error: qualid was already mapped to qualid and cannot be remapped to qualid Duplicates are not allowed in the mapping list.

Error: Missing mapping for constructor qualid
A mapping should be provided for qualid in the mapping list.
Warning: type was already mapped to type, mapping it also to type might yield ill typed Two pairs in the mapping list associate types that might be incompatible.

Warning: Type of qualid seems incompatible with the type of qualid. Expected type is: ty A mapping given in the mapping list associates a constant with a seemingly incompatible constructor.

Error: Cannot interpret in scope_name because qualid could not be found in the current e The inductive type used to register the string or number notation is no longer available in the environment. Most likely, this is because the notation was declared inside a functor for an inductive type inside the functor. This use case is not currently supported.
Alternatively, you might be trying to use a primitive token notation from a plugin which forgot to specify which module you must Require for access to that notation.
Error: Syntax error: [prim:reference] expected after 'Notation' (in [vernac:command]). The type passed to String Notation or Number Notation must be a single qualified identifier.

Error: Syntax error: [prim:reference] expected after [prim:reference] (in [vernac:comman Both functions passed to String Notation or Number Notation must be single qualified identifiers.

Error: qualid is bound to a notation that does not denote a reference. Identifiers passed to String Notation or Number Notation must be global references, or notations which evaluate to single qualified identifiers.

## Example: Number Notation for radix 3

The following example parses and prints natural numbers whose digits are 0,1 or 2 as terms of the following inductive type encoding radix 3 numbers.

```
Inductive radix3 : Set :=
    | x0 : radix3
    | x3 : radix3 -> radix3
    | x3p1 : radix3 -> radix3
    | x3p2 : radix3 -> radix3.
```

We first define a parsing function

```
Definition of_uint_dec (u : Decimal.uint) : option radix3 :=
    let fix f u := match u with
        | Decimal.Nil => Some x0
        | Decimal.DO u => match f u with Some u => Some (x3 u) | None => None end
        | Decimal.D1 u => match f u with Some u => Some (x3p1 u) | None => None end
        | Decimal.D2 u => match f u with Some u => Some (x3p2 u) | None => None end
        | _ => None end in
    f (Decimal.rev u).
Definition of_uint (u : Number.uint) : option radix3 :=
    match u with Number.UIntDecimal u => of_uint_dec u | Number.UIntHexadecimal _ =>v
    None end.
```

and a printing function

```
Definition to_uint_dec (x : radix3) : Decimal.uint :=
    let fix f x := match x with
        | x0 => Decimal.Nil
        | x3 x => Decimal.D0 (f x)
        | x3p1 x => Decimal.D1 (f x)
        | x3p2 x => Decimal.D2 (f x) end in
    Decimal.rev (f x).
Definition to_uint (x : radix3) : Number.uint := Number.UIntDecimal (to_uint_dec x).
```

before declaring the notation

```
Declare Scope radix3_scope.
Open Scope radix3_scope.
Number Notation radix3 of_uint to_uint : radix3_scope.
```

We can check the printer

```
Check x3p2 (x3p1 x0).
    1 2
        : radix3
```

and the parser

```
Set Printing All.
Check 120.
    x3 (x3p2 (x3p1 x0))
            : radix3
```

Digits other than 0, 1 and 2 are rejected.
Check 3.
Toplevel input, characters 6-7:
$>$ Check 3.

```
Error: Cannot interpret this number as a value of type radix3
```


## Example: Number Notation for primitive integers

This shows the use of the primitive integers PrimInt63.int as qualid $d_{\text {type }}$. It is the way parsing and printing of primitive integers are actually implemented in PrimInt $63 . \mathrm{v}$.

```
Require Import PrimInt63.
Definition parser (x : pos_neg_int63) : option int :=
    match x with Pos p => Some p | Neg _ => None end.
Definition printer (x : int_wrapper) : pos_neg_int63 := Pos (int_wrap x).
Number Notation int parser printer : uint63_scope.
```


## Example: Number Notation for a non-inductive type

The following example encodes the terms in the form sum unit ( . . (sum unit unit) . . ) as the number of units in the term. For instance sum unit (sum unit unit) is encoded as 3 while unit is 1 and 0 stands for Empty_set. The inductive I will be used as qualid ${ }_{i n d}$.

```
Inductive I := Iempty : I | Iunit : I | Isum : I -> I -> I.
```

We then define qualidparse ${ }^{\text {and }}$ qualid $_{\text {print }}$

```
Definition of_uint (x : Number.uint) : I :=
    let fix f n := match n with
        | O => Iempty | S O => Iunit
        | S n => Isum Iunit ( f n) end in
    f (Nat.of_num_uint x).
Definition to_uint (x : I) : Number.uint :=
    let fix f i := match i with
        | Iempty => 0 | Iunit => 1
        | Isum i1 i2 => f i1 + f i2 end in
    Nat.to_num_uint (f x).
Inductive sum (A : Set) (B : Set) : Set := pair : A >> B -> sum A B.
```

the number notation itself

```
Notation nSet := Set (only parsing).
Number Notation nSet of_uint to_uint (via I
    mapping [Empty_set => Iempty, unit => Iunit, sum => Isum]) : type_scope.
```

and check the printer

```
Local Open Scope type_scope.
Check sum unit (sum unit unit).
    3
        : Set
```

and the parser

```
Set Printing All.
```

Check 3.
sum unit (sum unit unit)
: Set

## Example: Number Notation with implicit arguments

The following example parses and prints natural numbers between 0 and $n-1$ as terms of type Fin.t $n$.

```
Require Import Vector.
Print Fin.t.
    Inductive t : nat -> Set :=
            F1 : forall n : nat, Fin.t (S n)
        FS : forall n : nat, Fin.t n -> Fin.t (S n).
    Arguments Fin.t _%nat_scope
    Arguments Fin.F1 {n}%nat_scope
    Arguments Fin.FS {n}%nat_scope _
```

Note the implicit arguments of Fin. F1 and Fin.FS, which won't appear in the corresponding inductive type.

```
Inductive I := I1 : I | IS : I -> I.
Definition of_uint (x : Number.uint) : I :=
    let fix f n := match n with O => I1 | S n => IS (f n) end in
    f (Nat.of_num_uint x).
Definition to_uint (x : I) : Number.uint :=
    let fix f i := match i with I1 => O | IS n => S (f n) end in
    Nat.to_num_uint (f x).
Declare Scope fin_scope.
Delimit Scope fin_scope with fin.
Local Open Scope fin_scope.
Number Notation Fin.t of_uint to_uint (via I
    mapping [[Fin.F1] => I1, [Fin.FS] => IS]) : fin_scope.
```

Now 2 is parsed as Fin.FS (Fin.FS Fin.F1), thatis @Fin.FS_(@Fin.FS _ (@Fin.F1_)).
Check 2.
2
: Fin.t (S (S (S ?n)))
where
?n : [ | - nat]
which can be of type Fin.t 3 (numbers 0,1 and 2)

```
Check 2 : Fin.t 3.
    2 : Fin.t 3
        : Fin.t 3
```

but cannot be of type Fin.t 2 (only 0 and 1)
Check 2 : Fin.t 2.
Toplevel input, characters 6-7:
> Check 2 : Fin.t 2.

$$
>
$$

Error:
The term "2" has type "Fin.t (S (S (S ?n)))"
while it is expected to have type "Fin.t 2".

## Example: String Notation with a parameterized inductive type

The parameter Byte.byte for the parameterized inductive type list is given through an abbreviation.

```
Notation string := (list Byte.byte) (only parsing).
Definition id_string := @id string.
String Notation string id_string id_string : list_scope.
Check "abc"%list.
    "abc"%list
        : list Byte.byte
```


## Tactic Notations

Tactic notations allow customizing the syntax of tactics.
Command: Tactic Notation ( at level natural) ${ }^{?}$ Itac_production_item ${ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ := ltac_expr ltac_production_item : := string

$$
\text { ident }(\text { ident }, \text { string } \stackrel{?}{?})
$$

Defines a tactic notation, which extends the parsing and pretty-printing of tactics.
This command supports the local attribute, which limits the notation to the current module.
natural The parsing precedence to assign to the notation. This information is particularly relevant for notations for tacticals. Levels can be in the range 0 .. 5 (default is 5).
ltac_production_item ${ }^{+}$The notation syntax. Notations for simple tactics should begin with a string. Note that Tactic Notation foo := idtac is not valid; it should be Tactic Notation "foo" := idtac.
string represents a literal value in the notation
ident is the name of a grammar nonterminal listed in the table below. In a few cases, to maintain backward compatibility, the name differs from the nonterminal name used elsewhere in the documentation.
( ident parm , string ${ }_{s}$ ? ) ident ${ }_{\text {parm }}$ is the parameter name associated with ident. The string ${ }_{s}$ is the separator string to use when ident specifies a list with separators (i.e. ident ends with _list_sep).
ltac_expr The tactic expression to substitute for the notation. ident $t_{p a r m}$ tokens appearing in ltac_expr are substituted with the associated nonterminal value.

For example, the following command defines a notation with a single parameter x .

Tactic Notation "destruct_with_eqn" constr(x) := destruct $x$ eqn:?.
For a complex example, examine the 16 Tactic Notation "setoid_replace"s defined in \$COQLIB/ theories/Classes/SetoidTactics.v, which are designed to accept any subset of 4 optional parameters.
The nonterminals that can specified in the tactic notation are:

| Specified ident | Parsed as | Interpreted as | as in tactic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ident | ident | a user-given name | intro |
| simple_intropatter | nsimple_intropatte | ran introduction pattern | assertas |
| hyp | ident | a hypothesis defined in context | clear |
| reference | qualid | a qualified identifier | name of an $L_{\text {tac }}$-defined tactic |
| smart_global | reference | a global reference of term | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unfold, } \\ & \text { with_strategy } \end{aligned}$ |
| constr | one_term | a term | exact |
| uconstr | one_term | an untyped term | refine |
| integer | integer | an integer |  |
| int_or_var | int_or_var | an integer | do |
| strategy_level | strategy_level | a strategy level |  |
| strategy_level_or_ | vatrrategy_level_or- | vastrategy level | with_strategy |
| tactic | ltac_expr | a tactic |  |
| tacticn ( $n$ in 0..5) | ltac_exprn | a tactic at level $n$ |  |
| entry_list | entry | a list of how entry is interpreted |  |
| ne_entry_list | $\text { entry }{ }^{+}$ | a list of how entry is interpreted |  |
| entry_list_sep | entry | a list of how entry is interpreted |  |
| ne_entry_list_sep | $\operatorname{entry}_{s}^{+}$ | a list of how entry is interpreted |  |

Note: In order to be bound in tactic definitions, each syntactic entry for argument type must include the case of a simple $L_{\text {tac }}$ identifier as part of what it parses. This is naturally the case for ident, simple_intropattern, reference, constr, ... but not for integer nor for strategy_level. This is the reason for introducing special entries int_or_var and strategy_level_or_var which evaluate to integers or strategy levels only, respectively, but which syntactically includes identifiers in order to be usable in tactic definitions.

Note: The entry_list * and ne_entry_list * entries can be used in primitive tactics or in other notations at places where a list of the underlying entry can be used: entry is either constr, hyp, integer, reference, strategy_level, strategy_level_or_var, or int_or_var.

### 2.2.5 Setting properties of a function's arguments



| arg_specs | : : $=$ | argument_spec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| | / |
|  | \| |  |
|  | । | $\left(\text { argument_spec }^{+}\right) \% \text { scope }^{*}$ |
|  | । | [ argument_spec ${ }^{+}$] \% scope ${ }^{*}$ |
|  | । | $\left\{\right.$ argument_spec $\left.^{+}\right\}$\% scope ${ }^{*}$ |
| argument_spec | : : = | ! ? name \% scope ${ }^{*}$ |
| implicits_alt | : : $=$ | name |
|  | \| | [ name $^{+}$] |
|  | \| | \{ name $^{+}$\} |
| args_modifier | : : $=$ | simpl nomatch |
|  | \| | simpl never |
|  | । | default implicits |
|  | \| | clear implicits |
|  | \| | clear scopes |
|  | \| | clear bidirectionality hint |
|  | \| | rename |
|  | \| | assert |
|  | \| | extra scopes |
|  | \| | clear scopes and implicits |
|  | \| | clear implicits and scopes |

Specifies properties of the arguments of a function after the function has already been defined. It gives fine-grained control over the elaboration process (i.e. the translation of Gallina language extensions into the core language used by the kernel). The command's effects include:

- Making arguments implicit. Afterward, implicit arguments must be omitted in any expression that applies reference.
- Declaring that some arguments of a given function should be interpreted in a given notation scope.
- Affecting when the simpl and cbn tactics unfold the function. See Effects of Arguments on unfolding.
- Providing bidirectionality hints. See Bidirectionality hints.

This command supports the local and global attributes. Default behavior is to limit the effect to the current section but also to extend their effect outside the current module or library file. Applying local limits the effect of the command to the current module if it's not in a section. Applying global within a section extends the effect outside the current sections and current module in which the command appears.
/ the function will be unfolded only if it's applied to at least the arguments appearing before the $/$. See Effects of Arguments on unfolding.

## Error: The / modifier may only occur once.

\& tells the type checking algorithm to first type check the arguments before the \& and then to propagate information from that typing context to type check the remaining arguments. See Bidirectionality hints.

Error: The \& modifier may only occur once.

[ argument_spec ${ }^{+}$] \% scope ${ }^{\star}$ declares the enclosed names as implicit, non-maximally inserted. [name name $_{2} \ldots$ nscope $^{\star}$... is equivalent to [name ${ }_{1}$ ]\%scope ${ }^{\text {* }}$ $\left[\right.$ name $\left._{2}\right]$ \%scope ${ }^{\star} \ldots$
\{ argument_spec ${ }^{\dagger}$ \} \% scope ${ }^{\star}$ declares the enclosed names as implicit, maximally
 $\left\{\right.$ name $\left._{2}\right\} \%$ \%scope ${ }^{*} \ldots$
! the function will be unfolded only if all the arguments marked with! evaluate to constructors. See Effects of Arguments on unfolding.
name $\%$ scope ${ }^{*}$ a formal parameter of the function reference (i.e. the parameter name used in the function definition). Unless rename is specified, the list of names must be a prefix of the formal parameters, including all implicit arguments. _ can be used to skip over a formal parameter. This construct declares name as non-implicit if clear implicits is specified or any other name in the Arguments command is declared implicit. scope can be either scope names or their delimiting keys. When multiple scopes are present, notations are interpreted in the leftmost scope containing them. See Binding arguments to scopes.

Error: To rename arguments the 'rename' flag must be specified.
Error: Flag 'rename' expected to rename name into name.
Error: Arguments of section variables such as name may not be renamed.
clear implicits makes all implicit arguments into explicit arguments
Error: The 'clear implicits' flag must be omitted if implicit annotations are giv
default implicits automatically determine the implicit arguments of the object. See Automatic declaration of implicit arguments.
Error: The 'default implicits' flag is incompatible with implicit annotations.
rename rename implicit arguments for the object. See the example here.
assert assert that the object has the expected number of arguments with the expected names. See the example here: Renaming implicit arguments.
Warning: This command is just asserting the names of arguments of qualid. If this
clear scopes clears argument scopes of reference
extra scopes defines extra argument scopes, to be used in case of coercion to Funclass (see Implicit Coercions) or with a computed type.
simpl nomatch prevents performing a simplification step for reference that would expose a match construct in the head position. See Effects of Arguments on unfolding.
simpl never prevents performing a simplification step for reference. See Effects of Arguments on unfolding.
clear bidirectionality hint removes the bidirectionality hint, the \&
implicits_alt use to specify alternative implicit argument declarations for functions that can only be applied to a fixed number of arguments (excluding, for instance, functions whose type is polymorphic). For parsing, the longest list of implicit arguments matching the function application is used to select which implicit arguments are inserted. For printing, the alternative with the most implicit arguments is used; the implict arguments will be omitted if Printing Implicit is not set. See the example here.

Use About to view the current implicit arguments setting for a reference.
Or use the Print Implicit command to see the implicit arguments of an object (see Displaying implicit arguments).

## Manual declaration of implicit arguments

## Example

```
Inductive list (A : Type) : Type :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
    list is defined
    list_rect is defined
    list_ind is defined
    list_rec is defined
    list_sind is defined
Check (cons nat 3 (nil nat)).
    cons nat 3 (nil nat)
        : list nat
Arguments cons [A] _ _.
Arguments nil {A}.
Check (cons 3 nil).
    cons 3 nil
            : list nat
Fixpoint map (A B : Type) (f : A -> B) (l : list A) : list B :=
    match l with nil => nil | cons a t => cons (f a) (map A B f t) end.
        map is defined
        map is recursively defined (guarded on 4th argument)
Fixpoint length (A : Type) (l : list A) : nat :=
    match l with nil => 0 | cons _ m => S (length A m) end.
        length is defined
        length is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)
Arguments map [A B] f l.
Arguments length {A} l. (* A has to be maximally inserted *)
```

Check (fun l:list (list nat) => map length l).

```
    fun l : list (list nat) => map length l
```

        : list (list nat) -> list nat
    
## Example: Multiple alternatives with implicits_alt

```
Arguments map [A B] f l, [A] B f l, A B f l.
Check (fun l => map length l = map (list nat) nat length l).
    fun l : list (list nat) => map length l = map length l
        : list (list nat) -> Prop
```


## Automatic declaration of implicit arguments

The"default implicits" args_modifierclause tells Coq to automatically determine the implicit arguments of the object.

Auto-detection is governed by flags specifying whether strict, contextual, or reversible-pattern implicit arguments must be considered or not (see Controlling strict implicit arguments, Controlling contextual implicit arguments, Controlling reversible-pattern implicit arguments and also Controlling the insertion of implicit arguments not followed by explicit arguments).

## Example: Default implicits

```
Inductive list (A:Set) : Set :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
    list is defined
    list_rect is defined
    list_ind is defined
    list_rec is defined
    list_sind is defined
Arguments cons : default implicits.
Print Implicit cons.
    cons : forall [A : Set], A -> list A -> list A
    Argument A is implicit
Arguments nil : default implicits.
Print Implicit nil.
    nil : forall A : Set, list A
Set Contextual Implicit.
Arguments nil : default implicits.
```

```
Print Implicit nil.
    nil : forall {A : Set}, list A
    Argument A is implicit and maximally inserted
```

The computation of implicit arguments takes account of the unfolding of constants. For instance, the variable p below has type (Transitivity R) which is reducible to forall $x, y: U, R x y->f o r a l l z: U, R y z->R$ $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{z}$. As the variables $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z appear strictly in the body of the type, they are implicit.

```
Parameter X : Type.
    X is declared
Definition Relation := X -> X -> Prop.
    Relation is defined
Definition Transitivity (R:Relation) := forall x y:X, R x y -> forall z:X, R y z -> R
    4 z .
        Transitivity is defined
Parameters (R : Relation) (p : Transitivity R).
    R is declared
    p is declared
Arguments p : default implicits.
Print p.
    *** [ p : Transitivity R ]
    Expanded type for implicit arguments
    p : forall [x y : X], R x y ->> forall z : X, R y z -> R x z
    Arguments p [x y] - [z] _
Print Implicit p.
    p : forall [x y : X], R x y -> forall z : X, R y z -> R x z
    Arguments x, y, z are implicit
Parameters (a b c : X) (r1 : R a b) (r2 : R b c).
    a is declared
    b is declared
    c is declared
    r1 is declared
    r2 is declared
Check (p r1 r2).
    p r1 r2
        : R a c
```


## Renaming implicit arguments

## Example: (continued) Renaming implicit arguments

```
Arguments p [s t] - [u] _: rename.
Check (p r1 (u:=c)).
    p r1 (u:=c)
        : R b c -> R a c
Check (p (s:=a) (t:=b) r1 (u:=c) r2).
    p r1 r2
        : R a c
Fail Arguments p [s t] - [w] _ : assert.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Flag "rename" expected to rename u into w.
```


## Binding arguments to scopes

The following command declares that the first two arguments of plus_fct are interpreted in the scope delimited by the key F and the third argument is first interpreted in the scope delimited by the key R , then in $F$ (when a notation has no interpretation in $R$ ).

```
Arguments plus_fct (f1 f2) %F x % R%F.
```

When interpreting a term, if some of the arguments of reference are built from a notation, then this notation is interpreted in the scope stack extended by the scopes bound (if any) to this argument. The effect of these scopes is limited to the argument itself. It does not propagate to subterms but the subterms that, after interpretation of the notation, turn to be themselves arguments of a reference are interpreted according to the argument scopes bound to this reference.

Note: In notations, the subterms matching the identifiers of the notations are interpreted in the scope in which the identifiers occurred at the time of the declaration of the notation. Here is an example:

```
Parameter g : bool -> bool.
    g is declared
Declare Scope mybool_scope.
Notation "@@" := true (only parsing) : bool_scope.
Notation "@@" := false (only parsing): mybool_scope.
Bind Scope bool_scope with bool.
Notation "# x #" := (g x) (at level 40).
Check # @@ #.
    # true #
        : bool
Arguments g _%mybool_scope.
Check # @@ #.
```

```
    # true #
        : bool
Delimit Scope mybool_scope with mybool.
Check # @@%mybool #.
    # false #
        : bool
```


## Effects of Arguments on unfolding

- simpl never indicates that a constant should never be unfolded by cbn or simpl:


## Example

Arguments minus $n \mathrm{~m}$ : simpl never.

After that command an expression like (minus (S x) y) is left untouched by the tactics cbn and simpl.

- A constant can be marked to be unfolded only if it's applied to at least the arguments appearing before the / in a Arguments command.


## Example

```
Definition fcomp A B C f (g : A -> B) (x : A) : C := f (g x).
    fcomp is defined
Arguments fcomp {A B C} f g x /.
Notation "f \o g" := (fcomp f g) (at level 50).
```

After that command the expression ( $f \backslash \bigcirc g$ ) is left untouched by simpl while ( $(f \backslash \bigcirc G) t$ ) is reduced to ( $f(g t)$ ). The same mechanism can be used to make a constant volatile, i.e. always unfolded.

## Example

```
Definition volatile := fun x : nat => x.
```

    volatile is defined
    Arguments volatile / x.

- A constant can be marked to be unfolded only if an entire set of arguments evaluates to a constructor. The ! symbol can be used to mark such arguments.


## Example

Arguments minus !n !m.

After that command, the expression (minus (S x) y) is left untouched by simpl, while (minus (S x) ( $\mathrm{S} y$ )) is reduced to (minus $\mathrm{x} y$ ).

- simpl nomatch indicates that a constant should not be unfolded if it would expose a match construct in the head position. This affects the cbn, simpl and hnf tactics.


## Example

Arguments minus $n \mathrm{~m}$ : simpl nomatch.

In this case, (minus (S $(S x)$ ) $(S y)$ ) is simplified to (minus ( $S x) y$ ) even if an extra simplification is possible.

In detail: the tactic simp 1 first applies $\beta \mathrm{t}$-reduction. Then, it expands transparent constants and tries to reduce further using $\beta \mathrm{t}$-reduction. But, when no t rule is applied after unfolding then $\delta$-reductions are not applied. For instance trying to use simplon (plus $n O$ ) $=n$ changes nothing.

## Bidirectionality hints

When type-checking an application, Coq normally does not use information from the context to infer the types of the arguments. It only checks after the fact that the type inferred for the application is coherent with the expected type. Bidirectionality hints make it possible to specify that after type-checking the first arguments of an application, typing information should be propagated from the context to help inferring the types of the remaining arguments.

An Arguments command containing arg_specs $\boldsymbol{1}_{1} \& a r g_{-}$specs $_{2}$ provides bidirectionality hints. It tells the typechecking algorithm, when type checking applications of qualid, to first type check the arguments in arg_specs ${ }_{1}$ and then propagate information from the typing context to type check the remaining arguments (in arg_specs ${ }_{2}$ ).

## Example: Bidirectionality hints

In a context where a coercion was declared from bool to nat:

```
Definition b2n (b : bool) := if b then 1 else 0.
Coercion b2n : bool >-> nat.
```

Coq cannot automatically coerce existential statements over bool to statements over nat, because the need for inserting a coercion is known only from the expected type of a subterm:

```
Fail Check (ex_intro _ true _ : exists n : nat, n > 0).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "ex_intro ?P true ?y" has type "exists y, ?P y"
    while it is expected to have type "exists n : nat, n > 0"
    (cannot unify "bool" and "nat").
```

However, a suitable bidirectionality hint makes the example work:

```
Arguments ex_intro _ _ & _ _. 
Check (ex_intro _ true _ : exists n : nat, n > 0).
    ex_intro (fun n : nat => n > 0) true ?g : exists n : nat, n > 0
        : exists n : nat, n > 0
    where
    ?g : [ | - (fun n : nat => n > 0) true]
```

Coq will attempt to produce a term which uses the arguments you provided, but in some cases involving Program mode the arguments after the bidirectionality starts may be replaced by convertible but syntactically different terms.

### 2.2.6 Implicit Coercions

Author Amokrane Saïbi

## General Presentation

This section describes the inheritance mechanism of Coq. In Coq with inheritance, we are not interested in adding any expressive power to our theory, but only convenience. Given a term, possibly not typable, we are interested in the problem of determining if it can be well typed modulo insertion of appropriate coercions. We allow to write:

- $f$ a where $f:(f o r a l l x: A, B)$ and $a: A^{\prime}$ when $A^{\prime}$ can be seen in some sense as a subtype of $A$.
- $x$ : A when A is not a type, but can be seen in a certain sense as a type: set, group, category etc.
- $f$ a when $f$ is not a function, but can be seen in a certain sense as a function: bijection, functor, any structure morphism etc.


## Classes

A class with $n$ parameters is any defined name with a type forall (ident ${ }_{1}$ : type ${ }_{1}$ ).. (ident : type $_{n}$ ), sort. Thus a class with parameters is considered as a single class and not as a family of classes. An object of a class is any term of type class term $_{1} \ldots$ term $_{n}$. In addition to these user-defined classes, we have two built-in classes:

- Sortclass, the class of sorts; its objects are the terms whose type is a sort (e.g. Prop or Type).
- Funclass, the class of functions; its objects are all the terms with a functional type, i.e. of form forall $x: A, B$.

Formally, the syntax of classes is defined as:

class $::=$| Funclass |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Coercions

A name f can be declared as a coercion between a source user-defined class C with $n$ parameters and a target class D if one of these conditions holds:

- $D$ is a user-defined class, then the type of $f$ must have the form forall $\left(x_{1}: A_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x\right.$ ? $A$ 回) ( $y: C V_{1} \ldots$ v? ), $D \quad u_{1} . . u$ where $m$ is the number of parameters of $D$.
- $D$ is Funclass, then the type of $f$ must have the form forall ( $x_{1}: A_{1}$ ) .. (x?:AR) (y:C $\mathrm{v}_{1} \ldots$ v?) ( $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A}$ ) , B.
- D is Sortclass, then the type of $f$ must have the form forall ( $\left.x_{1}: A_{1}\right) \ldots(x$ : A? $)\left(y: C v_{1} \ldots v\right.$ ), $s$ with $s$ a sort.

We then write f : $\mathrm{C}>->\mathrm{D}$.
When you declare a new coercion (e.g. with Coercion), new coercion paths with the same classes as existing ones are ignored. Coq will generate a warning when the two paths may be non convertible. When the $\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}$ ? are exactly the $\mathrm{v}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{v}$ ? (in the same order), the coercion is said to satisfy the uniform inheritance condition. When possible, we recommend using coercions that satisfy this condition. This guarantees that no spurious warning will be generated.

Note: The built-in class Sortclass can be used as a source class, but the built-in class Funclass cannot.

To coerce an object $t: C \quad t_{1} \ldots t$ ? of $C$ towards $D$, we have to apply the coercion $f$ to it; the obtained term $f \quad \ldots \quad t$ is then an object of $D$.

## Reversible Coercions

When a term cannot be coerced (directly) to its expected type, Coq tries to use a reversible coercion (see the reversible attribute). Intuitively, Coq synthesizes a new term of the right type that can be coerced to the original one. The new term is obtained by reversing the coercion, that is guessing its input given the output.

More precisely, in order to coerce a term a : A to type B, Coq finds a reversible coercion $f$ : B >->A, then synthesizes some ? x : B such that f ? $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}$ (typically through Canonical Structures or Typeclasses) and finally replaces a with the value of ? $x$.

If Coq doesn't find a reversible coercion $f: B>->A$, then it looks for a coercion class $C$ equipped with an incoming reversible coercion $g$ : $B>->C$ and a coercion $h: A>->C$ (not necessarily reversible), then synthesizes some ? $x$ : B such that $g$ ? $x=h \quad a$, and finally replaces a with the value of $? x$. If there's another class $D$ with a coercion from $C$ to $D$ and incoming coercions from $A$ and $B$, Coq tries $C$ before $D$. This ordering is well defined only if the coercion graph happens to be a semi lattice. The intuition behind this ordering is that since coercions forget information, D has less information that $C$, and hence inferring ? $x: B$ from $h a: D$ would be harder.

See the example below.

## Identity Coercions

To make coercions work for both a named class and for Sortclass or Funclass, use the Identity Coercion command. There is an example here.

## Inheritance Graph

Coercions form an inheritance graph with classes as nodes. We call coercion path an ordered list of coercions between two nodes of the graph. A class $C$ is said to be a subclass of $D$ if there is a coercion path in the graph from C to $D$; we also say that $C$ inherits from $D$. Our mechanism supports multiple inheritance since a class may inherit from several classes, contrary to simple inheritance where a class inherits from at most one class. However there must be at most one path between two classes. If this is not the case, only the oldest one is valid and the others are ignored. So the order of declaration of coercions is important.
We extend notations for coercions to coercion paths. For instance [ $\mathrm{f}_{1}$; . ; f $f$ [] : C >-> D is the coercion path composed by the coercions $f_{1} \ldots f$. The application of a coercion path to a term consists of the successive application of its coercions.

## Declaring Coercions

Command: Coercion reference : class >-> class?
Command: Coercion ident_decl def_body
The first form declares the construction denoted by reference as a coercion between the two given classes. The second form defines ident_decl just like Definition ident_decl def_body and then declares ident_decl as a coercion between it source and its target. Both forms support the local attribute, which makes the coercion local to the current section.
: class >-> class ${ }^{\text {? }}$ The source and target classes of the coercion. If unspecified, reference must already be a coercion, which enables modifying the reversible attribute of reference. See the example below.

Attribute: reversible = Yes no ?
This attribute allows the coercion to be used as a reversible coercion. By default coercions are not reversible except for Record fields specified using : >.

## Attribute: nonuniform

Silence the non uniform inheritance warning.
Error: qualid not declared. qualid is not defined globally.

Error: qualid is already a coercion.
qualid is already registered as a coercion.
Error: Funclass cannot be a source class.
Funclass as a source class is currently not supported. This may change in the future.

## Error: qualid is not a function.

qualid is not a function, so it cannot be used as a coercion.
Error: Cannot find the source class of qualid. Coq can not infer a valid source class.
Error: Cannot recognize class as a source class of qualid.
The inferred source class of the coercion differs from the one specified.

## Error: Cannot find the target class

The target class of the coercion is not specified and cannot be inferred. Make sure that the target is not a variable.

## Error: Found target class class instead of class

The inferred target class of the coercion differs from the one specified.
Warning: qualid does not respect the uniform inheritance condition.
The test for ambiguous coercion paths may yield false positives involving the coercion qualid. Use the nonuniform attribute to silence this warning.
Warning: New coercion path ... is ambiguous with existing ...
The check for ambiguous paths failed. The paths for which this check fails are displayed by a warning in the form [ $\mathrm{f}_{1}$; ..; f f ] : C >-> D.

The convertibility checking procedure for coercion paths is complete for paths consisting of coercions satisfying the uniform inheritance condition, but some coercion paths could be reported as ambiguous even if they are convertible with existing ones when they have coercions that don't satisfy this condition.

Warning: . . . is not definitionally an identity function.
If a coercion path has the same source and target class, that is said to be circular. When a new circular coercion path is not convertible with the identity function, it will be reported as ambiguous.
Some objects can be declared as coercions when they are defined. This applies to assumptions and constructors of inductive types and record fields. Use : > instead of : before the type of the assumption to do so. See of_type.
Command: Identity Coercion ident : class >-> class
If $C$ is the source class and $D$ the destination, we check that $C$ is a constant with a body of the form fun $\left(x_{1}: T_{1}\right) \ldots(x$ ? $: T$ ? $) \Rightarrow D t_{1} \ldots t$ ? where $m$ is the number of parameters of $D$. Then we define an identity function with type forall $\left(x_{1}: T_{1}\right) \ldots(x$ : T? $)\left(y: C x_{1} \ldots x\right.$ ? , $D t_{1} \ldots t$, and we declare it an an identity coercion between C and D. See below for an example.
This command supports the local attribute, which makes the coercion local to the current section.
Error: class must be a transparent constant.

Command: SubClass ident_decl def_body
If type is a class ident' applied to some arguments then ident is defined and an identity coercion of name Id_ident_ident' is declared. Otherwise said, this is an abbreviation for
Definition ident := type. Identity Coercion Id_ident_ident' : ident >-> ident'.

This command supports the local attribute, which makes the coercion local to the current section.

## Displaying Available Coercions

## Command: Print Classes

Print the list of declared classes in the current context.

## Command: Print Coercions

Print the list of declared coercions in the current context.

## Command: Print Graph

Print the list of valid coercion paths in the current context.
Command: Print Coercion Paths class class
Print the list of valid coercion paths between the two given classes.

## Activating the Printing of Coercions

## Flag: Printing Coercions

When on, this flag forces all the coercions to be printed. By default, coercions are not printed.

## Table: Printing Coercion qualid

This table specifies a set of qualids for which coercions are always displayed. Use the Add and Remove commands to update the set of qualids.

## Classes as Records

Structures with Inheritance may be defined using the Record command.
Use > before the record name to declare the constructor name as a coercion from the class of the last field type to the record name. See record_definition.

Use : > in the field type to declare the field as a coercion from the record name to the class of the field type. For these coercions, the reversible attribute defaults to yes. See of_type.

## Coercions and Sections

The inheritance mechanism is compatible with the section mechanism. The global classes and coercions defined inside a section are redefined after its closing, using their new value and new type. The classes and coercions which are local to the section are simply forgotten. Coercions with a local source class or a local target class are also forgotten.

## Coercions and Modules

The coercions present in a module are activated only when the module is explicitly imported.

## Examples

There are three situations:

## Example: Coercion at function application

$f$ a is ill-typed where $f: f o r a l l x: A, B$ and $a: A^{\prime}$. If there is a coercion path between $A^{\prime}$ and $A$, then $f a$ is transformed into $f a^{\prime}$ where $a^{\prime}$ is the result of the application of this coercion path to $a$.
We first give an example of coercion between atomic inductive types

```
Definition bool_in_nat (b:bool) := if b then 0 else 1.
    bool_in_nat is defined
Coercion bool_in_nat : bool >-> nat.
    bool_in_nat is now a coercion
Check (0 = true).
    0 = true
        : Prop
Set Printing Coercions.
Check (0 = true).
    0 = bool_in_nat true
        : Prop
Unset Printing Coercions.
```

Warning: Note that Check (true $=0$ ) would fail. This is "normal" behavior of coercions. To validate true=0, the coercion is searched from nat to bool. There is none.

We give an example of coercion between classes with parameters.

```
Parameters (C : nat -> Set) (D : nat }->\mathrm{ bool -> Set) (E : bool -> Set).
    C is declared
    D is declared
    E is declared
Parameter f : forall n:nat, C n -> D (S n) true.
    f is declared
Coercion f : C >-> D.
    f is now a coercion
Parameter g : forall (n:nat) (b:bool), D n b -> E b.
    g is declared
Coercion g : D >-> E.
    g is now a coercion
```

```
Parameter c : C 0.
    c is declared
Parameter T : E true -> nat.
    T is declared
Check (T C).
    T c
            : nat
Set Printing Coercions.
Check (T C).
    T (g 1 true (f 0 c))
        : nat
Unset Printing Coercions.
```

In the case of functional arguments, we use the monotonic rule of sub-typing. To coerce $t$ : forall $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ towards forall $x: A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$, we have to coerce $A$ ' towards $A$ and $B$ towards $B^{\prime}$. An example is given below:

```
Parameters (A B : Set) (h : A -> B).
    A is declared
    B is declared
    h is declared
Coercion h : A >-> B.
    h is now a coercion
Parameter U : (A -> E true) -> nat.
    U is declared
Parameter t : B -> C 0.
    t is declared
Check (U t).
    U (fun x : A => t x)
        : nat
Set Printing Coercions.
Check (U t).
    U (fun x : A => g 1 true (f 0 (t (h x))))
        : nat
Unset Printing Coercions.
```

Remark the changes in the result following the modification of the previous example.

```
Parameter U' : (C 0 -> B) -> nat.
    U' is declared
Parameter t' : E true -> A.
    t' is declared
Check (U' t').
    U' (fun x : C 0 => t' x)
        : nat
```

```
Set Printing Coercions.
Check (U' t').
    U' (fun x : C 0 => h (t' (g 1 true (f 0 x))))
        : nat
Unset Printing Coercions.
```


## Example: Coercion to a type

An assumption $x: A$ when $A$ is not a type, is ill-typed. It is replaced by $x: A$ ' where $A$ ' is the result of the application to A of the coercion path between the class of A and Sortclass if it exists. This case occurs in the abstraction fun $x: A=>t$, universal quantification forall $x: A, B$, global variables and parameters of (co)inductive definitions and functions. In forall $x: A, B$, such a coercion path may also be applied to $B$ if necessary.

```
Parameter Graph : Type.
    Graph is declared
Parameter Node : Graph -> Type.
    Node is declared
Coercion Node : Graph >-> Sortclass.
    Node is now a coercion
Parameter G : Graph.
    G is declared
Parameter Arrows : G -> G -> Type.
    Arrows is declared
Check Arrows.
    Arrows
        : G -> G -> Type
Parameter fg : G -> G.
    fg is declared
Check fg.
    fg
        :G -> G
Set Printing Coercions.
Check fg.
    fg
        : Node G -> Node G
Unset Printing Coercions.
```


## Example: Coercion to a function

$f$ a is ill-typed because $f: A$ is not a function. The term $f$ is replaced by the term obtained by applying to $f$ the coercion path between $A$ and Funclass if it exists.

```
Parameter bij : Set -> Set -> Set.
    bij is declared
Parameter ap : forall A B:Set, bij A B >> A -> B.
    ap is declared
Coercion ap : bij >-> Funclass.
    ap is now a coercion
Parameter b : bij nat nat.
    b is declared
Check (b 0).
    b 0
        : nat
Set Printing Coercions.
Check (b 0).
    ap nat nat b 0
        : nat
Unset Printing Coercions.
```


## Example: Reversible coercions

Notice the :> on ssort making it a reversible coercion.

```
Structure S := {
    ssort :> Type;
    sstuff : ssort;
}.
Definition test (s : S) := sstuff s.
Canonical Structure S_nat := {| ssort := nat; sstuff := 0; |}.
Check test (nat : Type).
    test S_nat
        : S_nat
```


## Example: Reversible coercions using the reversible attribute

Notice there is no :> on ssort' and the added Coercion compared to the previous example.

```
Structure S' := {
    ssort' : Type;
    sstuff' : ssort';
}.
Coercion ssort' : S' >-> Sortclass.
Definition test' (s : S') := sstuff' s.
Canonical Structure S_nat' := {| ssort' := nat; sstuff' := 0; |}.
```

Since there's no :> on the definition of ssort', the reversible attribute is not set:

Fail Check test' (nat : Type).
The command has indeed failed with message:
The term "nat : Type" has type "Type" while it is expected to have type "S'".
The attribute can be set after declaring the coercion:

```
#[reversible] Coercion ssort'
Check test' (nat : Type).
    test' S_nat'
        : S_nat'
```


## Example: Identity coercions.

```
Definition fct := nat -> nat.
Parameter incr_fct : Set.
Parameter fct_of_incr_fct : incr_fct -> fct.
Fail Coercion fct_of_incr_fct : incr_fct >-> Funclass.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Found target class Funclass instead of fct.
Coercion fct_of_incr_fct : incr_fct >-> fct.
Parameter f' : incr_fct.
Check f' : fct.
    f' : fct
        : fct
Fail Check f' O.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Illegal application (Non-functional construction):
    The expression "f'" of type "incr_fct"
    cannot be applied to the term
        "0" : "nat"
Identity Coercion Id_fct_Funclass : fct >-> Funclass.
Check f' 0.
    f' 0
        : nat
```


## Example: Inheritance Graph

Let us see the resulting graph after all these examples.

```
Print Graph.
    [h] : A >-> B
    [f]: C >-> D
    [f; g] : C >-> E
    [g] : D >-> E
    [Node] : Graph >-> Sortclass
    [ap] : bij >-> Funclass
    [Id_fct_Funclass] : fct >-> Funclass (reversible)
    [fct_of_incr_fct; Id_fct_Funclass] : incr_fct >-> Funclass
```

```
[fct_of_incr_fct] : incr_fct >-> fct
[ssort] : S >-> Sortclass (reversible)
[ssort'] : S' >-> Sortclass (reversible)
[bool_in_nat] : bool >-> nat
```


### 2.2.7 Typeclasses

This chapter presents a quick reference of the commands related to type classes. For an actual introduction to typeclasses, there is a description of the system [SO08] and the literature on type classes in Haskell which also applies.

## Class and Instance declarations

The syntax for class and instance declarations is the same as the record syntax of Coq:

```
Class classname (p1 : t1) .. (pn : tn) [: sort] := { f1 : u1 ; .. ; fm : um }.
Instance instancename q1 ... qm : classname p1 .. pn :={ f1 := t1 ; ... ; fm:= tm }.
```

The pi : ti variables are called the parameters of the class and the fi : ti are called the methods. Each class definition gives rise to a corresponding record declaration and each instance is a regular definition whose name is given by instancename and type is an instantiation of the record type.

We'll use the following example class in the rest of the chapter:

```
Class EqDec (A : Type) :=
    { eqb : A -> A -> bool ;
        eqb_leibniz : forall x y, eqb x y = true -> x = y }.
```

This class implements a boolean equality test which is compatible with Leibniz equality on some type. An example implementation is:

```
Instance unit_EqDec : EqDec unit :=
    { eqb x y := true ;
        eqb_leibniz x y H :=
            match }x,y\mathrm{ return }x=y wit
            | tt, tt => eq_refl tt
            end }.
```

Using the refine attribute, if the term is not sufficient to finish the definition (e.g. due to a missing field or non-inferable hole) it must be finished in proof mode. If it is sufficient a trivial proof mode with no open goals is started.

```
#[refine] Instance unit_EqDec' : EqDec unit := { eqb x y := true }.
Proof. intros [] [];reflexivity. Defined.
```

Note that if you finish the proof with $Q e d$ the entire instance will be opaque, including the fields given in the initial term.
Alternatively, in Program Mode if one does not give all the members in the Instance declaration, Coq generates obligations for the remaining fields, e.g.:

```
Require Import Program.Tactics.
Program Instance eq_bool : EqDec bool :=
    { eqb x y := if x then y else negb y }.
```

```
Next Obligation.
    1 goal
        x, y : bool
        H : (if x then y else negb y) = true
        =============================
        x = y
    destruct x ; destruct y ; (discriminate || reflexivity).
    No more goals.
Defined.
```

One has to take care that the transparency of every field is determined by the transparency of the Instance proof. One can use alternatively the program attribute to get richer facilities for dealing with obligations.

## Binding classes

Once a typeclass is declared, one can use it in class binders:

```
Definition neqb {A} {eqa : EqDec A} (x y : A) := negb (eqb x y).
    neqb is defined
```

When one calls a class method, a constraint is generated that is satisfied only in contexts where the appropriate instances can be found. In the example above, a constraint EqDec A is generated and satisfied by eqa : EqDec A. In case no satisfying constraint can be found, an error is raised:

```
Fail Definition neqb' (A : Type) (x y : A) := negb (eqb x y).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The following term contains unresolved implicit arguments:
        (fun (A : Type) (x y : A) => negb (eqb x y))
    More precisely:
    - ?EqDec: Cannot infer the implicit parameter EqDec of eqb whose type is
        "EqDec A" (no type class instance found) in environment:
        A : Type
        x, y : A
```

The algorithm used to solve constraints is a variant of the eauto tactic that does proof search with a set of lemmas (the instances). It will use local hypotheses as well as declared lemmas in the typeclass_instances database. Hence the example can also be written:

```
Definition neqb' A (eqa : EqDec A) (x y : A) := negb (eqb x y).
    neqb' is defined
```

However, the generalizing binders should be used instead as they have particular support for typeclasses:

- They automatically set the maximally implicit status for typeclass arguments, making derived functions as easy to use as class methods. In the example above, A and eqa should be set maximally implicit.
- They support implicit quantification on partially applied type classes (Implicit generalization). Any argument not given as part of a typeclass binder will be automatically generalized.
- They also support implicit quantification on Superclasses.

Following the previous example, one can write:

```
Generalizable Variables A B C.
Definition neqb_implicit ` {eqa : EqDec A} (x y : A) := negb (eqb x y).
    neqb_implicit is defined
```

Here A is implicitly generalized, and the resulting function is equivalent to the one above.

## Parameterized Instances

One can declare parameterized instances as in Haskell simply by giving the constraints as a binding context before the instance, e.g.:

```
Program Instance prod_eqb (EA : EqDec A, EB : EqDec B) : EqDec (A * B) :=
    { eqb x y := match x, y with
                (la, ra), (lb, rb) => andb (eqb la lb) (eqb ra rb)
            end }.
```

These instances are used just as well as lemmas in the instance hint database.

## Sections and contexts

To ease developments parameterized by many instances, one can use the Context command to introduce the parameters into the local context, it works similarly to the command Variable, except it accepts any binding context as an argument, so variables can be implicit, and Implicit generalization can be used. For example:

```
Section EqDec_defs.
Context ` {EA : EqDec A}.
    A is declared
    EA is declared
#[ global, program ] Instance option_eqb : EqDec (option A) :=
    { eqb x y := match x, y with
        | Some x, Some y => eqb x y
        | None, None => true
        | _, _ => false
        end }.
Admit Obligations.
End EqDec_defs.
About option_eqb.
    option_eqb : forall {A : Type}, EqDec A -> EqDec (option A)
    option_eqb is not universe polymorphic
    Arguments option_eqb {A}%type_scope {EA}
    option_eqb is transparent
    Expands to: Constant Top.option_eqb
```

Here the global attribute redeclares the instance at the end of the section, once it has been generalized by the context variables it uses.

## See also:

Section Section mechanism

## Building hierarchies

## Superclasses

One can also parameterize classes by other classes, generating a hierarchy of classes and superclasses. In the same way, we give the superclasses as a binding context:

```
Class Ord `(E : EqDec A) := { le : A -> A -> bool }
    Ord is defined
    le is defined
```

Contrary to Haskell, we have no special syntax for superclasses, but this declaration is equivalent to:

```
Class `(E : EqDec A) => Ord A :=
    { le : A -> A -> bool }.
```

This declaration means that any instance of the Ord class must have an instance of EqDec. The parameters of the subclass contain at least all the parameters of its superclasses in their order of appearance (here A is the only one). As we have seen, Ord is encoded as a record type with two parameters: a type A and an E of type EqDec A. However, one can still use it as if it had a single parameter inside generalizing binders: the generalization of superclasses will be done automatically.

```
Definition le_eqb `{Ord A} (x y : A) := andb (le x y) (le y x).
    le_eqb is defined
```

In some cases, to be able to specify sharing of structures, one may want to give explicitly the superclasses. It is is possible to do it directly in regular binders, and using the ! modifier in class binders. For example:

```
Definition lt `{eqa : EqDec A, ! Ord eqa} (x y : A) := andb (le x y) (neqb x y)
    lt is defined
```

The ! modifier switches the way a binder is parsed back to the usual interpretation of Coq. In particular, it uses the implicit arguments mechanism if available, as shown in the example.

## Substructures

Substructures are components of a class which are instances of a class themselves. They often arise when using classes for logical properties, e.g.:

```
Class Reflexive (A : Type) (R : relation A) :=
    reflexivity : forall x, R x x.
Class Transitive (A : Type) (R : relation A) :=
    transitivity : forall x y z, R x y -> R y z -> R x z.
```

This declares singleton classes for reflexive and transitive relations, (see the singleton class variant for an explanation). These may be used as parts of other classes:

```
Class PreOrder (A : Type) (R : relation A) :=
    { PreOrder_Reflexive :: Reflexive A R ;
        PreOrder_Transitive :: Transitive A R }.
        PreOrder is defined
        PreOrder_Reflexive is defined
        PreOrder_Transitive is defined
```

The syntax : : indicates that each PreOrder can be seen as a Reflexive relation. So each time a reflexive relation is needed, a preorder can be used instead. This is very similar to the coercion mechanism of Structure declarations. The implementation simply declares each projection as an instance.

One can also declare existing objects or structure projections using the Existing Instance command to achieve the same effect.

## Summary of the commands

Command: Class record_definition
Command: Class ident_decl binder ${ }^{\star}$ : sort ${ }^{?}$ := constructor
The first form declares a record and makes the record a typeclass with parameters binder ${ }^{\star}$ and the listed record fields.

The second form declares a singleton class with a single method. This singleton class is a so-called definitional class, represented simply as a definition ident binders $:=$ term and whose instances are themselves objects of this type. Definitional classes are not wrapped inside records, and the trivial projection of an instance of such a class is convertible to the instance itself. This can be useful to make instances of existing objects easily and to reduce proof size by not inserting useless projections. The class constant itself is declared rigid during resolution so that the class abstraction is maintained.

The $>$ in record_definition currently does nothing. In a future version, it will create coercions as it does when used in Record commands.

Like any command declaring a record, this command supports the universes(polymorphic), universes (template), universes (cumulative) and private (matching) attributes.

When record syntax is used, this command also supports the projections (primitive) attribute.
Command: Existing Class qualid
This variant declares a class from a previously declared constant or inductive definition. No methods or instances are defined.
Warning: ident is already declared as a typeclass
This command has no effect when used on a typeclass.
Warning: A coercion will be introduced instead of an instance in future versions when us In future versions, : > will declare a coercion, as it does for other Record commands. To eliminate the warning, use : : .

Warning: Ignored instance declaration for "ident": "term" is not a class Using this : : (or deprecated :>) syntax with a right-hand-side that is not itself a Class has no effect (apart from emitting this warning).

Command: Instance ident_decl binder * ${ }^{\star}$ ? type hint_info ? $:=\left\{\right.$ field_val $\left.{ }^{\star}\right\} \mid:=$ term
Declares a typeclass instance named ident_decl of the class type with the specified parameters and with fields defined by field_val, where each field must be a declared field of the class.

Adds one or more binders to declare a parameterized instance. hint_info may be used to specify the hint priority, where 0 is the highest priority as for aut o hints. If the priority is not specified, the default is the number of non-dependent binders of the instance. If one_pattern is given, terms matching that pattern will trigger use of the instance. Otherwise, use is triggered based on the conclusion of the type.

This command supports the local, global and export locality attributes.
Deprecated since version 8.14: The default value for instance locality will change in a future release. Instances added outside of sections without an explicit locality are deprecated. We recommend using export where possible. This
warning is treated as an error by default.
Like Definition, it also supports the program attribute to switch the type checking to Program (chapter Program) and to use the obligation mechanism to manage missing fields.
Finally, it supports the lighter refine attribute:

## Attribute: refine

This attribute can be used to leave holes or not provide all fields in the definition of an instance and open the tactic mode to fill them. It works exactly as if no body had been given and the refine tactic has been used first.
Command: Declare Instance ident_decl binder ${ }^{*}$ : term hint_info?
In a Module Type, declares that a corresponding concrete instance should exist in any implementation of this Module Type. This is similar to the distinction between Parametervs. Definition, or between Declare Module and Module.
Command: Existing Instance qualid hint_info?
Command: Existing Instances qualid ${ }^{+}$I natural?
Adds a constant whose type ends with an applied typeclass to the instance database with an optional priority natural. It can be used for redeclaring instances at the end of sections, or declaring structure projections as instances. This is equivalent to Hint Resolve ident : typeclass_instances, except it registers instances for Print Instances.

## Command: Print Instances reference

Shows the list of instances associated with the typeclass reference.

## Command: Print Typeclasses

Shows the list of typeclasses.
Tactic: typeclasses eauto bfs dfs best_effort $\stackrel{?}{ }$ nat_or_var $\stackrel{?}{\text { with ident }{ }^{+} \text {? }}$
This proof search tactic uses the resolution engine that is run implicitly during type checking. This tactic uses a different resolution engine than eauto and auto. The main differences are the following:

- Unlike eauto and auto, the resolution is done entirely in the proof engine, meaning that backtracking is available among dependent subgoals, and shelving goals is supported. typeclasses eauto is a multigoal tactic. It analyses the dependencies between subgoals to avoid backtracking on subgoals that are entirely independent.
- The transparency information of databases is used consistently for all hints declared in them. It is always used when calling the unifier. When considering local hypotheses, we use the transparent state of the first hint database given. Using an empty database (created with Create HintDb for example) with unfoldable variables and constants as the first argument of typeclasses eauto hence makes resolution with the local hypotheses use full conversion during unification.
- The mode hints (see Hint Mode) associated with a class are taken into account by typeclasses eauto. When a goal does not match any of the declared modes for its head (if any), instead of failing like eauto, the goal is suspended and resolution proceeds on the remaining goals. If after one run of resolution, there remains suspended goals, resolution is launched against on them, until it reaches a fixed point when the set of remaining suspended goals does not change. Using solve [typeclasses eauto] can be used to ensure that no suspended goals remain.
- When considering local hypotheses, we use the union of all the modes declared in the given databases.
- The tactic may produce more than one success when used in backtracking tactics such as typeclasses eauto; ....See ltac-seq.
- Use the Typeclasses eauto command to customize the behavior of this tactic.
bfs dfs Specifies whether to use breadth-first search or depth-first search. The default is depth-first search, which can be changed with the Typeclasses Iterative Deepening flag.
best_effort If the best_effort option is given and resolution fails, typeclasses eauto returns the first partial solution in which all remaining subgoals fall into one of these categories:
- Stuck goals: the head of the goal has at least one associated declared mode and the constraint does not match any mode declared for its head. These goals are shelved.
- Mode failures: the head of the constraint has at least one matching declared mode, but the constraint couldn't be solved. These goals are left as subgoals of typeclasses eauto best_effort.

During type inference, typeclass resolution always uses the best_effort option: in case of failure, it constructs a partial solution for the goals and gives a more informative error message. It can be used the same way in interactive proofs to check which instances/hints are missing for a typeclass resolution to succeed.
nat_or_var Specifies the maximum depth of the search.

Warning: The semantics for the limit nat_or_var are different than for auto. By default, if no limit is given, the search is unbounded. Unlike auto, introduction steps count against the limit, which might result in larger limits being necessary when searching with typeclasses eauto than with auto.
with ident ${ }^{++}$Runs resolution with the specified hint databases. It treats typeclass subgoals the same as other subgoals (no shelving of non-typeclass goals in particular), while allowing shelved goals to remain at any point during search.
When with is not specified, typeclasses eauto uses the typeclass_instances database by default (instead of core). Dependent subgoals are automatically shelved, and shelved goals can remain after resolution ends (following the behavior of Coq 8.5).

Note: all:once (typeclasses eauto) faithfully mimics what happens during typeclass resolution when it is called during refinement/type inference, except that only declared class subgoals are considered at the start of resolution during type inference, while all can select non-class subgoals as well. It might move to all:typeclasses eauto in future versions when the refinement engine will be able to backtrack.

Tactic: autoapply one_term with ident
The tactic autoapply applies one_term using the transparency information of the hint database ident, and does no typeclass resolution. This can be used in Hint Extern's for typeclass instances (in the hint database typeclass_instances) to allow backtracking on the typeclass subgoals created by the lemma application, rather than doing typeclass resolution locally at the hint application time.

## Typeclasses Transparent, Typeclasses Opaque

## Command: Typeclasses Transparent qualid ${ }^{+}$

Makes qualid transparent during typeclass resolution. A shortcut for Hint Transparent qualid ${ }^{+}$: typeclass_instances
Command: Typeclasses Opaque qualid ${ }^{+}$
Make qualid opaque for typeclass search. A shortcut for Hint Opaque qualid ${ }^{+}$:
typeclass_instances.
It is useful when some constants prevent some unifications and make resolution fail. It is also useful to declare constants which should never be unfolded during proof search, like fixpoints or anything which does not look like an abbreviation. This can additionally speed up proof search as the typeclass map can be indexed by such rigid constants (see Hint databases).
By default, all constants and local variables are considered transparent. One should take care not to make opaque any constant that is used to abbreviate a type, like:

Definition relation $A:=A \quad->A$ Prop.
New in version 8.15: Typeclasses Transparent and Typeclasses Opaque support locality attributes like Hint commands.

Deprecated since version 8.15: The default value for typeclass transparency hints will change in a future release. Hints added outside of sections without an explicit locality are now deprecated. We recommend using export where possible.

## Settings

## Flag: Typeclasses Dependency Order

This flag (off by default) respects the dependency order between subgoals, meaning that subgoals on which other subgoals depend come first, while the non-dependent subgoals were put before the dependent ones previously (Coq 8.5 and below). This can result in quite different performance behaviors of proof search.

## Flag: Typeclasses Filtered Unification

This flag, which is off by default, switches the hint application procedure to a filter-then-unify strategy. To apply a hint, we first check that the goal matches syntactically the inferred or specified pattern of the hint, and only then try to unify the goal with the conclusion of the hint. This can drastically improve performance by calling unification less often, matching syntactic patterns being very quick. This also provides more control on the triggering of instances. For example, forcing a constant to explicitly appear in the pattern will make it never apply on a goal where there is a hole in that place.
Deprecated since version 8.16.

## Flag: Typeclasses Limit Intros

This flag (on by default) controls the ability to apply hints while avoiding (functional) eta-expansions in the generated proof term. It does so by allowing hints that conclude in a product to apply to a goal with a matching product directly, avoiding an introduction.

Warning: This can be expensive as it requires rebuilding hint clauses dynamically, and does not benefit from the invertibility status of the product introduction rule, resulting in potentially more expensive proof search (i.e. more useless backtracking).

## Flag: Typeclass Resolution For Conversion

This flag (on by default) controls the use of typeclass resolution when a unification problem cannot be solved during elaboration/type inference. With this flag on, when a unification fails, typeclass resolution is tried before launching unification once again.

## Flag: Typeclasses Strict Resolution

Typeclass declarations introduced when this flag is set have a stricter resolution behavior (the flag is off by default). When looking for unifications of a goal with an instance of this class, we "freeze" all the existentials appearing in the goals, meaning that they are considered rigid during unification and cannot be instantiated.

## Flag: Typeclasses Unique Solutions

When a typeclass resolution is launched we ensure that it has a single solution or fail. This flag ensures that the resolution is canonical, but can make proof search much more expensive.

## Flag: Typeclasses Unique Instances

Typeclass declarations introduced when this flag is set have a more efficient resolution behavior (the flag is off by default). When a solution to the typeclass goal of this class is found, we never backtrack on it, assuming that it is canonical.

## Flag: Typeclasses Iterative Deepening

When this flag is set, the proof search strategy is breadth-first search. Otherwise, the search strategy is depth-first search. The default is off. Typeclasses eauto is another way to set this flag.

Option: Typeclasses Depth natural
This option sets the maximum proof search depth. The default is unbounded. Typeclasses eauto is another way to set this option.

## Flag: Typeclasses Debug

Controls whether typeclass resolution steps are shown during search. Setting this flag also sets Typeclasses Debug Verbosity to 1. Typeclasses eauto is another way to set this flag.

Option: Typeclasses Debug Verbosity natural
Determines how much information is shown for typeclass resolution steps during search. 1 is the default level. 2 shows additional information such as tried tactics and shelving of goals. Setting this option to 1 or 2 turns on the Typeclasses Debug flag; setting this option to 0 turns that flag off.

## Typeclasses eauto

Command: Typeclasses eauto $:=$ debug $^{?}$ ( bfs | dfs ) ${ }^{\text {? }}$ natural ?
Allows more global customization of the typeclasses eauto tactic. The options are:
debug Sets debug mode. In debug mode, a trace of successfully applied tactics is printed. Debug mode can also be set with Typeclasses Debug.
bfs dfs Specifies whether to use breadth-first search or depth-first search. The default is depth-first search, which can be changed with the Typeclasses Iterative Deepening flag.
natural Sets the depth limit for the search. The limit can also be set with Typeclasses Depth.

### 2.2.8 Canonical Structures

## Authors Assia Mahboubi and Enrico Tassi

This chapter explains the basics of canonical structures and how they can be used to overload notations and build a hierarchy of algebraic structures. The examples are taken from [MT13]. We invite the interested reader to refer to this paper for all the details that are omitted here for brevity. The interested reader shall also find in [GZND11] a detailed description of another, complementary, use of canonical structures: advanced proof search. This latter papers also presents many techniques one can employ to tune the inference of canonical structures.

## Declaration of canonical structures

A canonical structure is an instance of a record/structure type that can be used to solve unification problems involving a projection applied to an unknown structure instance (an implicit argument) and a value. The complete documentation of canonical structures can be found in Canonical Structures; here only a simple example is given.
Command: Canonical

```
Structure?}\mathrm{ ? reference 
```

The first form of this command declares an existing reference as a canonical instance of a structure (a record).
The second form defines a new constant as if the Definition command had been used, then declares it as a canonical instance as if the first form had been used on the defined object.

This command supports the local attribute. When used, the structure is canonical only within the Section containing it.
qualid (in reference) denotes an object (Build_struct $\mathbf{C}_{1} \ldots \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) in the structure struct for which the fields are $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Then, each time an equation of the form $\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \quad\right.$ ) $={ }_{\beta \delta \iota \zeta} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ has to be solved during the type checking process, qualid is used as a solution. Otherwise said, qualid is canonically used to extend the field $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ into a complete structure built on $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ when $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ unifies with ( $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{\mathbf{~}}$ ).

The following kinds of terms are supported for the fields $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of qualid:

- Constants and section variables of an active section, applied to zero or more arguments.
- sorts.
- Literal functions: fun ... => ....
- Literal, (possibly dependent) function types: ... -> ... and forall ..., ....
- Variables bound in qualid.

Only the head symbol of an existing instance's field $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is considered when searching for a canonical extension. We call this head symbol the key and we say "qualid keys the field $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ to $\mathbf{k}$ " when $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ 's head symbol is $\mathbf{k}$. Keys are the only piece of information that is used for canonical extension. The keys corresponding to the kinds of terms listed above are:

- For constants and section variables, potentially applied to arguments: the constant or variable itself, disregarding any arguments.
- For sorts: the sort itself.
- For literal functions: skip the abstractions and use the key of the body.
- For literal function types: a disembodied implication key denoted forall _, _, disregarding both its domain and codomain.
- For variables bound in qualid: a catch-all key denoted _.

This means that, for example, (some_constant x1) and (some_constant (other_constant y1 $y 2$ ) $x 2$ ) are not distinct keys.

Variables bound in qualid match any term for the purpose of canonical extension. This has two major consequences for a field $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ keyed to a variable of qualid:

1. Unless another key-and, thus, instance-matches $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$, the instance will always be considered by unification.
2. $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ will be considered overlapping not distinct from any other canonical instance that keys $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}$ to one of its own variables.

A record field $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ can only be keyed once to each key. Coq prints a warning when qualid keys $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ to a term whose head symbol is already keyed by an existing canonical instance. In this case, Coq will not register that qualid as a canonical extension. (The remaining fields of the instance can still be used for canonical extension.)
Canonical structures are particularly useful when mixed with coercions and strict implicit arguments.

## Example

Here is an example.

```
Require Import Relations.
Require Import EqNat.
Set Implicit Arguments.
Unset Strict Implicit.
Structure Setoid : Type := {Carrier :> Set; Equal : relation Carrier;
                        Prf_equiv : equivalence Carrier Equal}.
    Setoid is defined
    Carrier is defined
    Equal is defined
    Prf_equiv is defined
Definition is_law (A B:Setoid) (f:A -> B) := forall x y:A, Equal x y -> Equal (fu
    4x) (f y).
        is_law is defined
Axiom eq_nat_equiv : equivalence nat eq_nat.
    eq_nat_equiv is declared
Definition nat_setoid : Setoid := Build_Setoid eq_nat_equiv.
    nat_setoid is defined
```

Canonical nat_setoid.

Thanks to nat_setoid declared as canonical, the implicit arguments A and B can be synthesized in the next statement.

```
Lemma is_law_S : is_law S.
    1 \text { goal}
=============================
    is_law (A:=nat_setoid) (B:=nat_setoid) S
```

Note: If a same field occurs in several canonical structures, then only the structure declared first as canonical is considered.

## Attribute: canonical = yes no ?

This boolean attribute can decorate a Definition or Let command. It is equivalent to having a Canonical

Structure declaration just after the command.
To prevent a field from being involved in the inference of canonical instances, its declaration can be annotated with canonical=no (cf. the syntax of record_field).

## Example

For instance, when declaring the Setoid structure above, the Prf_equiv field declaration could be written as follows.

```
#[canonical=no] Prf_equiv : equivalence Carrier Equal
```

See Hierarchy of structures for a more realistic example.

## Command: Print Canonical Projections reference*

This displays the list of global names that are components of some canonical structure. For each of them, the canonical structure of which it is a projection is indicated. If constants are given as its arguments, only the unification rules that involve or are synthesized from simultaneously all given constants will be shown.

## Example

For instance, the above example gives the following output:

```
Print Canonical Projections.
    nat <- Carrier ( nat_setoid )
    eq_nat <- Equal ( nat_setoid )
    eq_nat_equiv <- Prf_equiv ( nat_setoid )
Print Canonical Projections nat.
    nat <- Carrier ( nat_setoid )
```

Note: The last line in the first example would not show up if the corresponding projection (namely Prf_equiv) were annotated as not canonical, as described above.

## Notation overloading

We build an infix notation $==$ for a comparison predicate. Such notation will be overloaded, and its meaning will depend on the types of the terms that are compared.

```
Module EQ.
    Interactive Module EQ started
    Record class (T : Type) := Class { cmp : T -> T -> Prop }.
    class is defined
    cmp is defined
    Structure type := Pack { obj : Type; class_of : class obj }.
    type is defined
    obj is defined
    class_of is defined
```

```
Definition op (e : type) : obj e -> obj e -> Prop :=
    let 'Pack _ (Class _ the_cmp) := e in the_cmp.
    op is defined
Check op.
    op
            : forall e : type, obj e -> obj e -> Prop
Arguments op {e} x y : simpl never.
Arguments Class {T} cmp.
Module theory.
    Interactive Module theory started
    Notation "x == y" := (op x y) (at level 70).
End theory.
    Module theory is defined
End EQ.
    Module EQ is defined
```

We use Coq modules as namespaces. This allows us to follow the same pattern and naming convention for the rest of the chapter. The base namespace contains the definitions of the algebraic structure. To keep the example small, the algebraic structure EQ.type we are defining is very simplistic, and characterizes terms on which a binary relation is defined, without requiring such relation to validate any property. The inner theory module contains the overloaded notation == and will eventually contain lemmas holding all the instances of the algebraic structure (in this case there are no lemmas).

Note that in practice the user may want to declare EQ. obj as a coercion, but we will not do that here.
The following line tests that, when we assume a type e that is in theEQ class, we can relate two of its objects with $==$.

```
Import EQ.theory.
Check forall (e : EQ.type) (a b : EQ.obj e), a == b.
    forall (e : EQ.type) (a b : EQ.obj e), a == b
        : Prop
```

Still, no concrete type is in the EQ class.

```
Fail Check 3 == 3.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "3" has type "nat" while it is expected to have type "EQ.obj ?e".
```

We amend that by equipping nat with a comparison relation.

```
Definition nat_eq (x y : nat) := Nat.compare x y = Eq.
    nat_eq is defined
Definition nat_EQcl : EQ.class nat := EQ.Class nat_eq.
    nat_EQcl is defined
Canonical Structure nat_EQty : EQ.type := EQ.Pack nat nat_EQcl.
    nat_EQty is defined
Check 3 == 3.
    3== 3
        : Prop
Eval compute in 3 == 4.
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\mathrm{Lt} & =\text { Eq } \\
& : \text { Prop }
\end{aligned}
$$

This last test shows that Coq is now not only able to type check $3==3$, but also that the infix relation was bound to the nat_eq relation. This relation is selected whenever $==$ is used on terms of type nat. This can be read in the line declaring the canonical structure nat_EQty, where the first argument to Pack is the key and its second argument a group of canonical values associated with the key. In this case we associate with nat only one canonical value (since its class, nat_EQcl has just one member). The use of the projection op requires its argument to be in the class EQ, and uses such a member (function) to actually compare its arguments.

Similarly, we could equip any other type with a comparison relation, and use the $==$ notation on terms of this type.

## Derived Canonical Structures

We know how to use $==$ on base types, like nat, bool, Z. Here we show how to deal with type constructors, i.e. how to make the following example work:

```
Fail Check forall (e : EQ.type) (a b : EQ.obj e), (a, b) == (a, b).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    e : EQ.type
    a : EQ.obj e
    b : EQ.Obj e
    The term "(a, b)" has type "(EQ.obj e * EQ.obj e)%type"
    while it is expected to have type "EQ.obj ?e".
```

The error message is telling that Coq has no idea on how to compare pairs of objects. The following construction is telling Coq exactly how to do that.

```
Definition pair_eq (e1 e2 : EQ.type) (x y : EQ.obj e1 * EQ.obj e2) :=
    fst }x== fst y /\ snd x == snd y
        pair_eq is defined
Definition pair_EQcl e1 e2 := EQ.Class (pair_eq e1 e2).
    pair_EQcl is defined
Canonical Structure pair_EQty (e1 e2 : EQ.type) : EQ.type :=
    EQ.Pack (EQ.obj e1 * EQ.obj e2) (pair_EQcl e1 e2).
    pair_EQty is defined
Check forall (e : EQ.type) (a b : EQ.obj e), (a, b) == (a, b).
    forall (e : EQ.type) (a b : EQ.obj e), (a, b) == (a, b)
            : Prop
Check forall n m : nat, (3, 4) == (n, m).
    forall n m : nat, (3, 4) == (n, m)
        : Prop
```

Thanks to the pair_EQty declaration, Coq is able to build a comparison relation for pairs whenever it is able to build a comparison relation for each component of the pair. The declaration associates to the key * (the type constructor of pairs) the canonical comparison relation pair_eq whenever the type constructor * is applied to two types being themselves in the EQ class.

## Hierarchy of structures

To get to an interesting example we need another base class to be available. We choose the class of types that are equipped with an order relation, to which we associate the infix <= notation.

```
Module LE.
    Interactive Module LE started
Record class T := Class { cmp : T -> T -> Prop }.
    class is defined
    cmp is defined
Structure type := Pack { obj : Type; class_of : class obj }.
    type is defined
    obj is defined
    class_of is defined
Definition op (e : type) : obj e -> obj e -> Prop :=
    let 'Pack _(Class _ f) := e in f.
    op is defined
Arguments op {_} x y : simpl never.
Arguments Class {T} cmp.
Module theory.
    Interactive Module theory started
    Notation "x <= y" := (op x y) (at level 70).
End theory.
    Module theory is defined
End LE.
    Module LE is defined
```

As before we register a canonical LE class for nat.

```
Import LE.theory.
Definition nat_le x y := Nat.compare x y <> Gt.
    nat_le is defined
Definition nat_LEcl : LE.class nat := LE.Class nat_le.
    nat_LEcl is defined
Canonical Structure nat_LEty : LE.type := LE.Pack nat nat_LEcl.
    nat_LEty is defined
```

And we enable Coq to relate pair of terms with $<=$.

```
Definition pair_le e1 e2 (x y : LE.obj e1 * LE.obj e2) :=
    fst }\textrm{x}<=\mathrm{ fst y /\ snd x <= snd y.
        pair_le is defined
Definition pair_LEcl e1 e2 := LE.Class (pair_le e1 e2).
    pair_LEcl is defined
Canonical Structure pair_LEty (e1 e2 : LE.type) : LE.type :=
    LE.Pack (LE.Obj e1 * LE.obj e2) (pair_LEcl e1 e2).
        pair_LEty is defined
Check (3,4,5) <= (3,4,5).
    (3, 4, 5) <= (3, 4, 5)
            : Prop
```

At the current stage we can use $==$ and $<=$ on concrete types, like tuples of natural numbers, but we can't develop an algebraic theory over the types that are equipped with both relations.

```
Check 2 <= 3 /\ 2 == 2.
    2<= 3/\2== 2
        : Prop
Fail Check forall (e : EQ.type) (x y : EQ.obj e), x <= y -> y<= x -> x == y.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    e : EQ.type
    x : EQ.Obj e
    y : EQ.Obj e
    The term "x" has type "EQ.obj e" while it is expected to have type
        "LE.Obj ?e".
Fail Check forall (e : LE.type) (x y : LE.obj e), x <= y -> y <= x -> x == y.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    e : LE.type
    x : LE.Obj e
    y : LE.Obj e
    The term "x" has type "LE.obj e" while it is expected to have type
        "EQ.Obj ?e".
```

We need to define a new class that inherits from both EQ and LE.

```
Module LEQ.
    Interactive Module LEQ started
    Record mixin (e : EQ.type) (le : EQ.obj e -> EQ.obj e -> Prop) :=
    Mixin { compat : forall x y : EQ.obj e, le x y /\ le y x <-> x == y }.
    mixin is defined
    compat is defined
```

```
Record class T := Class {
    EQ_class : EQ.class T;
    LE_class : LE.class T;
    extra : mixin (EQ.Pack T EQ_class) (LE.cmp T LE_class) }.
    class is defined
    EQ_class is defined
    LE_class is defined
    extra is defined
Structure type := _Pack { obj : Type; #[canonical=no] class_of : class obj }.
    type is defined
    obj is defined
    class_of is defined
Arguments Mixin {e le} _.
Arguments Class {T} _ _ _.
```

The mixin component of the LEQ class contains all the extra content we are adding to EQ and LE . In particular it contains the requirement that the two relations we are combining are compatible.

The class_of projection of the type structure is annotated as not canonical; it plays no role in the search for instances.
Unfortunately there is still an obstacle to developing the algebraic theory of this new class.

```
Module theory.
    Interactive Module theory started
Fail Check forall (le : type) ( }\textrm{n}|\textrm{m}: obj le), n <= m -> n <= m -> n == m
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    le : type
    n : obj le
    m : obj le
    The term "n" has type "obj le" while it is expected to have type "LE.obj ?e".
```

The problem is that the two classes LE and LEQ are not yet related by a subclass relation. In other words Coq does not see that an object of the LEQ class is also an object of the LE class.
The following two constructions tell Coq how to canonically build the LE.type and EQ.type structure given an LEQ. type structure on the same type.

```
Definition to_EQ (e : type) : EQ.type :=
    EQ.Pack (obj e) (EQ_class _ (class_of e)).
    to_EQ is defined
Canonical Structure to_EQ.
Definition to_LE (e : type) : LE.type :=
    LE.Pack (obj e) (LE_class _ (class_of e)).
        to_LE is defined
Canonical Structure to_LE.
```

We can now formulate out first theorem on the objects of the LEQ structure.

```
Lemma lele_eq (e : type) (x y : obj e) : x <= y -> y <= x -> x == y.
    1 goal
        e : type
        x, y : obj e
        =============================
        x <= y >> y <= x >> x == Y
    now intros; apply (compat _ _ (extra _ (class_of e)) x y); split.
        No more goals.
    Qed.
    Arguments lele_eq {e} x y _ _.
    End theory.
        Module theory is defined
End LEQ.
    Module LEQ is defined
Import LEQ.theory.
Check lele_eq.
    lele_eq
        : forall x y : LEQ.obj ?e, x <= y -> y <= x -> x == y
    where
    ?e : [ | - LEQ.type]
```

Of course one would like to apply results proved in the algebraic setting to any concrete instate of the algebraic structure.

```
Example test_algebraic (n m : nat) : n <= m -> m <= n -> n == m.
    1 goal
        n, m : nat
        =============================
        n<=m m m <= n m n == m
Fail apply (lele_eq n m).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    n, m : nat
    The term "n" has type "nat" while it is expected to have type "LEQ.obj ?e".
```

Abort.

Example test_algebraic2 (l1 12 : LEQ.type) (n m : LEQ.obj l1 * LEQ.obj l2) : $\mathrm{n}<=\mathrm{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{m}<=\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{n}==\mathrm{m}$ 。
1 goal

```
    11, 12 : LEQ.type
    n, m : LEQ.obj 11 * LEQ.obj 12
    =============================
    n<=m m m <= n m n == m
Fail apply (lele_eq n m).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    In environment
    11, 12 : LEQ.type
    n, m : LEQ.obj l1 * LEQ.obj 12
    The term "n" has type "(LEQ.obj l1 * LEQ.obj l2)%type"
    while it is expected to have type "LEQ.obj ?e".
```

Abort.

Again one has to tell Coq that the type nat is in the LEQ class, and how the type constructor * interacts with the LEQ class. In the following proofs are omitted for brevity.

```
Lemma nat_LEQ_compat ( }\textrm{n}\textrm{m}: nat) : n <= m /\m <= n <-> n == m
    1 goal
        n, m : nat
        =============================
        n<=m \\m<= n <-> n == m
Admitted.
    nat_LEQ_compat is declared
Definition nat_LEQmx := LEQ.Mixin nat_LEQ_compat.
    nat_LEQmx is defined
Lemma pair_LEQ_compat (l1 l2 : LEQ.type) (n m : LEQ.obj l1 * LEQ.obj l2) :
    n<=m/\m<= n <-> n == m.
        1 goal
            11, l2 : LEQ.type
            n, m : LEQ.obj 11 * LEQ.obj 12
            =============================
            n <= m /\m<= n <-> n == m
Admitted.
    pair_LEQ_compat is declared
Definition pair_LEQmx l1 l2 := LEQ.Mixin (pair_LEQ_compat l1 l2).
    pair_LEQmx is defined
```

The following script registers an $L E Q$ class for nat and for the type constructor *. It also tests that they work as expected.
Unfortunately, these declarations are very verbose. In the following subsection we show how to make them more compact.

```
Module Add_instance_attempt.
    Interactive Module Add_instance_attempt started
    Canonical Structure nat_LEQty : LEQ.type :=
        LEQ._Pack nat (LEQ.Class nat_EQcl nat_LEcl nat_LEQmx).
        nat_LEQty is defined
    Canonical Structure pair_LEQty (l1 l2 : LEQ.type) : LEQ.type :=
        LEQ._Pack (LEQ.obj 11 * LEQ.obj l2)
            (LEQ.Class
                        (EQ.class_of (pair_EQty (to_EQ l1) (to_EQ l2)))
                        (LE.class_of (pair_LEty (to_LE l1) (to_LE l2)))
                (pair_LEQmx l1 l2)).
        pair_LEQty is defined
    Example test_algebraic (n m : nat) : n <= m -> m <= n -> n == m.
        1 goal
            n, m : nat
            =============================
            n<=m m m <= n -> n == m
    now apply (lele_eq n m).
        No more goals.
    Qed.
    Example test_algebraic2 (n m : nat * nat) : n <= m -> m<= n -> n == m.
    1 goal
            n, m : nat * nat
            =============================
            n <= m -> m <= n -> n == m
    now apply (lele_eq n m). Qed.
    No more goals.
End Add_instance_attempt.
    Module Add_instance_attempt is defined
```

Note that no direct proof of $n<=m->m<=n \rightarrow n==m$ is provided by the user for $n$ and $m$ of type nat * nat. What the user provides is a proof of this statement for $n$ and $m$ of type nat and a proof that the pair constructor preserves this property. The combination of these two facts is a simple form of proof search that Coq performs automatically while inferring canonical structures.

## Compact declaration of Canonical Structures

We need some infrastructure for that.

```
Require Import Strings.String.
    [Loading ML file ring_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
Module infrastructure.
        Interactive Module infrastructure started
    Inductive phantom {T : Type} (t : T) : Type := Phantom.
        phantom is defined
        phantom_rect is defined
        phantom_ind is defined
        phantom_rec is defined
        phantom_sind is defined
    Definition unify {T1 T2} (t1 : T1) (t2 : T2) (s : option string) :=
        phantom t1 -> phantom t2.
        unify is defined
    Definition id {T} {t : T} (x : phantom t) := x.
        id is defined
    Notation "[find v | t1 ~ t2 ] p" := (fun v (_ : unify t1 t2 None) => p)
        (at level 50, v name, only parsing).
    Notation "[find v | t1 ~ t2 | s ] p" := (fun v (_ : unify t1 t2 (Some s)) => p)
        (at level 50, v name, only parsing).
    Notation "'Error : t : s" := (unify - t (Some s))
        (at level 50, format "''Error' : t : s").
    Open Scope string_scope.
End infrastructure.
    Module infrastructure is defined
```

To explain the notation [find $v \mid t 1 \sim t 2]$ let us pick one of its instances: [find $e|E Q . o b j e \sim T|$ "is not an EQ.type" ]. It should be read as: "find a class e such that its objects have type T or fail with message "T is not an EQ.type"".

The other utilities are used to ask Coq to solve a specific unification problem, that will in turn require the inference of some canonical structures. They are explained in more details in [MT13].

We now have all we need to create a compact "packager" to declare instances of the $L E Q$ class.

```
Import infrastructure.
Definition packager T e0 le0 (m0 : LEQ.mixin e0 le0) :=
    [find e | EQ.obj e ~ T | "is not an EQ.type" ]
    [find o | LE.obj o ~ T | "is not an LE.type" ]
    [find ce | EQ.class_of e ~ ce ]
```

(continues on next page)

```
[find co | LE.class_of o ~ co ]
[find m | m ~ m0 | "is not the right mixin" ]
LEQ._Pack T (LEQ.Class ce co m).
    packager is defined
```

Notation Pack T m := (packager T _ _ m id _id_id_id_id).

The object Pack takes a type $T$ (the key) and a mixin $m$. It infers all the other pieces of the class LEQ and declares them as canonical values associated with the $T$ key. All in all, the only new piece of information we add in the LEQ class is the mixin, all the rest is already canonical for T and hence can be inferred by Coq.

Pack is a notation, hence it is not type checked at the time of its declaration. It will be type checked when it is used, an in that case $T$ is going to be a concrete type. The odd arguments _ and id we pass to the packager represent respectively the classes to be inferred (like e, o, etc) and a token (id) to force their inference. Again, for all the details the reader can refer to [MT13].

The declaration of canonical instances can now be way more compact:

```
Canonical Structure nat_LEQty := Eval hnf in Pack nat nat_LEQmx.
    nat_LEQty is defined
Canonical Structure pair_LEQty (l1 l2 : LEQ.type) :=
    Eval hnf in Pack (LEQ.obj l1 * LEQ.obj l2) (pair_LEQmx l1 l2).
        pair_LEQty is defined
```

Error messages are also quite intelligible (if one skips to the end of the message).

```
Fail Canonical Structure err := Eval hnf in Pack bool nat_LEQmx.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "id" has type "phantom (EQ.obj ?e) -> phantom (EQ.obj ?e)"
    while it is expected to have type "'Error:bool:"is not an EQ.type"".
```


### 2.2.9 Program

## Author Matthieu Sozeau

We present here the Program tactic commands, used to build certified Coq programs, elaborating them from their algorithmic skeleton and a rich specification [Soz07]. It can be thought of as a dual of Extraction. The goal of Program is to program as in a regular functional programming language whilst using as rich a specification as desired and proving that the code meets the specification using the whole Coq proof apparatus. This is done using a technique originating from the "Predicate subtyping" mechanism of PVS [ROS98], which generates type checking conditions while typing a term constrained to a particular type. Here we insert existential variables in the term, which must be filled with proofs to get a complete Coq term. Program replaces the Program tactic by Catherine Parent [Par95] which had a similar goal but is no longer maintained.

The languages available as input are currently restricted to Coq's term language, but may be extended to OCaml, Haskell and others in the future. We use the same syntax as Coq and permit to use implicit arguments and the existing coercion mechanism. Input terms and types are typed in an extended system (Russell) and interpreted into Coq terms. The interpretation process may produce some proof obligations which need to be resolved to create the final term.

## Elaborating programs

The main difference from Coq is that an object in a type $T$ : Set can be considered as an object of type $\{\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T} \mid$ $P$ \} for any well-formed $P$ : Prop. If we go from $T$ to the subset of $T$ verifying property $P$, we must prove that the object under consideration verifies it. Russell will generate an obligation for every such coercion. In the other direction, Russell will automatically insert a projection.

Another distinction is the treatment of pattern matching. Apart from the following differences, it is equivalent to the standard match operation (see Extended pattern matching).

- Generation of equalities. A match expression is always generalized by the corresponding equality. As an example, the expression:

```
match x with
| 0 => t
| S n => u
end.
```

will be first rewritten to:

```
(match x as y return (x = y -> _) with
| 0 => fun H : x = 0 -> t
| S n => fun H : x = S n -> u
end) (eq_refl x).
```

This permits to get the proper equalities in the context of proof obligations inside clauses, without which reasoning is very limited.

- Generation of disequalities. If a pattern intersects with a previous one, a disequality is added in the context of the second branch. See for example the definition of div2 below, where the second branch is typed in a context where $\forall \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{K}^{2}$ <> (S p).
- Coercion. If the object being matched is coercible to an inductive type, the corresponding coercion will be automatically inserted. This also works with the previous mechanism.

There are flags to control the generation of equalities and coercions.

## Flag: Program Cases

This flag controls the special treatment of pattern matching generating equalities and disequalities when using Program (it is on by default). All pattern-matches and let-patterns are handled using the standard algorithm of Coq (see Extended pattern matching) when this flag is deactivated.

## Flag: Program Generalized Coercion

This flag controls the coercion of general inductive types when using Program (the flag is on by default). Coercion of subset types and pairs is still active in this case.

## Flag: Program Mode

This flag enables the program mode, in which 1) typechecking allows subset coercions and 2) the elaboration of pattern matching of Fixpoint and Definition acts as if the program attribute has been used, generating obligations if there are unresolved holes after typechecking.
Attribute: program = yes
This boolean attribute allows using or disabling the Program mode on a specific definition. An alternative and commonly used syntax is to use the legacy Program prefix (cf. legacy_attr) as it is elsewhere in this chapter.

## Syntactic control over equalities

To give more control over the generation of equalities, the type checker will fall back directly to Coq's usual typing of dependent pattern matching if a return or in clause is specified. Likewise, the if construct is not treated specially by Program so boolean tests in the code are not automatically reflected in the obligations. One can use the dec combinator to get the correct hypotheses as in:

```
Require Import Program Arith.
Program Definition id (n : nat) : { x : nat | x = n } :=
    if dec (leb n 0) then 0
    else S (pred n).
        id has type-checked, generating 2 obligations
        Solving obligations automatically...
        2 obligations remaining
        Obligation 1 of id:
        (forall n : nat, ( }\textrm{n}<=\mathrm{ ? 0) = true -> (fun x : nat => x = n) 0).
        Obligation 2 of id:
        (forall n : nat,
            (n <=? 0) = false }->\mathrm{ ( (fun x : nat => x = n) (S (Init.Nat.pred n))).
```

The let tupling construct let $(x 1, \ldots, x n):=t$ in $b$ does not produce an equality, contrary to the let pattern construct let ' $(x 1, \ldots, x n):=t$ in $b$.

The next two commands are similar to their standard counterparts Definition and Fixpoint in that they define constants. However, they may require the user to prove some goals to construct the final definitions.

## Program Definition

A Definition command with the programattribute types the value term in Russell and generates proof obligations. Once solved using the commands shown below, it binds the final Coq term to the name ident in the global environment.

```
Program Definition ident_decl : type := term
```

Interprets the type type, potentially generating proof obligations to be resolved. Once done with them, we have a Coq type type ${ }_{0}$. It then elaborates the preterm term into a Coq term $\operatorname{term}_{0}$, checking that the type of $t$ erm ${ }_{0}$ is coercible to type $e_{0}$, and registers ident as being of type type ${ }_{0}$ once the set of obligations generated during the interpretation of $\operatorname{term}_{0}$ and the aforementioned coercion derivation are solved.

Error: Non extensible universe declaration not supported with monomorphic Program Definition
The absence of additional universes or constraints cannot be properly enforced even without Program.

## See also:

Sections Controlling reduction strategies and the conversion algorithm, unfold

## Program Fixpoint

A Fixpoint command with the program attribute may also generate obligations. It works with mutually recursive definitions too. For example:

```
Require Import Program Arith.
Program Fixpoint div2 (n : nat) : { x : nat | n = 2 * x \/ n = 2 * x + 1 } :=
    match n with
    | S (S p) => S (div2 p)
    | _ => O
    end.
        Solving obligations automatically...
        4 ~ o b l i g a t i o n s ~ r e m a i n i n g
```

The Fixpoint command may include an optional fixannot annotation, which can be:

- measure $f R$ where $f$ is a value of type $X$ computed on any subset of the arguments and the optional term $R$ is a relation on $X . X$ defaults to nat and $R$ to lt.
- wf $R \quad x$ which is equivalent to measure $x R$.

Here we have one obligation for each branch (branches for 0 and ( $\begin{aligned} & S\end{aligned} 0$ ) are automatically generated by the pattern matching compilation algorithm).

```
Obligation 1.
    1 goal
        p, x : nat
        O : p = x + (x + 0) \/ p = x + (x + 0) + 1
        ==============================
        S (S P) =S (x +S (x + 0) ) \/S S (S P) =S (x +S (x + 0) + 1)
```

One can use a well-founded order or a measure as termination orders using the syntax:

```
Program Fixpoint div2 (n : nat) {measure n} : { x : nat | n = 2 * x \/ n = 2 * x + 1 }
    :=
    match n with
        | S (S p) => S (div2 p)
    | _ => O
    end.
```

Caution: When defining structurally recursive functions, the generated obligations should have the prototype of the currently defined functional in their context. In this case, the obligations should be transparent (e.g. defined using Defined) so that the guardedness condition on recursive calls can be checked by the kernel's type- checker. There is an optimization in the generation of obligations which gets rid of the hypothesis corresponding to the functional when it is not necessary, so that the obligation can be declared opaque (e.g. using Qed). However, as soon as it appears in the context, the proof of the obligation is required to be declared transparent.
No such problems arise when using measures or well-founded recursion.

## Program Lemma

A Lemma command with the program attribute uses the Russell language to type statements of logical properties. It generates obligations, tries to solve them automatically and fails if some unsolved obligations remain. In this case, one can first define the lemma's statement using Definition and use it as the goal afterwards. Otherwise the proof will be started with the elaborated version as a goal. The program attribute can similarly be used with Variable, Hypothesis, Axiometc.

## Solving obligations

The following commands are available to manipulate obligations. The optional identifier is used when multiple functions have unsolved obligations (e.g. when defining mutually recursive blocks). The optional tactic is replaced by the default one if not specified.

## Command: Obligation Tactic := ltac_expr

Sets the default obligation solving tactic applied to all obligations automatically, whether to solve them or when starting to prove one, e.g. using Next Obligation.
This command supports the local, export and global attributes. local makes the setting last only for the current module. local is the default inside sections while global otherwise. export and global may be used together.

When global is used without export and when no explicit locality is used outside sections, the meaning is different from the usual meaning of global: the command's effect persists after the current module is closed (as with the usual global), but it is also reapplied when the module or any of its parents is imported. This will change in a future version.

## Command: Show Obligation Tactic

Displays the current default tactic.
Command: Obligations of ident?
Displays all remaining obligations.
Command: Obligation natural of ident ? type with ltac_expr ? ?
Start the proof of obligation natural.
Command: Next Obligation of ident ? with ltac_expr?
Start the proof of the next unsolved obligation.
Command: Solve Obligations of ident? with ltac_expr?
Tries to solve each obligation of ident using the given ltac_expr or the default one.
Command: Solve All Obligations with ltac_expr?
Tries to solve each obligation of every program using the given tactic or the default one (useful for mutually recursive definitions).
Command: Admit Obligations of ident?
Admits all obligations (of ident).

Note: Does not work with structurally recursive programs.

Command: Preterm of ident?
Shows the term that will be fed to the kernel once the obligations are solved. Useful for debugging.

## Flag: Transparent Obligations

This flag controls whether all obligations should be declared as transparent (the default), or if the system should infer which obligations can be declared opaque.

The module Coq. Program. Tactics defines the default tactic for solving obligations called program_simpl. Importing Coq. Program. Program also adds some useful notations, as documented in the file itself.

## Frequently Asked Questions

## Error: Ill-formed recursive definition.

This error can happen when one tries to define a function by structural recursion on a subset object, which means the Coq function looks like:

Program Fixpoint $f(x: A \mid P):=$ match $x$ with $A b=>f b$ end.
Supposing $\mathrm{b}: \mathrm{A}$, the argument at the recursive call to f is not a direct subterm of x as b is wrapped inside an exist constructor to build an object of type $\{x: A \mid P\}$. Hence the definition is rejected by the guardedness condition checker. However one can use wellfounded recursion on subset objects like this:

```
Program Fixpoint f (x : A | P) { measure (size x) } :=
    match x with A b => f b end.
```

One will then just have to prove that the measure decreases at each recursive call. There are three drawbacks though:

1. A measure function has to be defined;
2. The reduction is a little more involved, although it works well using lazy evaluation;
3. Mutual recursion on the underlying inductive type isn't possible anymore, but nested mutual recursion is always possible.

### 2.2.10 Commands

## Displaying

Command: Print Term ? reference univ_name_list?
univ_name_list $::=@\left\{\right.$ name $\left.^{*}\right\}$

Displays definitions of terms, including opaque terms, for the object reference.

- Term - a syntactic marker to allow printing a term that is the same as one of the various Print commands. For example, Print AII is a different command, while Print Term All shows information on the object whose name is "All".
- univ_name_list-locally renames the polymorphic universes of reference. The name _ means the usual name is printed.

Error: qualid not a defined object.
Error: Universe instance length is natural but should be natural.
Error: This object does not support universe names.

## Command: Print All

This command displays information about the current state of the environment, including sections and modules.

Command: Inspect natural
This command displays the natural last objects of the current environment, including sections and modules.
Command: Print Section qualid
Displays the objects defined since the beginning of the section named qualid.

## Query commands

Unlike other commands, query_commands may be prefixed with a goal selector (natural:) to specify which goals it applies to. If no selector is provided, the command applies to the current goal. If no proof is open, then the command only applies to accessible objects. (see Section Invocation of tactics).

Eval and Compute are also query_commands, which are described elsewhere
Command: About reference univ_name_list?
Displays information about the reference object, which, if a proof is open, may be a hypothesis of the selected goal, or an accessible theorem, axiom, etc.: its kind (module, constant, assumption, inductive, constructor, abbreviation, ...), long name, type, implicit arguments and argument scopes (as set in the definition of reference or subsequently with the Arguments command). It does not print the body of definitions or proofs.

## Command: Check term

Displays the type of term. When called in proof mode, the term is checked in the local context of the selected goal (possibly by using single numbered goal selectors). This command tries to resolve existential variables as much as possible.

## Command: Type term

Displays the type of term, same as Check, but will fail if any existential variables are unable to be resolved.
Command: Search


This command can be used to filter the goal and the global context to retrieve objects whose name or type satisfies a number of conditions. Library files that were not loaded with Require are not considered. The Search Blacklist table can also be used to exclude some things from all calls to Search.
The output of the command is a list of qualified identifiers and their types. If the Search Output Name Only flag is on, the types are omitted.


Multiple search_items can be combined into a complex search_query:

- search_query Excludes the objects that would be filtered by search_query. See this example.
[ search_query ${ }^{+}$| ... । search_query ${ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ ] This is a disjunction of conjunctions of queries. A simple conjunction can be expressed by having a single disjunctive branch. For a conjunction at top-level, the surrounding brackets are not required.


Searched objects can be filtered by patterns, by the constants they contain (identified by their name or a notation) and by their names. The location of the pattern or constant within a term
one_pattern Search for objects whose type contains a subterm matching the pattern one_pattern. Holes of the pattern are indicated by _or ?ident. If the same ?ident occurs more than once in the pattern, all occurrences in the subterm must be identical. See this example.
string \% scope_key?

- If string is a substring of a valid identifier and no \% scope_key is provided, search for objects whose name contains string. See this example.
- Otherwise, search for objects whose type contains the reference that this string, interpreted as a notation, is attached to (as described in reference). See this example.

Note: To refer to a string used in a notation that is a substring of a valid identifier, put it between single quotes or explicitly provide a scope. See this example.
hyp: The provided pattern or reference is matched against any subterm of an hypothesis of the type of the objects. See this example.
headhyp: The provided pattern or reference is matched against the subterms in head position (any partial applicative subterm) of the hypotheses of the type of the objects. See the previous example.
concl: The provided pattern or reference is matched against any subterm of the conclusion of the type of the objects. See this example.
headconcl: The provided pattern or reference is matched against the subterms in head position (any partial applicative subterm) of the conclusion of the type of the objects. See the previous example.
head: This is simply the union between headconcl : and headhyp:.
is: logical_kind


Filters objects by the keyword that was used to define them (Theorem, Lemma, Axiom, Variable, Context, Primitive...) or its status (Coercion, Instance, Scheme, Canonical, SubClass, Field ${ }^{\text {for }}$ record fields, Method for class fields). Note that Coercions, Canonical Structures, Instance's and Schemes can be defined without using those keywords. See this example.
Additional clauses:

- inside $\mid$ in qualid ${ }^{+}$- limit the search to the specified modules
- outside qualid ${ }^{+}$- exclude the specified modules from the search


## Error: Module/section qualid not found.

There is no constant in the environment named qualid, where qualid is in an inside or outside clause.

## Example: Searching for a pattern

We can repeat meta-variables to narrow down the search. Here, we are looking for commutativity lemmas.

```
Search (_ ?n ?m = _ ?m ?n).
    Nat.land_comm: forall a b : nat, Nat.land a b = Nat.land b a
    Nat.lor_comm: forall a b : nat, Nat.lor a b = Nat.lor b a
    Nat.lxor_comm: forall a b : nat, Nat.lxor a b = Nat.lxor b a
    Nat.lcm_comm: forall a b : nat, Nat.lcm a b = Nat.lcm b a
    Nat.min_comm: forall n m : nat, Nat.min n m = Nat.min m n
    Nat.gcd_comm: forall n m : nat, Nat.gcd n m = Nat.gcd m n
    Bool.xorb_comm: forall b b' : bool, xorb b b' = xorb b' b
    Nat.max_comm: forall n m : nat, Nat.max n m = Nat.max m n
    Nat.mul_comm: forall n m : nat, n * m = m * n
    Nat.add_comm: forall n m : nat, n + m = m + n
    Bool.orb_comm: forall b1 b2 : bool, (b1 || b2)%bool = (b2 || b1) %bool
    Bool.andb_comm: forall b1 b2 : bool, (b1 && b2) %bool = (b2 && b1) %bool
    Nat.eqb_sym: forall x y : nat, (x =? y) = (y =? x)
```


## Example: Searching for part of an identifier

```
Search "_assoc".
    or_assoc: forall A B C : Prop, (A \/ B) \/ C <-> A \/ B \/ C
    and_assoc: forall A B C : Prop, (A /\ B) /\ C <-> A /\ B /\ C
    eq_trans_assoc:
        forall [A : Type] [x y z t : A] (e : x = y) (e': y = z) (e'' : z = t),
        eq_trans e (eq_trans e' e'') = eq_trans (eq_trans e e') e''
```


## Example: Searching for a reference by notation

```
Search "+".
    plus_O_n: forall n : nat, 0 + n = n
    plus_n_o: forall n : nat, n = n + 0
    plus_n_Sm: forall n m : nat, S (n + m) = n + S m
    plus_Sn_m: forall n m : nat, S n + m = S (n + m)
    mult_n_Sm: forall n m : nat, n * m + n = n * S m
    f_equal2_plus:
        forall x1 y1 x2 y2 : nat, x1 = y1 -> x2 = y2 -> x1 + x2 = y1 + y2
    nat_rect_plus:
        forall (n m : nat) {A : Type} (f : A -> A) (x : A),
        nat_rect (fun _ : nat => A) x (fun _ : nat => f) (n + m) =
        nat_rect (fun _ : nat => A)
            (nat_rect (fun _ : nat => A) x (fun _ : nat => f) m)
            (fun _ : nat => f) n
```


## Example: Disambiguating between part of identifier and notation

In this example, we show two ways of searching for all the objects whose type contains Nat.modulo but which do not contain the substring "mod".

```
Search "'mod'" - "mod".
    Nat.bit0_eqb: forall a : nat, Nat.testbit a 0 = (a mod 2 =? 1)
    Nat.Div0.div_exact: forall a b : nat, a = b * (a / b) <-> a mod b = 0
    Nat.land_ones: forall a n : nat, Nat.land a (Nat.ones n) = a mod 2 ^ n
    Nat.testbit_spec':
```

(continues on next page)
(continued from previous page)
forall $a n$ : nat, Nat.b2n (Nat.testbit $a n)=(a / 2 \wedge n) \bmod 2$
Nat.pow_div_l:
forall $\mathrm{a} b \mathrm{c}:$ nat, $\mathrm{b}<>\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \bmod \mathrm{b}=0 \rightarrow>(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}) \wedge \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} \wedge \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{b} \wedge \mathrm{c}$
Nat.testbit_eqb: forall a n : nat, Nat.testbit $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{n}=((\mathrm{a} / 2 \wedge \mathrm{n}) \bmod 2=$ ? 1)
Nat.testbit_false:
forall $a n: n a t, N a t . t e s t b i t a n=f a l s e<->(a / 2 \wedge n o d 2=0$
Nat.testbit_true:
forall $a n: n a t, N a t . t e s t b i t a n=t r u e<->(a / 2 \wedge n o d 2=1$

Search "mod"\%nat -"mod".
Nat.bit0_eqb: forall a $:$ nat, Nat.testbit $a=(a \bmod 2=$ ? 1$)$
Nat.Div0.div_exact: forall $\mathrm{a} b: n a t, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b} *(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b})<->\mathrm{a} \bmod \mathrm{b}=0$
Nat.land_ones: forall a $n$ : nat, Nat.land $a(N a t . o n e s n)=a \bmod 2 \wedge n$
Nat.testbit_spec':
forall $a n$ : nat, Nat.b2n (Nat.testbit $a n)=(a / 2 \wedge n) \bmod 2$
Nat.pow_div_l:
forall $\mathrm{a} b \mathrm{~b}:$ nat, $\mathrm{b}\langle>0 \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \bmod \mathrm{b}=0 \rightarrow>(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}) \wedge \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} \wedge \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{b} \wedge \mathrm{c}$
Nat.testbit_eqb: forall a $n$ : nat, Nat.testbit $a n=((a / 2 \wedge n) \bmod 2=$ ? 1)
Nat.testbit_false:
forall $a n: n a t, N a t . t e s t b i t a n=f a l s e<->(a / 2 \wedge n o d 2=0$
Nat.testbit_true:

```
        forall a n : nat, Nat.testbit a n = true <-> (a / 2 ^ n) mod 2 = 1
```


## Example: Search in hypotheses

The following search shows the objects whose type contains bool in an hypothesis as a strict subterm only:

```
Search hyp:bool -headhyp:bool.
    Nat.bitwise: (bool -> bool -> bool) -> nat -> nat -> nat -> nat
    Byte.of_bits:
        bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * bool)))))) ->
        Byte.byte
    Byte.to_bits_of_bits:
        forall
            b : bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * bool)))))),
        Byte.to_bits (Byte.of_bits b) = b
```


## Example: Search in conclusion

The following search shows the objects whose type contains bool in the conclusion as a strict subterm only:

```
Search concl:bool -headconcl:bool.
    Byte.to_bits:
        Byte.byte ->
        bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * bool))))))
    andb_prop: forall a b : bool, (a && b)%bool = true -> a = true /\ b = true
    andb_true_intro:
        forall [b1 b2 : bool], b1 = true /\ b2 = true -> (b1 && b2) %bool = true
    Byte.to_bits_of_bits:
        forall
            b : bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * (bool * bool)))))),
            Byte.to_bits (Byte.of_bits b) = b
    bool_choice:
```

```
forall [S : Set] [R1 R2 : S -> Prop],
(forall x : S, {R1 x} + {R2 x}) ->
{f:S -> bool | forall x : S, f x = true /\ R1 x \/ f x = false /\ R2 x}
```


## Example: Search by keyword or status

The following search shows the definitions whose type is a nat or a function which returns a nat and the lemmas about +:

```
Search [ is:Definition headconcl:nat | is:Lemma (_ + _) ].
    Nat.two: nat
    Nat.zero: nat
    Nat.one: nat
    Nat.succ: nat -> nat
    Nat.log2: nat -> nat
    Nat.sqrt: nat -> nat
    Nat.square: nat -> nat
    Nat.double: nat -> nat
    Nat.pred: nat -> nat
    Nat.ldiff: nat -> nat -> nat
    Nat.tail_mul: nat -> nat -> nat
    Nat.land: nat -> nat }->\mathrm{ nat
    Nat.div: nat -> nat -> nat
    Nat.modulo: nat -> nat -> nat
    Nat.lor: nat -> nat -> nat
    Nat.lxor: nat -> nat -> nat
    Nat.of_hex_uint: Hexadecimal.uint -> nat
    Nat.of_uint: Decimal.uint -> nat
    Nat.of_num_uint: Number.uint -> nat
    length: forall [A : Type], list A -> nat
    plus_n_o: forall n : nat, n = n + 0
    plus_O_n: forall n : nat, 0 + n = n
    plus_n_Sm: forall n m : nat, S (n + m) = n + S m
    plus_Sn_m: forall n m : nat, S n + m = S (n + m)
    mult_n_Sm: forall n m : nat, n * m + n = n * S m
```

The following search shows the instances whose type includes the classes Reflexive or Symmetric:

```
Search is:Instance [ Reflexive | Symmetric ].
    iff_Symmetric: Symmetric iff
    iff_Reflexive: Reflexive iff
    impl_Reflexive: Reflexive Basics.impl
    eq_Symmetric: forall {A : Type}, Symmetric eq
    eq_Reflexive: forall {A : Type}, Reflexive eq
    Equivalence_Symmetric:
        forall {A : Type} {R : Relation_Definitions.relation A},
        Equivalence R -> Symmetric R
    Equivalence_Reflexive:
        forall {A : Type} {R : Relation_Definitions.relation A},
        Equivalence R -> Reflexive R
    PER_Symmetric:
        forall {A : Type} {R : Relation_Definitions.relation A},
        PER R -> Symmetric R
    PreOrder_Reflexive:
        forall {A : Type} {R : Relation_Definitions.relation A},
```

PreOrder R -> Reflexive R
reflexive_eq_dom_reflexive:
forall \{A B : Type\} \{R' : Relation_Definitions.relation B\}, Reflexive $R^{\prime}->$ Reflexive (eq ==> R') \%signature

Displays the name and type of all hypotheses of the selected goal (if any) and theorems of the current context ending with forall binder ${ }^{*} \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}} \boldsymbol{m}^{*} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{C}$ that match the pattern one_pattern.
See Search for an explanation of the inside/in/outside clauses.

## Example: SearchPattern examples

```
Require Import Arith.
SearchPattern (_ + _ = _ + _ ).
    Nat.add_comm: forall n m : nat, n + m = m + n
    Plus.plus_Snm_nSm_stt: forall n m : nat, S n + m = n + S m
    Nat.add_succ_comm: forall n m : nat, S n + m = n + S m
    Nat.add_shuffle3: forall n m p : nat, n + (m + p) = m + (n + p)
    Arith_prebase.plus_assoc_reverse_stt:
        forall n m p : nat, n + m + p = n + (m + p)
    Nat.add_assoc: forall n m p : nat, n + (m + p) = n + m + p
    Nat.add_shuffle0: forall n m p : nat, n +m + p = n + p +m
    f_equal2_plus:
        forall x1 y1 x2 y2 : nat, x1 = y1 -> x2 = y2 -> x1 + x2 = y1 + y2
    Nat.add_shuffle2: forall n m p q : nat, n + m + (p + q) = n + q + (m + p)
    Nat.add_shuffle1: forall n m p q : nat, n +m + (p + q) = n + p + (m + q)
SearchPattern (nat -> bool).
    Nat.odd: nat -> bool
    Init.Nat.odd: nat -> bool
    Nat.even: nat -> bool
    Init.Nat.even: nat -> bool
    Init.Nat.testbit: nat -> nat -> bool
    Nat.leb: nat -> nat -> bool
    Nat.eqb: nat -> nat -> bool
    Init.Nat.eqb: nat -> nat -> bool
    Nat.ltb: nat -> nat -> bool
    Nat.testbit: nat -> nat -> bool
    Init.Nat.leb: nat -> nat -> bool
    Init.Nat.ltb: nat -> nat -> bool
    BinNat.N.testbit_nat: BinNums.N -> nat -> bool
    BinPosDef.Pos.testbit_nat: BinNums.positive -> nat -> bool
    BinPos.Pos.testbit_nat: BinNums.positive -> nat -> bool
    BinNatDef.N.testbit_nat: BinNums.N -> nat -> bool
SearchPattern (forall l : list _, _ l l).
    List.incl_refl: forall [A : Type] (l : list A), List.incl l l
    List.lel_refl: forall [A : Type] (l : list A), List.lel l l
```

SearchPattern (?X1 $+_{-}={ }_{-}+$?X1).
Nat.add_comm: forall $n m$ : nat, $n+m=m+n$

Command: SearchRewrite one_pattern inside | in | outside qualid ${ }^{+\quad}{ }^{\text {+ }}$
Displays the name and type of all hypotheses of the selected goal (if any) and theorems of the current context that have the form forall binder ${ }^{\star}, \stackrel{?}{P_{i}} \rightarrow{ }^{\star}$ LHS = RHS where one_pattern matches either LHS or RHS.

See Search for an explanation of the inside/in/outside clauses.

```
Example: SearchRewrite examples
Require Import Arith.
SearchRewrite (_ + _ + _).
    Nat.add_shuffle0: forall n m p : nat, n + m + p = n + p +m
    Arith_prebase.plus_assoc_reverse_stt:
        forall n m p : nat, n + m + p = n + (m + p)
    Nat.add_assoc: forall n m p : nat, n + (m + p) = n + m + p
    Nat.add_shuffle1: forall n m p q : nat, n +m + (p + q) = n + p + (m + q)
    Nat.add_shuffle2: forall n m p q : nat, n + m + (p + q) = n + q + (m + p)
    Nat.add_carry_div2:
        forall (a b : nat) (c0 : bool),
        (a + b + Nat.b2n c0) / 2 =
        a / 2 + b / 2 +
        Nat.b2n
            (Nat.testbit a 0 && Nat.testbit b 0
                | c0 && (Nat.testbit a 0 || Nat.testbit b 0))
```

Table: Search Blacklist string
This table specifies a set of strings used to exclude lemmas from the results of Search, SearchPattern and SearchRewrit e queries. A lemma whose fully qualified name contains any of the strings will be excluded from the search results. The default blacklisted substrings are _subterm, _subproof and Private_.
Use the Add and Remove commands to update the set of blacklisted strings.

## Flag: Search Output Name Only

This flag restricts the output of search commands to identifier names; turning it on causes invocations of Search, SearchPattern, SearchRewrite etc. to omit types from their output, printing only identifiers.

## Requests to the environment

## Command: Print Assumptions reference

Displays all the assumptions (axioms, parameters and variables) a theorem or definition depends on.
The message "Closed under the global context" indicates that the theorem or definition has no dependencies.

## Command: Print Opaque Dependencies reference

Displays the assumptions and opaque constants that reference depends on.
Command: Print Transparent Dependencies reference
Displays the assumptions and transparent constants that reference depends on.

## Command: Print All Dependencies reference

Displays all the assumptions and constants reference depends on.
Command: Locate reference
reference ::= qualid
| string \% scope_key?
Displays the full name of objects from Coq's various qualified namespaces such as terms, modules and Ltac, thereby showing the module they are defined in. It also displays notation definitions.

Note that objects are reported only when the module containing them has been loaded, such as through a Require command. Notation definitions are reported only when the containing module has been imported (e.g. with Require Import or Import).
qualid refers to object names that end with qualid.
string \% scope_key ${ }^{?}$ refers to definitions of notations. string can be a single token in the notation such as "->" or a pattern that matches the notation. See Locating notations.
\% scope_key, if present, limits the reference to the scope bound to the delimiting key scope_key, such as, for example, \%nat. (see Section Local interpretation rules for notations)

## Command: Locate Term reference

Like Locate, but limits the search to terms
Command: Locate Module qualid
Like Locate, but limits the search to modules
Command: Locate Ltac qualid
Like Locate, but limits the search to tactics
Command: Locate Ltac2 qualid
Command: Locate Library qualid
Displays the full name, status and file system path of the module qualid, whether loaded or not.
Command: Locate File string
Displays the file system path of the file ending with string. Typically, string has a suffix such as .cmo or .vo or .v file, such as Nat .v.

## Example: Locate examples

```
Locate nat.
    Inductive Coq.Init.Datatypes.nat
Locate Datatypes.O.
    Constructor Coq.Init.Datatypes.O
        (shorter name to refer to it in current context is 0)
Locate Init.Datatypes.O.
    Constructor Coq.Init.Datatypes.O
        (shorter name to refer to it in current context is 0)
Locate Coq.Init.Datatypes.O.
    Constructor Coq.Init.Datatypes.O
        (shorter name to refer to it in current context is O)
```

Locate I.Dont.Exist.
No object of suffix I.Dont.Exist

## Printing flags

## Flag: Fast Name Printing

When this flag is turned on, Coq uses an asymptotically faster algorithm for the generation of unambiguous names of bound variables while printing terms. While faster, it is also less clever and results in a typically less elegant display, e.g. it will generate more names rather than reusing certain names across subterms. This flag is not enabled by default, because as Ltac observes bound names, turning it on can break existing proof scripts.

## Loading files

Coq offers the possibility of loading different parts of a whole development stored in separate files. Their contents will be loaded as if they were entered from the keyboard. This means that the loaded files are text files containing sequences of commands for Coq's toplevel. This kind of file is called a script for Coq. The standard (and default) extension of Coq's script files is .v.

Command: Load Verbose ? string ident
Loads a file. If ident is specified, the command loads a file named ident. $\mathbf{v}$, searching successively in each of the directories specified in the load path. (see Section Logical paths and the load path)
If string is specified, it must specify a complete filename. $\sim$ and.. abbreviations are allowed as well as shell variables. If no extension is specified, Coq will use the default extension $\cdot \mathrm{v}$.

Files loaded this way can't leave proofs open, nor can Load be used inside a proof.
We discourage the use of Load; use Require instead. Require loads . vo files that were previously compiled from . v files.

Verbose displays the Coq output for each command and tactic in the loaded file, as if the commands and tactics were entered interactively.

Error: Can't find file ident on loadpath.
Error: Load is not supported inside proofs.
Error: Files processed by Load cannot leave open proofs.

## Compiled files

This section describes the commands used to load compiled files (see Chapter Coq commands for documentation on how to compile a file). A compiled file is a particular case of a module called a library file.

Loads compiled files into the Coq environment. For the first qualid in each filtered_import, the command looks in the load path for a compiled file ident. vo whose logical name has the form dirpath. ident $_{\text {implicit }}{ }^{* *}$ qualid (if From dirpath is given) or ident $_{\text {implicit }} \cdot{ }^{*}$ qualid (if the optional
From clause is absent). ident implicit $^{* *}$ represents the parts of the fully qualified name that are implicit.

For example, From Coq Require Nat loads Coq. Init. Nat and Init is implicit. ident is the final component of the qualid.

If a file is found, its logical name must be the same as the one used to compile the file. Then the file is loaded as well as all the files it depends on (recursively). All the files must have been compiled with the same version of Coq.

- Import - additionally does an Import on the loaded module, making components defined in the module available by their short names
- Export - additionally does an Export on the loaded module, making components defined in the module available by their short names and marking them to be exported by the current module

If the required file has already been loaded, it is not reloaded. If Import or Export are present, the command also does the equivalent of the Import or Export commands.

A single file can be loaded with several variations of the Require command. For example, the -Q path Lib command line parameter associates the file path/Foo/File.vo with the logical name Lib.Foo.File. It allows this file to be loaded through Require Lib.Foo. File, From Lib Require Foo.File, From Lib Require File or From Lib. Foo Require File. The - R path Libcommand line parameter allows loading the file with the additional alternatives Require Foo. File and Require File In particular, From is useful to ensure that the file comes from a particular package or subpackage. Use of $-Q$ is better for avoiding ambiguous path names.

Exact matches are preferred when looking for a file with the logical name dirpath. ident $_{\text {implicit }}{ }^{*}$ qualid or ident implicit $^{*}$ * qualid (that is, matches where the implicit part is empty). If the name exactly matches in multiple -R or -Q options, the file corresponding to the last -R or -Q specified is used. (In Print LoadPath, that's the first match from the top.)

If there is no exact match, the matches from the last $-R$ or $-Q$ are selected. If this results in a unique match, the corresponding file is selected. If this results in several matches, it is an error. The difference between the -R and the $-Q$ option is that non-exact matches are allowed for $-Q$ only if From is present. Matching is done when the script is compiled or processed rather than when its .vo file is loaded. .vo files use fully-qualified names.
We recommend you use -R only to refer to files in the same package. Use $-Q$ (if necessary) to refer to files in a different package.
Error: Cannot load qualid: no physical path bound to dirpath.
Error: Cannot find library foo in loadpath.
The command did not find the file foo.vo. Either foo.v exists but is not compiled or foo.vo is in a directory which is not in your load path.

Error: Required library qualid matches several files in path (found file $\mathrm{f}_{1} . \mathrm{vo}$, file $\mathrm{f}_{2} . \mathrm{vo}$, The file to load must be required with a more discriminating suffix, or, at worst, with its full logical name.

Error: Compiled library ident.vo makes inconsistent assumptions over library qualid. The command tried to load library file ident.vo that depends on some specific version of library qualid which is not the one already loaded in the current Coq session. Probably ident. v was not properly recompiled with the last version of the file containing module qualid.

## Error: Bad magic number.

The file ident.vo was found but either it is not a Coq compiled module, or it was compiled with an incompatible version of Coq.

Error: The file ident.vo contains library qualid q $_{1}$ and not library qualid ${ }_{2}$. The library qualid ${ }_{2}$ is indirectly required by a Require. The load path maps qualid ${ }_{2}$ to ident.vo, which was compiled using a load path that bound it to qualid ${ }_{1}$. Usually the appropriate solution is to recompile ident. $v$ using the correct load path.
Warning: Require inside a module is deprecated and strongly discouraged. You can Require Note that the Import and Export commands can be used inside modules.

## See also:

## Chapter Coq commands

## Command: Print Libraries

This command displays the list of library files loaded in the current Coq session.
Command: Declare ML Module string ${ }^{+}$
Loads an OCaml plugin and its dependencies dynamically. The string argument must be a valid findlib ${ }^{20}$ plugin name, for example coq-core.plugins.ltac. As of Coq 8.16 , the command also supports a legacy syntax compatible with the plugin loading system used in Coq 8.0-8.15, see below.

The first component of the plugin name is a package name that has to be in scope of findlib's' search path. One can see the paths explored by findlib by running ocamlfind printconf and get the list of available libraries by running ocamlfind list | grep coq (Coq libraries are typically named coq-something).
This command is reserved for plugin developers, who should provide a .v file containing the command. Users of the plugin will usually require the resulting .vo file which will then transitively load the required plugin.
If you are writing a plugin, you thus need to generate the right metadata so $f$ indlib can locate your plugin. This usually involves generating some kind of META file and placing it in a place where findlib can see it. Different build systems provide different helpers to do this: see here for coq_makefile, and here for Dune.
Note that the plugin loading system for Coq changed in 8.16 to use findlib. Previous Coq versions loaded OCaml dynamic objects by first locating the object file from $-I$ directives, then directly invoking Dynlink. loadfile. For compatibility purposes, 8.16 still supports this legacy method, with the syntax being Declare ML Module "my_package_plugin:pkg.plugin.my-package"., where my_package_plugin is the name of the OCaml object file.

This is useful if you are still using a third party build system such as Dune or your own.
This command supports the local attribute. If present, the listed files are not exported, even if they're outside a section.
Error: File not found on loadpath: string.
findlib is not able to find the plugin name. Possible reasons are:

- The plugin does not exist or is misspelled. You can get the list of available libraries by running ocamlfind list | grep coq.
- The metadata for $f$ indlib has not been set properly (see above).

Error: Dynlink error: execution of module initializers in the
Error: shared library failed: Coq Error: string is not a valid
Error: plugin name anymore. Plugins should be loaded using their
Error: public name according to findlib, for example
Error: package-name.foo and not foo_plugin.
The plugin declaration in some. mlg file does not match the findlib plugin name. In the example of coq-core.plugins.ltac, one has to write DECLARE PLUGIN "coq-core.plugins.ltac".

## Command: Print ML Modules

Print the name of all findlib libraries loaded with Declare ML Module.

[^9]
## Load paths

Load paths are preferably managed using Coq command line options (see Section Logical paths and the load path), but there are also commands to manage them within Coq. These commands are only meant to be issued in the toplevel, and using them in source files is discouraged.

## Command: Pwd

This command displays the current working directory.
Command: Cd string?
If string is specified, changes the current directory according to string which can be any valid path. Otherwise, it displays the current directory.

Command: Add LoadPath string as dirpath
Deprecated since version 8.16: Use command line $-Q$ or $-R$ or put them in your _CoqProject file instead.
If this command is an important feature for you, please open an issue at https://github.com/coq/coq/issues and explain your workflow.

$$
\text { dirpath }::=\text { ident }^{*} \text { ident }
$$

This command is equivalent to the command line option -Q string dirpath. It adds a mapping to the load path from the logical name dirpath to the file system directory string.

- dirpath is a prefix of a module name. The module name hierarchy follows the file system hierarchy. On Linux, for example, the prefix A.B.C maps to the directory string/B/C. Avoid using spaces after a . in the path because the parser will interpret that as the end of a command or tactic.

Command: Add Rec LoadPath string as dirpath
Deprecated since version 8.16.
This command is equivalent to the command line option $-\mathbf{R}$ string dirpath. It adds the directory specified by the string` and all its subdirectories to the current Coq load path.

Command: Remove LoadPath string
This command removes the path string from the current Coq load path.
Command: Print LoadPath dirpath?
Displays the current Coq load path. If dirpath is specified, displays only the paths that extend that prefix. In the output, the logical path <> represents an empty logical path.

## Command: Add ML Path string

Equivalent to the command line option -I string. Adds the path string to the current OCaml loadpath (cf. Declare ML Module). It is for convenience, such as for use in an interactive session, and it is not exported to compiled files. For separation of concerns with respect to the relocability of files, we recommend using -I string.

Command: Print ML Path
Displays the current OCaml loadpath, as provided by the command line option -I string or by the command Add ML Path@string (cf. Declare ML Module).

## Extra Dependencies

Dependencies on external files, i.e. non .v files, can be declared as follows:
Command: From dirpath Extra Dependency string as ident?
Adds an additional dependency of the current $\cdot \mathrm{v}$ file on an external file. This information is included in the coqdep tool generated list of dependencies. The file name string must exist relative to one of the top directories associated with dirpath. string can include directory separators (/) to select a file in a subdirectory. Path elements in string must be valid Coq identifiers, e.g. they cannot contain characters such as - or ,. See Lexical conventions.

When ident is provided, that name can be used by OCaml code, typically in a plugin, to access the full path of the external file via the API ComExtraDeps.query_extra_dep.
This command has been available through the Comments command, e.g. Comments From ... Dependency .... The Comments form is deprecated in Coq 8.16.

Warning: File ... found twice in ...
The file is found in more than once in the top directories associated with the given dirpath. In this case the first occurrence is selected.

## Backtracking

The backtracking commands described in this section can only be used interactively, they cannot be part of a Coq file loaded via Load or compiled by coqc.
Command: Reset ident
This command removes all the objects in the environment since ident was introduced, including ident. ident may be the name of a defined or declared object as well as the name of a section. One cannot reset over the name of a module or of an object inside a module.

## Command: Reset Initial

Goes back to the initial state, just after the start of the interactive session.
Command: Back natural?
Undoes all the effects of the last natural sentences. If natural is not specified, the command undoes one sentence. Sentences read from a .v file via a Load are considered a single sentence. While Back can undo tactics and commands executed within proof mode, once you exit proof mode, such as with Qed, all the statements executed within are thereafter considered a single sentence. Back immediately following led gets you back to the state just after the statement of the proof.

## Error: Invalid backtrack.

The user wants to undo more commands than available in the history.

## Command: BackTo natural

This command brings back the system to the state labeled natural, forgetting the effect of all commands executed after this state. The state label is an integer which grows after each successful command. It is displayed in the prompt when in -emacs mode. Just as Back (see above), the BackTo command now handles proof states. For that, it may have to undo some extra commands and end on a state natural' $\leq$ natural if necessary.

## Quitting and debugging

## Command: Quit

Causes Coq to exit. Valid only in coqtop.

## Command: Drop

This command temporarily enters the OCaml toplevel. It is a debug facility used by Coq's implementers. Valid only in the bytecode version of coqtop. The OCaml command:

```
#use "include";;
```

adds the right loadpaths and loads some toplevel printers for all abstract types of Coq- section_path, identifiers, terms, judgments, .... You can also use the file base_include instead, that loads only the pretty-printers for section_paths and identifiers. You can return back to Coq with the command:

```
go(); ;
```


## Warning:

1. It only works with the bytecode version of Coq (i.e. coqtop.byte, see Section interactive-use).
2. You must have compiled Coq from the source package and set the environment variable COQTOP to the root of your copy of the sources (see Section customization-by-environment-variables).

Command: Time sentence
Executes sentence and displays the time needed to execute it.
Command: Redirect string sentence
Executes sentence, redirecting its output to the file "string.out".
Command: Timeout natural sentence
Executes sentence. If the operation has not terminated after natural seconds, then it is interrupted and an error message is displayed.
Option: Default Timeout natural
When this option is set, each sentence is treated as if it was prefixed with Timeout natural, except for timeout commands themselves. If unset, no timeout is applied.

## Command: Fail sentence

For debugging scripts, sometimes it is desirable to know whether a command or a tactic fails. If sentence fails, then Fail sentence succeeds (except for anomalies or for critical failures such as "stack overflow"), without changing the proof state. In interactive mode, the system prints a message confirming the failure.

## Error: The command has not failed!

If the given command succeeds, then Fail sentence fails with this error message.
Command: Succeed sentence
If sentence succeeds, then Succeed sentence succeeds without changing the proof state. If sentence fails, then Succeed sentence fails showing the error message for sentence. In interactive mode, the system prints the message The command has succeeded and its effects have been reverted. confirming the success. This command can be useful for writing tests.

Note: Time, Redirect, Timeout, Fail and Succeed are control_commands. For these commands, attributes and goal selectors, when specified, are part of the sentence argument, and thus come after the control command prefix and before the inner command or tactic. For example: Time \#[ local ] Definition foo :=

## Controlling display

Flag: Silent
This flag controls the normal displaying.
Option: Warnings " $-1+{ }^{\text {? }}$ ident ${ }^{+}$"
This option configures the display of warnings. It is experimental, and expects, between quotes, a comma-separated list of warning names or categories. Adding - in front of a warning or category disables it, adding + makes it an error. It is possible to use the special categories all and default, the latter containing the warnings enabled by default. The flags are interpreted from left to right, so in case of an overlap, the flags on the right have higher priority, meaning that $A,-A$ is equivalent to $-A$.

Option: Debug " - ? ident ${ }^{+}$+
This option configures the display of debug messages. Each ident enables debug messages for that component, while -ident disables messages for the component. all activates or deactivates all other components. backtrace controls printing of error backtraces.

Test Debug displays the list of components and their enabled/disabled state.
Option: Printing Width natural
This option sets which left-aligned part of the width of the screen is used for display. At the time of writing this documentation, the default value is 78 .

Option: Printing Depth natural
This option controls the nesting depth of the formatter used for pretty- printing. Beyond this depth, display of subterms is replaced by dots. At the time of writing this documentation, the default value is 50 .

## Flag: Printing Compact Contexts

This flag controls the compact display mode for goals contexts. When on, the printer tries to reduce the vertical size of goals contexts by putting several variables (even if of different types) on the same line provided it does not exceed the printing width (see Printing Width). At the time of writing this documentation, it is off by default.

## Flag: Printing Unfocused

This flag controls whether unfocused goals are displayed. Such goals are created by focusing other goals with bullets or curly braces. It is off by default.

Flag: Printing Dependent Evars Line
This flag controls the printing of the "(dependent evars: ...)" information after each tactic. The information is used by the Prooftree tool in Proof General. (https://askra.de/software/prooftree)

## Printing constructions in full

## Flag: Printing All

Coercions, implicit arguments, the type of pattern matching, but also notations (see Syntax extensions and notation scopes) can obfuscate the behavior of some tactics (typically the tactics applying to occurrences of subterms are sensitive to the implicit arguments). Turning this flag on deactivates all high-level printing features such as coercions, implicit arguments, returned type of pattern matching, notations and various syntactic sugar for pattern matching or record projections. Otherwise said, Printing All includes the effects of the flags Printing Implicit, Printing Coercions, Printing Synth, Printing Projections, and Printing Notations. To reactivate the high-level printing features, use the command Unset Printing All.

Note: In some cases, setting Printing All may display terms that are so big they become very hard to read. One technique to work around this is use Undelimit Scope and/or Close Scope to turn off the printing of notations bound to particular scope(s). This can be useful when notations in a given scope are getting in the way of understanding a goal, but turning off all notations with Printing All would make the goal unreadable.

## Controlling Typing Flags

## Flag: Guard Checking

This flag can be used to enable/disable the guard checking of fixpoints. Warning: this can break the consistency of the system, use at your own risk. Decreasing argument can still be specified: the decrease is not checked anymore but it still affects the reduction of the term. Unchecked fixpoints are printed by Print Assumptions.

## Attribute: bypass_check (guard = yes no ? )

This boolean attribute is similar to the Guard Checking flag, but on a per-declaration basis. Disable guard checking locally with bypass_check (guard).

## Flag: Positivity Checking

This flag can be used to enable/disable the positivity checking of inductive types and the productivity checking of coinductive types. Warning: this can break the consistency of the system, use at your own risk. Unchecked (co)inductive types are printed by Print Assumptions.

## Attribute: bypass_check (positivity = yes |no ${ }^{\text {? }}$ )

This boolean attribute is similar to the Positivity Checking flag, but on a per-declaration basis. Disable positivity checking locally with bypass_check(positivity).

## Flag: Universe Checking

This flag can be used to enable/disable the checking of universes, providing a form of "type in type". Warning: this breaks the consistency of the system, use at your own risk. Constants relying on "type in type" are printed by Print Assumptions. It has the same effect as -type-in-type command line argument (see Command line options).

## Attribute: bypass_check (universes = yes $\mid$ no ${ }^{\text {? }}$,

This boolean attribute is similar to the Universe Checking flag, but on a per-declaration basis. Disable universe checking locally with bypass_check (universes).

## Command: Print Typing Flags

Print the status of the three typing flags: guard checking, positivity checking and universe checking.
See also Cumulative StrictProp in the SProp chapter.

```
Example
Unset Guard Checking.
Print Typing Flags.
    check_guarded: false
    check_positive: true
    check_universes: true
    cumulative sprop: false
    definitional uip: false
```

```
Fixpoint f (n : nat) : False
    := f n.
        f is defined
        f is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
Fixpoint ackermann (m n : nat) {struct m} : nat :=
    match m with
    | 0 => S n
    | S m =>
        match n with
        | 0 => ackermann m 1
        | S n => ackermann m (ackermann (S m) n)
        end
    end.
        ackermann is defined
        ackermann is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
Print Assumptions ackermann.
        Axioms:
        ackermann is assumed to be guarded.
```

Note that the proper way to define the Ackermann function is to use an inner fixpoint:

```
Fixpoint ack m :=
    fix ackm n :=
    match m with
    | 0 => S n
    | S m' =>
        match n with
            | 0 => ack m' 1
            | S n' => ack m' (ackm n')
            end
    end.
        ack is defined
        ack is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
```

Typing flags may not be changed while inside sections.

## Internal registration commands

Due to their internal nature, the commands that are presented in this section are not for general use. They are meant to appear only in standard libraries and in support libraries of plug-ins.

## Exposing constants to OCaml libraries

Command: Register qualid ${ }_{1}$ as qualid ${ }_{2}$
Makes the constant qualid ${ }_{1}$ accessible to OCaml libraries under the name qualid ${ }_{2}$. The constant can then be dynamically located in OCaml code by calling Coqlib.lib_ref "qualid ${ }_{2}$. The OCaml code doesn’t need to know where the constant is defined (what file, module, library, etc.).

As a special case, when the first segment of qualid ${ }_{2}$ is kernel, the constant is exposed to the kernel. For instance, the PrimInt 63 module features the following declaration:

Register bool as kernel.ind_bool.
This makes the kernel aware of the bool type, which is used, for example, to define the return type of the \# int63_eq primitive.

## See also:

Primitive Integers

## Command: Print Registered

## Inlining hints for the fast reduction machines

## Command: Register Inline qualid

Gives a hint to the reduction machines (VM and native) that the body of the constant qualid should be inlined in the generated code.

## Registering primitive operations

Command: Primitive ident_decl : term $:=$ \#ident
Makes the primitive type or primitive operator \#ident defined in OCaml accessible in Coq commands and tactics. For internal use by implementors of Coq's standard library or standard library replacements. No space is allowed after the \#. Invalid values give a syntax error.

For example, the standard library files PrimInt 63.v and PrimFloat.v use Primitive to support, respectively, the features described in Primitive Integers and Primitive Floats.

The types associated with an operator must be declared to the kernel before declaring operations that use the type. Do this with Primitive for primitive types and Register with the kernel prefix for other types. For example, in PrimInt63.v, \#int63_type must be declared before the associated operations.

Error: The type ident must be registered before this construction can be typechecked. The type must be defined with Primitive command before this Primitive command (declaring an operation using the type) will succeed.

## PROOFS

### 3.1 Basic proof writing

Coq is an interactive theorem prover, or proof assistant, which means that proofs can be constructed interactively through a dialog between the user and the assistant. The building blocks for this dialog are tactics which the user will use to represent steps in the proof of a theorem.

The first section presents the proof mode (the core mechanism of the dialog between the user and the proof assistant). Then, several sections describe the available tactics. The last section covers the SSReflect proof language, which provides a consistent alternative set of tactics to the standard basic tactics.

Additional tactics are documented in the next chapter Automatic solvers and programmable tactics.

### 3.1.1 Proof mode

Proof mode is used to prove theorems. Coq enters proof mode when you begin a proof, such as with the Theorem command. It exits proof mode when you complete a proof, such as with the Qed command. Tactics, which are available only in proof mode, incrementally transform incomplete proofs to eventually generate a complete proof.

When you run Coq interactively, such as through CoqIDE, Proof General or coqtop, Coq shows the current proof state (the incomplete proof) as you enter tactics. This information isn't shown when you run Coq in batch mode with coqc.

## Proof State

The proof state consists of one or more unproven goals. Each goal has a conclusion (the statement that is to be proven) and a local context, which contains named hypotheses (which are propositions), variables and local definitions that can be used in proving the conclusion. The proof may also use constants from the global environment such as definitions and proven theorems.
(Note that conclusion is also used to refer to the last part of an implication. For example, in A $->B->C, A$ and $B$ are premises and C is the conclusion.)
The term "goal" may refer to an entire goal or to the conclusion of a goal, depending on the context.
The conclusion appears below a line and the local context appears above the line. The conclusion is a type. Each item in the local context begins with a name and ends, after a colon, with an associated type. Local definitions are shown in the form $n:=0:$ nat, for example, in which nat is the type of 0 .

The local context of a goal contains items specific to the goal as well as section-local variables and hypotheses (see Assumptions) defined in the current section. The latter are included in the initial proof state. Items in the local context are ordered; an item can only refer to items that appear before it. (A more mathematical description of the local context is here.)

The global environment has definitions and proven theorems that are global in scope. (A more mathematical description of the global environment is here.)
When you begin proving a theorem, the proof state shows the statement of the theorem below the line and often nothing in the local context:

```
1 goal
\(==========================\)
forall \(n m\) : nat, \(n>m \rightarrow P 1 / \backslash P 2\)
```

After applying the intros tactic, we see hypotheses above the line. The names of variables ( $n$ and $m$ ) and hypotheses (H) appear before a colon, followed by their type. The type doesn't have to be a provable statement. For example, $0=$ 1 and False are both valid and useful types.

```
intros.
```

1 goal
n, m : nat
H : n > m
$============================$
P $1 / \backslash$ P 2

Some tactics, such as split, create new goals, which may be referred to as subgoals for clarity. Goals are numbered from 1 to N at each step of the proof to permit applying a tactic to specific goals. The local context is only shown for the first goal.

```
split.
    2 goals
        n, m : nat
        H : n > m
        ==============================
        P 1
    goal 2 is:
        P 2
```

"Variables" may refer specifically to local context items introduced from forall variables for which the type of their type is Set or Type. "Hypotheses" refers to items that are propositions, for which the type of their type is Prop or SProp, but these terms are also used interchangeably.

```
type of n : nat
type of nat : Set
type of H : ( n > m)
type of (n > m) : Prop
```

A proof script, consisting of the tactics that are applied to prove a theorem, is often informally referred to as a "proof". The real proof, whether complete or incomplete, is the associated term, the proof term, which users may occasionally want to examine. (This is based on the Curry-Howard isomorphism [How80][Bar81][GLT89][Hue89], which is a correspondence between between proofs and terms and between propositions and types of $\lambda$-calculus. The isomorphism is also sometimes called the "propositions-as-types correspondence".)
The Show Proof command displays the incomplete proof term before you've completed the proof. For example, here's the proof term after using the split tactic above:

Show Proof.
(fun ( $n \mathrm{~m}$ : nat) $(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{m})=>\operatorname{conj}$ ?Goal ?Goal0)

The incomplete parts, the goals, are represented by existential variables with names that begin with ?Goal. (Note that some existential variables are not goals.) The Show Existentials command shows each existential with the hypotheses and conclusion for the associated goal.

```
Show Existentials.
    Existential 1 = ?Goal : [n : nat m : nat H : n > m |- P 1]
    Existential 2 = ?Goal0 : [n : nat m : nat H : n > m |- P 2]
```

Users can control which goals are displayed in the context by focusing goals. Focusing lets the user (initially) pick a single goal to work on. Focusing operations can be nested.

Tactics such as eapply create existential variables as placeholders for undetermined variables that become shelved goals. Shelved goals are not shown in the context by default, but they can be unshelved to make them visible. Other tactics may automatically resolve these goals (whether shelved or not); the purpose of shelving is to hide goals that the user usually doesn't need to think about. See Existential variables and this example.

Coq's kernel verifies the correctness of proof terms when it exits proof mode by checking that the proof term is well-typed and that its type is the same as the theorem statement.
After a proof is completed, Print <theorem_name> shows the proof term and its type. The type appears after the colon (forall . . .), as for this theorem from Coq's standard library:

```
Print proj1.
    Fetching opaque proofs from disk for Coq.Init.Logic
    proj1 =
    fun (A B : Prop) (H : A /\ B) =>
    match H with
    | conj x x0 => (fun (H0 : A) (_ : B) => H0) x x0
    end
            : forall A B : Prop, A /\ B -> A
    Arguments proj1 [A B]%type_scope _
```

Note: Many tactics accept terms as arguments and frequently refer to them with wording such as "the type of term". When term is the name of a theorem or lemma, this wording refers to the type of the proof term, which is what's given in the Theorem statement. When term is the name of a hypothesis, the wording refers to the type shown in the context for the hypothesis (i.e., after the colon). For terms that are more complex than just an ident, you can use Check term to display their type.

## Entering and exiting proof mode

Coq enters proof mode when you begin a proof through commands such as Theorem or Goal. Coq user interfaces usually have a way to indicate that you're in proof mode.
Tactics are available only in proof mode (currently they give syntax errors outside of proof mode). Most commands can be used both in and out of proof mode, but some commands only work in or outside of proof mode.

When the proof is completed, you can exit proof mode with commands such as Qed, Defined and Save.
Command: Goal type
Asserts an unnamed proposition. This is intended for quick tests that a proposition is provable. If the proof is eventually completed and validated, you can assign a name with the Save or Defined commands. If no name is given, the name will be Unnamed_thm (or, if that name is already defined, a variant of that).

## Command: Qed

Passes a completed proof term to Coq's kernel to check that the proof term is well-typed and to verify that its type matches the theorem statement. If it's verified, the proof term is added to the global environment as an opaque constant using the declared name from the original goal.

It's very rare for a proof term to fail verification. Generally this indicates a bug in a tactic you used or that you misused some unsafe tactics.

Error: Attempt to save an incomplete proof.
Error: No focused proof (No proof-editing in progress).
You tried to use a proof mode command such as Qed outside of proof mode.

Note: Sometimes an error occurs when building the proof term, because tactics do not enforce completely the term construction constraints.

The user should also be aware of the fact that since the proof term is completely rechecked at this point, one may have to wait a while when the proof is large. In some exceptional cases one may even incur a memory overflow.

## Command: Save ident

Similar to Qed, except that the proof term is added to the global context with the name ident, which overrides any name provided by the Theorem command or its variants.

Command: Defined ident?
Similar to Qed and Save, except the proof is made transparent, which means that its content can be explicitly used for type checking and that it can be unfolded in conversion tactics (see Applying conversion rules, Opaque, Transparent). If ident is specified, the proof is defined with the given name, which overrides any name provided by the Theorem command or its variants.

## Command: Admitted

This command is available in proof mode to give up the current proof and declare the initial goal as an axiom.

## Command: Abort All ?

Aborts the current proof. If the current proof is a nested proof, the previous proof becomes current. If All is given, all nested proofs are aborted. See Nested Proofs Allowed.

All Aborts all current proofs.
Error: No focused proof (No proof-editing in progress).

## Command: Proof term

This command applies in proof mode. It is equivalent to exact term. Qed. That is, you have to give the full proof in one gulp, as a proof term (see Section Applying theorems).

Warning: Use of this command is discouraged. In particular, it doesn't work in Proof General because it must immediately follow the command that opened proof mode, but Proof General inserts Unset Silent before it (see Proof General issue \#498 ${ }^{21}$ ).

## Command: Proof

Is a no-op which is useful to delimit the sequence of tactic commands which start a proof, after a Theorem command. It is a good practice to use Proof as an opening parenthesis, closed in the script with a closing Qed.

## See also:

Proof with

[^10]Command: Proof using section_var_expr with ltac_expr?

| section_var_expr |  | ${ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : : = | starred_ident_ref |
|  | \| | --? section_var_expr50 |
| section_var_expr50 | : : = | section_var_expr0 - section_var_expr0 |
|  | \| | section_var_expr0 + section_var_expr0 |
|  | \| | section_var_expr0 |
| section_var_expr0 | : : = | starred_ident_ref |
|  | \| | () |
|  |  | ( section var expr)*? |
|  |  | ? |
| starred_ident_ref | : : = | ident * ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |
|  |  | Type*? |
|  | \| | Type * |
|  |  | All |

Opens proof mode, declaring the set of section variables (see Assumptions) used by the proof. These proof annotations are useful to enable asynchronous processing of proofs. This example shows how they work. The Qed command verifies that the set of section variables used in the proof is a subset of the declared ones.
The set of declared variables is closed under type dependency. For example, if $T$ is a variable and a is a variable of type $T$, then the commands Proof using a and Proof using $T$ a are equivalent.
The set of declared variables always includes the variables used by the statement. In other words Proof using $e$ is equivalent to Proof using Type $+e$ for any declaration expression $e$.

- section_var_expr50 Use all section variables except those specified by section_var_expr50
section_var_expr0 + section_var_expr0 Use section variables from the union of both collections.
See Name a set of section hypotheses for Proof using to see how to form a named collection.
section_var_expr0 - section_var_expr0 Use section variables which are in the first collection but not in the second one.
$\star^{\text {? }}$ Use the transitive closure of the specified collection.
Type Use only section variables occurring in the statement. Specifying * uses the forward transitive closure of all the section variables occurring in the statement. For example, if the variable $H$ has type $p<5$ then $H$ is in $p$ * since $p$ occurs in the type of $H$.

All Use all section variables.
Warning: ident is both name of a Collection and Variable, Collection ident takes precede If a specified name is ambiguous (it could be either a Collection or a Variable), then it is assumed to be a Collection name.

Warning: Variable All is shadowed by Collection named All containing all variables. This is variant of the previous warning for the All collection.

## See also:

Setting implicit automation tactics

## Attribute: using

This attribute can be applied to the Definition, Example, Fixpoint and CoFixpoint commands as well as to Lemma and its variants. It takes a section_var_expr, in quotes, as its value. This is equivalent to specifying the same section_var_exprin Proof using.

## Example

```
Section Test.
Variable n : nat.
    n is declared
Hypothesis Hn : n <> 0.
    Hn is declared
#[using="Hn"]
Lemma example : 0<n.
    1 goal
        n : nat
        Hn : n <> 0
        =============================
        0<n
```

Abort.
End Test.

## Example: Declaring section variables

When a section is closed with End, section variables declared with Proof Using are added to the theorem as additional variables. You can see the effect on the theorem's statement with commands such as Check, Print and About after the section is closed. Currently there is no command that shows the section variables associated with a theorem before the section is closed.

Adding the unnecessary section variable radixNotZero changes how foo' can be applied.

```
Require Import ZArith.
Section bar.
    Variable radix : Z.
    Hypothesis radixNotZero : (0 < radix)%Z.
    Lemma foo: 0 = 0.
    Proof. reflexivity. Qed.
    Lemma foo': 0=0.
    Proof using radixNotZero. reflexivity. Qed. (* radixNotZero is not neededu
    ->*)
Print foo'. (* Doesn't show radixNotZero yet *)
    foo' = eq_refl
        : 0=0
        foo' uses section variables radix radixNotZero.
End bar.
Print foo. (* Doesn't change after the End *)
    foo = eq_refl
            : 0 = 0
Print foo'. (* "End" added type radix (used by radixNotZero) andv
    4radixNotZero *)
        foo'=
```

```
    fun (radix : Z) (_ : (0 < radix) % Z) => eq_refl
            : forall radix : Z, (0 < radix)%Z -> 0 = 0
    Arguments foo' radix%Z_scope radixNotZero
Goal 0 = 0.
    1 goal
    =============================
    0=0
Fail apply foo'. (* Fails because of the extra variable *)
apply (foo' 5). (* Can be used if the extra variable is providedv
    ムexplicitly *)
    1 goal
        ==============================
        (0<5)%Z
```


## Proof using options

The following options modify the behavior of Proof using.
Option: Default Proof Using "section_var_expr"
Set this option to use section_var_expras the default Proof using value. E.g. Set Default Proof Using "a b" will complete all Proof commands not followed by a using part with using a b.

Note that section_var_expr isn't validated immediately. An invalid value will generate an error on a subsequent Proof or Qed command.

## Flag: Suggest Proof Using

When this flag is on, Qed suggests a using annotation if the user did not provide one.

## Name a set of section hypotheses for Proof using

Command: Collection ident := section_var_expr
This can be used to name a set of section hypotheses, with the purpose of making Proof using annotations more compact.

## Example

Define the collection named Some containing $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z :

```
Collection Some := x y z.
```

Define the collection named Fewer containing only x and y :

```
Collection Fewer := Some - z
```

Define the collection named Many containing the set union or set difference of Fewer and Some:

```
Collection Many := Fewer + Some
Collection Many := Fewer - Some
```

Define the collection named Many containing the set difference of Fewer and the unnamed collection $\mathrm{x} y$ :

```
Collection Many := Fewer - (x y)
```

Deprecated since version 8.15: Redefining a collection, defining a collection with the same name as a variable, and invoking the Proof using command when collection and variable names overlap are deprecated. See the warnings below and in the Proof using command.

Error: "All" is a predefined collection containing all variables. It can't be redefined. When issuing a Proof using command, All used as a collection name always means "use all variables".

## Warning: New Collection definition of ident shadows the previous one.

Redefining a Collection overwrites the previous definition.
Warning: ident was already a defined Variable, the name ident will refer to Collection The Proof using command allows specifying both Collection and Variable names. In case of ambiguity, a name is assumed to be Collection name.

## Proof modes

When entering proof mode through commands such as Goal and Proof, Coq picks by default the $L_{\text {tac }}$ mode. Nonetheless, there exist other proof modes shipped in the standard Coq installation, and furthermore some plugins define their own proof modes. The default proof mode used when opening a proof can be changed using the following option.

Option: Default Proof Mode string
This option selects the proof mode to use when starting a proof. Depending on the proof mode, various syntactic constructs are allowed when writing a proof. All proof modes support commands; the proof mode determines which tactic language and set of tactic definitions are available. The possible option values are:
"Classic" Activates the $L_{\text {tac }}$ language and the tactics with the syntax documented in this manual. Some tactics are not available until the associated plugin is loaded, such as SSR or micromega. This proof mode is set when the prelude is loaded.
"Noedit" No tactic language is activated at all. This is the default when the prelude is not loaded, e.g. through the -noinit option for coqc.
"Ltac2" Activates the Ltac2 language and the Ltac2-specific variants of the documented tactics. This value is only available after Requiring Ltac2. Importing Ltac2 sets this mode.

Some external plugins also define their own proof mode, which can be activated with this command.
Command: Proof Mode string
Sets the proof mode within the current proof.

## Managing goals

Command: Undo To ? natural ?
Cancels the effect of the last natural commands or tactics. The To natural form goes back to the specified state number. If natural is not specified, the command goes back one command or tactic.

## Command: Restart

Restores the proof to the original goal.
Error: No focused proof to restart.

## Focusing goals

Focusing lets you limit the context display to (initially) a single goal. If a tactic creates additional goals from a focused goal, the subgoals are also focused. The two focusing constructs are curly braces ( $\{$ and \}) and bullets (e.g.,-+ or *). These constructs can be nested.

## Curly braces

Tactic: natural [ ident ] : ? \{
Tactic: \}
\{ (without a terminating period) focuses on the first goal. The subproof can only be unfocused when it has been fully solved (i.e., when there is no focused goal left). Unfocusing is then handled by \} (again, without a terminating period). See also an example in the next section.

Note that when a focused goal is proved a message is displayed together with a suggestion about the right bullet or \} to unfocus it or focus the next one.
natural: Focuses on the natural-th goal to prove.
[ ident ]: \{ Focuses on the goal named ident even if the goal is not in focus. Goals are existential variables, which don't have names by default. You can give a name to a goal by using refine ? [ident].

## Example: Working with named goals

```
    Ltac name_goal name := refine ?[name]. (* for convenience *)
    Set Printing Goal Names. (* show goal names, e.g. "(?base)" and "(?step)" *)
    Goal forall n, n + 0 = n.
        1 goal (?Goal)
        ============================
        forall n : nat, n + 0 = n
    Proof.
    induction n; [ name_goal base | name_goal step ].
        2 goals, goal 1 (?base)
        =============================
        0+0=0
```

(continues on next page)

```
    goal 2 (?step) is:
    S n + 0 = S n
(* focus on the goal named "base" *)
[base]: {
    reflexivity.
        1 goal (?base)
            =============================
        0+0=0
    This subproof is complete, but there are some unfocused goals.
    Try unfocusing with "}".
    1 goal
    goal 1 (?step) is:
        S n + 0 = S n
}
```

This can also be a way of focusing on a shelved goal, for instance:

```
Goal exists n : nat, n = n.
    1 goal
        =============================
        exists n : nat, n = n
eexists ?[x].
    1 focused goal (shelved: 1)
        ==============================
        ?x = ?x
reflexivity.
    All the remaining goals are on the shelf.
    1 goal
    goal 1 is:
        nat
[x]: exact 0.
    No more goals.
Qed.
```


## Error: This proof is focused, but cannot be unfocused this way.

You are trying to use \} but the current subproof has not been fully solved.
Error: No such goal (natural).
Error: No such goal (ident).
Error: Brackets do not support multi-goal selectors.
Brackets are used to focus on a single goal given either by its position or by its name if it has one.

## See also:

The error messages for bullets below.

## Bullets

Alternatively, proofs can be structured with bullets instead of $\{$ and $\}$. The first use of a bullet $b$ focuses on the first goal g . The same bullet can't be used again until the proof of g is completed, then the next goal must be focused with another b. Thus, all the goals present just before the first use of the bullet must be focused with the same bullet b. See the example below.

Different bullets can be used to nest levels. The scope of each bullet is limited to the enclosing \{ and \}, so bullets can be reused as further nesting levels provided they are delimited by curly braces. Bullets are made from,-+ or * characters (with no spaces and no period afterward):

Tactic: bullet


When a focused goal is proved, Coq displays a message suggesting use of $\}$ or the correct matching bullet to unfocus the goal or focus the next subgoal.

Note: In Proof General (Emacs interface to Coq), you must use bullets with the priority ordering shown above to have correct indentation. For example - must be the outer bullet and + the inner one in the example below.

## Example: Use of bullets

For the sake of brevity, the output for this example is summarized in comments. Note that the tactic following a bullet is frequently put on the same line with the bullet. Observe that this proof still works even if all the bullets in it are omitted.

```
Goal (1=1 /\ 2=2) /\ 3=3.
Proof.
split. (* 1 = 1 \ 2 = 2 and 3 = 3 *)
- (* 1 = 1 /\ 2 = 2 *)
    split. (* 1 = 1 and 2 = 2 *)
    + (* 1 = 1 *)
    trivial. (* subproof complete *)
    + (* 2 = 2 *)
    trivial. (* subproof complete *)
- (* 3 = 3 *)
    trivial. (* No more subgoals *)
Qed.
```

Error: Wrong bullet bullet ${ }_{1}$ : Current bullet bullet ${ }_{2}$ is not finished.
Before using bullet bullet ${ }_{1}$ again, you should first finish proving the current focused goal. Note that bullet ${ }_{1}$ and bullet ${ }_{2}$ may be the same.

You must put bullet ${ }_{2}$ to focus on the next goal. No other bullet is allowed here.
Error: No such goal. Focus next goal with bullet bullet.
You tried to apply a tactic but no goals were under focus. Using bullet is mandatory here.

## Error: No such goal. Try unfocusing with \}.

You just finished a goal focused by \{, you must unfocus it with \}.

Note: Use Default Goal Selector with the ! selector to force the use of focusing mechanisms (bullets, braces) and goal selectors so that it is always explicit to which goal(s) a tactic is applied.

## Option: Bullet Behavior "None" "Strict Subproofs"

This option controls the bullet behavior and can take two possible values:

- "None": this makes bullets inactive.
- "Strict Subproofs": this makes bullets active (this is the default behavior).


## Other focusing commands

## Command: Unfocused

Succeeds if there are no unfocused goals. Otherwise the command fails.
Command: Focus natural?
Focuses the attention on the first goal to prove or, if natural is specified, the natural-th. The printing of the other goals is suspended until the focused goal is solved or unfocused.

Deprecated since version 8.8: Prefer the use of bullets or focusing braces with a goal selector (see above).

## Command: Unfocus

Restores to focus the goals that were suspended by the last Focus command.
Deprecated since version 8.8.

## Shelving goals

Goals can be shelved so they are no longer displayed in the proof state. Shelved goals can be unshelved with the Unshel ve command, which makes all shelved goals visible in the proof state. You can use the goal selector [ ident ]: \{ to focus on a single shelved goal (see here). Currently there's no single command or tactic that unshelves goals by name.

Tactic: shelve
Moves the focused goals to the shelf. They will no longer be displayed in the context. The Show Existentials command will still show these goals, which will be marked "(shelved)".

## Tactic: shelve_unifiable

Shelves only the goals under focus that are mentioned in other goals. Goals that appear in the type of other goals can be solved by unification.

```
Example: shelve_unifiable
Goal exists n, n=0.
    1 \text { goal}
        exists n : nat, n = 0
refine (ex_intro _ _ _).
    1 focused goal (shelved: 1)
```

```
    =============================
    ?Goal = 0
all: shelve_unifiable.
reflexivity.
    No more goals.
```


## Command: Unshelve

This command moves all the goals on the shelf (see shelve) from the shelf into focus, by appending them to the end of the current list of focused goals.

Tactic: unshelve ltac_expr1
Performs tactic, then unshelves existential variables added to the shelf by the execution of tactic, prepending them to the current goal.

## Tactic: admit

Tactic: give_up
Allows skipping a subgoal to permit further progress on the rest of the proof. The selected goals are removed from the context. They are not solved and cannot be solved later in the proof. Since the goals are not solved, the proof cannot be closed with Qed but only with Admitted.

## Reordering goals

Tactic: cycle int_or_var
Reorders the selected goals so that the first integer goals appear after the other selected goals. If integer is negative, it puts the last integer goals at the beginning of the list. The tactic is only useful with a goal selector, most commonly all:. Note that other selectors reorder goals; 1,3: cycle 1 is not equivalent to all: cycle 1. See ... : ... (goal selector).

## Example: cycle

```
Goal P 1 /\ P 2 /\ P 3 /\ P 4 /\ P 5.
repeat split. (* P 1, P 2, P 3, P 4, P 5 *)
all: cycle 2. (* P 3, P 4, P 5, P 1, P 2 *)
all: cycle -3. (* P 5, P 1, P 2, P 3, P 4 *)
```

Tactic: swap int_or_var int_or_var
Exchanges the position of the specified goals. Negative values for integer indicate counting goals backward from the end of the list of selected goals. Goals are indexed from 1. The tactic is only useful with a goal selector, most commonly all:. Note that other selectors reorder goals; 1, 3: swap 13 is not equivalent to all: swap 1 3. See ... : ... (goal selector).

## Example: swap

```
Goal P 1 /\ P 2 /\ P 3 /\ P 4 /\ P 5.
repeat split. (* P 1, P 2, P 3, P 4, P 5 *)
all: swap 1 3. (* P 3, P 2, P 1, P 4, P 5 *)
all: swap 1 -1. (* P 5, P 2, P 1, P 4, P 3 *)
```


## Tactic: revgoals

Reverses the order of the selected goals. The tactic is only useful with a goal selector, most commonly all :. Note that other selectors reorder goals; 1, 3: revgoals is not equivalent to all: revgoals. See ... : ... (goal selector).

## Example: revgoals

```
Goal P 1 \\ P 2 /\ P 3 /\ P 4 /\ P 5.
repeat split. (* P 1, P 2, P 3, P 4, P 5 *)
all: revgoals. (* P 5, P 4, P 3, P 2, P 1 *)
```


## Proving a subgoal as a separate lemma: abstract

Tactic: abstract ltac_expr2 using ident ${ }_{\text {name }}$ ?
Does a solve [ ltac_expr2 ] and saves the subproof as an auxiliary lemma. if ident name is specified, the lemma is saved with that name; otherwise the lemma is saved with the name ident_subproof natural? where $i d e n t$ is the name of the current goal (e.g. the theorem name) and natural is chosen to get a fresh name. If the proof is closed with $Q e d$, the auxiliary lemma is inlined in the final proof term.

This is useful with tactics such as discriminate that generate huge proof terms with many intermediate goals. It can significantly reduce peak memory use. In most cases it doesn't have a significant impact on run time. One case in which it can reduce run time is when a tactic foo is known to always pass type checking when it succeeds, such as in reflective proofs. In this case, the idiom "abstract exact_no_check foo" will save half the type checking type time compared to "exact foo".
abstract is an 13_tactic.

Warning: The abstract tactic, while very useful, still has some known limitations. See \#9146 ${ }^{22}$ for more details. We recommend caution when using it in some "non-standard" contexts. In particular, abstract doesn't work properly when used inside quotations ltac: (...). If used as part of typeclass resolution, it may produce incorrect terms when in polymorphic universe mode.

Warning: Provide ident $t_{\text {name }}$ at your own risk; explicitly named and reused subterms don't play well with asynchronous proofs.

Tactic: transparent_abstract Itac_expr3 using ident?
Like abstract, but save the subproof in a transparent lemma with a name in the form ident_subterm natural?

Warning: Use this feature at your own risk; building computationally relevant terms with tactics is fragile, and explicitly named and reused subterms don't play well with asynchronous proofs.

## Error: Proof is not complete.

[^11]
## Requesting information

## Command: Show ident natural?

Displays the current goals.
natural Display only the natural-th goal.
ident Displays the named goal ident. This is useful in particular to display a shelved goal but only works if the corresponding existential variable has been named by the user (see Existential variables) as in the following example.

## Example

```
Goal exists n, n = 0.
```

            1 goal
            \(===========================\)
            exists \(n\) : nat, \(n=0\)
    eexists ?[n].
1 focused goal (shelved: 1)
==============================120
? $\mathrm{n}=0$
Show n.
goal $n$ is:
nat

Error: No focused proof.
Error: No such goal.
Command: Show Proof Diffs removed ? ?
Displays the proof term generated by the tactics that have been applied so far. If the proof is incomplete, the term will contain holes, which correspond to subterms which are still to be constructed. Each hole is an existential variable, which appears as a question mark followed by an identifier.
Specifying "Diffs" highlights the difference between the current and previous proof step. By default, the command shows the output once with additions highlighted. Including "removed" shows the output twice: once showing removals and once showing additions. It does not examine the Diffs option. See "Show Proof" differences.

## Command: Show Conjectures

Prints the names of all the theorems that are currently being proved. As it is possible to start proving a previous lemma during the proof of a theorem, there may be multiple names.

## Command: Show Intro

If the current goal begins by at least one product, prints the name of the first product as it would be generated by an anonymous intro. The aim of this command is to ease the writing of more robust scripts. For example, with an appropriate Proof General macro, it is possible to transform any anonymous intro into a qualified one such as intro y13. In the case of a non-product goal, it prints nothing.

## Command: Show Intros

Similar to the previous command. Simulates the naming process of intros.

## Command: Show Existentials

Displays all open goals / existential variables in the current proof along with the context and type of each variable.

## Command: Show Match qualid

Displays a template of the Gallina match construct with a branch for each constructor of the type qualid. This is used internally by company-coq ${ }^{23}$.

## Example

```
Show Match nat.
```

        match \# with
            | O =>
            | \(\mathrm{S} x\) =>
        end
    
## Error: Unknown inductive type.

## Command: Show Universes

Displays the set of all universe constraints and its normalized form at the current stage of the proof, useful for debugging universe inconsistencies.

Command: Show Goal natural at natural
Available in coqtop. Displays a goal at a proof state using the goal ID number and the proof state ID number. It is primarily for use by tools such as Prooftree that need to fetch goal history in this way. Prooftree is a tool for visualizing a proof as a tree that runs in Proof General.

## Command: Guarded

Some tactics (e.g. refine) allow to build proofs using fixpoint or cofixpoint constructions. Due to the incremental nature of proof construction, the check of the termination (or guardedness) of the recursive calls in the fixpoint or cofixpoint constructions is postponed to the time of the completion of the proof.

The command Guarded allows checking if the guard condition for fixpoint and cofixpoint is violated at some time of the construction of the proof without having to wait the completion of the proof.

## Showing differences between proof steps

Coq can automatically highlight the differences between successive proof steps and between values in some error messages. Coq can also highlight differences in the proof term. For example, the following screenshots of CoqIDE and coqtop show the application of the same intros tactic. The tactic creates two new hypotheses, highlighted in green. The conclusion is entirely in pale green because although it's changed, no tokens were added to it. The second screenshot uses the "removed" option, so it shows the conclusion a second time with the old text, with deletions marked in red. Also, since the hypotheses are new, no line of old text is shown for them.


This image shows an error message with diff highlighting in CoqIDE:

```
Unable to unify
"(if p a then 1 else 0) + (count p Et + count p Ez)"
with
"(if p a then 1 else 0) + (count p t2 + count p t1)".
```


## How to enable diffs

Option: Diffs "on" |off" | "removed"
This option is used to enable diffs. The "on" setting highlights added tokens in green, while the "removed" setting additionally reprints items with removed tokens in red. Unchanged tokens in modified items are shown with pale green or red. Diffs in error messages use red and green for the compared values; they appear regardless of the setting. (Colors are user-configurable.)

For coqtop, showing diffs can be enabled when starting coqtop with the -diffs on |offlremoved command-line option or by setting the Diffs option within Coq. You will need to provide the -color on|auto command-line option when you start coqtop in either case.
Colors for coqtop can be configured by setting the COQ_COLORS environment variable. See section Environment variables. Diffs use the tags diff.added, diff.added.bg, diff.removed and diff.removed.bg.
In CoqIDE, diffs should be enabled from the View menu. Don't use the Set Diffs command in CoqIDE. You can change the background colors shown for diffs from the Edit | Preferences | Tags panel by changing the settings for the diff.added, diff.added.bg, diff.removed and diff.removed.bg tags. This panel also lets you control other attributes of the highlights, such as the foreground color, bold, italic, underline and strikeout.

Proof General, VsCoq and Coqtail can also display Coq-generated proof diffs automatically. Please see the PG documentation section "Showing Proof Diffs" ${ }^{24}$ and Coqtail's "Proof Diffs" ${ }^{25}$ for details.

## How diffs are calculated

Diffs are calculated as follows:

1. Select the old proof state to compare to, which is the proof state before the last tactic that changed the proof. Changes that only affect the view of the proof, such as all: swap 1 2, are ignored.
2. For each goal in the new proof state, determine what old goal to compare it to-the one it is derived from or is the same as. Match the hypotheses by name (order is ignored), handling compacted items specially.
3. For each hypothesis and conclusion (the "items") in each goal, pass them as strings to the lexer to break them into tokens. Then apply the Myers diff algorithm [Mye86] on the tokens and add appropriate highlighting.

Notes:

- Aside from the highlights, output for the "on" option should be identical to the undiffed output.
- Goals completed in the last proof step will not be shown even with the "removed" setting.

This screenshot shows the result of applying a split tactic that replaces one goal with 2 goals. Notice that the goal $P$ 1 is not highlighted at all after the split because it has not changed.


Diffs may appear like this after applying a intro tactic that results in a compacted hypotheses:

[^12]```
1 subgoal
\(n\), m : nat
```

n+m=m+n(1)

## "Show Proof" differences

To show differences in the proof term:

- In coqtop and Proof General, use the Show Proof Diffs command.
- In CoqIDE, position the cursor on or just after a tactic to compare the proof term after the tactic with the proof term before the tactic, then select View / Show Proof from the menu or enter the associated key binding. Differences will be shown applying the current Show Diffs setting from the View menu. If the current setting is Don't show diffs, diffs will not be shown.

Output with the "added and removed" option looks like this:


## Delaying solving unification constraints

## Tactic: solve_constraints

Flag: Solve Unification Constraints
By default, after each tactic application, postponed typechecking unification problems are resolved using heuristics. Unsetting this flag disables this behavior, allowing tactics to leave unification constraints unsolved. Use the solve_constraints tactic at any point to solve the constraints.

## Proof maintenance

Experimental. Many tactics, such as intros, can automatically generate names, such as "H0" or "H1" for a new hypothesis introduced from a goal. Subsequent proof steps may explicitly refer to these names. However, future versions of Coq may not assign names exactly the same way, which could cause the proof to fail because the new names don't match the explicit references in the proof.
The following Mangle Names settings let users find all the places where proofs rely on automatically generated names, which can then be named explicitly to avoid any incompatibility. These settings cause Coq to generate different names, producing errors for references to automatically generated names.

## Flag: Mangle Names

When this flag is set (it is off by default), generated names use the prefix specified in the following option instead of the default prefix.

Option: Mangle Names Prefix string
This option specifies the prefix to use when generating names.
Flag: Mangle Names Light
When this flag is set (it is off by default), the names generated by Mangle Names only add the Mangle Names Prefix to the original name.

## Controlling proof mode

## Option: Hyps Limit natural

This option controls the maximum number of hypotheses displayed in goals after the application of a tactic. All the hypotheses remain usable in the proof development. When unset, it goes back to the default mode which is to print all available hypotheses.
Flag: Nested Proofs Allowed
When turned on (it is off by default), this flag enables support for nested proofs: a new assertion command can be inserted before the current proof is finished, in which case Coq will temporarily switch to the proof of this nested lemma. When the proof of the nested lemma is finished (with Qed or Defined), its statement will be made available (as if it had been proved before starting the previous proof) and Coq will switch back to the proof of the previous assertion.

## Flag: Printing Goal Names

When this flag is turned on, the name of the goal is printed in proof mode, which can be useful in cases of cross references between goals.

## Flag: Printing Goal Tags

Internal flag used to implement Proof General's proof-tree mode.

## Controlling memory usage

## Command: Print Debug GC

Prints heap usage statistics, which are values from the stat type of the Gc module described here ${ }^{26}$ in the OCaml documentation. The live_words, heap_words and top_heap_words values give the basic information. Words are 8 bytes or 4 bytes, respectively, for 64- and 32-bit executables.

When experiencing high memory usage the following commands can be used to force Coq to optimize some of its internal data structures.

## Command: Optimize Proof

Shrink the data structure used to represent the current proof.

## Command: Optimize Heap

Perform a heap compaction. This is generally an expensive operation. See: OCaml Gc.compact ${ }^{27}$ There is also an analogous tactic optimize_heap.
Memory usage parameters can be set through the OCAMLRUNPARAM environment variable.

### 3.1.2 Tactics

Tactics specify how to transform the proof state of an incomplete proof to eventually generate a complete proof.
Proofs can be developed in two basic ways: In forward reasoning, the proof begins by proving simple statements that are then combined to prove the theorem statement as the last step of the proof. With forward reasoning, for example, the proof of $A / \backslash B$ would begin with proofs of $A$ and $B$, which are then used to prove $A / \backslash B$. Forward reasoning is probably the most common approach in human-generated proofs.
In backward reasoning, the proof begins with the theorem statement as the goal, which is then gradually transformed until every subgoal generated along the way has been proven. In this case, the proof of $A / \backslash B$ begins with that formula as the goal. This can be transformed into two subgoals, A and B, followed by the proofs of A and B. Coq and its tactics primarily use backward reasoning.

[^13]A tactic may fully prove a goal, in which case the goal is removed from the proof state. More commonly, a tactic replaces a goal with one or more subgoals. (We say that a tactic reduces a goal to its subgoals.)

Most tactics require specific elements or preconditions to reduce a goal; they display error messages if they can't be applied to the goal. A few tactics, such as auto, don't fail even if the proof state is unchanged.
Goals are identified by number. The current goal is number 1. Tactics are applied to the current goal by default. (The default can be changed with the Default Goal Selector option.) They can be applied to another goal or to multiple goals with a goal selector such as 2 : tactic.

This chapter describes many of the most common built-in tactics. Built-in tactics can be combined to form tactic expressions, which are described in the Ltac chapter. Since tactic expressions can be used anywhere that a built-in tactic can be used, "tactic" may refer to both built-in tactics and tactic expressions.

## Common elements of tactics

## Reserved keywords

The tactics described in this chapter reserve the following keywords:

```
by using
```

Thus, these keywords cannot be used as identifiers. It also declares the following character sequences as tokens:

```
** [= |-
```


## Invocation of tactics

Tactics may be preceded by a goal selector (see Section Goal selectors). If no selector is specified, the default selector is used.
tactic_invocation $::=$ toplevel_selector $:$ ? tactic.

Option: Default Goal Selector "toplevel_selector"
This option controls the default selector, used when no selector is specified when applying a tactic. The initial value is 1 , hence the tactics are, by default, applied to the first goal.

Using value all will make it so that tactics are, by default, applied to every goal simultaneously. Then, to apply a tactic tac to the first goal only, you can write 1: tac.
Using value ! enforces that all tactics are used either on a single focused goal or with a local selector ("strict focusing mode").

Although other selectors are available, only all, ! or a single natural number are valid default goal selectors.

## Bindings

Tactics that take a term as an argument may also accept bindings to specify the values to assign unbound variables in a term. Bindings can be given by position or name. Generally these appear in the form one_term_with_bindings or with bindings, depending on the tactic.


- one_term with bindings? —bindings for variables in one_term are typically determined by unifying one_term with a tactic-dependent part of the context, with any remaining unbound variables provided by the bindings.
- one_term $\stackrel{+}{ }$ — binds free variables in the left-to-right order of their first appearance in the relevant term.

For some tactics, bindings for all free variables must be provided, such as for induction, destruct, elim and case. Other tactics automatically generate some or all of the bindings from the conclusion or a hypothesis, such as apply and construct or and its variants. In this case, only instances for the dependent premises that are not bound in the conclusion of the relevant term are required (and permitted).

- ( ident natural $:=$ term ) ——binds variables by name (if ident is given), or by unifying with the n-th premise of the relevant term (if natural is given).


## Error: No such binder.

 natural is 0 or more than the number of unbound variables.Error: No such bound variable ident (no bound variables at all in the expression).
Error: No such bound variable ident ${ }_{1}$ (possible names are: ident ${ }_{2}$...).
The specified binder name ident $_{1}$ is not used in the one_term. ident ${ }_{2} \ldots$ lists all the valid binder names.
Error: Not the right number of missing arguments (expected natural).
Generated when the first form of bindings doesn't have the expected number of arguments.

## Intro patterns

Intro patterns let you specify the name to assign to variables and hypotheses introduced by tactics. They also let you split an introduced hypothesis into multiple hypotheses or subgoals. Common tactics that accept intro patterns include assert, intros and destruct.


Note that the intro pattern syntax varies between tactics. Most tactics use simple_intropattern in the grammar. destruct, edestruct, induction, einduction, case, ecase and the various inversion tactics use or_and_intropattern, while intros and eintros use intropattern ${ }^{*}$. The eqn : construct in various tactics uses naming_intropattern.

## Naming patterns

Use these elementary patterns to specify a name:

- ident - use the specified name
- ? - let Coq generate a fresh name
- ?ident - generate a name that begins with ident
- _ _ discard the matched part (unless it is required for another hypothesis)
- if a disjunction pattern omits a name, such as [|H2], Coq will choose a name


## Splitting patterns

The most common splitting patterns are:

- split a hypothesis in the form A / B into two hypotheses H1: A and H2: B using the pattern (H1 \& H2) or (H1, H2) or [H1 H2]. Example. This also works on $\mathbf{A}<->$ B, which is just a notation representing (A $->$ B) $/$ ( $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A})$.
- split a hypothesis in the form $A \backslash / B$ into two subgoals using the pattern [H1|H2]. The first subgoal will have the hypothesis H1: A and the second subgoal will have the hypothesis H2: B. Example
- split a hypothesis in either of the forms $\mathrm{A} / \backslash \mathrm{B}$ or $\mathrm{A} ~ \ / B$ using the pattern [].

Patterns can be nested: [ $[\mathrm{Ha} \mid \mathrm{Hb}] \mathrm{H}]$ can be used to split (A $\backslash / \mathrm{B}$ ) 八 $\mathbf{C}$.
Note that there is no equivalent to intro patterns for goals. For a goal $\mathrm{A} / \backslash \mathrm{B}$, use the split tactic to replace the current goal with subgoals A and B. For a goal A $\backslash / B$, use left to replace the current goal with A, or right to replace the current goal with B.

- ( simple_intropattern ${ }_{\square}^{+}$) - matches a product over an inductive type with a single constructor. If the number of patterns equals the number of constructor arguments, then it applies the patterns only to the arguments, and ( simple_intropattern $\stackrel{+}{,}$ ) is equivalent to [ simple_intropattern ${ }^{+}$]. If the number of patterns equals the number of constructor arguments plus the number of let-ins, the patterns are applied to the arguments and let-in variables.
- (simple_intropattern $\stackrel{+}{+}$ ) - matches a right-hand nested term that consists of one or more nested binary inductive types such as a1 OP1 a2 OP2 ... (where the OPn are right-associative). (If the OPn are leftassociative, additional parentheses will be needed to make the term right-hand nested, such as a1 OP1 (a2 OP2 ...).) The splitting pattern can have more than 2 names, for example ( $\mathrm{H} 1 \& \mathrm{H} 2 \& \mathrm{H} 3$ ) matches A $八$ B ( C. The inductive types must have a single constructor with two parameters. Example
- [intropattern ${ }^{\star}$ ] $]$ - splits an inductive type that has multiple constructors such as $\mathbf{A} \backslash / \mathbf{B}$ into multiple subgoals. The number of intropatterns must be the same as the number of constructors for the matched part.
- [ intropattern ${ }^{+}$] — splits an inductive type that has a single constructor with multiple parameters such as A $/ \backslash \mathrm{B}$ into multiple hypotheses. Use [ H 1 [ H 2 H 3 ]] to match $\mathrm{A} / \triangle \mathrm{B} / \backslash \mathrm{C}$.
- [ ] - splits an inductive type: If the inductive type has multiple constructors, such as A $\backslash / B$, create one subgoal for each constructor. If the inductive type has a single constructor with multiple parameters, such as A $\triangle \mathrm{B}$, split it into multiple hypotheses.


## Equality patterns

These patterns can be used when the hypothesis is an equality:

- -> - replaces the right-hand side of the hypothesis with the left-hand side of the hypothesis in the conclusion of the goal; the hypothesis is cleared; if the left-hand side of the hypothesis is a variable, it is substituted everywhere in the context and the variable is removed. Example
- <- - similar to ->, but replaces the left-hand side of the hypothesis with the right-hand side of the hypothesis.
- [= intropattern * ] - If the product is over an equality type, applies either injection or discriminate. If injection is applicable, the intropattern is used on the hypotheses generated by injection. If the number of patterns is smaller than the number of hypotheses generated, the pattern ? is used to complete the list. Example


## Other patterns

-     *         - introduces one or more dependent premises from the result until there are no more. Example
- ** — introduces one or more dependent or non-dependent premises from the result until there are no more premises. intros ** is equivalent to intros. Example
- simple_intropattern_closed $\%$ term ${ }^{\star}$ — first applies each of the terms with the apply tactic on the hypothesis to be introduced, then it uses simple_intropattern_closed. Example

Note: $\mathbf{A} \backslash / \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{A} / \backslash \mathrm{B}$ use infix notation to refer to the inductive types or and and. or has multiple constructors (or_introl and or_intror), while and has a single constructor ( $\mathbf{c o n j}$ ) with multiple parameters ( $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ ). These are defined in theories/Init/Logic.v. The "where" clauses define the infix notation for"or" and "and".

```
Inductive or (A B:Prop) : Prop :=
    | or_introl : A -> A \/ B
    | or_intror : B -> A \/ B
where "A \/ B" := (or A B) : type_scope.
Inductive and (A B:Prop) : Prop :=
    conj : A -> B -> A 八\ B
where "A /\ B" := (and A B) : type_scope.
```

Note: intros $\mathbf{p}^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ is not always equivalent to intros $\mathrm{p} ; \ldots$; intros p if some of the p are $\quad$. In the first form, all erasures are done at once, while they're done sequentially for each tactic in the second form. If the second matched term depends on the first matched term and the pattern for both is _ (i.e., both will be erased), the first intros in the second form will fail because the second matched term still has the dependency on the first.

Examples:

```
Example: intro pattern for ^
    1 ~ g o a l
    A, B : Prop
    H : A /\ B
    ===============================
    True
destruct H as (HA & HB).
    1 \text { goal}
        A, B : Prop
        HA : A
        HB : B
        ==============================
        True
```


## Example: intro pattern for V

1 goal
A, B : Prop
H : A $\backslash / \mathrm{B}$
==============================
True

```
destruct H as [HA|HB]. all: swap 1 2.
```

    2 goals
    ```
    A, B : Prop
    HA : A
    ============================
    True
goal 2 is:
    True
2 goals
    A, B : Prop
    HB : B
    =============================
    True
goal 2 is:
    True
```

Example: -> intro pattern
1 goal
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ : nat
$H: x=y$
$==========================$
$y=z \rightarrow x=z$
intros ->.
1 goal
$x, z$ : nat
H : $x=z$
=============================
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{z}$

## Example: [=] intro pattern

The first intros [=] uses injection to strip (S ...) from both sides of the matched equality. The second uses discriminate on the contradiction $1=2$ (internally represented as $(\mathbf{S O})=(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{S}$ O) )) to complete the goal.

1 goal
n, m : nat
===============================120
S n = S m -> 1 = 2 -> False
intros [= H].
1 goal
n, m : nat
$\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}$
(continued from previous page)

```
    =============================
    1 = 2 -> False
intros [=].
    No more goals.
Example: (A \& B \& ...) intro pattern
1 goal
\(===========================\)
A \(/ \backslash\) (exists \(x:\) nat, \(B x / \backslash C\) ) \(->\) True
intros ( \(\mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{x}\) \& b \& c ).
1 goal
a : A
\(x\) : nat
b : B x
c : C
\(===========================\)
True
```

```
Example: * intro pattern
    1 \mp@code { g o a l }
    =============================
    forall A B : Prop, A -> B
intros *.
    1 \text { goal}
    A, B : Prop
    =============================
    A -> B
```

Example: ** pattern ("intros **" is equivalent to "intros")
1 goal
$==========================$
forall A B : Prop, A $\rightarrow$ B
intros **.
1 goal
A, B : Prop
H:A
(continued from previous page)
============================
B

```
Example: compound intro pattern
    1 goal
    forall A B C : Prop, A \/ B /\ C -> (A -> C) -> C
intros * [a | (_,c)] f.
    2 goals
    A, B, C : Prop
    a : A
    f : A -> C
    =============================
    C
    goal 2 is:
        C
all: swap 1 2.
    2 goals
    A, B, C : Prop
        C : C
        f : A -> C
        ==============================
        C
    goal 2 is:
    C
```

Example: combined intro pattern using [ $=$ ] -> and \%
1 goal
A : Type
xs, ys : list A
==============================
$S$ (length ys) $=1$-> $x s++y s=x s$
intros [=->\%length_zero_iff_nil].
1 goal
A : Type
xs : list A
$===========================$
$\mathrm{xs}++\mathrm{nil}=\mathrm{xs}$

- intros would add H : S (length ys) = 1
- intros [=] would additionally apply injection to H to yield HO : length ys = 0
- intros [=->\%length_zero_iff_nil] applies the theorem, making $H$ the equality $l=n i l$, which is then applied as for $->$.

```
Theorem length_zero_iff_nil (l : list A):
    length l = 0 <-> l=nil.
```

The example is based on Tej Chajed's coq-tricks ${ }^{28}$

## Occurrence clauses

An occurrence is a subterm of a goal or hypothesis that matches a pattern provided by a tactic. Occurrence clauses select a subset of the ocurrences in a goal and/or in one or more of its hypotheses.

occurrences The first form of occurrences selects occurrences in the conclusion of the goal. The second form can select occurrences in the goal conclusion and in one or more hypotheses.
simple_occurrences A semantically restricted form of occurrences that doesn't allow the at clause anywhere within it.
-? nat_or_var ${ }^{+}$Selects the specified occurrences within a single goal or hypothesis. Occurrences are numbered starting with 1 following a depth-first traversal of the term's expression, including occurrences in implicit arguments and coercions that are not displayed by default. (Set the Printing AII flag to show those in the printed term.)

For example, when matching the pattern $+_{+}$in the term $(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})+\mathrm{c}$, occurrence 1 is (...) + $c$ and occurrence 2 is $(a+b)$. When matching that pattern with term $a+(b+c)$, occurrence 1 is $\mathrm{a}+(\ldots)$ and occurrence 2 is $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$.

Specifying - includes all occurrences except the ones listed.

[^14]| hyp_occs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $1-$ concl_occs $?$ | fied occurrences in the conclusion.

* 1- concl_occs ${ }^{?}$ Selects all occurrences in all hypotheses and the specified occurrences in the conclusion.
1- concl_occs ${ }^{?}$ Selects the specified occurrences in the conclusion.
goal_occurrences $::=$ concl_occs ${ }^{?}$ Selects all occurrences in all hypotheses and in the specified occurrences in the conclusion.
hypident at occs_nums $\stackrel{?}{\text { ? }}$ Omiting occs_nums selects all occurrences within the hypothesis.
hypident : := ident Selects the hypothesis named ident.
( type of ident ) Selects the type part of the named hypothesis (e.g. : nat).
( value of ident ) Selects the value part of the named hypothesis (e.g. : = 1).
concl_occs $::=*$ at occs_nums ${ }^{?}$ Selects occurrences in the conclusion. ${ }^{*}$ ' by itself selects all occurrences. occs_nums selects the specified occurrences.

Use in * to select all occurrences in all hypotheses and the conclusion, which is equivalent to in * $1-$ *. Use * 1 - to select all occurrences in all hypotheses.
When rewriting in multiple hypotheses, they must not appear in the term to rewrite. For instance rewrite $H$ in $H, H^{\prime}$ is an error. If an hypothesis appears only through a hole, it will be removed from that hole's context.

With rewrite term in *, hypotheses on which the dependency cannot be avoided are skipped, for instance rewrite $H$ in * skips rewriting in $H$. This is the case even if only one hypothesis ends up rewritten.

If multiple occurrences are given, such as in rewrite $H$ at 123 3, the tactic must match at least one occurrence in order to succeed. The tactic will fail if no occurrences match. Occurrence numbers that are out of range (e.g. at 13 when there are only 2 occurrences in the hypothesis or conclusion) are ignored.

Tactics that use occurrence clauses include set, remember, induction and destruct.
Error: No such hypothesis: ident.

## See also:

Managing the local context, Case analysis, Printing constructions in full.

## Applying theorems

Tactic: exact one_term
Directly gives the exact proof term for the goal. exact $p$ succeeds if and only if one_term and the type of $p$ are unifiable (see Conversion rules).

Error: Not an exact proof.
Tactic: eexact one_term
Behaves like exact but can handle terms and goals with existential variables.

## Tactic: assumption

This tactic looks in the local context for a hypothesis whose type is convertible to the goal. If it is the case, the subgoal is proved. Otherwise, it fails.

## Error: No such assumption.

## Tactic: eassumption

Behaves like assumption but is able to process goals and hypotheses with existential variables. It can also resolve existential variables, which assumption will not.
Tactic: simple? notypeclasses? refine one_term
Behaves like exact but allows holes (denoted by _ or (_ : type)) in one_term. refine generates as many subgoals as there are remaining holes in the elaborated term. Any subgoal that occurs in other subgoals is automatically shelved, as if calling shelve_unifiable.
simple If specified, don't shelve any subgoals or perform beta reduction.
notypeclasses If specified, do checking without resolving typeclasses. The generated subgoals (shelved or not) are not candidates for typeclass resolution, even if they have a typeclass type as their conclusion.

## Example

```
Inductive Option : Set :=
| Fail : Option
| Ok : bool -> Option.
        Option is defined
        Option_rect is defined
        Option_ind is defined
        Option_rec is defined
        Option_sind is defined
Definition get : forall x:Option, x <> Fail -> bool.
        1 goal
            ==============================
            forall x : Option, x <> Fail -> bool
        refine
            (fun x:Option =>
            match x return x <> Fail -> bool with
                | Fail => _
            | Ok b => fun _ => b
            end).
        1 \text { goal}
            x : Option
            ============================
            Fail <> Fail -> bool
    intros; absurd (Fail = Fail); trivial.
        No more goals.
Defined.
```


## Error: Cannot infer a term for this placeholder.

There is a hole in the term you gave whose type cannot be inferred. Put a cast around it.
Setting Debug "unification" enables printing traces of unification steps used during elaboration/typechecking and the refine tactic. "ho-unification" prints information about higher order heuristics.

Tactic: apply one_term_with_bindings $\stackrel{+}{+}$ in_hyp_as ?


Uses unification to match the type of each one_term (in one_term_with_bindings) with the goal (to do backward reasoning) or with a hypothesis (to do forward reasoning). Specifying multiple one_term_with_bindings is equivalent to giving each one serially, left to right, as separate apply tactics.

The type of one_term contains zero or more premises followed by a conclusion, i.e. it typically has the form forall open_binders ,? term $_{\text {premise }} \rightarrow$ * $^{\star}$ term $_{\text {conclusion }}$. (The foralls may also be interleaved with the premises, but common usage is to equivalently gather them at the beginning of the one_term.) Backward reasoning with a one_term whose type is, for example, A -> B replaces an as-yet unproven goal B with A. Forward reasoning with the same one_term changes a hypothesis with type A to B. (Hypotheses are considered proven propositions within the context that contains them.)

Unification creates a map from the variables in the type of one_term to matching subterms of the goal or hypothesis. The matching subterms are then substituted into the type of one_term when generating the updated goal or hypothesis. Unmatched premises become new subgoals with similar substitutions. If no match is found, the tactic fails.

Setting Debug "tactic-unification" enables printing traces of unification steps in tactic unification. Tactic unification is used in tactics such as apply and rewrite.

The goal and hypothesis cases are described separately for clarity.
one_term(inside one_term_with_bindings) If one_term is an ident, it is the name of a theorem, lemma or hypothesis whose type is given in the theorem statement or shown in the context. Otherwise it is a proof term whose type can be displayed with Check one_term.
Without in_hyp_as (the goal case) If the goal matches all of the type of one_term (both premises and the conclusion), the tactic proves the goal. Otherwise, the tactic matches the goal against the conclusion of one_term and, if possible, one or more premises (from right to left). If the match succeeds, the tactic replaces the current goal with a subgoal for each unmatched premise of the type of one_term. This example matches only the conclusion, while this one also matches a premise.

If the conclusion of the type of one_term does not match the goal and the conclusion is an inductive type with a single constructor, then each premise in the constructor is recursively matched to the goal in right-to-left order and the first match is used. In this case, the tactic will not match premises that would result in applying a lemma of the form forall A, ... -> A. See example here.

The goal case uses first-order unification with dependent types unless the conclusion of the type of term is of the form $\mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{t}_{1} \ldots \mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{n}}$ with $\mathbf{P}$ to be instantiated. In the latter case, the behavior depends on the form of the target. If the target is of the form $Q u_{1} \ldots u_{n}$ and the $t_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ unify, then $\mathbf{P}$ is instantiated into $\mathbf{Q}$. Otherwise, apply tries to define $\mathbf{P}$ by abstracting over $\mathbf{t}_{1}$ ... $t_{n}$ in the target. You can use pattern to transform the target so that it gets the form (fun $\mathbf{x}_{1} \ldots \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=>$ Q) $u_{1} \ldots u_{n}$. See the example here.
in_hyp_as (the hypothesis case) Proceeding from right to left, find the first premise of the type of one_term that matches the specified hypothesis. If a match is found, the hypothesis is replaced with the conclusion of the type of one_term (substituting for the unified variables) and the tactic creates a new subgoal for each unmatched premise. See the example here.

If specified, as simple_intropattern is applied to the conclusion of the type of one_term. In this case, the selected hypothesis is left unchanged if its name is not reused.

If the type of one_term is an inductive type with a single constructor, then each premise in the constructor is recursively matched to the conclusion of the hypothesis in right-to-left order and the first match is used. See example here.
For the hypothesis case, matching is done only with first-order unification.
with bindings (in one_term_with_bindings) Gives explicit instantiations for variables used in the type of one_term. There are 3 cases:

- Bindings for variables can be provided in a list of one_terms in the left-to-right order of their first appearance in the type of one_term. For the goal case (example), the list should give bindings only for variables that aren't bound by unification. However, in the hypothesis case (example), the list must include bindings for all variables.
- Bindings for unbound variables can be given by name with the (ident $:=$ term) form.
- The form (natural := term) binds additional variables by unifying the Nth premise of the type of one_term with term. (Use 1 for the first premise.)
Error: Unable to unify one_term with one_term.
The apply tactic failed to match the conclusion of one_term. You can help apply by transforming your goal with the change or pattern tactics.

Error: Unable to apply lemma of type "..." on hypothesis of type "...". This happens if the conclusion of ident does not match any of the premises of the type of one_term.

Error: Unable to find an instance for the variables ident ${ }_{\mathbf{+}}$.
This occurs when some instantiations of the premises of one_term are not deducible from the unification. This is the case, for instance, when you want to apply a transitivity property. To fix this, add bindings for the idents using to with bindings or use eapply.

## Flag: Apply With Renaming

When on, this flag causes the names in the term's type to be renamed for uniqueness. By default no renaming is done.

Deprecated since version 8.15: Provided for compatibility with versions prior to 8.15.

```
Example: Backward reasoning in the goal with apply
    1 goal
    A, B, C : Prop
    H : A -> B -> C
    ===============================
    C
apply H. (* replace goal with new goals for unmatched premises of H *)
    goals
    A, B, C : Prop
    H : A -> B -> C
    ==============================
    A
    goal 2 is:
    B
```

Example: Backward reasoning in the goal with apply including a premise

```
    1 \text { goal}
    A, B, C : Prop
    H : A -> B C
    ==============================
    B -> C
apply H. (* match on "B -> C", replace goal with "A" *)
    1 goal
    A, B, C : Prop
    H : A -> B -> C
    =============================
    A
```


## Example: Forward reasoning in hypotheses with apply

1 goal

A, B, C : Prop
HO : B
H1 : A $\rightarrow$ B $\rightarrow$
$===========================$
True
apply H1 in H0. (* change HO, create new goals for unmatched premises of H1-
$\left.\hookrightarrow^{*}\right)$
2 goals

A, B, C : Prop
HO : C
H1 : A $->$ B $->$ C
$==========================$
True
goal 2 is:
A

## Example: Apply a theorem with a binding in a goal

apply unifies the conclusion $n<=p$ of the theorem le_trans : forall $n m p, n<=m->$ $m<=p \rightarrow n<=p$ with the goal, assigning $x * x$ and $y * y$ in the goal to, repectively, $n$ and $p$ in theorem (backward reasoning). The with clause provides the binding for $m$ :

Require Import PeanoNat.

1 goal
$x, y$ : nat
HO : $x<=y$

```
    =============================
    x * x < = y * y
apply Nat.le_trans with (y * x).
    goals
    x, y : nat
    HO : x <= Y
    ==============================
    x * x <= y * x
    goal 2 is:
        y * x <= y * y
```


## Example: Apply a theorem with a binding in a hypothesis

When applying a theorem in a hypothesis, app $1 y$ unifies the hypothesis with one of the premises of the theorem le_trans : forall $n m p, n<=m->m<=p \rightarrow n<=p$. In this case, it unifies with the first premise ( $n<=m$ ) and assigns $x * x$ and $y * y$ to, respectively, $n$ and $m$ in the theorem (forward reasoning). The with clause provides the binding for $p$.

In addition, $a p p I_{y}$ in a hypothesis isn't as flexible as apply in the goal: for hypotheses, the unbound variable can be bound by name (as shown) or values for all the variables can be given positionally, i.e. apply

```
Nat.le_trans with (x * x) (y * y) (y * x) in H.
Require Import PeanoNat.
    1 goal
    x, y : nat
    H : x * x <= y * y
    ===============================
    x <= y
apply Nat.le_trans with (p := y * x) in H.
    2 goals
    x, y : nat
    H : x * x <= y * x
    ==============================
    x <= y
    goal 2 is:
    y * y <= y * x
```


## Example: Applying theorems with <->

$\mathbf{A}<->B$ is defined as $(A \rightarrow B) / \backslash(B \rightarrow \mathbf{A}) . / \backslash$ represents an inductive type with a single constructor: Inductive and (C D:Prop) : Prop := conj : C $\rightarrow \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ 八 C. The premises of conj are C and D. The tactic uses the first matching constructor premise in right-to-left order.

Theorems that use <-> to state a logical equivalence behave consistently when applied to goals and hypotheses.

1 goal
A, B : Prop
H1 : A <-> B
H : A
A
apply H1.
1 goal
A, B : Prop
H1 : A <-> B
H : A
$============================$
B
apply H1 in H.
1 goal
A, B : Prop
H1 : A <-> B
H : B
$============================$
B

## Example: Special case of second-order unification in apply

Shows the use of the special case second-order unification described here (after "unless").
Note that we usually use induction rather than applying nat_ind directly.
1 goal
x, y : nat
$============================$
$x+y=y+x$

Check nat_ind.
nat_ind
: forall P : nat -> Prop,
P 0 $->$ (forall $n$ : nat, $P n \rightarrow P(S n)$ ) $\rightarrow$ forall $n$ : nat, $P n$
apply nat_ind. (* Notice the goals are unprovable. *)
2 goals
x, y : nat
==============================
$x+y=0$
goal 2 is:
forall n : nat, $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{S} \mathrm{n}$
Show Proof. (* apply has instantiated $P$ with (eq ( $x+y$ ))

```
        because the goal was (eq (x + y) (y + x))
        and n could be unified with (y + x) *)
        (fun x y : nat => nat_ind (eq (x + y)) ?Goal ?Goal0 (y + x))
(* However, we can use the pattern tactic to get the instantiation we want:u
@*)
Undo.
    1 \text { goal}
        x, y : nat
        =============================
        x + y = y + x
pattern x.
    1 goal
        x, y : nat
        ============================
            (fun n : nat => n + y = y + n) x
apply nat_ind.
    2 goals
        x, y : nat
        =============================
        0+y=y+0
    goal 2 is:
        forall n : nat, n + y = y + n -> S n + y = y + S n
Show Proof. (* apply has instantiated P with (fun n : nat => n + y = y +u
    \hookrightarrow)
        and the goal can be proven *)
    (fun x y : nat =>
    nat_ind (fun n : nat => n + y = y + n) ?Goal ?Goal0 x : x + y = y + x)
```

Tactic: eapply one_term_with_bindings ${ }^{+}$in_hyp_as?
Behaves like apply, but creates existential variables when Coq is unable to deduce instantiations for variables, rather than failing.

Tactic: rapply one_term
Behaves like eapply but uses the proof engine of refine to handle existential variables, holes and conversion problems. This may result in slightly different behavior regarding which conversion problems are solvable. However, rapply fails if any holes remain in one_term itself after typechecking and typeclass resolution but before unification with the goal. Note that rapply tries to instantiate as many hypotheses of one_term as possible. As a result, if it is possible to apply one_term to arbitrarily many arguments without getting a type error, rapply will loop.
Note that you must Require Import Coq. Program. Tactics to use rapply.
Tactic: simple apply one_term_with_bindings ${ }_{\text {+ }}^{+}$in_hyp_as ?
Behaves like apply but it reasons modulo conversion only on subterms that contain no variables to instantiate and does not traverse tuples. For instance, the following example fails because it would require converting id ?foo and $O$.

```
Example
Definition id (x : nat) := x.
    id is defined
Parameter H : forall x y, id x = y.
    H is declared
Goal O = O.
    1 goal
        ==============================
        0=0
Fail simple apply H.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Unable to unify "id ?M150 = ?M151" with "0 = 0".
```

Because it reasons modulo a limited amount of conversion, simple apply fails faster than apply and it is thus well-suited for use in user-defined tactics that backtrack often.
Tactic: simple eapply one_term_with_bindings $\stackrel{+}{+}$ in_hyp_as?
Tactic: lapply one_term
Splits a one_term in the goal reducible to the form $A \rightarrow B$, replacing it with two new subgoals $A$ and B $\rightarrow$ G. lapply $H$ (where $H$ is A $->B$ and $B$ does not start with a product) is equivalent to cut B. 2:apply H..

Error: lapply needs a non-dependent product.

## Example

Assume we have a transitive relation $R$ on nat:

```
Parameter R : nat -> nat -> Prop.
Axiom Rtrans : forall x y z:nat, R x y }>\textrm{R
Parameters n m p : nat.
Axiom Rnm : R n m.
Axiom Rmp : R m p.
```

Consider the goal ( R n p ) provable using the transitivity of $R$ :
Goal $R \mathrm{n}$ p.
The direct application of Rtrans with apply fails because no value for $y$ in Rtrans is found by apply:

```
apply Rtrans.
    Toplevel input, characters 6-12:
    > apply Rtrans.
    > ^^^^^^
    Error: Unable to find an instance for the variable y.
A solution is to apply (Rtrans n m p) or (Rtrans n m).
apply (Rtrans n m p).
    2 goals
```

```
    ============================
    R n m
goal 2 is:
    R m P
```

Note that n can be inferred from the goal, so the following would work too.

```
apply (Rtrans _ m).
```

More elegantly, apply Rtrans with $(y:=m)$ allows only mentioning the unknown $m$ :

```
apply Rtrans with (y := m).
```

Another solution is to mention the proof of ( $\mathrm{R} x \mathrm{y}$ ) in Rtrans

```
apply Rtrans with (1 := Rnm).
    1 \text { goal}
        =============================
        R m p
\ldots. or the proof of (R y z).
apply Rtrans with (2 := Rmp).
    1 goal
    =============================
    R n m
```

On the opposite, one can use eapply which postpones the problem of finding $m$. Then one can apply the hypotheses Rnm and Rmp. This instantiates the existential variable and completes the proof.

```
eapply Rtrans.
    2 ~ f o c u s e d ~ g o a l s ~ ( s h e l v e d : ~ 1 ) ~
        ==============================
        R n ?Y
    goal 2 is:
        R ?y p
apply Rnm.
    1 goal
        ==============================
        R m p
apply Rmp.
    No more goals.
```


## Managing the local context

Tactic: intro ident ${ }^{?}$ where ?
Applies the hnf tactic until it finds an item that can be introduced in the context by removing certain constructs in the goal. If no item is found, the tactic fails. The name used is ident (if specified) or from the construct, except that if the name from the construct already exists in the local context, Coq uses a fresh name instead. The constructs have these forms: (See examples here.)
forall $\mathbf{x}$ : $\mathbf{T}$, term $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}$ is a dependent premise. Removes forall $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}$, from the goal and adds x : T to the context.

A $\rightarrow$... A is a non-dependent premise. Removes A $\rightarrow>$ from the goal and adds $H$ : A to the context.
let $\mathbf{x}:=c$, term Removes let $\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{c}$, from the goal and adds $\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{c}: \mathrm{T}$ to the context.
We recommend always specifying ident so that the names of hypotheses don't change as the proof is updated, making your proof easier to maintain. For example, if H exists in the context, Coq will consider using H0, H1, ... until it finds an unused name. Modifications to a proof can change automatically assigned names that subsequent tactics likely refer to, making the proofs harder to maintain. The Mangle Names flag gives some control over how fresh names are generated (see Proof maintenance).
Note that intros lets you introduce multiple items into the context with a single tactic.
ident The name to give to the introduced item. If not given, Coq uses the variable name from the forall or H for premises. If a name such as H is already in use, Coq will consider using H0, H1, ... until it finds a fresh name.

Note: If a hypothesis name hides the base name of a global constant then the latter can still be referred to by a qualified name (see Qualified names).
where Indicates where to place the introduced hypothesis: at the top or bottom of the context or before or after another specified hypothesis. The default is at bottom.

## Error: ident is already used.

The provided ident is already used in the local context.
Error: No product even after head-reduction. There is nothing to introduce even after $h n f$ has been completely applied.

```
Example: intro and intros
        1 \text { goal}
    forall m n : nat, m < n -> let x := 0 in True
intro m.
        1 \text { goal}
            m : nat
            =============================
            forall n : nat, m < n -> let x := 0 in True
intro n.
    1 goal
```

```
    m, n : nat
    =============================
    m n -> let x := 0 in True
intro H.
    1 \text { goal}
        m, n : nat
        H : m < n
        =============================
        let }\textrm{x}:=0\mathrm{ in True
intro x.
    1 goal
    m, n : nat
    H : m < n
    x := 0 : nat
    =============================
    True
```

This single intros tactic is equivalent to the 4 preceding intro tactics:
1 goal
============================
forall $m \mathrm{n}$ : nat, $\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{n}->$ let $\mathrm{x}:=0$ in True

```
intros m n H x.
```

    1 goal
    \(m, n\) : nat
    \(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{m}<\mathrm{n}\)
    \(\mathrm{x}:=0\) : nat
    \(===========================\)
    True
    Tactic: intros intropattern *
Tactic: intros until ident natural
The first form introduces zero or more items into the context from the constructs listed in intro. If intropattern is not specified, the tactic introduces items until it reaches the head constant; it never fails and may leave the context unchanged.

If intropattern is specified, the hnf tactic is applied until it finds an item that can be introduced into the context. The intropattern is often just a list of idents, but other forms can also be specified in order to, for example, introduce all dependent premises (*); introduce all dependent and non-dependent premises ( $* *$ ); split terms such as A $/ \backslash \mathrm{B}([])$ and pick a fresh name with a given prefix (?X). See Intro patterns.

The second form repeats intro until it has introduced a dependent premise with the name ident or has introduced natural premises (like A in A $->$ B).
We recommend explicitly naming items with intros instead of using intros until natural. See the explanation here.

## Example: intros until

```
    1 goal
    ============================
    forall x y : nat, x = y -> y = x
intros until y.
    1 \text { goal}
    x, y : nat
    =============================
    x = y -> y = x
Or:
    goal
    =============================
    forall x y : nat, x = y -> y = x
intros until 1.
    1 goal
    x, y : nat
    H : x = y
    ==============================
    y = x
```

Error: No quantified hypothesis named ident in current goal even after head-reduction.
The ident in the until clause doesn't appear as a dependent premise.
Error: No natural-th non dependent hypothesis in current goal even after head-reduction. There are fewer than natural premises in the goal.

Tactic: eintros intropattern *
Works just like intros except that it creates existential variables for any unresolved variables rather than failing. Typically this happens when using a \% intropattern (see simple_intropattern).
Tactic: clear - ? ident ${ }^{+}$?
Erases unneeded hypotheses from the context of the current goal. "Unneeded" means that the unselected hypotheses and the goal don't depend directly or indirectly on the erased hypotheses. That means the hypotheses will no longer appear in the context and therefore can't be used in subsequent proof steps. Note that erasing an uneeded hypothesis may turn a goal that was provable into an unprovable goal.
clear All unneeded hypotheses are erased. This may leave the context unchanged; this form never fails.
clear ident $\stackrel{+}{+}$ Erases the named hypotheses if they are unneeded and fails otherwise.
Error: ident is used in the conclusion.
Error: ident is used in the hypothesis ident.
clear - ident $^{+}$Selects all hypotheses that are not named by the idents, then erases those that are unneeded. This may leave the context unchanged; this form never fails as long as the idents name hypotheses in the context.

Tactic: clearbody ident ${ }^{+}$
This tactic expects ident ${ }^{+}$to be local definitions and clears their respective bodies. In other words, it turns the given definitions into assumptions.

Error: ident is not a local definition.

## Tactic: clear dependent ident

Clears the hypothesis ident and all the hypotheses that depend on it.
Tactic: revert ident ${ }^{+}$
Moves the specified hypotheses and local definitions to the goal, if this respects dependencies. This is the inverse of intro.

Error: ident $_{1}$ is used in the hypothesis ident ${ }_{2}$.
Tactic: revert dependent ident
Moves the named hypothesis and all the hypotheses that depend on it to the goal.
Tactic: move ident $_{\text {from }}$ where

where | $::=$ | at top |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | at bottom |
|  |  | before ident |
|  |  | after ident |

Moves a hypothesis $i^{d e n t} f_{\text {from }}$ and hypotheses that directly or indirectly refer to ident from that appear between $i^{d e n t} t_{\text {from }}$ and ident. at top and at bot tom are equivalent to giving the name of the first or last hypotheses in the context. The dependent hypotheses will appear after ident from , appearing in dependency order. This lets users show and group hypotheses in the order they prefer. It doesn't change the goal or the proof term.

Note: Perhaps confusingly, "before" and "after" are interpeted with respect to the direction in which the hypotheses are moved rather than in the order of the resulting list of hypotheses. If ident from $^{\text {is before } i d e n t \text { in the context, }}$ these notions are the same: for hypotheses A B C, move A after B gives B A C, whereas if ident from is after ident in the context, they are the opposite: move C after A gives C A B because the direction of movement is reversed.

```
Error: Cannot move ident from after ident: it occurs in the type of ident.
Error: Cannot move ident from after ident: it depends on ident.
```


## Example: move

1 goal
x : nat
Hx : $\mathrm{x}=0$
y, z : nat
Hy : y = y
=============================10=1
$0=x$
(* x Hx y z Hy *)
move y after z. (* x Hx z y Hy (z was left of y, intuitive case) *)
Undo.
move z after y. (* x Hx z y Hy (z was right of y, see Note above) *)
(continued from previous page)
Undo.

```
move x after Hy. (* y z Hy x Hx (Hx depends on x, so moved) *)
```

Undo.
move x before Hy. (* y z x Hx Hy *)
Undo.
move Hy after Hx. (* x y Hy Hx z *)
Undo.
move Hy before Hx. (* x Hx y Hy z *)

Tactic: rename ident into ident $_{2}+$
Renames hypothesis $i d e n t_{1}$ into ident $_{2}$ for each pair of idents. Renaming is done simultaneously, which permits swapping the names of 2 hypotheses. (Note that the renaming is applied in the context and the existential variables, but the proof term doesn't change.)

Error: ident is already used.
Tactic: set alias_definition occurrences?
Tactic: set one_term as_name? occurrences?


The first form adds a new local definition ident $:=$.... If simple_binder is not specified, the definition body is term and otherwise fun simple_binder ${ }^{\star}$ st term. Then the tactic replaces the body expression with the new variable ident in the goal or as specified by occurrences. The tactic may succeed and add the local definition even if no replacements are made.
The second form is equivalent to set (ident := one_term) occurrences ? using ident, if present, or an auto-generated name if not provided.

If term or one_term has holes (i.e. subexpressions with the form "_"), the tactic first checks that all subterms matching the pattern are compatible before doing the replacement using the leftmost subterm matching the pattern.

Error: The variable ident is already declared.

## Example: set with a simple_binder

set does a simple syntactic replacement in the goal:
1 goal
n : nat
==============================
$\mathrm{n}=0$
pattern n. (* without this, "set" won't replace anything in the goal *)
1 goal
n : nat

```
    ============================
    (fun n0 : nat => n0 = 0) n
set (f x := x = 0).
    1 \text { goal}
    n : nat
    f := fun x : nat }=>x=0\mathrm{ : nat }->\mathrm{ Prop
    =============================
    f n
```

Tactic: eset alias_definition occurrences?
Tactic: eset one_term as_name? occurrences?
Similar to set, but instead of failing because of uninstantiated variables, generates existential variables for them. In practice, this is relevant only when eset is used as a synonym of epose, i.e. when the term does not occur in the goal.

Tactic: remember one_term as_name ? eqn : naming_intropattern ${ }^{?}$ in goal_occurrences?
Similar to set (ident := one_term) in * but creates a hypothesis using Leibniz equality to remember the relation between the introduced variable and the term rather than creating a local definition. If as_name is not specified a fresh name is used. Use naming_intropattern to name the new equation.
Tactic: eremember one_term as_name $\stackrel{?}{?}$ eqn : naming_intropattern $\stackrel{?}{\text { in goal_occurrences } ? ~}$
Similar to remember, but instead of failing because of uninstantiated variables, generates existential variables for them.

Tactic: pose alias_definition
Tactic: pose one_term as_name?
Similar to set. Adds a local definition to the context but without doing any replacement.
Tactic: epose alias_definition
Tactic: epose one_term as_name?
Similar to pose, but instead of failing because of uninstantiated variables, generates existential variables for them.

## Controlling the proof flow

Tactic: assert ( ident : type ) by ltac_expr3?
Tactic: assert ( ident $:=$ term )
Tactic: assert one_type as_ipat? by ltac_expr3?
Adds a new hypothesis to the current subgoal and a new subgoal before it to prove the hypothesis. Then, if Itac_expr 3 is specified, it applies that tactic to fully prove the new subgoal (and otherwise fails).

The first form adds a new hypothesis named ident of type type. (This corresponds to the cut rule of sequent calculus.)

The second form is equivalent to assert (ident : type) by exact (term) where type is the type of term. It is also equivalent to using pose proof. If the head of term is ident, the tactic is equivalent to specialize.
In the third form, if as_ipat isn't specified, the tactic adds the hypothesis one_type with a fresh name. Otherwise, it transforms the hypothesis as specified by as_ipat and adds the resulting new hypotheses and goals. See

Intro patterns.
Error: The term "type" has type "type ${ }_{1}$ which should be Set, Prop or Type.
Occurs when the argument type (in the first form) or one_type (in the third form) is not of type Prop, Set nor Type.
Error: Proof is not complete.
Itac_expr 3 was not able to prove the new hypothesis.
Tactic: eassert ( ident : type ) by ltac_expr3?
Tactic: eassert ( ident := term )
Tactic: eassert one_type as_ipat? by Itac_expr3?
Unlike assert, the type, term or one_type in eassert may contain holes, denoted by _, for which the tactic will create existential variables. This lets you avoid specifying the asserted statement completely before starting to prove it.
Tactic: enough ( ident : type ) by ltac_expr3?
Tactic: enough one_type as_ipat ? by Itac_expr3?
Adds a new hypothesis to the current subgoal and a new subgoal after it to prove the hypothesis.
The first form adds a new hypothesis ident : type and type as the new subgoal. Then, if 1 tac_expr 3 is specified, it applies that tactic to prove the current subgoal with the added hypothesis (and otherwise fails).
In the second form, if as_ipat isn't specified, the tactic adds a new hypothesis one_type with a name chosen by Coq. Otherwise, it transforms one_type as specified by as_ipat and adds the resulting new hypotheses. The as_ipat may also expand the current subgoal into multiple subgoals. Then, if ltac_expr 3 is specified, it is applied to and must succeed on all of them.
Tactic: eenough ( ident : type ) by Itac_expr3?
Tactic: eenough one_type as_ipat ? by ltac_expr3?
Unlike enough, the type and one_type in eenough may contain holes, denoted by _, for which the tactic will create existential variables. This lets you avoid specifying the asserted statement completely until you start to use the hypothesis or later start to prove the statement.
Tactic: cut one_type
Implements the non-dependent case of the App typing rule, the Modus Ponens inference rule. It is equivalent to enough (ident: one_type). revert ident. This tactic is generally considered obsolete but it is still widely used in old scripts.

Tactic: pose proof term as_ipat?
Tactic: pose proof ( ident := term )
The first form behaves like assert one_type as_ipat ? by exact term where one_type is the type of term.

The second form is equivalent to assert (ident := term).
Tactic: epose proof term as_ipat?
Tactic: epose proof ( ident := term )
While pose proof expects that no existential variables are generated by the tactic, epose proof removes this constraint.
Tactic: specialize one_term_with_bindings as_ipat?
Specializes a term (typically a hypothesis or a lemma) by applying arguments to it.
First, the tactic generates a modified term: If the head constant of one_term (in one_term_with_bindings) has the type forall . . ., the tactic replaces one or more of the quantified
variables in the type with arguments provided by one_term_with_bindings, either in the form of a function application (which may be partial), such as ( H 1 ), or with named or numbered binders, such as H with ( $\mathrm{n}:=1$ ).

If the head constant has a non-dependent product type such as A $->B \rightarrow C$, the tactic eliminates one or more of the premises (doing forward reasoning).
Uninstantiated arguments are inferred by unification, if possible, or otherwise left quantified in the resulting term.
Then, If the head constant is a hypothesis H , the resulting term replaces that hypothesis. Specifying as_ipat will leave the original hypothesis unchanged and will introduce new hypotheses as specified by the simple_intropattern. If $\mathbf{H}$ appears in the conclusion or another hypothesis, you must use as_ipat to give a fresh hypothesis name.

If the head constant is a lemma or theorem, the resulting term is added as a new premise of the goal so that the behavior is similar to that of generalize. In this case, you can use as_ipat to immediately introduce the modified term as one or more hypotheses.
Error: Cannot change ident, it is used in hypothesis ident.
Error: Cannot change ident, it is used in conclusion.

```
Example: partial application in specialize
    1 \text { goal}
    H : forall n m : nat, n + m = m + n
    ==============================
    True
specialize (H 1). (* equivalent to: specialize H with (n := 1) *)
    1 goal
        H : forall m : nat, 1 + m = m + 1
        ==============================
        True
```


## Example: specialize with a non-dependent product

Compare this to a similar example that uses apply. specialize won't introduce new goals as apply can.
1 goal
A, B, C : Prop
H0 : B
H1 : A -> B -> C
==============================
True
specialize H1 with (2:=H0).
1 goal
A, B, C : Prop
H0 : B
H1 : A $->$ C
=============================12
True

Tactic: specialize_eqs ident
Tactic: generalize one_term ${ }^{\dagger}$
Tactic: generalize pattern_occs as_name ? ${ }^{+}$
For each one_term (which may be in the pattern_occs), replaces the goal $G$ with forall (x:T), G', where one_term is a subterm of G of type T and G ' is obtained by replacing all occurrences of one_term with x within $\mathrm{G} . \mathrm{x}$ is a fresh variable chosen based on T . Specifying multiple one_terms is equivalent to generalize one_term ${ }_{n}$; ... ; generalize one_term ${ }_{1}$. (Note they are processed right to left.)
as_name The name to use for x instead of a fresh name.

```
Example
    1 goal
    x, y : nat
    ============================
    0<= x + y + y
generalize (x + y + y). (* get a simpler goal that can be proven by induction *)
    l goal
    x, y : nat
    ==========================
    forall n : nat, 0 <= n
```

Tactic: generalize dependent one_term
Generalizes one_term and all hypotheses that depend on one_term. It clears the generalized hypotheses.
Tactic: dependent generalize_eqs ident
Tactic: dependent generalize_eqs_vars ident
Tactic: generalize_eqs ident
Tactic: generalize_eqs_vars ident
Tactic: evar ( ident : type )
Tactic: evar one_type
The evar tactic creates a new local definition named ident with type type or one_type in the context. The body of this binding is a fresh existential variable. If the second form is used, Coq chooses the name.
Tactic: instantiate ( ident $:=$ term ) ?
Tactic: instantiate ( natural := term ) hloc?
hloc : := in l-*
| in ident
I in ( type of ident $)$
। in (value of ident)
The first form refines (see refine) an existential variable ident with the term term. It is equivalent to only [ident]: refine term.

Note: To be able to refer to an existential variable by name, the user must have given the name explicitly (see Existential variables).

Note: When you are referring to hypotheses which you did not name explicitly, be aware that Coq may make a different decision on how to name the variable in the current goal and in the context of the existential variable. This can lead to surprising behaviors.

Deprecated since version 8.16: The no argument form is equivalent to $i d t a c$. This form (only) is deprecated in 8.16.

The second form refines an existential variable selected by its position. The natural argument is the position of the existential variable from right to left in the goal. (Use the hloc clause to select an existential variable in a hypothesis.) Counting starts at 1 and multiple occurrences of the same existential variable are counted multiple times. Using this form is discouraged because slight changes to the goal may change the needed index, causing a maintenance issue.

Advanced users may want to define and use an Ltac tactic to get more consistent behavior, such as:

```
Ltac instantiate_ltac_variable ev term :=
    let H := fresh in
    pose ev as H;
    instantiate (1 := term) in (value of H);
    clear H.
```

in ident Selects the hypothesis ident.
in $1-*$ Selects the goal. This is the default behavior.
in ( type of ident ) Selects existential variables in the type of the local definition ident. (The body is not included.)
in ( value of ident ) Selects existential variables in the body of the local definition ident. (The type is not included.)

Tactic: absurd one_type
one_type is any proposition $P$ of type Prop. This tactic applies False elimination, that is it deduces the current goal from False, and generates as subgoals $\sim P$ and $P$. It is very useful in proofs by cases, where some cases are impossible. In most cases, P or $\sim \mathrm{P}$ is one of the hypotheses of the local context.

Tactic: contradiction one_term_with_bindings ?
Tries to prove the current goal by finding a contradiction.
If one_term_with_bindings is not provided (the most common use case), the tactic first does an intros. The tactic then proves the goal if

- the updated context has a pair of hypotheses where one is the negation of the other (e.g. P and not $\sim \mathrm{P}$ ), or
- there is a hypothesis with an empty inductive type (e.g. False), or
- there is a hypothesis $\sim \mathrm{P}$ where P is a singleton inductive type (e.g. True or $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}$ ) provable by Goal P . constructor.

If one_term_with_bindings is provided, its type must be a negation, such as $\sim P$, or an empty inductive type, such as False. If the type is a negation and $P$ is a hypothesis in the context, the goal is proven. If the type is a negation and $\mathbf{P}$ is not in the context, the goal is replaced with $P$. If the type is False or another empty inductive type, the goal is proven. Otherwise the tactic fails. (If there is a hypothesis $P$ and you want to replace the goal
with $\sim P$, use the contradict tactic. If there are hypotheses H1 : P and H2 : $\sim P$, use contradiction without arguments or contradiction H2 since contradiction H1 won't work.)
Use the discriminate tactic to prove the current goal when there is a hypothesis with an impossible structural equality such as $0=1$.

## Example: contradiction tactic

Simple examples. To see more detail, add intros after each Goal.

```
Inductive F :=. (* Another empty inductive type *)
Goal F -> False.
contradiction.
ged.
Goal forall (A : Prop), A -> ~A -> False.
contradiction.
ged.
Goal forall (A : Type) (x : A), ~(x = x) -> False.
contradiction.
qed.
```

Apply a fact from the standard library:

```
Require Import Arith.
Goal forall (A : Prop), 0<0 -> A.
intros.
    1 goal
        A : Prop
        H : 0<0
        ==============================
        A
contradiction (Nat.lt_irrefl 0).
    No more goals.
Qed.
```

Tactic: contradict ident
Transforms the specified hypothesis ident and the goal in order to prove that the hypothesis is false. For contradict $H$, the current goal and context are transformed as shown. (For brevity, $\vdash$ is used to separate hypotheses from the goal; it is equivalent to the dividing line shown in a context.):

- $H: \sim A \vdash B$ becomes $\vdash \mathrm{A}$
- $\mathrm{H}: \sim \mathrm{A} \vdash \sim \mathrm{B}$ becomes $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{B} \vdash \mathrm{A}$
- $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{A} \vdash \mathrm{B}$ becomes $\vdash \sim \mathrm{A}$
- H: A $\vdash \sim$ B becomes $H: B \vdash \sim A$


## Tactic: exfalso

Implements the "ex falso quodlibet" logical principle: an elimination of False is performed on the current goal, and
the user is then required to prove that False is indeed provable in the current context. This tactic is equivalent to elimtype False.

## Classical tactics

In order to ease the proving process, when the Classical module is loaded, a few more tactics are available. Make sure to load the module using the Require Import command.
Tactic: classical_left
Tactic: classical_right
These tactics are the analog of left and right but using classical logic. They can only be used for disjunctions. Use classical_left to prove the left part of the disjunction with the assumption that the negation of right part holds. Use classical_right to prove the right part of the disjunction with the assumption that the negation of left part holds.

## Performance-oriented tactic variants

Tactic: exact_no_check one_term
For advanced usage. Similar to exact term, but as an optimization, it skips checking that term has the goal's type, relying on the kernel check instead. See change_no_check for more explanation.

## Example

```
Goal False.
    1 \text { goal}
        =============================
        False
    exact_no_check I.
    No more goals.
Fail Qed.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    The term "I" has type "True" while it is expected to have type "False".
```

Tactic: vm_cast_no_check one_term
For advanced usage. Similar to exact_no_check term, but additionally instructs the kernel to use vm_compute to compare the goal's type with the term's type.

## Example

```
Goal False.
    1 goal
        =============================
        False
    vm_cast_no_check I.
    No more goals.
Fail Qed.
```

The command has indeed failed with message:
The term "I" has type "True" while it is expected to have type "False".

Tactic: native_cast_no_check one_term
for advanced usage. similar to exact_no_check term, but additionally instructs the kernel to use native_compute to compare the goal's type with the term's type.

## Example

```
Goal False.
    1 goal
        ============================
        False
    native_cast_no_check I.
    No more goals.
Fail Qed.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Native compiler is disabled, falling back to VM conversion test.
    [native-compiler-disabled,native-compiler]
```


### 3.1.3 Reasoning with equalities

There are multiple notions of equality in Coq:

- Leibniz equality is the standard way to define equality in Coq and the Calculus of Inductive Constructions, which is in terms of a binary relation, i.e. a binary function that returns a Prop. The standard library defines eq similar to this:

```
Inductive eq {A : Type} (x : A) : A -> Prop := eq_refl : eq x x.
```

The notation $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ represents the term eq $\mathrm{x} y$. The notation $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}:>$ A gives the type of x and y explicitly.

- Setoid equality defines equality in terms of an equivalence relation. A setoid is a set that is equipped with an equivalence relation (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Setoid). These are needed to form a quotient set or quotient (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equivalence_Class). In Coq, users generally work with setoids rather than constructing quotients, for which there is no specific support.
- Definitional equality is equality based on the conversion rules, which Coq can determine automatically. When two terms are definitionally equal, Coq knows it can replace one with the other, such as with change X with Y , among many other advantages. "Convertible" is another way of saying that two terms are definitionally equal.
Tactics for dealing with equality of inductive types such as injection and inversion are described here.


## Tactics for simple equalities

## Tactic: reflexivity

For a goal with the form forall open_binders, ${ }^{?} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{u}$, verifies that t and u are definitionally equal, and if so, solves the goal (by applying eq_refl). If not, it fails.
The tactic may also be applied to goals with the form forall open_binders, ${ }^{?} \mathrm{R}$ term term $_{2}$ where $R$ is a reflexive relation registered with the Equivalence or Reflexive typeclasses. See Class and Instance.
Error: The relation ident is not a declared reflexive relation. Maybe you need to requir
Tactic: symmetry simple_occurrences?
Changes a goal that has the form forall open_binders, ${ }^{?} t=u$ into $u=t$. simple_occurrences may be used to apply the change in the selected hypotheses and/or the conclusion.
The tactic may also be applied to goals with the form forall open_binders, ${ }^{?} \mathbf{R}$ term term $_{2}$ where $R$ is a symmetric relation registered with the Equivalence or Symmetric typeclasses. See Class and Instance.
Error: The relation ident is not a declared symmetric relation. Maybe you need to requir
Tactic: transitivity one_term
Changes a goal that has the form forall open_binders, ${ }^{?} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{u}$ into the two subgoals $\mathrm{t}=$ one_term and one_term $=u$.
The tactic may also be applied to goals with the form forall open_binders, ${ }^{?} \mathrm{R}$ term term $_{2}$ where $R$ is a transitive relation registered with the Equivalence or Transitivity typeclasses. See Class and Instance.

## Tactic: etransitivity

This tactic behaves like transitivity, using a fresh evar instead of a concrete one_term.
Error: The relation ident is not a declared transitive relation. Maybe you need to requi
Tactic: $f$ _equal
For $a$ goal with the form $f a_{1} \ldots a_{n}=g b_{1} \ldots b_{n}$, creates subgoals $f=g$ and $a_{i}=b_{i}$ for the $n$ arguments. Subgoals that can be proven by reflexivity or congruence are solved automatically.

## Rewriting with Leibniz and setoid equality

Tactic: rewrite oriented_rewriter ${ }_{\text {+ }}^{+}$occurrences ? by ltac_expr3? oriented_rewriter $::=|->|<-{ }^{?}$ natural ${ }^{?}$ ? $\mid{ }^{?}$ one_term_with_bindings

Replaces subterms with other subterms that have been proven to be equal. The type of one_term must have the form:

```
forall open_binders, ? EQ term _term
```

where EQ is the Leibniz equality eq or a registered setoid equality. Note that eq $\operatorname{term}_{1} \operatorname{term}_{2}$ is typically written with the infix notation $\operatorname{term}_{1}=\operatorname{term}_{2}$. You must Require Setoid to use the tactic with a setoid equality or with setoid rewriting.
rewrite one_termfinds subterms matching $\operatorname{term}_{1}$ in the goal, and replaces them with $t e r m_{2}$ (or the reverse if $<-$ is given). Some of the variables $x_{i}$ are solved by unification, and some of the types $\mathbf{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_{n}$ may become new subgoals. rewrite won't find occurrences inside forall that refer to variables bound by the forall; use the more advanced setoid_rewrite if you want to find such occurrences.
oriented_rewriter $\stackrel{+}{+}$ The oriented_rewriters are applied sequentially to the first goal generated by the previous oriented_rewriter. If any of them fail, the tactic fails.
$\rightarrow \mid<-\stackrel{?}{?}$ For $\rightarrow>$ (the default), term $_{1}$ is rewritten into term $_{2}$. For $<-$, term $_{2}$ is rewritten into term ${ }_{1}$. natural ? ? ! ? natural is the number of rewrites to perform. If ? is given, natural is the maximum number of rewrites to perform; otherwise natural is the exact number of rewrites to perform.
? (without natural) performs the rewrite as many times as possible (possibly zero times). This form never fails. ! (without natural) performs the rewrite as many times as possible and at least once. The tactic fails if the requested number of rewrites can't be performed. natural ! is equivalent to natural.
occurrences If occurrences specifies multiple occurrences, the tactic succeeds if any of them can be rewritten. If not specified, only the first occurrence in the conclusion is replaced.


#### Abstract

Note: If at occs_nums is specified, rewriting is always done with setoid rewriting, even for Leibniz equality, which means that you must Require Setoid to use that form. However, note that rewrite (even when using setoid rewriting) and setoid_rewrite don't behave identically (as is noted above and below).


by Itac_expr3 If specified, is used to resolve all side conditions generated by the tactic.

Note: For each selected hypothesis and/or the conclusion, rewrite finds the first matching subterm in depthfirst search order. Only subterms identical to that first matched subterm are rewritten. If the at clause is specified, only these subterms are considered when counting occurrences. To select a different set of matching subterms, you can specify how some or all of the free variables are bound by using a with clause (see one_term_with_bindings).
For instance, if we want to rewrite the right-hand side in the following goal, this will not work:

$$
1 \text { goal }
$$

x, y : nat
$===========================$ $x+y=y+x$
rewrite Nat.add_comm at 2 .
Toplevel input, characters 0-25:
> rewrite Nat.add_comm at 2 .
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Error: Invalid occurrence number: 2.
One can explicitly specify how some variables are bound to match a different subterm:

```
rewrite Nat.add_comm with (m := x).
    1 goal
        x, y : nat
        =============================
        x + y = x + y
```

Note that the more advanced setoid_rewrite tactic behaves differently, and thus the number of occurrences available to rewrite may differ between the two tactics.

Error: Tactic failure: Setoid library not loaded.
Error: Cannot find a relation to rewrite.
Error: Tactic generated a subgoal identical to the original goal.
Error: Found no subterm matching term in ident.
Error: Found no subterm matching term in the current goal.
This happens if term does not occur in, respectively, the named hypothesis or the goal.
Tactic: erewrite oriented_rewriter $\stackrel{+}{+}$ occurrences ? by ltac_expr $3^{?}$
Works like rewrite, but turns unresolved bindings, if any, into existential variables instead of failing. It has the same parameters as rewrite.

## Flag: Keyed Unification

This flag makes higher-order unification used by rewrite rely on a set of keys to drive unification. The subterms, considered as rewriting candidates, must start with the same key as the left- or right-hand side of the lemma given to rewrite, and the arguments are then unified up to full reduction.

Command: Declare Equivalent Keys one_term one_term
Command: Print Equivalent Keys
Tactic: rewrite * $\rightarrow$ < $<-$ ? one_term in ident ? at rewrite_occs ? by ltac_expr3 ?
Tactic: rewrite * $\rightarrow$ <- $\quad$ ?
Tactic: replace one_term from with one_term occurrences ? $^{\text {? }}$ by ltac_expr3?
Tactic: replace $\rightarrow$ > $<-\stackrel{?}{\text { ? }}$ one_term from $^{\text {occurrences ? }}$
The first form replaces all free occurrences of one_term from in the current goal with one_term ${ }_{\text {to }}$ and generates an equality one_term ${ }_{t o}=$ one_term $_{\text {from }}$ as a subgoal. (Note the generated equality is reversed with respect to the order of the two terms in the tactic syntax; see issue $\# 13480^{29}$.) This equality is automatically solved if it occurs among the hypotheses, or if its symmetric form occurs.

The second form, with $->$ or no arrow, replaces one_term from with $\operatorname{term}_{t o}$ using the first hypothesis whose type has the form one_term from $=$ term $_{t o}$. If $<-$ is given, the tactic uses the first hypothesis with the reverse form, i.e. term $_{\text {to }}=$ one_term $_{\text {from }}$.
occurrences The type of and value of forms are not supported. Note you must Require Setoid to use the at clause in occurrences.
by Itac_expr3 Applies the Itac_expr3 to solve the generated equality.
Error: Terms do not have convertible types.
Tactic: cutrewrite $\rightarrow$ <> ?
Where one_type is an equality.
Deprecated since version 8.5: Use replace instead.
Tactic: substitute $\rightarrow$ <- ${ }^{\text {? }}$ one_term_with_bindings
Tactic: subst ident ${ }^{*}$
For each ident, in order, for which there is a hypothesis in the form ident $=$ term or term $=$ ident,

[^15]replaces ident with termeverywhere in the hypotheses and the conclusion and clears ident and the hypothesis from the context. If there are multiple hypotheses that match the ident, the first one is used. If no ident is given, replacement is done for all hypotheses in the appropriate form in top to bottom order.
If ident is a local definition of the form ident := term, it is also unfolded and cleared.
If ident is a section variable it must have no indirect occurrences in the goal, i.e. no global declarations implicitly depending on the section variable may be present in the goal.

Note: If the hypothesis is itself dependent in the goal, it is replaced by the proof of reflexivity of equality.

Flag: Regular Subst Tactic
This flag controls the behavior of subst. When it is activated (it is by default), subst also deals with the following corner cases:

- A context with ordered hypotheses ident i $_{1}=$ ident $_{2}$ and ident ${ }_{1}=t$, or $\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=$ ident $_{1}$ with $t^{\prime}$ not a variable, and no other hypotheses of the form ident ${ }_{2}=\mathbf{u}$ or $\mathbf{u}=$ iden $_{2}$; without the flag, a second call to subst would be necessary to replace $i d e n t_{2}$ by $t$ or $t^{\prime}$ respectively.
- The presence of a recursive equation which without the flag would be a cause of failure of subst.
- A context with cyclic dependencies as with hypotheses ident ${ }_{1}=\mathbf{f}$ ident $_{2}$ and ident $_{2}=\mathbf{g}$ ident ${ }_{1}$ which without the flag would be a cause of failure of subst.

Additionally, it prevents a local definition such as ident $:=\mathrm{t}$ from being unfolded which otherwise would exceptionally unfold in configurations containing hypotheses of the form ident $=\mathbf{u}$, or $\mathbf{u}^{\prime}=$ ident with $u$ ' not a variable. Finally, it preserves the initial order of hypotheses, which without the flag it may break.

Error: Cannot find any non-recursive equality over ident.
Error: Section variable ident occurs implicitly in global declaration qualid present in Error: Section variable ident occurs implicitly in global declaration qualid present in Raised when the variable is a section variable with indirect dependencies in the goal. If ident is a section variable, it must not have any indirect occurrences in the goal, i.e. no global declarations implicitly depending on the section variable may be present in the goal.

## Tactic: simple subst

Tactic: stepl one_term by ltac_expr?
For chaining rewriting steps. It assumes a goal in the form $\mathrm{R} \operatorname{term}_{1} \operatorname{term}_{2}$ where R is a binary relation and relies on a database of lemmas of the form forall $x y z, R x y->e q x z->R \quad y \quad y$ where eq is typically a setoid equality. The application of stepl one_term then replaces the goal by $R$ one_term term ${ }_{2}$ and adds a new goal stating eq one_term term ${ }_{1}$.

If Itac_expr is specified, it is applied to the side condition.
Command: Declare Left Step one_term
Adds one_term to the database used by stepl.
This tactic is especially useful for parametric setoids which are not accepted as regular setoids for rewrite and setoid_replace (see Generalized rewriting).

Tactic: stepr one_term by ltac_expr ?
This behaves like $s t e p l$ but on the right hand side of the binary relation. Lemmas are expected to be in the form forall $x y z, R x y->e q y z->R x z$.

Command: Declare Right Step one_term
Adds term to the database used by stepr.

## Rewriting with definitional equality

Tactic: change one_term from $^{\text {on occs_nums }}{ }^{\text {? }}$ with ${ }^{\text {? }}$ one_term $_{\text {to }}$ occurrences ?
Replaces terms with other convertible terms. If one_term from is not specified, then one_term ${ }_{t o}$ replaces the conclusion and/or the specified hypotheses. If one_term from $^{\text {is specified, the tactic replaces occurrences of }}$ one_term ${ }_{t o}$ within the conclusion and/or the specified hypotheses.
one_term ${ }_{\text {from }}$ at occs_nums ? with Replaces the occurrences of one_term ${ }_{\text {from }}$ specified by occs_nums with one_term ${ }_{t o}$, provided that the two one_terms are convertible. one_term from may contain pattern variables such as ? x , whose value which will substituted for x in one_term ${ }_{t o}$, such as in change (f ?x ?y) with ( $g(x, y)$ ) or change (fun $x=>$ ?f $x$ ) with $f$.

The at ... with ... form is deprecated in 8.14 ; use with ... at ... instead. For at ... with ... in H ।-, use with ... in $H$ at ... |-.
occurrences If with is not specified, occurrences must only specify entire hypotheses and/or the goal; it must not include any at occs_nums clauses.

Error: Not convertible.
Error: Found an "at" clause without "with" clause
Tactic: now_show one_type
A synonym for change one_type. It can be used to make some proof steps explicit when refactoring a proof script to make it readable.

## See also:

## Applying conversion rules

Tactic: change_no_check one_term from at occs_nums ? with one_term ${ }^{\text {? }}$ occurrences ?
For advanced usage. Similar to change, but as an optimization, it skips checking that one_term ${ }_{t o}$ is convertible with the goal or one_term from .
Recall that the Coq kernel typechecks proofs again when they are concluded to ensure correctness. Hence, using change checks convertibility twice overall, while change_no_check can produce ill-typed terms, but checks convertibility only once. Hence, change_no_check can be useful to speed up certain proof scripts, especially if one knows by construction that the argument is indeed convertible to the goal.

In the following example, change_no_check replaces False with True, but Qed then rejects the proof, ensuring consistency.

```
Example
Goal False.
    1 goal
        ============================
        False
    change_no_check True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
```

```
    exact I.
    No more goals.
Qed.
    Toplevel input, characters 0-4:
    > Qed.
    > ^^^^
    Error:
    The term "I" has type "True" while it is expected to have type "False".
```

Example
Goal True -> False.
1 goal
$===========================$
True -> False
intro H .
1 goal
H : True
$===========================$
False
change_no_check False in H.
1 goal
H : False
============================
False
exact $H$.
No more goals.
Qed.
Toplevel input, characters 0-4:
> Qed.
$>$ ^^へへ
Error:
The term "fun $H$ : True $=>$ H" has type "True -> True"
while it is expected to have type "True -> False".

## Applying conversion rules

These tactics apply reductions and expansions, replacing convertible subterms with others that are equal by definition in CIC. They implement different specialized uses of the change tactic. Other ways to apply these reductions are through the Eval command, the Eval clause in the Definition/Example command and the eval tactic.
Tactics described in this section include:

- Iazy and cbv, which allow precise selection of which reduction rules to apply
- simpl and cbn, which are "clever" tactics meant to give the most readable result
- hnf and red, which apply reduction rules only to the head of the term
- vm_compute and native_compute, which are performance-oriented.

Conversion tactics, with two exceptions, only change the types and contexts of existential variables and leave the proof term unchanged. (The vm_compute and native_compute tactics change existential variables in a way similar to other conversions while also adding a single explicit cast to the proof term to tell the kernel which reduction engine to use. See Type cast.) For example:

```
Goal 3 + 4 = 7.
    1 goal
        =============================
        3+4=7
Show Proof.
    ?Goal
Show Existentials.
    Existential 1 = ?Goal : [ | - 3 + 4 = 7]
cbv.
    1 goal
        =============================
        7 = 7
Show Proof.
    (?Goal : 3 + 4 = 7)
Show Existentials.
    Existential 1 = ?Goal : [ | - 7 = 7]
Tactic: lazy reductions simple_occurrences
Tactic: cbv reductions? simple_occurrences
```

| reductions | : : = | reduction ${ }^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| | delta_reductions |
| reduction | : : $=$ | beta |
|  | 1 | delta delta_reductions ? |
|  | \| | match |
|  | \| | fix |
|  | \| | cofix |
|  | \| | iota |
|  | 1 | zeta |
| delta_reductions |  | - ? [ reference ${ }^{+}$] |

Normalize the goal as specified by reductions. If no reductions are specified by name, all reductions are applied. If any reductions are specified by name, then only the named reductions are applied. The reductions include:
beta beta-reduction of functional application
delta delta_reductions ${ }^{\text {? }}$ delta-reduction: unfolding of transparent constants, see Controlling reduction strategies and the conversion algorithm. The form in reductions without the keyword delta includes beta, iota and zeta reductions in addition to delta using the given delta_reductions.

- ? reference ${ }^{+}$] without the - , limits delta unfolding to the listed constants. If the - is present, unfolding is applied to all constants that are not listed. Notice that the delta doesn't apply to variables bound by a let-in construction inside the term itself (use zeta to inline these). Opaque constants are never unfolded except by vm_compute and native_compute (see \#4476 $6^{30}$ and Controlling reduction strategies and the conversion algorithm).
iota iota-reduction of pattern matching (match) over a constructed term and reduction of fix and cofix expressions. Shorthand for match fix cofix.
zeta zeta-reduction: reduction of let-in definitions
Normalization is done by first evaluating the head of the expression into weak-head normal form, i.e. until the evaluation is blocked by a variable, an opaque constant, an axiom, such as in $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}_{1} \ldots \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{n}}$, match x with ... end, (fix $f x$ \{struct $x\}:=\ldots$ ) $x$, a constructed form (a $\lambda$-expression, constructor, cofixpoint, inductive type, product type or sort) or a redex for which flags prevent reduction of the redex. Once a weak-head normal form is obtained, subterms are recursively reduced using the same strategy.
There are two strategies for reduction to weak-head normal form: lazy (the lazy tactic), or call-by-value (the cbv tactic). The lazy strategy is a call by need ${ }^{31}$ strategy, with sharing of reductions: the arguments of a function call are weakly evaluated only when necessary, and if an argument is used several times then it is weakly computed only once. This reduction is efficient for reducing expressions with dead code. For instance, the proofs of a proposition exists $x . P(x)$ reduce to a pair of a witness $t$ and a proof that $t$ satisfies the predicate $P$. Most of the time, $t$ may be computed without computing the proof of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$, thanks to the lazy strategy.


## Flag: Kernel Term Sharing

Turning this flag off disables the sharing of computations in $l a z y$, making it a call-by-name reduction. This also affects the reduction procedure used by the kernel when typechecking. By default sharing is activated.
The call-by-value strategy is the one used in ML languages: the arguments of a function call are systematically weakly evaluated first. The lazy strategy is similar to how Haskell reduces terms. Although the lazy strategy always does fewer reductions than the call-by-value strategy, the latter is generally more efficient for evaluating purely computational expressions (i.e. with little dead code).

[^16]Tactic: compute delta_reductions ? simple_occurrences
A variant form of cbv.
Setting Debug "Cbv" makes cbv (and its derivative compute) print information about the constants it encounters and the unfolding decisions it makes.

Tactic: simpl delta_reductions ? reference_occs ${ }^{?}$ pattern_occs ${ }^{?}$ simple_occurrences

| reference_occs | $::=$ | reference at occs_nums $?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pattern_occs | $::=$ | one_term at occs_nums | ?

Reduces a term to something still readable instead of fully normalizing it. It performs a sort of strong normalization with two key differences:

- It unfolds constants only if they lead to an t-reduction, i.e. reducing a match or unfolding a fixpoint.
- When reducing a constant unfolding to (co)fixpoints, the tactic uses the name of the constant the (co)fixpoint comes from instead of the (co)fixpoint definition in recursive calls.
occs_nums Selects which occurrences of one_term to process (counting from left to right on the expression printed using the Printing All flag)
simple_occurrences Permits selecting whether to reduce the conclusion and/or one or more hypotheses. While the at option of occurrences is not allowed here, reference_occs and pattern_occs have a somewhat less flexible at option for selecting specific occurrences.
simpl can unfold transparent constants whose name can be reused in recursive calls as well as those designated by Arguments reference ... / commands. For instance, a constant plus' := plus may be unfolded and reused in recursive calls, but a constant such as succ $:=\mathrm{plus}(\mathrm{SO})$ is not unfolded unless it was specifically designated in an Arguments command such as Arguments succ /..
reference_occs $\mid$ pattern_occs can limit the application of simpl to:
- applicative subterms whose head is the constant qualid or is the constant used in the notation string (see reference)
- subterms matching a pattern one_term

Tactic: cbn reductions? simple_occurrences
cbn was intended to be a more principled, faster and more predictable replacement for simpl.
The main difference between $c b n$ and simpl is that $c b n$ may unfold constants even when they cannot be reused in recursive calls: in the previous example, succ $t$ is reduced to $S t$.

Setting Debug "RAKAM" makes cbn print various debugging information. RAKAM is the Refolding Algebraic Krivine Abstract Machine.

Tactic: hnf simple_occurrences
Replaces the current goal with its weak-head normal form according to the $\beta \delta \iota \zeta$-reduction rules, i.e. it reduces the head of the goal until it becomes a product or an irreducible term. All inner $\beta$ t-redexes are also reduced. While $h n f$ behaves similarly to simpl and cbn, unlike them, it does not recurse into subterms. The behavior of hnf can be tuned using the Arguments command.

Example: The term fun $n$ : nat $=>\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{S} \mathrm{n}$ is not reduced by hnf.

Note: The $\delta$ rule only applies to transparent constants (see Controlling reduction strategies and the conversion algorithm on transparency and opacity).

Tactic: red simple_occurrences
$\beta \iota \zeta$-reduces the head constant of T , if possible, in the selected hypotheses and/or the goal which have the form:
forall open_binders , ? $\mathbf{T}$
(where $T$ does not begin with a forall) to $c t_{1} \quad \ldots \quad t_{n}$ where $c$ is a constant. If $c$ is transparent then it replaces $c$ with its definition and reduces again until no further reduction is possible.

In the term forall open_binders , $\stackrel{?}{?} t_{1} \ldots t_{n}$, where $t_{1}$ is not a term_application, $t_{1}$ is the head of the term. In a term with the form forall open_binders, ? ${ }^{\text {? }} t_{1} \ldots t_{n}$, where $c$ is a constant, $\mathbf{c}$ is the head constant.

## Error: No head constant to reduce.

Tactic: unfold reference_occs + occurrences?
Applies delta-reduction to the constants specified by each reference_occs. The selected hypotheses and/or goals are then reduced to $\beta \iota \zeta$-normal form. Use the general reduction tactics if you want to only apply the $\delta$ rule, for example cbv delta [ reference ].
reference_occs If reference is a qualid, it must be a defined transparent constant or local definition (see Top-level definitions and Controlling reduction strategies and the conversion algorithm).
If reference is a string scope_key ${ }^{?}$, the string is the discriminating symbol of a notation (e.g. " + ") or an expression defining a notation (e.g. "_ + ${ }^{+}$") and the notation is an application whose head symbol is an unfoldable constant, then the tactic unfolds it.
occurrences If occurrences is specified, the specified occurrences will be replaced in the selected hypotheses and/or goal. Otherwise every occurrence of the constants in the goal is replaced. If multiple reference_occs are given, any at clauses must be in the reference_occs rather than in occurrences.

Error: Cannot turn inductive constructor into an evaluable reference. Occurs when trying to unfold something that is defined as an inductive type (or constructor) and not as a definition.

## Example

```
Goal 0 <= 1.
    1 goal
```

            \(==========================\)
            \(0<=1\)
    unfold le.
    Toplevel input, characters 7-9:
    \(>\) unfold le.
        \(>\) ^へ
        Error: Cannot turn inductive le into an evaluable reference.
    Error: ident is opaque.
Raised if you are trying to unfold a definition that has been marked opaque.

## Example

```
Opaque Nat.add.
Goal 1 + 0 = 1.
    1 goal
        1+0=1
unfold Nat.add.
    Toplevel input, characters 0-14:
    > unfold Nat.add.
    > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
    Error: Nat.add is opaque.
```

Error: Bad occurrence number of qualid.
Error: qualid does not occur.
Tactic: fold one_term ${ }^{+}$simple_occurrences
First, this tactic reduces each one_term using the red tactic. Then, every occurrence of the resulting terms in the selected hypotheses and/or goal will be replaced by its associated one_term. This tactic is particularly useful for reversing undesired unfoldings, which may make the goal very hard to read. The undesired unfoldings may be due to the limited capabilities of other reduction tactics. On the other hand, when an unfolded function applied to its argument has been reduced, the fold tactic doesn't do anything.


## Example: fold doesn't always undo unfold

```
Goal ~0=0.
    1 goal
        =============================
        0<> 0
unfold not.
    1 goal
    ============================
    0 = 0 -> False
```

This $f \circ l d$ doesn't undo the preceeding unfold (it makes no change):

```
fold not.
    1 goal
        =============================
        0=0 -> False
```

However, this pattern followed by fold does:

```
pattern (0=0).
    1 goal
        ============================
            (fun P : Prop => P -> False) (0 = 0)
```

```
fold not.
    1 goal
    =============================
    0<> 0
```


## Example: Use fold to reverse unfolding of fold_right

```
Goal forall x xs, fold_right and True (x::xs).
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall (x : Prop) (xs : list Prop), fold_right and True (x :: xs)
red.
    1 goal
        ============================
        forall (x : Prop) (xs : list Prop),
        x /\
        (fix fold_right (l : list Prop) : Prop :=
            match l with
            | nil => True
            | b :: t => b /\ fold_right t
            end) xs
fold (fold_right and True).
    1 goal
    forall (x : Prop) (xs : list Prop), x /\ fold_right and True xs
```

Tactic: pattern pattern_occs + occurrences ?
Performs beta-expansion (the inverse of beta-reduction) for the selected hypotheses and/or goals. The one_terms in pattern_occs must be free subterms in the selected items. The expansion is done for each selected item T for a set of one_terms in the pattern_occs by:

- replacing all selected occurrences of the one_terms in T with fresh variables
- abstracting these variables
- applying the abstracted goal to the one_terms

For instance, if the current goal $T$ is expressible as $\varphi\left(t_{1} \ldots t_{n}\right)$ where the notation captures all the instances of the $t_{i}$ in $\varphi$, then pattern $\boldsymbol{t}_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ generates the equivalent goal (fun ( $\mathbf{x}_{1}: \mathbf{A}_{1} \ldots\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}: A_{n}\right)=>\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1} \ldots\right.$ $\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ )) $\mathrm{t}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}$. If $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ occurs in one of the generated types $\mathbf{A}_{j}$ (for $j>i$ ), occurrences will also be considered and possibly abstracted.

This tactic can be used, for instance, when the tactic apply fails on matching or to better control the behavior of rewrite.

See the example here.
Tactic: hresolve_core ( ident := one_term ) at nat_or_var ? in one_term

## Tactic: hget_evar nat_or_var

## Fast reduction tactics: vm_compute and native_compute

Vm_compute is a brute-force but efficient tactic that first normalizes the terms before comparing them. It is based on a bytecode representation of terms similar to the bytecode representation used in the ZINC virtual machine [Ler90]. It is especially useful for intensive computation of algebraic values, such as numbers, and for reflection-based tactics.
native_compute is based on on converting the Coq code to OCaml.
Note that both these tactics ignore Opaque markings (see issue \#4776 ${ }^{32}$ ), nor do they apply unfolding strategies such as from Strategy.
native_compute is typically two to five times faster than vm_compute at applying conversion rules when Coq is running native code, but native_compute requires considerably more overhead. We recommend using native_compute when all of the following are true (otherwise use vm_compute):

- the running time in vm_compute at least 5-10 seconds
- the size of the input term is small (e.g. hand-generated code rather than automatically-generated code that may have nested destructs on inductives with dozens or hundreds of constructors)
- the output is small (e.g. you're returning a boolean, a natural number or an integer rather than a large abstract syntax tree)

These tactics change existential variables in a way similar to other conversions while also adding a single explicit cast (see Type cast) to the proof term to tell the kernel which reduction engine to use.

Tactic: vm_compute reference_occs $\quad$ pattern_occs $\quad$ occurrences ?
Evaluates the goal using the optimized call-by-value evaluation bytecode-based virtual machine described in [GregoireL02]. This algorithm is dramatically more efficient than the algorithm used for the cbv tactic, but it cannot be fine-tuned. It is especially useful for full evaluation of algebraic objects. This includes the case of reflection-based tactics.

Tactic: native_compute reference_occs $\quad$ pattern_occs ? occurrences ?
Evaluates the goal by compilation to OCaml as described in [BDenesGregoire11]. Depending on the configuration, this tactic can either default to vm_compute, recompile dependencies or fail due to some missing precompiled dependencies, see the native-compiler option for details.

## Flag: NativeCompute Timing

This flag causes all calls to the native compiler to print timing information for the conversion to native code, compilation, execution, and reification phases of native compilation. Timing is printed in units of seconds of wall-clock time.

Flag: NativeCompute Profiling
On Linux, if you have the perf profiler installed, this flag makes it possible to profile native_compute evaluations.

## Option: NativeCompute Profile Filename string

This option specifies the profile output; the default is native_compute_profile.data. The actual filename used will contain extra characters to avoid overwriting an existing file; that filename is reported to the user. That means you can individually profile multiple uses of native_compute in a script. From the Linux command line, run perf report on the profile file to see the results. Consult the perf documentation for more details.

[^17]
## Computing in a term: eval and Eval

Evaluation of a term can be performed with:
Tactic: eval red_expr in term

eval is a value_tactic. It returns the result of applying the conversion rules specified by red_expr. It does not change the proof state.

The red_expr alternatives that begin with a keyword correspond to the tactic with the same name, though in several cases with simpler syntax than the tactic. ident is a named reduction expression created with Declare Reduction.

## See also:

Section Applying conversion rules.
Command: Eval red_expr in term
Performs the specified reduction on term and displays the resulting term with its type. If a proof is open, term may reference hypotheses of the selected goal. Eval is a query_command, so it may be prefixed with a goal selector.

Command: Compute term
Evaluates term using the bytecode-based virtual machine. It is a shortcut for Eval vm_compute in term. Compute is a query_command, so it may be prefixed with a goal selector.
Command: Declare Reduction ident := red_expr
Declares a short name for the reduction expression red_expr, for instance lazy beta delta [foo bar]. This short name can then be used in Eval ident in or eval constructs. This command accepts the local attribute, which indicates that the reduction will be discarded at the end of the file or module. The name is not qualified. In particular declaring the same name in several modules or in several functor applications will be rejected if these declarations are not local. The name ident cannot be used directly as an Ltac tactic, but nothing prevents the user from also performing a Ltac ident := red_expr.

## Controlling reduction strategies and the conversion algorithm

The commands to fine-tune the reduction strategies and the lazy conversion algorithm are described in this section. Also see Effects of Arguments on unfolding, which supports additional fine-tuning.

## Command: Opaque reference ${ }^{+}$

Marks the specified constants as opaque so tactics won't unfold them with delta-reduction. "Constants" are items defined by commands such as Definition, Let (with an explicit body), Fixpoint, CoFixpoint and Function.

This command accepts the global attribute. By default, the scope of opaque is limited to the current section or module.

Opaque also affects Coq's conversion algorithm, causing it to delay unfolding the specified constants as much as possible when it has to check that two distinct applied constants are convertible. See Section Conversion rules.

## Command: Transparent reference ${ }^{+}$

The opposite of Opaque, it marks the specified constants as transparent so that tactics may unfold them. See Opaque above.

This command accepts the global attribute. By default, the scope of Transparent is limited to the current section or module.

Note that constants defined by proofs ending with $Q e d$ are irreversibly opaque; Transparent will not make them transparent. This is consistent with the usual mathematical practice of proof irrelevance: what matters in a mathematical development is the sequence of lemma statements, not their actual proofs. This distinguishes lemmas from the usual defined constants, whose actual values are of course relevant in general.
Error: The reference qualid was not found in the current environment.
There is no constant named qualid in the environment.

## See also:

Applying conversion rules, Qed and Defined
Command: Strategy strategy_level [ reference ${ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ ]

| strategy_level | $::=$ | opaque |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | integer |
|  | $\mid$ | expand |
|  | $\mid$ | transparent |

Generalizes the behavior of the Opaque and Transparent commands. It is used to fine-tune the strategy for unfolding constants, both at the tactic level and at the kernel level. This command associates a strategy_level with the qualified names in the reference sequence. Whenever two expressions with two distinct head constants are compared (for example, typechecking $f x$ where $f: A->B$ and $x: C$ will result in converting A and C), the one with lower level is expanded first. In case of a tie, the second one (appearing in the cast type) is expanded.

This command accepts the local attribute, which limits its effect to the current section or module, in which case the section and module behavior is the same as Opaque and Transparent (without global).

Levels can be one of the following (higher to lower):

- opaque : level of opaque constants. They cannot be expanded by tactics (behaves like $+\infty$, see next item).
- integer : levels indexed by an integer. Level 0 corresponds to the default behavior, which corresponds to transparent constants. This level can also be referred to as transparent. Negative levels correspond to constants to be expanded before normal transparent constants, while positive levels correspond to constants to be expanded after normal transparent constants.
- expand : level of constants that should be expanded first (behaves like $-\infty$ )
- transparent : Equivalent to level 0


## Command: Print Strategy reference

This command prints the strategy currently associated with reference. It fails if reference is not an unfoldable reference, that is, neither a variable nor a constant.

Error: The reference is not unfoldable.

## Command: Print Strategies

Print all the currently non-transparent strategies.

```
Tactic: with_strategy strategy_level_or_var [ reference \({ }^{+}\)] ltac_expr3
strategy_level_or_var \(\begin{array}{lll} & := & \text { strategy_level } \\ & \mid & \text { ident }\end{array}\)
```

Executes ltac_expr3, applying the alternate unfolding behavior that the Strategy command controls, but only for 1 tac_expr 3. This can be useful for guarding calls to reduction in tactic automation to ensure that certain constants are never unfolded by tactics like simpl and cbn or to ensure that unfolding does not fail.

## Example

```
Opaque id.
Goal id 10= 10.
    1 goal
        =============================
        id 10=10
Fail unfold id.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    id is opaque.
with_strategy transparent [id] unfold id.
    1 goal
        ============================
        10=10
```

Warning: Use this tactic with care, as effects do not persist past the end of the proof script. Notably, this fine-tuning of the conversion strategy is not in effect during $Q e d$ nor Defined, so this tactic is most useful either in combination with abstract, which will check the proof early while the fine-tuning is still in effect, or to guard calls to conversion in tactic automation to ensure that, e.g., unfold does not fail just because the user made a constant Opaque.
This can be illustrated with the following example involving the factorial function.

```
Fixpoint fact (n : nat) : nat :=
    match n with
    | 0 => 1
    | S n' => n * fact n'
    end.
```

Suppose now that, for whatever reason, we want in general to unfold the id function very late during conversion:

```
Strategy 1000 [id].
```

If we try to prove id (fact n ) = fact n by reflexivity, it will now take time proportional to $n$ !, because Coq will keep unfolding fact and * and + before it unfolds id, resulting in a full computation of fact n (in unary, because we are using nat), which takes time $n$ !. We can see this cross the relevant threshold at around $n=9$ :

```
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Time assert (id (fact 8) = fact 8) by reflexivity.
    Finished transaction in 0.138 secs (0.097u,0.04s) (successful)
    1 goal
        H : id (fact 8) = fact 8
        =============================
        True
Time assert (id (fact 9) = fact 9) by reflexivity.
    Finished transaction in 0.676 secs (0.676u,0.s) (successful)
    1 goal
        H : id (fact 8) = fact 8
        H0 : id (fact 9) = fact 9
        =============================
        True
```

Note that behavior will be the same if you mark id as Opaque because while most reduction tactics refuse to unfold Opaque constants, conversion treats Opaque as merely a hint to unfold this constant last.

We can get around this issue by using with_strategy:

```
Goal True.
    1 goal
        ============================
        True
Fail Timeout 1 assert (id (fact 100) = fact 100) by reflexivity.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Timeout!
Time assert (id (fact 100) = fact 100) by with_strategy -1 [id] reflexivity.
    Finished transaction in 0.001 secs (0.001u,0.s) (successful)
    1 goal
        H : id (fact 100) = fact 100
        =============================
        True
```

However, when we go to close the proof, we will run into trouble, because the reduction strategy changes are local to the tactic passed to with_strategy.

```
exact I.
    No more goals.
Timeout 1 Defined.
    Toplevel input, characters 0-18:
    > Timeout 1 Defined.
    > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^人
    Error: Timeout!
```

We can fix this issue by using abstract:
Goal True.
1 goal $=========================1$ True

Time assert (id (fact 100) = fact 100) by with_strategy -1 [id] abstract
$\hookrightarrow r e f l e x i v i t y$.
Finished transaction in $0.001 \operatorname{secs}(0.001 u, 0 . s)$ (successful)
1 goal H: id (fact 100) = fact 100 ============================120) True
exact I.
No more goals.

Time Defined.
Finished transaction in $0 . \sec (0 . u, 0 . s)$ (successful)
On small examples this sort of behavior doesn't matter, but because Coq is a super-linear performance domain in so many places, unless great care is taken, tactic automation using with_strategy may not be robustly performant when scaling the size of the input.

Warning: In much the same way this tactic does not play well with Qed and Defined without using abstract as an intermediary, this tactic does not play well with coqchk, even when used with abstract, due to the inability of tactics to persist information about conversion hints in the proof term. See $\# 12200^{33}$ for more details.

### 3.1.4 Reasoning with inductive types

## Applying constructors

The tactics presented here specialize apply and eapply to constructors of inductive types.
Tactic: constructor nat_or_var $\stackrel{?}{\text { with bindings }}$
First does repeat intro; hnf on the goal. If the result is an inductive type $I$, then apply the appropriate constructor(s), and otherwise fail. If nat_or_var is specified and has the value $i$, it uses apply $\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$, where $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the $i$-th constructor of $I$. If not specified, the tactic tries all the constructors, which can result in more than one success (e.g. for $\backslash /$ ) when using backtracking tactics such as constructor; .... See ltac-seq.

[^18]with bindings ${ }^{?}$ If specified, the apply is done as apply ... with bindings.

Warning: The terms in bindings are checked in the context where constructor is executed and not in the context where apply is executed (the introductions are not taken into account).

Error: Not an inductive product.
Error: Not enough constructors.
Error: The type has no constructors.
Tactic: split with bindings ?
Equivalent to constructor 1 with bindings ${ }^{?}$ when the conclusion is an inductive type with a single constructor. The bindings specify any parameters required for the constructor. It is typically used to split conjunctions in the conclusion such as A $/ \backslash B$ into two new goals A and B.

Tactic: exists bindings *
Equivalent to constructor 1 with bindings ${ }_{i}$ for each set of bindings (or just constructor 1 if there are no bindings) when the conclusion is an inductive type with a single constructor. It is typically used on existential quantifications in the form exists $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{P} \mathrm{x}$.

Error: Not an inductive goal with 1 constructor.
Tactic: left with bindings ?
Tactic: right with bindings?
These tactics apply only if I has two constructors, for instance in the case of a disjunction $A / B$. Then they are respectively equivalent to constructor 1 with bindings ? and constructor 2 with bindings?
Error: Not an inductive goal with 2 constructors.
Tactic: econstructor nat_or_var with bindings? ?
Tactic: eexists bindings ${ }^{\star}$
Tactic: esplit with bindings?
Tactic: eleft with bindings?
Tactic: eright with bindings ?
These tactics behave like constructor, exists, split, left and right, but they introduce existential variables instead of failing when a variable can't be instantiated (cf. eapp 1 y and apply).

```
Example: constructor, left and right
Print or. (* or, represented by V/, has two constructors, or_introl and or_intror *)
    Inductive or (A B : Prop) : Prop :=
        or_introl : A -> A \/ B | or_intror : B -> A \/ B.
    Arguments or (A B) %type_scope
    Arguments or_introl [A B]%type_scope _, [_] _ _
```

```
    Arguments or_intror [A B]%type_scope _' _ [_]
Goal forall P1 P2 : Prop, P1 -> P1 \/ P2.
    1 goal
    =============================
    forall P1 P2 : Prop, P1 -> P1 \/ P2
constructor 1. (* equivalent to "left" *)
    1 goal
    P1, P2 : Prop
    H : P1
    =============================
    P1
apply H. (* success *)
    No more goals.
```

In contrast, we won't be able to complete the proof if we select constructor 2 :

```
constructor 2. (* equivalent to "right" *)
    1 goal
    P1, P2 : Prop
    H : P1
    ============================
    P2
```

You can also apply a constructor by name:

```
intros; apply or_introl. (* equivalent to "left" *)
    1 goal
    P1, P2 : Prop
    H : P1
    ==============================
    P1
```


## Case analysis

The tactics in this section implement case analysis on inductive or coinductive objects (see Variants and the match construct).

Tactic: destruct induction_clause $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ induction_principle ?


Performs case analysis by generating a subgoal for each constructor of the inductive or coinductive type selected by
induction_arg. The selected subterm, after possibly doing an intros, must have an inductive or coinductive type. Unlike induction, destruct generates no induction hypothesis.
In each new subgoal, the tactic replaces the selected subterm with the associated constructor applied to its arguments, if any.
induction_clause ${ }_{-}^{+}$Giving multiple induction_clauses is equivalent to applying destruct serially on each induction_clause.

```
induction_arg
```

- If one_term(in one_term_with_bindings) is an identifier ident:
- If ident denotes a forall variable in the goal, then destruct ident behaves like intros until ident; destruct ident.
- If ident is no longer dependent in the goal after application of destruct, it is erased. To avoid erasure, use parentheses, as in destruct (ident).
- one_term may contain holes that are denoted by "_". In this case, the tactic selects the first subterm that matches the pattern and performs case analysis using that subterm.
- If induction_arg is a natural, then destruct natural behaves like intros until natural followed by destruct applied to the last introduced hypothesis.
as or_and_intropattern Provides names for (or applies further transformations to) the variables and hypotheses introduced in each new subgoal. The or_and_intropattern must have one intropattern ${ }^{*}$ for each constructor, given in the order in which the constructors are defined. If there are not enough names, Coq picks fresh names. Inner intropatterns can also split introduced hypotheses into multiple hypotheses or subgoals.
eqn : naming_intropattern Generates a new hypothesis in each new subgoal that is an equality between the term being case-analyzed and the associated constructor (applied to its arguments). The name of the new item may be specified in the naming_intropattern.
with bindings (in one_term_with_bindings) Provides explicit instances for the dependent premises of the type of one_term.
occurrences Selects specific subterms of the goal and/or hypotheses to apply the tactic to. See Occurrence clauses. If it occurs in the induction_principle, then there can only be one induction_clause, which can't have its own occurrences clause.
induction_principle Makes the tactic equivalent to induction induction_clause induction_principle.

```
Example: Using destruct on an argument with premises
Parameter A B C D : Prop.
Goal (A -> B \/ C) -> D.
    1 \text { goal}
        ==============================
        (A -> B \/ C) -> D
intros until 1.
    1 goal
```

```
    H : A -> B \/ C
    =============================
    D
destruct H.
    3 goals
        =============================
        A
    goal 2 is:
        D
        goal 3 is:
        D
Show 2.
    goal 2 is:
        H : B
        =============================
        D
Show 3.
    goal 3 is:
    H : C
    ==============================
    D
```

The single tactic destruct 1 is equivalent to the intros and destruct used here.

Tactic: edestruct induction_clause $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ induction_principle ?
If the type of one_term (in induction_arg) has dependent premises whose values can't be inferred from the with bindings clause, edestruct turns them into existential variables to be resolved later on.

Tactic: case induction_clause + induction_principle?
An older, more basic tactic to perform case analysis without recursion. We recommend using destruct instead where possible. case only modifies the goal; it does not modify the local context.
Tactic: ecase induction_clause $\stackrel{+}{+}$ induction_principle?
If the type of one_term (in induction_arg) has dependent premises whose values can't be inferred from the with bindings clause, ecase turns them into existential variables to be resolved later on.
Tactic: case_eq one_term
A variant of the case tactic that allows performing case analysis on a term without completely forgetting its original form. This is done by generating equalities between the original form of the term and the outcomes of the case analysis. We recommend using the destruct tactic with an eqn : clause instead.

Tactic: casetype one_type
Tactic: simple destruct ident natural
Equivalent to intros until ident natural; case ident where ident is a forall variable in the goal and otherwise fails.

Tactic: dependent destruction ident generalizing ident ${ }^{+}$using one_term?
There is a long example of dependent destruction and an explanation of the underlying technique here.
Tactic: decompose [ one_term ${ }^{+}$] one_term
Recursively decomposes a complex proposition in order to obtain atomic ones.

## Example

```
Goal forall A B C:Prop, A /\ B /\ C \/ B /\ C \/ C /\ A -> C.
    1 goal
        ===========================
        forall A B C : Prop, A \\ B /\ C \/ B /\ C \/ C /\ A -> C
        intros A B C H; decompose [and or] H.
            3 goals
            A, B, C : Prop
```



```
            H1 : A
            H0 : B
            H3 : C
            =============================
            C
        goal 2 is:
            C
        goal 3 is:
            C
        all: assumption.
            No more goals.
qed.
```

Note: decompose does not work on right-hand sides of implications or products.

Tactic: decompose sum one_term
This decomposes sum types (like or).
Tactic: decompose record one_term
This decomposes record types (inductive types with one constructor, like and and exists and those defined with the Record command.

Tactic: destauto in ident?
Reduces one match $t$ with ... by doing destruct $t$. If $t$ is not a variable, the tactic does case_eq t ;intros ... heq; rewrite heq in $\star \mid-$. heq is preserved.

## Induction

Tactic: induction induction_clause ${ }_{r}^{+}$induction_principle ?
induction_principle : := using one_term_with_bindings occurrences ?
Applies an induction principle to generate a subgoal for each constructor of an inductive type.
If the argument is dependent in the conclusion or some hypotheses of the goal, the argument is replaced by the appropriate constructor in each of the resulting subgoals and induction hypotheses are added to the local context using names whose prefix is $\mathbf{I H}$. The tactic is similar to destruct, except that destruct doesn't generate induction hypotheses.
induction and destruct are very similar. Aside from the following differences, please refer to the description of destruct while mentally substituting induction for destruct.

```
induction_clause \({ }_{+}^{+}\)If no induction_principle clause is provided, this is equivalent to doing
``` induction on the first induction_clause followed by destruct on any subsequent clauses.
induction_principle one_term specifies which induction principle to use. The optional with bindings gives any values that must be substituted into the induction principle. The number of bindings must be the same as the number of parameters of the induction principle.

If unspecified, the tactic applies the appropriate induction principle that was automatically generated when the inductive type was declared based on the sort of the goal.

Error: Not an inductive product.
Error: Cannot recognize a statement based on reference.
The type of the induction_arg (in an induction_clause) must reduce to the reference which was inferred as the type the induction principle operates on. Note that it is not enough to be convertible, but you can work around that with change:
```

Definition N := nat.
N is defined
Axiom strong : forall P, (forall n:N, (forall m:N, m < n -> P m) -> P n)
-> forall n, P n.
strong is declared
Axiom P : N -> Prop.
P is declared
Goal forall n:nat, P n.
1 goal
=============================
forall n : nat, P n
intros.
1 goal
n : nat
=============================
P n

```
```

Fail induction n using strong.
The command has indeed failed with message:
Cannot recognize a statement based on N.
change N in n.
1 goal
n : N
=============================
P n
(* n is now of type N, matching the inferred type that strong operates on *)
induction n using strong.
1 goal
n : N
H : forall m : N, m < n -> P m
==============================
P n

```
Error: Unable to find an instance for the variables ident ... ident.
    Use the with bindings clause or the einduction tactic instead.
```

Example
Lemma induction_test : forall n:nat, n = n -> n <= n.
1 goal
=============================
forall n : nat, }\textrm{n}=\textrm{n}->\textrm{n}<=\textrm{n
intros n H.
1 goal
n : nat
H : n = n
============================
n <= n
induction n.
2 goals
H : 0 = 0
=============================
0<= 0
goal 2 is:
S n <= S n
exact (le_n 0).
1 goal
n : nat
H : S n = S n
IHn: n = n -> n <= n

```
```

Example: induction with occurrences
Lemma induction_test2 : forall n:nat, n = n -> n <= n.
1 goal
============================
forall n : nat, n = n -> n <= n
intros.
1 goal
n : nat
H : n = n
==============================
n <= n
induction n in H |-.
2 goals
n : nat
H : 0 = 0
===============================
n <= n
goal 2 is:
n <= n
Show 2.
goal 2 is:
n, n0 : nat
H : S n0 = S n0
IHn0 : n0 = n0 -> n <= n
==============================
n <= n

```

Tactic: einduction induction_clause induction_principle?
Behaves like induction except that it does not fail if some dependent premise of the type of one_term can't be inferred. Instead, the unresolved premises are posed as existential variables to be inferred later, in the same way as eapply does.

Tactic: elim one_term_with_bindings using one_term_with_bindings ?
An older, more basic induction tactic. Unlike induction, elim only modifies the goal; it does not modify the local context. We recommend using induction instead where possible.
with bindings (in one_term_with_bindings) Explicitly gives instances to the premises of the type of one_term (see Bindings).
using one_term_with_bindings \({ }^{?}\) Allows explicitly giving an induction principle one_term that is not the standard one for the underlying inductive type of one_term. The bindings clause allows instan-
tiating premises of the type of one_term.
Tactic: eelim one_term_with_bindings using one_term_with_bindings ?
If the type of one_term has dependent premises, this turns them into existential variables to be resolved later on.

Tactic: elimtype one_type
The argument one_type must be inductively defined. elimtype I is equivalent to cut I. intro Hn; elim Hn; clear Hn. Therefore the hypothesis Hn will not appear in the context(s) of the subgoal(s). Conversely, if \(t\) is a one_term of (inductive) type \(I\) that does not occur in the goal, then elim \(t\) is equivalent to elimtype I; only 2: exact \(t\).
Tactic: simple induction ident natural
Behaves like intros until ident natural; elim ident when ident is a forall variable in the goal.

Tactic: dependent induction ident generalizing in ident \(^{+}\)using one_term \({ }^{\text {? }}\)
The experimental tactic dependent induction performs induction-inversion on an instantiated inductive predicate. One needs to first Require the Coq. Program. Equality module to use this tactic. The tactic is based on the BasicElim tactic by Conor McBride [McB00] and the work of Cristina Cornes around inversion [CT95]. From an instantiated inductive predicate and a goal, it generates an equivalent goal where the hypothesis has been generalized over its indexes which are then constrained by equalities to be the right instances. This permits to state lemmas without resorting to manually adding these equalities and still get enough information in the proofs.
generalizing in ident \({ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}\) First generalizes the goal by the given variables so that they are universally quantified in the goal. This is generally what one wants to do with variables that are inside constructors in the induction hypothesis. The other ones need not be further generalized.

There is a long example of dependent induction and an explanation of the underlying technique here.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma lt_1_r : forall n:nat, n < 1 -> n = 0.
1 goal
-
forall n : nat, n < - n n = 0
intros n H ; induction H.
2 goals
n : nat
=============================
n}=
goal 2 is:
n = 0

```

Here we did not get any information on the indexes to help fulfill this proof. The problem is that, when we use the induction tactic, we lose information on the hypothesis instance, notably that the second argument is 1 here. Dependent induction solves this problem by adding the corresponding equality to the context.
```

Require Import Coq.Program.Equality.
Lemma lt_1_r : forall n:nat, n < 1 -> n = 0.
1 goal

```
```

    ===========================
    forall n : nat, n < 1 -> n = 0
    intros n H ; dependent induction H.
2 goals
==============================
0 = 0
goal 2 is:
n = 0

```

The subgoal is cleaned up as the tactic tries to automatically simplify the subgoals with respect to the generated equalities. In this enriched context, it becomes possible to solve this subgoal.
```

reflexivity.
1 goal
n : nat
H : S n <= 0
IHle : 0 = 1 -> n = 0
=============================
n = 0

```

Now we are in a contradictory context and the proof can be solved.
```

inversion H.

```
    No more goals.

This technique works with any inductive predicate. In fact, the dependent inductiontactic is just a wrapper around the induction tactic. One can make its own variant by just writing a new tactic based on the definition found in Coq. Program.Equality.

\section*{See also:}
functional induction


A primitive tactic that starts a proof by induction. Generally, higher-level tactics such as induction or elim are easier to use.

The idents (including the first one before the with clause) are the names of the induction hypotheses. natural tells on which premise of the current goal the induction acts, starting from 1, counting both dependent and nondependent products, but skipping local definitions. The current lemma must be composed of at least natural products.
As in a fix expression, induction hypotheses must be used on structurally smaller arguments. The verification that inductive proof arguments are correct is done only when registering the lemma in the global environment. To know if the use of induction hypotheses is correct during the interactive development of a proof, use the command Guarded.
with ( ident simple_binder \({ }^{\star}\) \{ struct name \} ? type ) Starts a proof by mutual induction. The statements to be proven are forall simple_binder \({ }_{i}\), type \({ }_{i}\). The identifiers
ident (including the first one before the with clause) are the names of the induction hypotheses. The identifiers name (in the \{ struct . . . \} clauses) are the respective names of the premises on which the induction is performed in the statements to be proved (if not given, Coq guesses what they are).

Tactic: cofix ident with (ident simple_binder \({ }^{\text {* }}\) : type )
Starts a proof by coinduction. The idents (including the first one before the with clause) are the names of the coinduction hypotheses. As in a cofix expression, the use of induction hypotheses must be guarded by a constructor. The verification that the use of coinductive hypotheses is correct is done only at the time of registering the lemma in the global environment. To know if the use of coinduction hypotheses is correct at some time of the interactive development of a proof, use the command Guarded.
with ( ident simple_binder \({ }^{\star}\) : type ) \({ }^{+}\)Starts a proof by mutual coinduction. The statements to be proven are forall simple_binder \(r_{i}\) type \(_{i}\). The identifiers ident (including the first one before the with clause) are the names of the coinduction hypotheses.

\section*{Equality of inductive types}

This section describes some special purpose tactics to work with Leibniz equality of inductive sets or types.
Tactic: discriminate induction_arg ?
Proves any goal for which a hypothesis in the form \(\operatorname{term}_{1}=\operatorname{term}_{2}\) states an impossible structural equality for an inductive type. If induction_arg is not given, it checks all the hypotheses for impossible equalities. For example, \((\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{S} O))=(\mathrm{S} O)\) is impossible. If provided, induction_arg is a proof of an equality, typically specified as the name of a hypothesis.

If no induction_arg is provided and the goal is in the form \(\operatorname{term}_{1}<>\operatorname{term}_{2}\), then the tactic behaves like intro ident; discriminate ident.

The tactic traverses the normal forms of \(\operatorname{term}_{1}\) and term \(_{2}\), looking for subterms \(u\) and \(w\) placed in the same positions and whose head symbols are different constructors. If such subterms are present, the equality is impossible and the current goal is completed. Otherwise the tactic fails. Note that opaque constants are not expanded by \(\delta\) reductions while computing the normal form.
ident (in induction_arg) Checks the hypothesis ident for impossible equalities. If ident is not already in the context, this is equivalent to intros until ident; discriminate ident.
natural (in induction_arg) Equivalent to intros until natural; discriminate ident, where ident is the identifier for the last introduced hypothesis.
one_term with bindings (in induction_arg) Equivalent to discriminate one_term but uses the given bindings to instantiate parameters or hypotheses of one_term. one_term must be a proof of term \(_{1}=\) term \(_{2}\).

Error: No primitive equality found.
Error: Not a discriminable equality.
Tactic: ediscriminate induction_arg?
Works the same as discriminate but if the type of one_term, or the type of the hypothesis referred to by natural, has uninstantiated parameters, these parameters are left as existential variables.

Tactic: injection induction_arg \({ }^{\text {? }}\) as simple_intropattern \({ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}\) ?
Exploits the property that constructors of inductive types are injective, i.e. that if \(\mathbf{c}\) is a constructor of an inductive
type and \(c t_{1}=c t_{2}\) then \(t_{1}=t_{2}\) are equal too.
If there is a hypothesis \(H\) in the form \(\operatorname{term}_{1}=\) term \(_{2}\), then injection H applies the injectivity of constructors as deep as possible to derive the equality of subterms of \(t e r m_{1}\) and \(t e r m_{2}\) wherever the subterms start to differ. For example, from \((S p, S n)=(q, S(S m)\) ) we may derive \(S p=q\) and \(n=S\) m. The terms must have inductive types and the same head constructor, but must not be convertible. If so, the tactic derives the equalities and adds them to the current goal as premises (except if the as clause is used).

If no induction_arg is provided and the current goal is of the form term <> term, injection is equivalent to intro ident; injection ident.
ident (in induction_arg) Derives equalities based on constructor injectivity for the hypothesis ident. If ident is not already in the context, this is equivalent to intros until ident; injection ident.
natural (in induction_arg) Equivalent to intros until natural followed by injection ident where ident is the identifier for the last introduced hypothesis.
one_term with bindings (in induction_arg) Like injection one_term but uses the given bindings to instantiate parameters or hypotheses of one_term.
as \(\left[=\right.\) intropattern \({ }^{*}\) ] Specifies names to apply after the injection so that all generated equalities become hypotheses, which (unlike intros) may replace existing hypotheses with same name. The number of provided names must not exceed the number of newly generated equalities. If it is smaller, fresh names are generated for the unspecified items. The original equality is erased if it corresponds to a provided name or if the list of provided names is incomplete.
Note that, as a convenience for users, specifying simple_intropattern \({ }^{+}\)is treated as if [= simple_intropattern \({ }^{+}\)] was specified.

\section*{Example}

Consider the following goal:
```

Inductive list : Set :=
| nil : list
| cons : nat -> list -> list.
Parameter P : list -> Prop.
Goal forall l n, P nil -> cons n l = cons 0 nil -> P l.
intros.
1 goal
l : list
n : nat
H : P nil
HO : cons n l = cons 0 nil
=============================
P l
injection H0.
1 goal
l : list
n : nat
H : P nil
HO : cons n l = cons 0 nil

```
\(=========================\)
\(1=\mathrm{nil} \rightarrow \mathrm{n}=0 \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \mathrm{l}\)

Note: Beware that injection yields an equality in a sigma type whenever the injected object has a dependent type \(P\) with its two instances in different types ( \(P \quad t_{1} \ldots t_{n}\) ) and ( \(P \quad u_{1} \ldots u_{n}\) ). If \(t_{1}\) and \(u_{1}\) are the same and have for type an inductive type for which a decidable equality has been declared using Scheme Equality, the use of a sigma type is avoided.

Error: No information can be deduced from this equality and the injectivity of construct
Error: No primitive equality found.
Error: Not a negated primitive equality
When induction_arg is not provided, the goal must be in the form term <> term.
Error: Nothing to inject.
Generated when one side of the equality is not a constructor.
Tactic: einjection induction_arg \(\stackrel{?}{ }\) as simple_intropattern ** \({ }^{\text {* }}\)
Works the same as injection but if the type of one_term, or the type of the hypothesis referred to by natural has uninstantiated parameters, these parameters are left as existential variables.
Tactic: simple injection induction_arg?
Similar to injection, but always adds the derived equalities as new premises in the current goal (instead of as new hypotheses) even if the Structural Injection flag is set.

Flag: Structural Injection
When this flag is set, injection term erases the original hypothesis and adds the generated equalities as new hypotheses rather than adding them to the current goal as premises, as if giving injection term as (with an empty list of names). This flag is off by default.

\section*{Flag: Keep Proof Equalities}

By default, injection only creates new equalities between terms whose type is in sort Type or Set, thus implementing a special behavior for objects that are proofs of a statement in Prop. This flag controls this behavior.

Table: Keep Equalities qualid
This table specifies a set of inductive types for which proof equalities are always kept by injection. This overrides the Keep Proof Equalities flag for those inductive types. Template polymorphic inductive types are implicitly added to this table when defined. Use the Add and Remove commands to update this set manually.

Tactic: simplify_eq induction_arg?
Examines a hypothesis that has the form \(\operatorname{term}_{1}=\operatorname{term}_{2}\). If the terms are structurally different, the tactic does a discriminate. Otherwise, it does an injection to simplify the equality, if possible. If induction_arg is not provided, the tactic examines the goal, which must be in the form \(\operatorname{term}_{1}\) <> \(\operatorname{term}_{2}\).
See the description of induction_arg in injection for an explanation of the parameters.
Tactic: esimplify_eq induction_arg?
Works the same as simplify_eq but if the type of one_term or the type of the hypothesis referred to by natural has uninstantiated parameters, these parameters are left as existential variables.

For a hypothesis whose type is a (co)inductively defined proposition, the tactic introduces a goal for each constructor of the proposition that isn't self-contradictory. Each such goal includes the hypotheses needed to deduce the proposition. (Co)inductively defined propositions are those defined with the Inductive or CoInductive commands whose contructors yield a Prop, as in this example.
ident The name of the hypothesis to invert. If ident does not denote a hypothesis in the local context but refers to a hypothesis quantified in the goal, then the latter is first introduced in the local context using intros until ident.
natural Equivalent to intros until natural; inversion ident where ident is the identifier for the last introduced hypothesis.
in ident \({ }^{+} \stackrel{?}{?}\) When ident \({ }^{+}\)are identifiers in the local context, this does a generalize ident + as the initial step of inversion.
as or_and_intropattern Provides names for the variables introduced in each new subgoal. The or_and_intropattern must have one intropattern \({ }^{*}\) for each constructor of the (co)inductive predicate, given in the order in which the constructors are defined. If there are not enough names, Coq picks fresh names.

If an equation splits into several equations (because inversion applies injection on the equalities it generates), the corresponding intropattern should be in the form [ intropattern \({ }^{*}\) ] (or the equivalent (simple_intropattern ) \(\stackrel{\star}{\text {, }}\) ), with the number of entries equal to the number of subequalities obtained from splitting the original equation. Example here.

\begin{abstract}
Note: The inversion ... as variant of inversion generally behaves in a slightly more expected way than inversion (no artificial duplication of some hypotheses referring to other hypotheses). To take advantage of these improvements, it is enough to use inversion ... as [], letting Coq choose fresh names.
\end{abstract}

Note: As inversion proofs may be large, we recommend creating and using lemmas whenever the same instance needs to be inverted several times. See Generation of inversion principles with Derive Inversion.

Note: Part of the behavior of the inversion tactic is to generate equalities between expressions that appeared in the hypothesis that is being processed. By default, no equalities are generated if they relate two proofs (i.e. equalities between terms whose type is in sort Prop). This behavior can be turned off by using the Keep Proof Equalities setting.
```

Example: inversion with as or_and_intropattern
Inductive contains0 : list nat -> Prop :=
| in_hd : forall l, contains0 (0 :: l)
| in_tl : forall l b, contains0 l -> contains0 (b :: l).
contains0 is defined

```
contains0_ind is defined
contains0_sind is defined

Goal forall l:list nat, contains0 (1 : : l) -> contains0 l.
intros 1 H.
1 goal
1 : list nat
H : contains0 (1 : : l)
\(==========================\)
contains0 1
inversion \(H\) as [ | l'p Hl' [Heqp Heql'] ].
1 goal
1 : list nat
H : contains0 (1 : : l)
\(l^{\prime}\) : list nat
p : nat
Hl' : contains0 1
Heqp : \(p=1\)
Heql' : \(l^{\prime}=1\)
\(===========================\)
contains0 1

Tactic: inversion_clear ident \(\mid\) natural as or_and_intropattern \(\stackrel{?}{l}\) in ident \(\mathbf{?}^{?}\)
Does an inversion and then erases the hypothesis that was used for the inversion.
Tactic: simple inversion ident |natural as or_and_intropattern \(?\) in ident \({ }^{+}\)?
A very simple inversion tactic that derives all the necessary equalities but does not simplify the constraints as inversion does.
Tactic: dependent inversion ident natural as or_and_intropattern ? with one_term ?
For use when the inverted hypothesis appears in the current goal. Does an inversion and then substitutes the name of the hypothesis where the corresponding term appears in the goal.
Tactic: dependent inversion_clear ident \(\mid\) natural as or_and_intropattern ? with one_ter
Does a dependent inversion and then erases the hypothesis that was used for the dependent inversion.

Tactic: dependent simple inversion ident natural as or_and_intropattern? with one_te
Tactic: inversion_sigma ident as simple_intropattern ? ?
Turns equalities of dependent pairs (e.g., existT \(P \times p=\) existT \(P\) y \(q\), frequently left over by inversion on a dependent type family) into pairs of equalities (e.g., a hypothesis \(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}\) and a hypothesis of type rew \(H\) in \(p=q\) ); these hypotheses can subsequently be simplified using subst, without ever invoking any kind of axiom asserting uniqueness of identity proofs. If you want to explicitly specify the hypothesis to be inverted, you can pass it as an argument to inversion_sigma. This tactic also works for sig, sigT2, sig2, ex, and ex2 and there are similar eq_sig ***_rect induction lemmas.

Error: Type of ident is not an equality of recognized \(\Sigma\) types: expected one of sig sig2 When applied to a hypothesis, inversion_sigma can only handle equalities of the listed sigma types.
Error: ident is not an equality of \(\Sigma\) types
When applied to a hypothesis, inversion_sigma can only be called on hypotheses that are equalities using Coq.Logic.Init.eq.

\section*{Example: Non-dependent inversion}

Let us consider the relation Le over natural numbers:
```

Inductive Le : nat -> nat -> Set :=
| LeO : forall n:nat, Le 0 n
| LeS : forall n m:nat, Le n m -> Le (S n) (S m).

```

Let us consider the following goal:
```

1 goal
P : nat -> nat -> Prop
Q : forall n m : nat, Le n m -> Prop
n, m : nat
H : Le (S n) m
===============================
P n m

```

To prove the goal, we may need to reason by cases on H and to derive that m is necessarily of the form ( S m 0 ) for certain \(\mathrm{m0}\) and that ( \(\mathrm{Le} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{m0}\) ). Deriving these conditions corresponds to proving that the only possible constructor of (Le \((S \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{m}\) ) is LeS and that we can invert the arrow in the type of LeS. This inversion is possible because Le is the smallest set closed by the constructors LeO and LeS.
```

inversion_clear H.
1 goal
P : nat -> nat -> Prop
Q : forall n m : nat, Le n m -> Prop
n, m, m0 : nat
H0 : Le n m0
=============================
P n (S m0)

```

Note that m has been substituted in the goal for ( S mo ) and that the hypothesis ( \(\mathrm{Le} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{m0}\) ) has been added to the context.

Sometimes it is interesting to have the equality \(m=(S \mathrm{mO})\) in the context to use it after. In that case we can use inversion that does not clear the equalities:
```

inversion H.
1 goal
P : nat -> nat -> Prop
Q : forall n m : nat, Le n m -> Prop
n, m : nat
H : Le (S n) m
n0, m0 : nat
H1 : Le n m0
HO : nO = n
H2 : S m0 = m

```
\(============================\)
P n (S m0)

\section*{Example: Dependent inversion}

Let us consider the following goal:
1 goal

P : nat \(->\) nat \(->\) Prop
Q : forall \(n \mathrm{~m}\) : nat, Le \(\mathrm{n} m \rightarrow\) Prop
n, \(m\) : nat
\(\mathrm{H}: \operatorname{Le}(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{m}\)
\(===========================\)
Q (S n) m H
As H occurs in the goal, we may want to reason by cases on its structure and so, we would like inversion tactics to substitute H by the corresponding @term in constructor form. Neither inversion nor inversion_cleardo such a substitution. To have such a behavior we use the dependent inversion tactics:
```

dependent inversion_clear H.
1 goal
P : nat -> nat -> Prop
Q : forall n m : nat, Le n m -> Prop
n, m, m0 : nat
l : Le n m0
=============================
Q (S n) (S m0) (LeS n m0 l)

```

Note that H has been substituted by (LeS n mO l) and m by ( \(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{m0}\) ).

\section*{Example: Using inversion_sigma}

Let us consider the following inductive type of length-indexed lists, and a lemma about inverting equality of cons:
```

Require Import Coq.Logic.Eqdep_dec.
Inductive vec A : nat -> Type :=
| nil : vec A O
| cons {n} (x:A) (xs : vec A n) : vec A (S n).
vec is defined
vec_rect is defined
vec_ind is defined
vec_rec is defined
vec_sind is defined
Lemma invert_cons : forall A n x xs y ys,
@cons A n x xs = @cons A n y ys
-> xs = ys.
1 goal

```
(continued from previous page)
\(=========================1\)
forall (A: Type) ( \(n\) : nat) ( \(x\) : A) (xs : vec A n) (y : A) (ys : vec A n), cons \(A x x=\) cons \(A y y s->x s=y s\)
```

Proof.
intros A n x xs y ys H.
1 goal
A : Type
n : nat
x : A
xs : vec A n
y : A
ys : vec A n
H : cons A x xs = cons A y ys
==============================
xs}=y

```

After performing inversion, we are left with an equality of existTs:
```

inversion H.
1 goal
A : Type
n : nat
x : A
xs : vec A n
y : A
ys : vec A n
H : cons A x xs = cons A y ys
H1 : x = y
H2 : existT (fun n : nat => vec A n) n xs =
existT (fun n : nat => vec A n) n ys
=============================
xs = ys

```

We can turn this equality into a usable form with inversion_sigma:
```

inversion_sigma.
1 goal
A : Type
n : nat
x : A
xs : vec A n
y : A
ys : vec A n
H : cons A x xs = cons A y ys
H1 : x = y
H2_ : n = n
H2_0 : eq_rect n (fun n : nat => vec A n) xs n H2_ = ys
==============================
xs = ys

```

To finish cleaning up the proof, we will need to use the fact that that all proofs of \(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}\) for n a nat are eq_refl:
```

let H := match goal with H : n = n |- _ => H end in
pose proof (Eqdep_dec.UIP_refl_nat _ H); subst H.
1 goal
A : Type
n : nat
x : A
xs : vec A n
y : A
ys : vec A n
H : cons A x xs = cons A y ys
H1 : x = y
H2_0 : eq_rect n (fun n : nat => vec A n) xs n eq_refl = ys
=============================
xs}=y
simpl in *.
1 goal
A : Type
n : nat
x : A
xs : vec A n
y : A
ys : vec A n
H : cons A x xs = cons A y ys
H1 : x = y
H2_0 : xs = ys
=============================
xs = ys

```

Finally, we can finish the proof:
assumption.
No more goals.

Qed.

\section*{See also:}
```

functional inversion

```

\section*{Helper tactics}

Tactic: decide one_term \({ }_{1}\) with one_term
Replaces occurrences of one_term \({ }_{1}\) in the form \(\{P\}+\{\sim P\}\) in the goal with (left _) or (right _), depending on one_term \({ }_{2}\). one_term \({ }_{2}\) must be of type either \(P\) or \(\sim P\), and \(P\) must be of type Prop.

\section*{Example: Using decide to rewrite the goal}
```

Goal forall (P Q : Prop) (Hp : {P} + {~P}) (Hq: {Q} + {~Q}),
P -> ~Q -> (if Hp then true else false) = (if Hq then false else true).

```
```

intros P Q Hp Hq p nq.
1 goal
P, Q : Prop
Hp:{P} + {~ P}
Hq : {Q} + {~ Q}
p : P
nq : ~ Q
==
(if Hp then true else false) = (if Hq then false else true)
decide Hp with p.
1 goal
P, Q : Prop
Hp:{P} + {~ P}
Hq : {Q} + {~ Q}
nq : ~ Q
p : P
=============================
true = (if Hq then false else true)
decide Hq with nq.
1 goal
P, Q : Prop
Hp:{P} + {~ P}
Hq : {Q} + {~ Q}
p : P
nq : ~ Q
=============================
true = true
reflexivity.
qed.

```

\section*{Tactic: decide equality}

Solves a goal of the form forall \(\mathrm{x} y: \mathrm{R},{ }^{?}\) ? \(\{\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}\}+\{\sim \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}\}\) or forall \(\mathrm{x} y: \mathrm{R}\), ? \((\mathbf{x}=\mathrm{y}) \quad \backslash /(\sim \mathbf{x}=\mathrm{y})\), where R is an inductive type whose constructors do not take proofs or functions as arguments, nor objects in dependent types.

Tactic: compare one_term \({ }_{1}\) one_term
Compares two one_terms of an inductive datatype. If G is the current goal, it leaves the sub-goals one_term \({ }_{1}\) \(=\) one_term \(m_{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\) and \(\sim\) one_term \(=\) one_term \(m_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\). The type of the one_terms must satisfy the same restrictions as in the tactic decide equality.
Tactic: dependent rewrite \(\rightarrow\) | \(<-\) ? one_term in ident ?
If ident has type (existT \(B \quad \mathrm{a} b)=\left(\right.\) existT \(\left.B a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right)\) in the local context (i.e. each term of the equality has a sigma type \(\{a: A \&(B \quad a)\}\) ) this tactic rewrites \(a\) into \(a^{\prime}\) and \(b\) into \(b^{\prime}\) in the current goal. This tactic works even if \(B\) is also a sigma type. This kind of equalities between dependent pairs may be derived by the injection and inversion tactics.
\(\rightarrow>\mid<-?\) By default, the equality is applied from left to right. Specify \(<-\) to apply the equality from right to left.

\section*{Generation of induction principles with Scheme}

Command: Scheme ident \(:=?^{?}\) scheme_kind with ident \(:={ }^{?}\) scheme_kind \({ }^{\text {* }}\)
scheme_kind \(::=\) scheme_type for reference Sort sort_family
scheme_type \(::=\) Induction
| Minimality
| Elimination
| Case
sort_family \(::=\) Prop
I SProp
I Set
I Type

Generates induction principles with given scheme_types and scheme_sorts for an inductive type. In the case where the inductive definition is a mutual inductive definition, the with clause is used to generate a mutually recursive inductive scheme for each clause of the mutual inductive type.
ident The name of the scheme. If not provided, the name will be determined automatically from the scheme_type and sort_family.
The following scheme_types generate induction principles with given properties:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline scheme_type & Recursive & Dependent \\
\hline Induction & Yes & Yes \\
\hline Minimality & Yes & No \\
\hline Elimination & No & Yes \\
\hline Case & No & No \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

See examples of the scheme_types here.
Command: Scheme Boolean \({ }^{\text {? }}\) Equality for reference
Tries to generate a Boolean equality for reference. If Boolean is not specified, the command also tries to generate a proof of the decidability of propositional equality over reference. If reference involves independent constants or other inductive types, we recommend defining their equality first.

\section*{Example: Induction scheme for tree and forest}

Currently the automatically-generated induction principles such as odd_ind are not useful for mutuallyinductive types such as odd and even. You can define a mutual induction principle for tree and forest in sort Set with the Scheme command:
```

Inductive tree : Set :=
| node : A -> forest -> tree
with forest : Set :=
| leaf : B -> forest
| cons : tree -> forest -> forest.
Scheme tree_forest_rec := Induction for tree Sort Set
with forest_tree_rec := Induction for forest Sort Set.
forest_tree_rec is defined
tree_forest_rec is defined
tree_forest_rec, forest_tree_rec are recursively defined

```

You may now look at the type of tree_forest_rec:
```

Check tree_forest_rec.
tree_forest_rec
: forall (P : tree -> Set) (P0 : forest -> Set),
(forall (a : A) (f : forest), PO f -> P (node a f)) ->
(forall b : B, PO (leaf b)) ->
(forall t : tree, P t -> forall f1 : forest, P0 f1 -> P0 (cons t f1)) ->
forall t : tree, P t

```

This principle involves two different predicates for trees and forests; it also has three premises each one corresponding to a constructor of one of the inductive definitions.

The principle forest_tree_rec shares exactly the same premises, only the conclusion now refers to the property of forests.

\section*{Example: Predicates odd and even on naturals}

Let odd and even be inductively defined as:
```

Inductive odd : nat -> Prop :=
| oddS : forall n : nat, even n -> odd (S n)
with even : nat -> Prop :=
| evenO : even 0
| evenS : forall n : nat, odd n -> even (S n).

```

The following command generates a powerful elimination principle:
```

Scheme odd_even := Minimality for odd Sort Prop
with even_odd := Minimality for even Sort Prop.
even_odd is defined
odd_even is defined
odd_even, even_odd are recursively defined

```

The type of odd_even for instance will be:
```

Check odd_even.
odd_even
: forall P PO : nat -> Prop,
(forall n : nat, even n -> PO n -> P (S n)) ->
PO 0 ->
(forall n : nat, odd n -> P n -> PO (S n)) ->
forall n : nat, odd n -> P n

```

The type of even_odd shares the same premises but the conclusion is forall \(n\) : nat, even \(n->P 0 n\).

\section*{Example: Scheme commands with various scheme_types}

Let us demonstrate the difference between the Scheme commands.
```

Unset Elimination Schemes.
Inductive Nat :=
| z : Nat
| s : Nat -> Nat.

```
```

    Nat is defined
    (* dependent, recursive *)
Scheme Induction for Nat Sort Set.
Nat_rec is defined
Nat_rec is recursively defined
About Nat_rec.
Nat_rec :
forall P : Nat -> Set,
P z -> (forall n : Nat, P n -> P (S n)) -> forall n : Nat, P n
Nat_rec is not universe polymorphic
Arguments Nat_rec P%function_scope f f0%function_scope n
Nat_rec is transparent
Expands to: Constant Top.Nat_rec
(* non-dependent, recursive *)
Scheme Minimality for Nat Sort Set.
Nat_rec_nodep is defined
Nat_rec_nodep is recursively defined
About Nat_rec_nodep.
Nat_rec_nodep : forall P : Set, P -> (Nat -> P -> P) -> Nat -> P
Nat_rec_nodep is not universe polymorphic
Arguments Nat_rec_nodep P%type_scope f f0%function_scope n
Nat_rec_nodep is transparent
Expands to: Constant Top.Nat_rec_nodep
(* dependent, non-recursive *)
Scheme Elimination for Nat Sort Set.
Nat_case is defined
Nat_case is recursively defined
About Nat_case.
Nat_case :
forall P : Nat -> Set,
P z -> (forall n : Nat, P n -> P (S n)) -> forall n : Nat, P n
Nat_case is not universe polymorphic
Arguments Nat_case P%function_scope f f0%function_scope n
Nat_case is transparent
Expands to: Constant Top.Nat_case
(* non-dependent, non-recursive *)
Scheme Case for Nat Sort Set.
Nat_case_nodep is defined
Nat_case_nodep is recursively defined
About Nat_case_nodep.
Nat_case_nodep : forall P : Set, P -> (Nat -> P -> P) -> Nat -> P

```
(continues on next page)
```

Nat_case_nodep is not universe polymorphic
Arguments Nat_case_nodep P%type_scope f f0%function_scope n
Nat_case_nodep is transparent
Expands to: Constant Top.Nat_case_nodep

```

\section*{Automatic declaration of schemes}

\section*{Flag: Elimination Schemes}

This flag enables automatic declaration of induction principles when defining a new inductive type. Defaults to on.

\section*{Flag: Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes}

This flag enables automatic declaration of induction principles for types declared with the Variant and Record commands. Defaults to off.

\section*{Flag: Case Analysis Schemes}

This flag governs the generation of case analysis lemmas for inductive types, i.e. corresponding to the pattern matching term alone and without fixpoint.

Flag: Boolean Equality Schemes
Flag: Decidable Equality Schemes
These flags control the automatic declaration of those Boolean equalities (see the second variant of Scheme).

Warning: You have to be careful with these flags since Coq may now reject well-defined inductive types because it cannot compute a Boolean equality for them.

\section*{Flag: Rewriting Schemes}

This flag governs generation of equality-related schemes such as congruence.

\section*{Combined Scheme}

\section*{Command: Combined Scheme ident def \(^{\text {from ident }}+\)}

Combines induction principles generated by the Scheme command. Each ident is a different inductive principle that must belong to the same package of mutual inductive principle definitions. This command generates ident \({ }_{\text {def }}\) as the conjunction of the principles: it is built from the common premises of the principles and concluded by the conjunction of their conclusions. In the case where all the inductive principles used are in sort Prop, the propositional conjunction and is used, otherwise the simple product prod is used instead.

\section*{Example}

We can define the induction principles for trees and forests using:
```

Scheme tree_forest_ind := Induction for tree Sort Prop
with forest_tree_ind := Induction for forest Sort Prop.
forest_tree_ind is defined
tree_forest_ind is defined
tree_forest_ind, forest_tree_ind are recursively defined

```

Then we can build the combined induction principle which gives the conjunction of the conclusions of each individual principle:
```

Combined Scheme tree_forest_mutind from tree_forest_ind,forest_tree_ind.
tree_forest_mutind is defined
tree_forest_mutind is recursively defined

```

The type of tree_forest_mutind will be:
```

Check tree_forest_mutind.
tree_forest_mutind
: forall (P : tree -> Prop) (P0 : forest -> Prop),
(forall (a : A) (f : forest), PO f -> P (node a f)) ->
(forall b : B, PO (leaf b)) ->
(forall t : tree, P t -> forall f1 : forest, P0 f1 -> P0 (cons t f1)) ->
(forall t : tree, P t) /\ (forall f2 : forest, P0 f2)

```

\section*{Example}

We can also combine schemes at sort Type:
```

Scheme tree_forest_rect := Induction for tree Sort Type
with forest_tree_rect := Induction for forest Sort Type.
forest_tree_rect is defined
tree_forest_rect is defined
tree_forest_rect, forest_tree_rect are recursively defined
Combined Scheme tree_forest_mutrect from tree_forest_rect, forest_tree_rect.
tree_forest_mutrect is defined
tree_forest_mutrect is recursively defined
Check tree_forest_mutrect.
tree_forest_mutrect
: forall (P : tree -> Type) (P0 : forest -> Type),
(forall (a : A) (f : forest), P0 f -> P (node a f)) ->
(forall b : B, PO (leaf b)) ->
(forall t : tree, P t -> forall f1 : forest, P0 f1 -> P0 (cons t f1)) ->
(forall t : tree, P t) * (forall f2 : forest, PO f2)

```

\section*{See also:}

Generation of induction principles with Functional Scheme

\section*{Generation of inversion principles with Derive Inversion}

Command: Derive Inversion ident with one_term Sort sort_family?
Generates an inversion lemma for the inversion tactic. ident is the name of the generated lemma. one_term should be in the form qualid or (forall binder \({ }^{+}\), qualid term) where qualid is the name of an inductive predicate and binder \({ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}\) binds the variables occurring in the term term. The lemma is generated for the sort sort_family corresponding to one_term. Applying the lemma is equivalent to inverting the instance with the inversion tactic.

Command: Derive Inversion_clear ident with one_term Sort sort_family ?
When applied, it is equivalent to having inverted the instance with the tactic inversion replaced by the tactic inversion_clear.

Command: Derive Dependent Inversion ident with one_term Sort sort_family When applied, it is equivalent to having inverted the instance with the tactic dependent inversion.
Command: Derive Dependent Inversion_clear ident with one_term Sort sort_family When applied, it is equivalent to having inverted the instance with the tactic dependent inversion_clear.

\section*{Example}

Consider the relation Le over natural numbers and the following parameter P :
```

Inductive Le : nat -> nat -> Set :=
| LeO : forall n:nat, Le 0 n
| LeS : forall n m:nat, Le n m -> Le (S n) (S m).
Le is defined
Parameter P : nat -> nat -> Prop.
P is declared

```

To generate the inversion lemma for the instance ( \(\operatorname{Le}\binom{S}{n} m\) ) and the sort Prop, we do:
```

Derive Inversion_clear leminv with (forall n m:nat, Le (S n) m) Sort Prop.
leminv is defined
Check leminv.
leminv
: forall (n m : nat) (P : nat -> nat -> Prop),
(forall m0 : nat, Le n m0 -> P n (S m0)) -> Le (S n) m -> P n m

```

Then we can use the proven inversion lemma:
Show.
1 goal
n, m : nat
\(\mathrm{H}: \operatorname{Le}(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{m}\)

P n m
inversion \(H\) using leminv.
1 goal
n, \(m\) : nat
\(\mathrm{H}: \operatorname{Le}(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{m}\)
============================1
forall \(m 0\) : nat, Le \(n m 0 \rightarrow P n(S m 0)\)

\section*{Examples of dependent destruction/dependent induction}

The tactics dependent induction and dependent destruction are another solution for inverting inductive predicate instances and potentially doing induction at the same time. It is based on the BasicElim tactic of Conor McBride which works by abstracting each argument of an inductive instance by a variable and constraining it by equalities afterwards. This way, the usual induction and destruct tactics can be applied to the abstracted instance and after simplification of the equalities we get the expected goals.
The abstracting tactic is called generalize_eqs and it takes as argument a hypothesis to generalize. It uses the JMeq datatype defined in Coq.Logic.JMeq, hence we need to require it before. For example, revisiting the first example of the inversion documentation:
```

Require Import Coq.Logic.JMeq.
Inductive Le : nat -> nat -> Set :=
| LeO : forall n:nat, Le 0 n
| LeS : forall n m:nat, Le n m -> Le (S n) (S m).
Parameter P : nat -> nat -> Prop.
Goal forall n m:nat, Le (S n) m -> P n m.
intros n m H.
generalize_eqs H.
1 goal
n, m, gen_x : nat
H : Le gen_x m
==============================
gen_x = S n -> P n m

```

The index \(S \mathrm{n}\) gets abstracted by a variable here, but a corresponding equality is added under the abstract instance so that no information is actually lost. The goal is now almost amenable to do induction or case analysis. One should indeed first move n into the goal to strengthen it before doing induction, or n will be fixed in the inductive hypotheses (this does not matter for case analysis). As a rule of thumb, all the variables that appear inside constructors in the indices of the hypothesis should be generalized. This is exactly what the generalize_eqs_vars variant does:
```

generalize_eqs_vars H.
induction H.
2 goals
n, n0 : nat
==============================
O=S n P P n n0
goal 2 is:
S n0 = S n -> P n (S m)

```

As the hypothesis itself did not appear in the goal, we did not need to use an heterogeneous equality to relate the new hypothesis to the old one (which just disappeared here). However, the tactic works just as well in this case, e.g.:
```

Parameter Q : forall (n m : nat), Le n m -> Prop.
Goal forall n m (p : Le (S n) m), Q (S n) m p.
intros n m p.
1 goal

```
```

    n, m : nat
    p : Le (S n) m
    =============================
    Q (S n) m p
    generalize_eqs_vars p.
1 goal
m, gen_x : nat
p : Le gen_x m
=============================
forall (n : nat) (p0: Le (S n) m), gen_x = S n mp ~= p0 -> Q (S n) m p0

```

One drawback of this approach is that in the branches one will have to substitute the equalities back into the instance to get the right assumptions. Sometimes injection of constructors will also be needed to recover the needed equalities. Also, some subgoals should be directly solved because of inconsistent contexts arising from the constraints on indexes. The nice thing is that we can make a tactic based on discriminate, injection and variants of substitution to automatically do such simplifications (which may involve the axiom K). This is what the simplify_dep_elim tactic from Coq. Program.Equality does. For example, we might simplify the previous goals considerably:
```

induction p ; simplify_dep_elim.
1 goal
n, m : nat
p : Le n m
IHp : forall (n0 : nat) (p0 : Le (S n0) m),
n =S n0 -> p ~= p0 -> Q (S n0) m p0
=============================
Q (S n) (S m) (LeS n m p)

```

The higher-order tactic do_depind defined in Coq. Program.Equality takes a tactic and combines the building blocks we have seen with it: generalizing by equalities calling the given tactic with the generalized induction hypothesis as argument and cleaning the subgoals with respect to equalities. Its most important instantiations are dependent induction and dependent destruction that do induction or simply case analysis on the generalized hypothesis. For example we can redo what we've done manually with dependent destruction:
```

Lemma ex : forall n m:nat, Le (S n) m -> P n m.
intros n m H.
dependent destruction H.
1 goal
n, m : nat
H : Le n m
P n (S m)

```

This gives essentially the same result as inversion. Now if the destructed hypothesis actually appeared in the goal, the tactic would still be able to invert it, contrary to dependent inversion. Consider the following example on vectors:
```

Set Implicit Arguments.

```
```

Parameter A : Set.
Inductive vector : nat -> Type :=
| vnil : vector 0
| vcons : A -> forall n, vector n -> vector (S n).
Goal forall n, forall v : vector (S n),
exists v' : vector n, exists a : A, v = vcons a v'.
intros n v.
dependent destruction v.
1 goal
n : nat
a : A
v : vector n
=============================
exists (v' : vector n) (a0 : A), vcons a v = vcons a0 v'

```

In this case, the \(v\) variable can be replaced in the goal by the generalized hypothesis only when it has a type of the form vector \((S \mathrm{n})\), that is only in the second case of the destruct. The first one is dismissed because \(\mathrm{S} n<>0\).

\section*{A larger example}

Let's see how the technique works with induction on inductive predicates on a real example. We will develop an example application to the theory of simply-typed lambda-calculus formalized in a dependently-typed style:
```

Inductive type : Type :=
| base : type
| arrow : type -> type -> type.
Notation " t --> t' " := (arrow t t') (at level 20, t' at next level).
Inductive ctx : Type :=
| empty : ctx
| snoc : ctx -> type -> ctx.
Notation " G , tau " := (snoc G tau) (at level 20, tau at next level).
Fixpoint conc (G D : ctx) : ctx :=
match D with
| empty => G
| snoc D' }x=> snoc (conc G D') x
end.
Notation " G ; D " := (conc G D) (at level 20).
Inductive term : ctx -> type -> Type :=
| ax : forall G tau, term (G, tau) tau
| weak : forall G tau,
term G tau -> forall tau', term (G, tau') tau

```
(continues on next page)
```

| abs : forall G tau tau',
term (G , tau) tau' -> term G (tau --> tau')
| app : forall G tau tau',
term G (tau --> tau') -> term G tau -> term G tau'.

```

We have defined types and contexts which are snoc-lists of types. We also have a conc operation that concatenates two contexts. The term datatype represents in fact the possible typing derivations of the calculus, which are isomorphic to the well-typed terms, hence the name. A term is either an application of:
- the axiom rule to type a reference to the first variable in a context
- the weakening rule to type an object in a larger context
- the abstraction or lambda rule to type a function
- the application to type an application of a function to an argument

Once we have this datatype we want to do proofs on it, like weakening:
```

Lemma weakening : forall G D tau, term (G ; D) tau ->
forall tau', term (G , tau' ; D) tau.

```

The problem here is that we can't just use induction on the typing derivation because it will forget about the \(G\); \(D\) constraint appearing in the instance. A solution would be to rewrite the goal as:
```

Lemma weakening' : forall G' tau, term G' tau ->
forall G D, (G ; D) = G' ->
forall tau', term (G, tau' ; D) tau.

```

With this proper separation of the index from the instance and the right induction loading (putting G and D after the inducted-on hypothesis), the proof will go through, but it is a very tedious process. One is also forced to make a wrapper lemma to get back the more natural statement. The dependent induction tactic alleviates this trouble by doing all of this plumbing of generalizing and substituting back automatically. Indeed we can simply write:
```

Require Import Coq.Program.Tactics.
Require Import Coq.Program.Equality.
Lemma weakening : forall G D tau, term (G ; D) tau ->
forall tau', term (G , tau' ; D) tau.
Proof with simpl in * ; simpl_depind ; auto.
intros G D tau H. dependent induction H generalizing G D ; intros.

```

This call to dependent induction has an additional arguments which is a list of variables appearing in the instance that should be generalized in the goal, so that they can vary in the induction hypotheses. By default, all variables appearing inside constructors (except in a parameter position) of the instantiated hypothesis will be generalized automatically but one can always give the list explicitly.

Show.
4 goals

G0 : ctx
tau : type
G, D : ctx
x : G0, tau = G; D
tau' : type
(continues on next page)
```

    ==-===--=----------===-=====
        term ((G, tau'); D) tau
    goal 2 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) tau
goal 3 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) (tau --> tau')
goal 4 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) tau'

```

The simpl_depind tactic includes an automatic tactic that tries to simplify equalities appearing at the beginning of induction hypotheses, generally using trivial applications of reflexivity. In cases where the equality is not between constructor forms though, one must help the automation by giving some arguments, using the specialize tactic for example.
```

destruct D... apply weak; apply ax. apply ax.
destruct D...
Show.
4 goals
G0 : ctx
tau : type
H : term GO tau
tau' : type
IHterm : forall G D : ctx,
GO = G; D >> forall tau' : type, term ((G, tau'); D) tau
tau'0 : type
=============================
term((G0, tau'), tau'0) tau
goal 2 is:
term (((G, tau'0); D), t) tau
goal 3 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) (tau --> tau')
goal 4 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) tau'
specialize (IHterm GO empty eq_refl).
4 goals
G0 : ctx
tau : type
H : term G0 tau
tau' : type
IHterm : forall tau' : type, term ((G0, tau'); empty) tau
tau'0 : type
=============================
term ((G0, tau'), tau'0) tau
goal 2 is:
term (((G, tau'0); D), t) tau
goal 3 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) (tau --> tau')
goal 4 is:

```
```

term ((G, tau'0); D) tau'

```

Once the induction hypothesis has been narrowed to the right equality, it can be used directly.
```

apply weak, IHterm.
3 goals
tau : type
G, D : ctx
IHterm : forall G0 DO : ctx,
G; D = G0; DO -> forall tau' : type, term ((G0, tau'); D0) tau
H : term (G; D) tau
t, tau'0 : type
=============================
term (((G, tau'0); D), t) tau
goal 2 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) (tau --> tau')
goal 3 is:
term ((G, tau'0); D) tau'

```

Now concluding this subgoal is easy.
```

constructor; apply IHterm; reflexivity.

```

\subsection*{3.1.5 The SSReflect proof language}

\author{
Authors Georges Gonthier, Assia Mahboubi, Enrico Tassi
}

\section*{Introduction}

This chapter describes a set of tactics known as SSReflect originally designed to provide support for the so-called small scale reflection proof methodology. Despite the original purpose, this set of tactics is of general interest and is available in Coq starting from version 8.7.
SSReflect was developed independently of the tactics described in Chapter Tactics. Indeed the scope of the tactics part of SSReflect largely overlaps with the standard set of tactics. Eventually the overlap will be reduced in future releases of Coq.

Proofs written in SSReflect typically look quite different from the ones written using only tactics as per Chapter Tactics. We try to summarise here the most "visible" ones in order to help the reader already accustomed to the tactics described in Chapter Tactics to read this chapter.

The first difference between the tactics described in this chapter and the tactics described in Chapter Tactics is the way hypotheses are managed (we call this bookkeeping). In Chapter Tactics the most common approach is to avoid moving explicitly hypotheses back and forth between the context and the conclusion of the goal. On the contrary, in SSReflect all bookkeeping is performed on the conclusion of the goal, using for that purpose a couple of syntactic constructions behaving similar to tacticals (and often named as such in this chapter). The : tactical moves hypotheses from the context to the conclusion, while \(=>\) moves hypotheses from the conclusion to the context, and in moves back and forth a hypothesis from the context to the conclusion for the time of applying an action to it.

While naming hypotheses is commonly done by means of an as clause in the basic model of Chapter Tactics, it is here to \(=>\) that this task is devoted. Tactics frequently leave new assumptions in the conclusion, and are often followed by => to explicitly name them. While generalizing the goal is normally not explicitly needed in Chapter Tactics, it is an explicit operation performed by : .

\section*{See also:}

\section*{Bookkeeping}

Besides the difference of bookkeeping model, this chapter includes specific tactics that have no explicit counterpart in Chapter Tactics such as tactics to mix forward steps and generalizations as generally have or without loss.

SSReflect adopts the point of view that rewriting, definition expansion and partial evaluation participate all to a same concept of rewriting a goal in a larger sense. As such, all these functionalities are provided by the rewrite tactic.

SSReflect includes a little language of patterns to select subterms in tactics or tacticals where it matters. Its most notable application is in the rewrite tactic, where patterns are used to specify where the rewriting step has to take place.

Finally, SSReflect supports so-called reflection steps, typically allowing to switch back and forth between the computational view and logical view of a concept.

To conclude, it is worth mentioning that SSReflect tactics can be mixed with non-SSReflect tactics in the same proof, or in the same Ltac expression. The few exceptions to this statement are described in section Compatibility issues.

\section*{Acknowledgments}
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\section*{Usage}

\section*{Getting started}

To be available, the tactics presented in this manual need the following minimal set of libraries to be loaded: ssreflect. v, ssrfun.vand ssrbool.v. Moreover, these tactics come with a methodology specific to the authors of SSReflect and which requires a few options to be set in a different way than in their default way. All in all, this corresponds to working in the following context:
```

From Coq Require Import ssreflect ssrfun ssrbool.
Set Implicit Arguments.
Unset Strict Implicit.
Unset Printing Implicit Defensive.

```

\section*{See also:}
```

Implicit Arguments, Strict Implicit,Printing Implicit Defensive

```

\section*{Compatibility issues}

Requiring the above modules creates an environment that is mostly compatible with the rest of Coq, up to a few discrepancies.
- New keywords (is) might clash with variable, constant, tactic or tactical names, or with quasi-keywords in tactic or notation commands.
- New tactic(al)s names (last, done, have, suffices, suff, without loss, wlog, congr, unlock) might clash with user tactic names.
- Identifiers with both leading and trailing _, such as _ \(\mathrm{x}_{-}\), are reserved by SSReflect and cannot appear in scripts.
- The extensions to the rewrite tactic are partly incompatible with those available in current versions of Coq; in particular, rewrite .. in (type of k) or rewrite . . in * or any other variant of rewrite will not work, and the SSReflect syntax and semantics for occurrence selection and rule chaining are different. Use an explicit rewrite direction (rewrite <- ... or rewrite -> ...) to access the Coq rewrite tactic.
- New symbols (//,/=, //=) might clash with adjacent existing symbols. This can be avoided by inserting white spaces.
- New constant and theorem names might clash with the user theory. This can be avoided by not importing all of SSReflect:
```

From Coq Require ssreflect.

```
Import ssreflect. SsrSyntax.

Note that the full syntax of SSReflect's rewrite and reserved identifiers are enabled only if the ssreflect module has been required and if SsrSynt ax has been imported. Thus a file that requires (without importing) ssreflect and imports SsrSyntax can be required and imported without automatically enabling SSReflect's extended rewrite syntax and reserved identifiers.
- Some user notations (in particular, defining an infix ; ) might interfere with the "open term", parenthesis-free syntax of tactics such as have, set (ssreflect) and pose (ssreflect).
- The generalization of if statements to non-Boolean conditions is turned off by SSReflect, because it is mostly subsumed by Coercion to bool of the sumXXX types (declared in ssrfun.v) and the if term is pattern then term else term construct (see Pattern conditional). To use the generalized form, turn off the SSReflect Boolean if notation using the command: Close Scope boolean_if_scope.
- The following flags can be unset to make SSReflect more compatible with parts of Coq.

\section*{Flag: SsrRewrite}

Controls whether the incompatible rewrite syntax is enabled (the default). Disabling the flag makes the syntax compatible with other parts of Coq.

\section*{Flag: SsrIdents}

Controls whether tactics can refer to SSReflect-generated variables that are in the form _xxx_. Scripts with explicit references to such variables are fragile; they are prone to failure if the proof is later modified or if the details of variable name generation change in future releases of Coq.

The default is on, which gives an error message when the user tries to create such identifiers. Disabling the flag generates a warning instead, increasing compatibility with other parts of Coq.

\section*{Gallina extensions}

Small-scale reflection makes an extensive use of the programming subset of Gallina, Coq's logical specification language. This subset is quite suited to the description of functions on representations, because it closely follows the well-established design of the ML programming language. The SSReflect extension provides three additions to Gallina, for pattern assignment, pattern testing, and polymorphism; these mitigate minor but annoying discrepancies between Gallina and ML.

\section*{Pattern assignment}

The SSReflect extension provides the following construct for irrefutable pattern matching, that is, destructuring assignment:
\[
\text { term } \quad+=\quad \text { let: pattern }:=\text { term in term }
\]

Note the colon : after the let keyword, which avoids any ambiguity with a function definition or Coq's basic destructuring let. The let : construct differs from the latter as follows.
- The pattern can be nested (deep pattern matching); in particular, this allows expression of the form:
let: exist ( \(x, y\) ) p_xy :=Hp in ... .
- The destructured constructor is explicitly given in the pattern, and is used for type inference.
```

Example
Definition f u := let: (m, n) := u in m + n.
f is defined
Check f.
f
: nat * nat -> nat

```

Using let:, Coq infers a type for \(f\), whereas with a usual let the same term requires an extra type annotation in order to type check.
```

Fail Definition f u := let (m, n) := u in m + n.
The command has indeed failed with message:
Cannot infer a type for this expression.

```

The let: construct is just (more legible) notation for the primitive Gallina expression match term with pattern => term end.

The SSReflect destructuring assignment supports all the dependent match annotations; the full syntax is
\[
\text { term }+=\text { let: pattern } \text { as } \text { ident }^{\boldsymbol{?}} \text { in pattern } \stackrel{?}{?}:=\text { term } \text { return term } \boldsymbol{?} \text { in term }
\]
where the second pattern and the second term are types.
When the as and return keywords are both present, then ident is bound in both the second pattern and the second term; variables in the optional type pattern are bound only in the second term, and other variables in the first pattern are bound only in the third term, however.

\section*{Pattern conditional}

The following construct can be used for a refutable pattern matching, that is, pattern testing:
```

term += if term is pattern then term else term

```

Although this construct is not strictly ML (it does exist in variants such as the pattern calculus or the \(\rho\)-calculus), it turns out to be very convenient for writing functions on representations, because most such functions manipulate simple data types such as Peano integers, options, lists, or binary trees, and the pattern conditional above is almost always the right construct for analyzing such simple types. For example, the null and all list function(al)s can be defined as follows:

\section*{Example}
```

Variable d: Set.
d is declared
Definition null (s : list d) :=
if s is nil then true else false.
null is defined
Variable a : d -> bool.
a is declared
Fixpoint all (s : list d) : bool :=
if s is cons x s' then a x \&\& all s' else true.
all is defined
all is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)

```

The pattern conditional also provides a notation for destructuring assignment with a refutable pattern, adapted to the pure functional setting of Gallina, which lacks a Match_Failure exception.

Like let: above, the if...is construct is just (more legible) notation for the primitive Gallina expression match term with pattern \(=>\) term \(\left.\right|_{\text {_ }}=>\) term end.

Similarly, it will always be displayed as the expansion of this form in terms of primitive match expressions (where the default expression may be replicated).

Explicit pattern testing also largely subsumes the generalization of the if construct to all binary data types; compare if term is inl _ then term else termandif term then term else term.

The latter appears to be marginally shorter, but it is quite ambiguous, and indeed often requires an explicit annotation (term : \{_\} + \{_\}) to type check, which evens the character count.

Therefore, SSReflect restricts by default the condition of a plain if construct to the standard bool type; this avoids spurious type annotations.

\section*{Example}
```

Definition orb b1 b2 := if b1 then true else b2.
orb is defined

```

As pointed out in Section Compatibility issues, this restriction can be removed with the command:
```

Close Scope boolean_if_scope.

```

Like let: above, the if-is-then-else construct supports the dependent match annotations:
```

term += if term is pattern as ident in pattern return term then term else term

```

As in let: , the variable ident (and those in the type pattern) are bound in the second term; ident is also bound in the third term (but not in the fourth term), while the variables in the first pattern are bound only in the third term.

Another variant allows to treat the else case first:
```

term += if term isn't pattern then term else term

```

Note that pattern eventually binds variables in the third term and not in the second term.

\section*{Parametric polymorphism}

Unlike ML, polymorphism in core Gallina is explicit: the type parameters of polymorphic functions must be declared explicitly, and supplied at each point of use. However, Coq provides two features to suppress redundant parameters.
- Sections are used to provide (possibly implicit) parameters for a set of definitions.
- Implicit arguments declarations are used to tell Coq to use type inference to deduce some parameters from the context at each point of call.

The combination of these features provides a fairly good emulation of ML-style polymorphism, but unfortunately this emulation breaks down for higher-order programming. Implicit arguments are indeed not inferred at all points of use, but only at points of call, leading to expressions such as

\section*{Example}

Definition all_null (s : list \(T\) ) \(:=\) all (@null \(T\) ) \(s\).
all_null is defined

Unfortunately, such higher-order expressions are quite frequent in representation functions, especially those that use Coq's Structures to emulate Haskell typeclasses.

Therefore, SSReflect provides a variant of Coq's implicit argument declaration, which causes Coq to fill in some implicit parameters at each point of use; e.g., the above definition can be written:

\section*{Example}
```

Prenex Implicits null.
Definition all_null (s : list T) := all null s.
all_null is defined

```

Better yet, it can be omitted entirely, since all_null s isn't much of an improvement over all null s.
The syntax of the new declaration is
Command: Prenex Implicits ident \({ }_{i}{ }^{+}\)
This command checks that each ident is the name of a functional constant, whose implicit arguments are prenex, i.e., the first \(n_{i}>0\) arguments of ident \(_{i}\) are implicit; then it assigns Maximal Implicit status to these arguments.

As these prenex implicit arguments are ubiquitous and have often large display strings, it is strongly recommended to change the default display settings of Coq so that they are not printed (except after a Set Printing All command). All SSReflect library files thus start with the incantation
```

Set Implicit Arguments
Unset Strict Implicit.
Unset Printing Implicit Defensive.

```

\section*{Anonymous arguments}

When in a definition, the type of a certain argument is mandatory, but not its name, one usually uses "arrow" abstractions for prenex arguments, or the ( \(\quad\) : term) syntax for inner arguments. In SSReflect, the latter can be replaced by the open syntax of term or (equivalently) \& term, which are both syntactically equivalent to a ( \(\quad\) : term) expression. This feature almost behaves as the following extension of the binder syntax:
```

binder += \& term of term

```

Caveat: \& T and of T abbreviations have to appear at the end of a binder list. For instance, the usual two-constructor polymorphic type list, i.e., the one of the standard List library, can be defined by the following declaration:

\section*{Example}
```

Inductive list (A : Type) : Type := nil | cons of A \& list A.
list is defined
list_rect is defined
list_ind is defined
list_rec is defined
list_sind is defined

```

\section*{Wildcards}

The terms passed as arguments to SSReflect tactics can contain holes, materialized by wildcards _. Since SSReflect allows a more powerful form of type inference for these arguments, it enhances the possibilities of using such wildcards. These holes are in particular used as a convenient shorthand for abstractions, especially in local definitions or type expressions.

Wildcards may be interpreted as abstractions (see for example Sections Definitions and Structure), or their content can be inferred from the whole context of the goal (see for example Section Abbreviations).

\section*{Definitions}

\section*{Tactic: pose}

This tactic allows to add a defined constant to a proof context. SSReflect generalizes this tactic in several ways. In particular, the SSReflect pose (ssreflect) tactic supports open syntax: the body of the definition does not need surrounding parentheses. For instance:
pose \(t:=x+y\).
is a valid tactic expression.
The pose (ssreflect) tactic is also improved for the local definition of higher-order terms. Local definitions of functions can use the same syntax as global ones. For example, the tactic pose (ssreflect) supports parameters:
```

Example
Lemma test : True.
1 goal
=============================
True
pose f x y := x + y.
1 goal
f := fun x y : nat }=>x+y : nat -> nat -> na
==============================
True

```

The SSReflect pose (ssreflect) tactic also supports (co)fixpoints, by providing the local counterpart of the Fixpoint \(\mathrm{f}:=\)... and CoFixpoint \(\mathrm{f}:=\)... constructs. For instance, the following tactic:
```

pose fix f (x y : nat) {struct x} : nat :=

```
    if \(x\) is \(S\) then \(S\) (f \(p\) y) else 0 .
defines a local fixpoint \(f\), which mimics the standard plus operation on natural numbers.
Similarly, local cofixpoints can be defined by a tactic of the form:
```

pose cofix f (arg : T) := ... .

```

The possibility to include wildcards in the body of the definitions offers a smooth way of defining local abstractions. The type of "holes" is guessed by type inference, and the holes are abstracted. For instance the tactic:
```

pose f := _ + 1.

```
is shorthand for:
```

pose f n := n + 1.

```

When the local definition of a function involves both arguments and holes, hole abstractions appear first. For instance, the tactic:
```

pose f x := x + _ .

```
is shorthand for:
```

pose f n x := x + n.

```

The interaction of the pose (ssreflect) tactic with the interpretation of implicit arguments results in a powerful and concise syntax for local definitions involving dependent types. For instance, the tactic:
```

pose f x y := (x, y).

```
adds to the context the local definition:
```

pose f (Tx Ty : Type) (x : Tx) (y : Ty) := (x, y).

```

The generalization of wildcards makes the use of the pose (ssreflect) tactic resemble ML-like definitions of polymorphic functions.

\section*{Abbreviations}

Tactic: set ident \(:\) term \(^{?}:=\) occ_switch \({ }^{?}\) term
The SSReflect set tactic performs abbreviations; it introduces a defined constant for a subterm appearing in the goal and/or in the context.

SSReflect extends the set tactic by supplying:
- an open syntax, similarly to the pose (ssreflect) tactic;
- a more aggressive matching algorithm;
- an improved interpretation of wildcards, taking advantage of the matching algorithm;
- an improved occurrence selection mechanism allowing to abstract only selected occurrences of a term.

where:
- ident is a fresh identifier chosen by the user.
- term 1 is an optional type annotation. The type annotation term 1 can be given in open syntax (no surrounding parentheses). If no occ_switch (described hereafter) is present, it is also the case for the second term. On the other hand, in the presence of occ_switch, parentheses surrounding the second term are mandatory.
- In the occurrence switch \(O C C\) _Switch, if the first element of the list is a natural, this element should be a number, and not an Ltac variable. The empty list \{ \} is not interpreted as a valid occurrence switch; it is rather used as a flag to signal the intent of the user to clear the name following it (see Occurrence switches and redex switches and Introduction in the context).

The tactic:
```

Example
Lemma test x : f x + f x = f x.
1 goal
x : nat
==============================
f x + f x = f x
set t := f _.
1 goal
x : nat
t := f x : nat
===============================
t + t = t
set t := {2}(f _).
1 goal
x : nat
t := f x : nat
==============================
fx+t=f x

```

The type annotation may contain wildcards, which will be filled with appropriate values by the matching process.
The tactic first tries to find a subterm of the goal matching the second term (and its type), and stops at the first subterm it finds. Then the occurrences of this subterm selected by the optional occ_switch are replaced by ident and a definition ident \(:=\) term is added to the context. If no occ_switch is present, then all the occurrences are abstracted.

\section*{Matching}

The matching algorithm compares a pattern term with a subterm of the goal by comparing their heads and then pairwise unifying their arguments (modulo conversion). Head symbols match under the following conditions.
- If the head of term is a constant, then it should be syntactically equal to the head symbol of the subterm.
- If this head is a projection of a canonical structure, then canonical structure equations are used for the matching.
- If the head of term is not a constant, the subterm should have the same structure ( \(\lambda\) abstraction, let...in structure, etc.).
- If the head of term is a hole, the subterm should have at least as many arguments as term.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (x y z : nat) : x + y = z.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
==============================
x + y = z
set t := _ x.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
t := Nat.add x : nat -> nat
==============================
t y = z

```
- In the special case where term is of the form (let \(f:=t 0\) in f) \(t 1\)... \(t n\), then the pattern \(t e r m\) is treated as ( \(\quad\) t1 ... tn). For each subterm in the goal having the form (A u1 ... um) with \(m \geq n\), the matching algorithm successively tries to find the largest partial application (A u1 ... uj) convertible to the head to of term.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test : (let f x y z := x + y + z in f 1) 2 3 = 6.
1 goal
=============================
(let f := fun x y z : nat => x + y + z in f 1) 2 3=6
set t := (let g y z := S y + z in g) 2.
1 goal

```
\(t:=\) unkeyed (fun \(y z: n a t \Rightarrow S y+z) 2\) : nat \(->\) nat
\(=========================\)
t \(3=6\)

The notation unkeyed defined in ssreflect. \(v\) is a shorthand for the degenerate term let \(\mathrm{x}:=\ldots\) in x . Moreover:
- Multiple holes in term are treated as independent placeholders.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test x y z : x + y = z.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
=============================
x + y = z
set t := _ + _ .
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
t := x + y : nat
=============================
t = z

```
- The type of the subterm matched should fit the type (possibly casted by some type annotations) of the pattern term.
- The replacement of the subterm found by the instantiated pattern should not capture variables. In the example above, x is bound and should not be captured.
```

Example
Lemma test : forall x : nat, x + 1 = 0.
1 goal
==============================
forall x : nat, x + 1 = 0
Fail set t := _ + 1.
The command has indeed failed with message:
The pattern (_ + 1) did not match and has holes. Did you mean pose?

```
- Typeclass inference should fill in any residual hole, but matching should never assign a value to a global existential variable.

\section*{Occurrence selection}

SSReflect provides a generic syntax for the selection of occurrences by their position indexes. These occurrence switches are shared by all SSReflect tactics that require control on subterm selection like rewriting, generalization, ...

An occurrence switch can be:
- A list of natural numbers \(\{+\mathrm{n} 1 \ldots \mathrm{~nm}\}\) of occurrences affected by the tactic.
```

Example
Lemma test : f 2 + f 8 = f 2 + f 2.
1 goal
==============================
f 2 + f 8 = f 2 + f 2
set x := {+1 3}(f 2).
1 goal
x := f 2 : nat
==============================
x + f 8 = f 2 + x

```

Notice that some occurrences of a given term may be hidden to the user, for example because of a notation. Setting the Printing All flag causes these hidden occurrences to be shown when the term is displayed. This setting should be used to find the correct coding of the occurrences to be selected \({ }^{34}\).

\section*{Example}
```

Notation "a < b":= (le (S a) b).
Lemma test x y : x < y -> S x < S y.
1 goal
x, y : nat
==============================
x<y -> S x < S y
set t := S x.
1 goal
x, y : nat
t := S x : nat
==============================
t<= y -> t < S y

```
- A list of natural numbers \(\{\mathrm{n} 1 \ldots \mathrm{~nm}\}\). This is equivalent to the previous \(\{+\mathrm{n} 1 \ldots \mathrm{~nm}\}\), but the list should start with a number, and not with an Ltac variable.
- A list \(\{-\mathrm{n} 1 \quad . . . \mathrm{nm}\}\) of occurrences not to be affected by the tactic.

\section*{Example}
\({ }^{34}\) Unfortunately, even after a call to the Set Printing All command, some occurrences are still not displayed to the user, essentially the ones possibly hidden in the predicate of a dependent match structure.
```

Lemma test: f 2 + f 8 = f 2 + f 2.
1 goal
=============================
f 2 + f 8 = f 2 + f 2
set }x:={-2}(f 2)
1 goal
x := f 2 : nat
==============================
x + f 8 = f 2 + x

```

Note that, in this goal, it behaves like set \(x:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3\end{array}\right\}\left(\begin{array}{ll}f & 2\end{array}\right)\).
- In particular, the switch \(\{+\}\) selects all the occurrences. This switch is useful to turn off the default behavior of a tactic that automatically clears some assumptions (see Section Discharge for instance).
- The switch \(\{-\}\) imposes that no occurrences of the term should be affected by the tactic. The tactic: set \(\mathrm{x}:=\) \(\{-\}(\mathrm{f} 2\) ). leaves the goal unchanged and adds the definition \(\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{f} 2\) to the context. This kind of tactic may be used to take advantage of the power of the matching algorithm in a local definition, instead of copying large terms by hand.

It is important to remember that matching precedes occurrence selection.
```

Example
Lemma test x y z : x + y = x + y + z.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
==============================
x + y = x + y + z
set a := {2}(_ + _).
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
a := x + y : nat
==============================
x + y = a + z

```

Hence, in the following goal, the same tactic fails since there is only one occurrence of the selected term.
```

Example
Lemma test x y z : (x + y) + (z + z) = z + z.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
==============================
x + y + (z + z) = z + z
Fail set a := {2} (_ + _).

```

The command has indeed failed with message:
```

Only 1 < 2 occurrence of (x + y + (z + z))

```

\section*{Basic localization}

It is possible to define an abbreviation for a term appearing in the context of a goal thanks to the in tactical.
Variant: set ident \(:=\) term in ident \({ }^{+}\)
This variant of set introduces a defined constant called \(i\) dent in the context, and folds it in the context entries mentioned on the right hand side of in. The body of ident is the first subterm matching these context entries (taken in the given order).
```

Example
Lemma test x t (Hx : x = 3) : x + t = 4.
1 goal
x, t : nat
Hx : x = 3
=============================
x + t = 4
set z := 3 in Hx.
1 goal
x, t : nat
z := 3 : nat
Hx : x = z
=============================
x+t=4

```

Variant: set ident \(:=\) term in ident \({ }^{\boldsymbol{+}}\) *
This variant matches term and then folds ident similarly in all the given context entries but also folds ident in the goal.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test x t (Hx: x = 3) : x + t = 4.
1 goal
x, t : nat
Hx : x = 3
=============================
x + t = 4
set z := 3 in Hx *.
1 goal
x, t : nat

```
```

z := 3 : nat
Hx : X = z
===========================
x + t = S z

```

Indeed, remember that 4 is just a notation for (S 3).

The use of the in tactical is not limited to the localization of abbreviations: for a complete description of the in tactical, see Section Bookkeeping and Localization.

\section*{Basic tactics}

A sizable fraction of proof scripts consists of steps that do not "prove" anything new, but instead perform menial bookkeeping tasks such as selecting the names of constants and assumptions or splitting conjuncts. Although they are logically trivial, bookkeeping steps are extremely important because they define the structure of the data-flow of a proof script. This is especially true for reflection-based proofs, which often involve large numbers of constants and assumptions. Good bookkeeping consists in always explicitly declaring (i.e., naming) all new constants and assumptions in the script, and systematically pruning irrelevant constants and assumptions in the context. This is essential in the context of an interactive development environment (IDE), because it facilitates navigating the proof, allowing to instantly "jump back" to the point at which a questionable assumption was added, and to find relevant assumptions by browsing the pruned context. While novice or casual Coq users may find the automatic name selection feature convenient, the usage of such a feature severely undermines the readability and maintainability of proof scripts, much like automatic variable declaration in programming languages. The SSReflect tactics are therefore designed to support precise bookkeeping and to eliminate name generation heuristics. The bookkeeping features of SSReflect are implemented as tacticals (or pseudo-tacticals), shared across most SSReflect tactics, and thus form the foundation of the SSReflect proof language.

\section*{Bookkeeping}

During the course of a proof, Coq always presents the user with a sequent whose general form is:
```

Ci : Ti
dj := ej : Tj
...
Fk : Pk
...
=================
forall (xl : Tl) ...,
let ym := bm in ... in
Pn -> ... -> C

```

The goal to be proved appears below the double line; above the line is the context of the sequent, a set of declarations of constants ci, defined constants dj , and facts Fk that can be used to prove the goal (usually, Ti, Tj : Type and Pk : Prop). The various kinds of declarations can come in any order. The top part of the context consists of declarations produced by the Section commands Variable, Let, and Hypothesis. This section context is never affected by the SSReflect tactics: they only operate on the lower part - the proof context. As in the figure above, the goal often decomposes into a series of (universally) quantified variables (xl : Tl), local definitions let ym := bm in, and assumptions \(\mathrm{Pn}->\), and a conclusion C (as in the context, variables, definitions, and assumptions can appear in any order). The conclusion is what actually needs to be proved - the rest of the goal can be seen as a part of the proof context that happens to be "below the line".

However, although they are logically equivalent, there are fundamental differences between constants and facts, on the one hand, and variables and assumptions, on the other. Constants and facts are unordered, but named explicitly in the
proof text; variables and assumptions are ordered, but unnamed: the display names of variables may change at any time because of \(\alpha\)-conversion.

Similarly, basic deductive steps such as apply can only operate on the goal because the Gallina terms that control their action (e.g., the type of the lemma used by apply) only provide unnamed bound variables. \({ }^{35}\) Since the proof script can only refer directly to the context, it must constantly shift declarations from the goal to the context and conversely in between deductive steps.

In SSReflect, these moves are performed by two tacticals, \(=>\) and :, so that the bookkeeping required by a deductive step can be directly associated with that step, and that tactics in an SSReflect script correspond to actual logical steps in the proof rather than merely shuffle facts. Still, some isolated bookkeeping is unavoidable, such as naming variables and assumptions at the beginning of a proof. SSReflect provides a specific move tactic for this purpose.

Now, move does essentially nothing: it is mostly a placeholder for \(=>\) and :. The \(=>\) tactical moves variables, local definitions, and assumptions to the context, while the : tactical moves facts and constants to the goal.

\section*{Example}

For example, the proof of \({ }^{36}\)
```

Lemma subnK : forall m n, n <= m -> m - n + n = m.
1 goal
=============================
forall m n : nat, n <= m -> m - n + n = m

```
might start with
```

move=> m n le_n_m.
1 goal
m, n : nat
le_n_m : n <= m
=============================
m-n}+n=

```
where move does nothing, but \(=>m n\) le_m_n changes the variables and assumption of the goal in the constants \(m\) n : nat and the fact le_n_m : \(\mathrm{n}<=\mathrm{m}\), thus exposing the conclusion \(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}\).

The : tactical is the converse of \(=>\); indeed it removes facts and constants from the context by turning them into variables and assumptions.
```

move: m le_n_m.
goal
n : nat
=============================
forall m : nat, n <= m -> m - n + n = m

```
turns back \(m\) and \(l e \_m \_n\) into a variable and an assumption, removing them from the proof context, and changing the goal to forall \(m, n<=m->m-n+n=m\), which can be proved by induction on \(n\) using elim: \(n\).

Because they are tacticals, : and => can be combined, as in

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{35}\) Thus scripts that depend on bound variable names, e.g., via intros or with, are inherently fragile.
\({ }^{36}\) The name subnK reads as "right cancellation rule for nat subtraction".
}
move: \(m\) le_n_m \(\Rightarrow\) p le_n_p.
which simultaneously renames \(m\) and \(l e \_m \_n\) into \(p\) and \(l e \_n \_p\), respectively, by first turning them into unnamed variables, then turning these variables back into constants and facts.

Furthermore, SSReflect redefines the basic Coq tactics case, elim, and apply so that they can take better advantage of : and \(=>\). In these SSReflect variants, these tactics operate on the first variable or constant of the goal and they do not use or change the proof context. The : tactical is used to operate on an element in the context.

\section*{Example}

For instance, the proof of subnK could continue with elim: n. Instead of elim n (note, no colon), this has the advantage of removing \(n\) from the context. Better yet, this elim can be combined with previous move and with the branching version of the \(=>\) tactical (described in Introduction in the context), to encapsulate the inductive step in a single command:
```

Lemma subnK : forall m n, n <= m -> m - n + n = m.
1 goal
=============================
forall m n : nat, n <= m -> m - n + n = m
move=> m n le_n_m.
1 goal
m, n : nat
le_n_m : n <= m
=============================
m-n + n =m
elim: n m le_n_m => [|n IHn] m => [_ | lt_n_m].
2 goals
m : nat
============================
m-0+0=m
goal 2 is:
m-Sn}+Sn=

```
which breaks down the proof into two subgoals, the second one having in its context lt_n_m : S \(n<=m\) and IHn
: forall \(m, n<=m \rightarrow m-n+n=m\).

The : and => tacticals can be explained very simply if one views the goal as a stack of variables and assumptions piled on a conclusion:
- tactic : a b c pushes the context constants \(a, b, c\) as goal variables before performing the tactic;
- tactic \(=>\mathrm{a} b \mathrm{c}\) pops the top three goal variables as context constants \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\), after the tactic has been performed.
These pushes and pops do not need to balance out as in the examples above; so move: m le_n_m => p would rename \(m\) into \(p\), but leave an extra assumption \(n<=p\) in the goal.

Basic tactics like apply and elim can also be used without the ' \(:\) ' tactical: for example, we can directly start a proof of subnK by induction on the top variable \(m\) with
```

elim=> [|m IHm] n le_n.

```

The general form of the localization tactical in is also best explained in terms of the goal stack:
```

tactic in a H1 H2 *.

```
is basically equivalent to
```

move: a H1 H2; tactic => a H1 H2.

```
with two differences: the in tactical will preserve the body of a, if a is a defined constant, and if the * is omitted, it will use a temporary abbreviation to hide the statement of the goal from tactic.

The general form of the in tactical can be used directly with the move, case and elim tactics, so that one can write
```

elim: n => [| n IHn] in m le_n_m *.

```
instead of
```

elim: n m le_n_m => [|n IHn] m le_n_m.

```

This is quite useful for inductive proofs that involve many facts.
See Section Localization for the general syntax and presentation of the in tactical.

\section*{The defective tactics}

In this section, we briefly present the three basic tactics performing context manipulations and the main backward chaining tool.

\section*{The move tactic.}

\section*{Tactic: move}

This tactic, in its defective form, behaves like the hnf tactic.
```

Example
Require Import ssreflect.
Goal not False.
1 goal
============================
~ False
move.
goal
=============================
False -> False

```

More precisely, the move tactic inspects the goal and does nothing (idtac) if an introduction step is possible, i.e., if the goal is a product or a let ... in, and performs hnf otherwise.

Of course this tactic is most often used in combination with the bookkeeping tacticals (see Sections Introduction in the context and Discharge). These combinations mostly subsume the intros, generalize, revert, rename, clear and pattern tactics.

\section*{The case tactic}

\section*{Tactic: case}

This tactic performs primitive case analysis on (co)inductive types; specifically, it destructs the top variable or assumption of the goal, exposing its constructor(s) and its arguments, as well as setting the value of its type family indices if it belongs to a type family (see Section Type families).

The SSReflect case tactic has a special behavior on equalities. If the top assumption of the goal is an equality, the case tactic "destructs" it as a set of equalities between the constructor arguments of its left and right hand sides, as per the tactic injection. For example, case changes the goal:
```

(x, y) = (1, 2) -> G.

```
into: \(x=1->y=2->G\).

The case can generate the following warning:
Warning: SSReflect: cannot obtain new equations out of ...
The tactic was run on an equation that cannot generate simpler equations, for example \(\mathrm{x}=1\).
The warning can be silenced or made fatal by using the Warnings option and the spurious-ssr-injection key.

Finally, the case tactic of SSReflect performs False elimination, even if no branch is generated by this case operation. Hence the tactic case on a goal of the form False \(->G\) will succeed and prove the goal.

\section*{The elim tactic}

\section*{Tactic: elim}

This tactic performs inductive elimination on inductive types. In its defective form, the tactic performs inductive elimination on a goal whose top assumption has an inductive type.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test m : forall n : nat, m <= n.
1 goal
m : nat
============================
forall n : nat, m <= n
elim.
goals
m : nat
===============================
m<= 0

```
```

    forall n : nat, m <= n -> m <= S n
    ```

\section*{The apply tactic}

Tactic: apply term?
This is the main backward chaining tactic of the proof system. It takes as argument any term and applies it to the goal. Assumptions in the type of term that don't directly match the goal may generate one or more subgoals.

In its defective form, this tactic is a synonym for:
intro top; first [refine top | refine (top _) | refine (top _ _) | ...]; clear top.
where top is a fresh name, and the sequence of refine tactics tries to catch the appropriate number of wildcards to be inserted. Note that this use of the refine tactic implies that the tactic tries to match the goal up to expansion of constants and evaluation of subterms.
\(a p p l y\) has a special behavior on goals containing existential metavariables of sort Prop.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test : forall y, 1< y -> y < 2 -> exists x : { n | n < 3 }, 0< proj1_sig x.
1 goal
forall y : nat,
1<y -> y< 2 -> exists x : {n : nat | n < 3}, 0 < proj1_sig x
move=> y y_gt1 y_lt2; apply: (ex_intro _ (exist _ y _)).
2 goals
y : nat
y_gt1 : 1 < y
y_lt2 : y < 2
=============================
y<3
goal 2 is:
forall Hyp0 : y < 3, 0 < proj1_sig (exist (fun n : nat => n < 3) y Hyp0)
by apply: lt_trans y_lt2 _.
1 goal
y : nat
y_gt1 : 1 < y
y_lt2 : y < 2
=============================
forall Hyp0 : y < 3, 0 < proj1_sig (exist (fun n : nat => n < 3) y Hyp0)
by move=> y_lt3; apply: lt_trans y_gt1.
No more goals.

```

Note that the last _ of the tactic apply: (ex_intro _ (exist _ y _) ) represents a proof that \(y<3\). Instead of generating the goal:
\(0<\) proj1_sig (exist (fun \(n\) : nat \(=>n<3\) ) y ?Goal).
the system tries to prove \(\mathrm{y}<3\) calling the trivial tactic. If it succeeds, let's say because the context contains H : \(\mathrm{y}<\) 3 , then the system generates the following goal:
```

0 proj1_sig (exist (fun n => n < 3) y H).

```

Otherwise the missing proof is considered to be irrelevant, and is thus discharged, generating the two goals shown above. Last, the user can replace the trivial tactic by defining an Ltac expression named ssraut oprop.

\section*{Discharge}

The general syntax of the discharging tactical : is:
Tactic: tactic ident \({ }^{\text {? }}:\) d_item \(^{+}\)clear_switch \(^{\text {? }}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { d_item }::=\text { occ_switch } \mid \text { clear_switch }{ }^{?} \text { term } \\
& \text { clear_switch }::=\left\{\text { ident }^{+}\right\}
\end{aligned}
\]
with the following requirements.
- tactic must be one of the four basic tactics described in The defective tactics, i.e., move, case, elim or apply, the exact tactic (section Terminators), the congr tactic (Section Congruence), or the application of the view tactical "/' (Section Interpreting assumptions) to one of move, case, or elim.
- The optional \(i\) dent specifies equation generation (Section Generation of equations), and is only allowed if \(t\) act ic is move, case or elim, or the application of the view tactical ' \(/\) ' (Section Interpreting assumptions) to case or elim.
- An occ_switch selects occurrences of term, as in Abbreviations; occ_switch is not allowed if tactic is apply or exact.
- A clear item clear_switch specifies facts and constants to be deleted from the proof context (as per the clear tactic).

The : tactical first discharges all the d_item, right to left, and then performs the tactic, i.e., for each d_item, starting with the last one :
1. The SSReflect matching algorithm described in Section Abbreviations is used to find occurrences of term in the goal, after filling any holes '_' in the term; however if \(t a c t i c\) is apply or exact, a different matching algorithm, described below, is used \({ }^{37}\).
2. These occurrences are replaced by a new variable; in particular, if the term is a fact, this adds an assumption to the goal.
3. If the term is exactly the name of a constant or fact in the proof context, it is deleted from the context, unless there is an occ_switch.

Finally, the tactic is performed just after the first d_item has been generalized — that is, between steps 2 and 3 . The names listed in the final clear_switch(if it is present) are cleared first, before d_item n is discharged.

Switches affect the discharging of a \(d\) _item as follows.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{37}\) Also, a slightly different variant may be used for the first d_item of case and elim; see Section Type families.
}
- An occ_switch restricts generalization (step 2) to a specific subset of the occurrences of the term, as per Section Abbreviations, and prevents clearing (step 3).
- All the names specified by a clear_switch are deleted from the context in step 3, possibly in addition to the term.

For example, the tactic:
move: \(n\{2\} n\left(r e f l \_\right.\)equal \(n\) ).
- first generalizes (refl_equal n : \(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}\) );
- then generalizes the second occurrence of \(n\).
- finally generalizes all the other occurrences of \(n\), and clears \(n\) from the proof context (assuming \(n\) is a proof constant).

Therefore, this tactic changes any goal G into
forall n nO : nat, \(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{nO} \rightarrow \mathrm{G}\).
where the name n 0 is picked by the Coq display function, and assuming n appeared only in G .
Finally, note that a discharge operation generalizes defined constants as variables, and not as local definitions. To override this behavior, prefix the name of the local definition with a @, like in move: @n.

This is in contrast with the behavior of the in tactical (see Section Localization), which preserves local definitions by default.

\section*{Clear rules}

The clear step will fail if the term is a proof constant that appears in other facts; in that case, either the facts should be cleared explicitly with a clear_switch, or the clear step should be disabled. The latter can be done by adding an occ_switch or simply by putting parentheses around term: both move: (n). and move: \(\{+\} n\). generalize \(n\) without clearing n from the proof context.

The clear step will also fail if the clear_switch contains a ident that is not in the proof context. Note that SSReflect never clears a section constant.

If the tactic is move or case and an equation ident is given, then clearing (step 3) for d_item is suppressed (see Section Generation of equations).

Intro patterns (see Section Introduction in the context) and the rewrite tactic (see Section Rewriting) let one place a clear_switch in the middle of other items (namely identifiers, views and rewrite rules). This can trigger the addition of proof context items to the ones being explicitly cleared, and in turn this can result in clear errors (e.g., if the context item automatically added occurs in the goal). The relevant sections describe ways to avoid the unintended clearing of context items.

\section*{Matching for apply and exact}

The matching algorithm for \(d\) _item of the SSReflect apply and exact tactics exploits the type of the first \(d\) _item to interpret wildcards in the other \(d\) _item and to determine which occurrences of these should be generalized. Therefore, occur switches are not needed for apply and exact.

Indeed, the SSReflect tactic apply: \(H\) x is equivalent to refine ( \(\left.@_{H} \quad \ldots \ldots x\right)\); clear \(H x\), with an appropriate number of wildcards between H and x .

Note that this means that matching for apply and exact has much more context to interpret wildcards; in particular, it can accommodate the _ d_item, which would always be rejected after move : .
```

Example
Lemma test (Hfg : forall $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{f} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{g} \mathrm{x}$ ) $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{b}: \mathrm{f} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g} \mathrm{b}$.
1 goal
Hfg : forall $x$ : nat, $f x=g x$
$a, b$ : nat
$===========================$
$\mathrm{f} a=\mathrm{g} b$
apply: trans_equal (Hfg _) _.
1 goal
Hfg : forall $x$ : nat, $f x=g x$
a, b : nat
$===========================$
$g \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{g} \mathrm{b}$

```

This tactic is equivalent (see Section Bookkeeping) to: refine (trans_equal (Hfg _) _) . and this is a common idiom for applying transitivity on the left hand side of an equation.

\section*{The abstract tactic}

Tactic: abstract: d_item \({ }^{+}\)
This tactic assigns an abstract constant previously introduced with the [ : ident ] intro pattern (see Section Introduction in the context).

In a goal like the following:
```

m : nat
abs : <hidden>
n : nat
==============
m<5 + n

```

The tactic abstract: abs \(n\) first generalizes the goal with respect to \(n\) (that is not visible to the abstract constant abs ) and then assigns abs. The resulting goal is:
```

m : nat
n : nat
=============
m<5+n

```

Once this subgoal is closed, all other goals having abs in their context see the type assigned to abs. In this case:
```

m : nat
abs : forall n, m< 5 + n
=============

```

For a more detailed example, the reader should refer to Section Structure.

\section*{Introduction in the context}

The application of a tactic to a given goal can generate (quantified) variables, assumptions, or definitions, which the user may want to introduce as new facts, constants or defined constants, respectively. If the tactic splits the goal into several subgoals, each of them may require the introduction of different constants and facts. Furthermore it is very common to immediately decompose or rewrite with an assumption instead of adding it to the context, as the goal can often be simplified and even proved after this.

All these operations are performed by the introduction tactical \(=>\), whose general syntax is


The \(=>\) tactical first executes \(t a c t i c\), then the \(i_{\_} i t e m s\), left to right. An \(s_{-} i t e m\) specifies a simplification operation; a clear_switch specifies context pruning as in Discharge. The i_patterns can be seen as a variant of intro patterns (see intros); each performs an introduction operation, i.e., pops some variables or assumptions from the goal.

\section*{Simplification items}

An s_item can simplify the set of subgoals or the subgoals themselves.
- / / removes all the "trivial" subgoals that can be resolved by the SSReflect tactic done described in Terminators, i.e., it executes try done.
- /= simplifies the goal by performing partial evaluation, as per the tactic simp \({ }^{38}\).
- //= combines both kinds of simplification; it is equivalent to /=//, i.e., simpl; try done.

When an s_itemimmediately precedes a clear_switch, then the clear_switch is executed after the s_item, e.g., \{IHn \} / / will solve some subgoals, possibly using the fact IHn, and will erase IHn from the context of the remaining subgoals.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{38}\) Except that \(/=\) does not expand the local definitions created by the SSReflect in tactical.
}

\section*{Views}

The first entry in the i_view grammar rule, /term, represents a view (see Section Views and reflection). It interprets the top of the stack with the view term. It is equivalent to move/term.

A clear_switch that immediately precedes an i_view is complemented with the name of the view if an only if the \(i^{\prime} v i e w\) is a simple proof context entry \({ }^{43}\). E.g., \(\} / v\) is equivalent to \(/ v\{v\}\). This behavior can be avoided by separating the clear_switch from the i_view with the - intro pattern or by putting parentheses around the view.

A clear_switch that immediately precedes an \(i \_v i e w\) is executed after the view application.
If the next \(i \_i t e m\) is a view, then the view is applied to the assumption in top position once all the previous \(i_{\text {_ }} i t e m\) have been performed.

The second entry in the i_view grammar rule, /ltac: (tactic), executes tactic. Notations can be used to name tactics, for example

Notation "'myop'" := (ltac:(my ltac code)) : ssripat_scope.
lets one write just /myop in the intro pattern. Note the scope annotation: views are interpreted opening the ssripat scope. We provide the following ltac views: / [dup] to duplicate the top of the stack, / [swap] to swap the two first elements and / [apply] to apply the top of the stack to the next.

\section*{Intro patterns}

SSReflect supports the following i_patterns.
ident pops the top variable, assumption, or local definition into a new constant, fact, or defined constant ident, respectively. Note that defined constants cannot be introduced when \(\delta\)-expansion is required to expose the top variable or assumption. A clear_switch (even an empty one) immediately preceding an ident is complemented with that \(i\) dent if and only if the identifier is a simple proof context entry \({ }^{43}\). As a consequence, by prefixing the ident with \{ \} one can replace a context entry. This behavior can be avoided by separating the clear_switch from the ident with the - intro pattern.
> pops every variable occurring in the rest of the stack. Type class instances are popped even if they don't occur in the rest of the stack. The tactic move \(=\gg\) is equivalent to move \(=>\) ? ? on a goal such as:
forall \(x y, x<y->G\)
A typical use if move=>> \(H\) to name \(H\) the first assumption, in the example above \(\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}\).
? pops the top variable into an anonymous constant or fact, whose name is picked by the tactic interpreter. SSReflect only generates names that cannot appear later in the user script \({ }^{39}\).
_ pops the top variable into an anonymous constant that will be deleted from the proof context of all the subgoals produced by the \(=>\) tactical. They should thus never be displayed, except in an error message if the constant is still actually used in the goal or context after the last i_item has been executed (s_item can erase goals or terms where the constant appears).
* pops all the remaining apparent variables/assumptions as anonymous constants/facts. Unlike ? and move, the * i_item does not expand definitions in the goal to expose quantifiers, so it may be useful to repeat a move=> * tactic, e.g., on the goal:
forall a b : bool, \(\mathrm{a}<>\mathrm{b}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{43}\) A simple proof context entry is a naked identifier (i.e., not between parentheses) designating a context entry that is not a section variable.
\({ }^{39}\) SSReflect reserves all identifiers of the form "_x_", which is used for such generated names.
}
a first move=> * adds only _a_ : bool and _b_ : bool to the context; it takes a second move=> * to add _Hyp_ : _a_ = _b_.
+ temporarily introduces the top variable. It is discharged at the end of the intro pattern. For example move=> +y on a goal:
forall \(x\) y, \(P\)
is equivalent to move=> _x_ y; move: _x_ that results in the goal:
forall \(x, P\)
Occ_switch ? \({ }^{\text {? }}\) (resp. OCC_Switch <-) pops the top assumption (which should be a rewritable proposition) into an anonymous fact, rewrites (resp. rewrites right to left) the goal with this fact (using the SSReflect rewrite tactic described in Section Rewriting, and honoring the optional occurrence selector), and finally deletes the anonymous fact from the context.
[ i_item*| ... | i_item * ] when it is the very first i_pattern after tactic \(=>\) tactical and the tactic is not a move, is a branching i_pattern. It executes the sequence \(i \_i t e m_{i}\) on the \(i\)-th subgoal produced by the tactic. The execution of the tactic should thus generate exactly m subgoals, unless the [...] i_pattern comes after an initial / / or / / = s_item that closes some of the goals produced by the tactic, in which case exactly m subgoals should remain after the s_item, or we have the trivial branching i_pattern [], which always does nothing, regardless of the number of remaining subgoals.
[ i_item* | ... | i_item*] when it is not the first i_pattern or when the tactic is a move, is a destructing i_pattern. It starts by destructing the top variable, using the SSReflect case tactic described in The defective tactics. It then behaves as the corresponding branching i_pattern, executing the sequence \(i \_i t e m_{i}\) in the i-th subgoal generated by the case analysis; unless we have the trivial destructing i_pattern [], the latter should generate exactly m subgoals, i.e., the top variable should have an inductive type with exactly m constructors \({ }^{40}\). While it is good style to use the \(i_{\_}\)item \(\mathbf{i}\) * to pop the variables and assumptions corresponding to each constructor, this is not enforced by SSReflect.
- does nothing, but counts as an intro pattern. It can also be used to force the interpretation of [ i_item*| ... | i_item*] as a case analysis like in move \(=>-\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{H} 1 & \mathrm{H} 2\end{array}\right]\). It can also be used to indicate explicitly the link between a view and a name like in move \(=>/ e q P-H 1\). Last, it can serve as a separator between views. Section Views and reflection \({ }^{42}\) explains in which respect the tactic move \(=>/ \mathrm{v} 1 / \mathrm{v} 2\) differs from the tactic move=> /v1-/v2.
[ : ident ...] introduces in the context an abstract constant for each ident. Its type has to be fixed later on by using the abstract tactic. Before then the type displayed is <hidden>.

Note that SSReflect does not support the syntax (ipat, ..., ipat) for destructing intro patterns.

\section*{Clear switch}

Clears are deferred until the end of the intro pattern.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test x y : Nat.leb 0 x = true }->\mathrm{ (Nat.leb 0 x) \&\& (Nat.leb y 2) = true.

```
    1 goal
        \(x, y\) : nat
(continues on next page)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{40}\) More precisely, it should have a quantified inductive type with a assumptions and \(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{a}\) constructors.
\({ }^{42}\) The current state of the proof shall be displayed by the Show Proof command of Coq proof mode.
}
```

    =============================
    Nat.leb 0 x = true -> Nat.leb 0 x && Nat.leb y 2 = true
    move => {x} ->.
1 goal
y : nat
=============================
true \&\& Nat.leb y 2 = true

```

If the cleared names are reused in the same intro pattern, a renaming is performed behind the scenes.
Facts mentioned in a clear switch must be valid names in the proof context (excluding the section context).

\section*{Branching and destructuring}

The rules for interpreting branching and destructing i_pattern are motivated by the fact that it would be pointless to have a branching pattern if the tactic is a move, and in most of the remaining cases the tactic is case or elim, which implies destructuring. The rules above imply that:
- move=> [a b].
- case=> [a b].
- case=> a b.
are all equivalent, so which one to use is a matter of style; move should be used for casual decomposition, such as splitting a pair, and case should be used for actual decompositions, in particular for type families (see Type families) and proof by contradiction.
The trivial branching i_pattern can be used to force the branching interpretation, e.g.:
- case=> [] [a b] c.
- move=> [ [a b] c].
- case; case=> a b c.
are all equivalent.

\section*{Block introduction}

SSReflect supports the following i_blocks.
[^ ident ] block destructing i_pattern. It performs a case analysis on the top variable and introduces, in one go, all the variables coming from the case analysis. The names of these variables are obtained by taking the names used in the inductive type declaration and prefixing them with ident. If the intro pattern immediately follows a call to elim with a custom eliminator (see Interpreting eliminations), then the names are taken from the ones used in the type of the eliminator.

\section*{Example}

Record \(r:=\{\mathrm{a}:\) nat; \(\mathrm{b}:=(\mathrm{a}, 3) ; \quad\) : bool; \(\}\).
\(r\) is defined
a is defined
```

    b is defined
    Lemma test : r -> True.
    1 goal
    =============================
        r -> True
    Proof. move => [^ x ].
1 goal
xa : nat
xb := (xa, 3) : nat * nat
_x?__ : bool
==============================
True

```
[^~ ident ] block destructing using ident as a suffix.
[^~ natural ] block destructing using natural as a suffix.
Only a s_item is allowed between the elimination tactic and the block destructing.

\section*{Generation of equations}

The generation of named equations option stores the definition of a new constant as an equation. The tactic:
```

move En: (size l) => n.

```
where \(l\) is a list, replaces size \(l\) by \(n\) in the goal and adds the fact En : size \(l=n\) to the context. This is quite different from:
```

pose n := (size l).

```
which generates a definition \(n:=\) (size l). It is not possible to generalize or rewrite such a definition; on the other hand, it is automatically expanded during computation, whereas expanding the equation En requires explicit rewriting.

The use of this equation name generation option with a case or an elim tactic changes the status of the first \(i \_i t e m\), in order to deal with the possible parameters of the constants introduced.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (a b :nat) : a <> b.
1 goal
a, b : nat
=============================
a <> b
case E : a => [|n].
2 goals
a, b : nat

```
```

    E : a = 0
    =============================
    0<> b
    goal 2 is:
S n <> b

```

If the user does not provide a branching \(i_{\text {_ }} i t e m\) as first \(i_{-} i t e m\), or if the \(i \_i t e m\) does not provide enough names for the arguments of a constructor, then the constants generated are introduced under fresh SSReflect names.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (a b :nat) : a <> b.
1 goal
a, b : nat
=============================
a <> b
case E : a => H.
2 goals
a, b : nat
E : a = 0
H:0 = b
=============================
False
goal 2 is:
False
Show 2.
goal 2 is:
a, b, _n_ : nat
E : a = S _n_
H : S _n_ = b
==============================
False

```

Combining the generation of named equations mechanism with the case tactic strengthens the power of a case analysis. On the other hand, when combined with the elim tactic, this feature is mostly useful for debug purposes, to trace the values of decomposed parameters and pinpoint failing branches.

\section*{Type families}

When the top assumption of a goal has an inductive type, two specific operations are possible: the case analysis performed by the case tactic, and the application of an induction principle, performed by the elimtactic. When this top assumption has an inductive type, which is moreover an instance of a type family, Coq may need help from the user to specify which occurrences of the parameters of the type should be substituted.

Variant: case:


A specific / switch indicates the type family parameters of the type of a d_item immediately following this / switch. The \(d\) _item on the right side of the / switch are discharged as described in Section Discharge. The case analysis or elimination will be done on the type of the top assumption after these discharge operations.
Every d_item preceding the / is interpreted as an argument of this type, which should be an instance of an inductive type family. These terms are not actually generalized, but rather selected for substitution. Occurrence switches can be used to restrict the substitution. If a term is left completely implicit (e.g., writing just _), then a pattern is inferred by looking at the type of the top assumption. This allows for the compact syntax:
case: \(\{2\}\) _ / eqP.
where _is interpreted as (_ == _), since eqP \(T\) a b : reflect ( \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\) ) ( \(\mathrm{a}==\mathrm{b}\) ) and reflect is a type family with one index.

Moreover, if the d_item list is too short, it is padded with an initial sequence of _of the right length.

\section*{Example}

Here is a small example on lists. We define first a function that adds an element at the end of a given list.
```

Require Import List.
Section LastCases.
Variable A : Type.
A is declared
Implicit Type l : list A.
Fixpoint add_last a l : list A :=
match l with
| nil => a :: nil
| hd :: tl => hd :: (add_last a tl) end.
add_last is defined
add_last is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)

```

Then we define an inductive predicate for case analysis on lists according to their last element:
```

Inductive last_spec : list A -> Type :=
| LastSeq0 : last_spec nil
| LastAdd s x : last_spec (add_last x s).
last_spec is defined
last_spec_rect is defined
last_spec_ind is defined
last_spec_rec is defined
last_spec_sind is defined
Theorem lastP : forall l : list A, last_spec l.
1 goal

```

\section*{A : Type}
==============================
forall l, last_spec l
Admitted.
lastP is declared

We are now ready to use lastP in conjunction with case.
```

Lemma test l : (length l) * 2 = length (l ++ l).
1 goal
A : Type
l : list A
=============================
length l * 2 = length (l ++ l)
case: (lastP l).
2 goals
A : Type
l : list A
=============================
length nil * 2 = length (nil ++ nil)
goal 2 is:
forall (s : list A) (x : A),
length (add_last x s) * 2 = length (add_last x s ++ add_last x s)

```

Applied to the same goal, the tactic case: l / (lastP l) generates the same subgoals, but l has been cleared from both contexts:
```

case: l / (lastP l).
2 goals
A : Type
=============================
length nil * 2 = length (nil ++ nil)
goal 2 is:
forall (s : list A) (x : A),
length (add_last x s) * 2 = length (add_last x s ++ add_last x s)

```

Again applied to the same goal:
```

case: {1 3}l / (lastP l).
2 goals
A : Type
l : list A
=============================
length nil * 2 = length (l ++ nil)
goal 2 is:
forall (s : list A) (x : A),
length (add_last x s) * 2 = length (l ++ add_last x s)

```

Note that the selected occurrences on the left of the / switch have been substituted with 1 instead of being affected by the case analysis.

The equation name generation feature combined with a type family / switch generates an equation for the first dependent \(d\) _item specified by the user. Again starting with the above goal, the command:

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test l :(length l) * 2 = length (l ++ l).
1 goal
A : Type
l : list A
==============================
length l * 2 = length (l ++ l)
case E: {1 3}l / (lastP l) => [|s x].
2 goals
A : Type
l : list A
E : l = nil
=============================
length nil * 2 = length (l ++ nil)
goal 2 is:
length (add_last x s) * 2 = length (l ++ add_last x s)
Show 2.
goal 2 is:
A : Type
l, s : list A
x : A
E : l = add_last x s
=============================
length (add_last x s) * 2 = length (l ++ add_last x s)

```

There must be at least one \(d\) _item to the left of the / switch; this prevents any confusion with the view feature. However, the d_item to the right of the / are optional, and if they are omitted, the first assumption provides the instance of the type family.

The equation always refers to the first \(d \_i t e m\) in the actual tactic call, before any padding with initial _. Thus, if an inductive type has two family parameters, it is possible to have SSReflect generate an equation for the second one by omitting the pattern for the first; note however that this will fail if the type of the second parameter depends on the value of the first parameter.

\section*{Control flow}

\section*{Indentation and bullets}

A linear development of Coq scripts gives little information on the structure of the proof. In addition, replaying a proof after some changes in the statement to be proved will usually not display information to distinguish between the various branches of case analysis for instance.

To help the user in this organization of the proof script at development time, SSReflect provides some bullets to highlight the structure of branching proofs. The available bullets are,-+ and *. Combined with tabulation, this lets us highlight four nested levels of branching; the most we have ever needed is three. Indeed, the use of "simpl and closing" switches, of terminators (see Section Terminators) and selectors (see Section Selectors) is powerful enough to avoid most of the time more than two levels of indentation.

Here is a fragment of such a structured script:
```

case E1: (abezoutn _ _) => [[| k1] [| k2]].

- rewrite !muln0 !gexpn0 mulg1 => H1.
move/eqP: (sym_equal F0); rewrite -H1 orderg1 eqn_mul1.
by case/andP; move/eqP.
- rewrite muln0 gexpn0 mulg1 => H1.
have F1: t %| t * S k2.+1 - 1.
apply: (@dvdn_trans (orderg x)); first by rewrite F0; exact: dvdn_mull.
rewrite orderg_dvd; apply/eqP; apply: (mulgI x).
rewrite -{1}(gexpn1 x) mulg1 gexpn_add leq_add_sub //.
by move: P1; case t.
rewrite dvdn_subr in F1; last by exact: dvdn_mulr.
    + rewrite H1 F0 - {2} (muln1 (p ^ l)); congr (_ * _).
by apply/eqP; rewrite -dvdn1.
    + by move: P1; case: (t) => [| [| s1]].
- rewrite muln0 gexpn0 mul1g => H1.

```

\section*{Terminators}

To further structure scripts, SSReflect supplies terminating tacticals to explicitly close off tactics. When replaying scripts, we then have the nice property that an error immediately occurs when a closed tactic fails to prove its subgoal.

It is hence recommended practice that the proof of any subgoal should end with a tactic that fails if it does not solve the current goal, like discriminate, contradiction or assumption.

In fact, SSReflect provides a generic tactical that turns any tactic into a closing one (similar to now). Its general syntax is:

\section*{Tactic: by tactic}

The Ltac expression by [tactic | tactic | ...] is equivalent to do [done | by tactic | by tactic | ...], which corresponds to the standard Ltac expression first [done I tactic; done I tactic; done | ...].
In the script provided as example in Section Indentation and bullets, the paragraph corresponding to each sub-case ends with a tactic line prefixed with a by, like in:
```

by apply/eqP; rewrite -dvdn1.

```

\section*{Tactic: done}

The by tactical is implemented using the user-defined, and extensible, done tactic. This done tactic tries to solve
the current goal by some trivial means and fails if it doesn't succeed. Indeed, the tactic expression by tactic is equivalent to tactic; done.
Conversely, the tactic by [ ] is equivalent to done.
The default implementation of the done tactic, in the ssreflect.v file, is:
```

Ltac done :=
trivial; hnf; intros; solve
[ do ![solve [trivial | apply: sym_equal; trivial]
| discriminate | contradiction | split]
| case not_locked_false_eq_true; assumption
| match goal with H : ~ - | - => solve [case H; trivial] end ].

```

The lemma not_locked_false_eq_true is needed to discriminate locked boolean predicates (see Section Locking, unlocking). The iterator tactical do is presented in Section Iteration. This tactic can be customized by the user, for instance to include an auto tactic.

A natural and common way of closing a goal is to apply a lemma that is the exact one needed for the goal to be solved. The defective form of the tactic:
exact.
is equivalent to:
```

do [done | by move=> top; apply top].

```
where top is a fresh name assigned to the top assumption of the goal. This applied form is supported by the : discharge tactical, and the tactic:
```

exact: MyLemma.

```
is equivalent to:
by apply: MyLemma.
(see Section Discharge for the documentation of the apply: combination).

Warning: The list of tactics (possibly chained by semicolons) that follows the by keyword is considered to be a parenthesized block applied to the current goal. Hence for example if the tactic:
by rewrite my_lemma1.
succeeds, then the tactic:
by rewrite my_lemma1; apply my_lemma2.
usually fails since it is equivalent to:
by (rewrite my_lemma1; apply my_lemma2).

\section*{Selectors}

\section*{Tactic: last}

Tactic: first
When composing tactics, the two tacticals first and last let the user restrict the application of a tactic to only one of the subgoals generated by the previous tactic. This covers the frequent cases where a tactic generates two subgoals one of which can be easily disposed of.

This is another powerful way of linearization of scripts, since it happens very often that a trivial subgoal can be solved in a less than one line tactic. For instance, tactic ; last by tactic tries to solve the last subgoal generated by the first tactic using the given second tactic, and fails if it does not succeed. Its analogue tactic ; first by tactic tries to solve the first subgoal generated by the first tactic using the second given tactic, and fails if it does not succeed.

SSReflect also offers an extension of this facility, by supplying tactics to permute the subgoals generated by a tactic.

\section*{Variant: last first \\ Variant: first last}

These two equivalent tactics invert the order of the subgoals in focus.
Variant: last natural first
If natural's value is \(k\), this tactic rotates the \(n\) subgoals \(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\) in focus. Subgoal \(G_{n+1-k}\) becomes the first, and the circular order of subgoals remains unchanged.
Tactic: first natural last
If natural's value is \(k\), this tactic rotates the \(n\) subgoals \(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}\) in focus. Subgoal \(G_{k+1 \bmod n}\) becomes the first, and the circular order of subgoals remains unchanged.
Finally, the tactics last and first combine with the branching syntax of Ltac: if the tactic generates n subgoals on a given goal, then the tactic
```

tactic ; last k [ tactic1 |...| tacticm ] || tacticn.

```
applies tactic1 to the \(n-k+1\)-th goal, \(\ldots\) tacticm to the \(n-k+m\)-th goal and tacticn to the others.

\section*{Example}

Here is a small example on lists. We define first a function that adds an element at the end of a given list.
```

Inductive test : nat -> Prop :=
| C1 n of n = 1 : test n
C2 n of n = 2 : test n
| C3 n of n = 3 : test n
| C4 n of n = 4 : test n.
test is defined
test_ind is defined
test_sind is defined
Lemma example n (t : test n) : True.
1 goal
n : nat
t : test n
==============================
True
case: t; last 2 [move=> k| move=> l]; idtac.

```
```

4 goals
n : nat
===============================
forall n0 : nat, n0 = 1 -> True
goal 2 is:
k = 2 -> True
goal 3 is:
l = 3 -> True
goal 4 is:
forall n0 : nat, n0 = 4 -> True

```

\section*{Iteration}

Tactic: do mult ? \(?^{\text {? }}\) tactic \(\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r|r|}\hline \text { tactic } & + \\ \hline\end{array}\right.\right]\)
This tactical offers an accurate control on the repetition of tactics. mult is a multiplier.
Brackets can only be omitted if a single tactic is given and a multiplier is present.
A tactic of the form:
```

do [ tactic 1 | ... | tactic n ].

```
is equivalent to the standard Ltac expression:
first [ tactic 1 | ... | tactic n ].
The optional multiplier mult specifies how many times the action of tactic should be repeated on the current subgoal. There are four kinds of multipliers:
\[
\text { mult }::=\text { natural }!\text { ! } \mid \text { natural ? }
\]

Their meaning is as follows.
- With \(n!\), the step tactic is repeated exactly \(n\) times (where \(n\) is a positive integer argument).
- With !, the step tactic is repeated as many times as possible, and done at least once.
- With ?, the step tactic is repeated as many times as possible, optionally.
- Finally, with \(n\) ?, the step tactic is repeated up to \(n\) times, optionally.

For instance, the tactic:
tactic; do 1? rewrite mult_comm.
rewrites at most one time the lemma mult_comm in all the subgoals generated by tactic, whereas the tactic:
tactic; do 2! rewrite mult_comm.
rewrites exactly two times the lemma mult_comm in all the subgoals generated by tactic, and fails if this rewrite is not possible in some subgoal.

Note that the combination of multipliers and rewrite is so often used that multipliers are in fact integrated to the syntax of the SSReflect rewrite tactic, see Section Rewriting.

\section*{Localization}

In Sections Basic localization and Bookkeeping, we have already presented the localization tactical in, whose general syntax is:

Tactic: tactic in ident \({ }^{+}\)?
where ident is a name in the context. On the left side of in, tactic can be move, case, elim, rewrite, set, or any tactic formed with the general iteration tactical do (see Section Iteration).

The operation described by the tactic is performed in the facts listed after in and in the goal if a * ends the list of names.
The in tactical successively:
- generalizes the selected hypotheses, possibly "protecting" the goal if * is not present;
- performs tactic, on the obtained goal;
- reintroduces the generalized facts, under the same names.

This defective form of the do tactical is useful to avoid clashes between standard Ltac in and the SSReflect tactical in.

\section*{Example}
```

Ltac mytac H := rewrite H.
mytac is defined
Lemma test x y (H1 : x = y) (H2 : y = 3) : x + y = 6.
1 goal
x, y : nat
H1 : x = y
H2 : y = 3
============================
x+y=6
do [mytac H2] in H1 *.
1 goal
x, y : nat
H2 : y = 3
H1 : x = 3
============================
x}+3=

```
the last tactic rewrites the hypothesis \(\mathrm{H} 2: \mathrm{y}=3\) both in \(\mathrm{H} 1: \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}\) and in the goal \(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}=6\).

By default, in keeps the body of local definitions. To erase the body of a local definition during the generalization phase, the name of the local definition must be written between parentheses, like in rewrite Hin H1 (def_n) H2.

This is the most general form of the in tactical. In its simplest form, the last option lets one rename hypotheses that can't be cleared (like section variables). For example, ( \(\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{x}\) ) generalizes over x and reintroduces the
generalized variable under the name \(y\) (and does not clear \(x\) ). For a more precise description of this form of localization, refer to Advanced generalization.

\section*{Structure}

Forward reasoning structures the script by explicitly specifying some assumptions to be added to the proof context. It is closely associated with the declarative style of proof, since an extensive use of these highlighted statements makes the script closer to a (very detailed) textbook proof.

Forward chaining tactics allow to state an intermediate lemma and start a piece of script dedicated to the proof of this statement. The use of closing tactics (see Section Terminators) and of indentation makes syntactically explicit the portion of the script building the proof of the intermediate statement.

\section*{The have tactic.}

Tactic: have : term
This is the main SSReflect forward reasoning tactic. It can be used in two modes: one starts a new (sub)proof for an intermediate result in the main proof, and the other provides explicitly a proof term for this intermediate step.

This tactic supports open syntax for term. Applied to a goal G, it generates a first subgoal requiring a proof of term in the context of \(G\). The second generated subgoal is of the form term \(\rightarrow \mathbf{G}\), where term becomes the new top assumption, instead of being introduced with a fresh name. At the proof-term level, the have tactic creates a \(\beta\) redex, and introduces the lemma under a fresh name, automatically chosen.

Like in the case of the pose (ssreflect) tactic (see Section Definitions), the types of the holes are abstracted in term.
```

Example
Lemma test : True.
1 goal
=============================
True
have: _ * 0 = 0.
2 goals
=============================
forall n : nat, n * 0 = 0
goal 2 is:
(forall n : nat, n * 0 = 0) -> True

```

The invocation of have is equivalent to:
```

have: forall n : nat, n * 0 = 0.
2 goals
=============================
forall n : nat, n * 0 = 0
goal 2 is:
(forall n : nat, n * 0 = 0) -> True

```

The have tactic also enjoys the same abstraction mechanism as the pose (ssreflect) tactic for the non-inferred implicit arguments. For instance, the tactic:

\section*{Example}
```

have: forall x y, (x, y) = (x, y + 0).
2 goals
=============================
forall (T : Type) (x : T) (y : nat), (x, y) = (x, y + 0)
goal 2 is:
(forall (T : Type) (x : T) (y : nat), (x, y) = (x, y + 0)) -> True

```
opens a new subgoal where the type of x is quantified.
The behavior of the defective have tactic makes it possible to generalize it in the following general construction:


Open syntax is supported for both \(t e r m\). For the description of \(i \_i t e m\) and \(s \_i t e m\), see Section Introduction in the context. The first mode of the have tactic, which opens a sub-proof for an intermediate result, uses tactics of the form:
Variant: have clear_switch i_item : term by tactic
which behaves like:
```

have: term ; first by tactic.
move=> clear_switch i_item.

```

Note that the clear_switch precedes the i_item, which allows to reuse a name of the context, possibly used by the proof of the assumption, to introduce the new assumption itself.

The by feature is especially convenient when the proof script of the statement is very short, basically when it fits in one line like in:
```

have H23 : 3 + 2 = 2 + 3 by rewrite addnC.

```

The possibility of using i_item supplies a very concise syntax for the further use of the intermediate step. For instance,
```

Example
Lemma test a : 3 * a - 1 = a.
1 goal
a : nat
=============================
3*a-1 =a
have -> : forall x, x * a = a.
2 goals
a : nat
==============================

```
```

    forall x : nat, x * a = a
    ```
goal 2 is:
    \(a-1=a\)

Note how the second goal was rewritten using the stated equality. Also note that in this last subgoal, the intermediate result does not appear in the context.

Thanks to the deferred execution of clears, the following idiom is also supported (assuming x occurs in the goal only):
have \(\{x\} \rightarrow: x=y\).
Another frequent use of the intro patterns combined with have is the destruction of existential assumptions like in the tactic:
```

Example
Lemma test : True.
1 goal
=============================
True
have [x Px]: exists x : nat, x > 0; last first.
2 goals
x : nat
Px : x > 0
==============================
True
goal 2 is:
exists x : nat, x > 0

```

An alternative use of the have tactic is to provide the explicit proof term for the intermediate lemma, using tactics of the form:

Variant: have ident \(:=\) term
This tactic creates a new assumption of type the type of term. If the optional ident is present, this assumption is introduced under the name ident. Note that the body of the constant is lost for the user.

Again, non-inferred implicit arguments and explicit holes are abstracted.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test : True.
1 goal
=============================
True
have H := forall }x,(x,x)=(x,x)
1 goal

```
```

H : Type -> Prop
=============================
True

```
adds to the context H : Type -> Prop. This is a schematic example, but the feature is specially useful when the proof term to give involves for instance a lemma with some hidden implicit arguments.

After the i_pattern, a list of binders is allowed.
```

Example
Lemma test : True.
1 goal
=============================
True
have H x (y : nat) : 2 * x + y = x + x + y by lia.
1 goal
H : forall x y : nat, 2 * x + y = x + x + y
=============================
True

```

A proof term provided after : = can mention these bound variables (that are automatically introduced with the given names). Since the i_pattern can be omitted, to avoid ambiguity, bound variables can be surrounded with parentheses even if no type is specified:
```

have (x) : 2 * x = x + x by lia.
1 goal
=============================
(forall x : nat, 2 * x = x + x) -> True

```

The \(i_{\text {_ }}\) item and \(s_{\text {_item }}\) can be used to interpret the asserted hypothesis with views (see Section Views and reflection) or simplify the resulting goals.

The have tactic also supports a suff modifier that allows for asserting that a given statement implies the current goal without copying the goal itself.

\section*{Example}
```

have suff H : 2 + 2 = 3; last first.
2 goals
H : 2 + 2 = 3 -> True
==============================
True
goal 2 is:
2 + 2 = 3 -> True

```

Note that H is introduced in the second goal.

The suff modifier is not compatible with the presence of a list of binders.

\section*{Generating let in context entries with have}

Since SSReflect 1.5, the have tactic supports a "transparent" modifier to generate let in context entries: the @ symbol in front of the context entry name.

\section*{Example}
```

Inductive Ord n := Sub x of }\textrm{x}<\textrm{n}\mathrm{ .
Ord is defined
Ord_rect is defined
Ord_ind is defined
Ord_rec is defined
Ord_sind is defined
Notation "'I_ n" := (Ord n) (at level 8, n at level 2, format "''I_' n").
Arguments Sub {_} _ _.
Lemma test n m (H:m + < n) : True.
1 goal
n, m : nat
H : m + < n
==============================
True
have @i : 'I_n by apply: (Sub m); lia.
1 goal
n, m : nat
H :m + 1 < n
i := Sub m
(ZifyClasses.rew_iff_rev (m < n) (Z.of_nat m < Z.of_nat n)%Z
(ZifyClasses.mkrel nat Z lt Z.of_nat Z.lt Nat2Z.inj_lt m
(...) eq_refl n (...) eq_refl)
(let H0 : ...%Z := ... in ... ...)) : 'I_n
=============================
True

```

Note that the subterm produced by lia is in general huge and uninteresting, and hence one may want to hide it. For this purpose the [: name] intro pattern and the tactic abstract (see The abstract tactic) are provided.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test n m (H:m + 1 < n) : True.

```
    1 goal
    n, \(m\) : nat
    \(\mathrm{H}: m+1<\mathrm{n}\)
    \(============================\)

True
```

have [:pm] @i : 'I_n by apply: (Sub m); abstract: pm; lia.
1 goal
n, m : nat
H : m + < n
pm : m < n (* **)
i := Sub m pm : 'I_n
=============================
True

```

The type of pm can be cleaned up by its annotation (*1*) by just simplifying it. The annotations are there for technical reasons only.

When intro patterns for abstract constants are used in conjunction with" have" and an explicit term, they must be used as follows:
```

Example
Lemma test n m (H:m + 1 < n) : True.
1 goal
n, m : nat
H : m + 1< n
==============================
True
have [:pm] @i : 'I_n := Sub m pm.
2 goals
n, m : nat
H : m + 1 < n
===============================
S m <= n
goal 2 is:
True
by lia.
1 goal
n, m : nat
H : m + 1<n
pm : S m <= n (*1*)
i := Sub m pm : 'I_n : 'I_n
===============================
True

```

In this case, the abstract constant pm is assigned by using it in the term that follows := and its corresponding goal is left to be solved. Goals corresponding to intro patterns for abstract constants are opened in the order in which the abstract constants are declared (not in the "order" in which they are used in the term).

Note that abstract constants do respect scopes. Hence, if a variable is declared after their introduction, it has to be properly generalized (i.e., explicitly passed to the abstract constant when one makes use of it).
```

Example
Lemma test $n m(H: m+1<n)$ : True.
1 goal
n, $m$ : nat
$\mathrm{H}: m+1<n$
$===========================$
True
have [:pm] @i k : ' $I_{-}(n+k)$ by apply: (Sub m); abstract: pm k; lia.
1 goal
$n, m$ : nat
$\mathrm{H}: m+1<n$
pm : (forall $k$ : nat, $m<n+k)$ (*1*)
$i:=$ fun $k$ : nat $\Rightarrow$ Sub $m(p m k)$ : forall $k$ : nat, ' I_( $n+k)$
$==========================$
True

```

Last, notice that the use of intro patterns for abstract constants is orthogonal to the transparent flag \(@\) for have.

\section*{The have tactic and typeclass resolution}

Since SSReflect 1.5, the have tactic behaves as follows with respect to typeclass inference.
```

have foo : ty.
2 goals
=============================
ty
goal 2 is:
True

```

Full inference for \(t y\). The first subgoal demands a proof of such instantiated statement.
```

have foo : ty := .

```

No inference for \(t y\). Unresolved instances are quantified in \(t y\). The first subgoal demands a proof of such quantified statement. Note that no proof term follows \(:=\); hence two subgoals are generated.
```

have foo: ty := t.
1 goal
foo: ty
=============================
True

```
No inference for \(t y\) and \(t\).
have foo := t.
    1 goal
```

foo: ty

```
=============================
True

No inference for \(t\). Unresolved instances are quantified in the (inferred) type of \(t\) and abstracted in \(t\).

\section*{Flag: SsrHave NoTCResolution}

This flag restores the behavior of SSReflect 1.4 and below (never resolve typeclasses).

\section*{Variants: the suff and wlog tactics}

As is often the case in mathematical textbooks, forward reasoning may be used in slightly different variants. One of these variants is to show that the intermediate step \(L\) easily implies the initial goal G. By easily we mean here that the proof of \(\mathrm{L} \Rightarrow \mathrm{G}\) is shorter than the one of L itself. This kind of reasoning step usually starts with: "It suffices to show that ...".

This is such a frequent way of reasoning that SSReflect has a variant of the have tactic called suffices (whose abridged name is suff). The have and suff tactics are equivalent and have the same syntax but:
- the order of the generated subgoals is inverted;
- the optional clear item is still performed in the second branch, which means that the tactic:
```

suff {H} H : forall x : nat, x >= 0.

```
fails if the context of the current goal indeed contains an assumption named H .
The rationale of this clearing policy is to make possible "trivial" refinements of an assumption, without changing its name in the main branch of the reasoning.

The have modifier can follow the suff tactic.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test : G.
1 goal
=============================
G
suff have H : P.
2 goals
H : P
=============================
G
goal 2 is:
(P -> G) -> G

```

Note that, in contrast with have suff, the name \(H\) has been introduced in the first goal.

Another useful construct is reduction, showing that a particular case is in fact general enough to prove a general property. This kind of reasoning step usually starts with: "Without loss of generality, we can suppose that ...". Formally, this corresponds to the proof of a goal \(G\) by introducing a cut: wlog_statement \(\rightarrow\) G. Hence the user shall provide a proof for both (wlog_statement \(->G) \quad->G\) and wlog_statement \(->\) G. However, such cuts are usually
rather painful to perform by hand, because the statement wlog_statement is tedious to write by hand, and sometimes even to read.
SSReflect implements this kind of reasoning step through the without loss tactic, whose short name is wlog. It offers support to describe the shape of the cut statements, by providing the simplifying hypothesis and by pointing at the elements of the initial goals that should be generalized. The general syntax of without loss is:

where each ident is a constant in the context of the goal. Open syntax is supported for term.
In its defective form:
Variant: wlog: / term
Variant: without loss: / term
on a goal \(G\), it creates two subgoals: a first one to prove the formula (term \(->G\) ) \(->G\) and a second one to prove the formula term -> G.

If the optional list of ident is present on the left side of \(/\), these constants are generalized in the premise (term ->G) of the first subgoal. By default bodies of local definitions are erased. This behavior can be inhibited by prefixing the name of the local definition with the @ character.

In the second subgoal, the tactic:
```

move=> clear_switch i_item.

```
is performed if at least one of these optional switches is present in the wlog tactic.
The wlog tactic is specially useful when a symmetry argument simplifies a proof. Here is an example showing the beginning of the proof that quotient and reminder of natural number euclidean division are unique.
```

Example
Lemma quo_rem_unicity d q1 q2 r1 r2 :
q1*d + r1 = q2*d + r2 -> r1<d -> r2<d -> (q1, r1) = (q2, r2).
1 goal
d, q1, q2, r1, r2 : nat
===========================
q1 * d + r1 = q2 * d + r2 -> r1< d -> r2< d -> (q1, r1) = (q2, r2)
wlog: q1 q2 r1 r2 / q1 <= q2.
2 goals
d, q1, q2, r1, r2 : nat
==============================
(forall q3 q4 r3 r4 : nat,
q3 <= q4 ->
q3 * d + r3 = q4 * d + r4 -> r3<d -> r4<d -> (q3, r3) = (q4, r4)) ->
q1 * d + r1 = q2 * d + r2 -> r1 < d -> r2 < d -> (q1, r1) = (q2, r2)
goal 2 is:
q1 <= q2 ->
q1 * d + r1 = q2 * d + r2 -> r1 < d -> r2 < d -> (q1, r1) = (q2, r2)
by case (le_gt_dec q1 q2)=> H; last symmetry; eauto with arith.
1 goal

```
```

d, q1, q2, r1, r2 : nat
=============================
q1 <= q2 ->
q1*d + r1 = q2 * d + r2 -> r1<d -> r2<d -> (q1,r1) = (q2,r2)

```

The wlog suff variant is simpler, since it cuts wlog_statement instead of wlog_statement -> G. It thus opens the goals wlog_statement -> G and wlog_statement.
In its simplest form, the generally have : ... tactic is equivalent to wlog suff : ... followed by last first. When the have tactic is used with the generally (or gen) modifier, it accepts an extra identifier followed by a comma before the usual intro pattern. The identifier will name the new hypothesis in its more general form, while the intro pattern will be used to process its instance.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma simple n (ngt0: 0 < n ) : P n.
1 goal
n : nat
ngt0 : 0 < n
=============================
P n
gen have ltnV, /andP[nge0 neq0] : n ngt0 / (0 <= n) \&\& (n != 0); last first.
2 goals
n : nat
ngt0 : 0 < n
ltnV : forall n : nat, 0<n -> (0<= n) \&\& (n != 0)
nge0 : 0 <= n
neq0 : n != 0
=============================
P n
goal 2 is:
(0<= n) \&\& (n != 0)

```

\section*{Advanced generalization}

The complete syntax for the items on the left hand side of the / separator is the following one:

Clear operations are intertwined with generalization operations. This helps in particular avoiding dependency issues while generalizing some facts.

If an ident is prefixed with the @ mark, then a let-in redex is created, which keeps track of its body (if any). The syntax (ident : = c_pattern) allows to generalize an arbitrary term using a given name. Note that its simplest form ( x \(:=y\) ) is just a renaming of \(y\) into \(x\). In particular, this can be useful in order to simulate the generalization of a section variable, otherwise not allowed. Indeed, renaming does not require the original variable to be cleared.

The syntax ( \(@ x:=y\) ) generates a let-in abstraction but with the following caveat: \(x\) will not bind \(y\), but its body, whenever \(y\) can be unfolded. This covers the case of both local and global definitions, as illustrated in the following example.
```

Example
Section Test.
Variable x : nat.
x is declared
Definition addx z := z + x.
addx is defined
Lemma test : x <= addx x.
1 goal
x : nat
==============================
x <= addx x
wlog H : (y := x) (@twoy := addx x) / twoy = 2 * y.
2 goals
x : nat
==============================
(forall y : nat, let twoy := y + y in twoy = 2 * y >> y <= twoy) ->
x <= addx x
goal 2 is:
y <= twoy

```

To avoid unfolding the term captured by the pattern add \(x\), one can use the pattern id (addx \(x\) ), which would produce the following first subgoal
```

wlog H : (y := x) (@twoy := id (addx x)) / twoy = 2 * y.
2 goals
x : nat
=============================
(forall y : nat, let twoy := addx y in twoy = 2 * y -> y <= addx y) ->
x <= addx x
goal 2 is:
y <= addx y

```

\section*{Rewriting}

The generalized use of reflection implies that most of the intermediate results handled are properties of effectively computable functions. The most efficient means of establishing such results are computation and simplification of expressions involving such functions, i.e., rewriting. SSReflect therefore includes an extended rewrite tactic that unifies and combines most of the rewriting functionalities.

\section*{An extended rewrite tactic}

The main features of the rewrite tactic are:
- it can perform an entire series of such operations in any subset of the goal and/or context;
- it allows to perform rewriting, simplifications, folding/unfolding of definitions, closing of goals;
- several rewriting operations can be chained in a single tactic;
- control over the occurrence at which rewriting is to be performed is significantly enhanced.

The general form of an SSReflect rewrite tactic is:
Tactic: rewrite rstep \({ }^{+}\)
The combination of a rewrite tactic with the in tactical (see Section Localization) performs rewriting in both the context and the goal.

A rewrite step rstep has the general form:


An r_prefix contains annotations to qualify where and how the rewrite operation should be performed.
- The optional initial - indicates the direction of the rewriting of \(r_{\text {_ }}\) item: if present, the direction is right-to-left and it is left-to-right otherwise.
- The multiplier muIt (see Section Iteration) specifies if and how the rewrite operation should be repeated.
- A rewrite operation matches the occurrences of a rewrite pattern, and replaces these occurrences by another term, according to the given \(r\) _item. The optional redex switch [r_pattern], which should always be surrounded by brackets, gives explicitly this rewrite pattern. In its simplest form, it is a regular term. If no explicit redex switch is present, the rewrite pattern to be matched is inferred from the \(r_{\text {_ }} i t e m\).
- This optional term, or the r_item, may be preceded by an occ_switch (see Section Selectors) or a clear_switch (see Section Discharge), these two possibilities being exclusive.

An occurrence switch selects the occurrences of the rewrite pattern that should be affected by the rewrite operation.
A clear switch, even an empty one, is performed after the \(r_{\text {_ }}\) item is actually processed and is complemented with the name of the rewrite rule if and only if it is a simple proof context entry \({ }^{43}\). As a consequence, one can write rewrite \(\} \mathrm{H}\) to rewrite with H and dispose H immediately afterwards. This behavior can be avoided by putting parentheses around the rewrite rule.

A r_item can be one of the following.
- A simplification \(r_{\text {_ }}\) item, represented by a s_item (see Section Introduction in the context). Simplification operations are intertwined with the possible other rewrite operations specified by the list of \(r_{-}\)item.
- A folding/unfolding r_item. The tactic rewrite /term unfolds the head constant of term in every occurrence of the first matching of term in the goal. In particular, if my_def is a (local or global) defined constant, the tactic rewrite /my_def. is analogous to: unfold my_def. Conversely, rewrite -/my_def. is equivalent to fold my_def. When an unfold \(r_{\text {_ }}\) item is combined with a redex pattern, a conversion operation is performed. A tactic of the form rewrite - [term1]/term2. is equivalent to change term1 with term2. If term2 is a single constant and term1 head symbol is not term2, then the head symbol of term1 is repeatedly unfolded until term 2 appears.
- A term can be:
- a term whose type has the form: forall (x1 : A1 ) ... (xn : An ), eq term1 term2, where eq is the Leibniz equality or a registered setoid equality;
- a list of terms (t1 , .., tn), each ti having a type as above, and the tactic rewrite r_prefix (t1 , ...,tn ). is equivalent to do [rewrite r_prefix t1 | ... | rewrite r_prefix tn ].;
- an anonymous rewrite lemma (_ : term), where term has a type as above.

\section*{Example}
```

Definition double x := x + x.
double is defined
Definition ddouble x := double (double x).
ddouble is defined
Lemma test x : ddouble x = 4 * x.
1 goal
x : nat
=============================
ddouble x = 4 * x
rewrite [ddouble _]/double.
1 goal
x : nat
==============================
double x + double x = 4 * x

```

Warning: The SSReflect terms containing holes are not typed as abstractions in this context. Hence the following script fails.
```

Definition f := fun x y => x + y.
f is defined
Lemma test x y : x + y = f y x.
1 goal
x, y : nat

```
```

    ============================
    x + y = f y x
    rewrite -[f y]/(y + _).
Toplevel input, characters 0-22:
> rewrite -[f y]/(y + _ ).
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^人
Error: fold pattern (y + _) does not match redex (f y)

```
but the following script succeeds
```

rewrite -[f y x]/(y + _).
1 goal
x, y : nat
==============================
x + y = y + x

```

\section*{Flag: SsrOldRewriteGoalsOrder}

Controls the order in which generated subgoals (side conditions) are added to the proof context. The flag is off by default, which puts subgoals generated by conditional rules first, followed by the main goal. When it is on, the main goal appears first. If your proofs are organized to complete proving the main goal before side conditions, turning the flag on will save you from having to add last first tactics that would be needed to keep the main goal as the currently focused goal.

\section*{Remarks and examples}

\section*{Rewrite redex selection}

The general strategy of SSReflect is to grasp as many redexes as possible and to let the user select the ones to be rewritten thanks to the improved syntax for the control of rewriting.

This may be a source of incompatibilities between the two rewrite tactics.
In a rewrite tactic of the form:
```

rewrite occ_switch [term1]term2.

```
term1 is the explicit rewrite redex and term2 is the rewrite rule. This execution of this tactic unfolds as follows.
- First term1 and term2 are \(\beta \mathrm{t}\) normalized. Then term2 is put in head normal form if the Leibniz equality constructor eq is not the head symbol. This may involve \(\zeta\) reductions.
- Then, the matching algorithm (see Section Abbreviations) determines the first subterm of the goal matching the rewrite pattern. The rewrite pattern is given by term1, if an explicit redex pattern switch is provided, or by the type of term2 otherwise. However, matching skips over matches that would lead to trivial rewrites. All the occurrences of this subterm in the goal are candidates for rewriting.
- Then only the occurrences coded by occ_switch (see again Section Abbreviations) are finally selected for rewriting.
- The left-hand side of term2 is unified with the subterm found by the matching algorithm, and if this succeeds, all the selected occurrences in the goal are replaced by the right-hand side of term2.
- Finally the goal is \(\beta \mathrm{t}\) normalized.

In the case term2 is a list of terms, the first top-down (in the goal) left-to-right (in the list) matching rule gets selected.

\section*{Chained rewrite steps}

The possibility to chain rewrite operations in a single tactic makes scripts more compact and gathers in a single command line a bunch of surgical operations that would be described by a one sentence in a pen and paper proof.

Performing rewrite and simplification operations in a single tactic enhances significantly the concision of scripts. For instance the tactic:
```

rewrite /my_def {2}[f__]/= my_eq //=.

```
unfolds my_def in the goal, simplifies the second occurrence of the first subterm matching pattern [f _], rewrites my_eq, simplifies the goals and closes trivial goals.

Here are some concrete examples of chained rewrite operations, in the proof of basic results on natural numbers arithmetic.

\section*{Example}
```

Axiom addn0 : forall m, m + 0 = m.
addn0 is declared
Axiom addnS : forall m n, m + S n =S (m + n).
addnS is declared
Axiom addSnnS : forall m n, S m + n = m + S n.
addSnnS is declared
Lemma addnCA m n p :m + (n + p) = n + (m + p).
1 goal
m, n, p : nat
==============================
m+(n+p)=n+(m+p)
by elim: m p => [ | m Hrec] p; rewrite ?addSnnS -?addnS.
No more goals.
Qed.
Lemma addnC n m:m n = n + m.
1 goal
n, m : nat
=============================
m}+\textrm{n}=\textrm{n}+\textrm{m
by rewrite -{1}[n]addn0 addnCA addn0.
No more goals.
Qed.

```

Note the use of the ? switch for parallel rewrite operations in the proof of addnCA.

\section*{Explicit redex switches are matched first}

If an r_prefix involves a redex switch, the first step is to find a subterm matching this redex pattern, independently from the left-hand side of the equality the user wants to rewrite.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (H: forall t u, t + u = u + t) x y : x + y = y + x.
1 goal
H : forall t u : nat, t + u = u + t
x, y : nat
==============================
x+y=y+x
rewrite [y + _]H.
1 goal
H : forall t u : nat, t + u = u + t
x, y : nat
==============================
x+y=x + y

```

Note that if this first pattern matching is not compatible with the \(r_{-}\)item, the rewrite fails, even if the goal contains a correct redex matching both the redex switch and the left-hand side of the equality.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (H: forall t u, t + u* 0 = t) x y : x + y* 4 + 2 * 0 = x + 2 * 0.
1 goal
H : forall t u : nat, t + u * 0 = t
x, y : nat
==============================
x + y* 4 +2* 0 = x + 2 * 0
Fail rewrite [x + _] H.
The command has indeed failed with message:
pattern (x + y * 4) does not match LHS of H

```

Indeed, the left-hand side of \(H\) does not match the redex identified by the pattern \(x+y * 4\).

\section*{Occurrence switches and redex switches}
```

Example
Lemma test x y : x + y + 0 = x + y + y + 0 + 0 + (x + y + 0).
1 goal
x, y : nat
=============================

```
```

        x+y+0=x + y + y + 0 + 0 + (x+y + 0)
    rewrite {2}[_ + y + 0] (_: forall z, z + 0 = z).
2 goals
x, y : nat
=============================
forall z : nat, z + 0 = z
goal 2 is:
x+y+0=x+y+y+0+0+(x+y)

```

The second subgoal is generated by the use of an anonymous lemma in the rewrite tactic. The effect of the tactic on the initial goal is to rewrite this lemma at the second occurrence of the first matching \(x+y+0\) of the explicit rewrite redex _ + y + 0 .

\section*{Occurrence selection and repetition}

Occurrence selection has priority over repetition switches. This means the repetition of a rewrite tactic specified by a multiplier will perform matching each time an elementary rewrite operation is performed. Repeated rewrite tactics apply to every subgoal generated by the previous tactic, including the previous instances of the repetition.
```

Example
Lemma test x y (z : nat) : x + 1 = x + y + 1.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
==============================
x}+1=x+y+
rewrite 2!(_ : _ + 1 = z).
4 goals
x, y, z : nat
==============================
x + 1 = z
goal 2 is:
z = z
goal 3 is:
x + y + 1 = z
goal 4 is:
z = z

```

This last tactic generates three subgoals because the second rewrite operation specified with the \(2!\) multiplier applies to the two subgoals generated by the first rewrite.

\section*{Multi-rule rewriting}

The rewrite tactic can be provided a tuple of rewrite rules, or more generally a tree of such rules, since this tuple can feature arbitrary inner parentheses. We call multirule such a generalized rewrite rule. This feature is of special interest when it is combined with multiplier switches, which makes the rewrite tactic iterate the rewrite operations prescribed by the rules on the current goal.

\section*{Example}
```

Variables (a b c : nat).
a is declared
b is declared
c is declared
Hypothesis eqab : a = b.
eqab is declared
Hypothesis eqac : a = c.
eqac is declared
Lemma test : a = a.
1 goal
a, b, c : nat
eqab : a = b
eqac : a = c
==============================
a}=\textrm{a
rewrite (eqab, eqac).
1 goal
a, b, c : nat
eqab : a = b
eqac : a = c
============================
b}=\textrm{b

```

Indeed, rule eqab is the first to apply among the ones gathered in the tuple passed to the rewrite tactic. This multirule (eqab, eqac) is actually a Coq term and we can name it with a definition:
```

Definition multi1 := (eqab, eqac).
multi1 is defined

```

In this case, the tactic rewrite multi1 is a synonym for rewrite (eqab, eqac).

More precisely, a multirule rewrites the first subterm to which one of the rules applies in a left-to-right traversal of the goal, with the first rule from the multirule tree in left-to-right order. Matching is performed according to the algorithm described in Section Abbreviations, but literal matches have priority.

\section*{Example}

Definition \(d:=a\).
d is defined
```

Hypotheses eqd0 : d = 0.
eqd0 is declared
Definition multi2 := (eqab, eqdO).
multi2 is defined
Lemma test : d = b.
1 goal
a, b, c : nat
eqab : a = b
eqac : a = c
eqd0 : d = 0
==============================
d = b
rewrite multi2.
1 goal
a, b, c : nat
eqab : a = b
eqac : a = c
eqd0 : d = 0
=============================
0=b

```

Indeed, rule eqd0 applies without unfolding the definition of d .

For repeated rewrites, the selection process is repeated anew.

\section*{Example}
```

Hypothesis eq_adda_b : forall x, x + a = b.
eq_adda_b is declared
Hypothesis eq_adda_c : forall x, x + a = c.
eq_adda_c is declared
Hypothesis eqb0 : b = 0.
eqb0 is declared
Definition multi3 := (eq_adda_b, eq_adda_c, eqb0).
multi3 is defined
Lemma test : 1 + a = 12 + a.
1 goal
a, b, c : nat
eqab : a = b
eqac : a = c
eqd0 : d = 0
eq_adda_b : forall x : nat, x + a = b

```
```

    eq_adda_c : forall x : nat, x + a = c
    eqb0 : b = 0
    =============================
    1+a=12+a
    rewrite 2!multi3.
1 goal
a, b, c : nat
eqab : a = b
eqac : a = c
eqd0 : d = 0
eq_adda_b : forall x : nat, x + a = b
eq_adda_c : forall x : nat, x + a = c
eqb0 : b = 0
=============================
0=12+a

```

It uses eq_adda_b then eqb 0 on the left-hand side only. Without the bound 2 , one would obtain \(0=0\).

The grouping of rules inside a multirule does not affect the selection strategy, but can make it easier to include one rule set in another or to (universally) quantify over the parameters of a subset of rules (as there is special code that will omit unnecessary quantifiers for rules that can be syntactically extracted). It is also possible to reverse the direction of a rule subset, using a special dedicated syntax: the tactic rewrite ( \(=\sim\) multi1 \()\) is equivalent to rewrite multi1_rev.

\section*{Example}
```

Hypothesis eqba : b = a.
eqba is declared
Hypothesis eqca : c = a.
eqca is declared
Definition multi1_rev := (eqba, eqca).
multi1_rev is defined

```
except that the constants eqba, eqab and mult1_rev have not been created.
Rewriting with multirules is useful to implement simplification or transformation procedures, to be applied on terms of small to medium size. For instance, the library ssrnat (Mathematical Components library) provides two implementations for arithmetic operations on natural numbers: an elementary one and a tail recursive version, less inefficient but also less convenient for reasoning purposes. The library also provides one lemma per such operation, stating that both versions return the same values when applied to the same arguments:
```

Lemma addE : add =2 addn.
Lemma doubleE : double =1 doublen.
Lemma add_mulE n m s : add_mul n m s = addn (muln n m) s.
Lemma mulE : mul =2 muln.
Lemma mul_expE m n p : mul_exp m n p = muln (expn m n) p.
Lemma expE : exp =2 expn.
Lemma oddE : odd =1 oddn.

```

The operation on the left-hand side of each lemma is the efficient version, and the corresponding naive implementation is on the right-hand side. In order to reason conveniently on expressions involving the efficient operations, we gather all these rules in the definition trecE:
```

Definition trecE := (addE, (doubleE, oddE), (mulE, add_mulE, (expE, mul_expE))).

```

The tactic rewrite !trecE. restores the naive version of each operation in a goal involving the efficient ones, e.g., for the purpose of a correctness proof.

\section*{Wildcards vs abstractions}

The rewrite tactic supports \(r_{-}\)items containing holes. For example, in the tactic rewrite (_ : _ * 0 ) ., the term _ * \(0=0\) is interpreted as forall \(n\) : nat, \(n * 0=0\). Anyway this tactic is not equivalent to rewrite (_ : forall \(x, x\) * \(0=0\) )..

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test y z : y * 0 + y* (z* 0) = 0.
1 goal
y, z : nat
=============================
y*0 + y* (z* 0) = 0
rewrite (_ : _ * 0 = 0).
2 goals
y, z : nat
==============================
y* 0 = 0
goal 2 is:
0 + y * (z* 0) = 0

```
while the other tactic results in
```

rewrite (_ : forall x, x * 0 = 0).
2 goals
y, z : nat
=============================
forall x : nat, x * 0 = 0
goal 2 is:
0 + y* (z * 0) = 0

```

The first tactic requires you to prove the instance of the (missing) lemma that was used, while the latter requires you prove the quantified form.

\section*{When SSReflect rewrite fails on standard Coq licit rewrite}

In a few cases, the SSReflect rewrite tactic fails rewriting some redexes that standard Coq successfully rewrites. There are two main cases.
- SSReflect never accepts to rewrite indeterminate patterns like:
```

Lemma foo (x : unit) : x = tt.

```

SSReflect will however accept the \(\eta \zeta\) expansion of this rule:
Lemma fubar ( \(x\) : unit) : (let \(u:=x\) in \(u\) ) \(=t t\).
- The standard rewrite tactic provided by Coq uses a different algorithm to find instances of the rewrite rule.

\section*{Example}
```

Variable g : nat -> nat.
g is declared
Definition f := g.
f is defined
Axiom H : forall x, g x = 0.
H is declared
Lemma test : f 3 + f 3 = f 6.
1 goal
g : nat -> nat
=============================
f 3+f 3=f}
(* we call the standard rewrite tactic here *)
rewrite -> H.
1 goal
g : nat -> nat
=============================
0+0=f}

```

This rewriting is not possible in SSReflect, because there is no occurrence of the head symbol \(f\) of the rewrite rule in the goal.
```

rewrite H.
Toplevel input, characters 0-9:
> rewrite H.
> ^^^^^^^^^
Error: The LHS of H
(g _)
does not match any subterm of the goal

```

Rewriting with \(H\) first requires unfolding the occurrences of \(f\) where the substitution is to be performed (here there is a single such occurrence), using tactic rewrite / f (for a global replacement of f by g ) or rewrite pattern/f, for a finer selection.
```

rewrite /f H.
1 goal
g : nat -> nat
=============================
0+0=g6

```

Alternatively, one can override the pattern inferred from H
```

rewrite [f _] H.
1 goal
g : nat -> nat
=============================
0+0=f6

```

\section*{Existential metavariables and rewriting}

The rewrite tactic will not instantiate existing existential metavariables when matching a redex pattern.
If a rewrite rule generates a goal with new existential metavariables in the Prop sort, these will be generalized as for apply (see The apply tactic) and corresponding new goals will be generated.

\section*{Example}
```

Axiom leq : nat -> nat -> bool.
leq is declared
Notation "m <= n" := (leq m n) : nat_scope.
Notation "m<n" := (S m <= n) : nat_scope.
Inductive Ord n := Sub x of }\textrm{x}<\textrm{n}\mathrm{ .
Ord is defined
Ord_rect is defined
Ord_ind is defined
Ord_rec is defined
Ord_sind is defined
Notation "'I_ n" := (Ord n) (at level 8, n at level 2, format "''I_' n").
Arguments Sub {_} _ _.
Definition val n (i : 'I_n) := let: Sub a _ := i in a.
val is defined
Definition insub n x :=
if @idP (x < n) is ReflectT _ Px then Some (Sub x Px) else None.
insub is defined
Axiom insubT : forall n x Px, insub n x = Some (Sub x Px).
insubT is declared
Lemma test (x : 'I_2) y : Some x = insub 2 y.
1 goal
x : 'I_2

```
(continues on next page)
```

    y : nat
    =============================
    Some x = insub 2 y
    rewrite insubT.
2 goals
x : 'I_2
y : nat
=============================
forall Hyp0 : y < 2, Some x = Some (Sub y Hyp0)
goal 2 is:
y<2

```

Since the argument corresponding to Px is not supplied by the user, the resulting goal should be Some \(\mathrm{x}=\) Some (Sub y ?Goal). Instead, SSReflect rewrite tactic hides the existential variable.
As in The apply tactic, the ssrautoprop tactic is used to try to solve the existential variable.
```

Lemma test (x : 'I_2) y (H : y < 2) : Some x = insub 2 y.
1 goal
x : 'I_2
y : nat
H : y < 2
=============================
Some x = insub 2 y
rewrite insubT.
1 goal
x : 'I_2
y : nat
H : y < 2
=============================
Some x = Some (Sub y H)

```

As a temporary limitation, this behavior is available only if the rewriting rule is stated using Leibniz equality (as opposed to setoid relations). It will be extended to other rewriting relations in the future.

\section*{Rewriting under binders}

Goals involving objects defined with higher-order functions often require "rewriting under binders". While setoid rewriting is a possible approach in this case, it is common to use regular rewriting along with dedicated extensionality lemmas. This may cause some practical issues during the development of the corresponding scripts, notably as we might be forced to provide the rewrite tactic with complete terms, as shown by the simple example below.

\section*{Example}
```

Axiom subnn : forall n : nat, n - n = 0.
Parameter map : (nat -> nat) -> list nat -> list nat.
Parameter sumlist : list nat -> nat.

```
```

Axiom eq_map :
forall F1 F2 : nat -> nat,
(forall n : nat, F1 n = F2 n) ->
forall l : list nat, map F1 l = map F2 l.
Lemma example_map l : sumlist (map (fun m => m - m) l) = 0.
1 goal
l : list nat
=============================
sumlist (map (fun m : nat }=>m-m) l) = 0

```

In this context, one cannot directly use eq_map:
```

rewrite eq_map.
Toplevel input, characters 0-14:
> rewrite eq_map.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Error: Unable to find an instance for the variable F2.
Rule's type:
(forall F1 F2 : nat -> nat,
(forall n : nat, F1 n = F2 n) -> forall l : list nat, map F1 l = map F2 l)

```
as we need to explicitly provide the non-inferable argument F 2 , which corresponds here to the term we want to obtain after the rewriting step. In order to perform the rewrite step, one has to provide the term by hand as follows:
```

rewrite (@eq_map _ (fun _ : nat => 0)).
2 goals
l : list nat
============================
forall n : nat, n - n = 0
goal 2 is:
sumlist (map (fun _ : nat => 0) l) = 0
by move=> m; rewrite subnn.
1 goal
l : list nat
=============================
sumlist (map (fun _ : nat => 0) l) = 0

```

The under tactic lets one perform the same operation in a more convenient way:
```

Lemma example_map l : sumlist (map (fun m => m - m) l) = 0.
1 goal
l : list nat
=============================
sumlist (map (fun m : nat => m - m) l) = 0
under eq_map => m do rewrite subnn.
1 goal
l : list nat

```
```

=============================
sumlist (map (fun _ : nat => 0) l) = 0

```

\section*{The under tactic}

The convenience under tactic supports the following syntax:

It operates under the context proved to be extensional by lemma term.
Error: Incorrect number of tactics (expected N tactics, was given M). This error can occur when using the version with a do clause.
The multiplier part of \(r\) _prefix is not supported.
We distinguish two modes: interactive mode, without a do clause, and one-liner mode, with a do clause, which are explained in more detail below.

\section*{Interactive mode}

Let us redo the running example in interactive mode.
```

Example
Lemma example_map l : sumlist (map (fun m m m - m) l) = 0.
1 goal
l : list nat
==============================
sumlist (map (fun m : nat => m - m) l) = 0
under eq_map => m.
2 focused goals (shelved: 1)
l : list nat
m : nat
==============================
'Under [ m - m ]
goal 2 is:
sumlist (map ?Goal l) = 0
rewrite subnn.
2 focused goals (shelved: 1)
l : list nat
m : nat
==============================
'Under[ 0 ]

```
```

    goal 2 is:
    sumlist (map ?Goal l) = 0
    over.
1 goal
l : list nat
=============================
sumlist (map (fun _ : nat => 0) l) = 0

```

The execution of the Ltac expression:
```

under term => [ i_item | | .. | i_itemn ].

```
involves the following steps.
1. It performs a rewrite term without failing like in the first example with rewrite eq_map., but creating evars (see evar). If term is prefixed by a pattern or an occurrence selector, then the modifiers are honoured.
2. As an \(n\)-branch intro pattern is provided, under checks that \(n+1\) subgoals have been created. The last one is the main subgoal, while the other ones correspond to premises of the rewrite rule (such as forall \(n, F 1 n=\) F2 n for eq_map).
3. If so, under puts these n goals in head normal form (using the defective form of the tactic move), then executes the corresponding intro pattern \(i \_p a t t e r n_{i}\) in each goal.
4. Then, under checks that the first \(n\) subgoals are (quantified) Leibniz equalities, double implications or registered relations (w.r.t. Class RewriteRelation) between a term and an evar, e.g., \(m-m=? F 2 \mathrm{~m}\) in the running example. (This support for setoid-like relations is enabled as soon as one does both Require Import ssreflect. and Require Setoid.)
5. If so under protects these \(n\) goals against an accidental instantiation of the evar. These protected goals are displayed using the 'Under [ ... ] notation (e.g. 'Under [ m - m ] in the running example).
6. The expression inside the 'Under [ ... ] notation can be proved equivalent to the desired expression by using a regular rewrite tactic.
7. Interactive editing of the first n goals has to be signalled by using the over tactic or rewrite rule (see below), which requires that the underlying relation is reflexive. (The running example deals with Leibniz equality, but PreOrder relations are also supported, for example.)
8. Finally, a post-processing step is performed in the main goal to keep the name(s) for the bound variables chosen by the user in the intro pattern for the first branch.

\section*{The over tactic}

Two equivalent facilities (a terminator and a lemma) are provided to close intermediate subgoals generated by under (i.e., goals displayed as 'Under [ ... ]):

\section*{Tactic: over}

This terminator tactic allows one to close goals of the form 'Under [ ... ].

\section*{Variant: by rewrite over}

This is a variant of over in order to close 'Under [ ... ] goals, relying on the over rewrite rule.
Note that a rewrite rule UnderE is available as well, if one wants to "unprotect" the evar, without closing the goal automatically (e.g., to instantiate it manually with another rule than reflexivity).

\section*{One-liner mode}

The Ltac expression:
under term => [ i_item \(1_{1}\) | ... | i_item \(]_{n}\) do [ tactic \({ }_{1}\) | ... | tactic \({ }_{n}\) ].
can be seen as a shorter form for the following expression:
```

(under term) => [ i_item | | ... | i_item | ]; [ tactici; over | ... | tacticn;
over | cbv beta iota ].

```

Notes:
- The beta-iota reduction here is useful to get rid of the beta redexes that could be introduced after the substitution of the evars by the under tactic.
- Note that the provided tactics can as well involve other under tactics. See below for a typical example involving the bigop theory from the Mathematical Components library.
- If there is only one tactic, the brackets can be omitted, e.g.: under term => i do tactic. and that shorter form should be preferred.
- If the do clause is provided and the intro pattern is omitted, then the default i_item * is applied to each branch. E.g., the Ltac expression under term do [ tactic \(1 . . . \mid t a c t i c_{n}\) ] is equivalent to under term => [ * | ... | * ] do [ tactic \({ }_{1}\) | ... | tactic \({ }_{n}\) ] (and it can be noted here that the under tactic performs a move. before processing the intro patterns \(=>\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll|l|}* \\ *\end{array}\right]\).

\section*{Example}
```

Parameter addnC : forall m n : nat, m + n = n + m.
Parameter muln1 : forall n : nat, n * 1 = n.
Check eq_bigr.
eq_bigr
: forall (n m : nat) (P : nat -> bool) (F1 F2 : nat -> nat),
(forall x : nat, P x -> F1 x = F2 x) ->
\sum_(n <= i < m | P i) F1 i = \sum_(n <= i < m | P i) F2 i
Check eq_big.
eq_big
: forall (n m : nat) (P1 P2 : nat -> bool) (F1 F2 : nat -> nat),
(forall x : nat, P1 x = P2 x) ->
(forall i : nat, P1 i -> F1 i = F2 i) ->
\sum_(n<= i < m | P1 i) F1 i = \sum_(n <= i < m | P2 i) F2 i
Lemma test_big_nested (m n : nat) :
\sum_(0<=a<m | prime a) \sum_(0<= j < n | odd (j * 1)) (a + j) =
\sum_(0<= i < m | prime i) \sum_(0<= j < n | odd j) (j + i).
1 goal
m, n : nat
=============================
\sum_(0<=a<m | prime a) \sum_(0<= j < n | odd (j * 1)) (a + j) =
\sum_(0<= i < m | prime i) \sum_(0<= j<n | odd j) (j + i)
under eq_bigr => i prime_i do
under eq_big => [ j | j odd_j ] do
[ rewrite (muln1 j) | rewrite (addnC i j) ].

```
```

1 goal

```
```

m, n : nat
=============================
\sum_(0<= i < m | prime i) \sum_(0<= j < n | odd j) (j + i) =
\sum_(0<= i < m | prime i) \sum_(0<= j < n | odd j) (j + i)

```

Remark how the final goal uses the name i (the name given in the intro pattern) rather than a in the binder of the first summation.

\section*{Locking, unlocking}

As program proofs tend to generate large goals, it is important to be able to control the partial evaluation performed by the simplification operations that are performed by the tactics. These evaluations can, for example, come from a \(/=\) simplification switch, or from rewrite steps, which may expand large terms while performing conversion. We definitely want to avoid repeating large subterms of the goal in the proof script. We do this by "clamping down" selected function symbols in the goal, which prevents them from being considered in simplification or rewriting steps. This clamping is accomplished by using the occurrence switches (see Section Abbreviations) together with "term tagging" operations.

SSReflect provides two levels of tagging.
The first one uses auxiliary definitions to introduce a provably equal copy of any term \(t\). However this copy is (on purpose) not convertible to \(t\) in the Coq system \({ }^{41}\). The job is done by the following construction:
```

Lemma master_key : unit. Proof. exact tt. Qed.
Definition locked A := let: tt := master_key in fun x : A => x.
Lemma lock : forall A x, x = locked x :> A.

```

Note that the definition of master_key is explicitly opaque. The equation \(t=\) locked \(t\) given by the lock lemma can be used for selective rewriting, blocking on the fly the reduction in the term \(t\).

\section*{Example}
```

Variable A : Type.
A is declared
Fixpoint has (p : A -> bool) (l : list A) : bool :=
if l is cons x l then p x || (has p l) else false.
has is defined
has is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)
Lemma test p x y l (H : p x = true) : has p ( x :: y :: l) = true.
1 goal
A : Type
p : A -> bool
x, y : A
l : list A
H : p x = true
=============================
has p (x :: y :: l) = true

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{41}\) This is an implementation feature: there is no such obstruction in the metatheory.
}
```

rewrite {2}[cons]lock /= -lock.
1 goal
A : Type
p : A -> bool
x, y : A
l : list A
H : p x = true
=============================
p x || has p (y :: l) = true

```

It is sometimes desirable to globally prevent a definition from being expanded by simplification; this is done by adding locked in the definition.

\section*{Example}
```

Definition lid := locked (fun x : nat => x).
lid is defined
Lemma test : lid 3 = 3.
1 goal
=============================
lid 3 = 3
rewrite /=.
1 goal
=============================
lid 3 = 3
unlock lid.
1 goal
=============================
3=3

```

Tactic: unlock occ_switch \({ }^{?}\) ident
This tactic unfolds such definitions while removing "locks"; i.e., it replaces the occurrence(s) of ident coded by the occ_switch with the corresponding body.

We found that it was usually preferable to prevent the expansion of some functions by the partial evaluation switch /=, unless this allowed the evaluation of a condition. This is possible thanks to another mechanism of term tagging, resting on the following Notation:
```

Notation "'nosimpl' t" := (let: tt := tt in t).

```

The term (nosimpl t) simplifies to \(t\) except in a definition. More precisely, given:
```

Definition foo := (nosimpl bar).

```
the term foo (or (foo \(t^{\prime}\) )) will not be expanded by the simpl tactic unless it is in a forcing context (e.g., in match
foo \(t^{\prime}\) with ... end, foo \(t^{\prime}\) will be reduced if this allows match to be reduced). Note that nosimpl bar is simply notation for a term that reduces to bar; hence unfold foo will replace fooby bar, and fold foo will replace bar by foo.

Warning: The nosimpl trick only works if no reduction is apparent in \(t\); in particular, the declaration:
```

Definition foo x := nosimpl (bar x).

```
will usually not work. Anyway, the common practice is to tag only the function, and to use the following definition, which blocks the reduction as expected:
```

Definition foo x := nosimpl bar x.

```

A standard example making this technique shine is the case of arithmetic operations. We define for instance:
Definition addn := nosimpl plus.
The operation addn behaves exactly like plus, except that (addn ( \(S \mathrm{n}\) ) m) will not simplify spontaneously to ( S (addn n m ) ) (the two terms, however, are convertible). In addition, the unfolding step rewrite /addn will replace addn directly with plus, so the nosimpl form is essentially invisible.

\section*{Congruence}

Because of the way matching interferes with parameters of type families, the tactic:
apply: my_congr_property.
will generally fail to perform congruence simplification, even on rather simple cases. We therefore provide a more robust alternative in which the function is supplied:

Tactic: congr natural ? term
This tactic:
- checks that the goal is a Leibniz equality;
- matches both sides of this equality with "term applied to some arguments", inferring the right number of arguments from the goal and the type of term (this may expand some definitions or fixpoints);
- generates the subgoals corresponding to pairwise equalities of the arguments present in the goal.

The goal can be a non-dependent product \(P \rightarrow Q\). In that case, the system asserts the equation \(P=Q\), uses it to solve the goal, and calls the congr tactic on the remaining goal \(P=Q\). This can be useful for instance to perform a transitivity step, like in the following situation.
```

Example
Lemma test (x y z : nat) (H : x = y) : x = z.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
H : x = y
==============================
x = z
congr (_ = _) : H.

```

1 goal
\(x, y, z\) : nat
\(===========================\)
\(y=z\)
Abort.
Lemma test ( \(x\) y \(z\) : nat) \(: x=y->x=z\).
1 goal
\(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\) : nat
\(==========================\)
\(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{z}\)
congr (_ = _).
1 goal
x, \(y, z\) : nat
\(===========================\)
\(y=z\)

The optional natural forces the number of arguments for which the tactic should generate equality proof obligations.
This tactic supports equalities between applications with dependent arguments. Yet dependent arguments should have exactly the same parameters on both sides, and these parameters should appear as first arguments.

\section*{Example}
```

Definition f n :=
if }n\mathrm{ is }0\mathrm{ then plus else mult.
f is defined
Definition g (n m : nat) := plus.
g is defined
Lemma test x y : f 0 x y = g 1 1 x y.
1 goal
x, y : nat
=============================
f 0 x y = g 1 1 x y
congr plus.
No more goals.

```

This script shows that the congr tactic matches plus with \(f 0\) on the left hand side and \(g 11\) on the right hand side, and solves the goal.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test n m (Hnm : m <= n) : S m + (S n - Sm) = S n.
1 goal
n, m : nat
Hnm : m <= n
=============================
Sm+(Sn-Sm)=Sn
congr S; rewrite -/plus.
1 goal
n, m : nat
Hnm : m <= n
============================
m+(Sn-Sm)=n

```

The tactic rewrite -/plus folds back the expansion of plus, which was necessary for matching both sides of the equality with an application of \(S\).

Like most SSReflect arguments, term can contain wildcards.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test x y : x + (y* (y + x - x) ) = x * 1 + (y + 0) * y.
1 goal
x, y : nat
=============================
x + y* (y + x - x ) = x * 1 + (y + 0) * y
congr ( _ + (_ * _)).
3 goals
x, y : nat
==============================
x = x * 1
goal 2 is:
y = y + 0
goal 3 is:
y+x-x = y

```

\section*{Contextual patterns}

The simple form of patterns used so far, terms possibly containing wild cards, often requires an additional occ_switch to be specified. While this may work pretty fine for small goals, the use of polymorphic functions and dependent types may lead to an invisible duplication of function arguments. These copies usually end up in types hidden by the implicitarguments machinery or by user-defined notations. In these situations, computing the right occurrence numbers is very tedious, because they must be counted on the goal as printed after setting the Printing All flag. Moreover, the resulting script is not really informative for the reader, since it refers to occurrence numbers he cannot easily see.

Contextual patterns mitigate these issues by allowing to specify occurrences according to the context they occur in.

\section*{Syntax}

The following table summarizes the full syntax of c_pattern and the corresponding subterm(s) identified by the pattern. In the third column, we use s.m.r. for "the subterms matching the redex" specified in the second column.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline C_pattern & redex & subterms affected \\
\hline term & term & all occurrences of term \\
\hline ident in term & \begin{tabular}{l} 
subterm of term se- \\
lected by ident
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
all the subterms identified by ident in all the occurrences of \\
term
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
term1 in ident \\
in term2
\end{tabular} & term1 in all s.m.r. & \begin{tabular}{l} 
in all the subterms identified by ident in all the occurrences \\
of term2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
term1 as ident \\
in term2
\end{tabular} & term1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
in all the subterms identified by ident in all the occurrences \\
of term2 [term1 /ident]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The rewrite tactic supports two more patterns obtained prefixing the first two with in. The intended meaning is that the pattern identifies all subterms of the specified context. The rewrite tactic will infer a pattern for the redex looking at the rule used for rewriting.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline r_pattern & redex & subterms affected \\
\hline in term & \begin{tabular}{l} 
inferred from \\
rule
\end{tabular} & in all s.m.r. in all occurrences of term \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
in ident in \\
term
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
inferred from \\
rule
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
in all s.m.r. in all the subterms identified by ident in all the occurrences \\
of term
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The first c_pattern is the simplest form matching any context but selecting a specific redex and has been described in the previous sections. We have seen so far that the possibility of selecting a redex using a term with holes is already a powerful means of redex selection. Similarly, any terms provided by the user in the more complex forms of c_patterns presented in the tables above can contain holes.
For a quick glance at what can be expressed with the last \(r\) _pattern, consider the goal \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}\) and the tactic
```

rewrite [in X in _ = X]rule.

```

It rewrites all occurrences of the left hand side of rule inside b only ( \(a\), and the hidden type of the equality, are ignored). Note that the variant rewrite [X in _ \(=\mathrm{X}\) ] rule would have rewritten bexactly (i.e., it would only work if b and the left-hand side of rule can be unified).

\section*{Matching contextual patterns}

The c_pattern and r_pattern involving terms with holes are matched against the goal in order to find a closed instantiation. This matching proceeds as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline C_pattern & instantiation order and place for term_i and redex \\
\hline term & term is matched against the goal, redex is unified with the instantiation of term \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
ident in \\
term
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
term is matched against the goal, redex is unified with the subterm of the instantiation of term \\
identified by ident
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
term1 in \\
ident in \\
term2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
term2 is matched against the goal, term1 is matched against the subterm of the instantiation \\
of term1 identified by ident, redex is unified with the instantiation of term1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
term1 as \\
ident in \\
term2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
term2 [term1/ident ] is matched against the goal, redex is unified with the instantiation \\
of term1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In the following patterns, the redex is intended to be inferred from the rewrite rule.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline r_pattern & instantiation order and place for term_i and redex \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
in ident in \\
term
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
term is matched against the goal, the redex is matched against the subterm of the instantiation \\
of term identified by ident
\end{tabular} \\
\hline in term & term is matched against the goal, redex is matched against the instantiation of term \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Examples}

\section*{Contextual pattern in set and the : tactical}

As already mentioned in Section Abbreviations, the set tactic takes as an argument a term in open syntax. This term is interpreted as the simplest form of c_pattern. To avoid confusion in the grammar, open syntax is supported only for the simplest form of patterns, while parentheses are required around more complex patterns.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test a b : a + b + 1 = b + (a + 1).
1 goal
a, b : nat
==============================
a+b}+1=b+(a+1
set t := (X in _ = X).
1 goal
a, b : nat
t := b + (a + 1) : nat
=============================
a+b+1=t
rewrite {}/t.
1 goal
a, b : nat
=============================
a}+\textrm{b}+1=\textrm{b}+(\textrm{a}+1
set t := (a + _ in X in _ = X).
1 goal
a, b : nat
t := a + 1 : nat
=============================
a}+b+1=b+

```

Since the user may define an infix notation for in, the result of the former tactic may be ambiguous. The disambiguation rule implemented is to prefer patterns over simple terms, but to interpret a pattern with double parentheses as a simple term. For example, the following tactic would capture any occurrence of the term a in A.
```

set t := ((a in A)).

```

Contextual patterns can also be used as arguments of the : tactical. For example:
```

elim: n (n in _ = n) (refl_equal n).

```

\section*{Contextual patterns in rewrite}

\section*{Example}
```

Notation "n .+1" := (Datatypes.S n) (at level 2, left associativity,
format "n .+1") : nat_scope.
Axiom addSn : forall m n, m.+1 + n = (m + n).+1.
addSn is declared
Axiom addn0 : forall m, m + 0 = m.
addn0 is declared
Axiom addnC : forall m n, m + n = n + m.
addnC is declared
Lemma test x y z f : (x.+1 + y) + f (x.+1 + y) (z + (x + y).+1) = 0.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
f : nat -> nat -> nat
=============================
x.+1 + y + f (x.+1 + y) (z + (x + y).+1) = 0
rewrite [in f _ _]addSn.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
f : nat -> nat -> nat
=============================
x.+1 + y + f (x + y).+1 (z + (x + y).+1) = 0

```

Note: the simplification rule addSn is applied only under the \(f\) symbol. Then, we simplify also the first addition and expand 0 into \(0+0\).
```

rewrite addSn - [X in _ = X]addn0.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
f : nat -> nat -> nat
=============================
(x + y).+1 + f (x + y).+1 (z + (x + y).+1) = 0 + 0

```

Note that the right-hand side of addn0 is undetermined, but the rewrite pattern specifies the redex explicitly. The right-hand side of addn 0 is unified with the term identified by \(X\), here 0 .
The following pattern does not specify a redex, since it identifies an entire region; hence the rewrite rule has to be instantiated explicitly. Thus the tactic:
```

rewrite - {2}[in X in _ = X](addn0 0).
1 goal

```
```

x, y, z : nat
f : nat -> nat -> nat
==============================
(x+y).+1 + f (x + y).+1 (z+(x+y).+1) = 0 + (0 + 0)

```

The following tactic is quite tricky:
```

rewrite [_.+1 in X in f _ X](addnC x.+1).
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
f : nat -> nat -> nat
==============================
(x+y).+1 + f (x + y).+1 (z + (y + x.+1)) = 0 + (0 + 0)

```

The explicit redex _. +1 is important, since its head constant \(S\) differs from the head constant inferred from (addnC \(\mathrm{x} .+1\) ) (that is + ). Moreover, the pattern \(f \ldots X\) is important to rule out the first occurrence of \((\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) .+1\). Last, only the subterms of \(f \quad X\) identified by \(X\) are rewritten; thus the first argument of \(f\) is skipped too. Also note that the pattern \(._{.}+1\) is interpreted in the context identified by \(X\); thus it gets instantiated to \((y+x) .+1\) and not \((x+\) y) . +1 .

The last rewrite pattern allows to specify exactly the shape of the term identified by X , which is thus unified with the left-hand side of the rewrite rule.
```

rewrite [x.+1 + Y as X in f X _]addnC.
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
f : nat -> nat -> nat
==============================
(x+y).+1+f(y+x.+1) (z+(y+x.+1)) = 0 + (0 + ( + 0 )

```

\section*{Patterns for recurrent contexts}

The user can define shortcuts for recurrent contexts corresponding to the ident in term part. The notation scope identified with \%pattern provides a special notation ( X in \(t\) ) the user must adopt in order to define context shortcuts.

The following example is taken from ssreflect. v , where the LHS and RHS shortcuts are defined.
```

Notation RHS := (X in _ = X) %pattern.
Notation LHS := (X in X = _) %pattern.

```

Shortcuts defined this way can be freely used in place of the trailing ident in term part of any contextual pattern. Some examples follow:
```

set rhs := RHS.
rewrite [in RHS]rule.
case: (a + _ in RHS).

```

\section*{Views and reflection}

The bookkeeping facilities presented in Section Basic tactics are crafted to ease simultaneous introductions and generalizations of facts and operations of casing, naming, etc. It also a common practice to make a stack operation immediately followed by an interpretation of the fact being pushed, that is, to apply a lemma to this fact before passing it to a tactic for decomposition, application and so on.

SSReflect provides a convenient, unified syntax to combine these interpretation operations with the proof stack operations. This view mechanism relies on the combination of the / view switch with bookkeeping tactics and tacticals.

\section*{Interpreting eliminations}

The view syntax combined with the elim tactic specifies an elimination scheme to be used instead of the default, generated, one. Hence, the SSReflect tactic:
elim/V.
is a synonym for:
```

intro top; elim top using V; clear top.

```
where top is a fresh name and V any second-order lemma.
Since an elimination view supports the two bookkeeping tacticals of discharge and introduction (see Section Basic tactics), the SSReflect tactic:
elim/V: \(x=>y\).
is a synonym for:
```

elim x using V; clear x; intro y.

```
where \(x\) is a variable in the context, \(y\) a fresh name and \(V\) any second order lemma; SSReflect relaxes the syntactic restrictions of the Coq elim. The first pattern following : can be a _ wildcard if the conclusion of the view \(V\) specifies a pattern for its last argument (e.g., if \(V\) is a functional induction lemma generated by the Funct ion command).
The elimination view mechanism is compatible with the equation-name generation (see Section Generation of equations).

\section*{Example}

The following script illustrates a toy example of this feature. Let us define a function adding an element at the end of a list:
```

Variable d : Type.
d is declared
Fixpoint add_last (s : list d) (z : d) {struct s} : list d :=
if }S\mathrm{ is cons }x \mp@subsup{S}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ then cons }x\mathrm{ (add_last }\mp@subsup{S}{}{\prime}z) else z :: nil
add_last is defined
add_last is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)

```

One can define an alternative, reversed, induction principle on inductively defined lists, by proving the following lemma:
```

Axiom last_ind_list : forall P : list d -> Prop,
P nil -> (forall s (x : d), P s -> P (add_last s x)) ->
forall s : list d, P s.
last_ind_list is declared

```

Then, the combination of elimination views with equation names results in a concise syntax for reasoning inductively using the user-defined elimination scheme.
```

Lemma test (x : d) (l : list d): l = l.
1 goal
d : Type
x : d
l : list d
=============================
l = l
elim/last_ind_list E : l=> [| u v]; last first.
2 goals
d : Type
x : d
u : list d
v : d
l : list d
E : l = add_last u v
=============================
u = u -> add_last u v = add_last u v
goal 2 is:
nil = nil

```

User-provided eliminators (potentially generated with Coq's Funct ion command) can be combined with the type family switches described in Section Type families. Consider an eliminator foo_ind of type:
foo_ind : forall ..., forall \(x\) : \(T, P\) p1 ... pm.
and consider the tactic:
elim/foo_ind: e1 ... / en.

The elim/ tactic distinguishes two cases.
truncated eliminator when \(x\) does not occur in \(P \quad\) p1 \(\ldots \quad\) pm and the type of en unifies with \(T\) and en is not _. In that case, en is passed to the eliminator as the last argument ( \(x\) in foo_ind) and en-1 ... \(e 1\) are used as patterns to select in the goal the occurrences that will be bound by the predicate \(P\); thus it must be possible to unify the subterm of the goal matched by en-1 with pm, the one matched by en-2 with pm-1 and so on.
regular eliminator in all the other cases. Here it must be possible to unify the term matched by en with pm , the one matched by en-1 with pm-1 and so on. Note that standard eliminators have the shape ...forall \(x, P\)... \(x\); thus en is the pattern identifying the eliminated term, as expected.

As explained in Section Type families, the initial prefix of ei can be omitted.
Here is an example of a regular, but nontrivial, eliminator.

\section*{Example}

Here is a toy example illustrating this feature.
```

Function plus (m n : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
if n is S p then S (plus m p) else m.
plus is defined
plus is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)
plus_equation is defined
plus_rect is defined
plus_ind is defined
plus_rec is defined
R_plus_correct is defined
R_plus_complete is defined
About plus_ind.
plus_ind :
forall [m : nat] [P : nat -> nat -> Prop],
(forall n p : nat, n =S p -> P p (plus m p) -> P (S p) (S (plus m p))) ->
(forall n _x : nat,
n = _x -> match _x with
| 0 => True
| S _ => False
end -> P _x m) -> forall n : nat, P n (plus m n)
plus_ind is not universe polymorphic
Arguments plus_ind [m]%nat_scope [P]%function_scope
(f f0)%function_scope n%nat_scope
plus_ind is transparent
Expands to: Constant Top.Test.plus_ind
Lemma test x y z : plus (plus x y) z = plus x (plus y z).
1 goal
x, y, z : nat
=============================
plus (plus x y) z = plus x (plus y z)

```

The following tactics are all valid and perform the same elimination on this goal.
```

elim/plus_ind: z / (plus _ z).
elim/plus_ind: {z}(plus _ z).
elim/plus_ind: {z}_.
elim/plus_ind: z / _.
elim/plus_ind: z / _.
2 goals
x, y : nat
=============================
forall n p : nat,
n = S p ->
plus (plus x y) p = plus x (plus y p) ->
S (plus (plus x y) p) = plus x (plus y (S p))
goal 2 is:
forall n _x : nat,
n = _x ->
match __x with

```
```

| 0 => True
| S _ => False
end -> plus x y = plus x (plus y _x)

```

The two latter examples feature a wildcard pattern: in this case, the resulting pattern is inferred from the type of the eliminator. In both of these examples, it is (plus _ _) that matches the subterm plus (plus x y) z, thus instantiating the last _ with z. Note that the tactic:
```

Fail elim/plus_ind: y / _.
The command has indeed failed with message:
The given pattern matches the term y while the inferred pattern z doesn't

```
triggers an error: in the conclusion of the plus_ind eliminator, the first argument of the predicate P should be the same as the second argument of plus, in the second argument of P , but y and z do no unify.

Here is an example of a truncated eliminator:

\section*{Example}

Consider the goal:
```

Lemma test p n (n_gt0 : 0 < n) (pr_p : prime p) :
p %| \prod_(i <- prime_decomp n | i \in prime_decomp n) i.1 ^ i.2 ->
exists2 x : nat * nat, x \in prime_decomp n \& p = x.1.
Proof.
elim/big_prop: _ => [| u v IHu IHv | [q e] /=].

```
where the type of the big_prop eliminator is
```

big_prop: forall (R : Type) (Pb : R -> Type)
(idx : R) (op1 : R -> R -> R), Pb idx ->
(forall x y : R, Pb x -> Pb y -> Pb (op1 x y)) ->
forall (I : Type) (r : seq I) (P : pred I) (F : I -> R),
(forall i : I, P i -> Pb (F i)) ->
Pb (\big[op1/idx]_(i <- r | P i) F i).

```
 used instead, and after the introductions, the following goals are generated:
```

subgoal 1 is:
p %| 1 -> exists2 x : nat * nat, x \in prime_decomp n \& p = x.1
subgoal 2 is:
p %| u * v -> exists2 x : nat * nat, x \in prime_decomp n \& p = x.1
subgoal 3 is:
(q, e) \in prime_decomp n -> p %| q ^ e ->
exists2 x : nat * nat, x \in prime_decomp n \& p = x.1.

```

Note that the pattern matching algorithm instantiated all the variables occurring in the pattern.

\section*{Interpreting assumptions}

Interpreting an assumption in the context of a proof consists in applying to it a lemma before generalizing and/or decomposing this assumption. For instance, with the extensive use of boolean reflection (see Section Views and reflection), it is quite frequent to need to decompose the logical interpretation of (the boolean expression of) a fact, rather than the fact itself. This can be achieved by a combination of move : _ \(=>\) _ switches, like in the following example, where |। is a notation for the boolean disjunction.
```

Example
Variables P Q : bool -> Prop.
P is declared
Q is declared
Hypothesis P2Q : forall a b, P (a || b) -> Q a.
P2Q is declared
Lemma test a : P (a || a) -> True.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
P2Q : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) -> Q a
a : bool
==============================
P (a || a) -> True
move=> HPa; move: {HPa}(P2Q HPa) => HQa.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
P2Q : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) -> Q a
a : bool
HQa : Q a
=============================
True

```
which transforms the hypothesis \(\mathrm{HPa}: ~ \mathrm{P}\) a, which has been introduced from the initial statement, into \(\mathrm{HQa}: ~ \mathrm{Q}\) a. This operation is so common that the tactic shell has specific syntax for it. The following scripts:
```

move=> HPa; move/P2Q: HPa => HQa.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
P2Q : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) -> Q a
a : bool
HQa : Q a
=============================
True

```
or more directly:
```

move/P2Q=> HQa.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop

```
```

P2Q : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) -> Q a
a : bool
HQa : Q a
=============================
True

```
are equivalent to the former one. The former script shows how to interpret a fact (already in the context), thanks to the discharge tactical (see Section Discharge), and the latter, how to interpret the top assumption of a goal. Note that the number of wildcards to be inserted to find the correct application of the view lemma to the hypothesis has been automatically inferred.

The view mechanism is compatible with the case tactic and with the equation-name generation mechanism (see Section Generation of equations):

\section*{Example}
```

Variables P Q: bool -> Prop.
P is declared
Q is declared
Hypothesis Q2P : forall a b, Q (a || b) -> P a \/ P b.
Q2P is declared
Lemma test a b : Q (a || b) -> True.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
Q2P : forall a b : bool, Q (a || b) -> P a \/ P b
a, b : bool
==============================
Q (a | | b) -> True
case/Q2P=> [HPa | HPb].
2 goals
P, Q : bool -> Prop
Q2P : forall a b : bool, Q (a || b) -> P a \/ P b
a, b : bool
HPa : P a
==============================
True
goal 2 is:
True

```

This view tactic performs:
```

move=> HQ; case: {HQ}(Q2P HQ) => [HPa | HPb].

```

The term on the right of the / view switch is called a view lemma. Any SSReflect term coercing to a product type can be used as a view lemma.

The examples we have given so far explicitly provide the direction of the translation to be performed. In fact, view lemmas need not to be oriented. The view mechanism is able to detect which application is relevant for the current goal.
```

Example
Variables P Q: bool -> Prop.
P is declared
Q is declared
Hypothesis PQequiv : forall a b, P (a || b) <-> Q a.
PQequiv is declared
Lemma test a b : P (a || b) -> True.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
PQequiv : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) <-> Q a
a, b : bool
==============================
P (a | | b) -> True
move/PQequiv=> HQab.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
PQequiv : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) <-> Q a
a, b : bool
HQab : Q a
=============================
True

```
has the same behavior as the first example above.
The view mechanism can insert automatically a view hint to transform the double implication into the expected simple implication. The last script is in fact equivalent to:
```

Lemma test a b : P (a || b) -> True.
move/(iffLR (PQequiv _ _)).

```
where:
Lemma iffLR \(P\) Q: ( \(<->Q\) ) \(->P->Q\).

\section*{Specializing assumptions}

The special case when the head symbol of the view lemma is a wildcard is used to interpret an assumption by specializing it. The view mechanism hence offers the possibility to apply a higher-order assumption to some given arguments.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test z : (forall x y, x + y = z -> z = x) -> z = 0.
1 goal
z : nat
==============================
(forall x y : nat, x + y = z -> z = x) -> z = 0

```
```

move/(__ O z).
1 goal
z : nat
==============================
(0+z=z - z z=0) -> z = 0

```

\section*{Interpreting goals}

In a similar way, it is also often convenient to change a goal by turning it into an equivalent proposition. The view mechanism of SSReflect has a special syntax apply/ for combining in a single tactic simultaneous goal interpretation operations and bookkeeping steps.

\section*{Example}

The following example use the \(\sim \sim\) prenex notation for boolean negation:
```

Variables P Q: bool -> Prop.
P is declared
Q is declared
Hypothesis PQequiv : forall a b, P (a || b) <-> Q a.
PQequiv is declared
Lemma test a : P ((~~ a) || a).
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
PQequiv : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) <-> Q a
a : bool
==============================
P (~~ a | | a)
apply/PQequiv.
1 goal
P, Q : bool -> Prop
PQequiv : forall a b : bool, P (a || b) <-> Q a
a : bool
==============================
Q (~~ a)

```
thus in this case, the tactic apply/PQequiv is equivalent to apply: (iffRL (PQequiv _ _)), where iffRL is the analogue of iffle for the converse implication.

Any SSReflect term whose type coerces to a double implication can be used as a view for goal interpretation.
Note that the goal interpretation view mechanism supports both apply and exact tactics. As expected, a goal interpretation view command exact/term should solve the current goal or it will fail.

Warning: Goal-interpretation view tactics are not compatible with the bookkeeping tactical \(=>\), since this would be redundant with the apply: term => _ construction.

\section*{Boolean reflection}

In the Calculus of Inductive Constructions, there is an obvious distinction between logical propositions and boolean values. On the one hand, logical propositions are objects of sort Prop, which is the carrier of intuitionistic reasoning. Logical connectives in Prop are types, which give precise information on the structure of their proofs; this information is automatically exploited by Coq tactics. For example, Coq knows that a proof of \(A \backslash / B\) is either a proof of \(A\) or a proof of B. The tactics left and right change the goal A \(\backslash / B\) to A and B, respectively; dually, the tactic case reduces the goal \(A \backslash / B=>G\) to two subgoals \(A=>G\) and \(B \Rightarrow G\).
On the other hand, bool is an inductive datatype with two constructors: \(t r u e\) and false. Logical connectives on bool are computable functions, defined by their truth tables, using case analysis:
```

Example
Definition orb (b1 b2 : bool) := if b1 then true else b2.
orb is defined

```

Properties of such connectives are also established using case analysis
```

Example
Lemma test b : b || ~~ b = true.
1 goal
b : bool
==============================
b | | ~~ b = true
by case: b.
No more goals.

```

Once \(b\) is replaced by true in the first goal and by false in the second one, the goals reduce by computation to the trivial true \(=\) true.

Thus, Prop and bool are truly complementary: the former supports robust natural deduction; the latter allows bruteforce evaluation. SSReflect supplies a generic mechanism to have the best of the two worlds and move freely from a propositional version of a decidable predicate to its boolean version.

First, booleans are injected into propositions using the coercion mechanism:
```

Coercion is_true (b : bool) := b = true.

```

This allows any boolean formula b to be used in a context where Coq would expect a proposition, e.g., after Lemma ... \(:\). It is then interpreted as (is_true b), i.e., the proposition \(b=t r u e\). Coercions are elided by the pretty-printer; so they are essentially transparent to the user.

\section*{The reflect predicate}

To get all the benefits of the boolean reflection, it is in fact convenient to introduce the following inductive predicate reflect to relate propositions and booleans:
```

Inductive reflect (P: Prop): bool -> Type :=
| Reflect_true : P -> reflect P true
| Reflect_false : ~P -> reflect P false.

```

The statement (reflect P b) asserts that (is_true b) and P are logically equivalent propositions.
For instance, the following lemma:
```

Lemma andP: forall b1 b2, reflect (b1 /\ b2) (b1 \&\& b2).

```
relates the boolean conjunction to the logical one \(八\). Note that in andP, b1 and b2 are two boolean variables and the proposition b1 \(/ \backslash\) b2 hides two coercions. The conjunction of b1 and b2 can then be viewed as b1 \(/ \backslash \mathrm{b} 2\) or as b1 \& \& b2.

Expressing logical equivalences through this family of inductive types makes possible to take benefit from rewritable equations associated to the case analysis of Coq's inductive types.

Since the equivalence predicate is defined in Coq as:
```

Definition iff (A B:Prop) := (A -> B) /\ (B -> A).

```
where \(/ \backslash\) is a notation for and:
```

Inductive and (A B:Prop) : Prop := conj : A }->B>>\mathrm{ and A B.

```

This makes case analysis very different according to the way an equivalence property has been defined.
```

Lemma andE (b1 b2 : bool) : (b1 /\ b2) <-> (b1 \&\& b2).

```

Let us compare the respective behaviors of andE and andP.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (b1 b2 : bool) : if (b1 \&\& b2) then b1 else ~~(b1||b2).
1 goal
b1, b2 : bool
=============================
if b1 \&\& b2 then b1 else ~~ (b1 || b2)
case: (@andE b1 b2).
goal
b1, b2 : bool
=============================
(b1 /\ b2 -> b1 \&\& b2) ->
(b1 \&\& b2 -> b1 /\ b2) -> if b1 \&\& b2 then b1 else ~~ (b1 || b2)
case: (@andP b1 b2).
2 goals
b1, b2 : bool

```
```

    ==============================
    b1 /\ b2 -> b1
    goal 2 is:
(b1 /\ b2) -> ~~ (b1 | | b2)

```

Expressing reflection relations through the reflect predicate is hence a very convenient way to deal with classical reasoning, by case analysis. Using the reflect predicate allows, moreover, to program rich specifications inside its two constructors, which will be automatically taken into account during destruction. This formalisation style gives far more efficient specifications than quantified (double) implications.

A naming convention in SSReflect is to postfix the name of view lemmas with P. For example, orP relates \(|\mid\) and \(\backslash /\); negP relates \(\sim \sim\) and \(\sim\).

The view mechanism is compatible with reflect predicates.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (a b : bool) (Ha : a) (Hb : b) : a /\ b.
1 goal
a, b : bool
Ha : a
Hb : b
=============================
a /\ b
apply/andP.
1 goal
a, b : bool
Ha : a
Hb : b
=============================
a \&\& b

```

Conversely
```

Lemma test (a b : bool) : a /\ b -> a.
1 goal
a, b : bool
==============================
a /\ b -> a
move / andP.
1 goal
a, b : bool
=============================
a \&\& b -> a

```

The same tactics can also be used to perform the converse operation, changing a boolean conjunction into a logical one. The view mechanism guesses the direction of the transformation to be used, i.e., the constructor of the reflect predicate that should be chosen.

\section*{General mechanism for interpreting goals and assumptions}

\section*{Specializing assumptions}

The SSReflect tactic:
```

move/(_ term1 ... termn).

```
is equivalent to the tactic:
intro top; generalize (top term1 ... termn); clear top.
where top is a fresh name for introducing the top assumption of the current goal.

\section*{Interpreting assumptions}

The general form of an assumption view tactic is:
Variant: move | case / term
The term, called the view lemma, can be:
- a (term coercible to a) function;
- a (possibly quantified) implication;
- a (possibly quantified) double implication;
- a (possibly quantified) instance of the reflect predicate (see Section Views and reflection).

Let t op be the top assumption in the goal.
There are three steps in the behavior of an assumption view tactic.
- It first introduces top.
- If the type of term is neither a double implication nor an instance of the reflect predicate, then the tactic automatically generalises a term of the form term term1 ... termn, where the terms term1 ... termn instantiate the possible quantified variables of term, in order for (term term1 ... termn top) to be well typed.
- If the type of term is an equivalence, or an instance of the reflect predicate, it generalises a term of the form (termvh (term term1 ... termn )), where the term termvh inserted is called an assumption interpretation view hint.
- It finally clears top.

For a case/term tactic, the generalisation step is replaced by a case analysis step.
View hints are declared by the user (see Section Views and reflection) and stored in the Hint View database. The proof engine automatically detects from the shape of the top assumption top and of the view lemma term provided to the tactic the appropriate view hint in the database to be inserted.

If term is a double implication, then the view hint will be one of the defined view hints for implication. These hints are by default the ones present in the file ssreflect.v:
```

Lemma iffLR : forall P Q, (P <-> Q) -> P -> Q.

```
which transforms a double implication into the left-to-right one, or:
Lemma iffRL: forall \(P Q,(P<->Q)->Q \rightarrow P\).
which produces the converse implication. In both cases, the two first Prop arguments are implicit.
If term is an instance of the reflect predicate, then A will be one of the defined view hints for the reflect predicate, which are by default the ones present in the file ssrbool.v. These hints are not only used for choosing the appropriate direction of the translation, but they also allow complex transformation, involving negations.
```

Example
Check introN.
introN
: forall (P : Prop) (b : bool), reflect P b -> ~ P -> ~~ b
Lemma test (a b : bool) (Ha : a) (Hb : b) : ~~ (a \&\& b).
1 goal
a, b : bool
Ha : a
Hb : b
=============================
~~ (a \&\& b)
apply/andP.
1 goal
a, b : bool
Ha : a
Hb : b
=============================
~ (a /\ b)

```

In fact, this last script does not exactly use the hint intron, but the more general hint:
```

Check introNTF.
introNTF
: forall (P : Prop) (b c : bool),
reflect P b -> (if c then ~ P else P) -> ~~ b = c

```

The lemma introN is an instantiation of introNF using c := true.

Note that views, being part of i_pattern, can be used to interpret assertions too. For example, the following script asserts \(a \& \& b\), but actually uses its propositional interpretation.

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (a b : bool) (pab : b \&\& a) : b.
1 goal
a, b : bool
pab : b \&\& a
=============================
b
have /andP [pa ->] : (a \&\& b) by rewrite andbC.
1 goal
a, b : bool

```
```

pab : b \&\& a
pa : a
===========================
true

```

\section*{Interpreting goals}

A goal interpretation view tactic of the form:
Variant: apply/term
applied to a goal top is interpreted in the following way.
- If the type of term is not an instance of the reflect predicate, nor an equivalence, then the term term is applied to the current goal top, possibly inserting implicit arguments.
- If the type of term is an instance of the reflect predicate or an equivalence, then a goal interpretation view hint can possibly be inserted, which corresponds to the application of a term (termvh (term _ ... _) ) to the current goal, possibly inserting implicit arguments.

Like assumption interpretation view hints, goal interpretation ones are user-defined lemmas stored (see Section Views and reflection) in the Hint View database, bridging the possible gap between the type of term and the type of the goal.

\section*{Interpreting equivalences}

Equivalent boolean propositions are simply equal boolean terms. A special construction helps the user to prove boolean equalities by considering them as logical double implications (between their coerced versions), while performing at the same time logical operations on both sides.

The syntax of double views is:
Variant: apply/term/term
The first term is the view lemma applied to the left-hand side of the equality, while the second term is the one applied to the right-hand side.
In this context, the identity view can be used when no view has to be applied:
```

Lemma idP : reflect b1 b1.

```

\section*{Example}
```

Lemma test (b1 b2 b3 : bool) : ~~ (b1 || b2) = b3.
1 goal
b1, b2, b3 : bool
=============================
~~ (b1 | | b2) = b3
apply/idP/idP.
2 goals
b1, b2, b3 : bool

```
        \(==========================\)
~~ (b1 | | b2) -> b3
goal 2 is:
b3 -> ~~ (b1 || b2)

The same goal can be decomposed in several ways, and the user may choose the most convenient interpretation.
```

Lemma test (b1 b2 b3 : bool) : ~~ (b1 || b2) = b3.
1 goal
b1, b2, b3 : bool
=============================
~~ (b1 | | b2) = b3
apply/norP/idP.
2 goals
b1, b2, b3 : bool
=============================
~~ b1 /\ ~~ b2 -> b3
goal 2 is:
b3 -> ~~ b1 /\ ~~ b2

```

\section*{Declaring new Hint Views}

Command: Hint View for move / ident I natural?
Command: Hint View for apply / ident I natural?
This command can be used to extend the database of hints for the view mechanism.
As library ssrbool.v already declares a corpus of hints, this feature is probably useful only for users who define their own logical connectives.

The ident is the name of the lemma to be declared as a hint. If move is used as tactic, the hint is declared for assumption interpretation tactics; apply declares hints for goal interpretations. Goal interpretation view hints are declared for both simple views and left-hand side views. The optional natural number is the number of implicit arguments to be considered for the declared hint view lemma.
Variant: Hint View for apply//ident I natural?
This variant with a double slash / / declares hint views for right-hand sides of double views.
See the files ssreflect.v and ssrbool.v for examples.

\section*{Multiple views}

The hypotheses and the goal can be interpreted by applying multiple views in sequence. Both move and apply can be followed by an arbitrary number of / term. The main difference between the following two tactics
apply/v1/v2/v3.
apply/v1; apply/v2; apply/v3.
is that the former applies all the views to the principal goal. Applying a view with hypotheses generates new goals, and the second line would apply the view v 2 to all the goals generated by apply/v1.

Note that the NO-OP intro pattern - can be used to separate two views, making the two following examples equivalent:
```

move=> /v1; move=> /v2.
move=> /v1 - /v2.

```

The tactic move can be used together with the in tactical to pass a given hypothesis to a lemma.
```

Example
Variable P2Q : P -> Q.
P2Q is declared
Variable Q2R : Q -> R.
Q2R is declared
Lemma test (p : P) : True.
1 goal
P, Q, R : Prop
P2Q : P -> Q
Q2R : Q -> R
p : P
==============================
True
move/P2Q/Q2R in p.
1 goal
P, Q, R : Prop
P2Q : P -> Q
Q2R : Q -> R
p : R
==============================
True

```

If the list of views is of length two, Hint Views for interpreting equivalences are indeed taken into account; otherwise only single Hint Views are used.

\section*{Synopsis and Index}

\section*{Parameters}

SSReflect tactics
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline d_tactic & elim & case & congr & apply & exact & move \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notation scope
key \(::=\) ident
Module name
```

modname ::= qualid

```

Natural number
\[
\text { nat_or_ident } \quad::=\quad \text { natural } \quad \text { ident }
\]
where \(i\) dent is an Ltac variable denoting a standard Coq number (should not be the name of a tactic that can be followed by a bracket [, such as do, have,...)

\section*{Items and switches}
\[
\text { ssr_binder }::=\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { ident } & \left(\text { ident }: \text { term }^{?} \text { ? }\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
binder (see Abbreviations)
\[
\text { clear_switch }::=\left\{\text { ident }^{+}\right\}
\]
clear switch (see Discharge)
\[
\text { c_pattern }::=\text { term in }_{\text {term } \mathbf{~ a s}}{ }^{?} \text { ident in term }
\]
context pattern (see Contextual patterns)
\[
\text { d_item }::=\text { occ_switch }^{\text {clear_switch }}{ }^{?} \text { term } \mid(\text { c_pattern }) ?
\]
discharge item (see Discharge)
\[
\text { gen_item }::=\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
@^{\mathbf{?}} \text { ident } & \text { ( ident }) & \left(@^{?} \text { ident }:=\text { c_pattern }\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
generalization item (see Structure)

intro pattern (see Introduction in the context)
i_item : := clear_switch \(\mid\) s_item \(\quad\) i_pattern \(\mid\) i_view \(\mid\) i_block
view (see Introduction in the context)
\[
\text { i_view } \quad:=\{ \}^{?} \text { Iterm } \quad \text { /ltac:( tactic ) }
\]
intro block (see Introduction in the context)
\[
\text { i_block }::=\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l|}
{[\wedge \text { ident }]} & {[\wedge \sim \text { ident }} & \text { natural }] \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
\]
intro item (see Introduction in the context)
\[
\text { int_mult } \quad::=\text { natural }^{?} \text { mult_mark }
\]
multiplier (see Iteration)
\[
\text { occ_switch } \quad::=\left\{+\quad-{ }^{\mathbf{?}} \text { natural }^{*}\right\}
\]
occur. switch (see Occurrence selection)
\[
\text { mult }::=\text { natural }^{\boldsymbol{?}} \text { mult_mark }
\]
multiplier (see Iteration)
\[
\text { mult_mark }::=?
\]
multiplier mark (see Iteration)
\[
\text { r_item } \quad::=\| ? \text { term } \quad \text { s_item }
\]
rewrite item (see Rewriting)
\[
\left.r_{\_} \text {prefix } \quad::=-\boldsymbol{?} \text { int_mult }^{\boldsymbol{?}} \text { occ_switch } \text { clear_switch }^{\boldsymbol{?}} \text { [r_pattern }\right]_{?}
\]
rewrite prefix (see Rewriting)
r_pattern \(::=\)\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline term & c_pattern & in ident in term \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
rewrite pattern (see Rewriting)
\[
\text { r_step }::=r_{\text {_prefix }}{ }^{\boldsymbol{?}} r_{-} \text {item }
\]
rewrite step (see Rewriting)
\[
\text { s_item }::=/=\mid / / / /=
\]
simplify switch (see Introduction in the context)

\section*{Tactics}

Note: without loss and suffices are synonyms for wlog and suff, respectively.

\section*{Tactic: move}
idtac or hnf (see Bookkeeping)
Tactic: apply
Tactic: exact
application (see The defective tactics)
Variant: abstract: d_item \({ }^{+}\)
(see The abstract tactic and Generating let in context entries with have)
Variant: elim
induction (see The defective tactics)
Variant: case
case analysis (see The defective tactics)
Variant: rewrite r_step \({ }^{+}\)
rewrite (see Rewriting)
Tactic: under r_prefix \({ }^{?}\) term \(=>\) i_item \(^{\text {? }}\) under (see Rewriting under binders)

Tactic: over
over (see The over tactic)


Tactic: have suff clear_switch \({ }^{\text {? }}\) i_pattern \({ }^{?}\) : term? \(:=\) term
Tactic: have suff clear_switch? i_pattern ? term by tactic ?
Tactic: gen have ident , ? i_pattern \({ }^{?}\) ? gen_item \({ }^{+}\)/ term by tactic ?
Tactic: generally have ident , ? i_pattern ? gen_item \({ }^{+}\)/ term by tactic ? forward chaining (see Structure)
Tactic: wlog suff \({ }^{?}\) i_item \({ }^{?}\) : gen_item clear_switch \(^{\star}\) / term specializing (see Structure)
Tactic: suff i_item \({ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}\) i_pattern \(^{\text {? }}\) ssr_binder \(^{+}\): term by tactic \({ }^{\text {? }}\)
Tactic: suffices i_item \({ }^{\star}\) i_pattern \({ }^{\text {? }}\) ssr_binder \({ }^{+}\): term by tactic ?
Tactic: suff have ? clear_switch ? i_pattern ? term by tactic?
Tactic: suffices have ? clear_switch ? i_pattern ? : term by tactic? backchaining (see Structure)

Variant: pose ident \(:=\) term local definition (see Definitions)

Variant: pose ident ssr_binder \({ }^{+}:=\)term local function definition

Variant: pose fix fix_decl local fix definition

Variant: pose cofix fix_decl local cofix definition
Tactic: set ident \(:\) term \(^{?}:=\) occ_switch \(^{?}\) term ( c_pattern) abbreviation (see Abbreviations)

Tactic: unlock

unlock (see Locking, unlocking)
Tactic: congr natural ? term congruence (see Congruence)

\section*{Tacticals}
\[
\text { tactic }+=\quad \text { d_tactic ident }{ }^{\mathbf{?}}: \text { d_item }^{+}{ }_{\text {clear_switch }}{ }^{?}
\]
discharge (see Discharge)
\[
\text { tactic }+=\quad \text { tactic }=>\text { i_item }^{+}
\]
introduction (see Introduction in the context)
\[
\text { tactic += tactic in gen_item } \text { clear_switch }^{+}{ }^{+} \text {? }
\]
localization (see Localization)
\[
\text { tactic }+=\text { do mult } ? \text { tactic } \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\left.\hline \text { tactic } \frac{+}{\square}\right] \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
iteration (see Iteration)
\[
\text { tactic }+=\text { tactic; first } \begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \text { last natural } & \text { tactic } & {\left[\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { tactic } \\
\hline
\end{array}\right]} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
selector (see Selectors)
tactic \(+=\) tactic ; first last natural ?
rotation (see Selectors)
tactic += by tactic \(\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \text { tactic } & * \\ \hline\end{array}\right]\)
closing (see Terminators)

\section*{Commands}

Command: Hint View for move apply / ident 1 natural?
view hint declaration (see Declaring new Hint Views)
Command: Hint View for apply // ident natural?
right hand side double , view hint declaration (see Declaring new Hint Views)
Command: Prenex Implicits ident \({ }^{+}\)
prenex implicits declaration (see Parametric polymorphism)

\section*{Settings}

\section*{Flag: Debug Ssreflect}

Developer only. Print debug information on reflect.
Flag: Debug SsrMatching
Developer only. Print debug information on SSR matching.

\subsection*{3.2 Automatic solvers and programmable tactics}

Some tactics are largely automated and are able to solve complex goals. This chapter presents both built-in solvers that can be used on specific categories of goals and programmable tactics that the user can instrument to handle complex goals in new domains.

\subsection*{3.2.1 Solvers for logic and equality}

Tactic: tauto
This tactic implements a decision procedure for intuitionistic propositional calculus based on the contraction-free sequent calculi LJT* of Roy Dyckhoff [Dyc92]. Note that tauto succeeds on any instance of an intuitionistic tautological proposition. tauto unfolds negations and logical equivalence but does not unfold any other definition.

\section*{Example}

The following goal can be proved by tauto whereas auto would fail:
```

Goal forall (x:nat) (P:nat }->>\mathrm{ Prop), }\textrm{x}=0\/P\textrm{P
1 goal
forall (x : nat) (P : nat -> Prop), x = 0 \/ P x -> x <> 0 -> P x
intros.
1 goal
x : nat
P : nat -> Prop
H : x = 0 \/ P x
H0 : x <> 0
==============================
P x

```
```

tauto.
No more goals.

```

Moreover, if it has nothing else to do, tauto performs introductions. Therefore, the use of intros in the previous proof is unnecessary. taut o can for instance for:

\section*{Example}
```

Goal forall (A:Prop) (P:nat -> Prop), A \/ (forall x:nat, ~ A -> P x) -> forall_
4x:nat, ~ A -> P x.
1 goal
=============================
forall (A : Prop) (P : nat -> Prop),
A \/ (forall x : nat, ~ A -> P x) -> forall x : nat, ~ A -> P x
tauto.
No more goals.

```

Note: In contrast, tauto cannot solve the following goal Goal forall (A:Prop) (P: nat ->
 cause (forall \(x: n a t, \sim A->P x\) ) cannot be treated as atomic and an instantiation of \(x\) is necessary.

\section*{Tactic: dtauto}

While tauto recognizes inductively defined connectives isomorphic to the standard connectives and, prod, or, sum, False, Empty_set, unit and True, dtauto also recognizes all inductive types with one constructor and no indices, i.e. record-style connectives.
Tactic: intuition ltac_expr?
Uses the search tree built by the decision procedure for tauto to generate a set of subgoals equivalent to the original one (but simpler than it) and applies Itac_expr to them [Mun94]. If Itac_expr is not specified, it defaults to Tauto.intuition_solver.

The initial value of intuition_solver is equivalent to auto with * but prints warning intuition-auto-with-star when it solves a goal that auto cannot solve. In a future version it will be changed to just auto. Use intuition tac locally or Ltac Tauto.intuition_solver : : = tac globally to silence the warning in a forward compatible way with your choice of tactic tac (auto, auto with *, auto with your prefered databases, or any other tactic).
If Itac_expr fails on some goals then intuition fails. In fact, tauto is simply intuition fail.
intuition recognizes inductively defined connectives isomorphic to the standard connectives and, prod, or, sum, False, Empty_set, unit and True.

\section*{Example}

For instance, the tactic intuition auto applied to the goal:
```

(forall (x:nat), P x) /\ B -> (forall (y:nat), P y) /\ P O \/ B /\ P O

```
internally replaces it by the equivalent one:
```

(forall (x:nat), P x), B | - P O

```
and then uses auto which completes the proof.

Tactic: dintuition ltac_expr?
In addition to the inductively defined connectives recognized by intuition, dintuition also recognizes all inductive types with one constructor and no indices, i.e. record-style connectives.

\section*{Flag: Intuition Negation Unfolding}

This flag controls whether intuition unfolds inner negations which do not need to be unfolded. It is on by default.

\section*{Tactic: gintuition ltac_expr?}

Tactic: rtauto
Solves propositional tautologies similarly to tauto, but the proof term is built using a reflection scheme applied to a sequent calculus proof of the goal. The search procedure is also implemented using a different technique.

Users should be aware that this difference may result in faster proof search but slower proof checking, and rtauto might not solve goals that tauto would be able to solve (e.g. goals involving universal quantifiers).
Note that this tactic is only available after a Require Import Rtauto.


An experimental extension of tauto to first-order reasoning. It is not restricted to usual logical connectives but instead can reason about any first-order class inductive definition.

Itac_expr Tries to solve the goal with ltac_expr when no logical rule applies. If unspecified, the tactic uses the default from the Firstorder Solver option.
using qualid \({ }_{!}^{+}\)Adds the lemmas qualid \(\stackrel{+}{+}\) to the proof search environment. If qualid refers to an inductive type, its constructors are added to the proof search environment.
with ident \(\stackrel{+}{+}\) Adds lemmas from auto hint bases ident \({ }^{+}\)to the proof search environment.
Option: Firstorder Solver ltac_expr
The default tactic used by firstorder when no rule applies in auto with core. This command supports the same locality attributes as Obligation Tactic.

\section*{Command: Print Firstorder Solver}

Prints the default tactic used by firstorder when no rule applies.
Option: Firstorder Depth natural
This option controls the proof search depth bound.
Tactic: gintuition ltac_expr ?
An extension of intuition to first-order reasoning (similar to how firstorder extends tauto).
Tactic: congruence natural \({ }^{\text {? }}\) with one_term \({ }^{\text {? }}\) ?
natural Specifies the maximum number of hypotheses stating quantified equalities that may be added to the problem in order to solve it. The default is 1000.
with one_term \({ }^{+\quad ?}\) Adds one_term \({ }^{+}\)to the pool of terms used by congruence. This helps in case you have partially applied constructors in your goal.

Implements the standard Nelson and Oppen congruence closure algorithm, which is a decision procedure for ground equalities with uninterpreted symbols. It also includes constructor theory (see injection and discriminate). If the goal is a non-quantified equality, congruence tries to prove it with non-quantified equalities in the context. Otherwise it tries to infer a discriminable equality from those in the context. Alternatively, congruence tries to prove that a hypothesis is equal to the goal or to the negation of another hypothesis.
congruence is also able to take advantage of hypotheses stating quantified equalities, but you have to provide a bound for the number of extra equalities generated that way. Please note that one of the sides of the equality must contain all the quantified variables in order for congruence to match against it.

Increasing the maximum number of hypotheses may solve problems that would have failed with a smaller value. It will make failures slower but it won't make successes found with the smaller value any slower. You may want to use assert to add some lemmas as hypotheses so that congruence can use them.
Tactic: simple congruence natural ? with one_term \({ }^{+}\)?
Behaves like congruence, but does not unfold definitions.

\section*{Example}
```

Theorem T (A:Type) (f:A -> A) (g: A -> A -> A) a b: a=(f a) -> (g b (f a))=(f (fu
4a)) -> (g a b)=(f (g b a)) -> (g a b) =a.
goal
A : Type
f : A -> A
g : A -> A -> A
a, b : A
=============================

```

```

intros.
1 goal
A : Type
f : A -> A
g : A -> A -> A
a, b : A
H : a = f a
H0 : g b (f a) = f (f a)
H1 : g a b = f (g b a)
=============================
g a b = a
congruence.
No more goals.
Qed.
Theorem inj (A:Type) (f:A -> A * A) (a c d: A) : f = pair a -> Some (f c) = Somer
(f d) -> c=d.
1 goal

```
```

    A : Type
    f : A \(\rightarrow\) A * A
    a, \(C, d\) : A
    ============================12
    \(\mathrm{f}=\) pair \(\mathrm{a} \rightarrow\) Some \((\mathrm{f} \mathrm{C})=\operatorname{Some}(\mathrm{f} d) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{d}\)
    intros.
1 goal
A : Type
f : A $\rightarrow$ A * A
$a, c, d: A$
$\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{f}=$ pair a
HO : Some (f c) = Some (f d)
$===========================$
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{d}$
congruence.
No more goals.
Qed.

```

Error: I don't know how to handle dependent equality.
The decision procedure managed to find a proof of the goal or of a discriminable equality but this proof could not be built in Coq because of dependently-typed functions.

Error: Goal is solvable by congruence but some arguments are missing. Try congruence wit
The decision procedure could solve the goal with the provision that additional arguments are supplied for some partially applied constructors. Any term of an appropriate type will allow the tactic to successfully solve the goal. Those additional arguments can be given to congruence by filling in the holes in the terms given in the error message, using the with clause.

Setting Debug "congruence" makes congruence print debug information.

\section*{Tactic: btauto}

The tactic btauto implements a reflexive solver for boolean tautologies. It solves goals of the form \(t=u\) where \(t\) and \(u\) are constructed over the following grammar:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
btauto_term & \(::=\) & ident \\
& & \begin{tabular}{l} 
true \\
false
\end{tabular} \\
& orb btauto_term btauto_term
\end{tabular}

Whenever the formula supplied is not a tautology, it also provides a counter-example.
Internally, it uses a system very similar to the one of the ring tactic.
Note that this tactic is only available after a Require Import Btauto.

\section*{Error: Cannot recognize a boolean equality.}

The goal is not of the form \(t=u\). Especially note that btauto doesn't introduce variables into the context on its own.

\subsection*{3.2.2 Micromega: solvers for arithmetic goals over ordered rings}

\author{
Authors Frédéric Besson and Evgeny Makarov
}

\section*{Short description of the tactics}

The Psatz module (Require Import Psatz) gives access to several tactics for solving arithmetic goals over \(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}\), and \(\mathbb{Z}\) but also nat and \(N\). It is also possible to get only the tactics for integers by Require Import Lia, only for rationals by Require Import Lqa or only for reals by Require Import Lra.
- Iia is a decision procedure for linear integer arithmetic;
- nia is an incomplete proof procedure for integer non-linear arithmetic;
- Ira is a decision procedure for linear (real or rational) arithmetic;
- nra is an incomplete proof procedure for non-linear (real or rational) arithmetic;
- psatz D n is an incomplete proof procedure for non-linear arithmetic. D is \(\mathbb{Z}\) or \(\mathbb{Q}\) or \(\mathbb{R}\) and n is an optional integer limiting the proof search depth. It is based on John Harrison's HOL Light driver to the external prover CSDP \(^{44}\). Note that the CSDP driver generates a proof cache which makes it possible to rerun scripts even without CSDP.

\section*{Option: Dump Arith}

This option (unset by default) may be set to a file path where debug info will be written.

\section*{Command: Show Lia Profile}

This command prints some statistics about the amount of pivoting operations needed by lia and may be useful to detect inefficiencies.

\section*{Flag: Lia Cache}

This flag (set by default) instructs Iia to cache its results in the file.lia. cache
Flag: Nia Cache
This flag (set by default) instructs nia to cache its results in the file. nia. cache
Flag: Nra Cache
This flag (set by default) instructs nra to cache its results in the file. nra.cache
The tactics solve propositional formulas parameterized by atomic arithmetic expressions interpreted over a domain \(D \in\) \(\{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}\}\). The syntax for formulas is:

where
- \(F\) is interpreted over either Prop or bool
- P is an arbitrary proposition
- \(\mathbf{c}\) is a numeric constant of \(D\)
- \(\mathbf{x} \in D\) is a numeric variable
-,-+ and * are respectively subtraction, addition and product
- \(\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{n}\) is exponentiation by a natural integer constant \(n\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{44}\) Sources and binaries can be found at https://github.com/coin-or/csdp
}

When \(F\) is interpreted over bool, the boolean operators are \&\&, ।।, Bool.eqb, Bool.implb, Bool. negb and the comparisons in \(A\) are also interpreted over the booleans (e.g., for \(\mathbb{Z}\), we have \(\mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{eqb}, \mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{gtb}, \mathrm{Z} .1 \mathrm{tb}, \mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{geb}\), Z.leb).

For \(\mathbb{Q}\), the equality of rationals \(==\) is used rather than Leibniz equality \(=\).
For \(\mathbb{Z}\) (resp. \(\mathbb{Q}\) ), c ranges over integer constants (resp. rational constants). For \(\mathbb{R}\), the tactic recognizes as real constants the following expressions:
```

c ::= R0 | R1 | Rmult c c | Rplus c c | Rminus c c | IZR z | Q2R q | Rdiv c c | Rinv c

```
where z is a constant in \(\mathbb{Z}\) and \(q\) is a constant in \(\mathbb{Q}\). This includes number written using the decimal notation, i.e., \(\mathrm{C} \% \mathrm{R}\).

\section*{Positivstellensatz refutations}

The name psatz is an abbreviation for positivstellensatz - literally "positivity theorem" - which generalizes Hilbert's nullstellensatz. It relies on the notion of Cone. Given a (finite) set of polynomials \(S\), Cone \((S)\) is inductively defined as the smallest set of polynomials closed under the following rules:
\[
\frac{p \in S}{p \in \operatorname{Cone}(S)} \quad \overline{p^{2} \in \operatorname{Cone}(S)} \quad \frac{p_{1} \in \operatorname{Cone}(S) \quad p_{2} \in \operatorname{Cone}(S)}{p_{1} p_{2} \in \operatorname{Cone}(S)} \quad \in\{+, *\}
\]

The following theorem provides a proof principle for checking that a set of polynomial inequalities does not have solutions \({ }^{45}\).

\section*{Theorem: Psatz}

Let \(S\) be a set of polynomials. If -1 belongs to \(\operatorname{Cone}(S)\), then the conjunction \(\bigwedge_{p \in S} p \geq 0\) is unsatisfiable.
Proof: Let's assume that \(\bigwedge_{p \in S} p \geq 0\) is satisfiable, meaning there exists \(x\) such that for all \(p \in S\), we have \(p(x) \geq 0\). Since the cone building rules preserve non negativity, any polynomial in \(\operatorname{Cone}(S)\) is non negative in \(x\). Thus \(-1 \in \operatorname{Cone}(S)\) is non negative, which is absurd.
A proof based on this theorem is called a positivstellensatz refutation. The tactics work as follows. Formulas are normalized into conjunctive normal form \(\bigwedge_{i} C_{i}\) where \(C_{i}\) has the general form \(\left(\bigwedge_{j \in S_{i}} p_{j} 0\right) \rightarrow\) False and \(\in\{>, \geq,=\}\) for \(D \in\{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}\}\) and \(\in\{\geq,=\}\) for \(\mathbb{Z}\).
For each conjunct \(C_{i}\), the tactic calls an oracle which searches for -1 within the cone. Upon success, the oracle returns a cone expression that is normalized by the ring tactic (see ring and field: solvers for polynomial and rational equations) and checked to be -1 .

\section*{lra: a decision procedure for linear real and rational arithmetic}

\section*{Tactic: lra}

This tactic is searching for linear refutations. As a result, this tactic explores a subset of the Cone defined as
\[
\operatorname{LinCone}(S)=\left\{\sum_{p \in S} \alpha_{p} \times p \mid \alpha_{p} \text { are positive constants }\right\}
\]

The deductive power of Ira overlaps with the one of field tactic e.g., \(x=10 * x / 10\) is solved by Ira.
Tactic: xlra_Q ltac_expr
Tactic: xlra_R ltac_expr
For internal use only (it may change without notice).
Tactic: wlra_Q ident one_term
For advanced users interested in deriving tactics for specific needs. See the example below and comments in plugin/micromega/coq_micromega.mli.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{45}\) Variants deal with equalities and strict inequalities.
}

\section*{lia: a tactic for linear integer arithmetic}

\section*{Tactic: lia}

This tactic solves linear goals over z by searching for linear refutations and cutting planes. Ii a provides support for Z , nat, positive and N by pre-processing via the \(z\) ify tactic.

\section*{High level view of lia}

Over \(\mathbb{R}\), positivstellensatz refutations are a complete proof principle \({ }^{46}\). However, this is not the case over \(\mathbb{Z}\). Actually, positivstellensatz refutations are not even sufficient to decide linear integer arithmetic. The canonical example is \(2 * x=\) \(1 \rightarrow\) False which is a theorem of \(\mathbb{Z}\) but not a theorem of \(\mathbb{R}\). To remedy this weakness, the \(I\) ia a tactic is using recursively a combination of:
- linear positivstellensatz refutations;
- cutting plane proofs;
- case split.

\section*{Cutting plane proofs}
are a way to take into account the discreteness of \(\mathbb{Z}\) by rounding (rational) constants to integers.
Theorem: Bound on the ceiling function
Let \(p\) be an integer and \(c\) a rational constant. Then \(p \geq c \rightarrow p \geq\lceil c\rceil\).

\section*{Example: Cutting plane}

For instance, from \(2 x=1\) we can deduce
- \(x \geq 1 / 2\) whose cut plane is \(x \geq\lceil 1 / 2\rceil=1\);
- \(x \leq 1 / 2\) whose cut plane is \(x \leq\lfloor 1 / 2\rfloor=0\).

By combining these two facts (in normal form) \(x-1 \geq 0\) and \(-x \geq 0\), we conclude by exhibiting a positivstellensatz refutation: \(-1 \equiv x-1+-x \in \operatorname{Cone}(x-1, x)\).

Cutting plane proofs and linear positivstellensatz refutations are a complete proof principle for integer linear arithmetic.

\section*{Case split}
enumerates over the possible values of an expression.
Theorem: Case split
Let \(p\) be an integer and \(c_{1}\) and \(c_{2}\) integer constants. Then:
\[
c_{1} \leq p \leq c_{2} \Rightarrow \bigvee_{x \in\left[c_{1}, c_{2}\right]} p=x
\]

Our current oracle tries to find an expression \(e\) with a small range \(\left[c_{1}, c_{2}\right]\). We generate \(c_{2}-c_{1}\) subgoals whose contexts are enriched with an equation \(e=i\) for \(i \in\left[c_{1}, c_{2}\right]\) and recursively search for a proof.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{46}\) In practice, the oracle might fail to produce such a refutation.
}

Tactic: xlia ltac_expr
For internal use only (it may change without notice).
Tactic: wlia ident one_term
For advanced users interested in deriving tactics for specific needs. See the example below and comments in plugin/micromega/coq_micromega.mli.
nra: a proof procedure for non-linear arithmetic

\section*{Tactic: nra}

This tactic is an experimental proof procedure for non-linear arithmetic. The tactic performs a limited amount of non-linear reasoning before running the linear prover of Ira. This pre-processing does the following:
- If the context contains an arithmetic expression of the form \(e\left[x^{2}\right]\) where \(x\) is a monomial, the context is enriched with \(x^{2} \geq 0\);
- For all pairs of hypotheses \(e_{1} \geq 0, e_{2} \geq 0\), the context is enriched with \(e_{1} \times e_{2} \geq 0\).

After this pre-processing, the linear prover of Ira searches for a proof by abstracting monomials by variables.
Tactic: xnra_Q ltac_expr
Tactic: xnra_R ltac_expr
For internal use only (it may change without notice).
Tactic: wnra_Q ident one_term
For advanced users interested in deriving tactics for specific needs. See the example below and comments in plugin/micromega/coq_micromega.mli.

\section*{nia: a proof procedure for non-linear integer arithmetic}

Tactic: nia
This tactic is a proof procedure for non-linear integer arithmetic. It performs a pre-processing similar to nra. The obtained goal is solved using the linear integer prover lia.

Tactic: xnia ltac_expr
For internal use only (it may change without notice).

\section*{Tactic: wnia ident one_term}

For advanced users interested in deriving tactics for specific needs. See the example below and comments in plugin/micromega/coq_micromega.mli.
psatz: a proof procedure for non-linear arithmetic
Tactic: psatz one_term nat_or_var?
This tactic explores the Cone by increasing degrees - hence the depth parameter nat_or_var. In theory, such a proof search is complete - if the goal is provable the search eventually stops. Unfortunately, the external oracle is using numeric (approximate) optimization techniques that might miss a refutation.

To illustrate the working of the tactic, consider we wish to prove the following Coq goal:
```

Require Import ZArith Psatz.
Open Scope Z_scope.
Goal forall x, - x^2 >= 0 -> x - 1 >= 0 -> False.
intro x.
psatz Z 2.
Qed.

```

As shown, such a goal is solved by intro x . psatz Z 2 . The oracle returns the cone expression \(2 \times p_{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{1}\) with \(p_{1}:=-x^{2}\) and \(p_{2}:=x-1\). By construction, this expression belongs to Cone \(\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)\). Moreover, by running ring we obtain -1 . Thus, by Theorem Psatz, the goal is valid.

Tactic: xsos_Q ltac_expr
Tactic: xsos_R ltac_expr
Tactic: xsos_z ltac_expr
Tactic: xpsatz_Q nat_or_var ltac_expr
Tactic: xpsatz_R nat_or_var ltac_expr
Tactic: xpsatz_Z nat_or_var ltac_expr
For internal use only (it may change without notice).
Tactic: wsos_Q ident one_term
Tactic: wsos_Z ident one_term
Tactic: wpsatz_Q nat_or_var ident one_term
Tactic: wpsatz_z nat_or_var ident one_term
For advanced users interested in deriving tactics for specific needs. See the example below and comments in plugin/micromega/coq_micromega.mli.
zify: pre-processing of arithmetic goals

\section*{Tactic: zify}

This tactic is internally called by Ii a to support additional types, e.g., nat, positive and N. Additional support is provided by the following modules:
- For boolean operators (e.g., Nat . leb), require the module ZifyBool.
- For comparison operators (e.g., Z . compare), require the module ZifyComparison.
- For native unsigned 63 bit integers, require the module ZifyUint 63.
- For native signed 63 bit integers, require the module ZifySint 63 .
- For operators Nat. div, Nat.mod, and Nat. pow, require the module ZifyNat.
- For operators N. div, N.mod, and N.pow, require the module ZifyN.
zify can also be extended by rebinding the tactics Zify.zify_pre_hook and zify.zify_post_hook that are respectively run in the first and the last steps of zify.
- To support Z.div and Z.modulo: Ltac Zify.zify_post_hook : : = Z. div_mod_to_equations.
- To support Z.quot and Z.rem: Ltac Zify.zify_post_hook : : Z Z. quot_rem_to_equations.
- To support Z.div, Z.modulo, Z.quot and Z.rem: either Ltac Zify. zify_post_hook : := Z.to_euclidean_division_equations or Ltac Zify. zify_convert_to_euclidean_division_equations_flag : := constr:(true).
The zify tactic can be extended with new types and operators by declaring and registering new typeclass instances using the following commands. The typeclass declarations can be found in the module Zifyclasses and the default instances can be found in the module ZifyInst.
Command: Add Zify add_zify qualid
\begin{tabular}{ll|l|l|l|c|c|c|c|} 
add_zify \(\quad::=\) & InjTyp & BinOp & UnOp & CstOp & BinRel & UnOpSpec & BinOpSpec \\
\hline & PropOp & PropBinOp & PropUOp & Saturate & &
\end{tabular}

Registers an instance of the specified typeclass. The typeclass type (e.g. BinOp Z.mul or BinRel (@eq Z)) has the additional constraint that the non-implicit argument (here, \(Z\). mul or (@eq Z) ) is either a reference (here, Z.mul) or the application of a reference (here, @eq) to a sequence of one_term.

Command: Show Zify show_zify
show_zify : : = InjTyp \(\mid\) BinOp \(\mid\) UnOp \(\mid\) CstOp \(\mid\) BinRel \(\mid\) UnOpSpec \(\mid\) BinOpSpec \(\mid\) Spec

Prints instances for the specified typeclass. For instance, Show Zify InjTyp prints the list of types that supported by zifyi.e., Z, nat, positive and N.
Tactic: zify_elim_let
Tactic: zify_iter_let ltac_expr
Tactic: zify_iter_specs
Tactic: zify_op
Tactic: zify_saturate
For internal use only (it may change without notice).

\section*{Example: Lra}

The Ira tactic automatically proves the following goal.
```

Require Import QArith Lqa. \#[local] Open Scope Q_scope.
Lemma example_lra x y : x + 2 * y <= 4 -> 2 * x + y<= 4 -> x + y< 3.
Proof.
lra.
Qed.

```

Although understanding what's going on under the hood is not required to use the tactic, here are the details for curious users or advanced users interested in deriving their own tactics for arithmetic types other than \(Q\) or \(R\) from the standard library.

Mathematically speaking, one needs to prove that \(p_{2} \geq 0 \wedge p_{1} \geq 0 \wedge p_{0} \geq 0\) is unsatisfiable with \(p_{2}:=4-x-2 y\) and \(p_{1}:=4-2 x-y\) and \(p_{0}:=x+y-3\). This is done thanks to the cone expression \(p_{2}+p_{1}+3 \times p_{0} \equiv-1\).
```

From Coq.micromega Require Import RingMicromega QMicromega EnvRing Tauto.
Print example_lra.
example_lra =
fun x y : Q =>
let __arith :
forall __x2 __x1 : Q,
__x1 + 2 * __x2<=4 -> 2 *___x1 + __x2<= 4 -> __x1 + __x x < 3 :=
fun __x2 __x1 : Q =>
let __wit :=
(PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 2)
(PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 1) (PsatzMulE (PsatzC 3) (PsatzIn Q 0))) :: nil)%list
in
let __varmap := VarMap.Branch (VarMap.Elt __x2) __x1 VarMap.Empty in
let __ff :=
IMPL
(A isProp
{|
Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 2));
Fop := OpLe;
Frhs := PEc 4
|} tt) None

```
(IMPL
(A isProp
\{।
Flhs := PEadd (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 1)) (PEX 2);
Fop := OpLe;
Frhs := PEc 4
| \} tt) None
(A isProp
\{| Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEX 2); Fop :=OpLt; Frhs :=PEc 3 |\}
tt)) in
QTautoChecker_sound __ff__wit (eq_refl <: QTautoChecker__ff__wit = true)
(VarMap.find 0 __varmap) in
__arith y x
: forall \(\mathrm{x} y: \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{x}+2 * \mathrm{y}<=4 \rightarrow 2 * \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}<=4 \rightarrow \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{y}\)
Arguments example_lra (x y) \%Q_scope _ _
Here, __ff is a reified representation of the goal and __varmap is a variable map giving the interpretation of each variable (here that PEX 1 in __ff stands for __x1 and PEX 2 for __x 2 ). Finally, __wit is the cone expression also called witness.

This proof could also be obtained by the following tactics where wlra_Q wit \(\mathbf{f f}\) calls the oracle on the goal \(f f\) and puts the resulting cone expression in wit. QTautoChecker_sound is a theorem stating that, when the function call QTautoChecker ff wit returns true, then the goal represented by ff is valid.
```

Lemma example_lra' x y : x + 2 * y <= 4 -> 2 * x + y<=4 -> x + y< 3.
Proof.
pose (ff := IMPL
(A isProp
{| Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 2));
Fop := OpLe; Frhs := PEc 4 |} tt) None
(IMPL
(A isProp
{| Flhs := PEadd (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 1)) (PEX 2);
Fop := OpLe; Frhs := PEc 4 |}
tt) None
(A isProp
{| Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEX 2);
Fop := OpLt; Frhs := PEc 3 |} tt))
: BFormula (Formula Q) isProp).
pose (varmap := VarMap.Branch (VarMap.Elt y) x VarMap.Empty).
1 goal
x, Y : Q
ff := (IMPL
(A isProp
{।
Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 2));
Fop := OpLe;
Frhs := PEc 4
|} tt) None
(IMPL
(A isProp
{|
Flhs := PEadd (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 1)) (PEX 2);

```
```

            Fop := OpLe;
            Frhs := PEc 4
                                (} tt) None
            (A isProp
            {।
            Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEX 2); Fop := OpLt; Frhs := PEc 3
            |} tt))
        :
        BFormula (Formula Q) isProp) : BFormula (Formula Q) isProp
    varmap := VarMap.Branch (VarMap.Elt y) x VarMap.Empty : VarMap.t Q
    =============================
    x + 2 * y<=4 -> 2 * x + y<=4 -> x + y< 3
    let ff' := eval unfold ff in ff in wlra_Q wit ff'.
1 goal
x, y : Q
ff := (IMPL
(A isProp
{|
Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 2));
Fop := OpLe;
Frhs := PEc 4
|} tt) None
(IMPL
(A isProp
{|
Flhs := PEadd (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 1)) (PEX 2);
Fop := OpLe;
Frhs := PEc 4
| } tt) None
(A isProp
{|
Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEX 2); Fop := OpLt; Frhs := PEc 3
|} tt))
:
BFormula (Formula Q) isProp) : BFormula (Formula Q) isProp
varmap := VarMap.Branch (VarMap.Elt y) x VarMap.Empty : VarMap.t Q
wit := (PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 2)
(PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 1) (PsatzMulE (PsatzC 3) (PsatzIn Q 0)))
:: nil)%list : list QWitness
============================
x + 2 * y<=4 -> 2 * x + y<=4 -> x + y< 3
change (eval_bf (Qeval_formula (@VarMap.find Q 0 varmap)) ff).
1 goal
x, y : Q
ff := (IMPL
(A isProp
{|
Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 2));
Fop := OpLe;
Frhs := PEc 4
|} tt) None
(IMPL
(A isProp

```
                                    (continued from previous page)
    {|
        Flhs := PEadd (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 1)) (PEX 2);
        Fop := OpLe;
        Frhs := PEc 4
    |} tt) None
        (A isProp
        {|
        Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEX 2); Fop := OpLt; Frhs := PEc 3
        |} tt))
        :
        BFormula (Formula Q) isProp) : BFormula (Formula Q) isProp
    varmap := VarMap.Branch (VarMap.Elt y) x VarMap.Empty : VarMap.t Q
    wit := (PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 2)
            (PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 1) (PsatzMulE (PsatzC 3) (PsatzIn Q 0)))
        :: nil)%list : list QWitness
    eval_bf (Qeval_formula (VarMap.find 0 varmap)) ff
apply (QTautoChecker_sound ff wit).
    l goal
    x, Y : Q
    ff := (IMPL
            (A isProp
                {|
                        Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 2));
                        Fop := OpLe;
                        Frhs := PEc 4
                            |} tt) None
            (IMPL
                            (A isProp
                            {|
                                Flhs := PEadd (PEmul (PEc 2) (PEX 1)) (PEX 2);
                                Fop := OpLe;
                                Frhs := PEc 4
                            |} tt) None
            (A isProp
            {|
                                Flhs := PEadd (PEX 1) (PEX 2); Fop := OpLt; Frhs := PEc 3
                    |} tt))
            :
            BFormula (Formula Q) isProp) : BFormula (Formula Q) isProp
    varmap := VarMap.Branch (VarMap.Elt y) x VarMap.Empty : VarMap.t Q
    wit := (PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 2)
            (PsatzAdd (PsatzIn Q 1) (PsatzMulE (PsatzC 3) (PsatzIn Q 0)))
            :: nil)%list : list QWitness
    ============================
    QTautoChecker ff wit = true
vm_compute.
reflexivity.
Qed.
```


### 3.2.3 ring and field: solvers for polynomial and rational equations

Author Bruno Barras, Benjamin Grégoire, Assia Mahboubi, Laurent Théry ${ }^{47}$

This chapter presents the tactics dedicated to dealing with ring and field equations.

## What does this tactic do?

ring does associative-commutative rewriting in ring and semiring structures. Assume you have two binary functions $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ that are associative and commutative, with $\oplus$ distributive on $\otimes$, and two constants 0 and 1 that are unities for $\oplus$ and $\otimes$. A polynomial is an expression built on variables $V_{0}, V_{1}, \ldots$ and constants by application of $\oplus$ and $\otimes$.
Let an ordered product be a product of variables $V_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{i_{n}}$ verifying $i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n}$. Let a monomial be the product of a constant and an ordered product. We can order the monomials by the lexicographic order on products of variables. Let a canonical sum be an ordered sum of monomials that are all different, i.e. each monomial in the sum is strictly less than the following monomial according to the lexicographic order. It is an easy theorem to show that every polynomial is equivalent (modulo the ring properties) to exactly one canonical sum. This canonical sum is called the normal form of the polynomial. In fact, the actual representation shares monomials with same prefixes. So what does the ring tactic do? It normalizes polynomials over any ring or semiring structure. The basic use of ring is to simplify ring expressions, so that the user does not have to deal manually with the theorems of associativity and commutativity.

## Example

In the ring of integers, the normal form of

$$
x(3+y x+25(1-z))+z x
$$

is

$$
28 x+(-24) x z+x x y
$$

ring is also able to compute a normal form modulo monomial equalities. For example, under the hypothesis that $2 x^{2}=y z+1$, the normal form of $2(x+1) x-x-z y$ is $x+1$.

## The variables map

It is frequent to have an expression built with + and $\times$, but rarely on variables only. Let us associate a number to each subterm of a ring expression in the Gallina language. For example, consider this expression in the semiring nat:

```
(plus (mult (plus (f (5)) x) x)
    (mult (if b then (4) else (f (3))) (2)))
```

As a ring expression, it has 3 subterms. Give each subterm a number in an arbitrary order:

| 0 | $\mapsto$ | if b then (4) else (f (3)) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mapsto$ | $(\mathrm{f}(5))$ |
| 2 | $\mapsto$ | x |

Then normalize the "abstract" polynomial $\left(\left(V_{1} \oplus V_{2}\right) \otimes V_{2}\right) \oplus\left(V_{0} \otimes 2\right)$ In our example the normal form is: $\left(2 \otimes V_{0}\right) \oplus$ $\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}\right) \oplus\left(V_{2} \otimes V_{2}\right)$. Then substitute the variables by their values in the variables map to get the concrete normal polynomial:

[^19]```
(plus (mult (2) (if b then (4) else (f (3))))
    (plus (mult (f (5)) x) (mult x x)))
```


## Is it automatic?

Yes, building the variables map and doing the substitution after normalizing is automatically done by the tactic. So you can just forget this paragraph and use the tactic according to your intuition.

## Concrete usage in Coq

Tactic: ring [ one_term ${ }^{+}$?
Solves polynomical equations of a ring (or semiring) structure. It proceeds by normalizing both sides of the equation (w.r.t. associativity, commutativity and distributivity, constant propagation, rewriting of monomials) and syntactically comparing the results.
[ one_term ${ }^{+}$] If specified, the tactic decides the equality of two terms modulo ring operations and the equalities defined by the one_terms. Each one_term has to be a proof of some equality $m=p$, where m is a monomial (after "abstraction"), p a polynomial and $=$ is the corresponding equality of the ring structure.
Tactic: ring_simplify [ one_term ${ }^{+}$] one_term ${ }^{+}$in ident ?
Applies the normalization procedure described above to the given one_terms. The tactic then replaces all occurrences of the one_terms given in the conclusion of the goal by their normal forms. If no one_term is given, then the conclusion should be an equation and both sides are normalized. The tactic can also be applied in a hypothesis.
in ident If specified, the tactic performs the simplification in the hypothesis named ident.

```
Note: ring_simplify one_term; ring_simplify one_term i is not equivalent to
ring_simplify one_term}1 one_term.
```

In the latter case the variables map is shared between the two one_terms, and common subterm $t$ of one_term and one_term ${ }_{2}$ will have the same associated variable number. So the first alternative should be avoided for one_terms belonging to the same ring theory.

The tactic must be loaded by Require Import Ring. The ring structures must be declared with the Add Ring command (see below). The ring of booleans is predefined; if one wants to use the tactic on nat one must first require the module ArithRing exported by Arith); for Z, do Require Import ZArithRing or simply Require Import ZArith; for N, do Require Import NArithRing or Require Import NArith.

All declared field structures can be printed with the Print Rings command.
Command: Print Rings

## Example

```
Require Import ZArith.
    [Loading ML file ring_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
    [Loading ML file zify_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
    [Loading ML file micromega_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
```

(continues on next page)

```
Open Scope Z_scope.
Goal forall a b c:Z,
    (a+b+c)^^2=
    a*a a b ^ 2 + c * c + 2 * a * b + 2 * a * c + 2 * b * c.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall a b c : Z,
        (a+b + c)^ ^2 = a* a + b^ 人 + c * * c + 2 * a * b + 2 * a * c + 2 * b * c
intros; ring.
    No more goals.
Abort.
Goal forall a b:Z,
    2*a* b = 30 -> (a+b)^2 = a^^2 + b^^2 + 30.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall a b : Z, 2 * a * b = 30 -> (a + b)^ 2 = a^ ^ 2 + b ^ 2 + 30
intros a b H; ring [H].
    No more goals.
Abort.
```

Error messages:

## Error: Not a valid ring equation.

The conclusion of the goal is not provable in the corresponding ring theory.
Error: Arguments of ring_simplify do not have all the same type.
ring_simplify cannot simplify terms of several rings at the same time. Invoke the tactic once per ring structure.

Error: Cannot find a declared ring structure over term.
No ring has been declared for the type of the terms to be simplified. Use Add Ring first.
Error: Cannot find a declared ring structure for equality term.
Same as above in the case of the ring tactic.
Tactic: ring_lookup ltac_expro [ one_term ${ }^{\star}$ ] one_term ${ }^{+}$
Tactic: protect_fv string in ident
For internal use only.

## Adding a ring structure

Declaring a new ring consists in proving that a ring signature (a carrier set, an equality, and ring operations: Ring_theory.ring_theory and Ring_theory.semi_ring_theory) satisfies the ring axioms. Semi- rings (rings without + inverse) are also supported. The equality can be either Leibniz equality, or any relation declared as a setoid (see Tactics enabled on user provided relations). The definitions of ring and semiring (see module Ring_theory) are:

```
Record ring_theory : Prop := mk_rt {
    Radd_0_l : forall x, 0 + x == x;
    Radd_sym : forall x y, x + y == y + x;
    Radd_assoc : forall x y z, x + (y + z) == (x + y) + z;
    Rmul_1_l : forall x, 1 * x == x;
    Rmul_sym : forall x y, x * y == y * x;
    Rmul_assoc : forall x y z, x * (y * z) == (x * y) * z;
    Rdistr_l : forall x y z, (x + y) * z == (x * z) + (y * z);
    Rsub_def : forall x y, x - y == x + - y;
    Ropp_def : forall x, x + (- x) == 0
} .
Record semi_ring_theory : Prop := mk_srt {
    SRadd_0_l : forall n, 0 + n == n;
    SRadd_sym : forall n m, n + m == m + n ;
    SRadd_assoc : forall n m p, n + (m + p) == (n + m) + p;
    SRmul_1_l : forall n, 1*n == n;
    SRmul_0_l : forall n, 0*n == 0;
    SRmul_sym : forall n m, n*m == m*n;
    SRmul_assoc : forall n m p, n* (m*p) == (n*m)*p;
    SRdistr_l : forall n m p, (n + m)*p == n*p + m*p
}.
```

This implementation of ring also features a notion of constant that can be parameterized. This can be used to improve the handling of closed expressions when operations are effective. It consists in introducing a type of coefficients and an implementation of the ring operations, and a morphism from the coefficient type to the ring carrier type. The morphism needs not be injective, nor surjective.

As an example, one can consider the real numbers. The set of coefficients could be the rational numbers, upon which the ring operations can be implemented. The fact that there exists a morphism is defined by the following properties:

```
Record ring_morph : Prop := mkmorph {
    morph0 : [cO] == 0;
    morph1 : [cI] == 1;
    morph_add : forall x y, [x +! y] == [x]+[y];
    morph_sub : forall x y, [x -! y] == [x]-[y];
    morph_mul : forall x y, [x *! y] == [x]*[y];
    morph_opp : forall x, [-!x] == - [x];
    morph_eq : forall x y, x?=!y = true -> [x] == [y]
}.
Record semi_morph : Prop := mkRmorph {
    Smorph0 : [cO] == 0;
    Smorph1 : [cI] == 1;
    Smorph_add : forall x y, [x +! y] == [x]+[y];
    Smorph_mul : forall x y, [x *! y] == [x]*[y];
    Smorph_eq : forall x y, x?=!y = true }->[x]== [y
}.
```

where $c 0$ and $c I$ denote the 0 and 1 of the coefficient set, $+!, *!,-!$ are the implementations of the ring operations,
$==$ is the equality of the coefficients, ? $+!$ is an implementation of this equality, and $[x]$ is a notation for the image of $x$ by the ring morphism.

Since $Z$ is an initial ring (and $N$ is an initial semiring), it can always be considered as a set of coefficients. There are basically three kinds of (semi-)rings:
abstract rings to be used when operations are not effective. The set of coefficients is $Z$ (or $N$ for semirings).
computational rings to be used when operations are effective. The set of coefficients is the ring itself. The user only has to provide an implementation for the equality.
customized ring for other cases. The user has to provide the coefficient set and the morphism.
This implementation of ring can also recognize simple power expressions as ring expressions. A power function is specified by the following property:

```
Require Import Reals.
Section POWER.
    Variable Cpow : Set.
    Variable Cp_phi : N -> Cpow.
    Variable rpow : R -> Cpow -> R.
    Record power_theory : Prop := mkpow_th {
        rpow_pow_N : forall r n, rpow r (Cp_phi n) = pow_N 1%R Rmult r n
    }.
End POWER.
```

The syntax for adding a new ring is
Command: Add Ring ident : one_term ( ring_mod ${ }^{+}$? ?

| ring_mod | : : $=$ | decidable one_term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| | abstract |
|  | 1 | morphism one_term |
|  | 1 | constants [ ltac_expr] |
|  | \| | preprocess [ ltac_expr] |
|  | \| | postprocess [ ltac_expr ] |
|  | \| | setoid one_term one_term |
|  | I | sign one_term |
|  | 1 | $\text { power one_term }\left[\text { qualid }^{+}\right]$ |
|  | I | power_tac one_term [ ltac_expr ] |
|  | \| | div one_term |
|  |  | $\text { closed [ qualid }{ }^{+} \text {] }$ |

The ident is used only for error messages. The one_term is a proof that the ring signature satisfies the (semi)ring axioms. The optional list of modifiers is used to tailor the behavior of the tactic. Here are their effects:
abstract declares the ring as abstract. This is the default.
decidable one_term declares the ring as computational. The expression one_term is the correctness proof of an equality test $?=$ ! (which should be evaluable). Its type should be of the form forall $\mathrm{x} y$, $\mathrm{x} \quad ?=$ ! $\mathrm{y}=$ true $\rightarrow \mathrm{x}==\mathrm{y}$.
morphism one_term declares the ring as a customized one. The expression one_term is a proof that there exists a morphism between a set of coefficient and the ring carrier (see Ring_theory.ring_morph and Ring_theory.semi_morph).
setoid one_term one_term forces the use of given setoid. The first one_term is a proof that the equality is indeed a setoid (see Setoid.Setoid_Theory), and the second a proof that the ring operations are morphisms (see Ring_theory.ring_eq_ext and Ring_theory.sring_eq_ext). This modifier needs not be used if the setoid and morphisms have been declared.
constants [ ltac_expr ] specifies a tactic expression ltac_expr that, given a term, returns either an object of the coefficient set that is mapped to the expression via the morphism, or returns InitialRing. NotConstant. The default behavior is to map only 0 and 1 to their counterpart in the coefficient set. This is generally not desirable for nontrivial computational rings.
preprocess [ ltac_expr ] specifies a tactic Itac_exprthat is applied as a preliminary step for ring and ring_simplify. It can be used to transform a goal so that it is better recognized. For instance, S n can be changed to plus 1 n .
postprocess [ Itac_expr ] specifies a tactic ltac_expr that is applied as a final step for ring_simplify. For instance, it can be used to undo modifications of the preprocessor.
power one_term [ qualid ${ }^{+}$] to be documented
power_tac one_term ltac_expr ] allows ring and ring_simplify to recognize power expressions with a constant positive integer exponent (example: $x^{2}$ ). The term one_term is a proof that a given power function satisfies the specification of a power function (term has to be a proof of Ring_theory. power_theory) and tactic specifies a tactic expression that, given a term, "abstracts" it into an object of type N whose interpretation via Cp_phi (the evaluation function of power coefficient) is the original term, or returns InitialRing. Not Constant if not a constant coefficient (i.e. $\mathrm{I}_{\text {tac }}$ is the inverse function of Cp_phi). See files plugins/ring/ZArithRing.v and plugins/ring/RealField.v for examples. By default the tactic does not recognize power expressions as ring expressions.
sign one_term allows ring_simplify to use a minus operation when outputting its normal form, i.e writing $x-y$ instead of $x+(-y)$. The term term is a proof that a given sign function indicates expressions that are signed (term has to be a proof of Ring_theory.get_sign). See plugins/ ring/InitialRing.v for examples of sign function.
div one_term allows ring and ring_simplify to use monomials with coefficients other than 1 in the rewriting. The term one_term is a proof that a given division function satisfies the specification of an euclidean division function (one_term has to be a proof of Ring_theory.div_theory). For example, this function is called when trying to rewrite $7 x$ by $2 x=z$ to tell that $7=3 \times 2+1$. See plugins/ring/ InitialRing.v for examples of div function.
closed [ qualid ${ }^{+}$] to be documented
Error messages:

## Error: Bad ring structure.

The proof of the ring structure provided is not of the expected type.

## Error: Bad lemma for decidability of equality.

The equality function provided in the case of a computational ring has not the expected type.

## Error: Ring operation should be declared as a morphism.

A setoid associated with the carrier of the ring structure has been found, but the ring operation should be declared as morphism. See Tactics enabled on user provided relations.

## How does it work?

The code of ring is a good example of a tactic written using reflection. What is reflection? Basically, using it means that a part of a tactic is written in Gallina, Coq's language of terms, rather than $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ or OCaml. From the philosophical point of view, reflection is using the ability of the Calculus of Constructions to speak and reason about itself. For the ring tactic we used Coq as a programming language and also as a proof environment to build a tactic and to prove its correctness.

The interested reader is strongly advised to have a look at the file Ring_polynom.v. Here a type for polynomials is defined:

```
Inductive PExpr : Type :=
    | PEc : C -> PExpr
    | PEX : positive -> PExpr
    | PEadd : PExpr -> PExpr -> PExpr
    | PEsub : PExpr -> PExpr -> PExpr
    | PEmul : PExpr -> PExpr -> PExpr
    | PEopp : PExpr -> PExpr
    | PEpow : PExpr -> N -> PExpr.
```

Polynomials in normal form are defined as:

```
Inductive Pol : Type :=
    | Pc : C -> Pol
    | Pinj : positive -> Pol -> Pol
    | PX : Pol -> positive -> Pol -> Pol.
```

where Pinj n Pdenotes P in which $V_{i}$ is replaced by $V_{i+n}$, and $\mathrm{PX} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{n} Q \operatorname{denotes} P \otimes V_{1}^{n} \oplus Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}$ being Q where $V_{i}$ is replaced by $V_{i+1}$.
Variable maps are represented by lists of ring elements, and two interpretation functions, one that maps a variables map and a polynomial to an element of the concrete ring, and the second one that does the same for normal forms:

```
Definition PEeval : list R -> PExpr -> R := [...].
Definition Pphi_dev : list R -> Pol -> R := [...].
```

A function to normalize polynomials is defined, and the big theorem is its correctness w.r.t interpretation, that is:

```
Definition norm : PExpr -> Pol := [...].
Lemma Pphi_dev_ok :
    forall l pe npe, norm pe = npe -> PEeval l pe == Pphi_dev l npe.
```

So now, what is the scheme for a normalization proof? Let p be the polynomial expression that the user wants to normalize. First a little piece of ML code guesses the type of p , the ring theory T to use, an abstract polynomial ap and a variables map $v$ such that $p$ is $\beta \delta \mathrm{t}$ - equivalent to (PEeval v ap ). Then we replace it by (Pphi_dev v ( norm ap )), using the main correctness theorem and we reduce it to a concrete expression $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$, which is the concrete normal form of p. This is summarized in this diagram:

| p | $\rightarrow_{\beta \delta \iota}$ | (PEeval vap) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=_{(\text {by the main correctness theorem })}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ | $\leftarrow_{\beta \delta \iota}$ | (Pphi_dev v (norm ap)) |

The user does not see the right part of the diagram. From outside, the tactic behaves like a $\beta \delta \iota$ simplification extended with rewriting rules for associativity and commutativity. Basically, the proof is only the application of the main correctness theorem to well-chosen arguments.

## Dealing with fields

Tactic: field $\left[\right.$ one_term ${ }^{+}$] ?
An extension of the ring tactic that deals with rational expressions. Given a rational expression $F=0$. It first reduces the expression F to a common denominator $N / D=0$ where N and D are two ring expressions. For example, if we take $F=(1-1 / x) x-x+1$, this gives $N=(x-1) x-x^{2}+x$ and $D=x$. It then calls ring to solve $N=0$.
[ one_term ${ }^{+}$] If specified, the tactic decides the equality of two terms modulo field operations and the equalities defined by the one_terms. Each one_term has to be a proof of some equality $m=p$, where $m$ is a monomial (after "abstraction"), $p$ a polynomial and $=$ the corresponding equality of the field structure.

## Note:

Rewriting works with the equality $m=p$ only if $p$ is a polynomial since rewriting is handled by the underlying ring tactic.

Note that field also generates nonzero conditions for all the denominators it encounters in the reduction. In our example, it generates the condition $x \neq 0$. These conditions appear as one subgoal which is a conjunction if there are several denominators. Nonzero conditions are always polynomial expressions. For example when reducing the expression $1 /(1+1 / x)$, two side conditions are generated: $x \neq 0$ and $x+1 \neq 0$. Factorized expressions are broken since a field is an integral domain, and when the equality test on coefficients is complete w.r.t. the equality of the target field, constants can be proven different from zero automatically.
The tactic must be loaded by Require Import Field. New field structures can be declared to the system with the Add Field command (see below). The field of real numbers is defined in module RealField (in plugins/ring). It is exported by module Rbase, so that requiring Rbase or Reals is enough to use the field tactics on real numbers. Rational numbers in canonical form are also declared as a field in the module Qcanon.

```
Example
Require Import Reals.
Open Scope R_scope.
Goal forall x,
        x <>0 -> (1-1/x) * x - x + 1 = 0.
    1 goal
        ============================
        forall x : R, x <> 0 -> (1-1 / x) * x - x + 1 = 0
intros; field; auto.
    No more goals.
Abort.
Goal forall x y,
        y <> 0 -> y = x -> x / y = 1.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall x y : R, y <> 0 -> y = x -> x / y = 1
intros x y H H1; field [H1]; auto.
```

No more goals.
Abort.

## Example: field that generates side goals

```
Require Import Reals.
Goal forall x y:R,
(x * y > 0) %R ->
(x * (1 / x + x / (x + y))) %R=
((-1/y) * y * (- x * (x / (x + y)) - 1)) %R.
    1 goal
            ============================
            forall x y : R,
            (x * y > 0) %R ->
            (x* (1/x x + x / (x + y) ) ) %R=(-1 / y * y* (-x* (x/ (x + y)) - 1)) %R
```

intros; field.
1 goal
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}: \mathrm{R}$
$H:(x * y>0) \% R$
$===========================$
$(x+y) \% R<>0 \% R / \backslash y<>0 \% R / \backslash x<>0 \% R$

Tactic: field_simplify [ one_termeq ${ }^{+}{ }^{\text {? }}{ }_{\text {one_term }}{ }^{+}$in ident ?
Performs the simplification in the conclusion of the goal, $F_{1}=F_{2}$ becomes $N_{1} / D_{1}=N_{2} / D_{2}$. A normalization step (the same as the one for rings) is then applied to $N_{1}, D_{1}, N_{2}$ and $D_{2}$. This way, polynomials remain in factorized form during fraction simplification. This yields smaller expressions when reducing to the same denominator since common factors can be canceled.
[ one_termeq
one_terms.
one_term ${ }^{+}$Terms to simplify in the conclusion.
in ident If specified, substitute in the hypothesis ident instead of the conclusion.
Tactic: field_simplify_eq [ one_term ${ }^{+}$] ? in ident ?
Performs the simplification in the conclusion of the goal, removing the denominator. $F_{1}=F_{2}$ becomes $N_{1} D_{2}=$ $N_{2} D_{1}$.
[ $\underset{\text { one_term }{ }^{+} \text {one_terms. }}{\substack{\text { one }}}$ Do simplification in the conclusion of the goal using the equalities defined by these
in ident If specified, simplify in the hypothesis ident instead of the conclusion.
Tactic: field_lookup ltac_expr [ one_term ${ }^{\star}$ ] one_term ${ }^{+}$
For internal use only.

## Adding a new field structure

Declaring a new field consists in proving that a field signature (a carrier set, an equality, and field operations: Field_theory.field_theory and Field_theory.semi_field_theory) satisfies the field axioms. Semi-fields (fields without + inverse) are also supported. The equality can be either Leibniz equality, or any relation declared as a setoid (see Tactics enabled on user provided relations). The definition of fields and semifields is:

```
Record field_theory : Prop := mk_field {
    F_R : ring_theory rO rI radd rmul rsub ropp req;
    F_1_neq_0 : ~ 1 == 0;
    Fdiv_def : forall p q, p / q == p * / q;
    Finv_l : forall p, ~ p == 0 -> / p * p == 1
}.
Record semi_field_theory : Prop := mk_sfield {
    SF_SR : semi_ring_theory rO rI radd rmul req;
    SF_1_neq_0 : ~ 1 == 0;
    SFdiv_def : forall p q, p / q == p * / q;
    SFinv_l : forall p, ~ p == 0 -> / p * p == 1
} .
```

The result of the normalization process is a fraction represented by the following type:

```
Record linear : Type := mk_linear {
    num : PExpr C;
    denum : PExpr C;
    condition : list (PExpr C)
}.
```

where num and denum are the numerator and denominator; condition is a list of expressions that have appeared as a denominator during the normalization process. These expressions must be proven different from zero for the correctness of the algorithm.
The syntax for adding a new field is
Command: Add Field ident : one_term $(\underset{r}{\text { field_mod }}+$ ?

```
field_mod : := ring_mod
    | completeness one_term
```

The ident is used only for error messages. one_term is a proof that the field signature satisfies the (semi-)field axioms. The optional list of modifiers is used to tailor the behavior of the tactic.

Since field tactics are built upon ring tactics, all modifiers of Add Ring apply. There is only one specific modifier:
completeness one_term allows the field tactic to prove automatically that the image of nonzero coefficients are mapped to nonzero elements of the field. one_termis a proof of forall $x y,[x]==[y]->x$ $?=!\quad y=$ true, which is the completeness of equality on coefficients w.r.t. the field equality.

## Command: Print Fields

## History of ring

First Samuel Boutin designed the tactic ACDS impl. This tactic did lot of rewriting. But the proofs terms generated by rewriting were too big for Coq's type checker. Let us see why:

```
Require Import ZArith.
Open Scope Z_scope.
Goal forall x y z : Z,
    x + 3 + y + y * z = x + 3 + y + z * y.
    1 goal
        forall x y z : Z, x + 3 + y + y * z = x + 3 + y + z * y
intros; rewrite (Zmult_comm y z); reflexivity.
    No more goals.
Save foo.
Print foo.
    foo =
    fun x y z : Z =>
    eq_ind_r (fun z0 : Z => x + 3 + y + z0 = x + 3 + y + z * y) eq_refl
        (Z.mul_comm y z)
            : forall x y z : Z, x + 3 + y + y * z = x + 3 + y + z * y
    Arguments foo (x y z)%Z_scope
```

At each step of rewriting, the whole context is duplicated in the proof term. Then, a tactic that does hundreds of rewriting generates huge proof terms. Since ACDSimpl was too slow, Samuel Boutin rewrote it using reflection (see [Bou97]). Later, it was rewritten by Patrick Loiseleur: the new tactic does not any more require ACDSimpl to compile and it makes use of $\beta \delta \iota$-reduction not only to replace the rewriting steps, but also to achieve the interleaving of computation and reasoning (see Discussion). He also wrote some ML code for the Add Ring command that allows registering new rings dynamically.

Proofs terms generated by ring are quite small, they are linear in the number of $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ operations in the normalized terms. Type checking those terms requires some time because it makes a large use of the conversion rule, but memory requirements are much smaller.

## Discussion

Efficiency is not the only motivation to use reflection here. ring also deals with constants, it rewrites for example the expression $34+2 * x-x+12$ to the expected result $\mathrm{x}+46$. For the tactic ACDSimpl, the only constants were 0 and 1. So the expression $34+2 *(x-1)+12$ is interpreted as $V_{0} \oplus V_{1} \otimes\left(V_{2} \ominus 1\right) \oplus V_{3}$, with the variables mapping $\left\{V_{0} \mapsto 34 ; V_{1} \mapsto 2 ; V_{2} \mapsto x ; V_{3} \mapsto 12\right\}$. Then it is rewritten to $34-\mathrm{x}+2 * \mathrm{x}+12$, very far from the expected result. Here rewriting is not sufficient: you have to do some kind of reduction (some kind of computation) to achieve the normalization.

The tactic ring is not only faster than the old one: by using reflection, we get for free the integration of computation and reasoning that would be very difficult to implement without it.

Is it the ultimate way to write tactics? The answer is: yes and no. The ring tactic intensively uses the conversion rules of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions, i.e. it replaces proofs by computations as much as possible. It can be useful in all situations where a classical tactic generates huge proof terms, like symbolic processing and tautologies. But there are also tactics like auto or linear that do many complex computations, using side-effects and backtracking, and generate a small proof term. Clearly, it would be significantly less efficient to replace them by tactics using reflection.

Another idea suggested by Benjamin Werner: reflection could be used to couple an external tool (a rewriting program or a model checker) with Coq. We define (in Coq) a type of terms, a type of traces, and prove a correctness theorem that states that replaying traces is safe with respect to some interpretation. Then we let the external tool do every computation (using side-effects, backtracking, exception, or others features that are not available in pure lambda calculus) to produce the trace. Now we can check in Coq that the trace has the expected semantics by applying the correctness theorem.

### 3.2.4 Nsatz: a solver for equalities in integral domains

## Author Loïc Pottier

To use the tactics described in this section, load the Nsatz module with the command Require Import Nsatz. Alternatively, if you prefer not to transitively depend on the files that declare the axioms used to define the real numbers, you can Require Import NsatzTactic instead; this will still allow $n s a t z$ to solve goals defined about $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ and any user-registered rings.

Tactic: nsatz with radicalmax $:=$ one_term strategy $:=$ one_term parameters $:=$ one_term variab]
This tactic is for solving goals of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \in A \\
& P_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=Q_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, P_{s}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=Q_{s}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \\
& \vdash P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=Q\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P, Q, P_{1}, Q_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}, Q_{s}$ are polynomials and $A$ is an integral domain, i.e. a commutative ring with no zero divisors. For example, $A$ can be $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that the equality $=$ used in these goals can be any setoid equality (see Tactics enabled on user provided relations), not only Leibniz equality.
It also proves formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \in A \\
& P_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=Q_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge P_{s}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=Q_{s}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \\
& \rightarrow P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=Q\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

doing automatic introductions.
radicalmax bound when searching for r such that $c(P-Q) r=\sum_{i=1 . . s} S_{i}(P i-Q i)$. This argument must be of type $N$ (binary natural numbers).
strategy gives the order on variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and the strategy used in Buchberger algorithm (see [GMN+91] for details):

- strategy $:=0 \% \mathrm{Z}$ : reverse lexicographic order and newest s-polynomial.
- strategy $:=1 \% Z$ : reverse lexicographic order and sugar strategy.
- strategy $:=2 \% \mathrm{Z}$ : pure lexicographic order and newest s-polynomial.
- strategy $:=3 \% \mathrm{Z}$ : pure lexicographic order and sugar strategy.
parameters a list of parameters of type R, containing the variables $X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{k}}$ among $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Computation will be performed with rational fractions in these parameters, i.e. polynomials have coefficients in $R\left(X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{k}}\right)$. In this case, the coefficient $c$ can be a nonconstant polynomial in $X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{k}}$, and the tactic produces a goal which states that $c$ is not zero.
variables a list of variables of type $R$ in the decreasing order in which they will be used in the Buchberger algorithm. If the list is empty, then lvar is replaced by all the variables which are not in parameters.

See the file Nsatz.v ${ }^{48}$ for examples, especially in geometry.

[^20]
## More about nsatz

Hilbert's Nullstellensatz theorem shows how to reduce proofs of equalities on polynomials on a commutative ring $A$ with no zero divisors to algebraic computations: it is easy to see that if a polynomial $P$ in $A\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ verifies $c P^{r}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{s} S_{i} P_{i}$, with $c \in A, c \neq 0, r$ a positive integer, and the $S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ in $A\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$, then $P$ is zero whenever polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$ are zero (the converse is also true when $A$ is an algebraically closed field: the method is complete).

So, solving our initial problem reduces to finding $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{s}, c$ and $r$ such that $c(P-Q)^{r}=\sum_{i} S_{i}\left(P_{i}-Q_{i}\right)$, which will be proved by the tactic ring.

This is achieved by the computation of a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by $P_{1}-Q_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}-Q_{s}$, with an adapted version of the Buchberger algorithm.

This computation is done after a step of reification, which is performed using Typeclasses.
Tactic: nsatz_compute one_term

### 3.2.5 Programmable proof search

Tactic: auto nat_or_var ? auto_using ? hintbases?
auto_using $::=\mathbf{u s i n g ~ o n e \_ t e r m ~} \stackrel{+}{+}$
hintbases $\quad::=\quad$ with *
| with ${ }_{\text {ident }}{ }^{+}$

Implements a Prolog-like resolution procedure to solve the current goal. It first tries to solve the goal using the assumption tactic, then it reduces the goal to an atomic one using intros and introduces the newly generated hypotheses as hints. Then it looks at the list of tactics associated with the head symbol of the goal and tries to apply one of them. Lower cost tactics are tried before higher-cost tactics. This process is recursively applied to the generated subgoals.
nat_or_var Specifies the maximum search depth. The default is 5 .
using one_term $\stackrel{+}{+}$
Uses lemmas one_term ${ }_{-}^{+}$in addition to hints. If one_term is an inductive type, the collection of its constructors are added as hints.

Note that hints passed through the using clause are used in the same way as if they were passed through a hint database. Consequently, they use a weaker version of apply and auto using one_term may fail where apply one_term succeeds.
with * Use all existing hint databases. Using this variant is highly discouraged in finished scripts since it is both slower and less robust than explicitly selecting the required databases.
with ident ${ }^{+\boldsymbol{+}}$ Use the hint databases ident ${ }^{+\boldsymbol{+}}$ in addition to the database core. Use the fake database nocore to omit core.

If no with clause is given, auto only uses the hypotheses of the current goal and the hints of the database named core.
auto generally either completely solves the goal or leaves it unchanged. Use solve [ auto ] if you want a failure when they don't solve the goal. auto will fail if fail or gfail are invoked directly or indirectly, in which case setting the Ltac Debug may help you debug the failure.

Warning: auto uses a weaker version of apply that is closer to simple apply so it is expected that sometimes auto will fail even if applying manually one of the hints would succeed.

## See also:

Hint databases for the list of pre-defined databases and the way to create or extend a database.
Tactic: info_auto nat_or_var ? auto_using ? hintbases ?
Behaves like aut o but shows the tactics it uses to solve the goal. This variant is very useful for getting a better understanding of automation, or to know what lemmas/assumptions were used.
Tactic: debug auto nat_or_var? auto_using ? hintbases?
Behaves like auto but shows the tactics it tries to solve the goal, including failing paths.
Tactic: trivial auto_using ${ }^{?}$ hintbases?
Tactic: debug trivial auto_using ? hintbases ?
Tactic: info_trivial auto_using ? hintbases ?
Like auto, but is not recursive and only tries hints with zero cost. Typically used to solve goals for which a lemma is already available in the specified hintbases.

Flag: Info Auto
Flag: Debug Auto
Flag: Info Trivial
Flag: Debug Trivial
These flags enable printing of informative or debug information for the auto and trivial tactics.
Tactic: eauto nat_or_var ? auto_using ? hintbases ?
Generalizes auto. While auto does not try resolution hints which would leave existential variables in the goal, eaut o will try them. Also, eauto internally uses eassumption instead of assumpt ion and a tactic similar to simple eapply instead of a tactic similar to simple apply. As a consequence, eauto can solve goals such as:

## Example

```
Hint Resolve ex_intro : core.
    The hint ex_intro will only be used by eauto, because applying ex_intro would
    leave variable x as unresolved existential variable.
Goal forall P:nat -> Prop, P 0 -> exists n, P n.
    1 \text { goal}
        =============================
        forall P : nat -> Prop, P 0 -> exists n : nat, P n
eauto.
    No more goals.
ex_intro is declared as a hint so the proof succeeds.
```


## See also:

## Hint databases

Tactic: info_eauto nat_or_var ? auto_using ? hintbases ?
The various options for info_eauto are the same as for info_auto.
eaut o uses the following flags:
Flag: Info Eauto
Flag: Debug Eauto
Tactic: debug eauto nat_or_var ? auto_using ? hintbases ?
Behaves like eauto but shows the tactics it tries to solve the goal, including failing paths.
Tactic: dfs eauto nat_or_var ? auto_using? hintbases ?
Deprecated since version 8.16.
An alias for eauto.
Tactic: autounfold hintbases? simple_occurrences?
Unfolds constants that were declared through a Hint Unfold in the given databases.
simple_occurrences Performs the unfolding in the specified occurrences.
Tactic: autounfold_one hintbases ? in ident?
Tactic: autorewrite $\star^{?}$ with ident ${ }^{+}$occurrences $\stackrel{?}{\text { ? }}$ using ltac_expr ?

* If present, rewrite all occurrences whose side conditions are solved.
with ident ${ }^{+}$Specifies the rewriting rule bases to use.
occurrences Performs rewriting in the specified occurrences. Note: the at clause is currently not supported.
Error: The "at" syntax isn't available yet for the autorewrite tactic.
Appears when there is an at clause on the conclusion.
using ltac_expr ltac_expr is applied to the main subgoal after each rewriting step.
Applies rewritings according to the rewriting rule bases ident ${ }^{+}$.
For each rule base, applies each rewriting to the main subgoal until it fails. Once all the rules have been processed, if the main subgoal has changed then the rules of this base are processed again. If the main subgoal has not changed then the next base is processed. For the bases, the behavior is very similar to the processing of the rewriting rules.
The rewriting rule bases are built with the Hint Rewrite command.

Warning: This tactic may loop if you build non-terminating rewriting systems.

## See also:

Hint Rewrite for feeding the database of lemmas used by autorewrite and autorewrite for examples showing the use of this tactic. Also see Strategies for rewriting.
Here are two examples of autorewrite use. The first one ( Ackermann function) shows actually a quite basic use where there is no conditional rewriting. The second one ( Mac Carthy function) involves conditional rewritings and shows how to deal with them using the optional tactic of the Hint Rewrite command.

## Example: Ackermann function

```
Require Import Arith.
Parameter Ack : nat -> nat -> nat.
Axiom Ack0 : forall m:nat, Ack 0 m = S m.
Axiom Ack1 : forall n:nat, Ack (S n) 0 = Ack n 1.
Axiom Ack2 : forall n m:nat, Ack (S n) (S m) = Ack n (Ack (S n) m).
Global Hint Rewrite Ack0 Ack1 Ack2 : base0.
Lemma ResAck0 : Ack 3 2 = 29.
    1 goal
        =============================
        Ack 3 2 = 29
autorewrite with base0 using try reflexivity.
    No more goals.
```


## Example: MacCarthy function

```
Require Import Lia.
Parameter g : nat -> nat -> nat.
Axiom g0 : forall m:nat, g 0 m = m.
Axiom g1 : forall n m:nat, ( }\textrm{n}>0) -> (m > 100) -> g n m = g (pred n) (m - 10). 
Axiom g2 : forall n m:nat, ( }\textrm{n}>0) -> (m<=100) -> g n m=g (S n) (m + 11)
Global Hint Rewrite g0 g1 g2 using lia : base1.
Lemma Resg0 : g 1 110=100.
    1 goal
        =============================
        g 1 110=100
autorewrite with base1 using reflexivity || simpl.
    No more goals.
Lemma Resg1: g 1 95=91.
    1 goal
        ============================
        g 1 95=91
autorewrite with base1 using reflexivity || simpl.
    No more goals.
```


## Tactic: easy

This tactic tries to solve the current goal by a number of standard closing steps. In particular, it tries to close the current goal using the closing tactics trivial, reflexivity, symmetry, contradiction and inversion of hypothesis. If this fails, it tries introducing variables and splitting and-hypotheses, using the closing tactics afterwards, and splitting the goal using split and recursing.

This tactic solves goals that belong to many common classes; in particular, many cases of unsatisfiable hypotheses, and simple equality goals are usually solved by this tactic.

## Tactic: now ltac_expr

Run tactic followed by easy. This is a notation for tactic; easy.

## Hint databases

Hints used by auto, eauto and other tactics are stored in hint databases. Each database maps head symbols to a list of hints. Use the Print Hint command to view a database.

Each hint has a cost that is a nonnegative integer and an optional pattern. Hints with lower costs are tried first. auto tries a hint when the conclusion of the current goal matches its pattern or when the hint has no pattern.

## Creating Hint databases

Hint databases can be created with the Create HintDb command or implicitly by adding a hint to an unknown database. We recommend you always use Create HintDb and then imediately use Hint Constants and Hint Variables to make those settings explicit.

Note that the default transparency settings differ between these two methods of creation. Databases created with Create HintDb have the default setting Transparent for both Variables and Constants, while implicitly created databases have the Opaque setting.
Command: Create HintDb ident discriminated?
Creates a new hint database named ident. The database is implemented by a Discrimination Tree (DT) that serves as a filter to select the lemmas that will be applied. When discriminated, the DT uses transparency information to decide if a constant should considered rigid for filtering, making the retrieval more efficient. By contrast, undiscriminated databases treat all constants as transparent, resulting in a larger number of selected lemmas to be applied, and thus putting more pressure on unification.

By default, hint databases are undiscriminated.

## Creating Hints

The various Hint commands share these elements:
: ident ${ }^{+} \stackrel{?}{\text { ? }}$ specifies the hint database(s) to add to. (Deprecated since version 8.10: If no $i$ dents are
given, the hint is added to the core database.) given, the hint is added to the core database.)
Outside of sections, these commands support the local, export and global attributes. global is the default.

Inside sections, some commands only support the local attribute. These are Hint Immediate, Hint Resolve, Hint Constructors, Hint Unfold, Hint Externand Hint Rewrite. local is the default for all hint commands inside sections.

- local hints are never visible from other modules, even if they Import or Require the current module.
- export hints are visible from other modules when they Import the current module, but not when they only Require it.
- global hints are visible from other modules when they Import or Require the current module.

New in version 8.14: The Hint Rewrite now supports locality attributes like other Hint commands.
Deprecated since version 8.13: The default value for hint locality will change in a future release. Hints added outside of sections without an explicit locality are deprecated. We recommend using export where possible. This warning is treated as an error by default.

The Hint commands are:


The first form adds each qualid as a hint with the head symbol of the type of qualid to the specified hint databases (idents). The cost of the hint is the number of subgoals generated by simple apply qualid or, if specified, natural. The associated pattern is inferred from the conclusion of the type of qualid or, if specified, the given one_pattern.

If the inferred type of qualid does not start with a product, exact qualid is added to the hint list. If the type can be reduced to a type starting with a product, simple apply qualid is also added to the hints list.

If the inferred type of qualid contains a dependent quantification on a variable which occurs only in the premises of the type and not in its conclusion, no instance could be inferred for the variable by unification with the goal. In this case, the hint is only used by eauto / typeclasses eauto, but not by auto. A typical hint that would only be used by eauto is a transitivity lemma.
$\rightarrow \mid \quad<-$ The second form adds the left-to-right $(->)$ or right-ot-left implication (<-) of an equivalence as a hint (informally the hint will be used as, respectively, apply $\rightarrow$ qualid or apply <- qualid, although as mentioned before, the tactic actually used is a restricted version of apply).
one_term Permits declaring a hint without declaring a new constant first, but this is not recommended.

Warning: Declaring arbitrary terms as hints is fragile; it is recommended to d
Error: qualid cannot be used as a hint
The head symbol of the type of qualid is a bound variable such that this tactic cannot be associated with a constant.

Command: Hint Immediate qualid one_term $^{\boldsymbol{+}}$ : ident ${ }^{+}$
For each specified qualid, adds the tactic simple apply qualid; solve [trivial] to the hint list associated with the head symbol of the type of qualid. This tactic will fail if all the subgoals generated by simple apply qualid are not solved immediately by the trivial tactic (which only tries tactics with cost 0 ). This command is useful for theorems such as the symmetry of equality or $n+1=m+1 \rightarrow n=m$ that we may want to introduce with limited use in order to avoid useless proof search. The cost of this tactic (which never generates subgoals) is always 1 , so that it is not used by trivial itself.

Command: Hint Constructors qualid ${ }^{+}$: ident $^{+}{ }^{\text {? }}$
For each qualid that is an inductive type, adds all its constructors as hints of type Resolve. Then, when the conclusion of current goal has the form (qualid ...), auto will try to apply each constructor.

Error: qualid is not an inductive type
Command: Hint Unfold qualid ${ }^{+}$: ident $^{+}$?
For each qualid, adds the tactic unfold qualid to the hint list that will only be used when the head constant of the goal is qualid. Its cost is 4.

Command: Hint Transparent Opaque qualid ${ }^{+}$: ident $^{+}{ }^{\text {? }}$
Adds transparency hints to the database, making each qualid a transparent or opaque constant during resolution. This information is used during unification of the goal with any lemma in the database and inside the discrimination network to relax or constrain it in the case of discriminated databases.

Error: Cannot coerce qualid to an evaluable reference.

## Command: Hint Constants | Variables Transparent Opaque : ident ${ }^{+}$

Sets the transparency flag for constants or variables for the specified hint databases. These flags affect the unification of hints in the database. We advise using this just after a Create HintDb command.
Command: Hint Extern natural one_pattern ${ }^{\text {? }}=>$ ltac_expr $:$ ident $^{+}$?
Extends auto with tactics other than apply and unfold. natural is the cost, one_pattern is the pattern to match and ltac_expr is the action to apply.

Note: Use a Hint Extern with no pattern to do pattern matching on hypotheses using match goal with inside the tactic.

## Example

Hint Extern $4\left(\sim\left(=_{-}\right)\right)=>$discriminate : core.
Now, when the head of the goal is a disequality, auto will try discriminate if it does not manage to solve the goal with hints with a cost less than 4 .

One can even use some sub-patterns of the pattern in the tactic script. A sub-pattern is a question mark followed by an identifier, like ? X 1 or ? X 2 . Here is an example:

```
Example
Require Import List.
Hint Extern 5 ({?X1 = ?X2} + {?X1 <> ?X2}) =>
    generalize X1, X2; decide equality : eqdec.
Goal forall a b:list (nat * nat), {a=b} + {a<> b}.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall a b : list (nat * nat), {a = b} + {a <> b}
```

info_auto.
(* info auto: *)
idtac.

Command: Hint Cut [ hints_regexp ] : ident ${ }^{+}$?

| hints_regexp | : : = | qualid ${ }^{+}$ | (hint or instance identifier) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| |  | (any hint) |
|  | \| | hints_regexp 1 | (disjunction) |
|  |  | hints_regexp |  |
|  | \| | hints_regexp hints_regexp | (sequence) |
|  | \| | hints_regexp* | (Kleene star) |
|  | \| | emp | (empty) |
|  | \| | eps | (epsilon) |
|  |  | ( hints_regexp ) |  |

Used to cut the proof search tree according to a regular expression that matches the paths to be cut.
During proof search, the path of successive successful hints on a search branch is recorded as a list of identifiers for the hints (note that Hint Externs do not have an associated identifier). For each hint qualid in the hint database, the current path p extended with qualid is matched against the current cut expression c associated with the hint database. If the match succeeds the hint is not applied.
Hint Cut hints_regexp sets the cut expression to c \| hints_regexp. The initial cut expression is emp.

The output of Print HintD.b shows the cut expression.

Warning: The regexp matches the entire path. Most hints will start with a leading ( _* ) to match the tail of the path. (Note that (_*) misparses since *) would end a comment.)

Warning: There is no operator precedence during parsing, one can check with Print HintDb to verify the current cut expression.

Warning: These hints currently only apply to typeclass proof search and the typeclasses eauto tactic.


Sets an optional mode of use for the identifier qualid. When proof search has a goal that ends in an application of qualid to arguments arg . . . arg, the mode tells if the hints associated with qualid can be applied or not. A mode specification is a list of + , ! or - items that specify if an argument of the identifier is to be treated as an input (+), if its head only is an input (!) or an output $(-)$ of the identifier. Mode - matches any term, mode + matches a term if and only if it does not contain existential variables, while mode! matches a term if and only if the head of the term is not an
existential variable. The head of a term is understood here as the applicative head, recursively, ignoring casts.

Hint Mode is especially useful for typeclasses, when one does not want to support default instances and wants to avoid ambiguity in general. Setting a parameter of a class as an input forces proof search to be driven by that index of the class, with ! allowing existentials to appear in the index but not at its head.

## Note:

- Multiple modes can be declared for a single identifier. In that case only one mode needs to match the arguments for the hints to be applied.
- If you want to add hints such as Hint Iransparent, Hint Cut, or Hint Mode, for typeclass resolution, do not forget to put them in the typeclass_instances hint database.

Warning: This hint is not local but depends on a section variable. It will disappear whe
A hint with a non-local attribute was added inside a section, but it refers to a local variable that will go out of scope when closing the section. As a result the hint will not survive either.

using ltac_expr? If specified, Itac_expr is applied to the generated subgoals, except for the main subgoal.
$\rightarrow>\mid<-$ Arrows specify the orientation; left to right ( $->$ ) or right to left (<-). If no arrow is given, the default orientation is left to right ( $->$ ).

Adds the terms one_term $^{++}$(their types must be equalities) to the rewriting bases ident ${ }^{\star}$. Note that the rewriting bases are distinct from the auto hint bases and that auto does not take them into account.

Command: Print Rewrite HintDb ident
This command displays all rewrite hints contained in ident.
Command: Remove Hints qualid ${ }^{+}$ident $^{+}$?
Removes the hints associated with the qualid ${ }^{+}$in databases ident ${ }^{+}$. Note: hints created with Hint Extern currently can't be removed. The best workaround for this is to make the hints non-global and carefully select which modules you import.
Command: Print Hint * reference?

* Display all declared hints.
reference Display all hints associated with the head symbol reference.
Displays tactics from the hints list. The default is to show hints that apply to the conclusion of the current goal. The other forms with * and reference can be used even if no proof is open.

Each hint has a cost that is a nonnegative integer and an optional pattern. The hints with lower cost are tried first.

## Command: Print HintDb ident

This command displays all hints from database ident.

## Hint databases defined in the Coq standard library

Several hint databases are defined in the Coq standard library. The actual content of a database is the collection of hints declared to belong to this database in each of the various modules currently loaded. Especially, requiring new modules may extend the database. At Coq startup, only the core database is nonempty and can be used.
core This special database is automatically used by auto, except when pseudo-database nocore is given to auto. The core database contains only basic lemmas about negation, conjunction, and so on. Most of the hints in this database come from the Init and Logic directories.
arith This database contains all lemmas about Peano's arithmetic proved in the directories Init and Arith.
zarith contains lemmas about binary signed integers from the directories theories/ZArith. The database also contains high-cost hints that call lia on equations and inequalities in nat or Z .
bool contains lemmas about booleans, mostly from directory theories/Bool.
datatypes is for lemmas about lists, streams and so on that are mainly proved in the Lists subdirectory.
sets contains lemmas about sets and relations from the directories Sets and Relations.
typeclass_instances contains all the typeclass instances declared in the environment, including those used for setoid_rewrite, from the Classes directory.
fset internal database for the implementation of the FSets library.
ordered_type lemmas about ordered types (as defined in the legacy OrderedType module), mainly used in the FSets and FMaps libraries.

You are advised not to put your own hints in the core database, but use one or several databases specific to your development.

## Hint locality

Hints provided by the Hint commands are erased when closing a section. Conversely, all hints of a module A that are not defined inside a section (and not defined with option Local) become available when the module A is required (using e.g. Require A.).

As of today, hints only have a binary behavior regarding locality, as described above: either they disappear at the end of a section scope, or they remain global forever. This causes a scalability issue, because hints coming from an unrelated part of the code may badly influence another development. It can be mitigated to some extent thanks to the Remove Hints command, but this is a mere workaround and has some limitations (for instance, external hints cannot be removed).

A proper way to fix this issue is to bind the hints to their module scope, as for most of the other objects Coq uses. Hints should only be made available when the module they are defined in is imported, not just required. It is very difficult to change the historical behavior, as it would break a lot of scripts. We propose a smooth transitional path by providing the Loose Hint Behavior option which accepts three flags allowing for a fine-grained handling of non-imported hints.

## Option: Loose Hint Behavior "Lax" | "Warn" | "Strict"

This option accepts three values, which control the behavior of hints w.r.t. Import:

- "Lax": this is the default, and corresponds to the historical behavior, that is, hints defined outside of a section have a global scope.
- "Warn": outputs a warning when a non-imported hint is used. Note that this is an over-approximation, because a hint may be triggered by a run that will eventually fail and backtrack, resulting in the hint not being actually useful for the proof.
- "Strict": changes the behavior of an unloaded hint to a immediate fail tactic, allowing to emulate an importscoped hint mechanism.


## Setting implicit automation tactics

Command: Proof with ltac_expr using section_var_expr?
Starts a proof in which $I t a c_{-}$expr is applied to the active goals after each tactic that ends with . . . instead of the usual single period. "tactic. . ." is equivalent to "tactic; ltac_expr.".

## See also:

Proof in Entering and exiting proof mode.

### 3.2.6 Generalized rewriting

## Author Matthieu Sozeau

This chapter presents the extension of several equality related tactics to work over user-defined structures (called setoids) that are equipped with ad-hoc equivalence relations meant to behave as equalities. Actually, the tactics have also been generalized to relations weaker than equivalences (e.g. rewriting systems). The toolbox also extends the automatic rewriting capabilities of the system, allowing the specification of custom strategies for rewriting.

This documentation is adapted from the previous setoid documentation by Claudio Sacerdoti Coen (based on previous work by Clément Renard). The new implementation is a drop-in replacement for the old one ${ }^{49}$, hence most of the documentation still applies.

The work is a complete rewrite of the previous implementation, based on the typeclass infrastructure. It also improves on and generalizes the previous implementation in several ways:

- User-extensible algorithm. The algorithm is separated into two parts: generation of the rewriting constraints (written in ML ) and solving these constraints using typeclass resolution. As typeclass resolution is extensible using tactics, this allows users to define general ways to solve morphism constraints.
- Subrelations. An example extension to the base algorithm is the ability to define one relation as a subrelation of another so that morphism declarations on one relation can be used automatically for the other. This is done purely using tactics and typeclass search.
- Rewriting under binders. It is possible to rewrite under binders in the new implementation, if one provides the proper morphisms. Again, most of the work is handled in the tactics.
- First-class morphisms and signatures. Signatures and morphisms are ordinary Coq terms, hence they can be manipulated inside Coq, put inside structures and lemmas about them can be proved inside the system. Higher-order morphisms are also allowed.
- Performance. The implementation is based on a depth-first search for the first solution to a set of constraints which can be as fast as linear in the size of the term, and the size of the proof term is linear in the size of the original term. Besides, the extensibility allows the user to customize the proof search if necessary.


## Introduction to generalized rewriting

## Relations and morphisms

A parametric relation R is any term of type forall ( x 1 : T 1 ) . . ( xn : Tn ), relation A . The expression A, which depends on $x 1$. . . $x n$, is called the carrier of the relation and $R$ is said to be a relation over A; the list $\mathrm{x} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{xn}$ is the (possibly empty) list of parameters of the relation.

## Example: Parametric relation

[^21]It is possible to implement finite sets of elements of type A as unordered lists of elements of type A. The function set_eq: forall (A : Type), relation (list A) satisfied by two lists with the same elements is a parametric relation over (list A) with one parameter A. The type of set_eq is convertible with forall (A : Type), list A -> list A -> Prop.

An instance of a parametric relation $R$ with $n$ parameters is any term ( $R$ t1 ... tn).
Let $R$ be a relation over $A$ with $n$ parameters. A term is a parametric proof of reflexivity for $R$ if it has type forall ( $x 1$ : T1) ... (xn : Tn), reflexive ( R x1 ... xn) . Similar definitions are given for parametric proofs of symmetry and transitivity.

## Example: Parametric relation (continued)

The set_eq relation of the previous example can be proved to be reflexive, symmetric and transitive. A parametric unary function $f$ of type forall ( $x 1$ : T1) ... (xn : Tn), A1 -> A2 covariantly respects two parametric relation instances R1 and R2 if, whenever $x$, y satisfy R1 $x \quad y$, their images ( $f$ x) and ( $f$ y) satisfy R2 ( $f$ x) ( $f y$ ). An $f$ that respects its input and output relations will be called a unary covariant morphism. We can also say that $f$ is a monotone function with respect to $R 1$ and $R 2$. The sequence $x 1 \ldots$ xn represents the parameters of the morphism.

Let R1 and R2 be two parametric relations. The signature of a parametric morphism of type forall (x1 : T1) ... (xn : Tn), A1 $\rightarrow$ A2 that covariantly respects two instances $I_{R_{1}}$ and $I_{R_{2}}$ of R1 and R2 is written $I_{R_{1}}++>I_{R_{2}}$. Notice that the special arrow ++>, which reminds the reader of covariance, is placed between the two relation instances, not between the two carriers. The signature relation instances and morphism will be typed in a context introducing variables for the parameters.

The previous definitions are extended straightforwardly to n -ary morphisms, that are required to be simultaneously monotone on every argument.

Morphisms can also be contravariant in one or more of their arguments. A morphism is contravariant on an argument associated with the relation instance $R$ if it is covariant on the same argument when the inverse relation $R^{-1}$ (inverse $R$ in Coq) is considered. The special arrow --> is used in signatures for contravariant morphisms.

Functions having arguments related by symmetric relations instances are both covariant and contravariant in those arguments. The special arrow $==>$ is used in signatures for morphisms that are both covariant and contravariant.

An instance of a parametric morphism $f$ with $n$ parameters is any term $f t_{1} \ldots t_{n}$.

## Example: Morphisms

Continuing the previous example, let union: forall (A : Type), list A $\rightarrow$ list A $->$ list A perform the union of two sets by appending one list to the other. union is a binary morphism parametric over A that respects the relation instance (set_eq A). The latter condition is proved by showing:

```
forall (A: Type) (S1 S1' S2 S2': list A),
    set_eq A S1 S1' ->
    set_eq A S2 S2' ->
    set_eq A (union A S1 S2) (union A S1' S2').
```

The signature of the function union $A$ is set_eq $A==>$ set_eq $A==>$ set_eq $A$ for all $A$.

## Example: Contravariant morphisms

The division function Rdiv : R $\quad$ P $\quad \rightarrow R$ is a morphism of signature le $++>$ le $-->$ le where le is the usual order relation over real numbers. Notice that division is covariant in its first argument and contravariant in its second
argument.

Leibniz equality is a relation and every function is a morphism that respects Leibniz equality. Unfortunately, Leibniz equality is not always the intended equality for a given structure.
In the next section we will describe the commands to register terms as parametric relations and morphisms. Several tactics that deal with equality in Coq can also work with the registered relations. The exact list of tactics will be given in this section. For instance, the tactic reflexivity can be used to solve a goal $R \mathrm{n} n$ whenever $R$ is an instance of a registered reflexive relation. However, the tactics that replace in a context C [ ] one term with another one related by R must verify that C [ ] is a morphism that respects the intended relation. Currently the verification consists of checking whether C [ ] is a syntactic composition of morphism instances that respects some obvious compatibility constraints.

## Example: Rewriting

Continuing the previous examples, suppose that the user must prove set_eq int (union int (union int S1 S2) S2) (f S1 S2) under the hypothesis $H$ : set_eq int S2 (@nil int). It is possible to use the rewrite tactic to replace the first two occurrences of $S 2$ with @nil int in the goal since the context set_eq int (union int (union int S1 nil) nil) (f S1 S2), being a composition of morphisms instances, is a morphism. However the tactic will fail replacing the third occurrence of $S 2$ unless $f$ has also been declared as a morphism.

## Adding new relations and morphisms

These commands support the local and global locality attributes. The default is local if the command is used inside a section, global otherwise. They also support the universes (polymorphic) attributes.
Command: Add Parametric Relation binder ${ }^{\star}$ : one_term ${ }_{A}$ one_term ${ }_{\text {Aeq }}$ reflexivity proved by one Declares a parametric relation of one_term ${ }_{A}$, which is a Type, say $T$, with one_term $A_{\text {Aeq }}$, which is a relation on T, i.e. of type ( $T \rightarrow T \rightarrow$ Prop). Thus, if one_term ${ }_{A}$ is $A$ : forall $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$, Type then one_term ${ }_{\text {Aeq }}$ is Aeq: forall $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$, ( $\left.\begin{array}{llllll} & a_{1} & \ldots & a_{n}\end{array}\right) \rightarrow\left(A \quad a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ Prop, or equivalently, Aeq: forall $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$, relation (A $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ ).
one_term ${ }_{A}$ and one_term ${ }_{\text {Aeq }}$ must be typeable under the context binders. In practice, the binders usually correspond to the as

The final $i$ dent gives a unique name to the morphism and it is used by the command to generate fresh names for automatically provided lemmas used internally.
Notice that the carrier and relation parameters may refer to the context of variables introduced at the beginning of the declaration, but the instances need not be made only of variables. Also notice that $A$ is not required to be a term having the same parameters as Aeq, although that is often the case in practice (this departs from the previous implementation).
To use this command, you need to first import the module Setoid using the command Require Import Setoid.
Command: Add Relation one_term one_term reflexivity proved by one_term ? symmetry proved b
If the carrier and relations are not parametric, use this command instead, whose syntax is the same except there is no local context.

The proofs of reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity can be omitted if the relation is not an equivalence relation. The proofs must be instances of the corresponding relation definitions: e.g. the proof of reflexivity must have a type convertible to reflexive (A $\mathrm{t} 1 \ldots \mathrm{tn}$ ) (Aeq $\mathrm{t}^{\prime} 1 \ldots \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{n}$ ). Each proof may refer to the introduced variables as well.

## Example: Parametric relation

For Leibniz equality, we may declare:

```
Add Parametric Relation (A : Type) : A (@eq A)
    [reflexivity proved by @refl_equal A]
```

Some tactics (reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity) work only on relations that respect the expected properties. The remaining tactics (replace, rewrite and derived tactics such as autorewrite) do not require any properties over the relation. However, they are able to replace terms with related ones only in contexts that are syntactic compositions of parametric morphism instances declared with the following command.
Command: Add Parametric Morphism binder ${ }^{\star}$ : one_term with signature term as ident Declares a parametric morphism one_term of signature term. The final identifier ident gives a unique name to the morphism and it is used as the base name of the typeclass instance definition and as the name of the lemma that proves the well-definedness of the morphism. The parameters of the morphism as well as the signature may refer to the context of variables. The command asks the user to prove interactively that the function denoted by the first $i$ dent respects the relations identified from the signature.

## Example

We start the example by assuming a small theory over homogeneous sets and we declare set equality as a parametric equivalence relation and union of two sets as a parametric morphism.

```
Require Export Setoid.
Require Export Relation_Definitions.
Set Implicit Arguments.
Parameter set : Type -> Type.
Parameter empty : forall A, set A.
Parameter eq_set : forall A, set A -> set A -> Prop.
Parameter union : forall A, set A -> set A -> set A.
Axiom eq_set_refl : forall A, reflexive _ (eq_set (A:=A)).
Axiom eq_set_sym : forall A, symmetric__(eq_set (A:=A)).
Axiom eq_set_trans : forall A, transitive _ (eq_set (A:=A)).
Axiom empty_neutral : forall A (S : set A), eq_set (union S (empty A)) S.
Axiom union_compat :
    forall (A : Type),
        forall x x' : set A, eq_set x x' ->
        forall Y Y' : set A, eq_set Y Y' ->
            eq_set (union x y) (union x' y').
Add Parametric Relation A : (set A) (@eq_set A)
    reflexivity proved by (eq_set_refl (A:=A))
    symmetry proved by (eq_set_sym (A:=A))
    transitivity proved by (eq_set_trans (A:=A))
    as eq_set_rel.
Add Parametric Morphism A : (@union A)
    with signature (@eq_set A) ==> (@eq_set A) ==> (@eq_set A) as union_mor.
Proof.
```

(continues on next page)
exact (@union_compat A).
ged.
It is possible to reduce the burden of specifying parameters using (maximally inserted) implicit arguments. If A is always set as maximally implicit in the previous example, one can write:

```
Add Parametric Relation A : (set A) eq_set
    reflexivity proved by eq_set_refl
    symmetry proved by eq_set_sym
    transitivity proved by eq_set_trans
    as eq_set_rel.
Add Parametric Morphism A : (@union A) with
    signature eq_set ==> eq_set ==> eq_set as union_mor.
Proof. exact (@union_compat A). Qed.
```

We proceed now by proving a simple lemma performing a rewrite step and then applying reflexivity, as we would do working with Leibniz equality. Both tactic applications are accepted since the required properties over eq_set and union can be established from the two declarations above.

```
Goal forall (S : set nat),
    eq_set (union (union S (empty nat)) S) (union S S).
Proof. intros. rewrite empty_neutral. reflexivity. Qed.
```

The tables of relations and morphisms are managed by the typeclass instance mechanism. The behavior on section close is to generalize the instances by the variables of the section (and possibly hypotheses used in the proofs of instance declarations) but not to export them in the rest of the development for proof search. One can use the cmd:Existing Instance command to do so outside the section, using the name of the declared morphism suffixed by _Morphism, or use the Global modifier for the corresponding class instance declaration (see First Class Setoids and Morphisms) at definition time. When loading a compiled file or importing a module, all the declarations of this module will be loaded.

## Rewriting and nonreflexive relations

To replace only one argument of an n-ary morphism it is necessary to prove that all the other arguments are related to themselves by the respective relation instances.

## Example

To replace (union $S$ empty) with $S$ in (union (union $S$ empty) $S$ ) (union $S$ S) the rewrite tactic must exploit the monotony of union (axiom union_compat in the previous example). Applying union_compat by hand we are left with the goal eq_set (union $S$ S) (union $S$ S).

When the relations associated with some arguments are not reflexive, the tactic cannot automatically prove the reflexivity goals, that are left to the user.
Setoids whose relations are partial equivalence relations (PER) are useful for dealing with partial functions. Let R be a PER. We say that an element $x$ is defined if $R x \mathrm{x}$. A partial function whose domain comprises all the defined elements is declared as a morphism that respects R. Every time a rewriting step is performed the user must prove that the argument of the morphism is defined.

## Example

Let eqO be fun $x y=>x=y / \backslash x<>0$ (the smallest PER over nonzero elements). Division can be declared as a morphism of signature eq $==>$ eq0 $==>$ eq. Replacing x with y in $\operatorname{div} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{n}=$ div $\mathrm{y} n$ opens an additional goal eq0 $n \mathrm{n}$ which is equivalent to $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n} / \backslash \mathrm{n}<>0$.

## Rewriting and nonsymmetric relations

When the user works up to relations that are not symmetric, it is no longer the case that any covariant morphism argument is also contravariant. As a result it is no longer possible to replace a term with a related one in every context, since the obtained goal implies the previous one if and only if the replacement has been performed in a contravariant position. In a similar way, replacement in an hypothesis can be performed only if the replaced term occurs in a covariant position.

## Example: Covariance and contravariance

Suppose that division over real numbers has been defined as a morphism of signature Z.div : Z.lt ++> Z.lt $-->$ Z.lt (i.e. Z.div is increasing in its first argument, but decreasing on the second one). Let $<$ denote Z.lt. Under the hypothesis H : $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}$ we have $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y} \rightarrow \mathrm{p}<\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$, but not $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{x}->\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$. Dually, under the same hypothesis $k<x / y->k<y / y$ holds, but $k<y / x->k<y / y$ does not. Thus, if the current goal is $k<x / x$, it is possible to replace only the second occurrence of $x$ (in contravariant position) with $y$ since the obtained goal must imply the current one. On the contrary, if $k<x / x$ is an hypothesis, it is possible to replace only the first occurrence of $x$ (in covariant position) with $y$ since the current hypothesis must imply the obtained one.

Contrary to the previous implementation, no specific error message will be raised when trying to replace a term that occurs in the wrong position. It will only fail because the rewriting constraints are not satisfiable. However it is possible to use the at modifier to specify which occurrences should be rewritten.
As expected, composing morphisms together propagates the variance annotations by switching the variance every time a contravariant position is traversed.

## Example

Let us continue the previous example and let us consider the goal $\mathrm{x} /(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x})<\mathrm{k}$. The first and third occurrences of $x$ are in a contravariant position, while the second one is in covariant position. More in detail, the second occurrence of $x$ occurs covariantly in ( $x / x$ ) (since division is covariant in its first argument), and thus contravariantly in $x /(x$ $/ \mathrm{x}$ ) (since division is contravariant in its second argument), and finally covariantly in $\mathrm{x} /(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{x}$ ) $<k$ (since $<$, as every transitive relation, is contravariant in its first argument with respect to the relation itself).

## Rewriting in ambiguous setoid contexts

One function can respect several different relations and thus it can be declared as a morphism having multiple signatures.

## Example

Union over homogeneous lists can be given all the following signatures: eq $==>$ eq $==>$ eq (eq being the equality over ordered lists) set_eq $==>$ set_eq $==>$ set_eq (set_eq being the equality over unordered lists up to duplicates), multiset_eq $==>$ multiset_eq $==>$ multiset_eq (multiset_eq being the equality over unordered lists).

To declare multiple signatures for a morphism, repeat the Add Morphism command.

When morphisms have multiple signatures it can be the case that a rewrite request is ambiguous, since it is unclear what relations should be used to perform the rewriting. Contrary to the previous implementation, the tactic will always choose the first possible solution to the set of constraints generated by a rewrite and will not try to find all the possible solutions to warn the user about them.

## Rewriting with Type valued relations

Definitions in Classes.Relations, Classes.Morphisms and Classes.Equivalence are based on Prop. Analogous definitions with the same names based on Type are in Classes.CRelations, Classes. CMorphisms and Classes.CEquivalence. The C identifies the "computational" versions.

Importing these modules allows for generalized rewriting with relations of the form $R$ : A -> A -> Type together with support for universe polymorphism.

## Declaring rewrite relations

The RewriteRelation A R typeclass, indexed by a type and relation, registers relations that generalized rewriting handles. The default instances of this class are the iff`, impl and flip impl relations on Prop, any declared Equivalence on a type A (including Leibniz equality), and pointwise extensions of declared relations for function types. Users can simply add new instances of this class to register relations with the generalized rewriting machinery. It is used in two cases:

- Inference of morphisms: In some cases, generalized rewriting might face constraints of the shape Proper (S $==>$ ?R) f for a function $f$ with no matching Proper instance. In this situation, the RewriteRelation instances are used to instantiate the relation ?R. If the instantiated relation is reflexive, then the Proper constraint can be automatically discharged.
- Compatibility with ssreflect's rewrite: The rewrite (ssreflect) tactic uses generalized rewriting when possible, by checking that RewriteRelation $R$ instance exists when rewriting with a term of type $R \quad u$.


## Commands and tactics

## First class setoids and morphisms

The implementation is based on a first-class representation of properties of relations and morphisms as typeclasses. That is, the various combinations of properties on relations and morphisms are represented as records and instances of these classes are put in a hint database. For example, the declaration:

```
Add Parametric Relation (x1 : T1) ... (xn : Tn) : (A t1 ... tn) (Aeq t'1 ... t'm)
    [reflexivity proved by refl]
    [symmetry proved by sym]
    [transitivity proved by trans]
    as id.
```

is equivalent to an instance declaration:

```
Instance id (x1 : T1) ... (xn : Tn) : @Equivalence (A t1 ... tn) (Aeq t'1 ... t'm) :=
    [Equivalence_Reflexive := refl]
    [Equivalence_Symmetric := sym]
    [Equivalence_Transitive := trans].
```

The declaration itself amounts to the definition of an object of the record type Coq. Classes.RelationClasses. Equivalence and a hint added to the of a typeclass named Proper` defined in Classes.Morphisms. See the documentation on Typeclasses and the theories files in Classes for further explanations.

One can inform the rewrite tactic about morphisms and relations just by using the typeclass mechanism to declare them using the Instance and Context commands. Any object of type Proper (the type of morphism declarations) in the local context will also be automatically used by the rewriting tactic to solve constraints.

Other representations of first class setoids and morphisms can also be handled by encoding them as records. In the following example, the projections of the setoid relation and of the morphism function can be registered as parametric relations and morphisms.

```
Example: First class setoids
Require Import Relation_Definitions Setoid.
Record Setoid : Type :=
{ car: Type;
    eq: car -> car -> Prop;
    refl: reflexive _ eq;
    sym: symmetric _ eq;
    trans: transitive _ eq
}.
Add Parametric Relation (s : Setoid) : (@car s) (@eq s)
    reflexivity proved by (refl s)
    symmetry proved by (sym s)
    transitivity proved by (trans s) as eq_rel.
Record Morphism (S1 S2 : Setoid) : Type :=
{ f: car S1 -> car S2;
    compat: forall (x1 x2 : car S1), eq S1 x1 x2 -> eq S2 (f x1) (f x2)
}.
Add Parametric Morphism (S1 S2 : Setoid) (M : Morphism S1 S2) :
    (@f S1 S2 M) with signature (@eq S1 ==> @eq S2) as apply_mor.
Proof. apply (compat S1 S2 M). Qed.
Lemma test : forall (S1 S2 : Setoid) (m : Morphism S1 S2)
    (x y : car S1), eq S1 x y -> eq S2 (f _ _ m x) (f _ _ m y).
Proof. intros. rewrite H. reflexivity. Qed.
```


## Tactics enabled on user provided relations

The following tactics, all prefixed by setoid_, deal with arbitrary registered relations and morphisms. Moreover, all the corresponding unprefixed tactics (i.e. reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity, replace, rewrite) have been extended to fall back to their prefixed counterparts when the relation involved is not Leibniz equality. Notice, however, that using the prefixed tactics it is possible to pass additional arguments such as using relation.

Tactic: setoid_reflexivity
Tactic: setoid_symmetry in ident?
Tactic: setoid_transitivity one_term
Tactic: setoid_etransitivity
Tactic: setoid_rewrite $\rightarrow \mid<-?$ one_term_with_bindings at rewrite_occs ? in ident?
Tactic: setoid_rewrite $\rightarrow \left\lvert\,<-\frac{?}{?}\right.$ one_term_with_bindings in ident at rewrite_occs
Tactic: setoid_replace one_term with one_term using relation one_term ${ }^{?}$ in ident at int_o


The using relation arguments cannot be passed to the unprefixed form. The latter argument tells the tactic what parametric relation should be used to replace the first tactic argument with the second one. If omitted, it defaults to the DefaultRelation instance on the type of the objects. By default, it means the most recent Equivalence instance in the global environment, but it can be customized by declaring new DefaultRelation instances. As Leibniz equality is a declared equivalence, it will fall back to it if no other relation is declared on a given type.
Every derived tactic that is based on the unprefixed forms of the tactics considered above will also work up to user defined relations. For instance, it is possible to register hints for autorewrite that are not proofs of Leibniz equalities. In particular it is possible to exploit autorewrite to simulate normalization in a term rewriting system up to user defined equalities.

## Printing relations and morphisms

Use the Print Instances command with the class names Reflexive, Symmetric or Transitive to print registered reflexive, symmetric or transitive relations and with the class name Proper to print morphisms.

When rewriting tactics refuse to replace a term in a context because the latter is not a composition of morphisms, this command can be useful to understand what additional morphisms should be registered.

## Deprecated syntax and backward incompatibilities

Command: Add Setoid one_term carrier one_term ${ }_{\text {congruence }}$ one_term proofs as ident
This command for declaring setoids and morphisms is also accepted due to backward compatibility reasons.
Here one_term ${ }_{\text {congruence }}$ is a congruence relation without parameters, one_term ${ }_{\text {carrier }}$ is its carrier and one_term ${ }_{\text {proofs }}$ is an object of type (Setoid_Theory one_term ${ }_{\text {carrier }}$ one_term ${ }_{\text {congruence }}$ ) (i.e. a record packing together the reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity lemmas). Notice that the syntax is not completely backward compatible since the identifier was not required.
Command: Add Parametric Setoid binder ${ }^{\star}$ : one_term one_term one_term as ident
Command: Add Morphism one_term : ident
Command: Add Morphism one_term with signature term as ident
This command is restricted to the declaration of morphisms without parameters. It is not fully backward compatible since the property the user is asked to prove is slightly different: for $n$-ary morphisms the hypotheses of the property are permuted; moreover, when the morphism returns a proposition, the property is now stated using a bi-implication in place of a simple implication. In practice, porting an old development to the new semantics is usually quite simple.

Command: Declare Morphism one_term : ident
Declares a parameter in a module type that is a morphism.
Notice that several limitations of the old implementation have been lifted. In particular, it is now possible to declare several relations with the same carrier and several signatures for the same morphism. Moreover, it is now also possible to declare several morphisms having the same signature. Finally, the replace and rewrite tactics can be used to replace terms in contexts that were refused by the old implementation. As discussed in the next section, the semantics of the new setoid_rewrite tactic differs slightly from the old one and rewrite.
Tactic: head_of_constr ident one_term
For internal use only. It may be removed without warning. Do not use.

## Extensions

## Rewriting under binders

Warning: Due to compatibility issues, this feature is enabled only when calling the setoid_rewrite tactic directly and not rewrite.

To be able to rewrite under binding constructs, one must declare morphisms with respect to pointwise (setoid) equivalence of functions. Example of such morphisms are the standard all and ex combinators for universal and existential quantification respectively. They are declared as morphisms in the Classes.Morphisms_Prop module. For example, to declare that universal quantification is a morphism for logical equivalence:

```
Instance all_iff_morphism (A : Type) :
    Proper (pointwise_relation A iff ==> iff) (@all A).
Proof. simpl_relation.
    1 goal
        A : Type
        x, y : A -> Prop
        H : pointwise_relation A iff x y
        ==============================
        all x <-> all y
```

One then has to show that if two predicates are equivalent at every point, their universal quantifications are equivalent. Once we have declared such a morphism, it will be used by the setoid rewriting tactic each time we try to rewrite under an all application (products in Prop are implicitly translated to such applications).

Indeed, when rewriting under a lambda, binding variable $x$, say from $P x$ to $Q x$ using the relation iff, the tactic will generate a proof of pointwise_relation $A$ iff (fun $x=>P x$ ) (fun $x=>Q$ ) from the proof of iff ( P x) ( Q x ) and a constraint of the form Proper (pointwise_relation $A$ iff ==> ? m will be generated for the surrounding morphism $m$.

Hence, one can add higher-order combinators as morphisms by providing signatures using pointwise extension for the relations on the functional arguments (or whatever subrelation of the pointwise extension). For example, one could declare the map combinator on lists as a morphism:

```
Instance map_morphism `{Equivalence A eqA, Equivalence B eqB} :
    Proper ((eqA ==> eqB) ==> list_equiv eqA ==> list_equiv eqB) (@map A B).
```

where list_equiv implements an equivalence on lists parameterized by an equivalence on the elements.
Note that when one does rewriting with a lemma under a binder using setoid_rewrite, the application of the lemma may capture the bound variable, as the semantics are different from rewrite where the lemma is first matched on the whole term. With the new setoid_rewrite, matching is done on each subterm separately and in its local context, and all matches are rewritten simultaneously by default. The semantics of the previous setoid_rewriteimplementation can almost be recovered using the at 1 modifier.

## Subrelations

Subrelations can be used to specify that one relation is included in another, so that morphism signatures for one can be used for the other. If a signature mentions a relation $R$ on the left of an arrow $==>$, then the signature also applies for any relation $S$ that is smaller than $R$, and the inverse applies on the right of an arrow. One can then declare only a few morphisms instances that generate the complete set of signatures for a particular constant. By default, the only declared subrelation is iff, which is a subrelation of impl and inverse impl (the dual of implication). That's why we can declare only two morphisms for conjunction: Proper (impl ==> impl ==> impl) and and Proper (iff $==>$ iff $==>$ iff) and. This is sufficient to satisfy any rewriting constraints arising from a rewrite using iff, impl or inverse impl through and.
Subrelations are implemented in Classes.Morphisms and are a prime example of a mostly user-space extension of the algorithm.

## Constant unfolding

The resolution tactic is based on typeclasses and hence regards user-defined constants as transparent by default. This may slow down the resolution due to a lot of unifications (all the declared Proper instances are tried at each node of the search tree). To speed it up, declare your constant as rigid for proof search using the command Typeclasses Opaque.

## Strategies for rewriting

## Usage

Tactic: rewrite_strat rewstrategy in ident?
Rewrite using rewstrategy in the conclusion or in the hypothesis ident.
Error: Nothing to rewrite.
The strategy didn't find any matches.
Error: No progress made.
If the strategy succeeded but made no progress.
Error: Unable to satisfy the rewriting constraints.
If the strategy succeeded and made progress but the corresponding rewriting constraints are not satisfied.
setoid_rewrite one_term is basically equivalent to rewrite_strat outermost one_term.
Tactic: rewrite_db ident ${ }_{1}$ in ident ${ }_{2}$ ?
Equivalent to rewrite_strat (topdown (hints ident $1_{1}$ )) in ident ${ }_{2}$ ?

## Definitions

The generalized rewriting tactic is based on a set of strategies that can be combined to create custom rewriting procedures. Its set of strategies is based on the programmable rewriting strategies with generic traversals by Visser et al. [LV97] [VBT98], which formed the core of the Stratego transformation language [Vis01]. Rewriting strategies are applied using the tactic rewrite_strat rewstrategy.

| rewstrategy | : : $=$ | one_term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| | <- one_term |
|  | \| | fail |
|  | \| | id |
|  | \| | refl |
|  | \| | progress rewstrategy |
|  | \| | try rewstrategy |
|  | \| | rewstrategy ; rewstrategy |
|  | \| | choice ${ }_{\text {rewstrategy }}{ }^{+}$ |
|  | \| | repeat rewstrategy |
|  | \| | any rewstrategy |
|  | \| | subterm rewstrategy |
|  | \| | subterms rewstrategy |
|  | \| | innermost rewstrategy |
|  | \| | outermost rewstrategy |
|  | \| | bottomup rewstrategy |
|  | \| | topdown rewstrategy |
|  | \| | hints ident |
|  | \| | terms one_term ${ }^{*}$ |
|  | \| | eval red_expr |
|  | \| | fold one_term |
|  | \| | ( rewstrategy) |
|  | \| | old_hints ident |

one_term lemma, left to right
<- one_term lemma, right to left
fail failure

## id identity

refl reflexivity
progress rewstrategy progress
try rewstrategy try catch
rewstrategy ; rewstrategy composition
choice rewstrategy ${ }^{+}$first successful strategy
repeat rewstrategy one or more
any rewstrategy zero or more
subterm rewstrategy one subterm
subterms rewstrategy all subterms
innermost rewstrategy Innermost first. When there are multiple nested matches in a subterm, the innermost subterm is rewritten. For example, rewriting $(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})+\mathbf{c}$ with Nat.add_comm gives $(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{a})+\mathbf{c}$.
outermost rewstrategy Outermost first. When there are multiple nested matches in a subterm, the outermost subterm is rewritten. For example, rewriting $(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})+\mathbf{c}$ with Nat.add_comm gives $\mathbf{c}+(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$.
bottomup rewstrategy bottom-up
topdown rewstrategy top-down
hints ident apply hints from hint database
terms one_term ${ }^{\star}$ any of the terms
eval red_expr apply reduction
fold term unify
( rewstrategy ) to be documented
old_hints ident to be documented
Conceptually, a few of these are defined in terms of the others using a primitive fixpoint operator fix, which the tactic doesn't currently support:

- try rewstrategy $:=$ choice rewstrategy id
- any rewstrategy := fix ident. try (rewstrategy ; ident)
- repeat rewstrategy := rewstrategy; any rewstrategy
- bottomup rewstrategy := fix ident. (choice (progress (subterms ident)) rewstrategy) ; try ident
- topdown rewstrategy := fix ident. (choice rewstrategy (progress (subterms ident))) ; try ident
- innermost rewstrategy $:=$ fix ident. (choice (subterm ident) rewstrategy)
- outermost rewstrategy $:=$ fix ident. (choice rewstrategy (subterm ident))

The basic control strategy semantics are straightforward: strategies are applied to subterms of the term to rewrite, starting from the root of the term. The lemma strategies unify the left-hand-side of the lemma with the current subterm and on success rewrite it to the right- hand-side. Composition can be used to continue rewriting on the current subterm. The fail strategy always fails while the identity strategy succeeds without making progress. The reflexivity strategy succeeds, making progress using a reflexivity proof of rewriting. progress tests progress of the argument rewstrategy and fails if no progress was made, while try always succeeds, catching failures. choice uses the first successful strategy in the list of @rewstrategy. One can iterate a strategy at least 1 time using repeat and at least 0 times using any.
The subterm and subterms strategies apply their argument rewstrategy to respectively one or all subterms of the current term under consideration, left-to-right. subterm stops at the first subterm for which rewstrategy made progress. The composite strategies innermost and outermost perform a single innermost or outermost rewrite using their argument rewstrategy. Their counterparts bottomup and topdown perform as many rewritings as possible, starting from the bottom or the top of the term.

Hint databases created for autorewrite can also be used by rewrite_strat using the hints strategy that applies any of the lemmas at the current subterm. The terms strategy takes the lemma names directly as arguments. The eval strategy expects a reduction expression (see Applying conversion rules) and succeeds if it reduces the subterm under consideration. The fold strategy takes a term and tries to unify it to the current subterm, converting it to term on success. It is stronger than the tactic fold.

## Example: innermost and outermost

The type of Nat. add_comm is forall $n m$ : nat, $n+m=m+n$.

```
Require Import Coq.Arith.Arith.
    [Loading ML file ring_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
Set Printing Parentheses.
Goal forall a b c: nat, a + b + c = 0.
    1 goal
        =ー=ー=ー=ー=ー==========-=-=-=-=
        forall a b c : nat, ((a + b) + c) = 0
rewrite_strat innermost Nat.add_comm.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall a b c : nat, ( (b + a) + c) = 0
```

Using outermost instead gives this result：

```
rewrite_strat outermost Nat.add_comm.
```

    1 goal
        ==============================1
        forall \(\mathrm{a} b \mathrm{c}\) : nat, \((\mathrm{c}+(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}))=0\)
    
## 3．3 Creating new tactics

The languages presented in this chapter allow one to build complex tactics by combining existing ones with constructs such as conditionals and looping．While Ltac was initially thought of as a language for doing some basic combinations，it has been used successfully to build highly complex tactics as well，but this has also highlighted its limits and fragility．The experimental language Ltac2 is a typed and more principled variant which is more adapted to building complex tactics．

There are other solutions beyond these two tactic languages to write new tactics：
－Mtac2 $2^{50}$ is an external plugin which provides another typed tactic language．While Ltac2 belongs to the ML lan－ guage family，Mtac 2 reuses the language of Coq itself as the language to build Coq tactics．
－Coq－Elpi ${ }^{51}$ is an external plugin which provides an extension language based on $\lambda$ Prolog，a programming language well suited to write code which manipulates syntax trees with binders such as Coq terms．Elpi provides an extensive set of APIs to create commands（i．e．script the vernacular language）and tactics．
－The most traditional way of building new complex tactics is to write a Coq plugin in OCaml．Beware that this also requires much more effort and commitment．A tutorial for writing Coq plugins is available in the Coq repository in doc／plugin＿tutorial ${ }^{52}$ ．

[^22]
### 3.3.1 Ltac

This chapter documents the tactic language $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$.
We start by giving the syntax followed by the informal semantics. To learn more about the language and especially about its foundations, please refer to [Del00]. (Note the examples in the paper won't work as-is; Coq has evolved since the paper was written.)

## Example: Basic tactic macros

Here are some examples of simple tactic macros you can create with $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ :

```
Ltac reduce_and_try_to_solve := simpl; intros; auto.
Ltac destruct_bool_and_rewrite b H1 H2 :=
    destruct b; [ rewrite H1; eauto | rewrite H2; eauto ].
```

See Section Examples of using Ltac for more advanced examples.

## Syntax

The syntax of the tactic language is given below.
The main entry of the grammar is Itac_expr, which is used in proof mode as well as to define new tactics with the Ltac command.

The grammar uses multiple ltac_expr* nonterminals to express how subexpressions are grouped when they're not fully parenthesized. For example, in many programming languages, $a * b+c$ is interpreted as $(a * b)+c$ because * has higher precedence than + . Usually $a / b / c$ is given the left associative interpretation $(a / b) / c$ rather than the right associative interpretation $a /(b / c)$.

In Coq, the expression try repeat tactic $_{1}\| \|$ tactic $_{2} ;$ tactic $_{3} ;$ tactic $_{4}$ is interpreted as (try (repeat (tactic ${ }_{1}$ II $\mathrm{tactic}_{2}$ )) ; tactic ${ }_{3}$ ); tactic ${ }_{4}$ because || is part of Itac_expr2, which has higher precedence than try and repeat (at the level of ltac_expr3), which in turn have higher precedence than ; which is part of $I$ tac_expr 4. (A lower number in the nonterminal name means higher precedence in this grammar.)
The constructs in Itac_expr are left associative.

| ltac_expr <br> ltac_expr4 | : : = | ltac_expr4 | binder_tactic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : : $=$ | ltac_expr3; ltac_expr3 |  | binder_tactic |
|  | \| | $\text { ltac_expr3; [ for_each_goal }]$ |  |  |
|  | \| | ltac_expr3 |  |  |
| ltac_expr3 | : : = | l3_tactic |  |  |
|  | \| | ltac_expr2 |  |  |
| ltac_expr2 | : : = | ltac_expr1 + ltac_expr 2 |  | binder_tactic |
|  | \| | ltac_expr1 II ltac_expr2 |  | binder_tactic |
|  | \| | l2_tactic <br> ltac_expr1 |  |  |
|  | \| |  |  |  |
| ltac_expr1 | : : = | tactic_value |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \| | qualid tactic_arg |  |  |
|  | \| | ltac_expr0 |  |  |
| tactic_value tactic_arg | : : $=$ | value_tactic | syn_value |  |
|  | : : = | tactic_value term |  |  |
|  | \| |  |  |  |
|  | \| | () |  |  |
| ltac_expr0 | : : = | ( ltac_expr ) |  |  |
|  | \| | [> for_each_goal] |  |  |
|  | \| | tactic_atom |  |  |
| tactic_atom | : : = | integer |  |  |
|  | \| | qualid |  |  |
|  |  | () |  |  |

Note: Tactics described in other chapters of the documentation are simple_tactics, which only modify the proof state. $L_{\text {tac }}$ provides additional constructs that can generally be used wherever a simple_tactic can appear, even though they don't modify the proof state and that syntactically they're at varying levels in ltac_expr. For simplicity of presentation, the $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ constructs are documented as tactics. Tactics are grouped as follows:

- binder_tactics are: fun and let
- 13_tactics include $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ tactics: try, do, repeat, timeout, time, progress, once, exactly_once, only and abstract
- l2_tactics are: tryif
- l1_tactics are the simple_tactics, first, solve, idtac, fail and gfail as well as match, match goal and their lazymatch and multimatch variants.
- value_tactics, which return values rather than change the proof state. They are: eval, context, numgoals, fresh, type of and type_term.

The documentation for these $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ constructs mentions which group they belong to.
The difference is only relevant in some compound tactics where extra parentheses may be needed. For example, parentheses are required in idtac + (once idtac) because once is an l3_tactic, which the production ltac_expr2 : := ltac_expr1 + ltac_expr2 binder_tactic doesn't accept after the +.

## Note:

- The grammar reserves the token ||.


## Values

An $L_{\text {tac }}$ value can be an integer, string, unit (written as "()"), syntactic value or tactic. Syntactic values correspond to certain nonterminal symbols in the grammar, each of which is a distinct type of value. Most commonly, the value of an $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ expression is a tactic that can be executed.
While there are a number of constructs that let you combine multiple tactics into compound tactics, there are no operations for combining most other types of values. For example, there's no function to add two integers. Syntactic values are entered with the syn_value construct. Values of all types can be assigned to toplevel symbols with the Ltac command or to local symbols with the let tactic. $L_{\text {tac }}$ functions can return values of any type.

## Syntactic values

## syn_value $::=$ ident $:($ nonterminal $)$

Provides a way to use the syntax and semantics of a grammar nonterminal as a value in an ltac_expr. The table below describes the most useful of these. You can see the others by running "Print Grammar tactic" and examining the part at the end under "Entry tactic:tactic_value".
ident name of a grammar nonterminal listed in the table
nonterminal represents syntax described by nonterminal.

| Specified ident | Parsed as | Interpreted as | as in tactic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ident | ident | a user-specified name | intro |
| string | string | a string |  |
| integer | integer | an integer |  |
| reference | qualid | a qualified identifier |  |
| uconstr | term | an untyped term | refine |
| constr | term | a term | exact |
| ltac | ltac_expr | a tactic |  |

ltac: (ltac_expr) can be used to indicate that the parenthesized item should be interpreted as a tactic and not as a term. The constructs can also be used to pass parameters to tactics written in OCaml. (While all of the syn_values can appear at the beginning of an ltac_expr, the others are not useful because they will not evaluate to tactics.)
uconstr: (term) can be used to build untyped terms. Terms built in $L_{\text {tac }}$ are well-typed by default. Building large terms in recursive $L_{\text {tac }}$ functions may give very slow behavior because terms must be fully type checked at each step. In this case, using an untyped term may avoid most of the repetitive type checking for the term, improving performance.

Untyped terms built using uconstr: (...) can be used as arguments to the refine tactic, for example. In that case the untyped term is type checked against the conclusion of the goal, and the holes which are not solved by the typing procedure are turned into new subgoals.

## Substitution

names within $L_{\text {tac }}$ expressions are used to represent both terms and $L_{\text {tac }}$ variables. If the name corresponds to an $L_{\text {tac }}$ variable or tactic name, $\mathrm{I}_{\text {tac }}$ substitutes the value before applying the expression. Generally it's best to choose distinctive names for $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ variables that won't clash with term names. You can use ltac: (name) or (name) to control whether a name is interpreted as, respectively, an $L_{\text {tac }}$ variable or a term.

Note that values from toplevel symbols, unlike locally-defined symbols, are substituted only when they appear at the beginning of an ltac_expr or as a tactic_arg. Local symbols are also substituted into tactics:

## Example: Substitution of global and local symbols

```
Ltac n := 1.
    n is defined
let n2 := n in idtac n2.
    1
Fail idtac n.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    n not found.
```


## Local definitions: let

Tactic: let rec let_clause with let_clause ${ }^{\text {* }}$ in ltac_expr


Binds symbols within ltac_expr. Iet evaluates each let_clause, substitutes the bound variables into ltac_expr and then evaluates ltac_expr. There are no dependencies between the let_clauses.

Use let rec to create recursive or mutually recursive bindings, which causes the definitions to be evaluated lazily.
let is a binder_tactic.

## Function construction and application

A parameterized tactic can be built anonymously (without resorting to local definitions) with:
Tactic: fun name ${ }^{+}$-> ltac_expr
Indeed, local definitions of functions are syntactic sugar for binding a fun tactic to an identifier.
fun is a binder_tactic.
Functions can return values of any type.
A function application is an expression of the form:
Tactic: qualid tactic_arg ${ }^{+}$
qualid must be bound to a $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ function with at least as many arguments as the provided tactic_args. The tactic_args are evaluated before the function is applied or partially applied.

Functions may be defined with the fun and let tactics and with the Ltac command.

## Tactics in terms

$$
\text { term_ltac }::=\text { ltac }:(\text { ltac_expr })
$$

Allows including an ltac_expr within a term. Semantically, it's the same as the syn_value for ltac, but these are distinct in the grammar.

## Goal selectors

By default, tactic expressions are applied only to the first goal. Goal selectors provide a way to apply a tactic expression to another goal or multiple goals. (The Default Goal Selector option can be used to change the default behavior.)

Tactic: toplevel_selector : ltac_expr

| toplevel_selector | $::=$ | selector |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | all |
|  | \| | $!$ |
|  | \| | par |

Reorders the goals and applies Itac_expr to the selected goals. It can only be used at the top level of a tactic expression; it cannot be used within a tactic expression. The selected goals are reordered so they appear after the lowest-numbered selected goal, ordered by goal number. Example. If the selector applies to a single goal or to all goals, the reordering will not be apparent. The order of the goals in the selector is irrelevant. (This may not be what you expect; see $\# 8481^{53}$.)
all Selects all focused goals.
! If exactly one goal is in focus, apply ltac_expr to it. Otherwise the tactic fails.
par Applies ltac_expr to all focused goals in parallel. The number of workers can be controlled via the command line option -async-proofs-tac-j natural to specify the desired number of workers. In the special case where natural is 0 , this completely prevents Coq from spawning any new process, and par blocks are treated as a variant of all that additionally checks that each subgoal is solved. Limitations: par: only works on goals that don't contain existential variables. Itac_expr must either solve the goal completely or do nothing (i.e. it cannot make some progress).

Selectors can also be used nested within a tactic expression with the on $1 y$ tactic:
Tactic: only selector : ltac_expr3


Applies 1 tac_expr 3 to the selected goals. (At the beginning of a sentence, use the form selector: tactic rather than only selector: tactic. In the latter, the Default Goal Selector (by default set to 1:) is applied before only is interpreted. This is probably not what you want.)

> only is an l3_tactic.
range_selector $\stackrel{+}{+}$ The selected goals are the union of the specified range_selectors.

[^23][ ident ] Limits the application of Itac_expr3 to the goal previously named ident by the user (see Existential variables). This works even when the goal is not in focus.
natural Selects a single goal.
natural $1_{1}$ natural $1_{2}$ Selects the goals natural $1_{1}$ through natura $1_{2}$, inclusive.
Error: No such goal.

## Example: Selector reordering goals

```
Goal 1=0 /\ 2=0 /\ 3=0.
repeat split.
    goals
        ===========================
        1 = 0
    goal 2 is:
        2 = 0
    goal 3 is:
        3=0
1,3: idtac.
    g goals
        ==============================
        1 = 0
    goal 2 is:
        3 = 0
    goal 3 is:
    2=0
```


## Processing multiple goals

When presented with multiple focused goals, most $L_{\text {tac }}$ constructs process each goal separately. They succeed only if there is a success for each goal. For example:

## Example: Multiple focused goals

This tactic fails because there no match for the second goal (False).
2 goals
$=========================$
True
goal 2 is:
False

Fail all: let $n:=$ numgoals in idtac "numgoals $=$ " $n$;
match goal with
| |- True => idtac
end.
numgoals $=2$
The command has indeed failed with message:
No matching clauses for match.

## Branching and backtracking

$\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ provides several branching tactics that permit trying multiple alternative tactics for a proof step. For example, first, which tries several alternatives and selects the first that succeeds, or tryif, which tests whether a given tactic would succeed or fail if it was applied and then, depending on the result, applies one of two alternative tactics. There are also looping constructs do and repeat. The order in which the subparts of these tactics are evaluated is generally similar to structured programming constructs in many languages.

The + , multimatch and multimatch goal tactics provide more complex capability. Rather than applying a single successful tactic, these tactics generate a series of successful tactic alternatives that are tried sequentially when subsequent tactics outside these constructs fail. For example:

```
Example: Backtracking
Fail multimatch True with
| True => idtac "branch 1"
| _ => idtac "branch 2"
end ;
idtac "branch A"; fail.
    branch 1
    branch A
    branch 2
    branch A
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Tactic failure.
```

These constructs are evaluated using backtracking. Each creates a backtracking point. When a subsequent tactic fails, evaluation continues from the nearest prior backtracking point with the next successful alternative and repeats the tactics after the backtracking point. When a backtracking point has no more successful alternatives, evaluation continues from the next prior backtracking point. If there are no more prior backtracking points, the overall tactic fails.
Thus, backtracking tactics can have multiple successes. Non-backtracking constructs that appear after a backtracking point are reprocessed after backtracking, as in the example above, in which the ; construct is reprocessed after backtracking. When a backtracking construct is within a non-backtracking construct, the latter uses the first success. Backtracking to a point within a non-backtracking construct won't change the branch that was selected by the non-backtracking construct.

The once tactic stops further backtracking to backtracking points within that tactic.

## Control flow

## Sequence: ;

A sequence is an expression of the following form:
Tactic: Itac_expr31 ; ltac_expr3 ${ }_{2}$ binder_tactic
The expression 1 tac_expr $3_{1}$ is evaluated to $\mathbf{v}_{1}$, which must be a tactic value. The tactic $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ is applied to the current goals, possibly producing more goals. Then the right-hand side is evaluated to produce $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}$, which must be a tactic value. The tactic $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is applied to all the goals produced by the prior application. Sequence is associative.

This construct uses backtracking: if 1 tac_expr $3_{2}$ fails, Coq will try each alternative success (if any) for Itac_expr $3_{1}$, retrying 1 tac_expr $3_{2}$ for each until both tactics succeed or all alternatives have failed. See Branching and backtracking.

## Note:

- If you want $\operatorname{tactic}_{2}$; $\operatorname{tactic}_{3}$ to be fully applied to the first subgoal generated by $t a c t i c_{1}$ before applying it to the other subgoals, then you should write:
- tactic ${ }_{1}$; [> tactic ${ }_{2}$; tactic ${ }_{3}$.. ] rather than
- tactic ${ }_{1}$; (tactic ${ }_{2}$; tactic ${ }_{3}$ ).


## Do loop

Tactic: do nat_or_var ltac_expr3
The do loop repeats a tactic nat_or_var times:
Itac_expr is evaluated to v , which must be a tactic value. This tactic value v is applied nat_or_var times. If nat_or_var $>1$, after the first application of $v, v$ is applied, at least once, to the generated subgoals and so on. It fails if the application of $v$ fails before $n a t \_o r \_v a r$ applications have been completed.
do is an l3_tactic.

## Repeat loop

Tactic: repeat ltac_expr3
The repeat loop repeats a tactic until it fails or doesn't change the proof context.
Itac_expr is evaluated to v . If v denotes a tactic, this tactic is applied to each focused goal independently. If the application succeeds, the tactic is applied recursively to all the generated subgoals until it eventually fails. The recursion stops in a subgoal when the tactic has failed to make progress. The tactic repeat Itac_expr itself never fails.

```
repeat is an 13_tactic.
```


## Catching errors: try

We can catch the tactic errors with:
Tactic: try ltac_expr3
Itac_expr is evaluated to $v$ which must be a tactic value. The tactic value $v$ is applied to each focused goal independently. If the application of $v$ fails in a goal, it catches the error and leaves the goal unchanged. If the level of the exception is positive, then the exception is re-raised with its level decremented.
try is an l3_tactic.

## Conditional branching: tryif

Tactic: tryif ltac_expr test then $1 t a c \_e x p r_{t h e n}$ else ltac_expr2 $_{\text {else }}$
For each focused goal, independently: Evaluate and apply ltac_expr test . If ltac_expr test succeeds at least once, evaluate and apply $1 t a c_{\text {_ }} \exp _{\text {then }}$ to all the subgoals generated by ltac_expr$r_{\text {test }}$. Otherwise, evaluate and apply $1 t a c_{\_} \operatorname{expr}^{2}{ }_{\text {else }}$ to all the subgoals generated by $1 t^{t a c \_e x p r} r_{\text {test }}$.

```
tryif is an l2_tactic.
```


## Alternatives

## Branching with backtracking:

We can branch with backtracking with the following structure:
Tactic: ltac_expr1 + ltac_expr2 binder_tactic
Evaluates and applies ltac_expr1 to each focused goal independently. If it fails (i.e. there is no initial success), then evaluates and applies the right-hand side. If the right-hand side fails, the construct fails.
If ltac_expr1 has an initial success and a subsequent tactic (outside the + construct) fails, $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ backtracks and selects the next success for ltac_expr1. If there are no more successes, then + similarly evaluates and applies (and backtracks in) the right-hand side. To prevent evaluation of further alternatives after an initial success for a tactic, use first instead.

+ is left-associative.
In all cases, ( ltac_expr $_{1}+l t a c_{-} \operatorname{expr}_{2}$ ); ltac_expr ${ }_{3}$ is equivalent to (ltac_expr $r_{1}$; ltac_expr $r_{3}$ + (ltac_expr $2_{2}$ ltac_expr $)_{3}$.
Additionally, in most cases, (ltac_expr $\left.r_{1}+1 t a c_{-} \operatorname{expr}_{2}\right)+l t a c_{-} \operatorname{expr}_{3}$ is equivalent to $l t a c \_e x p r_{1}+\left(l t a c \_e x p r_{2}+l t a c_{1} e x p r_{3}\right)$. Here's an example where the behavior differs slightly:

```
Fail (fail 2 + idtac) + idtac.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Tactic failure.
Fail fail 2 + (idtac + idtac).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Tactic failure (level 1).
```


## Example: Backtracking branching with +

In the first tactic, idtac " 2 " is not executed. In the second, the subsequent fail causes backtracking and the execution of idtac "B".

```
idtac "1" + idtac "2".
    1
assert_fails ((idtac "A" + idtac "B"); fail).
    A
    B
```


## Local application of tactics: [> ... ]

Tactic: [> for_each_goal ]
for_each_goal : := goal_tactics


Applies a different ltac_expr ${ }^{?}$ to each of the focused goals. In the first form of for_each_goal (without ..), the construct fails if the number of specified ltac_expr ${ }^{?}$ ? is not the same as the number of focused goals. Omitting an Itac_expr leaves the corresponding goal unchanged.
In the second form (with ltac_expr ? . .), the left and right goal_tactics are applied respectively to a prefix or suffix of the list of focused goals. The ltac_expr ${ }^{?}$ before the . . is applied to any focused goals in the middle (possibly none) that are not covered by the goal_tactics. The number of ltac_expr ${ }^{?}$ in the goal_tactics must be no more than the number of focused goals.
In particular:
goal_tactics | .. | goal_tactics The goals not covered by the two goal_tactics are left unchanged.
[> ltac_expr .. ] ltac_expr is applied independently to each of the goals, rather than globally. In particular, if there are no goals, the tactic is not run at all. A tactic which expects multiple goals, such as swap, would act as if a single goal is focused.
Note that ltac_expr3; [tac_expr ${ }_{\text {I }}^{\star}$ ] is a convenient idiom to process the goals generated by applying ltac_expr3.
Tactic: ltac_expr3 ; [ for_each_goal ]
ltac_expr3 ; [ ... ] is equivalent to [> ltac_expr3 ; [> ... ] .. ].

## First tactic to succeed

In some cases backtracking may be too expensive.
Tactic: first [ Itac_expr ${ }_{\|}^{*}$ ]
For each focused goal, independently apply the first Itac_expr that succeeds. The ltac_exprs must evaluate to tactic values. Failures in tactics after the first won't cause backtracking. (To allow backtracking, use the + construct above instead.)
If the first contains a tactic that can backtrack, "success" means the first success of that tactic. Consider the following:

## Example: Backtracking inside a non-backtracking construct

The fail doesn't trigger the second idtac:

```
assert_fails (first [ idtac "1" | idtac "2" ]; fail).
    1
```

This backtracks within (idtac "1A" + idtac "1B" + fail) but first won’t consider the idtac " 2 " alternative:

```
assert_fails (first [ (idtac "1A" + idtac "1B" + fail) | idtac "2" ]; fail).
    1A
    1B
```

first is an l1_tactic.

## Error: No applicable tactic.

Variant: first ltac_expr
This is an $L_{\text {tac }}$ alias that gives a primitive access to the first tactical as an $L_{\text {tac }}$ definition without going through a parsing rule. It expects to be given a list of tactics through a Tactic Notation command, permitting notations with the following form to be written:

## Example

Tactic Notation "foo" tactic_list(tacs) := first tacs.

## Solving

Selects and applies the first tactic that solves each goal (i.e. leaves no subgoal) in a series of alternative tactics:
Tactic: solve [ 1 tac_expr ${ }_{i} \stackrel{\star}{1}$ ]
For each current subgoal: evaluates and applies each ltac_expr in order until one is found that solves the subgoal.
If any of the subgoals are not solved, then the overall solve fails.

Note: In solve and first, Itac_exprs that don't evaluate to tactic values are ignored. So solve [ () | 1 | constructor ] is equivalent to solve [ constructor ]. This may make it harder to debug scripts that inadvertently include non-tactic values.
solve is an l1_tactic.
Variant: solve ltac_expr
This is an $L_{\text {tac }}$ alias that gives a primitive access to the solve tactic. See the first tactic for more information.

## First tactic to make progress: ||

Yet another way of branching without backtracking is the following structure:
Tactic: Itac_expr1 || Itac_expr2 | binder_tactic
Itac_expr1 || Itac_expr2is equivalent to first [ progress ltac_expr1 | ltac_expr2
], except that if it fails, it fails like Itac_expr2. ' \| \| is left-associative.
Itac_exprs that don't evaluate to tactic values are ignored. See the note at solve.

## Detecting progress

We can check if a tactic made progress with:
Tactic: progress ltac_expr3
Itac_expr is evaluated to $v$ which must be a tactic value. The tactic value $v$ is applied to each focused subgoal independently. If the application of $v$ to one of the focused subgoal produced subgoals equal to the initial goals (up to syntactical equality), then an error of level 0 is raised.
progress is an l3_tactic.
Error: Failed to progress.

## Success and failure

## Checking for success: assert_succeeds

Coq defines an $L_{\text {tac }}$ tactic in Init. Tactics to check that a tactic has at least one success:
Tactic: assert_succeeds Itac_expr3
If ltac_expr 3 has at least one success, the proof state is unchanged and no message is printed. If ltac_expr3 fails, the tactic fails with the same error.

## Checking for failure: assert_fails

Coq defines an $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ tactic in Init. Tactics to check that a tactic fails:
Tactic: assert_fails ltac_expr3
If ltac_expr 3 fails, the proof state is unchanged and no message is printed. If ltac_expr3 unexpectedly has at least one success, the tactic performs a $g$ fail $\mathbf{0}$, printing the following message:
Error: Tactic failure: <tactic closure> succeeds.

Note: assert_fails and assert_succeeds work as described when ltac_expr3 is a simple_tactic. In some more complex expressions, they may report an error from within ltac_expr3 when they shouldn't. This is due to the order in which parts of the 1 tac_expr 3 are evaluated and executed. For example:

```
assert_fails match True with _ => fail end.
    Toplevel input, characters 0-43:
    > assert_fails match True with _ => fail end.
    > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
    Error: Tactic failure.
```

should not show any message. The issue is that assert_fails is an $L_{\text {tac }}$-defined tactic. That makes it a function that's processed in the evaluation phase, causing the match to find its first success earlier. One workaround is to prefix ltac_expr3with"idtac;".

```
assert_fails (idtac; match True with _ => fail end).
```

Alternatively, substituting the match into the definition of assert_fails works as expected:

```
tryif (once match True with _ => fail end) then gfail 0 (* tac *) "succeeds" else
    udtac.
```


## Failing

Tactic:

fail is the always-failing tactic: it does not solve any goal. It is useful for defining other tactics since it can be caught by try, repeat, match goal, or the branching tacticals.
$g f a i l$ fails even when used after ; and there are no goals left. Similarly, $g f a i l$ fails even when used after all: and there are no goals left.

```
fail and gfail are l1_tactics.
```

See the example for a comparison of the two constructs.
Note that if Coq terms have to be printed as part of the failure, term construction always forces the tactic into the goals, meaning that if there are no goals when it is evaluated, a tactic call like let $\mathbf{x}:=\mathrm{H}$ in fail $0 \times$ will succeed.
nat_or_var The failure level. If no level is specified, it defaults to 0 . The level is used by try, repeat, match goal and the branching tacticals. If 0 , it makes match goal consider the next clause (backtracking). If nonzero, the current match goal block, try, repeat, or branching command is aborted and the level is decremented. In the case of + , a nonzero level skips the first backtrack point, even if the call to fail natural is not enclosed in a + construct, respecting the algebraic identity.

|  | ident | string | natural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |${ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ The given tokens are used for printing the failure message. If ident is an $L_{\text {tac }}$ variable, its contents are printed; if not, it is an error.

Error: Tactic failure.
Error: Tactic failure (level natural).
Error: No such goal.

## Example

Goal True.
1 goal

```
        True
Proof. fail. Abort.
        Toplevel input, characters 7-12:
    > Proof. fail.
    > ^^^^人
    Error: Tactic failure.
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Proof. trivial; fail. Qed.
    No more goals.
Goal True.
    1 goal
        ===========================
        True
Proof. trivial. fail. Abort.
    No more goals.
    Toplevel input, characters 16-21:
    > Proof. trivial. fail.
    > ^^^^^
    Error: No such goal.
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Proof. trivial. all: fail. Qed.
    No more goals.
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Proof. gfail. Abort.
    Toplevel input, characters 7-13:
    > Proof. gfail.
    > ^^^^^^
    Error: Tactic failure.
```

```
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Proof. trivial; gfail. Abort.
    Toplevel input, characters 7-22:
    > Proof. trivial; gfail.
    > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
    Error: Tactic failure.
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Proof. trivial. gfail. Abort.
    No more goals.
    Toplevel input, characters 16-22:
    > Proof. trivial. gfail.
    >
    Error: No such goal.
Goal True.
    1 goal
        =============================
        True
Proof. trivial. all: gfail. Abort.
    No more goals.
    Toplevel input, characters 16-27:
    > Proof. trivial. all: gfail.
    > ^^^^^^^^^^^
    Error: Tactic failure.
```


## Soft cut: once

Another way of restricting backtracking is to restrict a tactic to a single success:
Tactic: once ltac_expr3
Itac_expr 3 is evaluated to $v$ which must be a tactic value. The tactic value $v$ is applied but only its first success is used. If v fails, once Itac_expr3 fails like $v$. If $v$ has at least one success, once 1tac_expr 3 succeeds once, but cannot produce more successes.
once is an l3_tactic.

## Checking for a single success: exactly_once

Coq provides an experimental way to check that a tactic has exactly one success:
Tactic: exactly_once ltac_expr3
Itac_expr3 is evaluated to v which must be a tactic value. The tactic value v is applied if it has at most one success. If v fails, exact ly_once ltac_expr3 fails like v . If v has a exactly one success, exactly_once Itac_expr3 succeeds like $v$. If $v$ has two or more successes, exactly_once ltac_expr3 fails.
exactly_once is an l3_tactic.

Warning: The experimental status of this tactic pertains to the fact if $v$ has side effects, they may occur in an unpredictable way. Indeed, normally $v$ would only be executed up to the first success until backtracking is needed, however exactly_once needs to look ahead to see whether a second success exists, and may run further effects immediately.

## Error: This tactic has more than one success.

## Manipulating values

Pattern matching on terms: match

Tactic: match_key ltac_expr ${ }_{\text {term }}$ with 1 ? match_pattern $=>$ ltac_expr ${ }^{\text {! }}$ end

| match_key | : : $=$ | lazymatch match multimatch |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| |  |  |
|  | \| |  |  |
| match_pattern | : : $=$ | cpattern |  |
|  |  | context ident [ cpattern] |  |
| cpattern | : : = | term |  |

lazymatch, match and multimatch are ltac_expris.
Evaluates 1 tac_expr $r_{\text {term }}$, which must yield a term, and matches it sequentially with the match_patterns, which may have metavariables. When a match is found, metavariable values are substituted into ltac_expr, which is then applied.

Matching may continue depending on whether lazymatch, match or multimatch is specified.
In the match_patterns, metavariables have the form ?ident, whereas in the ltac_exprs, the question mark is omitted. Choose your metavariable names with care to avoid name conflicts. For example, if you use the metavariable $S$, then the Itac_expr can't use $S$ to refer to the constructor of nat without qualifying the constructor as Datatypes.S.
Matching is non-linear: if a metavariable occurs more than once, each occurrence must match the same expression. Expressions match if they are syntactically equal or are $\alpha$-convertible. Matching is first-order except on variables of the form @? ident that occur in the head position of an application. For these variables, matching is second-order and returns a functional term.
lazymatch Causes the match to commit to the first matching branch rather than trying a new match if ltac_expr fails. Example.
match If Itac_expr fails, continue matching with the next branch. Failures in subsequent tactics (after the match) will not cause selection of a new branch. Examples here and here.
multimatch If ltac_expr fails, continue matching with the next branch. When an ltac_expr succeeds for a branch, subsequent failures (after the multimatch) causing consumption of all the successes of ltac_expr trigger selection of a new matching branch. Example.
match ... is, in fact, shorthand for once multimatch ....
cpattern The syntax of cpattern is the same as that of terms, but it can contain pattern matching metavariables in the form ?ident. _ can be used to match irrelevant terms. Example.

When a metavariable in the form ?id occurs under binders, say $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and the expression matches, the metavariable is instantiated by a term which can then be used in any context which also binds the variables $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ with same types. This provides with a primitive form of matching under context which does not require manipulating a functional term.

There is also a special notation for second-order pattern matching: in an applicative pattern of the form @?ident ident ${ }_{1} \ldots$ ident $_{n}$, the variable ident matches any complex expression with (possible) dependencies in the variables ident $_{i}$ and returns a functional term of the form fun ident ${ }_{1}$... ident $_{n}$ => term.
context ident ${ }^{?}$ [ cpattern ] Matches any term with a subterm matching cpattern. If there is a match and ident is present, it is assigned the "matched context", i.e. the initial term where the matched subterm is replaced by a hole. Note that context (with very similar syntax) appearing after the $=>$ is the context tactic.

For match and multimatch, if the evaluation of the ltac_expr fails, the next matching subterm is tried. If no further subterm matches, the next branch is tried. Matching subterms are considered from top to bottom and from left to right (with respect to the raw printing obtained by setting the Printing All flag). Example.

Itac_expr The tactic to apply if the construct matches. Metavariable values from the pattern match are substituted into Itac_expr before it's applied. Note that metavariables are not prefixed with the question mark as they are in cpattern.

If Itac_exprevaluates to a tactic, then it is applied. If the tactic succeeds, the result of the match expression is idtac. If ltac_expr does not evaluate to a tactic, that value is the result of the match expression.

If ltac_expr is a tactic with backtracking points, then subsequent failures after a lazymatch or mult imatch (but not match) can cause backtracking into ltac_expr to select its next success. (match ... is equivalent to once multimatch .... The once prevents backtracking into the match after it has succeeded.)

Note: Each $L_{\text {tac }}$ construct is processed in two phases: an evaluation phase and an execution phase. In most cases, tactics that may change the proof state are applied in the second phase. (Tactics that generate integer, string or syntactic values, such as fresh, are processed during the evaluation phase.)

Unlike other tactics, *match* tactics get their first success (applying tactics to do so) as part of the evaluation phase. Among other things, this can affect how early failures are processed in assert_fails. Please see the note in assert_fails.

## Error: Expression does not evaluate to a tactic.

ltac_expr must evaluate to a tactic.
Error: No matching clauses for match.
For at least one of the focused goals, there is no branch that matches its pattern and gets at least one success for ltac_expr.

Error: Argument of match does not evaluate to a term.
This happens when $1 t a c \_\operatorname{expr}_{\text {term }}$ does not denote a term.

## Example: Comparison of lazymatch and match

In lazymatch, if Itac_exprfails, the lazymatch fails; it doesn't look for further matches. In match, if Itac_expr fails in a matching branch, it will try to match on subsequent branches.

```
Fail lazymatch True with
| True => idtac "branch 1"; fail
| _ => idtac "branch 2"
end.
    branch 1
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Tactic failure.
match True with
| True => idtac "branch 1"; fail
| _ => idtac "branch 2"
end.
    branch 1
    branch 2
```


## Example: Comparison of match and multimatch

match tactics are only evaluated once, whereas mult imatch tactics may be evaluated more than once if the following constructs trigger backtracking:

```
Fail match True with
| True => idtac "branch 1"
| _ => idtac "branch 2"
end ;
idtac "branch A"; fail.
    branch 1
    branch A
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Tactic failure.
Fail multimatch True with
| True => idtac "branch 1"
| _ => idtac "branch 2"
end ;
idtac "branch A"; fail.
    branch 1
    branch A
    branch 2
    branch A
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Tactic failure.
```


## Example: Matching a pattern with holes

Notice the idtac prints ( $\mathrm{z}+1$ ) while the pose substitutes $(\mathrm{x}+1)$.

```
Goal True.
match constr:(fun x => (x + 1) * 3) with
| fun z => ?y * 3 => idtac "Y =" y; pose (fun z: nat => y * 5)
end.
    y = (z + 1)
    1 goal
    n := fun x : nat }=>(\textrm{x}+1) * 5 : nat -> na
=============================
True
```


## Example: Multiple matches for a "context" pattern.

Internally " $\mathrm{x}<>\mathrm{y}$ " is represented as " $(\sim(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y})$ )", which produces the first match.

```
Ltac f t := match t with
    | context [ (~ ?t) ] => idtac "?t = " t; fail
    | _ => idtac
    end.
Goal True.
f ((~ True) <> (~ False)).
    ?t = ((~ True) = (~ False) )
    ?t = True
    ?t = False
```


## Pattern matching on goals and hypotheses: match goal

Tactic: match_key reverse goal with $\|$ ? goal_pattern $=>$ ltac_expr end

lazymatch goal, match goal and multimatch goal arell_tactics.
Use this form to match hypotheses and/or goals in the local context. These patterns have zero or more subpatterns to match hypotheses followed by a subpattern to match the conclusion. Except for the differences noted below, this works the same as the corresponding match_key ltac_expr construct (see match). Each current goal is processed independently.

Matching is non-linear: if a metavariable occurs more than once, each occurrence must match the same expression. Within a single term, expressions match if they are syntactically equal or $\alpha$-convertible. When a metavariable is used across multiple hypotheses or across a hypothesis and the current goal, the expressions match if they are convertible.
match_hyp ${ }_{7}^{\star}$ Patterns to match with hypotheses. Each pattern must match a distinct hypothesis in order for the branch to match.
Hypotheses have the form name $:=$ term $_{\text {binder }}{ }^{?}$ : type. Patterns bind each of these nonterminals separately:

| Pattern syntax | Example pattern |
| :---: | :---: |
| name : match_pattern ${ }_{\text {type }}$ | n : ? t |
| name := match_pattern ${ }_{\text {binder }}$ | n : = ? ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| name := term ${ }_{\text {binder }}$ : type | n : = ? $\mathrm{b}^{\text {a }}$ : ? t |
| name := [ match_pattern ${ }_{\text {binder }}$ ] : match_patterntype | n : = [ ? b ] : ? t |

name can't have a ?. Note that the last two forms are equivalent except that:

- if the : in the third form has been bound to something else in a notation, you must use the fourth form. Note that cmd:Require Import ssreflect loads a notation that does this.
- a termbinder such as [ ? 1 ] (e.g., denoting a singleton list after Import ListNotations) must be parenthesized or, for the fourth form, use double brackets: [ [ ? 1 ] ].
$t^{\text {erm }} \mathrm{bindex} \mathrm{s}$ in the form [?x ; ?y] for a list are not parsed correctly. The workaround is to add parentheses or to use the underlying term instead of the notation, i.e. (cons ?x ?y).

If there are multiple match_hyps in a branch, there may be multiple ways to match them to hypotheses. For match goal and multimatch goal, if the evaluation of the ltac_expr fails, matching will continue with the next hypothesis combination. When those are exhausted, the next alternative from any context constructs in the match_patterns is tried and then, when the context alternatives are exhausted, the next branch is tried. Example.
reverse Hypothesis matching for match_hyps normally begins by matching them from left to right, to hypotheses, last to first. Specifying reverse begins matching in the reverse order, from first to last. Normal and reverse examples.
1- match_pattern A pattern to match with the current goal
goal_pattern with [ . . . ] The square brackets don't affect the semantics. They are permitted for aesthetics.

## Error: No matching clauses for match goal.

No clause succeeds, i.e. all matching patterns, if any, fail at the application of the ltac_expr.
Examples:

## Example: Matching hypotheses

Hypotheses are matched from the last hypothesis (which is by default the newest hypothesis) to the first until the apply succeeds.

```
Goal forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> (A->B).
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> A -> B
```

intros.

```
    1 goal
    A, B : Prop
    H : A
    H0 : B
    H1 : A
    =============================
    B
match goal with
| H : _ |- _ => idtac "apply " H; apply H
end.
    apply H1
    apply H0
    No more goals.
```


## Example: Matching hypotheses with reverse

Hypotheses are matched from the first hypothesis to the last until the apply succeeds.

```
Goal forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> (A ->B).
    1 goal
        ============================
        forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> A -> B
intros.
    1 goal
        A, B : Prop
        H : A
        HO : B
        H1 : A
        =============================
        B
match reverse goal with
| H : _ |- _ => idtac "apply " H; apply H
end.
    apply A
    apply B
    apply H
    apply H0
    No more goals.
```


## Example: Multiple ways to match hypotheses

Every possible match for the hypotheses is evaluated until the right-hand side succeeds. Note that H1 and H2 are never matched to the same hypothesis. Observe that the number of permutations can grow as the factorial of the number of hypotheses and hypothesis patterns.

```
Goal forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> (A->B).
    1 goal
```

```
        ===========================
        forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> A -> B
intros A B H.
    1 goal
        A, B : Prop
        H : A
        =============================
        B -> A -> B
match goal with
| H1 : _, H2 : _ | _ => idtac "match " H1 H2; fail
| _ => idtac
end.
    match B H
    match A H
    match H B
    match A B
    match H A
    match B A
```


## Filling a term context

The following expression is not a tactic in the sense that it does not produce subgoals but generates a term to be used in tactic expressions:

Tactic: context ident [ term ]
Returns the term matched with the context pattern (described here) substituting term for the hole created by the pattern.

```
context is a value_tactic.
```

Error: Not a context variable.
Error: Unbound context identifier ident.

## Example: Substituting a matched context

```
Goal True /\ True.
        1 goal
        =============================
        True /\ True
    match goal with
    | |- context G [True] => let x := context G [False] in idtac x
    end.
        (False /\ True)
```


## Generating fresh hypothesis names

Tactics sometimes need to generate new names for hypothesis. Letting Coq choose a name with the intro tactic is not so good since it is very awkward to retrieve that name. The following expression returns an identifier:

Tactic: fresh string qualid ${ }^{*}$ *
Returns a fresh identifier name (i.e. one that is not already used in the local context and not previously returned by fresh in the current ltac_expr). The fresh identifier is formed by concatenating the final ident of each qualid (dropping any qualified components) and each specified string. If the resulting name is already used, a number is appended to make it fresh. If no arguments are given, the name is a fresh derivative of the name H .

Note: We recommend generating the fresh identifier immediately before adding it to the local context. Using fresh in a local function may not work as you expect:

Successive calls to $f r e s h$ give distinct names even if the names haven't yet been added to the local context:
1 goal
x : True
==============================
True
let $a:=$ fresh "x" in
let $b:=$ fresh "x" in
idtac a b.
x0 x1

When applying fresh in a function, the name is chosen based on the tactic context at the point where the function was defined:

```
let a := fresh "x" in
let f := fun _ => fresh "x" in
let c := f () in
let d := f () in
idtac a c d.
    x0 x1 x1
```

fresh is a value_tactic.

## Computing in a term: eval

Evaluation of a term can be performed with:
eval red_expr in term
See eval. eval is a value_tactic.

## Getting the type of a term

## Tactic: type of term

This tactic returns the type of term.

```
type of is a value_tactic.
```


## Manipulating untyped terms: type_term

The uconstr : (term ) construct can be used to build an untyped term. See syn_value.
Tactic: type_term one_term
In $L_{\text {tac }}$, an untyped term can contain references to hypotheses or to $L_{\text {tac }}$ variables containing typed or untyped terms. An untyped term can be type checked with type_term whose argument is parsed as an untyped term and returns a well-typed term which can be used in tactics.

```
type_term is a value_tactic.
```


## Counting goals: numgoals

Tactic: numgoals
The number of goals under focus can be recovered using the numgoals function. Combined with the guard tactic below, it can be used to branch over the number of goals produced by previous tactics.

```
numgoals is a value_tactic.
```


## Example

```
Ltac pr_numgoals := let n := numgoals in idtac "There are" n "goals".
Goal True /\ True /\ True.
split;[|split].
all:pr_numgoals.
    There are 3 goals
```


## Testing boolean expressions: guard

Tactic: guard int_or_var comparison int_or_var

| int_or_var | $::=$ | integer | ident |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| comparison | $::=$ | $=$ |  |
|  | $:$ | $<$ |  |
|  |  |  | $<=$ |
|  |  |  | $>$ |
|  |  |  | $>=$ |

Tests a boolean expression. If the expression evaluates to true, it succeeds without affecting the proof. The tactic fails if the expression is false.

The accepted tests are simple integer comparisons.

```
Example: guard
Goal True /\ True /\ True.
split;[|split].
all:let n:= numgoals in guard n<4.
Fail all:let n:= numgoals in guard n=2.
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Condition not satisfied: 3=2
```

Error: Condition not satisfied.

## Checking properties of terms

Each of the following tactics acts as the identity if the check succeeds, and results in an error otherwise.
Tactic: constr_eq_strict one_term one_term
Succeeds if the arguments are equal modulo alpha conversion and ignoring casts. Universes are considered equal when they are equal in the universe graph.

Error: Not equal.
Error: Not equal (due to universes).
Tactic: constr_eq one_term one_term
Like constr_eq_strict, but may add constraints to make universes equal.
Error: Not equal.
Error: Not equal (due to universes).
Tactic: constr_eq_nounivs one_term one_term
Like constr_eq_strict, but all universes are considered equal.
Tactic: unify one_term one_term with ident?
Succeeds if the arguments are unifiable, potentially instantiating existential variables, and fails otherwise.
ident, if specified, is the name of the hint database that specifies which definitions are transparent. Otherwise, all definitions are considered transparent. Unification only expands transparent definitions while matching the two one_terms.
Tactic: is_evar one_term
Succeeds if one_term is an existential variable and otherwise fails. Existential variables are uninstantiated variables generated by eapply and some other tactics.

Error: Not an evar.
Tactic: not_evar one_term
Tactic: has_evar one_term
Succeeds if one_term has an existential variable as a subterm and fails otherwise. Unlike context patterns combined with is_evar, this tactic scans all subterms, including those under binders.

## Error: No evars.

Tactic: is_ground one_term
The negation of has_evar one_term. Succeeds if one_term does not have an existential variable as a subterm and fails otherwise.

Error: Not ground.
Tactic: is_var one_term
Succeeds if one_term is a variable or hypothesis in the current local context and fails otherwise.
Error: Not a variable or hypothesis.
Tactic: is_const one_term
Succeeds if one_term is a global constant that is neither a (co)inductive type nor a constructor and fails otherwise.

Error: not a constant.
Tactic: is_fix one_term
Succeeds if one_term is a fix construct (see term_fix) and fails otherwise. Fails for let fix forms.
Error: not a fix definition.

```
Example: is_fix
Goal True.
is_fix (fix f (n : nat) := match n with S n => f n | O => O end).
```

Tactic: is_cofix one_term
Succeeds if one_term is a cofix construct (see term_cofix) and fails otherwise. Fails for let cofix forms.

Error: not a cofix definition.

```
Example: is_cofix
Require Import Coq.Lists.Streams.
Goal True.
let c := constr:(cofix f : Stream unit := Cons tt f) in
    is_cofix c.
```

Tactic: is_constructor one_term
Succeeds if one_term is the constructor of a (co)inductive type and fails otherwise.
Error: not a constructor.
Tactic: is_ind one_term
Succeeds if one_term is a (co)inductive type (family) and fails otherwise. Note that is_ind (list nat) fails even though is_ind list succeeds, because list nat is an application.

Error: not an (co)inductive datatype.
Tactic: is_proj one_term
Succeeds if one_term is a primitive projection applied to a record argument and fails otherwise.
Error: not a primitive projection.

```
Example: is_proj
Set Primitive Projections.
Record Box {T : Type} := box { unbox : T }.
Arguments box {_} _.
```

Goal True.
is_proj (unbox (box 0)).

## Timing

## Timeout

We can force a tactic to stop if it has not finished after a certain amount of time:
Tactic: timeout nat_or_var ltac_expr3
Itac_expr 3 is evaluated to v which must be a tactic value. The tactic value v is applied normally, except that it is interrupted after nat_or_var seconds if it is still running. In this case the outcome is a failure.
timeout is an l3_tactic.

Warning: For the moment, timeout is based on elapsed time in seconds, which is very machine-dependent: a script that works on a quick machine may fail on a slow one. The converse is even possible if you combine a timeout with some other tacticals. This tactical is hence proposed only for convenience during debugging or other development phases, we strongly advise you to not leave any timeout in final scripts. Note also that this tactical isn't available on the native Windows port of Coq.

## Timing a tactic

A tactic execution can be timed:
Tactic: time string? Itac_expr3
evaluates ltac_expr3 and displays the running time of the tactic expression, whether it fails or succeeds. In case of several successes, the time for each successive run is displayed. Time is in seconds and is machine-dependent. The string argument is optional. When provided, it is used to identify this particular occurrence of $t$ ime.
time is an l3_tactic.

## Timing a tactic that evaluates to a term: time_constr

Tactic expressions that produce terms can be timed with the experimental tactic
Tactic: time_constr ltac_expr
which evaluates ltac_expr () and displays the time the tactic expression evaluated, assuming successful evaluation. Time is in seconds and is machine-dependent.

This tactic currently does not support nesting, and will report times based on the innermost execution. This is due to the fact that it is implemented using the following internal tactics:
Tactic: restart_timer string?
Reset a timer
Tactic: finish_timing ( string ) ? string ?
Display an optionally named timer. The parenthesized string argument is also optional, and determines the label associated with the timer for printing.

By copying the definition of time_constr from the standard library, users can achieve support for a fixed pattern of nesting by passing different string parameters to restart_timer and finish_timing at each level of nesting.

## Example

```
Ltac time_constr1 tac :=
    let eval_early := match goal with _ => restart_timer "(depth 1)" end in
    let ret := tac () in
    let eval_early := match goal with _ => finish_timing ( "Tactic evaluation" )
    "(depth 1)" end in
    ret.
        time_constr1 is defined
Goal True.
        1 goal
            =============================
            True
    let v := time_constr
            ltac:(fun _ =>
                        let x := time_constr1 ltac:(fun _ => constr:(10 * 10)) in
                            let y := time_constr1 ltac:(fun _ => eval compute in x) in
                            y) in
    pose v.
        Tactic evaluation (depth 1) ran for 0. secs (0.u,0.s)
        Tactic evaluation (depth 1) ran for 0. secs (0.u,0.s)
        Tactic evaluation ran for 0. secs (0.u,0.s)
        1 goal
            n := 100 : nat
            =============================
            True
```

Print/identity tactic: idtac

Tactic: idtac ident | idtring | natural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Leaves the proof unchanged and prints the given tokens. Strings and naturals are printed literally. If ident is an $L_{\text {tac }}$ variable, its contents are printed; if not, it is an error.

```
idtacis an l1_tactic.
```

Tactic toplevel definitions
Defining $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ symbols
$L_{\text {tac }}$ toplevel definitions are made as follows:
Command: Ltac tacdef_body with tacdef_body *
tacdef_body $\quad::=$ qualid name ${ }^{*}:=\mid \quad::=$ ltac_expr

Defines or redefines an $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ symbol.
If the local attribute is specified, definitions will not be exported outside the current module and redefinitions only apply for the current module.
qualid Name of the symbol being defined or redefined. For definitions, qualid must be a simple ident.
name ${ }^{\star}$ If specified, the symbol defines a function with the given parameter names. If no names are specified, qualid is assigned the value of Itac_expr.
:= Defines a user-defined symbol, but gives an error if the symbol has already been defined.
Error: There is already an Ltac named qualid
$::=$ Redefines an existing user-defined symbol, but gives an error if the symbol doesn't exist. Note that Tactic Notations do not count as user-defined tactics for : :=. If local is not specified, the redefinition applies across module boundaries.

Error: There is no Ltac named qualid
with tacdef_body ${ }^{\star}$ Permits definition of mutually recursive tactics.

Note: The following definitions are equivalent:

- Ltac qualid name ${ }^{+}$:= ltac_expr
- Ltac qualid := fun name ${ }^{+}$=> ltac_expr


## Printing $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ tactics

Command: Print Ltac qualid
Defined $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ functions can be displayed using this command.

## Command: Print Ltac Signatures

This command displays a list of all user-defined tactics, with their arguments.

## Examples of using $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$

## Proof that the natural numbers have at least two elements

## Example: Proof that the natural numbers have at least two elements

The first example shows how to use pattern matching over the proof context to prove that natural numbers have at least two elements. This can be done as follows:

```
Lemma card_nat :
    ~ exists x y : nat, forall z:nat, x = z \/ y = z.
        1 goal
            =============================
            ~ (exists x y : nat, forall z : nat, x = z \/ y = z)
Proof.
intros (x & Y & Hz).
```

```
    1 goal
        x, y : nat
        Hz : forall z : nat, x = z \/ y = z
        ==============================
        False
destruct (Hz 0), (Hz 1), (Hz 2).
    g goals
        x, y : nat
        Hz : forall z : nat, x = z \/ y = z
        H : x = 0
        H0 : x = 1
        H1 : x = 2
        =============================
        False
    goal 2 is:
        False
    goal 3 is:
        False
    goal 4 is:
        False
    goal 5 is:
        False
    goal 6 is:
        False
    goal }7\mathrm{ is:
        False
    goal 8 is:
        False
```

At this point, the congruence tactic would finish the job:

```
all: congruence.
```

    No more goals.
    But for the purpose of the example, let's craft our own custom tactic to solve this:

```
all: match goal with
    |_: ?a = ?b, _ : ?a = ?c | - _ => assert (b = c) by now transitivity a
    end.
    8 goals
        x, y : nat
        Hz : forall z : nat, x = z \/ y = z
        H : x = 0
        HO : x = 1
        H1 : x = 2
        H2 : 1 = 2
        =============================
        False
    goal 2 is:
        False
    goal 3 is:
```

```
    False
    goal 4 is:
    False
        goal 5 is:
    False
        goal 6 is:
    False
        goal }7\mathrm{ is:
    False
    goal 8 is:
    False
all: discriminate.
    No more goals.
```

Notice that all the (very similar) cases coming from the three eliminations (with three distinct natural numbers) are successfully solved by a match goal structure and, in particular, with only one pattern (use of non-linear matching).

## Proving that a list is a permutation of a second list

## Example: Proving that a list is a permutation of a second list

Let's first define the permutation predicate:

```
Section Sort.
    Variable A : Set.
    Inductive perm : list A -> list A -> Prop :=
    | perm_refl : forall l, perm l l
    | perm_cons : forall a lo l1, perm lo l1 -> perm (a :: l0) (a :: l1)
    | perm_append : forall a l, perm (a :: l) (l ++ a :: nil)
    | perm_trans : forall l0 l1 l2, perm l0 l1 -> perm l1 l2 -> perm l0 l2.
End Sort.
```

Next we define an auxiliary tactic perm_aux which takes an argument used to control the recursion depth. This tactic works as follows: If the lists are identical (i.e. convertible), it completes the proof. Otherwise, if the lists have identical heads, it looks at their tails. Finally, if the lists have different heads, it rotates the first list by putting its head at the end.

Every time we perform a rotation, we decrement $n$. When $n$ drops down to 1 , we stop performing rotations and we fail. The idea is to give the length of the list as the initial value of $n$. This way of counting the number of rotations will avoid going back to a head that had been considered before.
From Section Syntax we know that Ltac has a primitive notion of integers, but they are only used as arguments for primitive tactics and we cannot make computations with them. Thus, instead, we use Coq's natural number type nat.

```
Ltac perm_aux n :=
    match goal with
    | |- (perm _ ?l ?l) => apply perm_refl
    | |- (perm _ (?a :: ?l1) (?a :: ?l2)) =>
        let newn := eval compute in (length l1) in
            (apply perm_cons; perm_aux newn)
    | |- (perm ?A (?a :: ?l1) ?l2) =>
```

```
    match eval compute in n with
    | 1 => fail
    | _ =>
        let l1' := constr:(l1 ++ a :: nil) in
        (apply (perm_trans A (a :: l1) l1' l2);
        [ apply perm_append | compute; perm_aux (pred n) ])
        end
end.
```

The main tactic is solve_perm. It computes the lengths of the two lists and uses them as arguments to call perm_aux if the lengths are equal. (If they aren't, the lists cannot be permutations of each other.)

```
Ltac solve_perm :=
    match goal with
    | |- (perm - ?l1 ?l2) =>
        match eval compute in (length l1 = length l2) with
        | (?n = ?n) => perm_aux n
        end
    end.
```

And now, here is how we can use the tactic solve_perm:
1 goal
$==========================$
perm nat (1 : $: 2:: 3:$ nil) (3 : $2: 10:$ nil)
solve_perm.
No more goals.
1 goal
$===========================$


solve_perm.
No more goals.

## Deciding intuitionistic propositional logic

Pattern matching on goals allows powerful backtracking when returning tactic values. An interesting application is the problem of deciding intuitionistic propositional logic. Considering the contraction-free sequent calculi LJT* of Roy Dyckhoff [Dyc92], it is quite natural to code such a tactic using the tactic language as shown below.

```
Ltac basic :=
match goal with
    | |- True => trivial
    | _ : False |- _ => contradiction
    | _ : ?A |- ?A => assumption
end.
```

```
Ltac simplify :=
repeat (intros;
    match goal with
        | H : ~ - | - _> red in H
        | H : - /\ - |- _ =>
            elim H; do 2 intro; clear H
        | H : _ \/ _ |- _ =>
            elim H; intro; clear H
        | H : ?A /\ ?B -> ?C | - _ =>
            cut (A -> B -> C);
                    [ intro | intros; apply H; split; assumption ]
        | H: ?A \/ ?B -> ?C |- _ =>
            cut (B -> C);
                    [ cut (A -> C);
                        [ intros; clear H
                        intro; apply H; left; assumption ]
                    | intro; apply H; right; assumption ]
        | H0 : ?A -> ?B, H1 : ?A |-_ =>
            cut B; [ intro; clear H0 | apply HO; assumption ]
        | |- _ \ _ => split
        | | - ~ _ => red
    end).
Ltac my_tauto :=
    simplify; basic ||
    match goal with
        H : (?A -> ?B) -> ?C | - _ =>
            cut (B -> C);
                [ intro; cut (A -> B);
                        [ intro; cut C;
                                [ intro; clear H | apply H; assumption ]
                                clear H ]
                | intro; apply H; intro; assumption ]; my_tauto
            H : ~ ?A -> ?B |-_ =>
            cut (False -> B);
            [ intro; cut (A -> False);
                        [ intro; cut B;
                            [ intro; clear H | apply H; assumption ]
                        | clear H ]
                        intro; apply H; red; intro; assumption ]; my_tauto
        | | _ \/ _ => (left; my_tauto) || (right; my_tauto)
    end.
```

The tactic basic tries to reason using simple rules involving truth, falsity and available assumptions. The tactic simplify applies all the reversible rules of Dyckhoff's system. Finally, the tactic my_tauto (the main tactic to be called) simplifies with simplify, tries to conclude with basic and tries several paths using the backtracking rules (one of the four Dyckhoff's rules for the left implication to get rid of the contraction and the right or).

Having defined my_tauto, we can prove tautologies like these:

```
Lemma my_tauto_ex1 :
    forall A B : Prop, A / \(\operatorname{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} \backslash / \mathrm{B}\).
Proof. my_tauto. Qed.
Lemma my_tauto_ex2 :
    forall A B : Prop, ( \(\sim \sim B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \sim \sim A\) B
Proof. my_tauto. Qed.
```


## Deciding type isomorphisms

A trickier problem is to decide equalities between types modulo isomorphisms. Here, we choose to use the isomorphisms of the simply typed $\lambda$-calculus with Cartesian product and unit type (see, for example, [dC95]). The axioms of this $\lambda$-calculus are given below.

```
Open Scope type_scope.
Section Iso_axioms.
Variables A B C : Set.
Axiom Com : A * B = B * A.
Axiom Ass : A * (B * C) = A * B * C.
Axiom Cur : (A * B -> C) = (A -> B -> C).
Axiom Dis : (A -> B * C) = (A -> B) * (A -> C).
Axiom P_unit : A * unit = A.
Axiom AR_unit : (A -> unit) = unit.
Axiom AL_unit : (unit -> A) = A.
Lemma Cons : B = C -> A * B = A * C.
Proof.
intro Heq; rewrite Heq; reflexivity.
Qed.
End Iso_axioms.
Ltac simplify_type ty :=
match ty with
    | ?A * ?B * ?C =>
        rewrite <- (Ass A B C); try simplify_type_eq
    | ?A * ?B -> ?C =>
            rewrite (Cur A B C); try simplify_type_eq
    | ?A -> ?B * ?C =>
            rewrite (Dis A B C); try simplify_type_eq
    | ?A * unit =>
            rewrite (P_unit A); try simplify_type_eq
    | unit * ?B =>
            rewrite (Com unit B); try simplify_type_eq
    | ?A -> unit =>
            rewrite (AR_unit A); try simplify_type_eq
    | unit -> ?B =>
            rewrite (AL_unit B); try simplify_type_eq
    | ?A * ?B =>
            (simplify_type A; try simplify_type_eq) ||
            (simplify_type B; try simplify_type_eq)
```

```
    | ?A -> ?B =>
        (simplify_type A; try simplify_type_eq) ||
    (simplify_type B; try simplify_type_eq)
end
with simplify_type_eq :=
match goal with
    | | ? ?A = ?B => try simplify_type A; try simplify_type B
end.
Ltac len trm :=
match trm with
    _ * ?B => let succ := len B in constr:(S succ)
    | _ => constr:(1)
end.
Ltac assoc := repeat rewrite <- Ass.
Ltac solve_type_eq n :=
match goal with
    | |- ?A = ?A => reflexivity
    | |- ?A * ?B = ?A * ?C =>
        apply Cons; let newn := len B in solve_type_eq newn
    | |- ?A * ?B = ?C =>
        match eval compute in n with
            | 1 => fail
            | _ =>
                        pattern (A * B) at 1; rewrite Com; assoc; solve_type_eq (pred n)
        end
end.
Ltac compare_structure :=
match goal with
    | |- ?A = ?B =>
        let l1 := len A
        with l2 := len B in
            match eval compute in (l1 = l2) with
            | ?n = ?n => solve_type_eq n
            end
end.
Ltac solve_iso := simplify_type_eq; compare_structure.
```

The tactic to judge equalities modulo this axiomatization is shown above. The algorithm is quite simple. First types are simplified using axioms that can be oriented (this is done by simplify_type and simplify_type_eq). The normal forms are sequences of Cartesian products without a Cartesian product in the left component. These normal forms are then compared modulo permutation of the components by the tactic compare_structure. If they have the same length, the tactic solve_type_eq attempts to prove that the types are equal. The main tactic that puts all these components together is solve_iso.

Here are examples of what can be solved by solve_iso.

```
Lemma solve_iso_ex1 :
    forall A B : Set, A * unit * B = B * (unit * A).
Proof.
    intros; solve_iso.
Qed.
```

```
Lemma solve_iso_ex2 :
    forall A B C : Set,
        (A * unit -> B * (C * unit)) =
        (A * unit -> (C -> unit) * C) * (unit -> A -> B).
Proof.
    intros; solve_iso.
ged.
```


## Debugging $L_{\text {tac }}$ tactics

## Backtraces

## Flag: Ltac Backtrace

Setting this flag displays a backtrace on Ltac failures that can be useful to find out what went wrong. It is disabled by default for performance reasons.

## Tracing execution

Command: Info natural ltac_expr
Applies ltac_exprand prints a trace of the tactics that were successfully applied, discarding branches that failed. idtactactics appear in the trace as comments containing the output.

This command is valid only in proof mode. It accepts Goal selectors.
The number natural is the unfolding level of tactics in the trace. At level 0 , the trace contains a sequence of tactics in the actual script, at level 1 , the trace will be the concatenation of the traces of these tactics, etc...

## Example

```
Ltac t x := exists x; reflexivity.
Goal exists n, n=0.
Info 0 t 1||t 0.
    exists with 0;<coq-core.plugins.ltac::reflexivity@0>
    No more goals.
Undo.
Info 1 t 1||t 0.
    <coq-core.plugins.ltac::exists@1> with 0;simple refine ?Goal
    No more goals.
```

The trace produced by Info tries its best to be a reparsable $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ script, but this goal is not achievable in all generality. So some of the output traces will contain oddities.

As an additional help for debugging, the trace produced by Info contains (in comments) the messages produced by the idtac tactical at the right position in the script. In particular, the calls to idtac in branches which failed are not printed.

Option: Info Level natural
This option is an alternative to the Info command.

This will automatically print the same trace as Info natural at each tactic call. The unfolding level can be overridden by a call to the Info command.

## Interactive debugger

## Flag: Ltac Debug

This flag, when set, enables the step-by-step debugger in the $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ interpreter. The debugger is supported in coqt op and Proof General by printing information on the console and accepting typed commands. In addition, CoqIDE now supports a visual debugger with additional capabilities.

When the debugger is activated in coqtop, it stops at every step of the evaluation of the current $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ expression and prints information on what it is doing. The debugger stops, prompting for a command which can be one of the following:

| newline | go to the next step |
| :--- | :--- |
| h | get help |
| r n | advance n steps further |
| r string | advance up to the next call to "idtac string" |
| s | continue current evaluation without stopping |
| x | exit current evaluation |

## Error: Debug mode not available in the IDE

A non-interactive mode for the debugger is available via the flag:

## Flag: Ltac Batch Debug

This flag has the effect of presenting a newline at every prompt, when the debugger is on in coqtop. (It has no effect when running the CoqIDE debugger.) The debug $\log$ thus created, which does not require user input to generate when this flag is set, can then be run through external tools such as diff.

## Command: Debug On Off

Equivalent to Set Ltac Debug or Unset Ltac Debug.

## Profiling $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ tactics

It is possible to measure the time spent in invocations of primitive tactics as well as tactics defined in $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ and their inner invocations. The primary use is the development of complex tactics, which can sometimes be so slow as to impede interactive usage. The reasons for the performance degradation can be intricate, like a slowly performing $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ match or a sub-tactic whose performance only degrades in certain situations. The profiler generates a call tree and indicates the time spent in a tactic depending on its calling context. Thus it allows to locate the part of a tactic definition that contains the performance issue.

## Flag: Ltac Profiling

This flag enables and disables the profiler.
Command: Show Ltac Profile CutOff integer string?
Prints the profile.
CutOff integer By default, tactics that account for less than $2 \%$ of the total time are not displayed. CutOff lets you specify a different percentage.
string
Limits the profile to all tactics that start with string. Append a period (.) to the string if you only want exactly that name.

## Command: Reset Ltac Profile

Resets the profile, that is, deletes all accumulated information.

Warning: Backtracking across a Reset Ltac Profile will not restore the information.

```
Require Import Lia.
Ltac mytauto := tauto.
Ltac tac := intros; repeat split; lia || mytauto.
Notation max x y := (x + (y - x)) (only parsing).
Goal forall x y z A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z,
    max x (max y z) = max (max x y) z /\ max x (max y z) = max (max x y) z
    /\
    (A /\ B /\ C /\ D /\E E \ F /\ G /\ H /\ I /\ J /\ K /\ L /\M M
    N/\O/\\textrm{P}/\\textrm{Q}/\\textrm{R}/\\textrm{S}/\\textrm{T}/\\textrm{U}/\\textrm{V}/\\textrm{W}/\\textrm{X}/\\textrm{Y}/\\textrm{Z}
```




```
Proof.
Set Ltac Profiling.
tac.
    No more goals.
Show Ltac Profile.
    total time: 1.705s
```


(continues on next page)

| Lxlia (tactic) | 3.3\% | $3.5 \%$ | 28 | (continued from 0.057 s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Show Ltac Profile "lia". total time: <br> 1.705 s |  |  |  |  |
| tactic | local | total | calls | max |
| -lia | $0.1 \%$ | 4.3\% | 28 | 0.059 s |
| tactic | local | total | calls | max |

Abort.
Unset Ltac Profiling.

## Tactic: start ltac profiling

This tactic behaves like idtac but enables the profiler.

## Tactic: stop ltac profiling

Similarly to start ltac profiling, this tactic behaves like idtac. Together, they allow you to exclude parts of a proof script from profiling.
Tactic: reset ltac profile
Equivalent to the Reset Ltac Profile command, which allows resetting the profile from tactic scripts for benchmarking purposes.
Tactic: show ltac profile cutoff integer string?
Equivalent to the Show Ltac Profile command, which allows displaying the profile from tactic scripts for benchmarking purposes.

Warning: Ltac Profiler encountered an invalid stack (no self node). This can happen if you r
Currently, reset ltac profile is not very well-supported, as it clears all profiling information about all tactics, including ones above the current tactic. As a result, the profiler has trouble understanding where it is in tactic execution. This mixes especially poorly with backtracking into multi-success tactics. In general, non-top-level calls to reset ltac profile should be avoided.
You can also pass the -profile-ltac command line option to coqc, which turns the Ltac Profiling flag on at the beginning of each document, and performs a Show Ltac Profile at the end.

## Run-time optimization tactic

## Tactic: optimize_heap

This tactic behaves like $i d t a c$, except that running it compacts the heap in the OCaml run-time system. It is analogous to the Optimize Heap command.
Command: infoH ltac_expr
Used internally by Proof General. See \#12423 ${ }^{54}$ for some background.

[^24]
### 3.3.2 Ltac2

The $L_{\text {tac }}$ tactic language is probably one of the ingredients of the success of Coq, yet it is at the same time its Achilles' heel. Indeed, $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ :

- has often unclear semantics
- is very non-uniform due to organic growth
- lacks expressivity (data structures, combinators, types, ...)
- is slow
- is error-prone and fragile
- has an intricate implementation

Following the need of users who are developing huge projects relying critically on Ltac, we believe that we should offer a proper modern language that features at least the following:

- at least informal, predictable semantics
- a type system
- standard programming facilities (e.g., datatypes)

This new language, called Ltac2, is described in this chapter. It is still experimental but we nonetheless encourage users to start testing it, especially wherever an advanced tactic language is needed. The previous implementation of Ltac, described in the previous chapter, will be referred to as Ltac 1.

Current limitations include:

- There are a number of tactics that are not yet supported in Ltac2 because the interface OCaml and/or Ltac2 notations haven't been written. See Defining tactics.
- Missing usability features such as:
- Printing functions are limited and awkward to use. Only a few data types are printable.
- A convenient way to build terms with casts through the low-level API. Because the cast type is opaque, building terms with casts currently requires an awkward construction like the following, which also incurs extra overhead to repeat typechecking for each call to get_vm_cast:

```
    Constr.Unsafe.make (Constr.Unsafe.Cast 'I (get_vm_cast ()) 'True)
```

with:

```
Ltac2 get_vm_cast () :=
    match Constr.Unsafe.kind '(I <: True) with
        | Constr.Unsafe.Cast _ cst _ => cst
        | _ => Control.throw Not_found
        end.
```

- Missing low-level primitives that are convenient for writing automation, such as:
- An easy way to get the number of constructors of an inductive type. Currently only way to do this is to destruct a variable of the inductive type and count the number of goals that result.
- Error messages may be cryptic.


## General design

There are various alternatives to Ltac1, such as Mtac or Rtac for instance. While those alternatives can be quite different from Ltac1, we designed Ltac2 to be as close as reasonably possible to Ltac1, while fixing the aforementioned defects.
In particular, Ltac2 is:

- a member of the ML family of languages, i.e.
- a call-by-value functional language
- with effects
- together with the Hindley-Milner type system
- a language featuring meta-programming facilities for the manipulation of Coq-side terms
- a language featuring notation facilities to help write palatable scripts

We describe these in more detail in the remainder of this document.

## ML component

## Overview

Ltac2 is a member of the ML family of languages, in the sense that it is an effectful call-by-value functional language, with static typing à la Hindley-Milner (see [DM82]). It is commonly accepted that ML constitutes a sweet spot in PL design, as it is relatively expressive while not being either too lax (unlike dynamic typing) nor too strict (unlike, say, dependent types).

The main goal of Ltac2 is to serve as a meta-language for Coq. As such, it naturally fits in the ML lineage, just as the historical ML was designed as the tactic language for the LCF prover. It can also be seen as a general-purpose language, by simply forgetting about the Coq-specific features.

Sticking to a standard ML type system can be considered somewhat weak for a meta-language designed to manipulate Coq terms. In particular, there is no way to statically guarantee that a Coq term resulting from an Ltac2 computation will be well-typed. This is actually a design choice, motivated by backward compatibility with Ltac1. Instead, well-typedness is deferred to dynamic checks, allowing many primitive functions to fail whenever they are provided with an ill-typed term.

The language is naturally effectful as it manipulates the global state of the proof engine. This allows to think of proofmodifying primitives as effects in a straightforward way. Semantically, proof manipulation lives in a monad, which allows to ensure that Ltac 2 satisfies the same equations as a generic ML with unspecified effects would do, e.g. function reduction is substitution by a value.

Use the following command to import Ltac2:
From Ltac2 Require Import Ltac2.

## Type Syntax

At the level of terms, we simply elaborate on Ltac 1 syntax, which is quite close to OCaml. Types follow the simply-typed syntax of OCaml.

| ltac2_type | $\begin{aligned} & : ~:= \\ & \mid \end{aligned}$ | ltac2_type2 -> ltac2_type <br> ltac2_type2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ltac2_type2 | : : | ltac2_type1 * ltac2_type1 ${ }_{\text {+ }}^{+}$ |
|  | \| | ltac2_type1 |
| ltac2_type1 | $::=$ | ltac2_type0 qualid ltac2_type0 |
| ltac2_type0 | : : $=$ | $\left(\text { ltac2_type }_{\stackrel{+}{+}}^{,}\right) \text {qualid } ?$ |
|  | \| | ltac2_typevar |
|  | \| | - |
|  | \| | qualid |
| ltac2_typevar | : : = | ' ident |

The set of base types can be extended thanks to the usual ML type declarations such as algebraic datatypes and records. Built-in types include:

- int, machine integers (size not specified, in practice inherited from OCaml)
- string, mutable strings
- 'a array, mutable arrays
- exn, exceptions
- constr, kernel-side terms
- pattern, term patterns
- ident, well-formed identifiers


## Type declarations

One can define new types with the following commands.
Command: Ltac2 Type rec ? tac2typ_def with tac2typ_def *

$:=$ Defines a type with with an explicit set of constructors
$::=$ Extends an existing open variant type, a special kind of variant type whose constructors are not statically defined, but can instead be extended dynamically. A typical example is the standard exn type for exceptions. Pattern matching on open variants must always include a catch-all clause. They can be extended with this
form, in which case tac2typ_knd should be in the form [ $1 l_{\text {? }}^{\text {tac2alg_constructor }}{ }_{1}^{+}$ ].

Without $:=\mid \quad::=$ Defines an abstract type for use representing data from OCaml. Not for end users.
with tac2typ_def Permits definition of mutually recursive type definitions.
Each production of tac2typ_knd defines one of four possible kinds of definitions, respectively: alias, variant, open variant and record types.
Aliases are names for a given type expression and are transparently unfoldable to that expression. They cannot be recursive.

Variants are sum types defined by constructors and eliminated by pattern-matching. They can be recursive, but the rec flag must be explicitly set. Pattern matching must be exhaustive.

Open variants can be extended with additional constructors using the $::=$ form.
Records are product types with named fields and eliminated by projection. Likewise they can be recursive if the rec flag is set.

Command: Ltac2 @ external ident : ltac2_type := string string
Declares abstract terms. Frequently, these declare OCaml functions defined in Coq and give their type information. They can also declare data structures from OCaml. This command has no use for the end user.
This command supports the deprecated attribute.

## APIs

Ltac2 provides over 150 API functions that provide various capabilities. These are declared with Ltac2 external in lib/coq/user-contrib/Ltac2/*.v. For example, Message.print defined in Message.v is used to print messages:

```
Message.print (Message.of_string "fully qualified calls").
    fully qualified calls
From Ltac2 Require Import Message.
print (of_string "unqualified calls").
    unqualified calls
```


## Term Syntax

The syntax of the functional fragment is very close to that of Ltac1, except that it adds a true pattern-matching feature, as well as a few standard constructs from ML.

In practice, there is some additional syntactic sugar that allows the user to bind a variable and match on it at the same time, in the usual ML style.

There is dedicated syntax for list and array literals.


The non-terminal lident designates identifiers starting with a lowercase letter.
'term is equivalent to open_constr: (term).
Record expressions and patterns support "punning": in tac2rec_fieldexpr and tac2rec_fieldpat, omitting the optional part is equivalent to using $:=$ ident where the identifier is the identifier part of the field name (i.e. the qualid).
A record value can be built from another by changing only a subset of its fields with the syntax \{ Itac2_expro with qualid $:=$ ltac2_expr1 ${ }^{+} ; \stackrel{?}{?}$ \}. Fields that are not explicitly assigned a value take their value
from ltac2_expr0.

## Ltac2 Definitions

Command: Ltac2 mutable ? rec ? tac2def_body with tac2def_body*

$$
\text { tac2def_body } \quad::=\__{-} \text {ident tac2pat0 }{ }^{*}: \text { ltac2_type }{ }^{?}:=\text { ltac2_expr }
$$

This command defines a new global Ltac2 value. If one or more $\operatorname{tac} 2 p a t 0$ are specified, the new value is a function. This is a shortcut for one of the 1 tac2_expr 5 productions. For example: Ltac2 foo ab:=... is equivalent to Ltac2 foo := fun a b => ....

The body of an Ltac2 definition is required to be a syntactical value that is, a function, a constant, a pure constructor recursively applied to values or a (non-recursive) let binding of a value in a value.

If rec is set, the tactic is expanded into a recursive binding.
If mutable is set, the definition can be redefined at a later stage (see below).
This command supports the deprecated attribute.
Command: Ltac2 Set qualid as ident? := ltac2_expr
This command redefines a previous mutable definition. Mutable definitions act like dynamic binding, i.e. at runtime, the last defined value for this entry is chosen. This is useful for global flags and the like. The previous value of the binding can be optionally accessed using the as binding syntax.

## Example: Dynamic nature of mutable cells

```
Ltac2 mutable x := true.
Ltac2 y := x.
Ltac2 Eval y.
    - : bool = true
Ltac2 Set x := false.
Ltac2 Eval y.
    - : bool = false
```


## Example: Interaction with recursive calls

```
Ltac2 mutable rec f b := if b then 0 else f true.
Ltac2 Set f := fun b => if b then 1 else f true.
Ltac2 Eval (f false).
    - : int = 1
Ltac2 Set f as oldf := fun b => if b then 2 else oldf false.
Ltac2 Eval (f false).
    - : int = 2
```

In the definition, the $f$ in the body is resolved statically because the definition is marked recursive. In the first re-definition, the $f$ in the body is resolved dynamically. This is witnessed by the second re-definition.

## Printing Ltac2 tactics

Command：Print Ltac2 qualid
Print can print defined Ltac2 tactics and can avoid printing other objects by using Print Ltac2．

## Command：Print Ltac2 Signatures

This command displays a list of all defined tactics in scope with their types．

## Reduction

We use the usual ML call－by－value reduction，with an otherwise unspecified evaluation order．This is a design choice making it compatible with OCaml，if ever we implement native compilation．The expected equations are as follows：

```
(fun x => t) V \equiv t{x := V} (\betav)
let x := V in t }\equiv\textrm{t}{\textrm{x}:=\textrm{V}}\mathrm{ (let)
match C V ... V回 with ... | C xo ... x回 => t | ... end \equiv t {x@ := V目} (\imath)
(t any term, V values, C constructor)
```

Note that call－by－value reduction is already a departure from Ltac1 which uses heuristics to decide when to evaluate an expression．For instance，the following expressions do not evaluate the same way in Ltac 1 ．

```
foo (idtac; let x := 0 in bar)
foo (let x := O in bar)
```

Instead of relying on the idtac idiom，we would now require an explicit thunk to not compute the argument，and foo would have e．g．type（unit $\rightarrow$ unit）$\rightarrow$ unit．

```
foo (fun () => let x := 0 in bar)
```


## Typing

Typing is strict and follows the Hindley－Milner system．Unlike Ltac1，there are no type casts at runtime，and one has to resort to conversion functions．See notations though to make things more palatable．

In this setting，all the usual argument－free tactics have type unit $\rightarrow$ unit，but one can return a value of type $t$ thanks to terms of type unit $\rightarrow t$ ，or take additional arguments．

## Effects

Effects in Ltac2 are straightforward，except that instead of using the standard IO monad as the ambient effectful world， Ltac2 has a tactic monad．

Note that the order of evaluation of application is not specified and is implementation－dependent，as in OCaml．
We recall that the Proofview．tactic monad is essentially a IO monad together with backtracking state representing the proof state．

Intuitively a thunk of type unit $\rightarrow$＇ $\mathbf{a}$ can do the following：
－It can perform non－backtracking IO like printing and setting mutable variables
－It can fail in a non－recoverable way

- It can use first-class backtracking. One way to think about this is that thunks are isomorphic to this type: (unit $\rightarrow$ 'a) ~ (unit $\rightarrow \operatorname{exn}+\left({ }^{\prime} a *(\operatorname{exn} \rightarrow\right.$ 'a))) i.e. thunks can produce a lazy list of results where each tail is waiting for a continuation exception.
- It can access a backtracking proof state, consisting among other things of the current evar assignment and the list of goals under focus.

We now describe more thoroughly the various effects in Ltac2.

## Standard 10

The Ltac2 language features non-backtracking IO, notably mutable data and printing operations.
Mutable fields of records can be modified using the set syntax. Likewise, built-in types like string and array feature imperative assignment. See modules String and Array respectively.
A few printing primitives are provided in the Message module for displaying information to the user.

## Fatal errors

The Ltac2 language provides non-backtracking exceptions, also known as panics, through the following primitive in module Control:

```
val throw : exn -> 'a
```

Unlike backtracking exceptions from the next section, this kind of error is never caught by backtracking primitives, that is, throwing an exception destroys the stack. This is codified by the following equation, where E is an evaluation context:

```
E[throw e] \equiv throw e
(e value)
```

There is currently no way to catch such an exception, which is a deliberate design choice. Eventually there might be a way to catch it and destroy all backtrack and return values.

## Backtracking

In Ltac2, we have the following backtracking primitives, defined in the Control module:

```
Ltac2 Type 'a result := [ Val ('a) | Err (exn) ].
val zero : exn -> 'a
val plus : (unit -> 'a) -> (exn -> 'a) -> 'a
val case : (unit -> 'a) -> ('a * (exn -> 'a)) result
```

If one views thunks as lazy lists, then zero is the empty list and plus is list concatenation, while case is patternmatching.

The backtracking is first-class, i.e. one can write plus (fun () => "x") (fun _ => "y") : string producing a backtracking string.

These operations are expected to satisfy a few equations, most notably that they form a monoid compatible with sequentialization.:

```
plus t zero \equiv t ()
plus (fun () => zero e) f \equiv f e
plus (plus t f) g \equiv plus t (fun e => plus (f e) g)
case (fun () => zero e) \equiv Err e
case (fun () => plus (fun () => t) f) \equivVal (t,f)
let x := zero e in u \equiv zero e
let x := plus t f in u \equiv plus (fun () => let x := t in u) (fun e => let x := f e in u)
(t, u, f, g, e values)
```


## Goals

A goal is given by the data of its conclusion and hypotheses, i.e. it can be represented as [ $\Gamma \vdash A$ ].
The tactic monad naturally operates over the whole proofview, which may represent several goals, including none. Thus, there is no such thing as the current goal. Goals are naturally ordered, though.

It is natural to do the same in Ltac2, but we must provide a way to get access to a given goal. This is the role of the enter primitive, which applies a tactic to each currently focused goal in turn:

```
val enter : (unit -> unit) -> unit
```

It is guaranteed that when evaluating enter $f$, $f$ is called with exactly one goal under focus. Note that $f$ may be called several times, or never, depending on the number of goals under focus before the call to enter.

Accessing the goal data is then implicit in the Ltac2 primitives, and may panic if the invariants are not respected. The two essential functions for observing goals are given below.:

```
val hyp : ident -> constr
val goal : unit -> constr
```

The two above functions panic if there is not exactly one goal under focus. In addition, hyp may also fail if there is no hypothesis with the corresponding name.

## Meta-programming

## Overview

One of the major implementation issues of Ltac1 is the fact that it is never clear whether an object refers to the object world or the meta-world. This is an incredible source of slowness, as the interpretation must be aware of bound variables and must use heuristics to decide whether a variable is a proper one or referring to something in the Ltac context.

Likewise, in Ltac1, constr parsing is implicit, so that foo 0 is not foo applied to the Ltac integer expression 0 ( $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}$ does have a notion of integers, though it is not first-class), but rather the Coq term Datatypes.O.

The implicit parsing is confusing to users and often gives unexpected results. Ltac2 makes these explicit using quoting and unquoting notation, although there are notations to do it in a short and elegant way so as not to be too cumbersome to the user.

## Quotations

## Built-in quotations

| ltac2_quotations | $::=$ | ident $:($ lident $)$ <br> constr $:($ term $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | open_constr $:($ term $)$ |
|  | preterm $:($ term $)$ |  |

The current implementation recognizes the following built-in quotations:

- ident, which parses identifiers (type Init.ident).
- constr, which parses Coq terms and produces an-evar free term at runtime (type Init. constr).
- open_constr, which parses Coq terms and produces a term potentially with holes at runtime (type Init. constr as well).
- preterm, which parses Coq terms and produces a value which must be typechecked with Constr.pretype (type Init. preterm).
- pat, which parses Coq patterns and produces a pattern used for term matching (type Init. pattern).
- reference Qualified names are globalized at internalization into the corresponding global reference, while \&id is turned into Std.VarRef id. This produces at runtime a Std.reference.
- Itac1, for calling Ltac1 code, described in Simple API.
- Itac1val, for manipulating Ltac1 values, described in Low-level API.

The following syntactic sugar is provided for two common cases:

- @id is the same as ident: (id)
- 'term is the same as open_constr: (term)


## Strict vs. non-strict mode

Depending on the context, quotation-producing terms (i.e. constr, open_constr or preterm) are not internalized in the same way. There are two possible modes, the strict and the non-strict mode.

- In strict mode, all simple identifiers appearing in a term quotation are required to be resolvable statically. That is, they must be the short name of a declaration which is defined globally, excluding section variables and hypotheses. If this doesn't hold, internalization will fail. To work around this error, one has to specifically use the $\&$ notation.
- In non-strict mode, any simple identifier appearing in a term quotation which is not bound in the global environment is turned into a dynamic reference to a hypothesis. That is to say, internalization will succeed, but the evaluation of the term at runtime will fail if there is no such variable in the dynamic context.

Strict mode is enforced by default, such as for all Ltac2 definitions. Non-strict mode is only set when evaluating Ltac2 snippets in interactive proof mode. The rationale is that it is cumbersome to explicitly add \& interactively, while it is expected that global tactics enforce more invariants on their code.

## Term Antiquotations

## Syntax

One can also insert Ltac2 code into Coq terms, similar to what is possible in Ltac 1.

```
term += ltac2:(ltac2_expr )
```

Antiquoted terms are expected to have type unit, as they are only evaluated for their side-effects.

## Semantics

A quoted Coq term is interpreted in two phases, internalization and evaluation.

- Internalization is part of the static semantics, that is, it is done at Ltac2 typing time.
- Evaluation is part of the dynamic semantics, that is, it is done when a term gets effectively computed by Ltac2.

Note that typing of Coq terms is a dynamic process occurring at Ltac2 evaluation time, and not at Ltac2 typing time.

## Static semantics

During internalization, Coq variables are resolved and antiquotations are type checked as Ltac2 terms, effectively producing a glob_constr in Coq implementation terminology. Note that although it went through the type checking of Ltac2, the resulting term has not been fully computed and is potentially ill-typed as a runtime Coq term.

## Example

The following term is valid (with type unit $->$ constr), but will fail at runtime:
Ltac2 myconstr () := constr:(nat $->0$ ).

Term antiquotations are type checked in the enclosing Ltac2 typing context of the corresponding term expression.

## Example

The following will type check, with type constr.

```
let }\textrm{x}:='0\mathrm{ in constr:(1 + ltac2:(exact x))
```

Beware that the typing environment of antiquotations is not expanded by the Coq binders from the term.

## Example

The following Ltac2 expression will not type check:

```
`constr:(fun x : nat => ltac2:(exact x))`
(* Error: Unbound variable 'x' *)`
```

There is a simple reason for that, which is that the following expression would not make sense in general.

```
constr:(fun x : nat => ltac2:(clear @x; exact x))
```

Indeed, a hypothesis can suddenly disappear from the runtime context if some other tactic pulls the rug from under you.
Rather, the tactic writer has to resort to the dynamic goal environment, and must write instead explicitly that she is accessing a hypothesis, typically as follows.

```
constr:(fun x : nat => ltac2:(exact (hyp @x)))
```

This pattern is so common that we provide dedicated Ltac2 and Coq term notations for it.

- $\& x$ as an Ltac 2 expression expands to hyp @x.
- $\& x$ as a Coq constr expression expands to ltac2: (Control.refine (fun () => hyp @x)).

In the special case where Ltac2 antiquotations appear inside a Coq term notation, the notation variables are systematically bound in the body of the tactic expression with type Ltac2. Init. preterm. Such a type represents untyped syntactic Coq expressions, which can by typed in the current context using the Ltac2. Constr.pretype function.

## Example

The following notation is essentially the identity.
Notation " $[x] ":=$ ltac2: (let $x:=$ Ltac2.Constr.pretype $x$ in exact $\$ x)$ (only parsing).

## Dynamic semantics

During evaluation, a quoted term is fully evaluated to a kernel term, and is in particular type checked in the current environment.

Evaluation of a quoted term goes as follows.

- The quoted term is first evaluated by the pretyper.
- Antiquotations are then evaluated in a context where there is exactly one goal under focus, with the hypotheses coming from the current environment extended with the bound variables of the term, and the resulting term is fed into the quoted term.

Relative orders of evaluation of antiquotations and quoted term are not specified.
For instance, in the following example, tac will be evaluated in a context with exactly one goal under focus, whose last hypothesis is H : nat. The whole expression will thus evaluate to the term fun H : nat $\Rightarrow \mathrm{H}$.

```
let tac () := hyp @H in constr:(fun H : nat => ltac2:(tac ()))
```

Many standard tactics perform type checking of their argument before going further. It is your duty to ensure that terms are well-typed when calling such tactics. Failure to do so will result in non-recoverable exceptions.

## Trivial Term Antiquotations

It is possible to refer to a variable of type constr in the Ltac2 environment through a specific syntax consistent with the antiquotations presented in the notation section.

```
term += $lident
```

In a Coq term, writing $\$ x$ is semantically equivalent to ltac2: (Control.refine (fun () => $x$ )), up to re-typechecking. It allows to insert in a concise way an Ltac 2 variable of type constr into a Coq term.

## Match over terms

Ltac2 features a construction similar to Ltac 1 match over terms, although in a less hard-wired way.
Tactic: ltac2_match_key ltac2_expr term with ltac2_match_list end
ltac2_match_key $::=$ lazy_match!
| match!
| multi_match!
ltac2_match_list $\quad::=\square^{?}$ ltac2_match_rule ${ }_{\square}^{+}$
ltac2_match_rule $\quad::=$ ltac2_match_pattern $=>$ ltac2_expr
ltac2_match_pattern $::=$ cpattern
। context ident $^{\boldsymbol{?}}$ [ cpattern]

Evaluates 1 tac2_expr term , which must yield a term, and matches it sequentially with the Itac2_match_patterns, which may contain metavariables. When a match is found, metavariable values are substituted into ltac2_expr, which is then applied.
Matching may continue depending on whether lazy_match!, match! or multi_match! is specified.
In the Itac2_match_patterns, metavariables have the form ?ident, whereas in the Itac2_exprs, the question mark is omitted.

Matching is non-linear: if a metavariable occurs more than once, each occurrence must match the same expression. Expressions match if they are syntactically equal or are $\alpha$-convertible. Matching is first-order except on variables of the form @? ident that occur in the head position of an application. For these variables, matching is second-order and returns a functional term.
lazy_match! Causes the match to commit to the first matching branch rather than trying a new match if Itac2_expr fails. Example.
match! If Itac2_expr fails, continue matching with the next branch. Failures in subsequent tactics (after the match!) will not cause selection of a new branch. Examples here and here.
multi_match! If Itac2_expr fails, continue matching with the next branch. When a ltac2_expr succeeds for a branch, subsequent failures (after the multi_match!) causing consumption of all the successes of 1 tac2_expr trigger selection of a new matching branch. Example.
cpattern The syntax of cpattern is the same as that of terms, but it can contain pattern matching metavariables in the form ?ident and @?ident. _ can be used to match irrelevant terms.

Unlike Ltac1, Ltac2 ?id metavariables only match closed terms.
There is also a special notation for second-order pattern matching: in an applicative pattern of the form @?ident ident ${ }_{1} \ldots$ ident $_{n}$, the variable ident matches any complex expression with (possible) dependencies in the variables $i^{\text {dent }}{ }_{i}$ and returns a functional term of the form fun ident ${ }_{1}$... ident $n$ => term.
context ident? [ cpattern ] Matches any term with a subterm matching cpattern. If there is a match and ident is present, it is assigned the "matched context", i.e. the initial term where the matched subterm is replaced by a hole. This hole in the matched context can be filled with the expression Pattern. instantiate ident cpattern.
For match! and multi_match !, if the evaluation of the Itac2_expr fails, the next matching subterm is tried. If no further subterm matches, the next branch is tried. Matching subterms are considered from top to bottom and from left to right (with respect to the raw printing obtained by setting the Printing All flag). Example.

Itac2_expr The tactic to apply if the construct matches. Metavariable values from the pattern match are statically bound as Ltac2 variables in Itac2_expr before it is applied.
If ltac2_expr is a tactic with backtracking points, then subsequent failures after a lazy_match! or multi_match! (but not match!) can cause backtracking into ltac2_expr to select its next success.

Variables from the tac2pat1 are statically bound in the body of the branch. Variables from the term pattern have values of type constr. Variables from the ident in the context construct have values of type Pattern. context (defined in Pattern.v).

Note that unlike Ltac1, only lowercase identifiers are valid as Ltac2 bindings. Ltac2 will report an error if one of the bound variables starts with an uppercase character.

The semantics of this construction are otherwise the same as the corresponding one from Ltac1, except that it requires the goal to be focused.

## Example: Ltac2 Comparison of lazy_match! and match!

(Equivalent to this Ltacl example.)
These lines define a msg tactic that's used in several examples as a more-succinct alternative to print (to_string "..."):

From Ltac2 Require Import Message.
Ltac2 msg x := print (of_string x).

In lazy_match!, if ltac2_expr fails, the lazy_match! fails; it doesn't look for further matches. In match!, if 1 tac2_expr fails in a matching branch, it will try to match on subsequent branches. Note that 'term below is equivalent to open_constr: (term).

```
Fail lazy_match! 'True with
```

```
| True => msg "branch 1"; fail
```

| _ => msg "branch 2"
end.
branch 1
The command has indeed failed with message:
Uncaught Ltac2 exception: Tactic_failure (None)
match! 'True with
| True => msg "branch 1"; fail
| _ => msg "branch 2"
end.
branch 1
branch 2

## Example: Ltac2 Comparison of match! and multi_match!

(Equivalent to this Ltacl example.)
match! tactics are only evaluated once, whereas multi_match! tactics may be evaluated more than once if the following constructs trigger backtracking:

```
Fail match! 'True with
| True => msg "branch 1"
| _ => msg "branch 2"
end ;
```

```
msg "branch A"; fail.
    branch 1
    branch A
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Uncaught Ltac2 exception: Tactic_failure (None)
Fail multi_match! 'True with
| True => msg "branch 1"
| _ => msg "branch 2"
end ;
msg "branch A"; fail.
    branch 1
    branch A
    branch 2
    branch A
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Uncaught Ltac2 exception: Match_failure
```


## Example: Ltac2 Multiple matches for a "context" pattern.

(Equivalent to this Ltacl example.)
Internally " $\mathrm{x}<>\mathrm{y}$ " is represented as " $(\sim(x=y)$ )", which produces the first match.

```
Ltac2 f2 t := match! t with
    | context [ (~ ?t) ] => print (of_constr t); fail
    | _ => ()
    end.
f2 constr:((~ True) <> (~ False)).
    ((~ True) = (~ False))
    True
    False
```


## Match over goals

Tactic: ltac2_match_key reverse? goal with goal_match_list end

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { goal_match_list } & ::=\|^{\mathbf{?}} \text { gmatch_rule } \\
\text { gmatch_rule } & ::= & \text { gmatch_pattern }=>\text { ltac2_expr } \\
\text { gmatch_pattern } & ::=\text { [ gmatch_hyp_pattern }{ }^{*} \mid \\
\text { gmatch_ltac2_match_pattern } & ::= & \text { name }: \text { ltac2_match_pattern } \\
& \mid & \text { name }:=\text { [ltac2_match_pattern }]: \text { ltac2_match_pattern } \\
& \mid & \text { name }:=\text { ltac2_match_pattern }
\end{array}
$$

Matches over goals, similar to Ltac1 match goal. Use this form to match hypotheses and/or goals in the local context. These patterns have zero or more subpatterns to match hypotheses followed by a subpattern to match the conclusion. Except for the differences noted below, this works the same as the corresponding Itac2_match_key Itac2_expr construct (see match!). Each current goal is processed independently.

Matching is non-linear: if a metavariable occurs more than once, each occurrence must match the same expression. Within a single term, expressions match if they are syntactically equal or $\alpha$-convertible. When a metavariable is used across multiple hypotheses or across a hypothesis and the current goal, the expressions match if they are convertible. gmatch_pattern $\stackrel{\star}{\nmid}$ Patterns to match with hypotheses. Each pattern must match a distinct hypothesis in order for the branch to match.
Hypotheses have the form name $:=$ term $_{\text {binder }} ?$ : type. If $t e r m_{\text {binder }}$ is not specified, the pattern matches hypotheses even if they have a body.

If there are multiple gmatch_hyp_patterns in a branch, there may be multiple ways to match them to hypotheses. For match! goal and multi_match! goal, if the evaluation of the ltac2_expr fails, matching will continue with the next hypothesis combination. When those are exhausted, the next alternative from any context construct in the ltac2_match_patterns is tried and then, when the context alternatives are exhausted, the next branch is tried. Example.
reverse Hypothesis matching for gmatch_hyp_patterns normally begins by matching them from left to right, to hypotheses, last to first. Specifying reverse begins matching in the reverse order, from first to last. Normal and reverse examples.
1- Itac2_match_pattern A pattern to match with the current goal
Note that unlike Ltac1, only lowercase identifiers are valid as Ltac2 bindings. Ltac2 will report an error if you try to use a bound variable that starts with an uppercase character.

Variables from gmatch_hyp_pattern and Itac2_match_pattern are bound in the body of the branch. Their types are:

- constr for pattern variables appearing in a term
- Pattern. context for variables binding a context
- ident for variables binding a hypothesis name.

The same identifier caveat as in the case of matching over constr applies, and this feature has the same semantics as in Ltac 1 .

## Example: Ltac2 Matching hypotheses

## (Equivalent to this Ltacl example.)

Hypotheses are matched from the last hypothesis (which is by default the newest hypothesis) to the first until the apply succeeds.

```
Goal forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> (A->B).
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> A }->\mathrm{ B
intros.
    1 goal
        A, B : Prop
        H : A
        HO : B
        H1 : A
        =============================
        B
```

(continued from previous page)

```
match! goal with
| [ h : _ |- _ ] => let h := Control.hyp h in print (of_constr h); apply $h
end.
    H1
    HO
    No more goals.
```


## Example: Matching hypotheses with reverse

(Equivalent to this Ltacl example.)
Hypotheses are matched from the first hypothesis to the last until the apply succeeds.

```
Goal forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> (A->B).
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> A -> B
intros.
    1 goal
        A, B : Prop
        H : A
        HO : B
        H1 : A
        ==============================
        B
match! reverse goal with
| [h: - |- _ ] => let h := Control.hyp h in print (of_constr h); apply $h
end.
    A
    B
    H
    H0
    No more goals.
```


## Example: Multiple ways to match a hypotheses

(Equivalent to this Ltacl example.)
Every possible match for the hypotheses is evaluated until the right-hand side succeeds. Note that h1 and h2 are never matched to the same hypothesis. Observe that the number of permutations can grow as the factorial of the number of hypotheses and hypothesis patterns.

```
Goal forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> (A->B).
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall A B : Prop, A -> B -> A -> B
intros A B H.
    1 goal
```

```
        A, B : Prop
        H : A
        =============================
        B -> A B B
match! goal with
| [ h1 : _, h2 : _ |- _ ] =>
    print (concat (of_string "match ")
            (concat (of_constr (Control.hyp h1))
            (concat (of_string " ")
            (of_constr (Control.hyp h2)))));
    fail
| [ | - _ ] => ()
end.
    match B H
    match A H
    match H B
    match A B
    match H A
    match B A
```


## Match on values

Tactic: match Itac2_expr5 with Itac2_branches? end
Matches a value, akin to the OCaml mat ch construct. By itself, it doesn't cause backtracking as do the *mat ch*! and *match*! goal constructs.


Tactic: if 1 tac2_expr $5_{\text {test }}$ then 1 tac2_expr5 then else 1 tac2_expr5 ${ }_{\text {else }}$
Equivalent to a match on a boolean value. If the 1 tac2_expr $5_{\text {test }}$ evaluates to true, 1 tac2_expr $5_{\text {then }}$ is evaluated. Otherwise 1 tac2_expr5 ${ }_{\text {else }}$ is evaluated.

## Notations

Command: Ltac2 Notation ltac2_scope : natural $^{+}:=$ltac2_expr Ltac2 Notation provides a way to extend the syntax of Ltac2 tactics. The left-hand side (before the :=) defines the syntax to recognize and gives formal parameter names for the syntactic values. integer is the level of the notation. When the notation is used, the values are substituted into the right-hand side. The right-hand side is typechecked when the notation is used, not when it is defined. In the following example, $x$ is the formal parameter name and constr is its syntactic class. print and of_constr are functions provided by Coq through Message.v.

## Example: Printing a term

```
From Ltac2 Require Import Message.
Ltac2 Notation "ex1" x(constr) := print (of_constr x).
ex1 (1 + 2).
    (1+2)
```

You can also print terms with a regular Ltac2 definition, but then the term must be in the quotation constr: ( ... ):

```
Ltac2 ex2 x := print (of_constr x).
ex2 constr:(1+2).
    (1 + 2)
```

There are also metasyntactic classes described here that combine other items. For example, list1 (constr, $", ")$ recognizes a comma-separated list of one or more terms.

## Example: Parsing a list of terms

```
Ltac2 rec print_list x := match x with
| a :: t => print (of_constr a); print_list t
| [] => ()
end.
Ltac2 Notation "ex2" x(list1(constr, ",")) := print_list x.
ex2 1, 2, 3.
    1
    2
    3
```

An Ltac2 notation adds a parsing rule to the Ltac2 grammar, which is expanded to the provided body where every token from the notation is let-bound to the corresponding generated expression.

## Example

Assume we perform:
Ltac2 Notation "foo" $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{th} u \mathrm{nk}($ constr)) ids(list0(ident)) $:=$ Bar.f c ids.
Then the following expression
let $y:=@ X$ in foo (nat $->$ nat) $x$ \$
will expand at parsing time to

```
let y :=@X in let c := fun () => constr:(nat -> nat) with ids := [@x; y]
in Bar.f c ids
```

Beware that the order of evaluation of multiple let-bindings is not specified, so that you may have to resort to thunking to ensure that side-effects are performed at the right time.

This command supports the deprecated attribute.
Error: Notation levels must range between 0 and 6.
The level of a notation must be an integer between 0 and 6 inclusive.

## Abbreviations

Command: Ltac2 Notation string lident $:=$ ltac2_expr
Introduces a special kind of notation, called an abbreviation, that does not add any parsing rules. It is similar in spirit to Coq abbreviations (see Notation (abbreviation), insofar as its main purpose is to give an absolute name to a piece of pure syntax, which can be transparently referred to by this name as if it were a proper definition.

The abbreviation can then be manipulated just like a normal Ltac 2 definition, except that it is expanded at internalization time into the given expression. Furthermore, in order to make this kind of construction useful in practice in an effectful language such as Ltac2, any syntactic argument to an abbreviation is thunked on-the-fly during its expansion.

For instance, suppose that we define the following.

```
Ltac2 Notation foo := fun \(\mathbf{x}=>\times()\).
```

Then we have the following expansion at internalization time.

```
foo 0 H (fun x => x ()) (fun _ => 0)
```

Note that abbreviations are not type checked at all, and may result in typing errors after expansion.
This command supports the deprecated attribute.

## Defining tactics

Built-in tactics (those defined in OCaml code in the Coq executable) and Ltac1 tactics, which are defined in . v files, must be defined through notations. (Ltac2 tactics can be defined with Ltac2.

Notations for many but not all built-in tactics are defined in Notations.v, which is automatically loaded with Ltac2. The Ltac2 syntax for these tactics is often identical or very similar to the tactic syntax described in other chapters of this documentation. These notations rely on tactic functions declared in Std.v. Functions corresponding to some built-in tactics may not yet be defined in the Coq executable or declared in $\mathrm{Std} . \mathrm{v}$. Adding them may require code changes to Coq or defining workarounds through Ltac1 (described below).

Two examples of syntax differences:

- There is no notation defined that's equivalent to intros until ident natural. There is, however, already an intros_until tactic function defined Std.v, so it may be possible for a user to add the necessary notation.
- The built-in simpl tactic in Ltac1 supports the use of scope keys in delta flags, e.g. simpl ["+"\%nat] which is not accepted by Ltac2. This is because Ltac2 uses a different definition for delta_reductions; compare it to Itac2_delta_reductions. This also affects compute.

Ltac1 tactics are not automatically available in Ltac2. (Note that some of the tactics described in the documentation are defined with Ltac1.) You can make them accessible in Ltac2 with commands similar to the following:

```
From Coq Require Import Lia.
Local Ltac2 lia_ltac1 () := ltac1:(lia).
Ltac2 Notation "lia" := lia_ltac1 ().
```

A similar approach can be used to access missing built-in tactics. See Simple API for an example that passes two parameters to a missing build-in tactic.

## Syntactic classes

The simplest syntactic classes in Ltac2 notations represent individual nonterminals from the Coq grammar. Only a few selected nonterminals are available as syntactic classes. In addition, there are metasyntactic operations for describing more complex syntax, such as making an item optional or representing a list of items. When parsing, each syntactic class expression returns a value that's bound to a name in the notation definition.

Syntactic classes are described with a form of S-expression:


Metasyntactic operations that can be applied to other syntactic classes are:
opt (ltac2_scope) Parses an optional ltac2_scope. The associated value is either None or enclosed in Some
list1 (ltac2_scope , string ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ) Parses a list of one or more ltac2_scopes. If string is specified, items must be separated by string.
list0 (ltac2_scope , string ${ }^{?}$ ) Parses a list of zero or more Itac2_scopes. If string is specified, items must be separated by string. For zero items, the associated value is an empty list.
$\operatorname{seq}($ Itac2_scope $\stackrel{+}{+}$ ) Parses the Itac2_scopes in order. The associated value is a tuple, omitting Itac2_scopes that are strings. self and next are not permitted within seq.

The following classes represent nonterminals with some special handling. The table further down lists the classes that that are handled plainly.
constr ( scope_key $\stackrel{+}{+})$ ? Parses a term. If specified, the scope_keys are used to interpret the term (as described in Local interpretation rules for notations). The last scope_key is the top of the scope stack that's applied to the term.
open_constr ( scope_key $\stackrel{+}{+}$ ) ? Parses an open term. Like constr above, this class accepts a list of notation scopes with the same effects.
preterm (scope_key $\underset{,}{+})$ ? $\quad$ ? accepts a list of notation scopes with the same effects.
ident Parses ident or \$ident. The first form returns ident: (ident), while the latter form returns the variable ident.
string Accepts the specified string that is not a keyword, returning a value of ().
keyword (string) Accepts the specified string that is a keyword, returning a value of ().
terminal (string) Accepts the specified string whether it's a keyword or not, returning a value of ().
tactic (integer) ? Parses an Itac2_expr. If integer is specified, the construct parses a ltac2_exprinteger, for example tactic (5) parses ltac2_expr5. tactic (6) parses Itac2_expr. integer must be in the range 0 . . 6.

You can also use tactic to accept an integer or a string, but there's no syntactic class that accepts only an integer or a string.
self parses an Ltac2 expression at the current level and returns it as is.
next parses an Ltac2 expression at the next level and returns it as is.
thunk (Itac2_scope) Used for semantic effect only, parses the same as Itac2_scope. If e is the parsed expression for $\operatorname{ltac} 2 \_s c o p e$, thunk returns fun () => $\mathbf{e}$.
pattern parses a cpattern
A few syntactic classes contain antiquotation features. For the sake of uniformity, all antiquotations are introduced by the syntax \$lident.

A few other specific syntactic classes exist to handle Ltac1-like syntax, but their use is discouraged and they are thus not documented.

For now there is no way to declare new syntactic classes from the Ltac2 side, but this is planned.
Other nonterminals that have syntactic classes are listed here.

| Syntactic class name | Nonterminal | Similar non-Ltac2 syntax |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| intropatterns | Itac2_intropatterns | intropattern ${ }^{*}$ |
| intropattern | Itac2_simple_intropattern | simple_intropattern |
| ident | ident_or_anti | ident |
| destruction_arg | Itac2_destruction_arg | destruction_arg |
| with_bindings | q_with_bindings | with bindings? |
| bindings | ltac2_bindings | bindings |
| reductions | ltac2_reductions | reductions |
| reference | refglobal | reference |
| clause | ltac2_clause | occurrences |
| occurrences | q_occurrences |  |
| induction_clause | ltac2_induction_clause | induction_clause |
| conversion | ltac2_conversion |  |
| rewriting | Itac2_oriented_rewriter | oriented_rewriter |
| dispatch | ltac2_for_each_goal | for_each_goal |
| hintdb | hintdb | hintbases |
| move_location | move_location | where |
| pose | pose | alias_definition |
| assert | assertion | ( ident : = term ) |
| constr_matching | ltac2_match_list | See match |
| goal_matching | goal_match_list | See match goal |

Here is the syntax for the q_* nonterminals:

| ltac2_intropatterns | $::=$ | nonsimple_intropattern ${ }^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nonsimple_intropattern | $::=$ | $*$ |
|  | $\mid$ | $* *$ |
|  | $\mid$ |  |
|  | ltac2_simple_intropattern |  |


| ltac2_simple_intropattern | : | ltac2_naming_intropattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \| |  |
|  | । | ltac2_or_and_intropattern |
|  | । | ltac2_equality_intropattern |
| ltac2_naming_intropattern | : : $=$ | ? lident |
|  | \| | ?\$ lident |
|  | । |  |
|  | । | ident_or_anti |

ltac2_or_and_intropattern $::=\left[\right.$ ltac2_intropatterns $\left.{ }_{1}^{+}\right]$

|  | I | ( ltac2_simple_intropattern ${ }^{+}$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | । | $\left(\right.$ ltac2_simple_intropattern $_{\&}^{+}$) |
| ltac2_equality_intropattern | : : = | -> |
|  | \| | <- |
|  | 1 | [= ltac2_intropatterns ] |

```
\(\begin{array}{cll}\text { ident_or_anti } & ::= & \text { lident } \\ & \mid & \text { \$ ident }\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { ltac2_destruction_arg } & ::= & \text { natural } \\ & \mid & \text { lident } \\ & \text { | ltac2_constr_with_bindings }\end{array}\)
ltac2_constr_with_bindings \(::=\) term with ltac2_bindings ?
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { q_with_bindings } & ::=\text { with ltac2_bindings }^{?} \\ \text { ltac2_bindings } & ::=\frac{\text { ltac2_simple_binding }}{+} \\ & \text { | } & \text { term }+\end{array}\)
ltac2_simple_binding \(\quad::=\quad\) (qhyp \(:=\) term \()\)
qhyp \(::=\) \$ ident
    natural
ltac2_reductions \(\quad::=\) ltac2_red_flag \({ }^{+}\)
| ltac2_delta_reductions
ltac2_red_flag \(::=\) beta
        iota
        match
        fix
        cofix
        zeta
        delta ltac2_delta_reductions ?
ltac2_delta_reductions \(::=-\boldsymbol{- P}^{\mathbf{?}}\) [refglobal \({ }^{+}\)]
refglobal \(::=\quad \&\) ident
        | qualid
        | \$ ident
ltac2_clause \(\quad::=\quad\) in ltac2_in_clause
    | at ltac2_occs_nums
ltac2_in_clause \(::=\quad *\) ltac2_occs ?
    | \(\quad\) |- ltac2_concl_occ?
    | ltac2_hypident_occ \({ }^{*}\), I- ltac2_concl_occ?
```



## Evaluation

Ltac2 features a toplevel loop that can be used to evaluate expressions.
Command: Ltac2 Eval Itac2_expr
This command evaluates the term in the current proof if there is one, or in the global environment otherwise, and displays the resulting value to the user together with its type. This command is pure in the sense that it does not modify the state of the proof, and in particular all side-effects are discarded.

## Debug

## Flag: Ltac2 Backtrace

When this flag is set, toplevel failures will be printed with a backtrace.

## Compatibility layer with Ltac1

## Ltac1 from Ltac2

## Simple API

One can call Ltac 1 code from Ltac2 by using the ltac1: (ltac1_expr_in_env) quotation. See Built-in quotations. It parses a Ltac 1 expression, and semantics of this quotation is the evaluation of the corresponding code for its side effects. In particular, it cannot return values, and the quotation has type unit.
Ltac1 cannot implicitly access variables from the Ltac2 scope, but this can be done with an explicit annotation on the

```
ltac1: (ident** I- Itac_expr) quotation. See Built-in quotations. For example:
Local Ltac2 replace_with (lhs: constr) (rhs: constr) :=
    ltac1:(lhs rhs |- replace lhs with rhs) (Ltac1.of_constr lhs) (Ltac1.of_constr rhs).
Ltac2 Notation "replace" lhs(constr) "with" rhs(constr) := replace_with lhs rhs.
```

The return type of this expression is a function of the same arity as the number of identifiers, with arguments of type Ltac2. Ltac1.t (see below). This syntax will bind the variables in the quoted Ltac1 code as if they had been bound from Ltac 1 itself. Similarly, the arguments applied to the quotation will be passed at runtime to the Ltac 1 code.

## Low-level API

There exists a lower-level FFI into Ltac 1 that is not recommended for daily use, which is available in the Ltac2. Ltac1 module. This API allows to directly manipulate dynamically-typed Ltac 1 values, either through the function calls, or using the ltac1val quotation. The latter parses the same as ltac1, but has type Ltac2.Ltac1.t instead of unit, and dynamically behaves as an Ltac1 thunk, i.e. ltac1val: (foo) corresponds to the tactic closure that Ltacl would generate from idtac; foo.
Due to intricate dynamic semantics, understanding when Ltac1 value quotations focus is very hard. This is why some functions return a continuation-passing style value, as it can dispatch dynamically between focused and unfocused behavior.

The same mechanism for explicit binding of variables as described in the previous section applies.

## Ltac2 from Ltac1

Same as above by switching Ltac1 by Ltac2 and using the 1 tac 2 quotation instead.

```
ltac_expr += ltac2:( ltac2_expr)
    | Itac2 : ( ident }\mp@subsup{}{}{+}\mathrm{ I- ltac2_expr )
```

The typing rules are dual, that is, the optional identifiers are bound with type Ltac2. Ltac1.t in the Ltac2 expression, which is expected to have type unit. The value returned by this quotation is an Ltac1 function with the same arity as the number of bound variables.

Note that when no variables are bound, the inner tactic expression is evaluated eagerly, if one wants to use it as an argument to a Ltac1 function, one has to resort to the good old idtac; ltac2 : (foo) trick. For instance, the code below will fail immediately and won't print anything.

```
From Ltac2 Require Import Ltac2.
Set Default Proof Mode "Classic".
Ltac mytac tac := idtac "I am being evaluated"; tac.
    mytac is defined
Goal True.
    1 goal
        ============================
        True
Proof.
(* Doesn't print anything *)
Fail mytac ltac2:(fail).
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Uncaught Ltac2 exception: Tactic_failure (None)
(* Prints and fails *)
Fail mytac ltac:(idtac; ltac2:(fail)).
    I am being evaluated
    The command has indeed failed with message:
    Uncaught Ltac2 exception: Tactic_failure (None)
```

In any case, the value returned by the fully applied quotation is an unspecified dummy Ltac1 closure and should not be further used.

## Switching between Ltac languages

We recommend using the Default Proof Mode option or the Proof Mode command to switch between tactic languages. The option has proof-level granularity while the command has sentence-level granularity. This allows incrementally porting proof scripts.

## Transition from Ltac1

Owing to the use of a lot of notations, the transition should not be too difficult. In particular, it should be possible to do it incrementally. That said, we do not guarantee it will be a blissful walk either. Hopefully, owing to the fact Ltac2 is typed, the interactive dialogue with Coq will help you.
We list the major changes and the transition strategies hereafter.

## Syntax changes

Due to conflicts, a few syntactic rules have changed.

- The dispatch tactical tac; [foo|bar] is now written tac > [foo|bar].
- Levels of a few operators have been revised. Some tacticals now parse as if they were normal functions. Parentheses are now required around complex arguments, such as abstractions. The tacticals affected are: try, repeat, do, once, progress, time, abstract.
- idtac is no more. Either use () if you expect nothing to happen, (fun () => ()) if you want a thunk (see next section), or use printing primitives from the Message module if you want to display something.


## Tactic delay

Tactics are not magically delayed anymore, neither as functions nor as arguments. It is your responsibility to thunk them beforehand and apply them at the call site.

A typical example of a delayed function:
Ltac foo := blah.
becomes
Ltac2 foo () := blah.
All subsequent calls to foo must be applied to perform the same effect as before.
Likewise, for arguments:
Ltac bar tac := tac; tac; tac.
becomes

```
Ltac2 bar tac := tac (); tac (); tac ().
```

We recommend the use of syntactic notations to ease the transition. For instance, the first example can alternatively be written as:

```
Ltac2 foo0 () := blah. Ltac2 Notation foo := foo0 ().
```

This allows to keep the subsequent calls to the tactic as-is, as the expression $f \circ \circ$ will be implicitly expanded everywhere into $f \circ 00$ (). Such a trick also works for arguments, as arguments of syntactic notations are implicitly thunked. The second example could thus be written as follows.

```
Ltac2 bar0 tac := tac (); tac (); tac (). Ltac2 Notation bar := bar0.
```


## Variable binding

Ltac1 relies on complex dynamic trickery to be able to tell apart bound variables from terms, hypotheses, etc. There is no such thing in Ltac2, as variables are recognized statically and other constructions do not live in the same syntactic world. Due to the abuse of quotations, it can sometimes be complicated to know what a mere identifier represents in a tactic expression. We recommend tracking the context and letting the compiler print typing errors to understand what is going on.

We list below the typical changes one has to perform depending on the static errors produced by the typechecker.

## In Ltac expressions

## Error: Unbound value constructor X

- if X is meant to be a term from the current static environment, replace the problematic use by ' X .
- if $X$ is meant to be a hypothesis from the local context, replace the problematic use by $\& X$.


## In quotations

## Error: The reference $X$ was not found in the current environment

- if X is meant to be a tactic expression bound by a Ltac2 let or function, replace the problematic use by $\$ \mathrm{X}$.
- if X is meant to be a hypothesis from the local context, replace the problematic use by $\& \mathrm{X}$.


## Exception catching

Ltac2 features a proper exception-catching mechanism. For this reason, the Ltac1 mechanism relying on fail taking integers, and tacticals decreasing it, has been removed. Now exceptions are preserved by all tacticals, and it is your duty to catch them and re-raise them as needed.

## USING COQ

### 4.1 Libraries and plugins

Libraries and plugins contain compiled Coq scripts with useful definitions, theorems, notations and settings that can be loaded at runtime. In addition, plugins can add new tactics and commands written in OCaml.

Coq is distributed with a standard library and a set of internal plugins (most of which provide tactics that have already been presented in Basic proof writing). This chapter presents this standard library and some of these internal plugins which provide features that are not tactics.

In addition, Coq has a rich ecosystem of external libraries and plugins. These libraries and plugins can be browsed online through the Coq Package Index ${ }^{55}$ and installed with the opam package manager ${ }^{56}$.
Libraries contain only compiled Coq scripts. Plugins can also include compiled OCaml code that can change the behavior of Coq. Both are packages. While users configure and load them identically, there are a few differences to consider:

- Nearly all plugins add functionality that could not be added otherwise and they likely add new top-level commands or tactics.
- Compared to libraries, plugins can change Coq's behavior in many possibly unexpected ways. Therefore, using a plugin requires a higher degree of trust in its authors than is needed for libraries. If desired, you can mitigate trust issues by running Compiled libraries checker (coqchk) on compiled files produced from Coq scripts that load plugins. (coqchk doesn't load plugins, so they won't be part of trusted code base.)
- Plugins that aren't in Coq's CI (continuous integration) system ${ }^{57}$ are more likely to break across major versions due to source code changes to Coq. You may want to consider this before adopting a new plugin for your project.


### 4.1.1 The Coq library

The Coq library has two parts:

- The prelude: definitions and theorems for the most commonly used elementary logical notions and data types. Coq normally loads these files automatically when it starts.
- The standard library: general-purpose libraries with definitions and theorems for sets, lists, sorting, arithmetic, etc. To use these files, users must load them explicitly with the Require command (see Compiled files)
There are also many libraries provided by Coq users' community. These libraries and developments are available for download at https://coq.inria.fr/ (see Users' contributions).
This chapter briefly reviews the Coq libraries whose contents can also be browsed at https://coq.inria.fr/stdlib/.

[^25]
## The prelude

This section lists the basic notions and results which are directly available in the standard Coq system. Most of these constructions are defined in the Prelude module in directory theories / In it in the Coq root directory; this includes the modules Notations, Logic, Datatypes, Specif, Peano, Wf and Tactics. Module Logic_Type also makes it in the initial state.

## Notations

This module defines the parsing and pretty-printing of many symbols (infixes, prefixes, etc.). However, it does not assign a meaning to these notations. The purpose of this is to define and fix once for all the precedence and associativity of very common notations. The main notations fixed in the initial state are :

| Notation | Precedence | Associativity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _ -> _ | 99 | right |
| _ <-> _ | 95 | no |
| - \/ _ | 85 | right |
| - / | 80 | right |
| $\sim$ | 75 | right |
| - $=$ | 70 | no |
| $\sim_{-}{ }_{-}=$ | 70 | no |
| _ = _ : $>_{\text {_ }}$ | 70 | no |
| - <> _ | 70 | no |
| _ <> _ :> _ | 70 | no |
| - < | 70 | no |
| _ > | 70 | no |
| _ ${ }^{<}=$ | 70 | no |
| _ >= _ | 70 | no |
| $\sim_{<}{ }^{+}{ }_{\text {c }}$ | 70 | no |
| _ < _ < | 70 | no |
| _ < ${ }_{\text {- }}{ }_{\text {- }}$ | 70 | no |
| _ < ${ }_{\text {- }} \times=$ | 70 | no |
| - + | 50 | left |
| - 11- | 50 | left |
| - - - | 50 | left |
| - * - | 40 | left |
| - - | 40 | left |
| - ${ }^{-}$ | 40 | left |
| ${ }^{-}$ | 35 | right |
| / | 35 | right |
| $\sim^{\wedge}$ - | 30 | right |

## Logic

Logic.v in the basic library of Coq has the definitions of standard (intuitionistic) logical connectives defined as inductive constructions. They are equipped with an appealing syntax enriching the subclass form of the syntactic class term. The constructs for form are:

| True | True |
| :--- | :--- |
| False | False |
| $\sim$ form | not |
| form /\ form | and |
| form \/ form | or |
| form -> form | primitive implication |
| form <-> form | iff |
| forall ident : type, form | primitive for all |
| exists ident specif ${ }^{?}$, form | ex |
| exists2 ident specif | ? form \& form |
| term $=$ term | ex2 |
| term $=$ term :> specif | eq |

Note: Implication is not defined but primitive (it is a non-dependent product of a proposition over another proposition). There is also a primitive universal quantification (it is a dependent product over a proposition). The primitive universal quantification allows both first-order and higher-order quantification.

## Propositional Connectives

First, we find propositional calculus connectives. At times, it's helpful to know exactly what these notations represent.

```
Inductive True : Prop := I.
Inductive False : Prop := .
Definition not (A: Prop) := A -> False.
Inductive and (A B:Prop) : Prop := conj (_:A) (_:B).
Section Projections.
    Variables A B : Prop.
    Theorem proj1 : A /\ B -> A.
    Theorem proj2 : A /\ B -> B.
End Projections.
Inductive or (A B:Prop) : Prop :=
| or_introl (_:A)
| or_intror (_:B).
Definition iff (P Q:Prop) := (P -> Q) /\ (Q -> P).
Definition IF_then_else (P Q R:Prop) := P /\ Q \/ ~ P /\ R.
```


## Quantifiers

Then we find first-order quantifiers:

```
Definition all (A:Set) (P:A -> Prop) := forall x:A, P x.
Inductive ex (A: Set) (P:A -> Prop) : Prop :=
    ex_intro (x:A) (_:P x).
Inductive ex2 (A:Set) (P Q:A -> Prop) : Prop :=
    ex_intro2 (x:A) (_:P x) (_:Q x).
```

The following abbreviations are allowed:

| exists $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}$ | ex $A$ (fun $x: A=>P)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| exists $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{P}$ | ex - (fun $x=>P$ ) |
| exists2 x:A, P \& Q | ex2 $A$ (fun $x: A=>P)$ (fun $x: A=>Q)$ |
| exists2 $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{P}$ \& Q | ex2 - (fun $x=>P)($ fun $x=>Q)$ |

The type annotation : A can be omitted when A can be synthesized by the system.

## Equality

Then, we find equality, defined as an inductive relation. That is, given a type $A$ and an $x$ of type $A$, the predicate (eq $A$ $x$ ) is the smallest one which contains $x$. This definition, due to Christine Paulin-Mohring, is equivalent to define eq as the smallest reflexive relation, and it is also equivalent to Leibniz' equality.

```
Inductive eq (A:Type) (x:A) : A -> Prop :=
    eq_refl : eq A x x.
```


## Lemmas

Finally, a few easy lemmas are provided.

```
Theorem absurd : forall A C:Prop, A -> ~ A -> C.
Section equality.
Variables A B : Type.
Variable f : A -> B.
Variables x y z : A.
Theorem eq_sym : x = y -> y = x.
Theorem eq_trans : x = y -> y = z -> x = z.
Theorem f_equal : x = y > f x = f y.
Theorem not_eq_sym : x <> y -> y <> x.
End equality.
Definition eq_ind_r :
    forall (A:Type) (x:A) (P:A->Prop), P x -> forall y:A, y = x -> P y.
Definition eq_rec_r :
    forall (A:Type) (x:A) (P:A->Set), P x m forall y:A, y = x -> P y.
Definition eq_rect_r :
    forall (A:Type) (x:A) (P:A->Type), P x -> forall y:A, y = x -> P y.
Hint Immediate eq_sym not_eq_sym : core.
```

The theorem $f$ _equal is extended to functions with two to five arguments. The theorem are names $f$ _equal2, f_equal3, f_equal4 and f_equal5. For instance $f$ _equal 3 is defined the following way.

```
Theorem f_equal3 :
    forall (A1 A2 A3 B:Type) (f:A1 -> A2 -> A3 -> B)
    (x1 y1:A1) (x2 y2:A2) (x3 y3:A3),
    x1 = y1 -> x2 = y2 -> x3 = y3 -> f x1 x2 x3 = f y1 y2 y3.
```


## Datatypes

In the basic library, we find in Dat atypes. $v$ the definition of the basic data-types of programming, defined as inductive constructions over the sort Set. Some of them come with a special syntax shown below (this syntax table is common with the next section Specification). The constructs for specif are:

| specif * specif | prod |
| :--- | :--- |
| specif + specif | sum |
| specif + \{ specif \} | sumor |
| $\{$ specif \} + \{ specif \} | sumbool |
| $\{$ ident : specif \| form \} | sig |
| $\{$ ident : specif \| form \& form \} | sig2 |
| $\{$ ident : specif \& specif \} | sigT |
| $\{$ ident : specif \& specif \& specif \} | sigT2 |

The notation for pairs (elements of type prod) is: (term, term)

## Programming

```
Inductive unit : Set := tt.
Inductive bool : Set := true | false.
Inductive nat : Set := O | S (n:nat).
Inductive option (A:Set) : Set := Some (_:A) | None.
Inductive identity (A:Type) (a:A) : A -> Type :=
    refl_identity : identity A a a.
```

Note that zero is the letter 0 , and not the numeral 0 .
The predicate identity is logically equivalent to equality but it lives in sort Type. It is mainly maintained for compatibility.

We then define the disjoint sum of $A+B$ of two sets $A$ and $B$, and their product $A * B$.

```
Inductive sum (A B:Set) : Set := inl (_:A) | inr (_:B).
Inductive prod (A B:Set) : Set := pair (_:A) (_:B).
Section projections.
Variables A B : Set.
Definition fst (H: prod A B) := match H with
    | pair _ _ x y => x
    end.
Definition snd (H: prod A B) := match H with
    | pair _ _ x y => y
    end.
End projections.
```

Some operations on bool are also provided: andb (with infix notation \& \& ), orb (with infix notation | \| ), xorb, implb and negb.

## Specification

The following notions defined in module Specif.v allow to build new data-types and specifications. They are available with the syntax shown in the previous section Datatypes.

For instance, given $A: T y p e$ and $P: A->P r o p$, the construct $\{x: A \mid P x\}$ (in abstract syntax (sig A P) ) is a Type. We may build elements of this set as (exist $x p$ ) whenever we have a witness $x: A$ with its justification $p: P$ x .

From such a (exist $x$ p) we may in turn extract its witness $x: A$ (using an elimination construct such as match) but not its justification, which stays hidden, like in an abstract data-type. In technical terms, one says that sig is a weak (dependent) sum. A variant sig2 with two predicates is also provided.

```
Inductive sig (A:Set) (P:A -> Prop) : Set := exist (x:A) (_:P x).
Inductive sig2 (A:Set) (P Q:A -> Prop) : Set :=
    exist2 (x:A) (_:P x) (_:Q x).
```

A strong (dependent) sum $\{\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A} \& \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{x}\}$ may be also defined, when the predicate P is now defined as a constructor of types in Type.

```
Inductive sigT (A:Type) (P:A -> Type) : Type := existT (x:A) (_:P x).
Section Projections2.
Variable A : Type.
Variable P : A -> Type.
Definition projT1 (H:sigT A P) := let (x, h) := H in x.
Definition projT2 (H:sigT A P) :=
    match H return P (projT1 H) with
        existT _ _ x h => h
    end.
End Projections2.
Inductive sigT2 (A: Type) (P Q:A -> Type) : Type :=
    existT2 (x:A) (_:P x) (_:Q x).
```

A related non-dependent construct is the constructive sum $\{A\}+\{B\}$ of two propositions $A$ and $B$.

```
Inductive sumbool (A B:Prop) : Set := left (_:A) | right (_:B).
```

This sumbool construct may be used as a kind of indexed boolean data-type. An intermediate between sumbool and sum is the mixed sumor which combines $A$ : Set and $B$ : Prop in the construction $A+\{B\}$ in Set.

```
Inductive sumor (A:Set) (B:Prop) : Set :=
| inleft (_:A)
| inright (_:B).
```

We may define variants of the axiom of choice, like in Martin-Löf's Intuitionistic Type Theory.

```
Lemma Choice :
    forall (S S':Set) (R:S -> S' -> Prop),
        (forall x:S, {y : S' | R x y }) ->
        {f:S -> S' | forall z:S, R z (f z)}.
Lemma Choice2 :
    forall (S S':Set) (R:S -> S' -> Set),
        (forall x:S, {y : S' & R x y }) ->
            {f:S -> S' & forall z:S, R z (f z)}.
Lemma bool_choice :
    forall (S:Set) (R1 R2:S -> Prop),
        (forall x:S, {R1 x} + {R2 x}) ->
```

```
{f : S -> bool |
    forall x:S, f x = true /\ R1 x \/ f x = false /\ R2 x}.
```

The next construct builds a sum between a data-type $A$ : Type and an exceptional value encoding errors:

```
Definition Exc := option.
Definition value := Some.
Definition error := None.
```

This module ends with theorems, relating the sorts Set or Type and Prop in a way which is consistent with the realizability interpretation.

```
Definition except := False_rec.
Theorem absurd_set : forall (A:Prop) (C:Set), A -> ~ A -> C.
Theorem and_rect2 :
    forall (A B:Prop) (P:Type), (A -> B -> P) -> A /\ B -> P.
```


## Basic Arithmetic

The basic library includes a few elementary properties of natural numbers, together with the definitions of predecessor, addition and multiplication, in module Peano.v. It also provides a scope nat_scope gathering standard notations for common operations $\left(+,^{*}\right)$ and a decimal notation for numbers, allowing for instance to write 3 for $S\left(\begin{array}{ll}S & (S)\end{array}\right)$ ). This also works on the left hand side of a match expression (see for example section refine). This scope is opened by default.

## Example

The following example is not part of the standard library, but it shows the usage of the notations:

```
Fixpoint even (n:nat) : bool :=
    match n with
    | 0 => true
    | 1 => false
    | S (S n) => even n
    end.
```

Now comes the content of module Peano:

```
Theorem eq_S : forall x y:nat, x = y -> S x = S y.
Definition pred (n:nat) : nat :=
    match n with
    | 0 => 0
    | S u => u
    end.
Theorem pred_Sn : forall m:nat, m = pred (S m).
Theorem eq_add_S : forall n m:nat, S n = S m -> n = m.
Hint Immediate eq_add_S : core.
Theorem not_eq_S : forall n m:nat, n <> m -> S n <> S m.
Definition IsSucc (n:nat) : Prop :=
    match n with
    | 0 => False
    | S p => True
    end.
```

```
Theorem O_S : forall n:nat, 0 <> S n.
Theorem n_Sn : forall n:nat, n <> S n.
Fixpoint plus (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
    match n with
    | 0 => m
    | S p => S (p + m)
    end
where "n + m" := (plus n m) : nat_scope.
Lemma plus_n_O : forall n:nat, n = n + 0.
Lemma plus_n_Sm : forall n m:nat, S (n +m) = n + Sm.
Fixpoint mult (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
    match n with
    | 0 => 0
    | S =>m + p * m
    end
where "n * m" := (mult n m) : nat_scope.
Lemma mult_n_O : forall n:nat, 0 = n * 0.
Lemma mult_n_Sm : forall n m:nat, n * m + n = n * (S m).
```

Finally, it gives the definition of the usual orderings $l e, l t$, ge and gt.

```
Inductive le (n:nat) : nat -> Prop :=
| le_n : le n n
| le_S : forall m:nat, n <= m -> n <= (S m)
where "n <= m" := (le n m) : nat_scope.
Definition lt (n m:nat) := S n <= m.
Definition ge (n m:nat) := m <= n.
Definition gt (n m:nat) := m < n.
```

Properties of these relations are not initially known, but may be required by the user from modules Le and Lt. Finally, Peano gives some lemmas allowing pattern matching, and a double induction principle.

```
Theorem nat_case :
    forall (n:nat) (P:nat -> Prop),
    P 0 -> (forall m:nat, P (S m)) -> P n.
Theorem nat_double_ind :
    forall R:nat -> nat -> Prop,
        (forall n:nat, R 0 n) ->
        (forall n:nat, R (S n) 0) ->
        (forall n m:nat, R n m <> R (S n) (S m)) -> forall n m:nat, R n m.
```


## Well-founded recursion

The basic library contains the basics of well-founded recursion and well-founded induction, in module $\mathrm{Wf} . \mathrm{v}$.

```
Section Well_founded.
Variable A : Type.
Variable R : A -> A -> Prop.
Inductive Acc (x:A) : Prop :=
    Acc_intro: (forall y:A, R y x -> Acc y) -> Acc x.
Lemma Acc_inv x : Acc x -> forall y:A, R y x -> Acc y.
Definition well_founded := forall a:A, Acc a.
Hypothesis Rwf : well_founded.
Theorem well_founded_induction :
    forall P:A -> Set,
```

```
    (forall x:A, (forall y:A, R y x -> P y) -> P x) -> forall a:A, P a.
Theorem well_founded_ind :
    forall P:A -> Prop,
        (forall x:A, (forall y:A, R y x -> P y) -> P x) -> forall a:A, P a.
```

The automatically generated scheme Acc_rect can be used to define functions by fixpoints using well-founded relations to justify termination. Assuming extensionality of the functional used for the recursive call, the fixpoint equation can be proved.

```
Section FixPoint.
Variable P : A -> Type.
Variable F : forall x:A, (forall y:A, R y x -> P y) -> P x.
Fixpoint Fix_F (x:A) (r:Acc x) {struct r} : P x :=
    Fx (fun (y:A) (p:R y x) => Fix_F y (Acc_inv x r y p)).
Definition Fix (x:A) := Fix_F x (Rwf x).
Hypothesis F_ext :
    forall (x:A) (f g:forall y:A, R y x -> P y),
            (forall (y:A) (p:R y x), f y p = g y p) -> F x f = F x g.
Lemma Fix_F_eq :
    forall (x:A) (r:Acc x),
        F x (fun (y:A) (p:R y x) => Fix_F y (Acc_inv x r y p)) = Fix_F x r.
Lemma Fix_F_inv : forall (x:A) (r s:Acc x), Fix_F x r = Fix_F x s.
Lemma Fix_eq : forall x:A, Fix x = F x (fun (y:A) (p:R y x) => Fix y).
End FixPoint.
End Well_founded.
```


## Accessing the Type level

The standard library includes Type level definitions of counterparts of some logic concepts and basic lemmas about them.
The module Datatypes defines identity, which is the Type level counterpart of equality:

```
Inductive identity (A:Type) (a:A) : A -> Type :=
    identity_refl : identity A a a.
```

Some properties of identity are proved in the module Logic_Type, which also provides the definition of Type level negation:

```
Definition notT (A:Type) := A -> False.
```


## Tactics

A few tactics defined at the user level are provided in the initial state, in module Tactics.v. They are listed at https: //coq.inria.fr/stdlib/, in paragraph Init, link Tactics.

## The standard library

## Survey

The rest of the standard library is structured into the following subdirectories:

- Logic : Classical logic and dependent equality
- Arith : Basic Peano arithmetic
- PArith : Basic positive integer arithmetic
- NArith : Basic binary natural number arithmetic
- ZArith : Basic relative integer arithmetic
- Numbers : Various approaches to natural, integer and cyclic numbers (currently axiomatically and on top of 2^31 binary words)
- Bool : Booleans (basic functions and results)
- Lists : Monomorphic and polymorphic lists (basic functions and results), Streams (infinite sequences defined with coinductive types)
- Sets : Sets (classical, constructive, finite, infinite, power set, etc.)
- FSets : Specification and implementations of finite sets and finite maps (by lists and by AVL trees)
- Reals : Axiomatization of real numbers (classical, basic functions, integer part, fractional part, limit, derivative, Cauchy series, power series and results,...)
- Floats : Machine implementation of floating-point arithmetic (for the binary64 format)
- Relations : Relations (definitions and basic results)
- Sorting : Sorted list (basic definitions and heapsort correctness)
- Strings : 8-bits characters and strings
- Wellfounded : Well-founded relations (basic results)

These directories belong to the initial load path of the system, and the modules they provide are compiled at installation time. So they are directly accessible with the command Require (see Section Compiled files).
The different modules of the Coq standard library are documented online at https://coq.inria.fr/stdlib/.

## Peano's arithmetic (nat)

While in the initial state, many operations and predicates of Peano's arithmetic are defined, further operations and results belong to other modules. For instance, the decidability of the basic predicates are defined here. This is provided by requiring the module Arith.

The following table describes the notations available in scope nat_scope :

| Notation | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-<-$ | lt |
| $-<=-$ | le |
| $->-$ | gt |
| $->=-$ | ge |
| $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ |
| $-{ }^{+}-$ | plus |
| $-{ }^{-}-$ | minus |
| $-{ }^{*}-$ | mult |

## Notations for integer arithmetic

The following table describes the syntax of expressions for integer arithmetic. It is provided by requiring and opening the module ZArith and opening scope Z_scope. It specifies how notations are interpreted and, when not already reserved, the precedence and associativity.

| Notation | Interpretation | Precedence | Associativity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _ < _ | Z.lt |  |  |
| _ <= _ | Z.le |  |  |
| _ ${ }^{>}$- | Z.gt |  |  |
| - > $=$ - | Z.ge |  |  |
| $x<y<z$ | $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ |  |  |
| $x<y<=z$ | $x<y / \backslash y<=z$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ | $x<=y / \backslash y<z$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y}$ <= z | $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ |  |  |
| - ? $=$ - | Z. compare | 70 | no |
| - ${ }^{+}$ | Z.add |  |  |
| - ${ }^{-}$- | Z.sub |  |  |
| - * _ | Z.mul |  |  |
| - / - | Z.div |  |  |
| _ mod _ | Z.modulo | 40 | no |
| - _ | Z.opp |  |  |
| $-{ }^{\wedge}$ - | Z.pow |  |  |

## Example

Require Import ZArith.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [Loading ML file ring_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) . . . done] } \\
& \text { [Loading ML file zify_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done] } \\
& \text { [Loading ML file micromega_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Check $(2+3) \% Z$.
$(2+3) \div Z$
: Z

Open Scope Z_scope.
Check $2+3$.

## Real numbers library

## Notations for real numbers

This is provided by requiring and opening the module Reals and opening scope $R \_s c o p e$. This set of notations is very similar to the notation for integer arithmetic. The inverse function was added.

| Notation | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: |
| < | Rlt |
| <= | Rle |
| _ ${ }^{>}$- | Rgt |
| _ > ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ - | Rge |
| $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<\mathrm{z}$ |
| $\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ | $x<y / \backslash y<=z$ |
| $x<=y<z$ | $x<=y / \backslash y<z$ |
| $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{x}<=\mathrm{y} / \backslash \mathrm{y}<=\mathrm{z}$ |
| - + | Rplus |
| - | Rminus |
| - * | Rmult |
| - 1 - | Rdiv |
| - - | Ropp |
| 1 - | Rinv |
| $-{ }^{\wedge}-$ | pow |

## Example

```
Require Import Reals.
Check (2 + 3) %R.
    (2+3)%R
        : R
Open Scope R_scope.
Check 2 + 3.
    2+3
        : R
```


## Some tactics for real numbers

In addition to the powerful ring, field and lra tactics (see Chapter Tactics), there are also:

## Tactic: discre

Proves that two real integer constants are different.

## Example

```
Require Import DiscrR.
Open Scope R_scope.
Goal 5 <> 0.
    1 goal
        ==============================
        5 <> 0
```

discrR.

Tactic: split_Rabs
Allows unfolding the Rabs constant and splits corresponding conjunctions.

## Example

```
Require Import Reals.
Open Scope R_scope.
Goal forall x:R, x <= Rabs x.
    1 goal
        =============================
        forall x : R, x <= Rabs x
intro; split_Rabs.
    2 goals
        x : R
        Hlt : x < 0
        ============================
        x <= - x
    goal 2 is:
        x <= x
```


## Tactic: split_Rmult

Splits a condition that a product is non-null into subgoals corresponding to the condition on each operand of the product.

## Example

```
Require Import Reals.
Open Scope R_scope.
Goal forall x y z:R, x * y * z <> 0.
    1 goal
```

```
        ===========================
        forall x y z : R, x * y * z <> 0
intros; split_Rmult.
    3 goals
        x, y, z : R
        =============================
        x <> 0
    goal 2 is:
        y <> 0
    goal 3 is:
    z <> 0
```


## List library

Some elementary operations on polymorphic lists are defined here. They can be accessed by requiring module List.
It defines the following notions:

- length
- head : first element (with default)
- tail : all but first element
- app : concatenation
- rev : reverse
- nth: accessing n-th element (with default)
- map : applying a function
- flat_map : applying a function returning lists
- fold_left : iterator (from head to tail)
- fold_right : iterator (from tail to head)

The following table shows notations available when opening scope list_scope.

| Notation | Interpretation | Precedence | Associativity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -++- | app | 60 | right |
| $-::-$ | cons | 60 | right |

## Floats library

The library of primitive floating-point arithmetic can be loaded by requiring module Floats:

```
Require Import Floats.
```

It exports the module PrimFloat that provides a primitive type named float, defined in the kernel (see section Primitive Floats), as well as two variant types float_comparison and float_class:

```
Print float.
    *** [ float : Set ]
Print float_comparison.
    Variant float_comparison : Set :=
        FEq : float_comparison
        | FLt : float_comparison
        | FGt : float_comparison
        | FNotComparable : float_comparison.
Print float_class.
    Variant float_class : Set :=
        PNormal : float_class
        | NNormal : float_class
        | PSubn : float_class
        | NSubn : float_class
        | PZero : float_class
        | NZero : float_class
        | PInf : float_class
        | NInf : float_class
        | NaN : float_class.
```

It then defines the primitive operators below, using the processor floating-point operators for binary64 in rounding-tonearest even:

- abs
- opp
- sub
- add
- mul
- div
- sqrt
- compare : compare two floats and return a float_comparison
- classify: analyze a float and return a float_class
- of_int 63 : round a primitive integer and convert it into a float
- normfr_mantissa: take a float in $[0.5 ; 1.0)$ and return its mantissa
- frshiftexp : convert a float to fractional part in [0.5; 1.0) and integer part
- ldshiftexp : multiply a float by an integral power of 2
- next_up : return the next float towards positive infinity
- next_down : return the next float towards negative infinity

For special floating-point values, the following constants are also defined:

- zero
- neg_zero
- one
- two
- infinity
- neg_infinity
- nan : Not a Number (assumed to be unique: the "payload" of NaNs is ignored)

The following table shows the notations available when opening scope float_scope.

| Notation | Interpretation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-{ }^{-}-$ | opp |
| $-{ }^{-}-$ | sub |
| $-{ }^{+}-$ | add |
| $-{ }^{\star}-$ | mul |
| $-{ }_{l}-$ | div |
| $-=?-$ | eqb |
| $-<?-$ | ltb |
| $-<=?-$ | leb |
| $-?=-$ | compare |

Floating-point constants are parsed and pretty-printed as (17-digit) decimal constants. This ensures that the composition parse $\circ$ print amounts to the identity.

Warning: The constant number is not a binary64 floating-point value. A closest value number
Not all decimal constants are floating-point values. This warning is generated when parsing such a constant (for instance 0.1).

## Flag: Printing Float

Turn this flag off (it is on by default) to deactivate decimal printing of floating-point constants. They will then be printed with an hexadecimal representation.

## Example

```
Open Scope float_scope.
Eval compute in 1 + 0.5.
    = 1.5
        : float
Eval compute in 1 / 0.
    = infinity
        : float
Eval compute in 1 / -0.
    = neg_infinity
        : float
Eval compute in 0 / 0.
    = nan
        : float
```

```
Eval compute in 0 ?= -0.
    = FEq
        : float_comparison
Eval compute in nan ?= nan.
    = FNotComparable
        : float_comparison
Eval compute in next_down (-1).
    = -1.00000000000000002
        : float
```

The primitive operators are specified with respect to their Gallina counterpart, using the variant type spec_float, and the injection Prim2SF:

```
Print spec_float.
    Variant spec_float : Set :=
        S754_zero : bool -> spec_float
        | S754_infinity : bool -> spec_float
        | S754_nan : spec_float
        | S754_finite : bool -> positive -> Z -> spec_float.
    Arguments S754_zero s%bool_scope
    Arguments S754_infinity s%bool_scope
    Arguments S754_finite s%bool_scope m%positive_scope e%Z_scope
Check Prim2SF.
    Prim2SF
        : float -> spec_float
Check mul_spec.
    mul_spec
        : forall x y : float, Prim2SF (x * y) = SF64mul (Prim2SF x) (Prim2SF y)
```

For more details on the available definitions and lemmas, see the online documentation of the Floats library.

## Users' contributions

Numerous users' contributions have been collected and are available at URL https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/. On this web page, you have a list of all contributions with informations (author, institution, quick description, etc.) and the possibility to download them one by one. You will also find informations on how to submit a new contribution.

### 4.1.2 Program extraction

## Authors Jean-Christophe Filliâtre and Pierre Letouzey

We present here the Coq extraction commands, used to build certified and relatively efficient functional programs, extracting them from either Coq functions or Coq proofs of specifications. The functional languages available as output are currently OCaml, Haskell and Scheme. In the following, "ML" will be used (abusively) to refer to any of the three.
Before using any of the commands or options described in this chapter, the extraction framework should first be loaded explicitly via Require Extraction, or via the more robust From Coq Require Extraction. Note that in earlier versions of Coq, these commands and options were directly available without any preliminary Require.

Require Extraction.

## Generating ML Code

Note: In the following, a qualified identifier qualid can be used to refer to any kind of Coq global "object" : constant, inductive type, inductive constructor or module name.

The next two commands are meant to be used for rapid preview of extraction. They both display extracted term(s) inside Coq.

## Command: Extraction qualid

Extraction of the mentioned object in the Coq toplevel.
Command: Recursive Extraction qualid ${ }^{+}$
Recursive extraction of all the mentioned objects and all their dependencies in the Coq toplevel.
All the following commands produce real ML files. User can choose to produce one monolithic file or one file per Coq library.
Command: Extraction string qualid ${ }^{+}$
Recursive extraction of all the mentioned objects and all their dependencies in one monolithic file string. Global and local identifiers are renamed according to the chosen ML language to fulfill its syntactic conventions, keeping original names as much as possible.

Command: Extraction Library ident
Extraction of the whole Coq library ident.v to an ML module ident.ml. In case of name clash, identifiers are here renamed using prefixes coq_ or Coq_ to ensure a session-independent renaming.

## Command: Recursive Extraction Library ident

Extraction of the Coq library ident. v and all other modules ident. v depends on.

## Command: Separate Extraction qualid ${ }^{+}$

Recursive extraction of all the mentioned objects and all their dependencies, just as Extraction string qualid $^{+}$, but instead of producing one monolithic file, this command splits the produced code in separate ML files, one per corresponding Coq $\cdot \mathrm{v}$ file. This command is hence quite similar to Recursive Extraction Library, except that only the needed parts of Coq libraries are extracted instead of the whole. The naming convention in case of name clash is the same one as Extraction Library: identifiers are here renamed using prefixes coq_or $\mathrm{Coq}_{\text {_ }}$.
The following command is meant to help automatic testing of the extraction, see for instance the test-suite directory in the Coq sources.

Command: Extraction TestCompile qualid ${ }^{+}$
All the mentioned objects and all their dependencies are extracted to a temporary OCaml file, just as in Extraction "file". Then this temporary file and its signature are compiled with the same OCaml compiler used to built Coq. This command succeeds only if the extraction and the OCaml compilation succeed. It fails if the current target language of the extraction is not OCaml.
Command: Show Extraction

## Extraction Options

## Setting the target language

## Command: Extraction Language language

| language | $::=$ | OCaml |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mid$ | Haskell |  |
|  |  | Scheme |  |
|  |  |  | JSON |

The ability to fix target language is the first and most important of the extraction options. Default is OCaml.
The JSON output is mostly for development or debugging: it contains the raw ML term produced as an intermediary target.

## Inlining and optimizations

Since OCaml is a strict language, the extracted code has to be optimized in order to be efficient (for instance, when using induction principles we do not want to compute all the recursive calls but only the needed ones). So the extraction mechanism provides an automatic optimization routine that will be called each time the user wants to generate an OCaml program. The optimizations can be split in two groups: the type-preserving ones (essentially constant inlining and reductions) and the non-type-preserving ones (some function abstractions of dummy types are removed when it is deemed safe in order to have more elegant types). Therefore some constants may not appear in the resulting monolithic OCaml program. In the case of modular extraction, even if some inlining is done, the inlined constants are nevertheless printed, to ensure session-independent programs.

Concerning Haskell, type-preserving optimizations are less useful because of laziness. We still make some optimizations, for example in order to produce more readable code.

The type-preserving optimizations are controlled by the following Coq flags and commands:

## Flag: Extraction Optimize

Default is on. This flag controls all type-preserving optimizations made on the ML terms (mostly reduction of dummy beta/iota redexes, but also simplifications on Cases, etc). Turn this flag off if you want a ML term as close as possible to the Coq term.

## Flag: Extraction Conservative Types

Default is off. This flag controls the non-type-preserving optimizations made on ML terms (which try to avoid function abstraction of dummy types). Turn this flag on to make sure that $e: t$ implies that $e^{\prime}: t$ ' where $e^{\prime}$ and $t$ ' are the extracted code of $e$ and $t$ respectively.

## Flag: Extraction KeepSingleton

Default is off. Normally, when the extraction of an inductive type produces a singleton type (i.e. a type with only one constructor, and only one argument to this constructor), the inductive structure is removed and this type is seen as an alias to the inner type. The typical example is sig. This flag allows disabling this optimization when one wishes to preserve the inductive structure of types.

## Flag: Extraction AutoInline

Default is on. The extraction mechanism inlines the bodies of some defined constants, according to some heuristics like size of bodies, uselessness of some arguments, etc. Those heuristics are not always perfect; if you want to disable this feature, turn this flag off.

Command: Extraction Inline qualid ${ }^{+}$
In addition to the automatic inline feature, the constants mentioned by this command will always be inlined during extraction.

## Command: Extraction NoInline qualid ${ }^{+}$

Conversely, the constants mentioned by this command will never be inlined during extraction.

## Command: Print Extraction Inline

Prints the current state of the table recording the custom inlinings declared by the two previous commands.

## Command: Reset Extraction Inline

Empties the table recording the custom inlinings (see the previous commands).

## Inlining and printing of a constant declaration:

The user can explicitly ask for a constant to be extracted by two means:

- by mentioning it on the extraction command line
- by extracting the whole Coq module of this constant.

In both cases, the declaration of this constant will be present in the produced file. But this same constant may or may not be inlined in the following terms, depending on the automatic/custom inlining mechanism.

For the constants non-explicitly required but needed for dependency reasons, there are two cases:

- If an inlining decision is taken, whether automatically or not, all occurrences of this constant are replaced by its extracted body, and this constant is not declared in the generated file.
- If no inlining decision is taken, the constant is normally declared in the produced file.


## Extra elimination of useless arguments

The following command provides some extra manual control on the code elimination performed during extraction, in a way which is independent but complementary to the main elimination principles of extraction (logical parts and types).

Command: Extraction Implicit qualid [ ident integer ${ }^{\text {* }}$ ]
Declares some arguments of qualid as implicit, meaning that they are useless in extracted code. The extracted code will omit these arguments. Here qualid can be any function or inductive constructor, and the idents are the names of the useless arguments. Arguments can can also be identified positionally by integers starting from 1.

When an actual extraction takes place, an error is normally raised if the Extraction Implicit declarations cannot be honored, that is if any of the implicit arguments still occurs in the final code. This behavior can be relaxed via the following flag:

## Flag: Extraction SafeImplicits

Default is on. When this flag is off, a warning is emitted instead of an error if some implicit arguments still occur in the final code of an extraction. This way, the extracted code may be obtained nonetheless and reviewed manually to locate the source of the issue (in the code, some comments mark the location of these remaining implicit arguments). Note that this extracted code might not compile or run properly, depending of the use of these remaining implicit arguments.

## Realizing axioms

Extraction will fail if it encounters an informative axiom not realized. A warning will be issued if it encounters a logical axiom, to remind the user that inconsistent logical axioms may lead to incorrect or non-terminating extracted terms.

It is possible to assume some axioms while developing a proof. Since these axioms can be any kind of proposition or object or type, they may perfectly well have some computational content. But a program must be a closed term, and of course the system cannot guess the program which realizes an axiom. Therefore, it is possible to tell the system what ML term corresponds to a given axiom.
Command: Extract Constant qualid string tv $^{*}{ }^{*}=>$ ident string
Give an ML extraction for the given constant.
string ${ }_{t v}$ If the type scheme axiom is an arity (a sequence of products followed by a sort), then some type variables have to be given (as quoted strings).

The number of type variables is checked by the system. For example:
Axiom Y : Set -> Set -> Set.
Extract Constant $Y$ "'a" "'b" => " 'a * 'b ".
Command: Extract Inlined Constant qualid => ident string
Same as the previous one, except that the given ML terms will be inlined everywhere instead of being declared via a let.

Note: This command is sugar for an Extract Constant followed by axtraction Inline. Hence a Reset Extraction Inline will have an effect on the realized and inlined axiom.

Caution: It is the responsibility of the user to ensure that the ML terms given to realize the axioms do have the expected types. In fact, the strings containing realizing code are just copied to the extracted files. The extraction recognizes whether the realized axiom should become a ML type constant or a ML object declaration. For example:

```
Axiom X:Set.
Axiom x:X.
Extract Constant X => "int".
Extract Constant x => "0".
```

Realizing an axiom via Extract Constant is only useful in the case of an informative axiom (of sort Type or Set). A logical axiom has no computational content and hence will not appear in extracted terms. But a warning is nonetheless issued if extraction encounters a logical axiom. This warning reminds user that inconsistent logical axioms may lead to incorrect or non-terminating extracted terms.
If an informative axiom has not been realized before an extraction, a warning is also issued and the definition of the axiom is filled with an exception labeled AXIOM TO BE REALIZED. The user must then search these exceptions inside the extracted file and replace them by real code.

## Realizing inductive types

The system also provides a mechanism to specify ML terms for inductive types and constructors. For instance, the user may want to use the ML native boolean type instead of the Coq one. The syntax is the following:


Give an ML extraction for the given inductive type. You must specify extractions for the type itself (the initial | ident | string $)$ and all its constructors (the [ ident | string ${ }^{*}$ ]). In this form, the ML extrac- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| id |  |  | tion must be an ML inductive datatype, and the native pattern matching of the language will be used.

When the initial ident string matches the name of the type of characters or strings (char and string for OCaml, Prelude. Char and Prelude. String for Haskell), extraction of literals is handled in a specialized way, so as to generate literals in the target language. This feature requires the type designated by qualid to be registered as the standard char or string type, using the Register command.
string match Indicates how to perform pattern matching over this inductive type. In this form, the ML extraction could be an arbitrary type. For an inductive type with $k$ constructors, the function used to emulate the pattern matching should expect $k+1$ arguments, first the $k$ branches in functional form, and then the inductive element to destruct. For instance, the match branch | $\mathrm{S} n=>$ foo gives the functional form (fun $n \rightarrow f \circ o$ ). Note that a constructor with no arguments is considered to have one unit argument, in order to block early evaluation of the branch: | $0=>$ bar leads to the functional form (fun () $->$ bar). For instance, when extracting nat into OCaml int, the code to be provided has type: (unit->'a) -> (int->'a) ->int->'a.

Caution: As for Extract Constant, this command should be used with care:

- The ML code provided by the user is currently not checked at all by extraction, even for syntax errors.
- Extracting an inductive type to a pre-existing ML inductive type is quite sound. But extracting to a general type (by providing an ad-hoc pattern matching) will often not be fully rigorously correct. For instance, when extracting nat to OCaml int, it is theoretically possible to build nat values that are larger than OCaml max_int. It is the user's responsibility to be sure that no overflow or other bad events occur in practice.
- Translating an inductive type to an arbitrary ML type does not magically improve the asymptotic complexity of functions, even if the ML type is an efficient representation. For instance, when extracting nat to OCaml int, the function Nat . mul stays quadratic. It might be interesting to associate this translation with some specific Extract Constant when primitive counterparts exist.

Typical examples are the following:

```
Extract Inductive unit => "unit" [ "()" ].
Extract Inductive bool => "bool" [ "true" "false" ].
Extract Inductive sumbool => "bool" [ "true" "false" ].
```

Note: When extracting to OCaml, if an inductive constructor or type has arity 2 and the corresponding string is enclosed by parentheses, and the string meets OCaml's lexical criteria for an infix symbol, then the rest of the string is used as an infix constructor or type.

```
Extract Inductive list => "list" [ "[]" "(::)" ].
Extract Inductive prod => "(*)" [ "(,)" ].
```

As an example of translation to a non-inductive datatype, let's turn nat into OCaml int (see caveat above):

```
Extract Inductive nat => int [ "0" "succ" ] "(fun fO fS n -> if n=0 then fO () elsev
    \hookrightarrowfS (n-1))".
```


## Avoiding conflicts with existing filenames

When using Extraction Library, the names of the extracted files directly depend on the names of the Coq files. It may happen that these filenames are in conflict with already existing files, either in the standard library of the target language or in other code that is meant to be linked with the extracted code. For instance the module List exists both in Coq and in OCaml. It is possible to instruct the extraction not to use particular filenames.

## Command: Extraction Blacklist ident ${ }^{+}$

Instruct the extraction to avoid using these names as filenames for extracted code.

## Command: Print Extraction Blacklist

Show the current list of filenames the extraction should avoid.

## Command: Reset Extraction Blacklist

Allow the extraction to use any filename.
For OCaml, a typical use of these commands is Extraction Blacklist String List.

## Additional settings

Option: Extraction File Comment string
This option provides a comment that is included at the beginning of the output files.
Option: Extraction Flag natural
This option controls which optimizations are used during extraction, providing a finer-grained control than Extraction Optimize. The bits of natural are used as a bit mask. Keeping an option off keeps the extracted ML more similar to the Coq term. Values are:

| Bit | Value | Optimization (default is on unless noted otherwise) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | Remove local dummy variables |
| 1 | 2 | Use special treatment for fixpoints |
| 2 | 4 | Simplify case with iota-redux |
| 3 | 8 | Factor case branches as functions |
| 4 | 16 | (not available, default false) |
| 5 | 32 | Simplify case as function of one argument |
| 6 | 64 | Simplify case by swapping case and lambda |
| 7 | 128 | Some case optimization |
| 8 | 256 | Push arguments inside a letin |
| 9 | 512 | Use linear let reduction (default false) |
| 10 | 1024 | Use linear beta reduction (default false) |

## Flag: Extraction TypeExpand

If this flag is set, fully expand Coq types in ML. See the Coq source code to learn more.

## Differences between Coq and ML type systems

Due to differences between Coq and ML type systems, some extracted programs are not directly typable in ML. We now solve this problem (at least in OCaml) by adding when needed some unsafe casting Obj.magic, which give a generic type ' a to any term.

First, if some part of the program is very polymorphic, there may be no ML type for it. In that case the extraction to ML works alright but the generated code may be refused by the ML type checker. A very well known example is the distr-pair function:

Definition dp \{A B:Type\}(x:A) (y:B) (f:forall C:Type, C->C) :=(f A $x, f B y)$.

In OCaml, for instance, the direct extracted term would be:

```
let dp x y f = Pair((f () x),(f () y))
```

and would have type:

```
dp : 'a -> 'a -> (unit -> 'a -> 'b) -> ('b,'b) prod
```

which is not its original type, but a restriction.
We now produce the following correct version:

```
let dp x y f = Pair ((Obj.magic f () x), (Obj.magic f () y))
```

Secondly, some Coq definitions may have no counterpart in ML. This happens when there is a quantification over types inside the type of a constructor; for example:

```
Inductive anything : Type := dummy : forall A:Set, A -> anything.
```

which corresponds to the definition of an ML dynamic type. In OCaml, we must cast any argument of the constructor dummy (no GADT are produced yet by the extraction).

Even with those unsafe castings, you should never get error like segmentation fault. In fact even if your program may seem ill-typed to the OCaml type checker, it can't go wrong : it comes from a Coq well-typed terms, so for example inductive types will always have the correct number of arguments, etc. Of course, when launching manually some extracted function, you should apply it to arguments of the right shape (from the Coq point-of-view).
More details about the correctness of the extracted programs can be found in [Let02].
We have to say, though, that in most "realistic" programs, these problems do not occur. For example all the programs of Coq library are accepted by the OCaml type checker without any Obj.magic (see examples below).

## Some examples

We present here two examples of extraction, taken from the Coq Standard Library. We choose OCaml as the target language, but everything, with slight modifications, can also be done in the other languages supported by extraction. We then indicate where to find other examples and tests of extraction.

## A detailed example: Euclidean division

The file Euclid contains the proof of Euclidean division. The natural numbers used here are unary, represented by the type nat, which is defined by two constructors $O$ and $S$. This module contains a theorem eucl_dev, whose type is:

```
forall b:nat, b > 0 -> forall a:nat, diveucl a b
```

where diveucl is a type for the pair of the quotient and the modulo, plus some logical assertions that disappear during extraction. We can now extract this program to OCaml:

```
Require Extraction.
Require Import Euclid Wf_nat.
Extraction Inline gt_wf_rec lt_wf_rec induction_ltof2.
Recursive Extraction eucl_dev.
    type nat =
    | O
    | S of nat
    type sumbool =
    | Left
    | Right
    (** val sub : nat -> nat -> nat **)
    let rec sub n m =
        match n with
        | O -> n
        | S k -> (match m with
            | O -> n
            | S l -> sub k l)
    (** val le_lt_dec : nat -> nat -> sumbool **)
    let rec le_lt_dec n m =
        match n with
        | O -> Left
        | S n0 -> (match m with
                                    | O -> Right
                                    | S n1 -> le_lt_dec n0 n1)
    (** val le_gt_dec : nat -> nat -> sumbool **)
    let le_gt_dec =
        le_lt_dec
    type diveucl =
        | Divex of nat * nat
        (** val eucl_dev : nat -> nat -> diveucl **)
    let rec eucl_dev n m =
        let }s=le_gt_dec n m in
        (match s with
            | Left ->
            let d = let }y=sub m n in eucl_dev n y in
            let Divex (q, r) = d in Divex (( S q), r)
            | Right -> Divex (O, m))
```

The inlining of $g t \_w f \_r e c$ and others is not mandatory. It only enhances readability of extracted code. You can then copy-paste the output to a file euclid.ml or let Coq do it for you with the following command:

Extraction "euclid" eucl_dev.

Let us play the resulting program (in an OCaml toplevel):

```
#use "euclid.ml";;
type nat = O | S of nat
type sumbool = Left | Right
val sub : nat -> nat -> nat = <fun>
val le_lt_dec : nat -> nat -> sumbool = <fun>
val le_gt_dec : nat -> nat -> sumbool = <fun>
type diveucl = Divex of nat * nat
val eucl_dev : nat -> nat -> diveucl = <fun>
# eucl_dev (S (S O)) (S (S (S (S (S O)))));;
- : diveucl = Divex (S (S O), S O)
```

It is easier to test on OCaml integers:

```
# let rec nat_of_int = function 0 -> O | n -> S (nat_of_int (n-1)); ;
val nat_of_int : int -> nat = <fun>
# let rec int_of_nat = function O >> 0 | S p -> 1+(int_of_nat p);;
val int_of_nat : nat -> int = <fun>
# let div a b =
    let Divex (q,r) = eucl_dev (nat_of_int b) (nat_of_int a)
    in (int_of_nat q, int_of_nat r);;
val div : int -> int -> int * int = <fun>
# div 173 15;;
- : int * int = (11, 8)
```

Note that these nat_of_int and int_of_nat are now available via a mere Require Import ExtrocamlIntconv and then adding these functions to the list of functions to extract. This file ExtrOcamlIntConv.v and some others in plugins/extraction/ are meant to help building concrete program via extraction.

## Extraction's horror museum

Some pathological examples of extraction are grouped in the file test-suite/success/extraction.v of the sources of Coq.

## Users' Contributions

Several of the Coq Users' Contributions use extraction to produce certified programs. In particular the following ones have an automatic extraction test:

- additions: https://github.com/coq-contribs/additions
- bdds : https://github.com/coq-contribs/bdds
- canon-bdds : https://github.com/coq-contribs/canon-bdds
- chinese : https://github.com/coq-contribs/chinese
- continuations: https://github.com/coq-contribs/continuations
- coq-in-coq: https://github.com/coq-contribs/coq-in-coq
- exceptions : https://github.com/coq-contribs/exceptions
- firing-squad: https://github.com/coq-contribs/firing-squad
- founify: https://github.com/coq-contribs/founify
- graphs : https://github.com/coq-contribs/graphs
- higman-cf : https://github.com/coq-contribs/higman-cf
- higman-nw : https://github.com/coq-contribs/higman-nw
- hardware : https://github.com/coq-contribs/hardware
- multiplier: https://github.com/coq-contribs/multiplier
- search-trees : https://github.com/coq-contribs/search-trees
- stalmarck : https://github.com/coq-contribs/stalmarck

Note that continuations and multiplier are a bit particular. They are examples of developments where Obj. magic is needed. This is probably due to a heavy use of impredicativity. After compilation, those two examples run nonetheless, thanks to the correction of the extraction [Let02].

### 4.1.3 Program derivation

Coq comes with an extension called Derive, which supports program derivation. Typically in the style of Bird and Meertens or derivations of program refinements. To use the Derive extension it must first be required with Require Coq. derive.Derive. When the extension is loaded, it provides the following command:

Command: Derive ident $1_{1}$ SuchThat one_term As ident ${ }_{2}$ $i^{d} \mathrm{lent}_{1}$ can appear in one_term. This command opens a new proof presenting the user with a goal for one_term in which the name ident $_{1}$ is bound to an existential variable ?x (formally, there are other goals standing for the existential variables but they are shelved, as described in shelve).

When the proof ends two constants are defined:

- The first one is named ident ${ }_{1}$ and is defined as the proof of the shelved goal (which is also the value of ? x). It is always transparent.
- The second one is named ident ${ }_{2}$. It has type type, and its body is the proof of the initially visible goal. It is opaque if the proof ends with Qed, and transparent if the proof ends with Defined.

```
Example
Require Coq.derive.Derive.
    [Loading ML file derive_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
Require Import Coq.Numbers.Natural.Peano.NPeano.
Section P.
Variables (n m k:nat).
    n is declared
    m is declared
    k is declared
```

```
Derive p SuchThat ((k*n)+(k*m) = p) As h.
    1 focused goal (shelved: 1)
        n, m, k : nat
        p := ?Goal : nat
        ==============================
        k * n + k * m = p
Proof.
rewrite <- Nat.mul_add_distr_l.
    1 focused goal (shelved: 1)
        n, m, k : nat
        p := ?Goal : nat
        =============================
        k * (n +m) = p
subst p.
    1 \text { focused goal (shelved: 1)}
        n, m, k : nat
        =============================
        k * (n +m) = ?Goal
reflexivity.
    No more goals.
Qed.
End P.
Print p.
    p = fun n m k : nat => k * (n + m)
        : nat -> nat -> nat -> nat
    Arguments p (n m k)%nat_scope
Check h.
    h
        : forall n m k : nat, k * n + k * m = p n m k
```

Any property can be used as term, not only an equation. In particular, it could be an order relation specifying some form of program refinement or a non-executable property from which deriving a program is convenient.

### 4.1.4 Functional induction

Note: The functional induction (FunInd) plugin is legacy functionality. For new code and new projects, we recommend Equations ${ }^{58}$, a more powerful plugin that provides most of FunInd's features. It can be installed through the Coq Platform ${ }^{59}$. Refer to the Equations documentation ${ }^{60}$ to learn more. FunInd is not deprecated and not planned for removal yet because porting code from FunInd to Equations can be difficult (due to differences in the generated induction principles).

## Advanced recursive functions

The following command is available when the Fun Ind library has been loaded via Require Import Fun Ind:
Command: Function fix_definition with fix_definition ${ }^{\star}$
This command is a generalization of Fixpoint. It is a wrapper for several ways of defining a function and other useful related objects, namely: an induction principle that reflects the recursive structure of the function (see functional induction) and its fixpoint equality. This defines a function similar to those defined by Fixpoint. As in Fixpoint, the decreasing argument must be given (unless the function is not recursive), but it might not necessarily be structurally decreasing. Use the fixannot clause to name the decreasing argument and to describe which kind of decreasing criteria to use to ensure termination of recursive calls.
Function also supports the with clause to create mutually recursive definitions, however this feature is limited to structurally recursive functions (i.e. when fixannot is a struct clause).
See functional induction and Functional Scheme for how to use the induction principle to reason easily about the function.

The form of the fixannot clause determines which definition mechanism Function uses. (Note that references to ident below refer to the name of the function being defined.):

- If fixannot is not specified, Function defines the nonrecursive function $i d e n t$ as if it was declared with Definition. In addition, the following are defined:
- ident_rect, ident_rec and ident_ind, which reflect the pattern matching structure of term (see Inductive);
- The inductive $\mathbf{R}_{\text {_ }}$ ident corresponding to the graph of ident (silently);
- ident_complete and ident_correct which are inversion information linking the function and its graph.
- If $\{$ struct . . . \} is specified, Function defines the structural recursive function ident as if it was declared with Fixpoint. In addition, the following are defined:
- The same objects as above;
- The fixpoint equation of ident: ident_equation.
- If $\{$ measure ... \} or $\{\mathbf{w f} .$. \} are specified, Function defines a recursive function by wellfounded recursion. The module Recdef of the standard library must be loaded for this feature.
- \{measure one_term ${ }_{1}$ ident ${ }^{\text {? }}$ one_term ${ }^{?}{ }^{?}$ \}: where ident is the decreasing argument and one_term ${ }_{1}$ is a function from the type of $i d e n t$ to nat for which the decreasing argument decreases (for the $1 t$ order on nat) for each recursive call of the function. The parameters of the function are bound in one_term ${ }_{1}$.

[^26]- \{wf one_term ident \}: where ident is the decreasing argument and one_termis an ordering relation on the type of ident (i.e. of type $\mathrm{T}_{\text {ident }} \rightarrow \mathrm{T}_{\text {ident }} \rightarrow$ Prop) for which the decreasing argument decreases for each recursive call of the function. The order must be well-founded. The parameters of the function are bound in one_term.
If the clause is measure or $w f$, the user is left with some proof obligations that will be used to define the function. These proofs are: proofs that each recursive call is actually decreasing with respect to the given criteria, and (if the criteria is wf ) a proof that the ordering relation is well-founded. Once proof obligations are discharged, the following objects are defined:
- The same objects as with the struct clause;
- The lemma ident_tcc which collects all proof obligations in one property;
- The lemmas ident_terminate and ident_F which will be inlined during extraction of ident.

The way this recursive function is defined is the subject of several papers by Yves Bertot and Antonia Balaa on the one hand, and Gilles Barthe, Julien Forest, David Pichardie, and Vlad Rusu on the other hand.

Note: To obtain the right principle, it is better to put rigid parameters of the function as first arguments. For example it is better to define plus like this:

```
Function plus (m n : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
match n with
| 0 => m
| S p => S (plus m p)
end.
    plus is defined
    plus is recursively defined (guarded on 2nd argument)
    plus_equation is defined
    plus_rect is defined
    plus_ind is defined
    plus_rec is defined
    R_plus_correct is defined
    R_plus_complete is defined
```

than like this:

```
Function plus (n m : nat) {struct n} : nat :=
match n with
| 0 => m
| S p => S (plus p m)
end.
    plus is defined
    plus is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)
    plus_equation is defined
    plus_rect is defined
    plus_ind is defined
    plus_rec is defined
    R_plus_correct is defined
    R_plus_complete is defined
```


## Limitations

term must be built as a pure pattern matching tree (match ... with) with applications only at the end of each branch.
Function does not support partial application of the function being defined. Thus, the following example cannot be accepted due to the presence of partial application of wrong in the body of wrong:

```
Function wrong (C:nat) : nat :=
    List.hd 0 (List.map wrong (C::nil)).
        Toplevel input, characters 0-70:
        > Function wrong (C:nat) : nat := List.hd 0 (List.map wrong (C::nil)).
        > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
        Error:
        Recursive definition of wrong is ill-formed.
        In environment
        wrong : nat -> nat
        C : nat
        l := [C]%list : list nat
        Recursive call to wrong has principal argument equal to
        "C" instead of a subterm of "C".
        Recursive definition is: "fun C : nat => List.hd 0 (List.map wrong [C])".
```

For now, dependent cases are not treated for non-structurally terminating functions.
Error: The recursive argument must be specified.
Error: No argument name ident.
Error: Cannot use mutual definition with well-founded recursion or measure.
Warning: Cannot define graph for ident.
The generation of the graph relation (R_ident) used to compute the induction scheme of ident raised a typing error. Only ident is defined; the induction scheme will not be generated. This error happens generally when:

- the definition uses pattern matching on dependent types, which Function cannot deal with yet.
- the definition is not a pattern matching tree as explained above.


## Warning: Cannot define principle(s) for ident.

The generation of the graph relation (R_ident) succeeded but the induction principle could not be built. Only ident is defined. Please report.

## Warning: Cannot build functional inversion principle.

functional inversion will not be available for the function.

## Tactics

Tactic: functional induction term using one_term_with_bindings as simple_intropattern ?
Performs case analysis and induction following the definition of a function qualid, which must be fully applied to its arguments as part of term. It uses a principle generated by Function or Functional Scheme. Note that this tactic is only available after a Require Import FunInd. See the Function command.
using one_term Specifies the induction principle (aka elimination scheme).
with bindings Specifies the arguments of the induction principle.
as simple_intropattern Provides names for the introduced variables.

## Example

```
Require Import FunInd.
```

Require Import FunInd.
Functional Scheme minus_ind := Induction for minus Sort Prop.
sub_equation is defined
minus_ind is defined

```
```

Check minus_ind.
minus_ind
: forall P : nat -> nat -> nat -> Prop,
(forall n m : nat, n = 0 -> P 0 m n) ->
(forall n m k : nat, n = S k m m = 0 -> P (S k) 0 n) ->
(forall n m k : nat,
n = S k ->
forall l : nat, m = S l -> P k l (k - l) -> P (S k) (S l) (k - l)) ->
forall n m : nat, P n m ( n - m)
Lemma le_minus (n m:nat) : n - m <= n.
1 goal
n, m : nat
=============================
n - m<= n
functional induction (minus n m) using minus_ind; simpl; auto.
No more goals.
Qed.

```

Note: functional induction ( \(f \times 1 \times 2 \times 3\) ) is actually a wrapperfor induction \(\times 1, \times 2, \times 3\), ( \(f \times 1 \times 2 \times 3\) ) using qualid followed by a cleaning phase, where qualid is the induction principle registered for f (by the Function or Functional Scheme command) corresponding to the sort of the goal. Therefore functional induction may fail if the induction scheme qualid is not defined.

Note: There is a difference between obtaining an induction scheme for a function by using Function and by using Functional Scheme after a normal definition using Fixpoint or Definition.

Error: Cannot find induction information on qualid.
Error: Not the right number of induction arguments.
Tactic: soft functional induction one_term \({ }^{+}\)using one_term_with_bindings ? as simple_intror
Tactic: functional inversion ident natural qualid?
Performs inversion on hypothesis ident of the form qualid term \({ }^{+}=\)term or term \(=\)qualid term \(^{+}\)when qualid is defined using Function. Note that this tactic is only available after a Require Import FunInd.
natural Does the same thing as intros until natural followed by functional inversion ident where ident is the identifier for the last introduced hypothesis.
qualid If the hypothesis ident (or natural) has a type of the form qualid \(\|_{1}\) term \(_{i}{ }^{+}=\)qualid \(d_{2}\) term \(_{j}{ }^{+}\)where qualid \({ }_{1}\) and qualid \({ }_{2}\) are valid candidates to functional inversion, this variant allows choosing which qualid is inverted.

Error: Hypothesis ident must contain at least one Function.

Error: Cannot find inversion information for hypothesis ident.
This error may be raised when some inversion lemma failed to be generated by Function.

\section*{Generation of induction principles with Functional Scheme}

Command: Functional Scheme func_scheme_def with func_scheme_def **
func_scheme_def \(::=\) ident \(:=\) Induction for qualid Sort sort_family
An experimental high-level tool that automatically generates induction principles corresponding to functions that may be mutually recursive. The command generates an induction principle named ident for each given function named qualid. The qualids must be given in the same order as when they were defined.

Note the command must be made available via Require Import Fun Ind.

Warning: There is a difference between induction schemes generated by the command Functional Scheme and these generated by the Function. Indeed, Function generally produces smaller principles that are closer to how a user would implement them. See Advanced recursive functions for details.

\section*{Example}

Induction scheme for div2.
We define the function div2 as follows:
```

Require Import FunInd.
Require Import Arith.
[Loading ML file ring_plugin.cmxs (using legacy method) ... done]
Fixpoint div2 (n:nat) : nat :=
match n with
| O => 0
| S O => 0
| S (S n') => S (div2 n')
end.
div2 is defined
div2 is recursively defined (guarded on 1st argument)

```

The definition of a principle of induction corresponding to the recursive structure of div2 is defined by the command:
```

Functional Scheme div2_ind := Induction for div2 Sort Prop.
div2_equation is defined
div2_ind is defined

```

You may now look at the type of div2_ind:
```

Check div2_ind.
div2_ind
: forall P : nat -> nat -> Prop,
(forall n : nat, n = 0 -> P 0 0) ->
(forall n n0 : nat, n = S n0 m n0 = 0 -> P 1 0) ->
(forall n n0 : nat,
n = S n0 ->

```
```

forall n' : nat,
n0 = S n' -> P n' (div2 n') -> P (S (S n')) (S (div2 n'))) ->
forall n : nat, P n (div2 n)

```

We can now prove the following lemma using this principle:
```

Lemma div2_le' : forall n:nat, div2 n <= n.
1 goal
============================
forall n : nat, div2 n <= n
intro n.
1 goal
n : nat
=============================
div2 n <= n
pattern n, (div2 n).
1 goal
n : nat
==============================
(fun n0 n1 : nat => n1 <= n0) n (div2 n)
apply div2_ind; intros.
3 goals
n, n0 : nat
e : n0 = 0
=============================
0<= 0
goal 2 is:
0<= 1
goal 3 is:
S (div2 n') <= S (S n')
auto with arith.
2 goals
n, n0, n1 : nat
e : n0 = S n1
e0 : n1 = 0
==============================
0}<=
goal 2 is:
S (div2 n') <= S (S n')
auto with arith.
1 goal
n, n0, n1 : nat
e : n0 = S n1
n' : nat

```
```

    e0 : n1 = S n'
    H : div2 n' <= n'
    =============================
    S (div2 n') <= S (S n')
    simpl; auto with arith.
No more goals.
Qed.

```

We can use directly the functional induction (functional induction) tactic instead of the pattern/apply trick:
```

Reset div2_le'.
Lemma div2_le : forall n:nat, div2 n <= n.
1 goal
forall n : nat, div2 n <= n
intro n.
1 goal
n : nat
==============================
div2 n <= n
functional induction (div2 n).
3 goals
=============================
0<= 0
goal 2 is:
0<= 1
goal 3 is:
S (div2 n') <= S (S n')
auto with arith.
2 goals
=============================
0<= 1
goal 2 is:
S (div2 n') <= S (S n')
auto with arith.
1 goal
n' : nat
IHn0 : div2 n' <= n'
==============================
S (div2 n') <= S (S n')
auto with arith.
No more goals.

```

Qed.

\section*{Example}

Induction scheme for tree_size.
We define trees by the following mutual inductive type:
```

Axiom A : Set.
A is declared
Inductive tree : Set :=
node : A -> forest -> tree
with forest : Set :=
| empty : forest
| cons : tree -> forest -> forest.
tree, forest are defined
tree_rect is defined
tree_ind is defined
tree_rec is defined
tree_sind is defined
forest_rect is defined
forest_ind is defined
forest_rec is defined
forest_sind is defined

```

We define the function tree_size that computes the size of a tree or a forest. Note that we use Funct ion which generally produces better principles.
```

Require Import FunInd.
Function tree_size (t:tree) : nat :=
match t with
| node A f => S (forest_size f)
end
with forest_size (f:forest) : nat :=
match f with
| empty => 0
| cons t f' => (tree_size t + forest_size f')
end.
tree_size is defined
forest_size is defined
tree_size, forest_size are recursively defined (guarded respectively on 1st,
1st arguments)
tree_size_equation is defined
tree_size_rect is defined
tree_size_ind is defined
tree_size_rec is defined
forest_size_equation is defined
forest_size_rect is defined
forest_size_ind is defined
forest_size_rec is defined
R_tree_size_correct is defined

```

R_tree_size_complete is defined
R_forest_size_complete is defined
Notice that the induction principles tree_size_ind and forest_size_ind generated by Function are not mutual.
```

Check tree_size_ind.
tree_size_ind
: forall P : tree -> nat -> Prop,
(forall (t : tree) (A : A) (f : forest),
t = node A f -> P (node A f) (S (forest_size f))) ->
forall t : tree, P t (tree_size t)

```

Mutual induction principles following the recursive structure of tree_size and forest_size can be generated by the following command:
```

Functional Scheme tree_size_ind2 := Induction for tree_size Sort Prop
with forest_size_ind2 := Induction for forest_size Sort Prop.
tree_size_ind2 is defined
forest_size_ind2 is defined

```

You may now look at the type of tree_size_ind2:
```

Check tree_size_ind2.
tree_size_ind2
: forall (P : tree -> nat -> Prop) (P0 : forest -> nat -> Prop),
(forall (t : tree) (A : A) (f : forest),
t = node A f ->
PO f (forest_size f) -> P (node A f) (S (forest_size f))) ->
(forall f0 : forest, f0 = empty -> PO empty 0) ->
(forall (f1 : forest) (t : tree) (f' : forest),
f1 = cons t f' ->
P t (tree_size t) ->
PO f' (forest_size f') ->
PO (cons t f') (tree_size t + forest_size f')) ->
forall t : tree, P t (tree_size t)

```

Command: Functional Case func_scheme_def
Command: Generate graph for qualid
Internal debugging commands.

\subsection*{4.1.5 Writing Coq libraries and plugins}

This section presents the part of the Coq language that is useful only to library and plugin authors. A tutorial for writing Coq plugins is available in the Coq repository in doc/plugin_tutorial \({ }^{61}\).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{61} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/tree/master/doc/plugin_tutorial
}

\section*{Deprecating library objects or tactics}

You may use the following attribute to deprecate a notation or tactic. When renaming a definition or theorem, you can introduce a deprecated compatibility alias using Notation (abbreviation) (see the example below).
Attribute: deprecated ( since \(=\) string , ? note \(=\) string ? ?
At least one of since or note must be present. If both are present, either one may appear first and they must be separated by a comma.

This attribute is supported by the following commands: Ltac, Tactic Notation, Notation, Infix, Ltac2,Ltac2 Notation,Ltac2 external.
It can trigger the following warnings:
Warning: Tactic qualid is deprecated since string \({ }_{\text {since }}\). string \({ }_{n o t e}\).
Warning: Tactic Notation qualid is deprecated since string since . string note .
Warning: Notation string is deprecated since string since. string \({ }_{n o t e}\).
Warning: Ltac2 definition qualid is deprecated since string since . string note .
Warning: Ltac2 alias qualid is deprecated since string since . string note .
Warning: Ltac2 notation ltac2_scope \({ }^{+}\)is deprecated since string since . string note . qualid or string is the notation, string since is the version number, string note is the note (usually explains the replacement).

\section*{Example: Deprecating a tactic.}
```

\#[deprecated(since="0.9", note="Use idtac instead.")]
Ltac foo := idtac.
foo is defined
Goal True.
1 goal
=============================
True
Proof.
now foo.
Toplevel input, characters 4-7:
> now foo.
> ^^^
Warning: Tactic foo is deprecated since 0.9. Use idtac instead.
[deprecated-tactic,deprecated]
No more goals.

```

\section*{Example: Introducing a compatibility alias}

Let's say your library initially contained:
```

Definition foo x := S x.

```
and you want to rename foo into bar, but you want to avoid breaking your users' code without advanced notice. To do so, replace the previous code by the following:
```

Definition bar x := S x.
\#[deprecated(since="1.2", note="Use bar instead.")]
Notation foo := bar (only parsing).

```

Then, the following code still works, but emits a warning:
```

Check (foo 0).
Toplevel input, characters 7-10:
> Check (foo 0).
Warning: Notation foo is deprecated since 1.2. Use bar instead.
[deprecated-syntactic-definition,deprecated]
bar 0
: nat

```

\subsection*{4.2 Command-line and graphical tools}

This chapter presents the command-line tools that users will need to build their Coq project, the documentation of the CoqIDE graphical user interface and the documentation of the parallel proof processing feature that is supported by CoqIDE and several other GUIs. A list of available user interfaces to interact with Coq is available on the Coq website \({ }^{62}\).

\subsection*{4.2.1 Building Coq Projects}

\section*{Coq configuration basics}

Describes the basics of Coq configuration that affect running and compiling Coq scripts. It recommends preferred ways to install Coq, manage installed packages and structure your project directories for ease of use.

\section*{Installing Coq and Coq packages with opam}

The easiest way to install Coq is with the Coq Platform \({ }^{63}\), which relies on the opam package manager \({ }^{64}\).
The Coq platform installation process provides options to automatically install some of the most frequently used packages at the same time. While there's currently no guarantee that user-developed packages will compile on the current version of Coq, all packages that Coq platform installs should compile without difficulty--this is part of the Coq platform release process.

Once you've installed Coq, you can search for additional user-developed packages from the package list \({ }^{65}\) or other opam repositories. These commands may be helpful:
- opam list "coq-*" to see the list of available and installed packages
- opam list "coq-*" --installed to see the list of installed packages
- opam install <package name> to install a package on your system.
- opam update as needed to update the list of available packages

For example, this command shows the installed packages with the package name, its version and short description:

\footnotetext{
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```

\$ opam list "coq-*" --installed
coq-bignums 8.15.0 Bignums, the Coq library of arbitrary large!
numbers

```

Note that packages marked released in the package list web page are more stable than those marked extra-dev. To install extra-dev packages, first add the coq-extra-dev opam repository to your local opam installation with this command:
```

opam repo add coq-extra-dev https://coq.inria.fr/opam/extra-dev

```

While this is the easiest way to install packages, it is not the only way.
You will then need to find the logical name used to refer to the package in Require commands. There are a couple ways to do this:
- If you installed with opam, use opam show --list-files coq-bignums | head -n1-the last component of the filename is the logical name (Bignums).
- On Linux, ls (coqtop -where)/user-contrib shows the logical names of all installed usercontributed packages. You should be able to guess which one you need.
- Use the Print LoadPath command when running Coq, which shows the mapping from logical paths to directories. Again, you should be able to guess.

The last two methods work even if you didn't install with opam. Perhaps in the future the package name to logical name mapping will be more readily available.

Once you know the logical name of the package, use it to load compiled files from the package with the Require command.

A package is a group of files in a top directory and its subdirectories that's installed as a unit. Packages are compiled from projects. These terms are virtually interchangeable.

\section*{Setup for working on your own projects}

The working and master copies of source code for your own projects should not be in the directory tree where Coq is installed. In particular, when you upgrade to a new version of Coq, any directories you created in the old version won't be copied or moved.

We encourage you to use a source code control system for any non-trivial project because it makes it easy to track the history of your changes. git \({ }^{66}\) is the system most used by Coq projects. Typically, each project has its own git repository.
For a project that has only a single file, you can create the file wherever you like and then step through it in one of the IDEs for Coq, such as CoqIDE, ProofGeneral \({ }^{67}\), vsCoq \({ }^{68}\) and Coqtail \({ }^{69}\).

If your project has multiple files in a single directory that depend on each other through Require commands, they must be compiled in an order that matches their dependencies. Scripts in . v files must be compiled to . vo files using coqc before they can be Required in other files. Currently, the .vo file is created in the same directory as its .v file. For example, if B.v depends on A.v, then you should compile A.v before B.v. You can do this with coqc A.v followed by coqc B.v, but you may find it tedious to manage the dependencies, particularly as the number of files increases.

If your project files are in multiple directories, you would also need to pass additional command-line - Q and - R parameters to your IDE. More details to manage and keep track of.

\footnotetext{
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Instead, by creating a _CoqProject file, you can automatically generate a makefile that applies the correct dependencies when it compiles your project. In addition, the IDEs find and interpret _CoqProject files, so project files spread over multiple directories will work seamlessly. If you're editing dir/foo.v, the IDEs apply settings from the _CoqProject file in dir or the closest ancestor directory.

The _CoqProject file identifies the logical path to associate with the directories containing your compiled files. The _CoqProject file is normally in the top directory of the project. Occasionally it may be useful to have additional _CoqProject files in subdirectories, for example in order to pass different startup parameters to Coq for particular scripts.

\section*{Building a project with _CoqProject (overview)}

Note: building with dune is experimental. See Building a Coq project with Dune.
The _CoqProject file contains the information needed to generate a makefile for building your project. Your _CoqProject file should be in the top directory of your project's source tree. We recommend using the logical name of the project as the name of the top directory.

Note: Make sure that _CoqProject has no file extension. On Windows, some tools such as Notepad invisibly append .txt even when you ask to save the file as _CoqProject. Also, File Manager doesn't display file extensions. You may be better off using a command line interface and an editor such as vi that always show file extensions.

For example, here is a minimal _CoqProject file for the MyPackage project (the logical name of the package), which includes all the . v files (and other file types) in the theories directory and its subdirectories:
```

-R theories MyPackage
theories

```
-R theories MyPackage (see here) declares that theories is a top directory of MyPackage. theories on the second line declares that all .v files in theories and its subdirectories are indeed included in the project.

In addition, you can list individual files, for example the two script files theories/File1.v and theories/ SubDir/File2.v whose logical paths are MyPackage.File1 and MyPackage. SubDir.File2:
```

-R theories MyPackage

```
theories/File1.v
theories/SubDir/File2.v

The generated makefile only processes the specified files. You can list multiple directories if you wish.
We suggest choosing a logical name that's different from those used for commonly used packages, particularly if you plan to make your package available to others. Or you can easily do a global replace, if necessary, on the package name before it is (widely) used. After that, a name change may begin to impact a large number of users. Alas, there's currently no easy way to discover what logical names have already been used. The Print LoadPath command helps a bit; it shows the logical names defined in the Coq process.
Then:
- Generate a makefile from _CoqProject with coq_makefile -f _CoqProject -o CoqMakefile and
- Compile your project with make -f CoqMakefile as needed.

If you add more files to your project that are not in directories listed in _CoqProject, update _CoqProject and re-run coq_makefile and make.

We recommend checking CoqMakefile and CoqMakefile.conf into your source code control system. Also we recommend updating them with coq_makefile when you switch to a new version of Coq.

In CoqIDE, you must explicitly save modified buffers before running make and restart the Coq interpreter in any buffers in which you're running code. More details here.
See Building a Coq project with coq_makefile (details) for a complete description of coq_makefile and the files it generates.

\section*{Logical paths and the load path}

Coq commands such as Require identify files with logical paths rather than file system paths so that scripts don't have to be modified to run on different computers. The Print LoadPath command displays the load path, which is a list of (logical path, physical path) pairs for directories.

For example, you may see:
```

Logical Path / Physical path:
Bignums /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/user-contrib/Bignums
Bignums.BigZ /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/user-contrib/Bignums/BigZ
Ltac2 /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/user-contrib/Ltac2
Coq /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/theories
Coq.Numbers /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/theories/Numbers
Coq.Numbers.Natural /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/theories/Numbers/Natural
Coq.Numbers.Natural.Binary /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/theories/Numbers/Natural/Binary
Coq.Numbers.Integer /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/theories/Numbers/Integer
Coq.Arith /home/jef/coq/lib/coq/theories/Arith
<> /home/jef/myproj

```

The components of each pair share suffixes, e.g. Bignums.BigZ and Bignums/BigZ or Coq. Numbers. Natural and Numbers/Natural. Physical pathnames should always use / rather than \(\backslash\), even when running on Windows. Packages with a physical path containing user-contrib were installed with the Coq binaries (e.g. Ltac2), with the Coq Platform or with opam (e.g. Bignums) or perhaps by other means. Note that, for these entries, the entire logical path appears in the directory name. Packages that begin with Coq were installed with the Coq binaries. Note that the logical name Coq doesn't appear in the physical path.

The <> in the final entry represents an empty logical pathname, which permits loading files from the associated directory with just the basename of the script file, e.g. specify Foo to load Foo.vo. This entry corresponds to the current directory when Coq was started. Note that the \(C d\) command doesn't change the associated directory--you would need to restart CoqIDE.

With some exceptions noted below, the load path is generated from files loaded from the following directories and their subdirectories in the order shown. The associated logical path is determined from the filesystem path, relative to the directory, e.g. the file Foo/Bar/script.vo becomes Foo.Bar.script:
- directories specified with \(-R\) and \(-Q\) command line options,
- the current directory where the Coq process was launched (without including subdirectories),
- the directories listed in the COQPATH environment variable (separated with colons, or, on Windows, with semicolons)
 on the default setting; therefore we recommend not setting this variable.
- installed packages from the user-contrib directory in the Coq installation,
- the Coq standard library from the theories directory in the Coq installation (with Coq prepended to the logical path),

\footnotetext{
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Each directory may contain multiple .v/.vo files. For example, Require Import Coq. Numbers.Natural. Binary. NBinary loads the file NBinary . vo from the associated directory. Note that a short name is often sufficient in Require instead of a fully qualified name.
In Require commands referring to the current package (if _CoqProject uses \(-R\) ) or Coq's standard library can be referenced with a short name without a From clause provided that the logical path is unambiguous (as if they are available through -R). In contrast, Require commands that load files from other locations such as user-contrib must either use an exact logical path or include a From clause (as if they are available through \(-Q\) ). This is done to reduce the number of ambiguous logical paths. We encourage using From clauses.

Note that if you use a _CoqProject file, the COQPATH environment variable is not helpful. If you use COQPATH without a _CoqProject, a file in MyPackage/theories/SubDir/File.v will be loaded with the logical name MyPackage/theories/SubDir.File, which may not be what you want.

If you associate the same logical name with more than one directory, Coq looks for the. vo file in the most recently added path first (i.e., the one that appears earlier in the Print LoadPath output).

\section*{Modifying multiple interdependent projects at the same time}

If you want to modify multiple interdependent projects simultaneously, good practice recommends that all of them should be uninstalled. Since the IDEs only apply a single _CoqProject file for each script, the best way to make them work properly is to temporarily edit the _CoqProject for each project so it includes the other uninstalled projects it depends on, then regenerate the makefile. This may make your _CoqProject system dependent. Such dependencies shouldn't be present in published packages.

For example, if project \(A\) requires project \(B\), add \(-Q\) <directory path of \(B>B\) to the _CoqProject in \(A\). This will override any installed version of B only when you're working on scripts in A.
If you want to build all the related projects at once, you're on your own. There's currently no tooling to identify the internal dependencies between the projects (and thus the order in which to build them).

\section*{Installed and uninstalled packages}

The directory structure of installed packages (i.e., in the user-contrib directory of the Coq installation) differs from that generally used for the project source tree. The installed directory structure omits the pathname given in the -R and \(-Q\) parameters that aren't part of the logical name of a script. For example, the theories pathname used in this _CoqProject file is omitted from the installed pathname:
-R theories MyPackage
theories/File1.v
theories/SubDir/File2.v
theories/File1.v appears in the directory user-contrib/MyPackage`and `theories/SubDir/File2.v is in user-contrib/MyPackage/SubDir
Use make -f CoqMakefile install to install a project from a directory.
If you try to step through scripts in installed packages (e.g. to understand the proofs therein), you may get unexpected failures for two reasons (which don't apply to scripts in the standard library, which have logical paths beginning with Coq):
- _CoqProject files often have at least one -R parameter, while installed packages are loaded with the lesspermissive \(-Q\) option described in the Require command, which may cause a Require to fail. One workaround is to create a _CoqProject file containing the line -R . <project directory> in user-contrib/ <project directory>. In this case, the _CoqProject doesn't need to list all the source files.
- Sometimes, the _CoqProject file specifies options that affect the behavior of Coq, such as -impredicative-set. These can similarly be added in_CoqProject files in user-contrib.

Another way to get around these problems is to download the source tree for the project in a new directory and compile it before stepping through its scripts.

\section*{Upgrading to a new version of Coq}
.vo files are specific to the version of Coq that compiled them. When you upgrade to a new version of Coq, you must recompile all the projects that you want to run in the new version. This is necessary to assure that your proofs still work in the new version. Once their projects build on the new version, most users no longer have a need to run on the old version.

If, however, you want to overlap working on your project on both the old and new versions, you'll need to create separate source directories for your project for the different Coq versions. Currently the compiled . vo files are kept in the same directory as their corresponding. v file.

\section*{Building a Coq project with coq_makefile (details)}

The coq_makefile tool is included with Coq and is based on generating a makefile.
The majority of Coq projects are very similar: a collection of.\(v\) files and possibly some. ml ones (a Coq plugin). The main piece of metadata needed in order to build the project are the command line options to coqc (e.g. \(-\mathrm{R},-\mathrm{Q},-\mathrm{I}\), see command line options). Collecting the list of files and options is the job of the _CoqProject file.
A _CoqProject file may contain the following kinds of entries in any order, separated by whitespace:
- Selected options of coqc, which are forwarded directly to it. Currently these are \(-Q,-I,-R\) and -native-compiler.
- -arg options for other options of coqc that don't fall in the above set.
- Options specific to coq_makefile. Currently there are two options: -generate-meta-for-package (see below for details), and-docroot.
- Directory names, which include all appropriate files in the directory and its subdirectories.
- Comments, started with an unquoted \# and continuing to the end of the line.

A simple example of a _CoqProject file follows:
```

-R theories/ MyCode
-arg "-w all"

# include everything under "theories", e.g. foo.v and bar.v

theories
-I src/

# include everything under "src", e.g. baz.mlg bazaux.ml and qux_plugin.mlpack

src
-generate-meta-for-package my-package

```

Lines in the form -arg foo pass the argument foo to coqc: in the example, this passes the two-word option -w all (see command line options).
You must specify a \(-R /-Q\) flag for your project so its modules are properly qualified. Omitting it will generate object files that are unusable except by experts.

Projects that include plugins (i.e. . ml or. mlg OCaml source files) must have a META file, as per findlib \(^{71}\). If the project has only a single plugin, the META file can be generated automatically when the option

\footnotetext{
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-generate-meta-for-package my-package is given. The generated file makes the plugin available to the Declare ML Module as my-package.plugin. If the generated file doesn't suit your needs (for instance because it depends on some OCaml packages) or your project has multiple plugins, then create a file named META.my-package and list it in the _CoqProject file. You can use ocamlfind lint META.my-package to lint the hand written file. Typically my-package is the name of the OPAM package for your project (which conventionally starts with coq-). If the project includes a. mlg file (to be pre-processed by coqpp) that declares a plugin, then the given name must match the findlib plugin name, e.g. DECLARE PLUGIN "my-package.plugin".

The -native-compiler option given in the _CoqProject file overrides the global one passed at configure time.
CoqIDE, Proof General, VsCoq and Coqtail all understand _CoqProject files and can be used to invoke Coq with the desired options.

The coq_makefile utility can be used to set up a build infrastructure for the Coq project based on makefiles. We recommend invoking coq_makefile this way:
```

coq_makefile -f _CoqProject -o CoqMakefile

```

This command generates the following files:
CoqMakefile is a makefile for GNU Make with targets to build the project (e.g. generate .vo or .html files from .v or compile . \(\mathrm{ml}^{*}\) files) and install it in the user-contrib directory where the Coq library is installed.

CoqMakefile.conf contains make variables assignments that reflect the contents of the _CoqProject file as well as the path relevant to Coq.

Run coq_makefile --help for a description of command line options.
The recommended approach is to invoke CoqMakefile from a standard Makefile in the following form:
```

Example

# KNOWNTARGETS will not be passed along to CoqMakefile

KNOWNTARGETS := CoqMakefile extra-stuff extra-stuff2

# KNOWNFILES will not get implicit targets from the final rule, and so

# depending on them won't invoke the submake

# Warning: These files get declared as PHONY, so any targets depending

# on them always get rebuilt

KNOWNFILES := Makefile _CoqProject
.DEFAULT_GOAL := invoke-coqmakefile
CoqMakefile: Makefile _CoqProject
\$(COQBIN) coq_makefile -f _CoqProject -o CoqMakefile
invoke-coqmakefile: CoqMakefile
\$(MAKE) --no-print-directory -f CoqMakefile \$(filter-out \$(KNOWNTARGETS),
ム (MAKECMDGOALS) )
.PHONY: invoke-coqmakefile \$(KNOWNFILES)
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

## Your targets here

\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#

# This should be the last rule, to handle any targets not declared above

%: invoke-coqmakefile
@true

```

The advantage of a wrapper, compared to directly calling the generated Makefile, is that it provides a target independent of the version of Coq to regenerate a Makefile specific to the current version of Coq. Additionally, the master Makefile can be extended with targets not specific to Coq. Including the generated makefile with an include directive is discouraged, since the contents of this file, including variable names and status of rules, may change in the future.

Use the optional file CoqMakefile.local to extend CoqMakefile. In particular, you can declare custom actions to run before or after the build process. Similarly you can customize the install target or even provide new targets. See CoqMakefile.local for extension-point documentation. Although you can use all variables defined in CoqMakefile in the recipes of rules that you write and in the definitions of any variables that you assign with \(=\), many variables are not available for use if you assign variable values with : = nor to define the targets of rules nor in top-level conditionals such as ifeq. Additionally, you must use secondary expansion \({ }^{72}\) to make use of such variables in the prerequisites of rules. To access variables defined in CoqMakefile in rule target computation, top-level conditionals, and \(:=\) variable assignment, for example to add new dependencies to compiled outputs, use the optional file CoqMakefile.local-late. See CoqMakefile.local-late for a non-exhaustive list of variables.
The extensions of files listed in _CoqProject determine how they are built. In particular:
- Coq files must use the .v extension
- OCaml files must use the. ml or. mli extension
- OCaml files that require pre processing for syntax extensions (like VERNAC EXTEND) must use the .mlg extension
- In order to generate a plugin one has to list all OCaml modules (i.e. Baz for baz.ml) in a .mlpack file (or .mllib file).

The use of .mlpack files has to be preferred over .mllib files, since it results in a "packed" plugin: All auxiliary modules (as Baz and Bazaux) are hidden inside the plugin's "namespace" (Qux_plugin). This reduces the chances of begin unable to load two distinct plugins because of a clash in their auxiliary module names.

\section*{Comments}
\# outside of double quotes starts a comment that continues to the end of the line. Comments are ignored.

\section*{Quoting arguments to coqc}

Any string in a _CoqProject file may be enclosed in double quotes to include whitespace characters or \#. For example, use -arg "-w all" to pass the argument -w all to coqc. If the argument to coqc needs some quotes as well, use single-quotes inside the double-quotes. For example -arg "-set 'Default Goal Selector=!'"gets passed to coqc as -set 'Default Goal Selector=!'.
But note, that single-quotes in a _CoqProject file are only special characters if they appear in the string following -arg. And on their own they don't quote spaces. For example -arg 'foo bar' in _CoqProject is equivalent to -arg foo "bar'" (in _CoqProject notation). -arg "'foo bar'" behaves differently and passes 'foo bar' to coqc.

\footnotetext{
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\section*{Forbidden filenames}

The paths of files given in a _CoqProject file may not contain any of the following characters: \(\backslash n, \backslash t\), space, \(\backslash\), ', ", \#, \$, \%. These characters have special meaning in Makefiles and coq_makefile doesn't support encoding them correctly.

\section*{Warning: No common logical root}

When a_CoqProject file contains something like - R theories Foo theories/Bar.v, the install-doc target installs the documentation generated by coqdoc into user-contrib/Foo/, in the folder where Coq was installed.

But if the _CoqProject file contains something like:
```

-R theories/Foo Foo
-R theories/Bar Bar
theories/Foo/Foo.v
theories/Bar/Bar.v

```
the Coq files of the project don't have a logical path in common and coq_makefile doesn't know where to install the documentation. It will give a warning: "No common logical root" and generate a Makefile that installs the documentation in some folder beginning with "orphan", in the above example, it'd be user-contrib/orphan_Foo_Bar.

In this case, specify the -docroot option in _CoqProject to override the automatically selected logical root.

\section*{CoqMakefile.local}

The optional file CoqMakefile.local is included by the generated file CoqMakefile. It can contain two kinds of directives.

\section*{Variable assignment}

The variable must belong to the variables listed in the Parameters section of the generated makefile. These include:
CAMLPKGS can be used to specify third party findlib packages, and is passed to the OCaml compiler on building or linking of modules. Eg: -package yojson.
CAMLFLAGS can be used to specify additional flags to the OCaml compiler, like -bin-annot or -w....
OCAMLWARN it contains a default of -warn-error \(+a-3\), useful to modify this setting; beware this is not recommended for projects in Coq's CI.

COQC, COQDEP, COQDOC can be set in order to use alternative binaries (e.g. wrappers)
COQ_SRC_SUBDIRS can be extended by including other paths in which *.cm* files are searched. For example COQ_SRC_SUBDIRS+=user-contrib/Unicoq lets you build a plugin containing OCaml code that depends on the OCaml code of Unicoq

COQFLAGS override the flags passed to coqc. By default -q.
COQEXTRAFLAGS extend the flags passed to coqc
COQCHKFLAGS override the flags passed to coqchk. By default -silent -o.
COQCHKEXTRAFLAGS extend the flags passed to coqchk
COQDOCFLAGS override the flags passed to coqdoc. By default -interpolate -utf8.
COQDOCEXTRAFLAGS extend the flags passed to coqdoc

COQLIBINSTALL, COQPLUGININSTALL, COQDOCINSTALL specify where the Coq libraries, plugins and documentation will be installed. By default a combination of (DESTDIR) (if defined) with \$(COQLIB)/user-contrib, \$(COQCORELIB)/.. and \$(DOCDIR)/coq/ user-contrib.

Use CoqMakefile.local-late instead to access more variables.

\section*{Rule extension}

The following makefile rules can be extended.

\section*{Example}
```

pre-all::
echo "This line is print before making the all target"
install-extra::
cp ThisExtraFile /there/it/goes

```
pre-all: : run before the all target. One can use this to configure the project, or initialize sub modules or check dependencies are met.
post-all: : run after the all target. One can use this to run a test suite, or compile extracted code.
install-extra: : run after install. One can use this to install extra files.
install-doc: : One can use this to install extra doc.
```

uninstall::

```
uninstall-doc:
clean: :
cleanall: :
archclean:
merlin-hook: : One can append lines to the generated .merlin file extending this target.

\section*{CoqMakefile.local-late}

The optional file CoqMakefile.local-late is included at the end of the generated file CoqMakefile. The following is a partial list of accessible variables:

COQ_VERSION the version of coqc being used, which can be used to provide different behavior depending on the Coq version
COQMAKEFILE_VERSION the version of Coq used to generate the Makefile, which can be used to detect version mismatches

ALLDFILES the list of generated dependency files, which can be used, for example, to cause make to recompute dependencies when files change by writing (ALLDFILES) : myfiles or to indicate that files must be generated before dependencies can be computed by writing \$ (ALLDFILES) : । mygeneratedfiles
VOFILES, GLOBFILES, CMOFILES, CMXFILES, OFILES, CMAFILES, CMXAFILES, CMIFILES, CMXSFILES lists of files that are generated by various invocations of the compilers

In addition, the following variables may be useful for deciding what targets to present via \$ (shell . . .) ; these variables are already accessible in recipes for rules added in CoqMakefile.local, but are only accessible from top-level \$(shell ...) invocations in CoqMakefile.local-late:

COQC, COQDEP, COQDOC, CAMLC, CAMLOPTC compiler binaries
COQFLAGS, CAMLFLAGS, COQLIBS, COQDEBUG, OCAMLLIBS flags passed to the Coq or OCaml compilers

\section*{Timing targets and performance testing}

The generated Makefile supports the generation of two kinds of timing data: per-file build-times, and per-line times for an individual file.

The following targets and Makefile variables allow collection of per- file timing data:
- TIMED=1 passing this variable will cause make to emit a line describing the user-space build-time and peak memory usage for each file built.

Note: On Mac OS, this works best if you've installed gnu-time.

\section*{Example}

For example, the output of make TIMED=1 may look like this:
```

COQDEP Fast.v

```
COQDEP Slow.v
COQC Slow.v
Slow.vo (user: 0.34 mem: 395448 ko)
COQC Fast.v
Fast.vo (user: 0.01 mem: 45184 ko)
- pretty-timed this target stores the output of make TIMED=1 into time-of-build.log, and displays a table of the times and peak memory usages, sorted from slowest to fastest, which is also stored in time-of-build-pretty.log. If you want to construct the log for targets other than the default one, you can pass them via the variable TGTS, e.g., make pretty-timed TGTS="a.vo b.vo".

Note: This target requires python to build the table.

Note: This target will append to the timing log; if you want a fresh start, you must remove the file time-of-build.log or run make cleanall.

Note: By default the table displays user times. If the build log contains real times (which it does by default), passing TIMING_REAL=1 to make pretty-timed will use real times rather than user times in the table.

Note: Passing TIMING_INCLUDE_MEM=0 to make will result in the tables not including peak memory usage information. Passing TIMING_SORT_BY_MEM=1 to make will result in the tables be sorted by peak memory usage rather than by the time taken.

\section*{Example}

For example, the output of make pretty-timed may look like this:
```

COQDEP VFILES
COQC Slow.v
Slow.vo (real: 0.52, user: 0.39, sys: 0.12, mem: 394648 ko)
COQC Fast.v
Fast.vo (real: 0.06, user: 0.02, sys: 0.03, mem: 56980 ko)
Time | Peak Mem | File Name
-----------------------------------------------
0m00.41s | 394648 ko | Total Time / Peak Mem
-----------------------------------------------
0m00.39s | 394648 ko | Slow.vo
0m00.02s | 56980 ko | Fast.vo

```
- print-pretty-timed-diff this target builds a table of timing changes between two compilations; run make make-pretty-timed-before to build the \(\log\) of the "before" times, and run make make-pretty-timed-after to build the log of the "after" times. The table is printed on the command line, and stored in time-of-build-both.log. This target is most useful for profiling the difference between two commits in a repository.

Note: This target requires python to build the table.

Note: The make-pretty-timed-before and make-pretty-timed-after targets will append to the timing log; if you want a fresh start, you must remove the files time-of-build-before. 10 g and time-of-build-after.log or run make cleanall before building either the "before" or "after" targets.

Note: The table will be sorted first by absolute time differences rounded towards zero to a whole-number of seconds, then by times in the "after" column, and finally lexicographically by file name. This will put the biggest changes in either direction first, and will prefer sorting by build-time over subsecond changes in build time (which are frequently noise); lexicographic sorting forces an order on files which take effectively no time to compile.
If you prefer a different sorting order, you can pass TIMING_SORT_BY=absolute to sort by the total time taken, or TIMING_SORT_BY=diff to sort by the signed difference in time.

Note: Just like pretty-timed, this table defaults to using user times. Pass TIMING_REAL=1 to make on the command line to show real times instead.

Note: Just like pretty-timed, passing TIMING_INCLUDE_MEM=0 to make will result in the tables not including peak memory usage information. Passing TIMING_SORT_BY_MEM=1 to make will result in the tables be sorted by peak memory usage rather than by the time taken.

\section*{Example}

For example, the output table from make print-pretty-timed-diff may look like this:
```

    After | Peak Mem | File Name | Before | Peak Mem ||
    GChange || Change (mem) | % Change | % Change (mem)
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
4-----------------------------------------------------
Om00.43s | 394700 ko | Total Time / Peak Mem | Om00.41s | 394648 ko || +Om00.
\hookrightarrow01s || 52 ko | +4.87% | +0.01%
col

```

The following targets and Makefile variables allow collection of per- line timing data:
- TIMING=1 passing this variable will cause make to use coqc -time to write to a \(\cdot \mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{t}\) iming file for each .\(v\) file compiled, which contains line-by-line timing information.

\section*{Example}

For example, running make all TIMING=1 may result in a file like this:
```

Chars 0 - 26 [Require~Coq.ZArith.BinInt.] 0.157 secs (0.128u,0.028s)
Chars 27 - 68 [Declare~Reduction~comp~:=~vm_c...] 0. secs (0.u,0.s)
Chars 69 - 162 [Definition~foo0~:=~Eval~comp~i...] 0.153 secs (0.136u,0.019s)
Chars 163 - 208 [Definition~foo1~:=~Eval~comp~i...] 0.239 secs (0.236u,0.s)

```
- print-pretty-single-time-diff
print-pretty-single-time-diff AFTER=path/to/file.v.after-timing BEFORE=path/ ↔to/file.v.before-timing
this target will make a sorted table of the per-line timing differences between the timing logs in the BEFORE and AFTER files, display it, and save it to the file specified by the TIME_OF_PRETTY_BUILD_FILE variable, which defaults to time-of-build-pretty.log. To generate the.v.before-timing or .v. after-timing files, you should pass TIMING=before or TIMING=after rather than TIMING=1.

Note: The sorting used here is the same as in the print-pretty-timed-diff target.

Note: This target requires python to build the table.

Note: This target follows the same sorting order as the print-pretty-timed-diff target, and supports the same options for the TIMING_SORT_BY variable.

Note: By default, two lines are only considered the same if the character offsets and initial code strings are identical. Passing TIMING_FUZZ=N relaxes this constraint by allowing the character locations to differ by up to N , as long as the total number of characters and initial code strings continue to match. This is useful
when there are small changes to a file, and you want to match later lines that have not changed even though the character offsets have changed.

Note: By default the table picks up real times, under the assumption that when comparing line-by-line, the real time is a more accurate representation as it includes disk time and time spent in the native compiler. Passing TIMING_REAL=0 to make will use user times rather than real times in the table.

\section*{Example}

For example, running print-pretty-single-time-diff might give a table like this:
```

After | Code | Before
|| Change | % Change
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
ム---------------------
0m00.50s | Total | 0m04.17s -
c| | -0m03.66s | -87.96%
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
4---------------------
0m00.145s | Chars 069 - 162 [Definition~foo0~:=~Eval~comp~i...] | 0m00.192su
4| - 0m00.04s | -24.47%
0m00.126s | Chars 000 - 026 [Require~Coq.ZArith.BinInt.] Om00.143su
\hookrightarrow|| -0m00.01s | -11.88%
N/A | Chars 027 - 068 [Declare~Reduction~comp~:=~nati...] | 0m00.s
|| | 0m00.00s | N/A
0m00.s | Chars 027 - 068 [Declare~Reduction~comp~:=~vm_c...] | N/A
|| + 0m00.00s | N/A
0m00.231s | Chars 163 - 208 [Definition~foo1~:=~Eval~comp~i...] | 0m03.836s它
\hookrightarrow| - 0m03.60s | -93.97%

```
- all.timing.diff, path/to/file.v.timing.diff The path/to/file.v.timing.diff target will make a.v.timing.diff file for the corresponding.v file, with a table as would be generated by the print-pretty-single-time-diff target; it depends on having already made the corresponding .v.before-timing and.v.after-timing files, which can be made by passing TIMING=before and TIMING=after. The all.timing.diff target will make such timing difference files for all of the .v files that the Makefile knows about. It will fail if some.v.before-timing or .v. after-timing files don't exist.

Note: This target requires python to build the table.

\section*{Building a subset of the targets with -j}

To build, say, two targets foo.vo and bar.vo in parallel one can use make only TGTS="foo.vo bar.vo" -j.

Note: make foo.vo bar.vo -j has a different meaning for the make utility, in particular it may build a shared prerequisite twice.

\section*{Precompiling for native_compute}

To compile files for native_compute, one can use the -native-compiler yes option of Coq, by putting it in the _CoqProject file.

The generated installation target of CoqMakefile will then take care of installing the extra. coq-native directories.

Note: As an alternative to modifying _CoqProject, one can set an environment variable when calling make:
COQEXTRAFLAGS="-native-compiler yes" make
This can be useful when files cannot be modified, for instance when installing via OPAM a package built with coq_makefile:
```

COQEXTRAFLAGS="-native-compiler yes" opam install coq-package

```

Note: This requires all dependencies to be themselves compiled with -native-compiler yes.

\section*{The grammar of _CoqProject}

A _CoqProject file encodes a list of strings using the following syntax:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CoqProject \\
blank
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& ::= \\
& ::=
\end{aligned}
\]} & blank & comment & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{quoted_string} & unquoted_string \\
\hline & & space & horizonta & tab & newline & \\
\hline comment & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\(::=\) comment_char newline} \\
\hline quoted_string & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\[
::=\text { "quoted_char }{ }^{*} \text { " }
\]} \\
\hline unquoted_string & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{string_start_char unquoted_char} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
where the following definitions apply:
- space, horizontal_tab and newline stand for the corresponding ASCII characters.
- comment_char is the set of all characters except newline.
- quoted_char is the set of all characters except ".
- string_start_char is the set of all characters except those that match blank, or are " or \#.
- unquoted_char is the set of all characters except those that match blank or are \#.

The parser produces a list of strings in the same order as they were encountered in_CoqProject. Blanks and comments are removed and the double quotes of quoted_string tokens are removed as well. The list is then treated as a list of command-line arguments of coq_makefile.

The semantics of -arg are as follows: the string given as argument is split on whitespace, but single quotes prevent splitting. The resulting list of strings is then passed to coqc.

The current approach has a few limitations: Double quotes in a _CoqProject file are only special characters at the start of a string. For lack of an escaping mechanism, it is currently impossible to pass the following kinds of strings to coq_makefile using a _CoqProject file:
- strings starting with "
- strings starting with \# and containing "
- strings containing both whitespace and "

In addition, it is impossible to pass strings containing ' to coqc via -arg.

\section*{Building a Coq project with Dune}

Dune, the standard OCaml build tool, has supported building Coq libraries since version 1.9.

Note: Dune's Coq support is still experimental; we strongly recommend using Dune 3.2 or later.

Note: The canonical documentation for the Coq Dune extension is maintained upstream; please refer to the Dune manual \(^{73}\) for up-to-date information. The documentation below is up to date for Dune 3.2

Building a Coq project with Dune requires setting up a Dune project for your files. This involves adding a dune-project and pkg.opam file to the root (pkg.opam can be empty or generated by Dune itself), and then providing dune files in the directories your . v files are placed. For the experimental version " 0.3 " of the Coq Dune language, Coq library stanzas look like:
```

(coq.theory
(name <module_prefix>)
(package <opam_package>)
(synopsis <text>)
(modules <ordered_set_lang>)
(libraries <ocaml_libraries>)
(flags <coq_flags>))

```

This stanza will build all .v files in the given directory, wrapping the library under <module_prefix>. If you declare an <opam_package>, an .install file for the library will be generated; the optional (modules <ordered_set_lang>) field allows you to filter the list of modules, and (libraries <ocaml_libraries>) allows the Coq theory depend on ML plugins. For the moment, Dune relies on Coq's standard mechanisms (such as COQPATH) to locate installed Coq libraries.

By default Dune will skip .v files present in subdirectories. In order to enable the usual recursive organization of Coq projects add
```

(include_subdirs qualified)

```
to your dune file.
Once your project is set up, dune build will generate the pkg.install files and all the files necessary for the installation of your project.

Note that projects using Dune to build need to use the compatibility syntax for Declare ML Module, see example below:

\section*{Example}

A typical stanza for a Coq plugin is split into two parts. An OCaml build directive, which is standard Dune:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{73}\) https://dune.readthedocs.io/
}
```

(library
(name equations_plugin)
(public_name equations.plugin)
(flags :standard -warn-error -3-9-27-32-33-50)
(libraries coq.plugins.cc coq.plugins.extraction))
(coq.pp (modules g_equations))

```

And a Coq-specific part that depends on it via the libraries field:
```

(coq.theory
(name Equations) ; -R flag
(package equations)
(synopsis "Equations Plugin")
(libraries coq.plugins.extraction equations.plugin)
(modules :standard \ IdDec NoCycle)) ; exclude some modules that don't build
(include_subdirs qualified)

```

For now, each . v file that loads the plugin must use the following special syntax on its Declare ML Module command for compatibility with current Dune versions (as of Coq 8.16):
```

Declare ML Module "equations_plugin:equations.plugin".

```

\section*{coqdep: Computing Module dependencies}

In order to compute module dependencies (to be used by make or dune), Coq provides the coqdep tool.
coqdep computes inter-module dependencies for Coq programs, and prints the dependencies on the standard output in a format readable by make. When a directory is given as argument, it is recursively looked at.

Dependencies of Coq modules are computed by looking at Require and Declare ML Module commands.
See the man page of coqdep for more details and options.
Both Dune and coq_makefile use coqdep to compute the dependencies among the files part of a Coq project.

\section*{Split compilation of native computation files}

Coq features a native_compute tactic to provide fast computation in the kernel. This process performs compilation of Coq terms to OCaml programs using the OCaml compiler, which may cause an important overhead. Hence native compilation is an opt-in configure flag.

When native compilation is activated, Coq generates the compiled files upfront, i.e. during the coqc invocation on the corresponding . v file. This is impractical because it means one must chose in advance whether they will use a nativecapable Coq installation. In particular, activating native compilation forces the recompilation of the whole Coq installation. See command line options for more details.
Starting from Coq 8.14, a new binary coqnat ive is available. It allows performing split native compilation by generating the native compute files out of the compiled.vo file rather than out of the source .v file.

The coqnat ive command takes a name file.vo as argument and tries to perform native compilation on it. It assumes that the Coq libraries on which file.vo depends have been first compiled to their native files, and will fail otherwise. It accepts the \(-R,-Q,-I\) and \(-n I\) arguments with the same semantics as if the native compilation process had been performed through coqc. In particular, it means that:
- -R and -Q are equivalent
- -I is a no-op that is accepted only for scripting convenience

\section*{Using Coq as a library}

In previous versions, coqmktop was used to build custom toplevels - for example for better debugging or custom static linking. Nowadays, the preferred method is to use ocamlfind.

The most basic custom toplevel is built using:
```

% ocamlfind ocamlopt -thread -linkall -linkpkg \
-package coq.toplevel \
topbin/coqtop_bin.ml -o my_toplevel.native

```

For example, to statically link \(\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}\), you can just do:
```

% ocamlfind ocamlopt -thread -linkall -linkpkg \
-package coq.toplevel,coq.plugins.ltac \
topbin/coqtop_bin.ml -o my_toplevel.native

```
and similarly for other plugins.

\section*{Embedded Coq phrases inside LaTeX documents}

When writing documentation about a proof development, one may want to insert Coq phrases inside a LaTeX document, possibly together with the corresponding answers of the system. We provide a mechanical way to process such Coq phrases embedded in LaTeX files: the coq-tex filter. This filter extracts Coq phrases embedded in LaTeX files, evaluates them, and insert the outcome of the evaluation after each phrase.

Starting with a file file.tex containing Coq phrases, the coq-tex filter produces a file named file.v.tex with the Coq outcome.

There are options to produce the Coq parts in smaller font, italic, between horizontal rules, etc. See the man page of coq-tex for more details.

\section*{Man pages}

There are man pages for the commands coqdep and coq-tex. Man pages are installed at installation time (see installation instructions in file INSTALL, step 6).

\subsection*{4.2.2 Coq commands}

There are several Coq commands:
- coqide: a graphical integrated development environment, described here. In addition, there are several other IDEs such as Proof General, vsCoq and Coqtail that are not included with the Coq installation.
- coqt op: a legacy terminal-oriented, non-graphical interfaces for Coq
- coqc: the Coq compiler (batch compilation)
- coqchk: the Coq checker (validation of compiled libraries)

Many of the parameters to start these tools are shared and are described below. Passing the -help option on the command line will print a summary of the available command line parameters. There are also man pages for each of these, but they are probably less current than -help or this document).

\section*{Interactive use (coqtop)}

In the interactive mode, also known as the Coq toplevel, users can develop their theories and proofs step by step. The Coq toplevel is run by the command coqtop.
There are two different binary images of Coq: the byte-code one and the native-code one (if OCaml provides a native-code compiler for your platform, which is supposed in the following). By default, coqtop executes the native-code version; run coqtop. byte to get the byte-code version.

The byte-code toplevel is based on an OCaml toplevel (to allow dynamic linking of tactics). You can switch to the OCaml toplevel with the command Drop., and come back to the Coq toplevel with the command Coqloop.loop (); ;

\section*{Flag: Coqtop Exit On Error}

This flag, off by default, causes coqtop to exit with status code 1 if a command produces an error instead of recovering from it.

\section*{Batch compilation (coqc)}

The coqc command compiles a Coq proof script file with a ".v" suffix to create a compiled file with a ".vo" suffix. (See Compiled files.) The last component of the filename must be a valid Coq identifier as described in Lexical conventions; it should contain only letters, digits or underscores (_) with a ".v" suffix on the final component. For example /bar/foo/ toto.v is valid, but /bar/foo/to-to.v is not.

We recommend specifying a logical path (which is also the module name) with the -R or the -Q options. Generally we recommend using utilities such as make (using coq_makefile to generate the Makefile) or dune to build Coq projects. See Building a Coq project with coq_makefile (details) and Building a Coq project with Dune.

\section*{Example: Compiling and loading a single file}

If foo.v is in Coq’s current directory, you can use coqc foo.v to compile it and then Require foo. in your script. But this doesn't scale well for larger projects.

Generally it's better to define a new module: To compile foo.v as part of a module Mod1 that is rooted at . (i.e. the directory containing foo.v), run coqc -Q . Mod1 foo.v.

To make the module available in CoqIDE, include the following line in the _CoqProject file (see Building a Coq project with coq_makefile (details)) in the directory from which you start CoqIDE or give it as an argument to the coqide command. \(\langle P A T H\rangle\) is the pathname of the directory containing the module, which can be an absolute path or relative to Coq's current directory. For now, you must close and reload a named script file for CoqIDE to pick up the change, or restart CoqIDE. The project file name is configurable in Edit / Preferences / Project.
```

-R <PATH> Mod1

```

\section*{Customization at launch time}

\section*{Command parameters}

There are 3 mechanisms for passing parameters to Coq commands. In order of importance they are:
- command line options,
- environment variables and
- the coqre start up script
coqre start up script

When Coq is launched, it can implicitly prepend a startup script to any document read by Coq, whether it is an interactive session or a file to compile. The startup script can come from a configuration directory or it can be specified on the command line.

Coq uses the first file found in this list as the startup script:
- \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME / coqrc.<VERSION>
- \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME/coqrc
- \$HOME/.coqrc.<VERSION>
- \$HOME/.coqrc
where \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME is an environment variable. \$HOME is the user's home directory. <VERSION> is the version of Coq (as shown by coqc --version, for example).
-init-file file on the command line uses the specified file instead of a startup script from a configuration directory.
-q prevents the use of a startup script.
The start up script may contain, for instance, Add LoadPath commands to add directories to Coq's load path.

\section*{Environment variables}
\$COQPATH can be used to specify the load path. It is a list of directories separated by : (; on Windows). Coq will also honor \$XDG_DATA_HOME and \$XDG_DATA_DIRS (see Section Logical paths and the load path).

Some Coq commands call other Coq commands. In this case, they look for the commands in directory specified by \(\$ C O Q B I N\). If this variable is not set, they look for the commands in the executable path.
\(\$ C O Q \_C O L O R S\) can be used to specify the set of colors used by coqt op to highlight its output. It uses the same syntax as the \$LS_COLORS variable from GNU's ls, that is, a colon-separated list of assignments of the form name=attr * where name is the name of the corresponding highlight tag and each attr is an ANSI escape code. The list of highlight tags can be retrieved with the -list-tags command-line option of coqtop.

The string uses ANSI escape codes to represent attributes. For example:
```

export COQ_COLORS="diff.added=4;48;2;0;0;240:diff.removed=41"

```
sets the highlights for added text in diffs to underlined (the 4 ) with a background RGB color \((0,0,240)\) and for removed text in diffs to a red background. Note that if you specify COQ_COLORS, the predefined attributes are ignored.
\$OCAMLRUNPARAM, described here \({ }^{74}\), can be used to specify certain runtime and memory usage parameters. In most cases, experimenting with these settings will likely not cause a significant performance difference and should be harmless.
If the variable is not set, Coq uses the default values \({ }^{75}\), except that space_overhead is set to 120 and minor_heap_size is set to 32 Mwords ( 256 MB with 64 -bit executables or 128 MB with 32 -bit executables).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{74} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{caml}\).inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/runtime.html\#s:ocamlrun-options
\(75 \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{caml}\). inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-ocaml/libref/Gc.html\#TYPEcontrol
}

\section*{Command line options}

The following command-line options are recognized by the commands coqc and coqtop, unless stated otherwise:
-I directory, -include directory Add physical path directory to the OCaml loadpath, which is needed to load OCaml object code files (. cmo or . cmxs). Subdirectories are not included. See the command Declare ML Module.

Directories added with -I are searched after the current directory, in the order in which they were given on the command line
-Q directory dirpath Makes the . vo files in a package available for loading with the Require command by adding new entries to the load path. The entries map the logical path dirpath to the physical path directory. Then Coq recursively adds load path entries for subdirectories. For example, -Q . Lib may add the logical path Lib. SubDir.File, which maps to the file ./SubDir/File.vo.

Only subdirectories and files that follow the lexical conventions for idents are included. Subdirectories named CVS or _darcs are excluded. Some operating systems or file systems are more restrictive. For example, Linux's ext4 file system limits filenames to 255 bytes. The default on NTFS (Windows) and HFS+ (MacOS X) file systems is to disallow two files in the same directory with names that differ only in their case.

Loading files from packages made available with -Q must include the logical name of the package in From clause of the Require command or provide a fully qualified name.
-R directory dirpath Similar to -Q directory dirpath, but allows using Require with a partially qualified name (i.e. without a From clause).
-top dirpath Set the logical module name to dirpath for the coqtop interactive session. If no module name is specified, coqt op will default to Top. coqc does not accept this option because the logical module name is inferred from the name of the input file and the corresponding \(-R /-Q\) options.
-exclude-dir directory Exclude any subdirectory named directory while processing options such as -R and -Q. By default, only the conventional version control management directories named CVS and_darcs are excluded.
-nois, -noinit Start from an empty state instead of loading the Init. Prelude module.
-init-file file Load file as the resource file instead of loading the default resource file from the standard configuration directories.
-q Do not to load the default resource file.
-l file, -load-vernac-source file Load and execute the Coq script from file.v.
-lv file, -load-vernac-source-verbose file Load and execute the Coq script from file.v. Write its contents to the standard output as it is executed.
-load-vernac-object qualid Load Coq compiled library qualid. This is equivalent to running Require qualid.

Note: Note that the relative order of this command-line option and its variants (-rfrom, -ri, -re, etc.) and of the -set and -unset options matters since the various Require, Require Import, Require Export, Set and Unset commands will be executed in the order specified on the command-line.
-rfrom dirpath qualid Load Coq compiled library qualid. This is equivalent to running From dirpath Require qualid. See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-ri qualid, -require-import qualid Load Coq compiled library qualid and import it. This is equivalent to running Require Import qualid. See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-re qualid, -require-export qualid Load Coq compiled library qualid and transitively import it. This is equivalent to running Require Export qualid. See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-rifrom dirpath qualid, -require-import-from dirpath qualid Load Coq compiled library qualid and import it. This is equivalent to running From dirpath Require Import qualid. See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-refrom dirpath qualid, -require-export-from dirpath qualid Load Coq compiled library qualid and transitively import it. This is equivalent to running From dirpath Require Export qualid. See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-batch Exit just after argument parsing. Available for coqtop only.
-verbose Output the content of the input file as it is compiled. This option is available for coqc only.
-native-compiler (yesInolondemand) Enable the native_comput e reduction machine and precompilation to . cmxs files for future use by native_compute. Setting yes enables native_compute; it also causes Coq to precompile the native code for future use; all dependencies need to have been precompiled beforehand. Setting no disables native_compute which defaults back to vm_compute; no files are precompiled. Setting ondemand enables native_compute but disables precompilation; all missing dependencies will be recompiled every time native_compute is called.

Deprecated since version 8.14: This flag has been deprecated in favor of the Split compilation of native computation files binary. The toolchain has been adapted to transparently rely on the latter, so if you use Building a Coq project with coq_makefile (details) there is nothing to do. Otherwise you should substitute calls to coqc -native-compiler yes to calls to coqc followed by coqnative on the resulting vo file.
Changed in version 8.13: The default value is set at configure time, -config can be used to retrieve it. All this can be summarized in the following table:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline configure & coqc & native_compute & outcome & requirements \\
\hline yes & yes (default) & native_compute &. cmxs & . cmxs of deps \\
\hline yes & no & vm_compute & none & none \\
\hline yes & ondemand & native_compute & none & none \\
\hline no & yes, no, ondemand & vm_compute & none & none \\
\hline ondemand & yes & native_compute &. cmxs & . cmxs of deps \\
\hline ondemand & no & vm_compute & none & none \\
\hline ondemand & ondemand (default) & native_compute & none & none \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
-native-output-dir Set the directory in which to put the aforementioned.cmxs for native_compute. Defaults to .coq-native.
-vos Indicate Coq to skip the processing of opaque proofs (i.e., proofs ending with Qed or Admitted), output a . vos files instead of a . vo file, and to load . vos files instead of . vo files when interpreting Require commands.
-vok Indicate Coq to check a file completely, to load.vos files instead of . vo files when interpreting Require commands, and to output an empty . vok files upon success instead of writing a \(\cdot\) vo file.
\(-\mathbf{w}\) (all \(\mid\) none \(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{w}\) ?) Configure the display of warnings. This option expects all, none or a commaseparated list of warning names or categories (see Section Controlling display).
-color (onlofflauto) Coqtop only. Enable or disable color output. Default is auto, meaning color is shown only if the output channel supports ANSI escape sequences.
-diffs (onloff|removed) Coqtop only. Controls highlighting of differences between proof steps. on highlights added tokens, removed highlights both added and removed tokens. Requires that -color is enabled. (see Section Showing differences between proof steps).
-beautify Pretty-print each command to file.beautified when compiling file.v, in order to get old-fashioned syntax/definitions/notations.
-emacs, -ide-slave Start a special toplevel to communicate with a specific IDE.
-impredicative-set Change the logical theory of Coq by declaring the sort Set impredicative.

Warning: This is known to be inconsistent with some standard axioms of classical mathematics such as the functional axiom of choice or the principle of description.
-type-in-type Collapse the universe hierarchy of Coq.

Warning: This makes the logic inconsistent.
-mangle-names ident Experimental. Do not depend on this option. Replace Coq's auto-generated name scheme with names of the form ident0, identl, etc. Within Coq, the Mangle Names flag turns this behavior on, and the Mangle Names Prefixoption sets the prefix to use. This feature is intended to be used as a linter for developments that want to be robust to changes in the auto-generated name scheme. The options are provided to facilitate tracking down problems.
-set string Enable flags and set options. string should be setting_name=value, the value is interpreted according to the type of the option. For flags setting_name is equivalent to setting_name=true. For instance -set "Universe Polymorphism" will enable Universe Polymorphism. Note that the quotes are shell syntax, Coq does not see them. See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-unset string As - set but used to disable options and flags. string must be "setting_name". See the note above regarding the order of command-line options.
-compat version Load a file that sets a few options to maintain partial backward-compatibility with a previous version. This is equivalent to Require Import Coq. Compat. CoqXXX with XXX one of the last three released versions (including the current version). Note that the explanations above regarding the order of command-line options apply, and this could be relevant if you are resetting some of the compatibility options.
-dump-glob file Dump references for global names in file file (to be used by coqdoc, see Documenting Coq files with coqdoc). By default, if file.v is being compiled, file.glob is used.
-no-glob Disable the dumping of references for global names.
-image file Set the binary image to be used by coqc to be file instead of the standard one. Not of general use.
-bindir directory Set the directory containing Coq binaries to be used by coqc. It is equivalent to doing export COQBIN \(=\) directory before launching coqc.
-where Print the location of Coq's standard library and exit.
-config Print the locations of Coq's binaries, dependencies, and libraries, then exit.
-filteropts Print the list of command line arguments that coqt op has recognized as options and exit.
-v Print Coq's version and exit.
-list-tags Print the highlight tags known by Coq as well as their currently associated color and exit.
-h, --help Print a short usage and exit.

\section*{Compiled interfaces (produced using -vos)}

Compiled interfaces help saving time while developing Coq formalizations, by compiling the formal statements exported by a library independently of the proofs that it contains.

> Warning: Compiled interfaces should only be used for development purposes. At the end of the day, one still needs to proof check all files by producing standard . vo files. (Technically, when using -vos, fewer universe constraints are collected.) Moreover, this feature is still experimental, it may be subject to change without prior notice.

\section*{Principle.}

The compilation using coqc -vos foo.v produces a file called foo.vos, which is similar to foo.vo except that all opaque proofs are skipped in the compilation process.
The compilation using coqc -vok foo.v checks that the file foo.v correctly compiles, including all its opaque proofs. If the compilation succeeds, then the output is a file called foo.vok, with empty contents. This file is only a placeholder indicating that foo.v has been successfully compiled. (This placeholder is useful for build systems such as make.)

When compiling a file bar.v that depends on foo.v (for example via Require Foo. command), if the compilation command is coqc -vos bar.vor coqc -vok bar.v, then the file foo.vos gets loaded (instead of foo.vo). A special case is if file \(f \circ \mathrm{f}\). vos exists and has empty contents, and foo.vo exists, then foo.vo is loaded.
Appart from the aforementioned case where foo.vo can be loaded in place of foo.vos, in general the .vos and . vok files live totally independently from the . vo files.

\section*{Dependencies generated by "coq_makefile".}

The files foo.vos and foo.vok both depend on foo.v.
Furthermore, if a file foo.v requires bar.v, then foo.vos and foo.vok also depend on bar.vos.
Note, however, that foo.vok does not depend on bar.vok. Hence, as detailed further, parallel compilation of proofs is possible.

In addition, coq_makefile generates for a file foo.v a target foo.required_vos which depends on the list of .vos files that foo.vos depends upon (excluding foo.vos itself). As explained next, the purpose of this target is to be able to request the minimal working state for editing interactively the file foo.v.

Warning: When writing a custom build system, be aware that coqdep only produces dependencies related to . vos and .vok if the -vos command line flag is passed. This is to maintain compatibility with dune (see ocaml/dune\#2642 on github \({ }^{76}\) ).

\section*{Typical compilation of a set of file using a build system.}

Assume a file foo.v that depends on two files \(f 1 . v\) and \(f 2 . v\). The command make foo.required_vos will compile \(f 1 . v\) and \(f 2 . v\) using the option -vos to skip the proofs, producing f1.vos and f2.vos. At this point, one

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{76}\) https://github.com/ocaml/dune/issues/2842
}
is ready to work interactively on the file foo.v, even though it was never needed to compile the proofs involved in the files \(f 1 . v\) and \(f 2 . v\).
Assume a set of files \(f 1 . v \ldots f n . v\) with linear dependencies. The command make vos enables compiling the statements (i.e. excluding the proofs) in all the files. Next, make \(-j\) vok enables compiling all the proofs in parallel. Thus, calling make \(-j\) vok directly enables taking advantage of a maximal amount of parallelism during the compilation of the set of files.

Note that this comes at the cost of parsing and typechecking all definitions twice, once for the . vos file and once for the . vok file. However, if files contain nontrivial proofs, or if the files have many linear chains of dependencies, or if one has many cores available, compilation should be faster overall.

\section*{Need for Proof using}

When a theorem is in a section, typechecking the statement of the theorem may be insufficient to deduce the type of the statement at the end of the section. For example, the proof of the theorem may make use of section variables or section hypotheses that are not mentioned in the statement of the theorem.
For this reason, proofs in sections should begin with Proof using instead of Proof. The using clause should give the names of the section variables that are required for the proof that are not involved in the typechecking of the statement. See Suggest Proof Using. (Note it's fine to use Proof using. instead of Proof. for proofs that are not in a section.)

When using-vos, proofs in sections with Proof using are skipped. Proofs in sections without Proof using are fully processed (much slower).

\section*{Interaction with standard compilation}

When compiling a file \(f \circ \circ \cdot v\) using coqc in the standard way (i.e., without -vos nor -vok), an empty file foo.vos and an empty file foo.vok are created in addition to the regular output file foo.vo. If coqc is subsequently invoked on some other file bar.v using option -vos or -vok, and that bar.v requires foo.v, if Coq finds an empty file foo.vos, then it will load foo.vo instead of foo.vos.
The purpose of this feature is to allow users to benefit from the -vos option even if they depend on libraries that were compiled in the traditional manner (i.e., never compiled using the -vos option).

\section*{Compiled libraries checker (coqchk)}

The coqchk command takes a list of library paths as argument, described either by their logical name or by their physical filename, which must end in .vo. The corresponding compiled libraries (.vo files) are searched in the path, recursively processing the libraries they depend on. The content of all these libraries is then type checked. The effect of coqchk is only to return with normal exit code in case of success, and with positive exit code if an error has been found. Error messages are not deemed to help the user understand what is wrong. In the current version, it does not modify the compiled libraries to mark them as successfully checked.

Note that non-logical information is not checked. By logical information, we mean the type and optional body associated with names. It excludes for instance anything related to the concrete syntax of objects (customized syntax rules, association between short and long names), implicit arguments, etc.
This tool can be used for several purposes. One is to check that a compiled library provided by a third-party has not been forged and that loading it cannot introduce inconsistencies \({ }^{77}\). Another point is to get an even higher level of security. Since coqtop can be extended with custom tactics, possibly ill-typed code, it cannot be guaranteed that the produced compiled libraries are correct. coqchk is a standalone verifier, and thus it cannot be tainted by such malicious code.

Command-line options -Q, -R , -where and-impredicative-set are supported by coqchk and have the same meaning as for coqtop. As there is no notion of relative paths in object files \(-Q\) and \(-R\) have exactly the same meaning.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{77}\) Ill-formed non-logical information might for instance bind Coq.Init.Logic.True to short name False, so apparently False is inhabited, but using fully qualified names, Coq.Init.Logic.False will always refer to the absurd proposition, what we guarantee is that there is no proof of this latter constant.
}
-norec module Check module but do not check its dependencies.
-admit module Do not check module and any of its dependencies, unless explicitly required.
-o At exit, print a summary about the context. List the names of all assumptions and variables (constants without a body).
-silent Do not write progress information to the standard output.
Environment variable \$COQLIB can be set to override the location of the standard library.
The algorithm for deciding which modules are checked or admitted is the following: assuming that coqchk is called with argument M, option -norec N , and -admit A. Let us write \(\bar{S}\) for the set of reflexive transitive dependencies of set \(S\). Then:
- Modules \(C=\bar{M} \backslash \bar{A} \cup M \cup N\) are loaded and type checked before being added to the context.
- And \(M \cup N \backslash C\) is the set of modules that are loaded and added to the context without type checking. Basic integrity checks (checksums) are nonetheless performed.
As a rule of thumb, -admit can be used to tell Coq that some libraries have already been checked. So coqchk A B can be split in coqchk A \&\& coqchk B -admit A without type checking any definition twice. Of course, the latter is slightly slower since it makes more disk access. It is also less secure since an attacker might have replaced the compiled library A after it has been read by the first command, but before it has been read by the second command.

\subsection*{4.2.3 Documenting Coq files with coqdoc}
coqdoc is a documentation tool for the proof assistant Coq, similar to javadoc or ocamldoc. The task of coqdoc is
1. to produce a nice LaTeX and/or HTML document from Coq source files, readable for a human and not only for the proof assistant;
2. to help users navigate their own (or third-party) sources.

\section*{Principles}

Documentation is inserted into Coq files as special comments. Thus your files will compile as usual, whether you use coqdoc or not. coqdoc presupposes that the given Coq files are well-formed (at least lexically). Documentation starts with ( **, followed by a space, and ends with *). The documentation format is inspired by Todd A. Coram's Almost Free Text (AFT) tool: it is mainly ASCII text with some syntax-light controls, described below. coqdoc is robust: it shouldn't fail, whatever the input is. But remember: "garbage in, garbage out".

\section*{Coq material inside documentation.}

Coq material is quoted between the delimiters [ and ]. Square brackets may be nested, the inner ones being understood as being part of the quoted code (thus you can quote a term like let id := fun [ T : Type] ( \(\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{t}\) ) => x in id 0 by writing [let id := fun [T: Type] ( \(\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{t})=>\mathrm{x}\) in id 0 ]). Inside quotations, the code is pretty-printed the same way as in code parts.
Preformatted vernacular is enclosed by [ [ and ] ]. The former must be followed by a newline and the latter must follow a newline.

\section*{Pretty-printing.}
coqdoc uses different faces for identifiers and keywords. The pretty- printing of Coq tokens (identifiers or symbols) can be controlled using one of the following commands:
```

(** printing *token* %...LATEX...% \#...html...\# *)

```
or
```

(** printing *token* $...LATEX math...$ \#...html...\# *)

```

It gives the LaTeX and HTML texts to be produced for the given Coq token. Either the LaTeX or the HTML rule may be omitted, causing the default pretty-printing to be used for this token.

The printing for one token can be removed with
```

(** remove printing *token* *)

```

Initially, the pretty-printing table contains the following mapping:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline\(->\) & \(\rightarrow\) & & \(<-\) & \(\leftarrow\) & & \(\star\) & \(\times\) \\
\hline\(<=\) & \(\leq\) & & \(>=\) & \(\geq\) & & \(=>\) & \(\Rightarrow\) \\
\hline\(<>\) & \(\neq\) & & \(<->\) & \(\leftrightarrow\) & & \(\mid-\) & \(\vdash\) \\
\hline\(\backslash /\) & \(\vee\) & & \(/ \backslash\) & \(\wedge\) & & \(\sim\) & \(\neg\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Any of these can be overwritten or suppressed using the printing commands.

Note: The recognition of tokens is done by a (ocaml) lex automaton and thus applies the longest-match rule. For instance, \(->\sim\) is recognized as a single token, where Coq sees two tokens. It is the responsibility of the user to insert space between tokens or to give pretty-printing rules for the possible combinations, e.g.
```

(** printing ->~ %\ensuremath{->\lnot}% *)

```

\section*{Sections}

Sections are introduced by 1 to 4 asterisks at the beginning of a line followed by a space and the title of the section. One asterisk is a section, two a subsection, etc.

\section*{Example}
```

(** * Well-founded relations
In this section, we introduce... *)

```

\section*{Lists.}

List items are introduced by a leading dash. coqdoc uses whitespace to determine the depth of a new list item and which text belongs in which list items. A list ends when a line of text starts at or before the level of indenting of the list's dash. A list item's dash must always be the first non-space character on its line (so, in particular, a list can not begin on the first line of a comment - start it on the second line instead).
```

Example
We go by induction on [n]:

- If [n] is 0...
- If [n] is [S n'] we require...
two paragraphs of reasoning, and two subcases:
    - In the first case...
    - In the second case...
So the theorem holds.

```

\section*{Rules.}

More than 4 leading dashes produce a horizontal rule.

\section*{Emphasis.}

Text can be italicized by enclosing it in underscores. A non-identifier character must precede the leading underscore and follow the trailing underscore, so that uses of underscores in names aren't mistaken for emphasis. Usually, these are spaces or punctuation.
```

This sentence contains some _emphasized text_.

```

\section*{Escaping to LaTeX and HTML.}

Pure LaTeX or HTML material can be inserted using the following escape sequences:
- \$ . . LATEX stuff. . . \$ inserts some LaTeX material in math mode. Simply discarded in HTML output.
- \% . . LATEX stuff . . . \% inserts some LaTeX material. Simply discarded in HTML output.
- \#. . . HTML stuff. . . \# inserts some HTML material. Simply discarded in LaTeX output.

Note: to simply output the characters \$, \% and \# and escaping their escaping role, these characters must be doubled.

\section*{Verbatim}

Verbatim material is introduced by a leading \(\ll\) and closed by >> at the beginning of a line.
```

Example
Here is the corresponding caml code:
<<
let rec fact n =
if n <= 1 then 1 else n * fact (n-1)
>>

```

Verbatim material on a single line is also possible (assuming that \(\gg\) is not part of the text to be presented as verbatim).
```

Example
Here is the corresponding caml expression: << fact (n-1) >>

```

\section*{Hyperlinks}

Hyperlinks can be inserted into the HTML output, so that any identifier is linked to the place of its definition.
coqc file.v automatically dumps localization information in file.glob or appends it to a file specified using the option --dump-glob file. Take care of erasing this global file, if any, when starting the whole compilation process.

Then invoke coqdoc or coqdoc --glob-from file to tell coqdoc to look for name resolutions in the file file (it will look in file.glob by default).

Identifiers from the Coq standard library are linked to the Coq website http://coq.inria.fr/library/. This behavior can be changed using command line options --no-externals and --coqlib_url; see below.

\section*{Hiding / Showing parts of the source}

Some parts of the source can be hidden using command line options -g and -1 (see below), or using such comments:
```

(* begin hide *)
*some Coq material*
(* end hide *)

```

Conversely, some parts of the source which would be hidden can be shown using such comments:
```

(* begin show *)
*some Coq material*
(* end show *)

```

The latter cannot be used around some inner parts of a proof, but can be used around a whole proof.
Lastly, it is possible to adopt a middle-ground approach when the desired output is HTML, where a given snippet of Coq material is hidden by default, but can be made visible with user interaction.
```

(* begin details *)
*some Coq material*
(* end details *)

```

There is also an alternative syntax available.
```

(* begin details : Some summary describing the snippet *)
*some Coq material*
(* end details *)

```

\section*{Usage}
coqdoc is invoked on a shell command line as follows: coqdoc <options and files>. Any command line argument which is not an option is considered to be a file (even if it starts with a-). Coq files are identified by the suffixes .\(v\) and.\(g\) and LaTeX files by the suffix .tex.

HTML output This is the default output format. One HTML file is created for each Coq file given on the command line, together with a file index.html (unless option-no-index is passed). The HTML pages use a style sheet named style.css. Such a file is distributed with coqdoc.

LaTeX output A single LaTeX file is created, on standard output. It can be redirected to a file using the option -o. The order of files on the command line is kept in the final document. LaTeX files given on the command line are copied 'as is' in the final document. DVI and PostScript can be produced directly with the options -dvi and -ps respectively.

TEXmacs output To translate the input files to TEXmacs format, to be used by the TEXmacs Coq interface.

\section*{Command line options}

\section*{Overall options}
--HTML Select a HTML output.
--LaTeX Select a LaTeX output.
--dvi Select a DVI output.
--ps Select a PostScript output.
--texmacs Select a TEXmacs output.
--stdout Write output to stdout.
-o file, --output file Redirect the output into the file 'file' (meaningless with -html).
-d dir, --directory dir Output files into directory 'dir’ instead of the current directory (option \(-d\) does not change the filename specified with the option \(-\circ\), if any).
--body-only Suppress the header and trailer of the final document. Thus, you can insert the resulting document into a larger one.
-p string, --preamble string Insert some material in the LaTeX preamble, right before \(\backslash\) begin \{document \} (meaningless with -html).
--vernac-file file,--tex-file file Considers the file file' respectively as a \(\cdot \mathrm{v}\) (or .g) file or a .tex file.
--files-from file Read filenames to be processed from the file 'file' as if they were given on the command line. Useful for program sources split up into several directories.
\(-\mathbf{q},-\)-quiet Be quiet. Do not print anything except errors.
-h, --help Give a short summary of the options and exit.
-v, --version Print the version and exit.

\section*{Index options}

The default behavior is to build an index, for the HTML output only, into index. html.
--no-index Do not output the index.
--multi-index Generate one page for each category and each letter in the index, together with a top page index.html.
--index string Make the filename of the index string instead of "index". Useful since "index.html" is special.
Table of contents option
-toc, --table-of-contents Insert a table of contents. For a LaTeX output, it inserts a tableofcontents at the beginning of the document. For a HTML output, it builds a table of contents into toc.html.
--toc-depth int Only include headers up to depth int in the table of contents.

\section*{Hyperlink options}
--glob-from file Make references using Coq globalizations from file file. (Such globalizations are obtained with Coq option -dump-glob).
--no-externals Do not insert links to the Coq standard library.
--external url coqdir Use given URL for linking references whose name starts with prefix coqdir.
--coqlib_url url Set base URL for the Coq standard library (default is http://coq.inria.fr/ library/). This is equivalent to --external url Coq.
-R dir coqdir Recursively map physical directory dir to Coq logical directory coqdir (similarly to Coq option -R ).
-Q dir coqdir Map physical directory dir to Coq logical directory coqdir (similarly to Coq option-Q).

Note: options -R and -Q only have effect on the files following them on the command line, so you will probably need to put this option first.

\section*{Title options}
-s, --short Do not insert titles for the files. The default behavior is to insert a title like "Library Foo" for each file.
--lib-name string Print "string Foo" instead of "Library Foo" in titles. For example "Chapter" and "Module" are reasonable choices.
--no-lib-name Print just "Foo" instead of "Library Foo" in titles.
--lib-subtitles Look for library subtitles. When enabled, the first line of each file is checked for a comment of the form:
```

(** * ModuleName : text *)

```
where ModuleName must be the name of the file. If it is present, the text is used as a subtitle for the module in appropriate places.
-t string, --title string Set the document title.

\section*{Contents options}
-g, --gallina Do not print proofs.
\(-1,--\) light Light mode. Suppress proofs (as with -g ) and the following commands:
- [Recursive] Tactic Definition
- Hint / Hints
- Require
- Transparent / Opaque
- Implicit Argument / Implicits
- Section / Variable / Hypothesis / End

The behavior of options \(-g\) and -1 can be locally overridden using the (* begin show *) ... (* end show *) environment (see above).

There are a few options that control the parsing of comments:
--parse-comments Parse regular comments delimited by (* and *) as well. They are typeset inline.
--plain-comments Do not interpret comments, simply copy them as plain-text.
--interpolate Use the globalization information to typeset identifiers appearing in Coq escapings inside comments.

\section*{Language options}

The default behavior is to assume ASCII 7 bit input files.
-latin1, --latin1 Select ISO-8859-1 input files. It is equivalent to --inputenc latin1 --charset iso-8859-1.
-utf8, --utf8 Set --inputenc utf8x for LaTeX output and--charset utf-8 for HTML output. Also use Unicode replacements for a couple of standard plain ASCII notations such as \(\rightarrow\) for \(->\) and \(\forall\) for forall. LaTeX UTF-8 support can be found at http:// www.ctan.org/pkg/unicode. For the interpretation of Unicode characters by LaTeX, extra packages which coqdoc does not provide by default might be required, such as textgreek for some Greek letters or stmaryrd for some mathematical symbols. If a Unicode character is missing an interpretation in the utf8x input encoding, add \(\backslash\) DeclareUnicodeCharacter code\}\{LATEX-interpretation\}. Packages and declarations can be added with option -p .
--inputenc string Give a LaTeX input encoding, as an option to LaTeX package inputenc.
--charset string Specify the HTML character set, to be inserted in the HTML header.

\section*{The coqdoc LaTeX style file}

In case you choose to produce a document without the default LaTeX preamble (by using option --no-preamble), then you must insert into your own preamble the command
\usepackage\{coqdoc \}
The package optionally takes the argument [color] to typeset identifiers with colors (this requires the xcolor package).
Then you may alter the rendering of the document by redefining some macros:
coqdockw, coqdocid, ... The one-argument macros for typesetting keywords and identifiers. Defaults are sans-serif for keywords and italic for identifiers.For example, if you would like a slanted font for keywords, you may insert
\renewcommand \(\{\) coqdockw \(\}\) [1] \(\{\) textsl \(\{\# 1\}\}\)
anywhere between \usepackage \(\{\) coqdoc \(\}\) and \(\backslash\) begin \(\{\) document \(\}\).
coqdocmodule One-argument macro for typesetting the title of a .v file. Default is
\newcommand\{ \coqdocmodule\}[1]\{\section*\{Module \#1\}\}
and you may redefine it using \renewcommand.

\subsection*{4.2.4 CoqIDE}

The Coq Integrated Development Environment (CoqIDE) is a user-friendly GUI for Coq. Its main purpose is to allow users to edit Coq scripts and step forward and backward through them. Stepping forward executes commands and tactics while stepping backward undoes previously executed commands and tactics, returning to a previous state.
To run CoqIDE, enter coqide on the command line. If you include script file names (which end with .v) as arguments, each is opened in a separate tab. If you don't, CoqIDE opens a single unnamed buffer (titled *scratch*). coqide also accepts many of the options of coqtop (see Coq commands), while ignoring the ones that aren't meaningful for CoqIDE. Use coqide --help to see the list of command line options.


The screenshot shows CoqIDE as the user is stepping through the file Fermat.v. A menu bar and a tool bar appear at the top of the window. The left-hand panel shows the current script buffer. Each script buffer corresponds to a separate Coq process. The upper right panel is the proof panel, which shows the goals to be proven.

The lower right panel has three tabs: the Messages panel, which shows messages produced by commands and tactics; the Errors panel, which shows errors detected when running in async mode and the Jobs panel, which shows information on the worker processes used by async mode. The contents of the right-hand panels are specific to the currently-displayed script. Click the arrow icons to detach these panel into separate windows. The proof panel can be detached from the Windows/Detach Proof menu item.

The status bar is a line of text that appears at the bottom of the window.

\section*{Managing files and buffers, basic editing}

The File menu lets you open files into buffers, create new buffers, save buffers to files, and print or export them in various formats.

Text editing provides the basic operations such as copy, cut, paste, find and replace. Most editing operations are shown in the Edit menu. Keystroke equivalents (if defined) for menu items are shown on the right of each item. If you need more complex editor commands, you can launch an external text editor on the current buffer, using the Edit/External Editor menu. (Use Edit/Preferences/Externals/External Editor to specify the external text editor.) When you're done editing, you currently must reopen the file to see your changes. Also note:
- Undo is also available as Ctrl-Z (Cmd-Z on macOS). Redo is Ctrl-Shift-Z (Shift-Cmd-Z on macOS).
- Select all is Ctrl-A (Cmd-A on macOS).
- Home and End move the cursor to the beginning or end of the current line.
- If you remove the default bindings for Ctrl-Home and Ctrl-End, these keys will move the cursor to the beginning or end of the buffer.
- Ctrl-Delete deletes a word of text after the cursor
- Ctrl-Backspace deletes a word of text before the cursor
- Commenting and uncommenting the current line or selected text is available in the Tools menu. If some text is selected, exactly that text is commented out; otherwise the line containing the cursor is commented out. To uncomment, position the cursor between ( \(*\) and \(*\) ) or select any text between them.

Files are automatically saved periodically to a recovery file. For example, foo.v is saved to \#foo.v\# every 10 seconds by default. You can change the interval in the Edit / Preferences / Files dialog. In some cases when CoqIDE exits abruptly, it saves named buffers in <NAME>. crashcoqide in the same directory as <NAME>. Unnamed buffers are saved in Unnamed_coqscript_<N>.crashcoqide in the directory that CoqIDE was started in.
In the View menu, you can set several printing options that correspond to options that can appear in the script. For example, "Display notations" on the menu corresponds to the Printing Notations flag. You should use the menu instead of controlling these settings in your script.

\section*{Running Coq scripts}

Operations for running the script are available in the Navigation menu, from the toolbar and from the keyboard. These include:
- Forward (Ctrl-Down) to run one command or tactic
- Backward (Ctrl-Up) undo one command or tactic
- Run to cursor (Ctrl-Right) to run commands up to the cursor
- Run to end (Ctrl-End) to run commands to the end of the buffer
- Reset Coq (Ctrl-Home) to restart the Coq process
- Interrupt to stop processing commands after the current command completes. (Note: on Windows but not on WSL, Interrupt doesn't work if you start CoqIDE as a background process, e.g. coqide \& in bash. See Coq issue \#16142 \({ }^{78}\) ).
Tooltips identify the action associated with each toolbar icon.
Commands may:
- Complete successfully. In this case, the background of the command is marked with the "processed" color (green by default), except for Axioms and Admitteds, which are marked in light orange to indicate they are unproven assumptions.
- Complete with a warning. In this case, the warning appears in the messages panel in yellow. The background of the command is marked with the "processed" color and the text is shown in blue and underlined. The message text is available as a tooltip on the text of the command.
- Fail with an error. If you're stepping through the proof line by line, the error message appears in the message panel in red and the command is shown in red and underlined with a pink background. If you're in async mode, described in more detail below, the message appears in the errors panel. Double click on an entry to jump to the point of the error. Execution of commands stops unless you're in async mode.

In the previous figure CoqIDE main screen, the running buffer is Fermat.v. All commands until the Theorem have already been executed, then the user tried to go forward executing Induction n . That command failed because no such tactic exists (names of standard tactics are written in lowercase). The failing command has been underlined.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{78} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16142
}

If you're not in async mode and you modify the processed part of the buffer, everything after that point is undone. Unlike in coqtop, you should not use Undo to go backward.
The other buttons on the toolbar do the following:
- Save the current buffer (down arrow icon)
- Close the current buffer ("X" icon)
- Fully check the document (gears icon) - for async mode
- Previous occurrence (left arrow icon) - find the previous occurrence of the current word (The current word is determined by the cursor position.)
- Next occurrence (right arrow icon) - find the next occurrence of the current word

The colored ribbon appearing across the bottom of the CoqIDE window just above the status bar represents the state of processing for the current script schematically. Blue means unprocessed, light green means successfully processed, red mean an error, light orange is used for Axiom and Admitted and gray for proofs awaiting their final check. Clicking on the bar moves the script cursor to the corresponding part of the script. (See the next screenshot, in the async mode section.)

The left edge of the ribbon corresponds to the first command or tactic in the script and the right edge corresponds to the last command that has been passed to Coq. Currently, for very long scripts, it may take many seconds for CoqIDE to pass all the commands to the server, causing the display to jump around a lot. Perhaps this will be improved in a future release. The text at the far right hand side of the status bar (e.g. " \(0 / 1\) " gives the number of unprocessed proofs that have been sent to Coq and the number of proofs that have errors.

\section*{Asynchronous mode}

Asynchronous mode uses multiple Coq processes to process proofs in parallel with proof-level granularity. This is described in detail in Asynchronous and Parallel Proof Processing.

While synchronous mode stops processing at the first error it encounters, in async mode, errors only stop processing the proof the error appears in. Therefore async mode can report errors in multiple proofs without manual intervention. In addition, async mode lets the user edit failed proofs without invalidating successful proofs that appear after it in the script. The part of a failed proof between Proof. and Qed. can then be edited. Quirk: the light blue part after the error and before Qed. becomes editable only after you've changed the error-highlighted text or before it.


In the screenshot, the proof of the failed theorem can be edited (between Proof. and Qed.) without invalidating the theorems that follow it. The modified proof can then be reprocessed using the usual navigation operations. The light blue highlight in the script indicates commands that haven't been processed.

Async mode defers the final type checking step of proofs, leaving the Qed. marked in a slightly different shade of light blue to indicate this. To complete the final checking, click on the "gears" button on the toolbar ("Fully check the document").

\section*{Commands and templates}

The Templates menu allows using shortcuts to insert commands. This is a nice way to proceed if you're not sure of the syntax of the command you want.
Moreover, from this menu you can automatically insert templates of complex commands like Fixpoint that you can conveniently fill in afterwards.

\section*{Queries}


A query is any command that does not change the current state, such as About, Check, Print, Search, etc. The query pane lets you run such commands interactively without modifying your script. The query pane is accessible from the View menu, or using the shortcut F1. You can also do queries by selecting some text, then choosing an item from the Queries menu. The response will appear in the message panel. The image above shows the result after selecting Nat. mul in the bottom line of the script panel, then choosing Print from the Queries menu.

\section*{Compilation}

The Compile menu offers direct commands to:
- compile the current buffer
- run a compilation using make
- go to the next compilation error and
- create a Makefile using coq_makefile.

At the moment these are not working well. We recommend you compile from a terminal window for now. We expect to fix them soon.

Compile buffer saves the current buffer and compiles it with coqc as specified in the Externals section of the Edit/Preferences dialog. Output appears in the Messages panel. It's mostly useful for single-file projects
because it doesn't automatically recompile other files that it depends on that may have changed.
Make and Make makefile run the make and coqmakefile commands shown in the Externals section of the Edit/Preferences dialog. Output appears in the Messages panel. If you use _CoqProject files, you may want to change the settings to make -f CoqMakefile and coq_makefile -f _CoqProject -o CoqMakefile as suggested in here. Alternatively, you may find it easier to do your make and coq_makefile commands from the command line.

Note that you must explicitly save changed buffers before you run make. File/Save all is helpful for this. Notice that modified and unmodified buffers show different icons next to the filename on the tab. You may find them helpful.

To use the compiled files after compiling a project with the makefile, you must restart the Coq interpreter (using Navigation/Start in the menu or Ctrl-Home) for any buffer in which you're stepping through code that relies on the compiled files.

To make changes to _CoqProject take effect, you must close and reopen buffers associated with files in the project. Note that each buffer is independently associated with a _CoqProject. The Project section of the Edit/Preferences' dialog specifies the name to use for the _CoqProject file. We recommend not changing this. Remember that these settings are done on a per-installation basis; they currently can't be set differently for each package you're developing.

\section*{Customizations}

\section*{Preferences}

You may customize your environment with the Preferences dialog, which is accessible from Edit/Preferences on the menu. There are several sections:

The Fonts section is for selecting the text font used for scripts, goal and message panels.
The Colors and Tags sections are for controlling colors and style of the three main buffers. A predefined Coq highlighting style as well as standard GtkSourceView styles are available. Other styles can be added e.g. in \$HOME/.local/ share/gtksourceview-3.0/styles/ (see the general documentation about GtkSourceView for the various possibilities). Note that the style of the rest of graphical part of CoqIDE is not under the control of GtkSourceView but of GTK+ and governed by files such as settings. ini and gtk. css in \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME/gtk-3.0 or files in \$HOME/. themes/NameOfTheme/gtk-3.0, as well as the environment variable GTK_THEME (search the internet for the various possibilities).

The Editor section is for customizing the editor. It includes in particular the ability to activate an Emacs mode named micro-Proof-General (use the Help menu to know more about the available bindings).

The Files section is devoted to file management: you may configure automatic saving of files, by periodically saving the contents into files named \(\# \mathrm{f} \#\) for each opened file f . You may also activate the revert feature: in case a opened file is modified on the disk by a third party, CoqIDE may read it again for you. Note that in the case you edited that same file, you will be prompted to choose to either discard your changes or not. The File charset encoding choice is described below in Character encoding for saved files.
Project
Appearance
The Externals section allows customizing the external commands for compilation, printing, web browsing. In the browser command, you may use \(\% s\) to denote the URL to open, for example: firefox -remote "OpenURL (\%s)".

Shortcuts
Misc
Preferences and key bindings are saved in the user configuration directory, which is \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME / coq if the environment variable \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME is set. If the variable isn't set, the directory is \(\sim /\). config/coq on Linux
and \(\mathrm{C}: \backslash\) Users \(\backslash\) UUSERNAME \(>\backslash\) AppData \(\backslash\) Local \(\backslash c o q\) on Windows. Preferences are in the file "coqiderc" and key bindings are in the file "coqide.keys".

\section*{Key bindings}

Each menu item in the GUI shows its key binding, if one has been defined, on the right-hand side. Typing the key binding is equivalent to selecting the associated item from the menu. A GTK+ accelerator keymap is saved under the name coqide. keys in the user configuration directory. You can modify the key binding ("accelerator") for a menu entry by going to the corresponding menu item without releasing the mouse button, pressing the keys you want for the new binding and then releasing the mouse button.

Alternatively, you can edit the file directly. Make sure there are no CoqIDE processes running while you edit the file. (CoqIDE creates or overwrites the file when it terminates, which may reorder the lines).

The file contains lines such as:
```

; (gtk_accel_path "<Actions>/Queries/About" "<Primary><Shift>a")
; (gtk_accel_path "<Actions>/Export/Export to" "")
(gtk_accel_path "<Actions>/Edit/Find Next" "F4")

```

The first line corresponds to the menu item for the Queries/About menu item, which was bound by default to Shift-Ctrl-A. "<Primary>" indicates Ctrl. The second line is for a menu item that has no key binding.

Lines that begin with semicolons are comments created by CoqIDE. CoqIDE uses the default binding for these items. To change a key binding, remove the semicolon and set the third item in the list as desired, such as in the third line. Avoid assigning the same binding to multiple items.

If the same menu item name appears on multiple lines in the file, the value from the last line is used. This is convenient for copying a group of changes from elsewhere-- just insert the changes at the end of the file. The next time CoqIDE terminates, it will resort the items.

The end of this file \({ }^{79}\) gives the names of the keys.
Some menu entries can be changed as a group from the Edit/Preferences/Shortcuts panel. Key bindings that don't appear in the file such as Ctrl-A (Select All) can't be changed with this mechanism. (At the moment, Ctrl-A does not work on Windows.)

\section*{Using Unicode symbols}

CoqIDE is based on GTK+ and inherits from it support for Unicode in its text panels. Consequently a large set of symbols is available for notations. Furthermore, CoqIDE conveniently provides a simple way to input Unicode characters.

\section*{Displaying Unicode symbols}

You just need to define suitable notations as described in the chapter Syntax extensions and notation scopes. For example, to use the mathematical symbols \(\forall\) and \(\exists\), you may define:

```

    (at level 200, x binder, y binder, right associativity)
    : type_scope.
    Notation "\exists x .. Y , P" := (exists x, .. (exists y, P) ..)
(at level 200, x binder, y binder, right associativity)
: type_scope.

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{79} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/linuxmint/gtk/blob/master/gdk/keyname-table.h\#:~:text=NC_(\%22keyboard\%20label\%22\%2C\%20\%22BackSpace\%22)
}

A small set of such notations are already defined in the Coq library which you can enable with Require Import Unicode. Ut f8 inside CoqIDE, or equivalently, by starting CoqIDE with coqide -l utf8.
However, there are some issues when using such Unicode symbols: you of course need to use a character font which supports them. In the Fonts section of the preferences, the Preview line displays some Unicode symbols, so you could figure out if the selected font is OK. Related to this, one thing you may need to do is choosing whether GTK + should use antialiased fonts or not, by setting the environment variable GDK_USE_XFT to 1 or 0 respectively.

\section*{Bindings for input of Unicode symbols}

CoqIDE supports a builtin mechanism to input non-ASCII symbols. For example, to input \(\pi\), it suffices to type \(\backslash\) pi then press the combination of key Shift+Space (default key binding). Often, it suffices to type a prefix of the latex token, e.g. typing \(\backslash p\) then Shift+Space suffices to insert а п п.

For several symbols, ASCII art is also recognized, e.g. \(\backslash->\) for a right arrow, or \(\backslash>=\) for a greater than or equal sign.
A larger number of latex tokens are supported by default. The full list is available here: https://github.com/coq/coq/blob/ master/ide/coqide/default_bindings_src.ml
Custom bindings may be added, as explained further on.
The mechanism is active by default, but can be turned off in the Editor section of the preferences.

Note: It remains possible to input non-ASCII symbols using system-wide approaches independent of CoqIDE.

\section*{Adding custom bindings}

To extend the default set of bindings, create a file named coqide.bindings in the user configuration directory. The file coqide.bindings should contain one binding per line, in the form \(\backslash k e y\) value, followed by an optional priority integer. (The key and value should not contain any space character.)

\section*{Example}

Here is an example configuration file:
```

\par ||
\pi п 1
\le \leq 1
\lambda \ 2
\lambdas \lambdas

```

Above, the priority number 1 on \(\backslash p i\) indicates that the prefix \(\backslash p\) should resolve to \(\backslash p i\), and not to something else (e.g. \(\backslash\) par). Similarly, the above settings ensure than \(\backslash 1\) resolves to \(\backslash l e\), and that \(\backslash l a\) resolves to \(\backslash l\) ambda.
It can be useful to work with per-project binding files. For this purpose CoqIDE accepts a command line argument of the form -unicode-bindings file1,file2,...,fileN. Each of the file tokens provided may consists of one of:
- a path to a custom bindings file,
- the token default, which resolves to the default bindings file,
- the token local, which resolves to the coqide.bindings file stored in the user configuration directory.

Warning: If a filename other than the first one includes a "~" to refer to the home directory, it won't be expanded properly. To work around that issue, one should not use comas but instead repeat the flag, in the form: -unicode-bindings file1 .. -unicode-bindings fileN.

Note: If two bindings for a same token both have the same priority value (or both have no priority value set), then the binding considered is the one from the file that comes first on the command line.

\section*{Character encoding for saved files}

In the Files section of the preferences, the encoding option is related to the way files are saved.
If you have no need to exchange files with non-UTF-8 aware applications, it is better to choose the UTF-8 encoding, since it guarantees that your files will be read again without problems. (This is because when CoqIDE reads a file, it tries to automatically detect its character encoding.)

If you choose something else than UTF-8, then missing characters will be written encoded by x \(\{\ldots \ldots\) or \(\times\{\ldots \ldots\) . \(\}\) where each dot is an hexadecimal digit: the number between braces is the hexadecimal Unicode index for the missing character.

\section*{Debugger}

Version 8.15 introduces a visual debugger for \(L_{\text {tac }}\) tactics within CoqIDE. It supports setting breakpoints visually and automatically displaying the stopping point in the source code with "continue", "step over" "step in" and "step out" operations. The call stack and variable values for each stack frame are shown in a new panel.

The debugger is based on the non-visual \(\mathrm{L}_{\text {tac }}\) debugger. We'd like to eventually support other scripting facilities such as Ltac2.

Since the visual debugger is new in 8.15 , you may encounter bugs or usability issues. The behavior and user interface will evolve as the debugger is refined. There are notes on bugs and potential enhancements at the end of this page \({ }^{80}\). Feel free to suggest changes and improvements by opening an issue on GitHub \({ }^{81}\), or contact \(@ j f e h r l e\) directly through email, Zulip or Discourse.

\section*{Breakpoints}

This screenshot shows the debugger stopped at a breakpoint in the \(L_{\text {tac }}\) tactic my_tac. Breakpoints are shown with a red background and the stopping point is shown with a dark blue background. Set Ltac Debug. enables stopping in the debugger.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{80} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/wiki/Ltac-Debugger-Preview
\({ }^{81}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/new
}


You can control the debugger with function and control keys. Some messages are shown in the Messages panel. You can type debugger commands in that panel when it shows the debug prompt.
The script is not editable while Coq is processing tactics or stopped in the debugger. When Coq is stopped in the debugger (e.g., at a breakpoint), the blue segment in the "in progress" slider at the bottom edge of the window will be stopped at the left hand edge of its range.
The function keys are listed, for the moment, with one exception, in the Debug menu:
Toggle breakpoint (F8) Position the cursor on the first character of the tactic name in an Ltac construct, then press F8. Press again to remove the breakpoint. F8 is accepted only when all of the coqtop sessions are idle (i.e. at the debug prompt or not processing a tactic or command).

Note that sentences containing a single built-in tactic are not Ltac constructs. A breakpoint on intros., for example, is ignored, while breakpoints on either tactic in intros; idtac. work. A breakpoint on, say, my_ltac_tactic. also works.

Breakpoints on Ltac value_tactics, which compute values without changing the proof context, such as eval, are ignored.

You must set at least one breakpoint in order to enter the debugger.
Continue (F9) Continue processing the proof. If you're not stopped in the debugger, this is equivalent to "Run to end" (Control End).

Step over (Control \(\downarrow\) ) When stopped in the debugger, execute the next tactic without stopping inside it. If the debugger reaches a breakpoint in the tactic, it will stop. This is the same key combination used for "Forward one command"if you're stopped in the debugger then it does a "Step over" and otherwise it does a "Forward". Combining the two functions makes it easy to step through a script in a natural way when some breakpoints are set.

Step in (F10) When stopped in the debugger, if next tactic is an \(L_{\text {tac }}\) tactic, stop at the first possible point in the tactic. Otherwise acts as a "step over".
Step out (Shift F10) When stopped in the debugger, continue and then stop at the first possible point after exiting the current \(L_{\text {tac }}\) tactic. If the debugger reaches a breakpoint in the tactic, it will stop.

Break (F11) Stops the debugger at the next possible stopping point, from which you can step or continue. (Not supported in Windows at this time.)

Note that the debugger is disabled when CoqIDE is running multiple worker processes, i.e. running in async mode. Going "Forward" a single step at a time doesn't use async mode and will always enter the debugger as expected. In addition, the debugger doesn't work correctly in some cases involving editing failed proofs in asymc mode ( see \#16069 \({ }^{82}\).)
If you step through idtac "A"; idtac "B"; idtac "C"., you'll notice that the steps for my_tac are:
```

idtac "A"; idtac "B"; idtac "C"
idtac "A"; idtac "B"
idtac "A"
idtac "B"
idtac "C"

```
which reflects the two-phase execution process for the tactic ; tactic construct.
Also keep in mind that \(L_{\text {tac }}\) backtracking may cause the call stack to revert to a previous state. This may cause confusion. Currently there's no special indication that this has happened.

\section*{Call Stack and Variables}

The bottom panel shows the call stack and the variables defined for the selected stack frame. Stack frames normally show the name of tactic being executed, the line number and the last component of the filename without the .v suffix. The directory part of the module name is shown when the frame is not in the toplevel script file. For example, make_rewriter:387, AllTactics (Rewriter.Rewriter) refers to the file with the module name Rewriter.Rewriter.AllTactics.

Note: A few stack frames aren't yet displayed in this described format (e.g. those starting with ? ? ?) and may be extraneous. In some cases, the tactic name is not shown.

Click on a stack frame or press the Up \((\uparrow)\) or Down \((\downarrow)\) keys to select a stack frame. Coq will jump to the associated code and display the variables for that stack frame. You can select text with the mouse and then copy it to the clipboard with Control-C. Control-A selects the entire stack.

The variables panel uses a tree control to show variables defined in the selected stack frame. To see values that don't fit on a single line, click on the triangle. You can select one or more entries from the tree in the usual way by clicking, shift-clicking and control-clicking on an entry. Control-A selects all entries. Control-C copies the selected entries to the clipboard.

Note: Some variable are not displayed in a useful form. For example, the value shown for tac in a script containing let tac \(=\) ltac: (auto) appears only as <genarg:tacvalue>. We hope to address this soon.

The DETACH button moves the debugger panel into a separate window, which will make it easier to examine its contents.

\footnotetext{
\(82 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16069
}

\section*{Supported use cases}

There are two main use cases for the debugger. They're not very compatible. Instead of showing warning messages or forcing the user to explicitly pick one mode or another, for now it's up to the user to know the limitations and work within them.

The single file case is running the debugger on a single primary script without ever stopping in other secondary scripts. In this case, you can edit the primary script while Coq is not running it nor stopped in the debugger. The position of breakpoints will be updated automatically as you edit the file. It's fine to run the debugger in multiple buffers--you will not be confused. The single-file case is preferable when you can use it.

The multi-file case is when a primary script stops in a secondary script. In this case, breakpoints in the secondary script that move due to script editing may no longer match the locations in the compiled secondary script. The debugger won't stop at these breakpoints as you expect. Also, the code highlighted for stack frames in that script may be incorrect. You will need to re-compile the secondary script and then restart the primary script (Restart, Ctrl-HOME) to get back to a consistent state.

For multi-file debugging, we suggest detaching the Messages, Proof Context and Debugger panels so they are in separate windows. To do so, click on the arrow icon next to "Messages", select "Windows / Detach Proof" from the menu and click on "DETACH" in the Debugger panel. Note that the Debugger panel is initially attached to the Script panel of the toplevel script. Also note that, for now, the "in progress" slider is accurate only when the associated toplevel script panel is visible.

If a debugger instance is stopped in a secondary script, the debugger function keys are directed to the debugger instance associated with the primary script. The debugger doesn't attempt to support multiple instances stopped in the same secondary script. If you have a need to do this, run each debugger instance in a separate CoqIDE process/window.
Note that if you set a breakpoint in a script that may be called by multiple debugger instances, you may inadvertently find you've gotten into unsupported territory.

\subsection*{4.2.5 Asynchronous and Parallel Proof Processing}

\section*{Author Enrico Tassi}

This chapter explains how proofs can be asynchronously processed by Coq. This feature improves the reactivity of the system when used in interactive mode via CoqIDE. In addition, it allows Coq to take advantage of parallel hardware when used as a batch compiler by decoupling the checking of statements and definitions from the construction and checking of proofs objects.

This feature is designed to help dealing with huge libraries of theorems characterized by long proofs. In the current state, it may not be beneficial on small sets of short files.

This feature has some technical limitations that may make it unsuitable for some use cases.
For example, in interactive mode, some errors coming from the kernel of Coq are signaled late. The type of errors belonging to this category are universe inconsistencies.

At the time of writing, only opaque proofs (ending with Qed or Admitted) can be processed asynchronously.
Finally, asynchronous processing is disabled when running CoqIDE in Windows. The current implementation of the feature is not stable on Windows. It can be enabled, as described below at Interactive mode, though doing so is not recommended.

\section*{Proof annotations}

To process a proof asynchronously Coq needs to know the precise statement of the theorem without looking at the proof. This requires some annotations if the theorem is proved inside a Section (see Section Section mechanism).

When a section ends, Coq looks at the proof object to decide which section variables are actually used and hence have to be quantified in the statement of the theorem. To avoid making the construction of proofs mandatory when ending a section, one can start each proof with the Proof using command (Section Entering and exiting proof mode) that declares which section variables the theorem uses.

The presence of Proof using is needed to process proofs asynchronously in interactive mode.
It is not strictly mandatory in batch mode if it is not the first time the file is compiled and if the file itself did not change. When the proof does not begin with Proof using, the system records in an auxiliary file, produced along with the . vo file, the list of section variables used.

If a theorem has an incorrect annotation that omits a needed variable, you may see a message like this:
```

File "./Pff.v", line 2372, characters 0-4:
Error: The following section variable is used but not declared:
precisionNotZero.
You can either update your proof to not depend on precisionNotZero, or your
ヶcan
update your Proof line from
Proof using FtoRradix b pGivesBound precision radix radixMoreThanOne
cadixMoreThanZERO
to
Proof using FtoRradix b pGivesBound precision precisionNotZero radix
radixMoreThanOne radixMoreThanZERO

```

In this case the minimal annotation suggested by the Suggest Proof Using flag is Print Using pGivesBound precisionNotZero radixMoreThanOne. The other variables in the suggestion are unnecessary because they will be transitively included from the minimal annotation.

Alternatively, if the Proof using included unneeded variables, they become extra parameters of the theorem, which may generate errors. This example shows an example of an unneeded variable. One possible error is (in proof <theorem name>) Attempt to save an incomplete proof, which may indicate that the named theorem refers to an an earlier theorem that has an incorrect annotation.

\section*{Automatic suggestion of proof annotations}

The Suggest Proof Using flag makes Coq suggest, when a Qed command is processed, a correct proof annotation. It is up to the user to modify the proof script accordingly.

\section*{Proof blocks and error resilience}

In interactive mode Coq is able to completely check a document containing errors instead of bailing out at the first failure. Two kind of errors are handled: errors occurring in commands and errors occurring in proofs.

To properly recover from a failing tactic, Coq needs to recognize the structure of the proof in order to confine the error to a sub proof. Proof block detection is performed by looking at the syntax of the proof script (i.e. also looking at indentation). Coq comes with four kind of proof blocks, and an ML API to add new ones.
curly blocks are delimited by \(\{\) and \(\}\), see Chapter Proof mode
par blocks are atomic, i.e. just one tactic introduced by the par: goal selector
indent blocks end with a tactic indented less than the previous one
bullet blocks are delimited by two equal bullet signs at the same indentation level

\section*{Caveats}

When a command fails the subsequent error messages may be bogus, i.e. caused by the first error. Error resilience for commands can be switched off by passing -async-proofs-command-error-resilience off to CoqIDE.

An incorrect proof block detection can result into an incorrect error recovery and hence in bogus errors. Proof block detection cannot be precise for bullets or any other non-well parenthesized proof structure. Error resilience can be turned off or selectively activated for any set of block kind passing to CoqIDE one of the following options:
- -async-proofs-tactic-error-resilience off
- -async-proofs-tactic-error-resilience all
- -async-proofs-tactic-error-resilience blocktype *

Valid proof block types are: "curly", "par", "indent", and "bullet".

\section*{Interactive mode}

CoqIDE and VsCoq support asynchronous proof processing.
When CoqIDE is started and async mode is enabled, two or more Coq processes are created. The master one follows the user, giving feedback as soon as possible by skipping proofs, which are delegated to the worker processes. The worker processes asynchronously processes the proofs. The Jobs panel in the main CoqIDE window shows the status of each worker process. If a proof contains an error, it's reported in red in the label of the very same button, that can also be used to see the list of errors and jump to the corresponding line.

If a proof is processed asynchronously the corresponding Qed command is colored using a lighter color than usual. This signals that the proof has been delegated to a worker process (or will be processed lazily if the -async-proofs lazy option is used). Once finished, the worker process will provide the proof object, but this will not be automatically checked by the kernel of the main process. To force the kernel to check all the proof objects, one has to click the button with the gears (Fully check the document) on the top bar. Only then all the universe constraints are checked.

\section*{Caveats}

The number of worker processes can be increased by passing CoqIDE the \(-\operatorname{async}-\mathrm{proofs}-j \mathrm{n}\) flag. Note that the memory consumption increases too, since each worker requires the same amount of memory as the master process. Also note that increasing the number of workers may reduce the reactivity of the master process to user commands.
To disable this feature, one can pass the -async-proofs off flag to CoqIDE. Conversely, on Windows, where the feature is disabled by default, pass the -async-proofs on flag to enable it.

Proofs that are known to take little time to process are not delegated to a worker process. The threshold can be configured with-async-proofs-delegation-threshold. Default is 0.03 seconds.

\section*{Batch mode}

Warning: The -vio flag is subsumed, for most practical usage, by the the more recent -vos flag. See Compiled interfaces (produced using -vos).

> Warning: When working with . vio files, do not use the -vos option at the same time, otherwise stale files might get loaded when executing a Require. Indeed, the loading of a nonempty .vos file is assigned higher priority than the loading of a .vio file.

When Coq is used as a batch compiler by running coqc, it produces a . vo file for each .v file. A . vo file contains, among other things, theorem statements and proofs. Hence to produce a .vo Coq need to process all the proofs of the .v file.

The asynchronous processing of proofs can decouple the generation of a compiled file (like the .vo one) that can be loaded by Require from the generation and checking of the proof objects. The -vio flag can be passed to coqc to produce, quickly, .vio files. Alternatively, when using a Makefile produced by coq_makefile, the vio target can be used to compile all files using the -vio flag.
A .vio file can be loaded using Require exactly as a . vo file but proofs will not be available (the Print command produces an error). Moreover, some universe constraints might be missing, so universes inconsistencies might go unnoticed. A . vio file does not contain proof objects, but proof tasks, i.e. what a worker process can transform into a proof object.

Compiling a set of files with the -vio flag allows one to work, interactively, on any file without waiting for all the proofs to be checked.

When working interactively, one can fully check all the \(\cdot \mathrm{v}\) files by running coqc as usual.
Alternatively one can turn each .vio into the corresponding .vo. All .vio files can be processed in parallel, hence this alternative might be faster. The command coqc -schedule-vio2vo 2 a \(b\) can be used to obtain a good scheduling for two workers to produce \(\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{vo}, \mathrm{b} . \mathrm{vo}\), and \(\mathrm{c} \cdot \mathrm{vo}\). When using a Makefile produced by coq_makefile, the vio2vo target can be used for that purpose. Variable J should be set to the number of workers, e.g. make vio2vo \(J=2\). The only caveat is that, while the .vo files obtained from . vio files are complete (they contain all proof terms and universe constraints), the satisfiability of all universe constraints has not been checked globally (they are checked to be consistent for every single proof). Constraints will be checked when these . vo files are (recursively) loaded with Require.

There is an extra, possibly even faster, alternative: just check the proof tasks stored in . vio files without producing the .vo files. This is possibly faster because all the proof tasks are independent, hence one can further partition the job to be done between workers. The coqc -schedule-vio-checking 6 a b command can be used to obtain a good scheduling for 6 workers to check all the proof tasks of a.vio, b.vio, and c.vio. Auxiliary files are used to predict how long a proof task will take, assuming it will take the same amount of time it took last time. When using a Makefile produced by coq_makefile, the checkproofs target can be used to check all .vio files. Variable J should be set to the number of workers, e.g. make checkproofs \(J=6\). As when converting . vio files to . vo files, universe constraints are not checked to be globally consistent. Hence this compilation mode is only useful for quick regression testing and on developments not making heavy use of the Type hierarchy.

\section*{Limiting the number of parallel workers}

Many Coq processes may run on the same computer, and each of them may start many additional worker processes. The coqworkmgr utility lets one limit the number of workers, globally.
The utility accepts the \(-j\) argument to specify the maximum number of workers (defaults to 2 ). coqworkmgr automatically starts in the background and prints an environment variable assignment like COQWORKMGR_SOCK=localhost: 45634 . The user must set this variable in all the shells from which Coq processes will be started. If one uses just one terminal running the bash shell, then export 'coqworkmgr -j 4، will do the job.

After that, all Coq processes, e.g. coqide and coqc, will respect the limit, globally.

\section*{APPENDIX}

\subsection*{5.1 History and recent changes}

This chapter is divided in two parts. The first one is about the early history of \(\operatorname{Coq}\) and is presented in chronological order. The second one provides release notes about recent versions of Coq and is presented in reverse chronological order. When updating your copy of Coq to a new version (especially a new major version), it is strongly recommended that you read the corresponding release notes. They may contain advice that will help you understand the differences with the previous version and upgrade your projects.

\subsection*{5.1.1 Early history of Coq}

\section*{Historical roots}

Coq is a proof assistant for higher-order logic, allowing the development of computer programs consistent with their formal specification. It is the result of about ten years \({ }^{83}\) of research of the Coq project. We shall briefly survey here three main aspects: the logical language in which we write our axiomatizations and specifications, the proof assistant which allows the development of verified mathematical proofs, and the program extractor which synthesizes computer programs obeying their formal specifications, written as logical assertions in the language.

The logical language used by Coq is a variety of type theory, called the Calculus of Inductive Constructions. Without going back to Leibniz and Boole, we can date the creation of what is now called mathematical logic to the work of Frege and Peano at the turn of the century. The discovery of antinomies in the free use of predicates or comprehension principles prompted Russell to restrict predicate calculus with a stratification of types. This effort culminated with Principia Mathematica, the first systematic attempt at a formal foundation of mathematics. A simplification of this system along the lines of simply typed \(\lambda\)-calculus occurred with Church's Simple Theory of Types. The \(\lambda\)-calculus notation, originally used for expressing functionality, could also be used as an encoding of natural deduction proofs. This Curry-Howard isomorphism was used by N. de Bruijn in the Automath project, the first full-scale attempt to develop and mechanically verify mathematical proofs. This effort culminated with Jutting's verification of Landau's Grundlagen in the 1970's. Exploiting this Curry-Howard isomorphism, notable achievements in proof theory saw the emergence of two type-theoretic frameworks; the first one, Martin-Löf's Intuitionistic Theory of Types, attempts a new foundation of mathematics on constructive principles. The second one, Girard's polymorphic \(\lambda\)-calculus \(F_{\omega}\), is a very strong functional system in which we may represent higher-order logic proof structures. Combining both systems in a higher-order extension of the Automath language, T. Coquand presented in 1985 the first version of the Calculus of Constructions, CoC. This strong logical system allowed powerful axiomatizations, but direct inductive definitions were not possible, and inductive notions had to be defined indirectly through functional encodings, which introduced inefficiencies and awkwardness. The formalism was extended in 1989 by T. Coquand and C. Paulin with primitive inductive definitions, leading to the current Calculus of Inductive Constructions. This extended formalism is not rigorously defined here. Rather, numerous concrete examples are discussed. We refer the interested reader to relevant research papers for more information about the formalism, its meta-theoretic properties, and semantics. However, it should not be necessary to understand this theoretical material

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{83}\) At the time of writing, i.e. 1995.
}
in order to write specifications. It is possible to understand the Calculus of Inductive Constructions at a higher level, as a mixture of predicate calculus, inductive predicate definitions presented as typed PROLOG, and recursive function definitions close to the language ML.
Automated theorem-proving was pioneered in the 1960's by Davis and Putnam in propositional calculus. A complete mechanization (in the sense of a semidecision procedure) of classical first-order logic was proposed in 1965 by J.A. Robinson, with a single uniform inference rule called resolution. Resolution relies on solving equations in free algebras (i.e. term structures), using the unification algorithm. Many refinements of resolution were studied in the 1970's, but few convincing implementations were realized, except of course that PROLOG is in some sense issued from this effort. A less ambitious approach to proof development is computer-aided proof-checking. The most notable proof-checkers developed in the 1970's were LCF, designed by R. Milner and his colleagues at U. Edinburgh, specialized in proving properties about denotational semantics recursion equations, and the Boyer and Moore theorem-prover, an automation of primitive recursion over inductive data types. While the Boyer-Moore theorem-prover attempted to synthesize proofs by a combination of automated methods, LCF constructed its proofs through the programming of tactics, written in a high-level functional meta-language, ML.

The salient feature which clearly distinguishes our proof assistant from say LCF or Boyer and Moore's, is its possibility to extract programs from the constructive contents of proofs. This computational interpretation of proof objects, in the tradition of Bishop's constructive mathematics, is based on a realizability interpretation, in the sense of Kleene, due to C. Paulin. The user must just mark his intention by separating in the logical statements the assertions stating the existence of a computational object from the logical assertions which specify its properties, but which may be considered as just comments in the corresponding program. Given this information, the system automatically extracts a functional term from a consistency proof of its specifications. This functional term may be in turn compiled into an actual computer program. This methodology of extracting programs from proofs is a revolutionary paradigm for software engineering. Program synthesis has long been a theme of research in artificial intelligence, pioneered by R. Waldinger. The Tablog system of Z. Manna and R. Waldinger allows the deductive synthesis of functional programs from proofs in tableau form of their specifications, written in a variety of first-order logic. Development of a systematic programming logic, based on extensions of Martin-Löf's type theory, was undertaken at Cornell U. by the Nuprl team, headed by R. Constable. The first actual program extractor, PX, was designed and implemented around 1985 by S. Hayashi from Kyoto University. It allows the extraction of a LISP program from a proof in a logical system inspired by the logical formalisms of S . Feferman. Interest in this methodology is growing in the theoretical computer science community. We can foresee the day when actual computer systems used in applications will contain certified modules, automatically generated from a consistency proof of their formal specifications. We are however still far from being able to use this methodology in a smooth interaction with the standard tools from software engineering, i.e. compilers, linkers, run-time systems taking advantage of special hardware, debuggers, and the like. We hope that Coq can be of use to researchers interested in experimenting with this new methodology.

\section*{Versions 1 to 5}

Note: This summary was written in 1995 together with the previous section and formed the initial version of the Credits chapter.

A more comprehensive description of these early versions is available in the following subsections, which come from a document written in September 2015 by Gérard Huet, Thierry Coquand and Christine Paulin.

A first implementation of CoC was started in 1984 by G. Huet and T. Coquand. Its implementation language was CAML, a functional programming language from the ML family designed at INRIA in Rocquencourt. The core of this system was a proof-checker for CoC seen as a typed \(\lambda\)-calculus, called the Constructive Engine. This engine was operated through a high-level notation permitting the declaration of axioms and parameters, the definition of mathematical types and objects, and the explicit construction of proof objects encoded as \(\lambda\)-terms. A section mechanism, designed and implemented by G. Dowek, allowed hierarchical developments of mathematical theories. This high-level language was called the Mathematical Vernacular. Furthermore, an interactive Theorem Prover permitted the incremental construction of proof trees in a top-down manner, subgoaling recursively and backtracking from dead-ends. The theorem prover executed
tactics written in CAML, in the LCF fashion. A basic set of tactics was predefined, which the user could extend by his own specific tactics. This system (Version 4.10) was released in 1989. Then, the system was extended to deal with the new calculus with inductive types by C. Paulin, with corresponding new tactics for proofs by induction. A new standard set of tactics was streamlined, and the vernacular extended for tactics execution. A package to compile programs extracted from proofs to actual computer programs in CAML or some other functional language was designed and implemented by B. Werner. A new user-interface, relying on a CAML-X interface by D. de Rauglaudre, was designed and implemented by A. Felty. It allowed operation of the theorem-prover through the manipulation of windows, menus, mouse-sensitive buttons, and other widgets. This system (Version 5.6) was released in 1991.

Coq was ported to the new implementation Caml-light of X. Leroy and D. Doligez by D. de Rauglaudre (Version 5.7) in 1992. A new version of Coq was then coordinated by C. Murthy, with new tools designed by C. Parent to prove properties of ML programs (this methodology is dual to program extraction) and a new user-interaction loop. This system (Version 5.8) was released in May 1993. A Centaur interface CTCoq was then developed by Y. Bertot from the Croap project from INRIA-Sophia-Antipolis.
In parallel, G. Dowek and H. Herbelin developed a new proof engine, allowing the general manipulation of existential variables consistently with dependent types in an experimental version of Coq (V5.9).
The version V5.10 of Coq is based on a generic system for manipulating terms with binding operators due to Chet Murthy. A new proof engine allows the parallel development of partial proofs for independent subgoals. The structure of these proof trees is a mixed representation of derivation trees for the Calculus of Inductive Constructions with abstract syntax trees for the tactics scripts, allowing the navigation in a proof at various levels of details. The proof engine allows generic environment items managed in an object-oriented way. This new architecture, due to C. Murthy, supports several new facilities which make the system easier to extend and to scale up:
- User-programmable tactics are allowed
- It is possible to separately verify development modules, and to load their compiled images without verifying them again - a quick relocation process allows their fast loading
- A generic parsing scheme allows user-definable notations, with a symmetric table-driven pretty-printer
- Syntactic definitions allow convenient abbreviations
- A limited facility of meta-variables allows the automatic synthesis of certain type expressions, allowing generic notations for e.g. equality, pairing, and existential quantification.

In the Fall of 1994, C. Paulin-Mohring replaced the structure of inductively defined types and families by a new structure, allowing the mutually recursive definitions. P. Manoury implemented a translation of recursive definitions into the primitive recursive style imposed by the internal recursion operators, in the style of the ProPre system. C. Muñoz implemented a decision procedure for intuitionistic propositional logic, based on results of R. Dyckhoff. J.C. Filliâtre implemented a decision procedure for first-order logic without contraction, based on results of J. Ketonen and R. Weyhrauch. Finally C. Murthy implemented a library of inversion tactics, relieving the user from tedious definitions of "inversion predicates".

Rocquencourt, Feb. 1st 1995
Gérard Huet

\section*{Version 1}

This software is a prototype type checker for a higher-order logical formalism known as the Theory of Constructions, presented in his PhD thesis by Thierry Coquand, with influences from Girard's system F and de Bruijn's Automath. The metamathematical analysis of the system is the PhD work of Thierry Coquand. The software is mostly the work of Gérard Huet. Most of the mathematical examples verified with the software are due to Thierry Coquand.

The programming language of the CONSTR software (as it was called at the time) was a version of ML adapted from the Edinburgh LCF system and running on a LISP backend. The main improvements from the original LCF ML were that ML was compiled rather than interpreted (Gérard Huet building on the original translator by Lockwood Morris), and that it was enriched by recursively defined types (work of Guy Cousineau). This ancestor of CAML was used and improved by Larry Paulson for his implementation of Cambridge LCF.
Software developments of this prototype occurred from late 1983 to early 1985.
Version 1.10 was frozen on December 22nd 1984. It is the version used for the examples in Thierry Coquand's thesis, defended on January 31st 1985. There was a unique binding operator, used both for universal quantification (dependent product) at the level of types and functional abstraction ( \(\lambda\) ) at the level of terms/proofs, in the manner of Automath. Substitution ( \(\lambda\)-reduction) was implemented using de Bruijn's indexes.

Version 1.11 was frozen on February 19th, 1985. It is the version used for the examples in the paper: T. Coquand, G. Huet. Constructions: A Higher Order Proof System for Mechanizing Mathematics [CH85].

Christine Paulin joined the team at this point, for her DEA research internship. In her DEA memoir (August 1985) she presents developments for the lambo function - \(\operatorname{lambo}(f)(n)\) computes the minimal \(m\) such that \(f(m)\) is greater than \(n\), for \(f\) an increasing integer function, a challenge for constructive mathematics. She also encoded the majority voting algorithm of Boyer and Moore.

\section*{Version 2}

The formal system, now renamed as the Calculus of Constructions, was presented with a proof of consistency and comparisons with proof systems of Per Martin Löf, Girard, and the Automath family of N. de Bruijn, in the paper: T. Coquand and G. Huet. The Calculus of Constructions [CH86b].

An abstraction of the software design, in the form of an abstract machine for proof checking, and a fuller sequence of mathematical developments was presented in: T. Coquand, G. Huet. Concepts Mathématiques et Informatiques Formalisés dans le Calcul des Constructions [CH86a].
Version 2.8 was frozen on December 16th, 1985, and served for developing the examples in the above papers.
This calculus was then enriched in version 2.9 with a cumulative hierarchy of universes. Universe levels were initially explicit natural numbers. Another improvement was the possibility of automatic synthesis of implicit type arguments, relieving the user of tedious redundant declarations.

Christine Paulin wrote an article Algorithm development in the Calculus of Constructions [Moh86]. Besides lambo and majority, she presents quicksort and a text formatting algorithm.

Version 2.13 of the Calculus of Constructions with universes was frozen on June 25th, 1986.
A synthetic presentation of type theory along constructive lines with ML algorithms was given by Gérard Huet in his May 1986 CMU course notes Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction. Its chapter Induction and Recursion in the Theory of Constructions was presented as an invited paper at the Joint Conference on Theory and Practice of Software Development TAPSOFT'87 at Pise in March 1987, and published as Induction Principles Formalized in the Calculus of Constructions [Hue88].

\section*{Version 3}

This version saw the beginning of proof automation, with a search algorithm inspired from PROLOG and the applicative logic programming programs of the course notes Formal structures for computation and deduction. The search algorithm was implemented in ML by Thierry Coquand. The proof system could thus be used in two modes: proof verification and proof synthesis, with tactics such as AUTO.

The implementation language was now called CAML, for Categorical Abstract Machine Language. It used as backend the LLM3 virtual machine of Le Lisp by Jérôme Chailloux. The main developers of CAML were Michel Mauny, Ascander Suarez and Pierre Weis.

V3.1 was started in the summer of 1986, V3.2 was frozen at the end of November 1986. V3.4 was developed in the first half of 1987.

Thierry Coquand held a post-doctoral position in Cambridge University in 1986-87, where he developed a variant implementation in SML, with which he wrote some developments on fixpoints in Scott's domains.

\section*{Version 4}

This version saw the beginning of program extraction from proofs, with two varieties of the type Prop of propositions, indicating constructive intent. The proof extraction algorithms were implemented by Christine Paulin-Mohring.

V4.1 was frozen on July 24th, 1987. It had a first identified library of mathematical developments (directory exemples), with libraries Logic (containing impredicative encodings of intuitionistic logic and algebraic primitives for booleans, natural numbers and list), Peano developing second-order Peano arithmetic, Arith defining addition, multiplication, euclidean division and factorial. Typical developments were the Knaster-Tarski theorem and Newman's lemma from rewriting theory.

V4.2 was a joint development of a team consisting of Thierry Coquand, Gérard Huet and Christine Paulin-Mohring. A file V4.2.log records the log of changes. It was frozen on September 1987 as the last version implemented in CAML 2.3, and V4.3 followed on CAML 2.5, a more stable development system.

V4.3 saw the first top-level of the system. Instead of evaluating explicit quotations, the user could develop his mathematics in a high-level language called the mathematical vernacular (following Automath terminology). The user could develop files in the vernacular notation (with . v extension) which were now separate from the ml sources of the implementation. Gilles Dowek joined the team to develop the vernacular language as his DEA internship research.

A notion of sticky constant was introduced, in order to keep names of lemmas when local hypotheses of proofs were discharged. This gave a notion of global mathematical environment with local sections.

Another significant practical change was that the system, originally developed on the VAX central computer of our lab, was transferred on SUN personal workstations, allowing a level of distributed development. The extraction algorithm was modified, with three annotations Pos, Null and Typ decorating the sorts Prop and Type.

Version 4.3 was frozen at the end of November 1987, and was distributed to an early community of users (among those were Hugo Herbelin and Loic Colson).

V4.4 saw the first version of (encoded) inductive types. Now natural numbers could be defined as:
```

[source, coq]

```

Inductive NAT : Prop = O : NAT | Succ : NAT->NAT.

These inductive types were encoded impredicatively in the calculus, using a subsystem rec due to Christine Paulin. V4.4 was frozen on March 6th 1988.

Version 4.5 was the first one to support inductive types and program extraction. Its banner was Calcul des Constructions avec Réalisations et Synthèse. The vernacular language was enriched to accommodate extraction commands.

The verification engine design was presented as: G. Huet. The Constructive Engine. Version 4.5. Invited Conference, 2nd European Symposium on Programming, Nancy, March 88. The final paper, describing the V4.9 implementation, appeared in: A perspective in Theoretical Computer Science, Commemorative Volume in memory of Gift Siromoney, Ed. R. Narasimhan, World Scientific Publishing, 1989.
Version 4.5 was demonstrated in June 1988 at the YoP Institute on Logical Foundations of Functional Programming organized by Gérard Huet at Austin, Texas.

Version 4.6 was started during the summer of 1988. Its main improvement was the complete rehaul of the proof synthesis engine by Thierry Coquand, with a tree structure of goals.

Its source code was communicated to Randy Pollack on September 2nd 1988. It evolved progressively into LEGO, proof system for Luo's formalism of Extended Calculus of Constructions.

The discharge tactic was modified by Gérard Huet to allow for inter-dependencies in discharged lemmas. Christine Paulin improved the inductive definition scheme in order to accommodate predicates of any arity.

Version 4.7 was started on September 6th, 1988.
This version starts exploiting the CAML notion of module in order to improve the modularity of the implementation. Now the term verifier is identified as a proper module Machine, which the structure of its internal data structures being hidden and thus accessible only through the legitimate operations. This machine (the constructive engine) was the trusted core of the implementation. The proof synthesis mechanism was a separate proof term generator. Once a complete proof term was synthesized with the help of tactics, it was entirely re-checked by the engine. Thus there was no need to certify the tactics, and the system took advantage of this fact by having tactics ignore the universe levels, universe consistency check being relegated to the final type checking pass. This induced a certain puzzlement in early users who saw, after a successful proof search, their QED followed by silence, followed by a failure message due to a universe inconsistency...

The set of examples comprise set theory experiments by Hugo Herbelin, and notably the Schroeder-Bernstein theorem.
Version 4.8, started on October 8th, 1988, saw a major re-implementation of the abstract syntax type constr, separating variables of the formalism and metavariables denoting incomplete terms managed by the search mechanism. A notion of level (with three values TYPE, OBJECT and PROOF) is made explicit and a type judgement clarifies the constructions, whose implementation is now fully explicit. Structural equality is speeded up by using pointer equality, yielding spectacular improvements. Thierry Coquand adapts the proof synthesis to the new representation, and simplifies pattern matching to first-order predicate calculus matching, with important performance gain.

A new representation of the universe hierarchy is then defined by Gérard Huet. Universe levels are now implemented implicitly, through a hidden graph of abstract levels constrained with an order relation. Checking acyclicity of the graph insures well-foundedness of the ordering, and thus consistency. This was documented in a memo Adding Type:Type to the Calculus of Constructions which was never published.

The development version is released as a stable 4.8 at the end of 1988.
Version 4.9 is released on March 1st 1989, with the new "elastic" universe hierarchy.
The spring of 1989 saw the first attempt at documenting the system usage, with a number of papers describing the formalism:
- Metamathematical Investigations of a Calculus of Constructions, by Thierry Coquand [Coq89],
- Inductive definitions in the Calculus of Constructions, by Christine Paulin-Mohrin,
- Extracting F 's's programs from proofs in the Calculus of Constructions, by Christine Paulin-Mohring* [PM89],
- The Constructive Engine, by Gérard Huet [Hue89],
as well as a number of user guides:
- A short user's guide for the Constructions, Version 4.10, by Gérard Huet
- A Vernacular Syllabus, by Gilles Dowek.
- The Tactics Theorem Prover, User's guide, Version 4.10, by Thierry Coquand.

Stable V4.10, released on May 1st, 1989, was then a mature system, distributed with CAML V2.6.
In the mean time, Thierry Coquand and Christine Paulin-Mohring had been investigating how to add native inductive types to the Calculus of Constructions, in the manner of Per Martin-Löf's Intuitionistic Type Theory. The impredicative encoding had already been presented in: F. Pfenning and C. Paulin-Mohring. Inductively defined types in the Calculus of Constructions [PPM89]. An extension of the calculus with primitive inductive types appeared in: T. Coquand and C. Paulin-Mohring. Inductively defined types [CP90].

This led to the Calculus of Inductive Constructions, logical formalism implemented in Versions 5 upward of the system, and documented in: C. Paulin-Mohring. Inductive Definitions in the System Coq-Rules and Properties [PM93b].

The last version of CONSTR is Version 4.11, which was last distributed in the spring of 1990. It was demonstrated at the first workshop of the European Basic Research Action Logical Frameworks In Sophia Antipolis in May 1990.

\section*{Version 5}

At the end of 1989 , Version 5.1 was started, and renamed as the system Coq for the Calculus of Inductive Constructions. It was then ported to the new stand-alone implementation of ML called Caml-light.
In 1990 many changes occurred. Thierry Coquand left for Chalmers University in Göteborg. Christine Paulin-Mohring took a CNRS researcher position at the LIP laboratory of École Normale Supérieure de Lyon. Project Formel was terminated, and gave rise to two teams: Cristal at INRIA-Roquencourt, that continued developments in functional programming with Caml-light then OCaml, and Coq, continuing the type theory research, with a joint team headed by Gérard Huet at INRIA-Rocquencourt and Christine Paulin-Mohring at the LIP laboratory of CNRS-ENS Lyon.

Chetan Murthy joined the team in 1991 and became the main software architect of Version 5. He completely rehauled the implementation for efficiency. Versions 5.6 and 5.8 were major distributed versions, with complete documentation and a library of users' developments. The use of the RCS revision control system, and systematic ChangeLog files, allow a more precise tracking of the software developments.

September \(2015+\)
Thierry Coquand, Gérard Huet and Christine Paulin-Mohring.

\section*{Versions 6}

\section*{Version 6.1}

The present version 6.1 of Coq is based on the V5.10 architecture. It was ported to the new language Objective Caml by Bruno Barras. The underlying framework has slightly changed and allows more conversions between sorts.

The new version provides powerful tools for easier developments.
Cristina Cornes designed an extension of the Coq syntax to allow definition of terms using a powerful pattern matching analysis in the style of ML programs.

Amokrane Saïbi wrote a mechanism to simulate inheritance between types families extending a proposal by Peter Aczel. He also developed a mechanism to automatically compute which arguments of a constant may be inferred by the system and consequently do not need to be explicitly written.

Yann Coscoy designed a command which explains a proof term using natural language. Pierre Crégut built a new tactic which solves problems in quantifier-free Presburger Arithmetic. Both functionalities have been integrated to the Coq system by Hugo Herbelin.

Samuel Boutin designed a tactic for simplification of commutative rings using a canonical set of rewriting rules and equality modulo associativity and commutativity.
Finally the organisation of the Coq distribution has been supervised by Jean-Christophe Filliâtre with the help of Judicaël Courant and Bruno Barras.

Lyon, Nov. 18th 1996
Christine Paulin

\section*{Version 6.2}

In version 6.2 of Coq, the parsing is done using camlp4, a preprocessor and pretty-printer for CAML designed by Daniel de Rauglaudre at INRIA. Daniel de Rauglaudre made the first adaptation of Coq for camlp4, this work was continued by Bruno Barras who also changed the structure of Coq abstract syntax trees and the primitives to manipulate them. The result of these changes is a faster parsing procedure with greatly improved syntax-error messages. The user-interface to introduce grammar or pretty-printing rules has also changed.
Eduardo Giménez redesigned the internal tactic libraries, giving uniform names to Caml functions corresponding to Coq tactic names.

Bruno Barras wrote new, more efficient reduction functions.
Hugo Herbelin introduced more uniform notations in the Coq specification language: the definitions by fixpoints and pattern matching have a more readable syntax. Patrick Loiseleur introduced user-friendly notations for arithmetic expressions.

New tactics were introduced: Eduardo Giménez improved the mechanism to introduce macros for tactics, and designed special tactics for (co)inductive definitions; Patrick Loiseleur designed a tactic to simplify polynomial expressions in an arbitrary commutative ring which generalizes the previous tactic implemented by Samuel Boutin. Jean-Christophe Filliâtre introduced a tactic for refining a goal, using a proof term with holes as a proof scheme.
David Delahaye designed the tool to search an object in the library given its type (up to isomorphism).
Henri Laulhère produced the Coq distribution for the Windows environment.
Finally, Hugo Herbelin was the main coordinator of the Coq documentation with principal contributions by Bruno Barras, David Delahaye, Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Eduardo Giménez, Hugo Herbelin and Patrick Loiseleur.

Orsay, May 4th 1998
Christine Paulin

\section*{Version 6.3}

The main changes in version V6.3 were the introduction of a few new tactics and the extension of the guard condition for fixpoint definitions.
B. Barras extended the unification algorithm to complete partial terms and fixed various tricky bugs related to universes.
D. Delahaye developed the AutoRewrite tactic. He also designed the new behavior of Intro and provided the tacticals First and Solve.
J.-C. Filliâtre developed the Correctness tactic.
E. Giménez extended the guard condition in fixpoints.
H. Herbelin designed the new syntax for definitions and extended the Induction tactic.
P. Loiseleur developed the Quote tactic and the new design of the Auto tactic, he also introduced the index of errors in the documentation.
C. Paulin wrote the Focus command and introduced the reduction functions in definitions, this last feature was proposed by J.-F. Monin from CNET Lannion.

Orsay, Dec. 1999
Christine Paulin

\section*{Versions 7}

\section*{Summary of changes}

The version V7 is a new implementation started in September 1999 by Jean-Christophe Filliâtre. This is a major revision with respect to the internal architecture of the system. The Coq version 7.0 was distributed in March 2001, version 7.1 in September 2001, version 7.2 in January 2002, version 7.3 in May 2002 and version 7.4 in February 2003.

Jean-Christophe Filliâtre designed the architecture of the new system. He introduced a new representation for environments and wrote a new kernel for type checking terms. His approach was to use functional data-structures in order to get more sharing, to prepare the addition of modules and also to get closer to a certified kernel.

Hugo Herbelin introduced a new structure of terms with local definitions. He introduced "qualified" names, wrote a new pattern matching compilation algorithm and designed a more compact algorithm for checking the logical consistency of universes. He contributed to the simplification of Coq internal structures and the optimisation of the system. He added basic tactics for forward reasoning and coercions in patterns.
David Delahaye introduced a new language for tactics. General tactics using pattern matching on goals and context can directly be written from the Coq toplevel. He also provided primitives for the design of user-defined tactics in Caml.

Micaela Mayero contributed the library on real numbers. Olivier Desmettre extended this library with axiomatic trigonometric functions, square, square roots, finite sums, Chasles property and basic plane geometry.

Jean-Christophe Filliâtre and Pierre Letouzey redesigned a new extraction procedure from Coq terms to Caml or Haskell programs. This new extraction procedure, unlike the one implemented in previous version of Coq is able to handle all terms in the Calculus of Inductive Constructions, even involving universes and strong elimination. P. Letouzey adapted user contributions to extract ML programs when it was sensible. Jean-Christophe Filliâtre wrote coqdoc, a documentation tool for Coq libraries usable from version 7.2.

Bruno Barras improved the efficiency of the reduction algorithm and the confidence level in the correctness of Coq critical type checking algorithm.
Yves Bertot designed the SearchPattern and SearchRewrite tools and the support for the pcoq interface (http: //www-sop.inria.fr/lemme/pcoq/).
Micaela Mayero and David Delahaye introduced Field, a decision tactic for commutative fields.
Christine Paulin changed the elimination rules for empty and singleton propositional inductive types.
Loïc Pottier developed Fourier, a tactic solving linear inequalities on real numbers.
Pierre Crégut developed a new, reflection-based version of the Omega decision procedure.
Claudio Sacerdoti Coen designed an XML output for the Coq modules to be used in the Hypertextual Electronic Library of Mathematics (HELM cf http://www.cs.unibo.it/helm).

A library for efficient representation of finite maps using binary trees contributed by Jean Goubault was integrated in the basic theories.
Pierre Courtieu developed a command and a tactic to reason on the inductive structure of recursively defined functions.
Jacek Chrząszcz designed and implemented the module system of Coq whose foundations are in Judicaël Courant's PhD thesis.

The development was coordinated by C. Paulin.
Many discussions within the Démons team and the LogiCal project influenced significantly the design of Coq especially with J. Courant, J. Duprat, J. Goubault, A. Miquel, C. Marché, B. Monate and B. Werner.
Intensive users suggested improvements of the system : Y. Bertot, L. Pottier, L. Théry, P. Zimmerman from INRIA, C. Alvarado, P. Crégut, J.-F. Monin from France Telecom R \& D.

Orsay, May. 2002
Hugo Herbelin \& Christine Paulin

\section*{Details of changes in 7.0 and 7.1}

Notes:
- items followed by \(\left({ }^{* *}\right)\) are important sources of incompatibilities
- items followed by \((*)\) may exceptionally be sources of incompatibilities
- items followed by (+) have been introduced in version 7.0

\section*{Main novelties}

References are to Coq 7.1 reference manual
- New primitive let-in construct (see sections 1.2.8 and)
- Long names (see sections 2.6 and 2.7)
- New high-level tactic language (see chapter 10)
- Improved search facilities (see section 5.2)
- New extraction algorithm managing the Type level (see chapter 17)
- New rewriting tactic for arbitrary equalities (see chapter 19)
- New tactic Field to decide equalities on commutative fields (see 7.11)
- New tactic Fourier to solve linear inequalities on reals numbers (see 7.11)
- New tactics for induction/case analysis in "natural" style (see 7.7)
- Deep restructuration of the code (safer, simpler and more efficient)
- Export of theories to XML for publishing and rendering purposes (see http://www.cs.unibo.it/helm)

\section*{Details of changes}

\section*{Language: new "let-in" construction}
- New construction for local definitions (let-in) with syntax [x:=u]t (*)(+)
- Local definitions allowed in Record (a.k.a. record à la Randy Pollack)

\section*{Language: long names}
- Each construction has a unique absolute names built from a base name, the name of the module in which they are defined (Top if in coqtop), and possibly an arbitrary long sequence of directory (e.g. "Coq.Lists.PolyList.flat_map" where "Coq" means that "flat_map" is part of Coq standard library, "Lists" means it is defined in the Lists library and "PolyList" means it is in the file Polylist) (+)
- Constructions can be referred by their base name, or, in case of conflict, by a "qualified" name, where the base name is prefixed by the module name (and possibly by a directory name, and so on). A fully qualified name is an absolute name which always refer to the construction it denotes (to preserve the visibility of all constructions, no conflict is allowed for an absolute name) (+)
- Long names are available for modules with the possibility of using the directory name as a component of the module full name (with option -R to coqtop and coqc, or command Add LoadPath) (+)
- Improved conflict resolution strategy (the Unix PATH model), allowing more constructions to be referred just by their base name

\section*{Language: miscellaneous}
- The names of variables for Record projections _and_ for induction principles (e.g. sum_ind) is now based on the first letter of their type (main source of incompatibility) \(\left({ }^{* *}\right)(+)\)
- Most typing errors have now a precise location in the source (+)
- Slightly different mechanism to solve "?" (*)(+)
- More arguments may be considered implicit at section closing \((*)(+)\)
- Bug with identifiers ended by a number greater than \(2^{\wedge} 30\) fixed (+)
- New visibility discipline for Remark, Fact and Local: Remark's and Fact's now survive at the end of section, but are only accessible using a qualified names as soon as their strength expires; Local's disappear and are moved into local definitions for each construction persistent at section closing

\section*{Language: Cases}
- Cases no longer considers aliases inferable from dependencies in types \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- A redundant clause in Cases is now an error \(\left({ }^{*}\right)\)

\section*{Reduction}
- New reduction flags "Zeta" and "Evar" in Eval Compute, for inlining of local definitions and instantiation of existential variables
- Delta reduction flag does not perform Zeta and Evar reduction any more (*)
- Constants declared as opaque (using Qed) can no longer become transparent (a constant intended to be alternatively opaque and transparent must be declared as transparent (using Defined)); a risk exists (until next Coq version) that Simpl and Hnf reduces opaque constants (*)

\section*{New tactics}
- New set of tactics to deal with types equipped with specific equalities (a.k.a. Setoids, e.g. nat equipped with eq_nat) [by C. Renard]
- New tactic Assert, similar to Cut but expected to be more user-friendly
- New tactic NewDestruct and NewInduction intended to replace Elim and Induction, Case and Destruct in a more user-friendly way (see restrictions in the reference manual)
- New tactic ROmega: an experimental alternative (based on reflexion) to Omega [by P. Crégut]
- New tactic language Ltac (see reference manual) (+)
- New versions of Tauto and Intuition, fully rewritten in the new Ltac language; they run faster and produce more compact proofs; Tauto is fully compatible but, in exchange of a better uniformity, Intuition is slightly weaker (then use Tauto instead) \(\left({ }^{* *}\right)(+)\)
- New tactic Field to decide equalities on commutative fields (as a special case, it works on real numbers) (+)
- New tactic Fourier to solve linear inequalities on reals numbers [by L. Pottier] (+)
- New tactics dedicated to real numbers: DiscrR, SplitRmult, SplitAbsolu (+)

\section*{Changes in existing tactics}
- Reduction tactics in local definitions apply only to the body
- New syntax of the form "Compute in Type of H." to require a reduction on the types of local definitions
- Inversion, Injection, Discriminate, ... apply also on the quantified premises of a goal (using the "Intros until" syntax)
- Decompose has been fixed but hypotheses may get different names \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- Tauto now manages uniformly hypotheses and conclusions of the form \(t=t\) which all are considered equivalent to True. Especially, Tauto now solves goals of the form \(H: \sim t=t \mid-A\).
- The "Let" tactic has been renamed "LetTac" and is now based on the primitive "let-in" (+)
- Elim can no longer be used with an elimination schema different from the one defined at definition time of the inductive type. To overload an elimination schema, use "Elim <hyp> using <name of the new schema>" \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- Simpl no longer unfolds the recursive calls of a mutually defined fixpoint \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- Intro now fails if the hypothesis name already exists \(\left({ }^{*}\right)(+)\)
- "Require Prolog" is no longer needed (i.e. it is available by default) \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- Unfold now fails on a non-unfoldable identifier \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- Unfold also applies on definitions of the local context
- AutoRewrite now deals only with the main goal and it is the purpose of Hint Rewrite to deal with generated subgoals (+)
- Redundant or incompatible instantiations in Apply ... with ... are now correctly managed (+)

\section*{Efficiency}
- Excessive memory uses specific to V7.0 fixed
- Sizes of .vo files vary a lot compared to V6.3 (from \(-30 \%\) to \(+300 \%\) depending on the developments)
- An improved reduction strategy for lazy evaluation
- A more economical mechanism to ensure logical consistency at the Type level; warning: this is experimental and may produce "universes" anomalies (please report)

\section*{Concrete syntax of constructions}
- Only identifiers starting with "_" or a letter, and followed by letters, digits," "" or "" are allowed (e.g."\$" and "@" are no longer allowed) (*)
- A multiple binder like \((\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{A})(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}:(\mathrm{P} a))(\mathrm{Q}\) a) is no longer parsed as \((\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{A})(\mathrm{a} 0:(\mathrm{P} a))(\mathrm{b}:(\mathrm{P} a))(\mathrm{Q} a 0)\) but as \((\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{A})(\mathrm{a} 0:(\mathrm{P}\) a) )(b:(Pa0))(Q a0) \(\left(^{*}\right)(+)\)
- A dedicated syntax has been introduced for Reals (e.g 3+1/x) (+)
- Pretty-printing of Infix notations fixed. (+)

\section*{Parsing and grammar extension}
- More constraints when writing ast
- "\{ ...\}" and the macros \$LIST, \$VAR, etc. now expect a metavariable (an identifier starting with \$) (*)
- identifiers should starts with a letter or "_" and be followed by letters, digits, "_" or """ (other characters are still supported but it is not advised to use them) \(\left({ }^{*}\right)(+)\)
- Entry "command" in "Grammar" and quotations («...» stuff) is renamed "constr" as in "Syntax" (+)
- New syntax "[" sentence_1 ... sentence_n"]." to group sentences (useful for Time and to write grammar rules abbreviating several commands) (+)
- The default parser for actions in the grammar rules (and for patterns in the pretty-printing rules) is now the one associated with the grammar (i.e. vernac, tactic or constr); no need then for quotations as in <:vernac:<...»; to return an "ast", the grammar must be explicitly typed with tag ": ast" or ": ast list", or if a syntax rule, by using «..." in the patterns (expression inside these angle brackets are parsed as "ast"); for grammars other than vernac, tactic or constr, you may explicitly type the action with tags ": constr", ": tactic", or ":vernac" \(\left.{ }^{* *}\right)^{(+)}\)
- Interpretation of names in Grammar rule is now based on long names, which allows to avoid problems (or sometimes tricks;) related to overloaded names (+)

\section*{New commands}
- New commands "Print XML All", "Show XML Proof", ... to show or export theories to XML to be used with Helm's publishing and rendering tools (see http://www.cs.unibo.it/helm) (by Claudio Sacerdoti Coen) (+)
- New commands to manually set implicit arguments (+)
- "Implicits ident." to activate the implicit arguments mode just for ident
- "Implicits ident [num1 num2 ...]." to explicitly give which arguments have to be considered as implicit
- New SearchPattern/SearchRewrite (by Yves Bertot) (+)
- New commands "Debug on" \(/\) "Debug off" to activate/deactivate the tactic language debugger (+)
- New commands to map physical paths to logical paths (+) - Add LoadPath physical_dir as logical_dir - Add Rec LoadPath physical_dir as logical_dir

\section*{Changes in existing commands}
- Generalization of the usage of qualified identifiers in tactics and commands about globals, e.g. Decompose, Eval Delta; Hints Unfold, Transparent, Require
- Require synchronous with Reset; Require's scope stops at Section ending (*)
- For a module indirectly loaded by a "Require" but not exported, the command "Import module" turns the constructions defined in the module accessible by their short name, and activates the Grammar, Syntax, Hint, ... declared in the module ( + )
- The scope of the "Search" command can be restricted to some modules ( + )
- Final dot in command (full stop/period) must be followed by a blank (newline, tabulation or whitespace) (+)
- Slight restriction of the syntax for Cbv Delta: if present, option [-myconst] must immediately follow the Delta keyword (*)(+)
- SearchIsos currently not supported
- Add ML Path is now implied by Add LoadPath (+)
- New names for the following commands ( + )

AddPath -> Add LoadPath Print LoadPath -> Print LoadPath DelPath -> Remove LoadPath AddRecPath -> Add Rec LoadPath Print Path \(\rightarrow\) Print Coercion Paths

Implicit Arguments On -> Set Implicit Arguments Implicit Arguments Off -> Unset Implicit Arguments Begin Silent -> Set Silent End Silent -> Unset Silent.

\section*{Tools}
- coqtop (+)
- Two executables: coqtop.byte and coqtop.opt (if supported by the platform)
- coqtop is a link to the more efficient executable (coqtop.opt if present)
- option -full is obsolete (+)
- do_Makefile renamed into coq_makefile (+)
- New option -R to coqtop and coqc to map a physical directory to a logical one (+)
- coqc no longer needs to create a temporary file
- No more warning if no initialization file .coqre exists

\section*{Extraction}
- New algorithm for extraction able to deal with "Type" (+) (by J.-C. Filliâtre and P. Letouzey)

\section*{Standard library}
- New library on maps on integers (IntMap, contributed by Jean Goubault)
- New lemmas about integer numbers [ZArith]
- New lemmas and a "natural" syntax for reals [Reals] (+)
- Exc/Error/Value renamed into Option/Some/None (*)

\section*{New user contributions}
- Constructive complex analysis and the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra [FTA] (Herman Geuvers, Freek Wiedijk, Jan Zwanenburg, Randy Pollack, Henk Barendregt, Nijmegen)
- A new axiomatization of ZFC set theory [Functions_in_ZFC] (C. Simpson, Sophia-Antipolis)
- Basic notions of graph theory [GRAPHS-BASICS] (Jean Duprat, Lyon)
- A library for floating-point numbers [Float] (Laurent Théry, Sylvie Boldo, Sophia-Antipolis)
- Formalisation of CTL and TCTL temporal logic [CtlTctl] (Carlos Daniel Luna,Montevideo)
- Specification and verification of the Railroad Crossing Problem in CTL and TCTL [RailroadCrossing] (Carlos Daniel Luna,Montevideo)
- P-automaton and the ABR algorithm [PAutomata] (Christine Paulin, Emmanuel Freund, Orsay)
- Semantics of a subset of the C language [MiniC] (Eduardo Giménez, Emmanuel Ledinot, Suresnes)
- Correctness proofs of the following imperative algorithms: Bresenham line drawing algorithm [Bresenham], Marché's minimal edition distance algorithm [Diff] (Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Orsay)
- Correctness proofs of Buchberger's algorithm [Buchberger] and RSA cryptographic algorithm [Rsa] (Laurent Théry, Sophia-Antipolis)
- Correctness proof of Stalmarck tautology checker algorithm [Stalmarck] (Laurent Théry, Pierre Letouzey, SophiaAntipolis)

\section*{Details of changes in 7.2}

\section*{Language}
- Automatic insertion of patterns for local definitions in the type of the constructors of an inductive types (for compatibility with V6.3 let-in style)
- Coercions allowed in Cases patterns
- New declaration "Canonical Structure id = t : I" to help resolution of equations of the form (proj ?)=a; if proj(e)=a then a is canonically equipped with the remaining fields in e, i.e. ? is instantiated by e

Tactics
- New tactic "ClearBody H" to clear the body of definitions in local context
- New tactic "Assert \(\mathrm{H}:=\mathrm{c}\) " for forward reasoning
- Slight improvement in naming strategy for NewInduction/NewDestruct
- Intuition/Tauto do not perform useless unfolding and work up to conversion

Extraction (details in plugins/extraction/CHANGES or documentation)
- Syntax changes: there are no more options inside the extraction commands. New commands for customization and options have been introduced instead.
- More optimizations on extracted code.
- Extraction tests are now embedded in 14 user contributions.

Standard library
- In [Relations], Rstar.v and Newman.v now axiom-free.
- In [Sets], Integers.v now based on nat
- In [Arith], more lemmas in Min.v, new file Max.v, tail-recursive plus and mult added to Plus.v and Mult.v respectively
- New directory [Sorting] with a proof of heapsort (dragged from 6.3.1 lib)
- In [Reals], more lemmas in Rbase.v, new lemmas on square, square root and trigonometric functions (R_sqr.v - Rtrigo.v); a complementary approach and new theorems about continuity and derivability in Ranalysis.v; some properties in plane geometry such as translation, rotation or similarity in Rgeom.v; finite sums and Chasles property in Rsigma.v
Bugs
- Confusion between implicit args of locals and globals of same base name fixed
- Various incompatibilities wrt inference of "?" in V6.3.1 fixed
- Implicits in infix section variables bug fixed
- Known coercions bugs fixed
- Apply "universe anomaly" bug fixed
- NatRing now working
- "Discriminate 1", "Injection 1", "Simplify_eq 1" now working
- NewInduction bugs with let-in and recursively dependent hypotheses fixed
- Syntax [x:=t:T]u now allowed as mentioned in documentation
- Bug with recursive inductive types involving let-in fixed
- Known pattern-matching bugs fixed
- Known Cases elimination predicate bugs fixed
- Improved errors messages for pattern-matching and projections
- Better error messages for ill-typed Cases expressions

Incompatibilities
- New naming strategy for NewInduction/NewDestruct may affect 7.1 compatibility
- Extra parentheses may exceptionally be needed in tactic definitions.
- Coq extensions written in OCaml need to be updated (see dev/changements.txt for a description of the main changes in the interface files of V7.2)
- New behavior of Intuition/Tauto may exceptionally lead to incompatibilities

\section*{Details of changes in 7.3}

Language
- Slightly improved compilation of pattern-matching (slight source of incompatibilities)
- Record's now accept anonymous fields "_" which does not build projections
- Changes in the allowed elimination sorts for certain class of inductive definitions : an inductive definition without constructors of Sort Prop can be eliminated on sorts Set and Type A "singleton" inductive definition (one constructor with arguments in the sort Prop like conjunction of two propositions or equality) can be eliminated directly on sort Type (In V7.2, only the sorts Prop and Set were allowed)

\section*{Tactics}
- New tactic "Rename x into y " for renaming hypotheses
- New tactics "Pose \(\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{u}\) " and "Pose u " to add definitions to local context
- Pattern now working on partially applied subterms
- Ring no longer applies irreversible congruence laws of mult but better applies congruence laws of plus (slight source of incompatibilities).
- Field now accepts terms to be simplified as arguments (as for Ring). This extension has been also implemented using the toplevel tactic language.
- Intuition does no longer unfold constants except "<->" and "~". It can be parameterized by a tactic. It also can introduce dependent product if needed (source of incompatibilities)
- "Match Context" now matching more recent hypotheses first and failing only on user errors and Fail tactic (possible source of incompatibilities)
- Tactic Definition's without arguments now allowed in Coq states
- Better simplification and discrimination made by Inversion (source of incompatibilities)

Bugs
- "Intros H" now working like "Intro H" trying first to reduce if not a product
- Forward dependencies in Cases now taken into account
- Known bugs related to Inversion and let-in's fixed
- Bug unexpected Delta with let-in now fixed

Extraction (details in plugins/extraction/CHANGES or documentation)
- Signatures of extracted terms are now mostly expunged from dummy arguments.
- Haskell extraction is now operational (tested \& debugged).

\section*{Standard library}
- Some additions in [ZArith]: three files (Zcomplements.v, Zpower.v and Zlogarithms.v) moved from plugins/omega in order to be more visible, one Zsgn function, more induction principles (Wf_Z.v and tail of Zcomplements.v), one more general Euclid theorem
- Peano_dec.v and Compare_dec.v now part of Arith.v

Tools
- new option -dump-glob to coqtop to dump globalizations (to be used by the new documentation tool coqdoc; see http://www.lri.fr/~filliatr/coqdoc)
User Contributions
- CongruenceClosure (congruence closure decision procedure) [Pierre Corbineau, ENS Cachan]
- MapleMode (an interface to embed Maple simplification procedures over rational fractions in Coq) [David Delahaye, Micaela Mayero, Chalmers University]
- Presburger: A formalization of Presburger's algorithm [Laurent Thery, INRIA Sophia Antipolis]
- Chinese has been rewritten using Z from ZArith as datatype ZChinese is the new version, Chinese the obsolete one [Pierre Letouzey, LRI Orsay]
Incompatibilities
- Ring: exceptional incompatibilities (1 above 650 in submitted user contribs, leading to a simplification)
- Intuition: does not unfold any definition except "<->" and " \(\sim\) "
- Cases: removal of some extra Cases in configurations of the form "Cases ... of \(\mathrm{C}_{-}=>\ldots\) I_ \(\mathrm{D}=>\ldots\)... (effects on 2 definitions of submitted user contributions necessitating the removal of now superfluous proof steps in 3 different proofs)
- Match Context, in case of incompatibilities because of a now non trapped error (e.g. Not_found or Failure), use instead tactic Fail to force Match Context trying the next clause
- Inversion: better simplification and discrimination may occasionally lead to less subgoals and/or hypotheses and different naming of hypotheses
- Unification done by Apply/Elim has been changed and may exceptionally lead to incompatible instantiations
- Peano_dec.v and Compare_dec.v parts of Arith.v make Auto more powerful if these files were not already required (1 occurrence of this in submitted user contribs)

\section*{Changes in 7.3.1}

Bug fixes
- Corrupted Field tactic and Match Context tactic construction fixed
- Checking of names already existing in Assert added (\#1386)
- Invalid argument bug in Exact tactic solved (\#1387)
- Colliding bound names bug fixed (\#1412)
- Wrong non-recursivity test for Record fixed (\#1394)
- Out of memory/seg fault bug related to parametric inductive fixed (\#1404)
- Setoid_replace/Setoid_rewrite bug wrt "==" fixed

Misc
- Ocaml version \(>=3.06\) is needed to compile Coq from sources
- Simplification of fresh names creation strategy for Assert, Pose and LetTac (\#1402)

\section*{Details of changes in 7.4}

Symbolic notations
- Introduction of a notion of scope gathering notations in a consistent set; a notation sets has been developed for nat, Z and R (undocumented)
- New command "Notation" for declaring notations simultaneously for parsing and printing (see chap 10 of the reference manual)
- Declarations with only implicit arguments now handled (e.g. the argument of nil can be set implicit; use !nil to refer to nil without arguments)
- "Print Scope sc" and "Locate ntn" allows to know to what expression a notation is bound
- New defensive strategy for printing or not implicit arguments to ensure re-type-checkability of the printed term
- In Grammar command, the only predefined non-terminal entries are ident, global, constr and pattern (e.g. nvar, numarg disappears); the only allowed grammar types are constr and pattern; ast and ast list are no longer supported; some incompatibilities in Grammar: when a syntax is a initial segment of an other one, Grammar does not work, use Notation

\section*{Library}
- Lemmas in Set from Compare_dec.v (le_lt_dec, ...) and Wf_nat.v (lt_wf_rec, ...) are now transparent. This may be source of incompatibilities.
- Syntactic Definitions Fst, Snd, Ex, All, Ex2, AllT, ExT, ExT2, ProjS1, ProjS2, Error, Value and Except are turned to notations. They now must be applied (incompatibilities only in unrealistic cases).
- More efficient versions of Zmult and times (30\% faster)
- Reals: the library is now divided in 6 parts (Rbase, Rfunctions, SeqSeries, Rtrigo, Ranalysis, Integration). New tactics: Sup and RCompute. See Reals.v for details.
Modules
- Beta version, see doc chap 2.5 for commands and chap 5 for theory

Language
- Inductive definitions now accept " \(>\) " in constructor types to declare the corresponding constructor as a coercion.
- Idem for assumptions declarations and constants when the type is mentioned.
- The "Coercion" and "Canonical Structure" keywords now accept the same syntax as "Definition", i.e. "hyps :=c (:t)?" or "hyps :t".
- Theorem-like declaration now accepts the syntax "Theorem thm \([x: t ; \ldots]\) : u".
- Remark's and Fact's now definitively behave as Theorem and Lemma: when sections are closed, the full name of a Remark or a Fact has no longer a section part (source of incompatibilities)
- Opaque Local's (i.e. built by tactics and ended by Qed), do not survive section closing any longer; as a side-effect, Opaque Local's now appear in the local context of proofs; their body is hidden though (source of incompatibilities); use one of Remark/Fact/Lemma/Theorem instead to simulate the old behavior of Local (the section part of the name is not kept though)
ML tactics and commands
- "Grammar tactic" and "Grammar vernac" of type "ast" are no longer supported (only "Grammar tactic simple_tactic" of type "tactic" remains available).
- Concrete syntax for ML written commands and tactics is now declared at ML level using camlp4 macros TACTIC EXTEND et VERNAC COMMAND EXTEND.
- "Check n c" now "n:Check c", "Eval n ..." now "n:Eval ..."
- Proof with \(T\) (no documentation)
- SearchAbout id - prints all theorems which contain id in their type

Tactic definitions
- Static globalisation of identifiers and global references (source of incompatibilities, especially, Recursive keyword is required for mutually recursive definitions).
- New evaluation semantics: no more partial evaluation at definition time; evaluation of all Tactic/Meta Definition, even producing terms, expect a proof context to be evaluated (especially "()" is no longer needed).
- Debugger now shows the nesting level and the reasons of failure

Tactics
- Equality tactics (Rewrite, Reflexivity, Symmetry, Transitivity) now understand JM equality
- Simpl and Change now apply to subterms also
- "Simpl f " reduces subterms whose head constant is f
- Double Induction now referring to hypotheses like "Intros until"
- "Inversion" now applies also on quantified hypotheses (naming as for Intros until)
- NewDestruct now accepts terms with missing hypotheses
- NewDestruct and NewInduction now accept user-provided elimination scheme
- NewDestruct and NewInduction now accept user-provided introduction names
- Omega could solve goals such as \(\sim x<y \quad \mid-x>=y\) but failed when the hypothesis was unfolded to \(x<y \quad->\) False. This is fixed. In addition, it can also recognize 'False' in the hypothesis and use it to solve the goal.
- Coercions now handled in "with" bindings
- "Subst x " replaces all occurrences of x by t in the goal and hypotheses when an hypothesis \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{t}\) or \(\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{t}\) or \(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}\) exists
- Fresh names for Assert and Pose now based on collision-avoiding Intro naming strategy (exceptional source of incompatibilities)
- LinearIntuition (no documentation)
- Unfold expects a correct evaluable argument
- Clear expects existing hypotheses

Extraction (See details in plugins/extraction/CHANGES and README):
- An experimental Scheme extraction is provided.
- Concerning OCaml, extracted code is now ensured to always type check, thanks to automatic inserting of Obj.magic.
- Experimental extraction of Coq new modules to Ocaml modules.

Proof rendering in natural language
- Export of theories to XML for publishing and rendering purposes now includes proof-trees (see http://www.cs. unibo.it/helm)
Miscellaneous
- Printing Coercion now used through the standard keywords Set/Add, Test, Print
- "Print Term id" is an alias for "Print id"
- New switch "Unset/Set Printing Symbols" to control printing of symbolic notations
- Two new variants of implicit arguments are available
- Unset/Set Contextual Implicits tells to consider implicit also the arguments inferable from the context (e.g. for nil or refl_eq)
- Unset/Set Strict Implicits tells to consider implicit only the arguments that are inferable in any case (i.e. arguments that occurs as argument of rigid constants in the type of the remaining arguments; e.g. the witness of an existential is not strict since it can vanish when applied to a predicate which does not use its argument)

Incompatibilities
- "Grammar tactic ... : ast" and "Grammar vernac ... : ast" are no longer supported, use TACTIC EXTEND and VERNAC COMMAND EXTEND on the ML-side instead
- Transparency of le_lt_dec and co (leads to some simplification in proofs; in some cases, incompatibilites is solved by declaring locally opaque the relevant constant)
- Opaque Local do not now survive section closing (rename them into Remark/Lemma/... to get them still surviving the sections; this renaming allows also to solve incompatibilites related to now forbidden calls to the tactic Clear)
- Remark and Fact have no longer (very) long names (use Local instead in case of name conflict)

\section*{Bugs}
- Improved localisation of errors in Syntactic Definitions
- Induction principle creation failure in presence of let-in fixed (\#1459)
- Inversion bugs fixed (\#1427 and \#1437)
- Omega bug related to Set fixed (\#1384)
- Type-checking inefficiency of nested destructuring let-in fixed (\#1435)
- Improved handling of let-in during holes resolution phase (\#1460)

\section*{Efficiency}
- Implementation of a memory sharing strategy reducing memory requirements by an average ratio of 3 .

\subsection*{5.1.2 Recent changes}

\section*{Version 8.17}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.17 integrates a soundness fix to the Coq kernel along with a few new features and a host of improvements to the Ltac2 language and libraries. We highlight some of the most impactful changes here:
- Fixed a logical inconsistency due to vm_compute in presence of side-effects in the enviroment (e.g. using Back or Fail).
- It is now possible to dynamically enable or disable notations.
- Support multiple scopes in Arguments and Bind Scope.
- The tactics chapter of the manual has many improvements in presentation and wording. The documented grammar is semi-automatically checked for consistency with the implementation.
- Fixes to the auto and eauto tactics, to respect hint priorities and the documented use of simple apply. This is a potentially breaking change.
- New Ltac2 APIs, deep pattern-matching with as clauses and handling of literals, support for record types and preterms.
- Move from :> to : : syntax for declaring typeclass fields as instances, fixing a confusion with declaration of coercions.
- Standard library improvements.
- While Coq supports OCaml 5, users are likely to experience slowdowns ranging from \(+10 \%\) to \(+50 \%\) compared to OCaml 4. Moreover, the native_compute machinery is not available when Coq is compiled with OCaml 5. Therefore, OCaml 5 support should still be considered experimental and not production-ready.

See the Changes in 8.17 .0 section below for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed. Coq's reference manual for \(8.17^{84}\), documentation of the 8.17 standard library \({ }^{85}\) and developer documentation of the \(8.17 \mathrm{ML} \mathrm{API}^{86}\) are also available.

Ali Caglayan, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.

Erik Martin-Dorel has maintained the Coq Docker images \({ }^{87}\) that are used in many Coq projects for continuous integration.
Maxime Dénès, Paolo G. Giarrusso, Huỳnh Trần Khanh, and Laurent Théry have maintained the VsCoq extension for VS Code.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
The Coq Platform \({ }^{88}\) has been maintained by Michael Soegtrop, with help from Karl Palmskog, Pierre Roux, Enrico Tassi and Théo Zimmermann.

Our current maintainers are Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Ana Borges, Ali Caglayan, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Andres Erbsen, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Benjamin Grégoire, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Assia Mahboubi, Kenji Maillard, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Arnaud Spiwack, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann. See the Coq Team face book \({ }^{89}\) page for more details.

The 45 contributors to the 8.17 version are: Reynald Affeldt, Tanaka Akira, Lasse Blaauwbroek, Stephan Boyer, Ali Caglayan, Cyril Cohen, Maxime Dénès, Andrej Dudenhefner, Andres Erbsen, František Farka, Jim Fehrle, Paolo G. Giarrusso, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Alban Gruin, Stefan Haan, Hugo Herbelin, Wolf Honore, Bodo Igler, Jerry James, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Ralf Jung, Jan-Oliver Kaiser, Wojciech Karpiel, Chantal Keller, Thomas Klausner, Olivier Laurent, Yishuai Li, Guillaume Melquiond, Karl Palmskog, Sudha Parimala, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Valentin Robert, Pierre Roux, Julin S, Dmitry Shachnev, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Naveen Srinivasan, Sergei Stepanenko, Karolina Surma, Enrico Tassi, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann.

The Coq community at large helped improve this new version via the GitHub issue and pull request system, the coqclub@inria.fr mailing list, the Discourse forum \({ }^{90}\) and the Coq Zulip chat \({ }^{91}\).

Version 8.17's development spanned 5 months from the release of Coq 8.16.0. Théo Zimmermann is the release manager of Coq 8.17. This release is the result of 414 merged PRs, closing 105 issues.
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Nantes, February 2023,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Changes in 8.17.0}
- Kernel
- Specification language, type inference
- Notations
- Tactics
- Ltac language
- Ltac2 language
- SSReflect
- Commands and options
- Command-line tools
- Standard library
- Infrastructure and dependencies
- Miscellaneous

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: inconsistency linked to vm_compute. The fix removes a vulnerable cache, thus it may result in slowdowns when vm_compute is used repeatedly, if you encounter such slowdowns please report your use case (\#16958 \({ }^{92}\), fixes \(\# 16957^{93}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Unexpected anomaly when checking termination of fixpoints containing mat ch expressions with inaccessible branches ( \(\# 17116^{94}\), fixes \#17073 \({ }^{95}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Changed: Unused variable warning triggers even when catching a single case. This warning used to be triggered only when the unused variable was catching at least two cases (\#1613596, by Pierre Roux).
- Fixed: Pattern-matching clauses were possibly lost when matching over a constructor from a singleton inductive type in the presence of implicit coercions ( \(\# 17138^{97}\), fixes \#1713798, by Hugo Herbelin).
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- Fixed: Possible anomaly when using syntax term. (proj) with projections defined in sections (\#1717499, fixes \#17173 \({ }^{100}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Notations}
- Changed: When multiple tokens match the beginning of a sequence of characters, the longest matching token not cutting a subsequence of contiguous letters in the middle is used. Previously, this was only the longest matching token. See lexical conventions for details and examples (\#16322 \({ }^{101}\), fixes \#4712 \({ }^{102}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Enable Notation and Disable Notation commands to enable or disable previously defined notations (\#12324 \({ }^{103}\) and \#16945 \({ }^{104}\), by Hugo Herbelin and Pierre Roux, extending previous work by Lionel Rieg, review by Jim Fehrle).
- Added: Support for multiple scopes in the Arguments command (\#16472 \({ }^{105}\), by Pierre Roux, review by Jim Fehrle, Hugo Herbelin and Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Attributes add_top and add_bottom to bind multiple scopes through the Bind Scope command (\#16472 \({ }^{106}\), by Pierre Roux, review by Jim Fehrle, Hugo Herbelin and Enrico Tassi).

\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: Documentation in the tactics chapter to give the current correct syntax, consolidate tactic variants for each tactic into a single, unified description for each tactic and many wording improvements. With this change, following similar changes to other chapters in previous releases, the correctness of documented syntax is assured by semi-automated tooling in all chapters except SSReflect ( \(\# 15015^{107}\), \#16498 \({ }^{108}\), and \(\# 16659^{109}\), by Jim Fehrle, reviewed by Théo Zimmermann, with help from many others).
- Changed: eauto respects priorities of Extern hints (\#16289 \({ }^{110}\), fixes \#5163 \({ }^{111}\) and \#16282 \({ }^{112}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).

Warning: Code that relies on eager evaluation of Extern hints with high assigned cost by eauto will change its performance profile or potentially break. To approximate prior behavior, set to zero the cost of Extern hints, which may solve the goal in one step.
- Changed: less discrepancies between auto hint evaluation and simple apply, exact tactics (\#16293 \({ }^{113}\), fixes \#16062 \({ }^{114}\) and \#16323 \({ }^{115}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
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Warning: auto may solve more goals. As a result, non-monotone use of auto such as tac1; auto. tac2. may break. For backwards compatibility use explicit goal management.
- Removed: absurd_hyp tactic, that was marked as obsolete 15 years ago. Use contradict instead (\#16670 \({ }^{116}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: the undocumented progress_evars tactical (\#16843 \({ }^{117}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Deprecated: the default intuition_solver (see intuition) now outputs warning intuition-auto-with-star if it solves a goal with auto with * that was not solved with just auto. In a future version it will be changed to just auto. Use intuition tac locally or Ltac Tauto. intuition_solver : : = tac globally to silence the warning in a forward-compatible way with your choice of tactic tac (auto, auto with *, auto with your prefered databases, or any other tactic) (\#16026 \({ }^{118}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Deprecated: > clear modifier that could be used in some tactics like apply and rewrite but was never documented. Open an issue if you actually depend on this feature ( \(\# 16407^{119}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Fixed: auto now properly updates local hypotheses after hint application (\#16302 \({ }^{120}\), fixes \#15814 \({ }^{121}\) and \#6332 \({ }^{122}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Fixed: Make the behavior of destruct ... using . . . more powerful and more similar to destruct . . . (\#16605 \({ }^{123}\), by Lasse Blaauwbroek).
- Fixed: typeclass inference sometimes caused remaining holes to fail to be detected (\#16743 \({ }^{124}\), fixes \#5239 \({ }^{125}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Ltac language}
- Changed: Ltac redefinitions (with : :=) now respect local (\#16106 \({ }^{126}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: In match goal, match goal with hyp := body : typ |- _ is syntax sugar for match goal with hyp := [ body ] : typ |-_ i.e. it matches typ with the type of the hypothesis rather than matching the body as a cast term. This transformation used to be done with any kind of cast (e.g. VM cast \(<:\) ) and is now done only for default casts : (\#16764 \({ }^{127}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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\section*{Ltac2 language}
- Changed: Ltac2.Bool notations are now in a module Ltac2.Bool.BoolNotations (exported by default), so that these notations can be imported separately ( \(\# 16536^{128}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: Constr.in_context enforces that the constr passed to it is a type (\#16547 \({ }^{129}\), fixes \#16540 \({ }^{130}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: goal matching functions from Ltac2.Pattern (matches_goal, lazy_goal_match0, multi_goal_match0 and one_goal_match0) have changed types to support matching hypothesis bodies (\#16655 \({ }^{131}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Deep pattern matching for Ltac2 (\#16023 \({ }^{132}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: patterns for Ltac2 matches: as, records and literal integers and strings (\#16179 \({ }^{133}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: APIs for working with strings: Message.to_string, String. concat, cat, equal, compare, is_empty (\#16217 \({ }^{134}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Ltac2. Constr.Unsafe.liftn (\#16413 \({ }^{135}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Ltac2.Constr.Unsafe.closedn, Ltac2.Constr.Unsafe.is_closed, Ltac2. Constr.Unsafe. occur_between, Ltac2. Constr. Unsafe. occurn (\#16414 \({ }^{136}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Ltac2. List.equal (\#16429 \({ }^{137}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Print Ltac2, Print Ltac2 Signatures and Locate can now find Ltac2 definitions (\#16466 \({ }^{138}\), fixes \#16418 \({ }^{139}\) and \#16415 \({ }^{140}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Ltac2.Array.for_all2 and Ltac2.Array.equal (\#16535 \({ }^{141}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Ltac2.Constant.equal, Ltac2.Constant.t, Ltac2.Constructor.equal, Ltac2. Constructor.t, Ltac2.Evar.equal, Ltac2.Evar.t, Ltac2.Float.equal, Ltac2.Float.t, Ltac2.Meta.equal, Ltac2.Meta.t, Ltac2.Proj.equal, Ltac2.Proj.t, Ltac2.Uint63. equal, Ltac2.Uint63.t, Ltac2.Char.equal, Ltac2.Char.compare, Ltac2.Constr. Unsafe. Case. equal (\#16537 \({ }^{142}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Ltac2. Option. equal (\#16538 \({ }^{143}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: syntax for Ltac2 record update \{ foo with field := bar \} (\#16552 \({ }^{144}\), fixes \#10117 \({ }^{145}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Ltac2 record expressions support punning, i.e. \{ foo; M.bar \} is equivalent to \{ foo := foo; M.bar : = bar \} (\#16556 \({ }^{146}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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}
- Added: mat ch! goal support for matching hypothesis bodies (\#16655 \({ }^{147}\), fixes \#12803 \({ }^{148}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: quotation and syntax class for preterms (\#16740 \({ }^{149}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Added: port the additions made to ssrfun.v and ssrbool.v in math-comp PR \#872 \({ }^{150}\) and PR \#874 \({ }^{151}\), namely definitions olift and pred_oapp as well as lemmas all_sig2_cond, compA, obindEapp, omapEbind, omapEapp, omap_comp, oapp_comp, olift_comp, ocan_comp, eqbLR, eqbRL, can_in_pcan, pcan_in_inj,in_inj_comp, can_in_comp, pcan_in_comp and ocan_in_comp (\#16158 \({ }^{152}\), by Pierre Roux).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: commands which set tactic options (currently Firstorder Solver and Obligation Tactic, as well as any defined by third party plugins) now support export locality. Note that such commands using global without export or using no explicit locality outside sections apply their effects when any module containing it (recursively) is imported. This will change in a future version. (\#15274 \({ }^{153}\), fixes \#15072 \({ }^{154}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: Hint and Instance commands with no locality attribute are deprecated. Previous versions generated a warning, but this version generates an error by default. This includes all Hint commands described in Creating Hints, Hint Rewrite, and Instance. As mentioned in the error, please add an explicit locality to the hint command. The default was \#[global], but we recommend using \#[export] where possible (\#16004 \({ }^{155}\), fixes \#13394 \({ }^{156}\), by Ali Caglayan).
- Changed: Transparent obligations generated by Program do not produce an implicit Hint Unfold anymore (\#16340 \({ }^{157}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Print Typeclasses replaces the undocumented Print TypeClasses command which displays the list of typeclasses (\#16690 \({ }^{158}\), fixes \#16686 \({ }^{159}\), by Ali Caglayan).
- Changed: The -async-proofs-tac-j command line option now accepts the argument 0 , which makes par block interpreted without spawning any new process ( \(\# 16837^{160}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: the Program Naming flag, which was introduced as an immediately deprecated option in Coq 8.16 (\#16519 \({ }^{161}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: undocumented and broken Solve Obligation command (the Solve Obligations command is untouched) (\#16842 \({ }^{162}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
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}
- Deprecated :> syntax, to declare fields of Typeclasses as instances, since it is now replaced by : (see of_type_inst). This will allow, in a future release, making : > declare Implicit Coercions as it does in record definitions \(\overline{\#} \# 16230^{163}\), fixes \#16224 \({ }^{164}\), by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Ali Caglayan, Jim Fehrle, Gaëtan Gilbert and Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: An improved description of Proof using and section variables (\#16168 \({ }^{165}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Added: : : syntax (see of_type_inst) to declare fields of records as typeclass instances (\#16230 \({ }^{166}\), fixes \#16224 \({ }^{167}\), by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Ali Caglayan, Jim Fehrle, Gaëtan Gilbert and Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: The Print Keywords command, which prints all the currently-defined parser keywords and tokens (\#16438 \({ }^{168}\), fixes \#16375 \({ }^{169}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Print Grammar can print arbitrary nonterminals or the whole grammar instead of a small adhoc list of nonterminals (\#16440 \({ }^{170}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Fast Name Printing flag no longer causes variable name capture when displaying a goal (\#16395 \({ }^{171}\), fixes \#14141 \({ }^{172}\), by Wojciech Karpiel).
- Fixed: vm_compute ignored the bytecode-compiler command line flag (\#16931 \({ }^{173}\), fixes \#16929 \({ }^{174}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: The Proof Mode command now gives an error if the specified proof mode doesn't exist. The command was not previously documented ( \(\# 16981^{175}\), fixes \(\# 16602^{176}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: Backtracking over grammar modifications from plugins (such as added commands) (\#17069 \({ }^{177}\), fixes \#12575 \({ }^{178}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Anomaly instead of regular error on unsupported applied fix in Function (\#17113 \({ }^{179}\), fixes \#17110 \({ }^{180}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Command-line tools}
- Added: New documentation section Coq configuration basics covering use cases such as setting up Coq with opam, where/how to set up source code for your projects and use of _CoqProject ( \(\# 15888^{181}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Added: In _CoqProject files, expand paths that are directories to include appropriate files in (sub)directories (\#16308 \({ }^{182}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: issues when using coq_makefile to build targets requiring both .vo and . glob files (typically documentation targets), where make would run multiple coqc processes on the same source file with racy behaviour
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(only fixed when using a make supporting "grouped targets" such as GNU Make 4.3) (\#16757 \({ }^{183}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Properly process legacy attributes such as Global and Polymorphic in coqdoc to avoid omissions when using the \(-g\) (Gallina only) option (\#17090 \({ }^{184}\), fixes \(\# 15933^{185}\), by Karl Palmskog).

\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: Class Saturate in ZifyCLasses.v, PRes now also takes operands (\#16355 \({ }^{186}\), by František Farka on behalf of BedRock Systems, Inc.).
- Changed: For uniformity of naming and ease of remembering, R_dist and theorems mentioning R_dist in their name become available with spelling Rdist ( \(\# 168744^{187}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed: from Nat and \(N\) superfluous lemmas rs_rs', rs'_rs'', rbase, A'A_right, ls_ls', ls'_ls'',rs'_rs'', lbase, A'A_left, and also redundant non-negativity assumptions in gcd_unique, gcd_unique_alt, divide_gcd_iff, and gcd_mul_diag_l (\#16203 \({ }^{188}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Deprecated: notation _ \(\sim=\) _ for JMeq in Coq. Program. Equality (\#16436 \({ }^{189}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Deprecated: lemma Finite_alt in FinFun.v, which is a weaker version of the newly added lemma Finite_dec (\#16489 \({ }^{190}\), fixes \#16479 \({ }^{191}\), by Bodo Igler, with help from Olivier Laurent).
- Deprecated: Zmod, Zdiv_eucl_POS, Zmod_POS_bound, Zmod_pos_bound, and Zmod_neg_bound in ZArith. Zdiv (\#16892 \({ }^{192}\), by Andres Erbsen).
- Deprecated: Cyclic.ZModulo.ZModulo because there have been no known use cases for this module and because it does not implement \(\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{nZ}\) for arbitrary n as one might expect based on the name. The same construction will remain a part of the Coq test suite to ensure consistency of CyclicAxioms (\#16914 \({ }^{193}\), by Andres Erbsen).
- Added: lemmas Permutation_incl_cons_inv_r, Permutation_pigeonhole, Permutation_pigeonhole_rel to Permutation.v, and Forall2_cons_iff, Forall2_length, Forall2_impl, Forall2_flip, Forall_Exists_exists_Forall2 to List.v (\#15986 \({ }^{194}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner, with help from Dominique Larchey-Wendling and Olivier Laurent).
- Added: modules Nat. Div0 and Nat.Lcm0 in PeanoNat, and N.Div0 and N.Lcm0 in BinNat containing lemmas regarding div and mod, which take into account \(n\) div \(0=0\) and \(n \bmod 0=n\). Strictly weaker lemmas are deprecated, and will be removed in the future. After the weaker lemmas are removed, the modules Div0 and Lcm0 will be deprecated, and their contents included directly into Nat and N. Locally, you can use Module Nat \(:=\) Nat.Div0. or Module Nat \(:=\) Nat.Lcm0. to approximate this inclusion (\#16203 \({ }^{195}\), fixes \#16186 \({ }^{196}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
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- Added: lemma measure_induction in Nat and \(N\) analogous to Wf_nat.induction_ltof1, which is compatible with the using clause for the induction tactic (\#16203 \({ }^{197}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Added: three lemmata related to finiteness and decidability of equality: Listing_decidable_eq, Finite_dec to FinFun.v and lemma NoDup_list_decidable to ListDec.v (\#16489 \({ }^{198}\), fixes \#16479 \({ }^{199}\), by Bodo Igler, with help from Olivier Laurent and Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Added: lemma not_NoDup to ListDec.v and NoDup_app_remove_l, NoDup_app_remove_r to List.v (\#16588 \({ }^{200}\), by Stefan Haan with a lot of help from Olivier Laurent and Ali Caglayan).
- Added: the skipn_skipn lemma in Lists/List.v (\#16632 \({ }^{201}\), by Stephan Boyer).
- Added: lemmas nth_error_ext, map_repeat, rev_repeat to List.v, and to_list_nil_iff, to_list_inj to VectorSpec.v (\#16756 \({ }^{202}\), by Stefan Haan).
- Added: transparent extgcd to replace opaque euclid, euclid_rec, Euclid, and Euclid_intro in Znumtheory. Deprecated compatibility wrappers are provided (\#16915 \({ }^{203}\), by Andres Erbsen).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: Coq is now built entirely using the Dune build system. Packagers and users that build Coq manually must use the new build instructions in the documentation (\#15560 \({ }^{204}\), by Ali Caglayan, Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, and Rudi Grinberg).
- Changed: Coq is not compiled with OCaml's -rectypes option anymore. This means plugins which do not exploit it can also stop passing it to OCaml ( \(\# 16007^{205}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: Building Coq now requires Dune \(>=2.9\) ( \(\# 16118^{206}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: Coq Makefile targets pretty-timed, make-pretty-timed, make-pretty-timed-before, make-pretty-timed-after, print-pretty-timed, print-pretty-timed-diff, print-pretty-single-time-diff now generate more readable timing tables when absolute paths are used in _CoqProject / the arguments to coq_makefile, by stripping off the absolute prefix ( \(\# 16268^{207}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: Coq's configure script now defaults to -native-compiler no. Previously, the default was -native-compiler ondemand, except on Windows. The behavior for users installing through opam does not change, i.e., it is -native-compiler no if the coq-native package is not installed, and -native-compiler yes otherwise ( \(\# 16997^{208}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: the -coqide switch to configure in Coq's build infrastructure (it stopped controlling what got compiled in the move to dune) ( \(\# 16512^{209}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: the -nomacintegration configure flag for CoqIDE. Now CoqIDE will always build with the proper platform-specific integration if available ( \(\# 16531^{210}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
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- Added: Coq now supports OCaml 5; note that OCaml 5 is not compatible with Coq's native reduction machine (\#154944 \({ }^{211}, \# 16925^{212}, \# 16947^{213}, \# 16959^{214}, \# 16988^{215}, \# 16991^{216}, \# 16996^{217}, ~ \# 16997^{218}\), \#16999 \({ }^{219}\), \(\# 17010^{220}\), and \#17015 \({ }^{221}\) by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, and others).
- Added: OCaml 4.14 is now officially supported ( \(\# 15867^{222}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Miscellaneous}
- Changed: Module names are now added to the loadpath in alphabetical order for each (sub-)directory. Previously they were added in the order of the directory entries (as shown by "ls -U") ( \(\# 16725^{223}\), by Jim Fehrle).

\section*{Changes in 8.17.1}

A variety of bug fixes and improvements to error messages, including:
- Fixed: in some cases, coqdep emitted incorrect paths for META files which prevented dune builds for plugins from working correctly (\#17270 \({ }^{224}\), fixes \#16571 \({ }^{225}\), by Rodolphe Lepigre).
- Fixed: Shadowing of record fields in extraction to OCaml (\#17324 \({ }^{226}\), fixes \#12813 \({ }^{227}\) and \#14843 \({ }^{228}\) and \#16677 \({ }^{229}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: an impossible to turn off debug message "backtracking and redoing byextend on ..." (\#17495 \({ }^{230}\), fixes \#17488 \({ }^{231}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: major memory regression affecting MathComp 2 (\#17743 \({ }^{232}\), by Enrico Tassi and Pierre Roux).
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\section*{Version 8.16}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.16 integrates changes to the Coq kernel and performance improvements along with a few new features. We highlight some of the most impactful changes here:
- The guard checker (see Guarded) now ensures strong normalization under any reduction strategy.
- Irrelevant terms (in the SProp sort) are now squashed to a dummy value during conversion, fixing a subject reduction issue and making proof conversion faster.
- Introduction of reversible coercions, which allow coercions relying on meta-level resolution such as type-classes or canonical structures. Also allow coercions that do not fullfill the uniform inheritance condition.
- Generalized rewriting support for rewriting with Type-valued relations and in Type contexts, using the classes . CMorphisms library.
- Added the boolean equality scheme command for decidable inductive types.
- Added a Print Notation command.
- Incompatibilities in name generation for Program obligations, eauto treatment of tactic failure levels, use of ident in notations, parsing of module expressions.
- Standard library reorganization and deprecations.
- Improve the treatment of standard library numbers by Extraction.

See the Changes in 8.16 .0 section below for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed. Coq's reference manual for \(8.16^{233}\), documentation of the 8.16 standard library \({ }^{234}\) and developer documentation of the \(8.16 \mathrm{ML} \mathrm{API}^{235}\) are also available.

Ali Caglayan, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.
Erik Martin-Dorel has maintained the Coq Docker images \({ }^{236}\) that are used in many Coq projects for continuous integration.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
The Coq Platform \({ }^{237}\) has been maintained by Michael Soegtrop, with help from Karl Palmskog, Enrico Tassi and Théo Zimmermann.

Our current maintainers are Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Ana Borges, Ali Caglayan, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Benjamin Grégoire, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Assia Mahboubi, Kenji Maillard, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Arnaud Spiwack, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann. See the Coq Team face book \({ }^{238}\) page for more details.

The 57 contributors to the 8.16 versions are Tanaka Akira, Frédéric Besson, Martin Bodin, Ana Borges, Ali Caglayan, Minki Cho, Cyril Cohen, Juan Conejero, "stop-cran", Adrian Dapprich, Maxime Dénès, Stéphane Desarzens, Christian Doczkal, Andrej Dudenhefner, Andres Erbsen, Jim Fehrle, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Attila Gáspár, Paolo G. Giarrusso, Gaëtan Gilbert, Rudi Grinberg, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Wolf Honore, Jasper Hugunin, Bart Jacobs, Pierre
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Jouvelot, Ralf Jung, Grant Jurgensen, Jan-Oliver Kaiser, Wojciech Karpiel, Thomas Klausner, Ethan Kuefner, Fabian Kunze, Olivier Laurent, Yishuai Li, Erik Martin-Dorel, Guillaume Melquiond, Jean-Francois Monin, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Rudy Peterson, Clément Pit-Claudel, Seth Poulsen, Ramkumar Ramachandra, Pierre Roux, Takafumi Saikawa, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Gabriel Scherer, Vincent Semeria, Kartik Singhal, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann.
The Coq community at large helped improve this new version via the GitHub issue and pull request system, the coqclub@inria.fr mailing list, the Discourse forum \({ }^{239}\) and the Coq Zulip chat \({ }^{240}\).

Version 8.16's development spanned 6 months from the release of Coq 8.15.0. Pierre-Marie Pedrot is the release manager of Coq 8.16. This release is the result of 356 merged PRs, closing 99 issues.

Nantes, June 2022,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Changes in 8.16.0}
- Kernel
- Specification language, type inference
- Notations
- Tactics
- Tactic language
- SSReflect
- Commands and options
- Command-line tools
- CoqIDE
- Standard library
- Infrastructure and dependencies
- Extraction

\section*{Kernel}
- Changed: Fixpoints are now expected to be guarded even in subterms erasable by reduction, thus getting rid of an artificial obstacle preventing to lift the assumption of weak normalization of Coq to an assumption of strong normalization; for instance (barring implementation bugs) termination of the type-checking algorithm of Coq is now restored (of course, as usual, up to the assumption of the consistency of set theory and type theory, i.e., equivalently, up to the weak normalization of type theory, a "physical" assumption, which has not been contradicted for decades and which specialists commonly believe to be a truth) (\#15434 \({ }^{241}\), incidentally fixes the complexity issue \#5702 \({ }^{242}\),
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by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Flag Unset Guard Checking nevertheless requires fixpoints to have an argument marked as decreasing in a type which is inductive ( \(\# 15668^{243}\), fixes \#15261 \({ }^{244}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed: Template polymorphism is now forbidden for mutual inductive types (\#15965 245, by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Inlining of non-logical objects (notations, hints, ...) was missing when applying a functor returning one of its arguments as e.g. in Module \(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E}: T):=\mathbf{E}\left(\# 15412^{246}\right.\), fixes \(\# 15403^{247}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: We introduce a new irrelevant term in the reduction machine. It is used to shortcut computation of terms living in a strict proposition, and behaves as an exception. This restores subject reduction, and also makes conversion of large terms in SProp cheap ( \(\# 15575^{248}\), fixes \(\# 14015^{249}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: performance blowups while inferring variance information for Cumulative, NonCumulative inductive types (\#15662 \({ }^{250}\), fixes \#11741 \(1^{251}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Added: New clause as ident to the Record command to specify the name of the main argument to use by default in the type of projections ( \(\# 14563^{252}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Reversible coercions are coercions which cannot be represented by a regular coercion (a Gallina function) but rather a meta procedure, such as type class inference or canonical structure resolution (\#15693 \({ }^{253}\), by Cyril Cohen, Pierre Roux, Enrico Tassi, reviewed by Ali Caglayan, Jim Fehrle and Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: support for coercions not fulfilling the uniform inheritance condition, allowing more freedom for the parameters that are now inferred using unification, canonical structures or typeclasses (\#15789 \({ }^{254}\), fixes \#2828 \({ }^{255}\), \(\# 4593^{256}\), \#3115 \(5^{257}\), \#5222 \({ }^{258}\), \#9696 \({ }^{259}\) and \(\# 8540^{260}\), by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Ali Caglayan, Enrico Tassi, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi and Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: interpretation of \{struct \} fixpoint annotations when the principal argument comes from an implicit generalization (\#15581 \({ }^{261}\), fixes \#13157 \({ }^{262}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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\section*{Notations}
- Removed: _ in ident entries in notations, which was deprecated in favor of name in 8.13 . When you see messages like
```

Error: Notation "[ rel _ _ : _ | _ ]" is already defined at level 0
with arguments name, name, constr, constr while it is now required to be
at level 0 with arguments ident, ident, constr, constr.

```
replace ident with name in the Notation command. To ease the change, you can fix the deprecated-ident-entry warnings in Coq 8.15 (or 8.14 or 8.13 ). The warning can be turned into an error with -arg -w -arg +deprecated-ident-entry in the _CoqProject file (\#15754 \({ }^{263}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: When defining a recursive notation referring to another recursive notation, expressions of the form \(\mathbf{x}\). . \(\mathbf{y}\) can be used where a sequence of binders is expected ( \(\# 15291^{264}\), grants \#7911265, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Coercions are disabled when typechecking parsers and printers of Number Notation (\#15884 \({ }^{266}\), fixes \#15843 \({ }^{267}\), by Pierre Roux).

\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: The RewriteRelation type class is now used to declare relations inferable by the setoid_rewrite tactic to construct Proper instances. This can break developments that relied on existing Reflexive instances to infer relations. The fix is to simply add a (backwards compatible) RewriteRelation declaration for the relation. This change allows to set stricter modes on the relation type classes Reflexive, Symmetric, etc. (\#13969 \({ }^{268}\), fixes \#7916 \({ }^{269}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
- Changed: The setoid_rewrite tactic can now properly recognize homogeneous relations applied to types in different universes. ( \(\# 14138^{270}\), fixes \#13618 \({ }^{271}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
- Changed: The eaut o tactic does not propagate internal Ltac failures with level \(>0\) anymore. Any failure caused by a hint now behaves as if it were a level 0 error ( \(\# 15215^{272}\), fixes \(\# 15214^{273}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: rewrite when used to rewrite in multiple hypotheses (eg rewrite foo in \(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}\) ') requires that the term (foo) does not depend on the hypotheses it rewrites. When using rewrite in *, this means we only rewrite in hypotheses which do not appear in the term. (\#15426 \({ }^{274}\), fixes \#3051 \({ }^{275}\) and \#154488 \({ }^{276}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: When it fails, assert_succeeds fails with the argument tactic's original error instead of Tactic failure: <tactic closure> fails. (\#15728 \({ }^{277}\), fixes \#10970 \({ }^{278}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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}
- Deprecated: the instantiate tactic without arguments. Since the move to the monadic tactic engine in 8.5 , it was behaving as the identity ( \(\# 15277^{279}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: generalized rewriting now supports rewriting with (possibly polymorphic) relations valued in Type. Use Classes.CMorphisms instead of Classes.Morphisms to declare Proper instances for rewrite (or setoid_rewrite) to use when rewriting with Type valued relations. (\#14137280, fixes \#4632281, \#5384 \({ }^{282}\), \(\# 5521^{283}, \# 6278^{284}, \# 7675^{285}, \# 8739^{286}, \# 11011^{287}, \# 12240^{288}\), and \#15279289, by Matthieu Sozeau helped by Ali Caglayan).
- Added: Tactics to obtain a micromega cone expression (aka witness) from an already reified goal. Using those tactics, the user can develop their own micromega tactics for their own types, using their own parsers ( \(\# 15921^{290}\), by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Frédéric Besson and Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: typeclasses eauto used with multiple hint databases respects priority differences for hints from separate databases ( \(\# 15289^{291}\), fixes \#5304 \({ }^{292}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: cbn has better support for combining simpl nomatch, ! and / specifiers (c.f. Arguments) (\#15657 \({ }^{293}\), fixes \#3989 \({ }^{294}\) and \#15206 \({ }^{295}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Changed: Ltac match does not fail when the term to match contains an unfolded primitive projection (\#15559296, fixes \#15554 \({ }^{297}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Ltac2 understands toplevel_selector and obeys Default Goal Selector. Note that par: is buggy when combined with abstract. Unlike Ltac1 even par: abstract tac is not properly treated. (\#15378 \({ }^{298}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Ltac2 Int functions div, mod, asr, lsl, lsr, land, lor, lxor and lnot. (\#15637299, by Michael Soegtrop).
- Fixed: Ltac2 apply and eapply not unifying with implicit arguments; unification inconsistent with exact and eexact (\#15741 \({ }^{300}\), by Ramkumar Ramachandra).
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\section*{SSReflect}
- Fixed: have, suff and wlog support goals in SProp (\#15121 \({ }^{301}\), by Enrico Tassi).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: Module now only allows parentheses around module arguments. For instance, Module \(M:=(F\) X ) . is now a parsing error ( \(\# 15355^{302}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: Fail no longer catches anomalies, which it has done since Coq version 8.11. Now it only catches user errors (\#15366 \({ }^{303}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Program Definition in universe monomorphic mode does not accept non-extensible universe declarations (\#15424 \({ }^{304}\), fixes \#15410 \({ }^{305}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: The algorithm for name generation of anonymous variables for Program subproofs is now the same as the one used in the general case. This can create incompatibilities in scripts relying on such autogenerated names. The old scheme can be reactivated using the deprecated flag Program Naming ( \(\# 15442^{306}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: Universal Lemma Under Conjunction flag, that was deprecated in 8.15 (\#15268 \({ }^{307}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: Abort no longer takes an ident as an argument (it has been ignored since 8.5) ( \(\# 15669^{308}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: Simplex flag, that was deprecated in 8.14. Ii a and Ira will always use the simplex solver (that was already the default behaviour). ( \(\# 156900^{309}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Deprecated: Add LoadPath and Add Rec LoadPath. If this command is an important feature for you, please open an issue on GitHub <https://github.com/coq/coq/issues> and explain your workflow (\#15652 \({ }^{310}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Deprecated: the Typeclasses Filtered Unification flag. Due to a buggy implementation, it is unlikely this is used in the wild ( \(\# 15752^{311}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Scheme Boolean Equality command to generate the boolean equality for an inductive type whose equality is decidable. It is useful when Coq is able to generate the boolean equality but isn't powerful enough to prove the decidability of equality (unlike Scheme Equality, which tries to prove the decidability of the type) (\#15526 \({ }^{312}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: New more extensive algorithm based on the "parametricity" translation for canonically generating Boolean equalities associated to a decidable inductive type ( \(\# 15527^{313}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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- Added: From ... Dependency command to declare a dependency of a . v file on an external file. The coqdep tool generates build dependencies accordingly ( \(\# 15650^{314}\), fixes \#15600 \({ }^{315}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Print Notation command that prints the level and associativity of a given notation definition string (\#15683 \({ }^{316}\), fixes \#14907 \({ }^{317}\) and \#4436 \(6^{318}\) and \(\# 7730^{319}\), by Ali Caglayan and Ana Borges, with help from Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Added: a warning when trying to deprecate a definition (\#15760 \({ }^{320}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: A deprecation warning that the Class > syntax, which currently does nothing, will in the future declare coercions as it does when used in Record commands (\#15802321, by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Gaëtan Gilbert, Ali Caglayan, Jason Gross, Jim Fehrle and Théo Zimmermann).
- Added: the nonuniform boolean attribute that silences the non-uniform-inheritance warning when user needs to declare such a coercion on purpose ( \(\# 15853^{322}\), by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Gaëtan Gilbert and Jim Fehrle).
- Added: All commands which can import modules (e.g. Module Import M., Module F (Import X : T)., Require Import M., etc) nowsupport import_categories. Require Import and Require Export also support filtered_import. (\#15945 \({ }^{323}\), fixes \#14872324, by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Make Require Import M. equivalent to Require M. Import M. (\#15347 325 , fixes \#3556 \({ }^{326}\), by Maxime Dénès).

\section*{Command-line tools}
- Added: coq_makefile variable COQPLUGININSTALL to configure the installation of ML plugins (\#15788 \({ }^{327}\), by Cyril Cohen and Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Added -bytecode-compiler yes no flag for coqchk enabling vm_compute during checks, which is off by default. ( \(\# 15886^{328}\), by Ali Caglayan).
- Fixed: coqdoc confused by the presence of command Load in a file (\#15511 \({ }^{329}\), fixes \#15497 \({ }^{330}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{CoqIDE}
- Added: Documentation of editing failed async mode proofs, how to configure key bindings and various previously undocumented details ( \(\# 16070^{331}\), by Jim Fehrle).

\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: the signature scope of Classes.CMorphisms into signatureT (\#15446 \({ }^{332}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Changed: the locality of typeclass instances Permutation_app' and Permutation_cons from global to export (\#15597333 , fixes \#15596 \({ }^{334}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: Int63, which was deprecated in favor of Uint 63 in 8.14 ( \(\# 15754^{335}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Deprecated: some obsolete files from the Arith part of the standard library (Div2, Even, Gt, Le, Lt, Max, Min, Minus, Mult, NPeano, Plus). Import Arith_base instead of these files. References to items in the deprecated files should be replaced with references to PeanoNat. Nat as suggested by the warning messages. Concerning the definitions of parity properties (even and odd), it is recommended to use Nat. Even and Nat. Odd. If an inductive definition of parity is required, the mutually inductive Nat.Even_alt and Nat. Odd_alt can be used. However, induction principles for Nat. Odd and Nat.Even are available as Nat.Even_Odd_ind and Nat.Odd_Even_ind. The equivalence between the non-inductive and mutually inductive definitions of parity can be found in Nat.Even_alt_Even and Nat.Odd_alt_Odd. All Hint declarations in the arith database have been moved to Arith_prebase and Arith_base. To use the results about Peano arithmetic, we recommend importing PeanoNat (or Arith_base to base it on the arith hint database) and using the Nat module. Arith_prebase has been introduced temporarily to ensure compatibility, but it will be removed at the end of the deprecation phase, e.g. in 8.18. Its use is thus discouraged. (\#14736 \({ }^{336}\), \#15411 \({ }^{337}\), by Olivier Laurent, with help of Karl Palmskog).
- Deprecated: identity inductive (replaced by the equivalent eq). Init.Logic_Type is removed (the only remaining definition not \(T\) is moved to Init. Logic) ( \(\# 15256^{338}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Deprecated: P_Rmin: use more general Rmin_case instead (\#15388 \({ }^{339}\), fixes \(\# 15382^{340}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: lemma count_occ_rev (\#15397 \({ }^{341}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Nat. EvenT and Nat. OddT (almost the same as Nat. Even and Nat . Odd but with output in Type. Decidability of parity (with output Type) is provided EvenT_OddT_dec as well as induction principles Nat. EvenT_OddT_rect and Nat. OddT_EvenT_rect (with output Type) ( \(\# 15427^{342}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Added a proof of sin \(\mathrm{x}<\mathrm{x}\) for positive x and \(\mathrm{x}<\sin \mathrm{x}\) for negative x (\#15599343, by stop-cran).
- Added: decidability typeclass instances for Z.le, Z.lt, Z.ge and Z.gt, added lemmas Z.geb_ge and Z.gtb_gt (\#15620 \({ }^{344}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
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- Added: lemmas Rinv_inv, Rinv_mult, Rinv_opp, Rinv_div, Rdiv_opp_r, Rsqr_div', Rsqr_inv', sqrt_inv, Rabs_inv, pow_inv, powerRZ_inv', powerRZ_neg', powerRZ_mult, Cv_infty_cv_0, which are variants of existing lemmas, but without any hypothesis (\#15644 \({ }^{345}\), by Guillaume Melquiond).
- Added: a Leibniz equality test for primitive floats (\#15719346, by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Guillaume Melquiond).
- Added: support for primitive floats in Scheme Boolean Equality (\#15719 \({ }^{347}\), by Pierre Roux, reviewed by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: lemma le_add_l to NAddOrder.v. Use Nat. le_add_l as replacement for the deprecated Plus. le_plus_r (\#16184 \({ }^{348}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: Bumped lablgtk3 lower bound to 3.1.2 (\#15947 \({ }^{349}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Load plugins using findlib \({ }^{350}\). This requires projects built with coq_makefile to either provide a hand written META file or use the -generate-meta-for-package option when applicable. As a consequence Declare ML Module now uses plugin names according to findlib, e.g. coq-aac-tactics. plugin. coqdep accepts \(-m\) META and uses the file to resolve plugin names to actual file names. (\#15220 \({ }^{351}\), fixes \#7698 \({ }^{352}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Changed: Minimum supported zarith version is now 1.11 (\#15483 \({ }^{353}\) and \#16005 \({ }^{354}\) and \#16030 \({ }^{355}\), closes \#15496 \({ }^{356}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert and Théo Zimmermann and Jason Gross).
- Changed: Bump the minimum OCaml version to 4.09.0. As a consequence the minimum supported ocamlfind version is now 1.8.1 ( \(\# 15947^{357}\) and \(\# 16046^{358}\), fixes \(\# 14260^{359}\) and \(\# 16015^{360}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Théo Zimmermann).

\section*{Extraction}
- Changed: ExtroCamlInt 63 no longer extracts comparison to int in OCaml; the extraction of Uint 63 . compare and Sint 63. compare was also adapted accordingly ( \(\# 15294^{361}\), fixes \(\# 15280^{362}\), by Li-yao Xia).
- Changed: Extraction from nat to OCaml int uses Stdlib instead of Pervasives (\#15333 \({ }^{363}\), by Rudy Nicolo Peterson).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{345} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15644
346 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/15719
\({ }_{347} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15719
\({ }^{348} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16184
\({ }^{349} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15947
\(350 \mathrm{http}: / /\) projects.camlcity.org/projects/findlib.html
\({ }^{351} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15220
\(352 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/7698
353 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/15483
\({ }^{354} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16005
\(355 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16030
\({ }^{356}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/15496
357 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/15947
\({ }^{358} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16046
\(359 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/14260
\(360 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/16015
\({ }^{361} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15294
\(362 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/15280
\({ }^{363} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15333
}
- Changed: The empty inductive type is now extracted to OCaml empty type available since OCaml 4.07 (\#15967 \({ }^{364}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: More extraction definitions for division and comparison of Z and N (\#15098 \({ }^{365}\), by Li-yao Xia).
- Fixed: Type int in files Number.v, Decimal.v and Hexadecimal.v have been renamed to signed_int (together with a compatibility alias int) so that they can be used in extraction without conflicting with OCaml's int type ( \(\# 134600^{366}\), fixes \(\# 7017^{367}\) and \(\# 13288^{368}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Changes in 8.16.1}
- Kernel
- Commands and options
- CoqIDE

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: conversion of Prod values in the native compiler. (\#16651 \({ }^{369}\), fixes \(\# 16645^{370}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: Coq 8.16.0 missed SProp check for opaque names in conversion (\#16768 \({ }^{371}\), fixes \#16752 \({ }^{372}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Pass the correct environment to compute \(\eta\)-expansion of cofixpoints in VM and native compilation (\#16845 \({ }^{373}\), fixes \#16831 \({ }^{374}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: inconsistency with conversion of primitive arrays, and associated incomplete strong normalization of primitive arrays with lazy ( \(\# 16850^{375}\), fixes \(\# 16829^{376}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert, reported by Maxime Buyse and Andres Erbsen).
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\section*{Commands and options}
- Fixed: Print Assumptions treats opaque definitions with missing proofs (as found in . vos files, see Compiled interfaces (produced using -vos)) as axioms instead of ignoring them (\#16434 \({ }^{377}\), fixes \#16411 \({ }^{378}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

CoqIDE
- Fixed: "Interrupt computations" now works correctly on Windows-except if you start CoqIDE as a background process, e.g. with coqide \& in bash, in which case it won’t work at all (\#16142 \({ }^{379}\), fixes \(\# 13550^{380}\), by Jim Fehrle).

\section*{Version 8.15}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.15 integrates many bug fixes, deprecations and cleanups as well as a few new features. We highlight some of the most impactful changes here:
- The apply with tactic no longer renames arguments unless compatibility flag Apply With Renaming is set.
- Improvements to the auto tactic family, fixing the Hint Unfold behavior, and generalizing the use of discrimination nets.
- The typeclasses eauto tactic has a new best_effort option allowing it to return partial solutions to a proof search problem, depending on the mode declarations associated to each constraint. This mode is used by typeclass resolution during type inference to provide more precise error messages.
- Many commands and options were deprecated or removed after deprecation and more consistently support locality attributes.
- The Import command is extended with import_categories to select the components of a module to import or not, including features such as hints, coercions, and notations.
- A visual Ltac debugger is now available in CoqIDE.

See the Changes in 8.15 .0 section below for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed. Coq's reference manual for \(8.15^{381}\), documentation of the 8.15 standard library \({ }^{382}\) and developer documentation of the \(8.15 \mathrm{ML} \mathrm{API}{ }^{383}\) are also available.
Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.

Erik Martin-Dorel has maintained the Coq Docker images \({ }^{384}\) that are used in many Coq projects for continuous integration.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
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The Coq Platform \({ }^{385}\) has been maintained by Michael Soegtrop and Enrico Tassi.
Our current maintainers are Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Ali Caglayan, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Benjamin Grégoire, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Assia Mahboubi, Kenji Maillard, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Arnaud Spiwack, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann. See the Coq Team face book \({ }^{386}\) page for more details.

The 41 contributors to this version are Tanaka Akira, Frédéric Besson, Juan Conejero, Ali Caglayan, Cyril Cohen, Adrian Dapprich, Maxime Dénès, Stéphane Desarzens, Christian Doczkal, Andrej Dudenhefner, Jim Fehrle, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Attila Gáspár, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Jasper Hugunin, Bart Jacobs, Ralf Jung, Grant Jurgensen, Jan-Oliver Kaiser, Wojciech Karpiel, Fabian Kunze, Olivier Laurent, Yishuai Li, Erik Martin-Dorel, Guillaume Melquiond, Jean-Francois Monin, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Rudy Peterson, Clément Pit-Claudel, Seth Poulsen, Pierre Roux, Takafumi Saikawa, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov and Théo Zimmerman.

The Coq community at large helped improve the design of this new version via the GitHub issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list coqdev@inria.fr, the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list, the Discourse forum \({ }^{387}\) and the Coq Zulip chat \({ }^{388}\).

Version 8.15's development spanned 3 months from the release of Coq 8.14.0. Gaëtan Gilbert is the release manager of Coq 8.15. This release is the result of 384 merged PRs, closing 143 issues.

Nantes, January 2022,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team
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\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Name clash in a computation of the type of parameters of functorial module types; this computation was provided for the purpose of clients using the algebraic form of module types such as Print Module Type ( \(\# 15385^{389}\), fixes \#9555 \({ }^{390}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Changed: Inst ance warns about the default locality immediately rather than waiting until the instance is ready to be defined. This changes which command warns when the instance has a separate proof: the Instance command itself warns instead of the proof closing command (such as Defined). ( \(\# 14705^{391}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: Arguments of section variables may no longer be renamed with Arguments (this was previously applied inconsistently) (\#14573 \({ }^{392}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Non-dependent implicit arguments can be provided explicitly using the syntax (natural := term) where natural is the index of the implicit argument among all non-dependent arguments of the function, starting from 1 (\#1 1099393, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Succeed, a control_command that verifies that the given sentence succeeds without changing the proof state (\#14750 \({ }^{394}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: The term. (qualid \(\overline{\arg }{ }^{\star}\) ) syntax now takes into account the position of the main argument term when computing the implicit arguments of qualid ( \(\# 14606^{395}\), fixes \#4167396, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Source and target of coercions preserved by module instantiation (\#14668397, fixes \#3527398, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Made reference manual consistent with the implementation regarding the role of recursively non-uniform parameters of inductive types in the nested positivity condition (\#14967 \({ }^{399}\), fixes \#14938 \({ }^{400}\), by Hugo Herbelin)

\section*{Notations}
- Changed: Terms printed in error messages may be more verbose if syntactic sugar would make it appear that the obtained and expected terms only differ in existential variables (\#14672 \({ }^{401}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: the Numeral Notation command that was renamed to Number Notation in 8.13. (\#14819402, by Pierre Roux).
- Removed: primitive float notations \(<,<=\) and \(==\) that were replaced by \(<\) ?, \(<=\) ? and \(=\) ? in 8.13. (\#14819 \({ }^{403}\), by Pierre Roux).
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- Removed: primitive integer notations \(\backslash \%,<,<=\) and \(==\) that were replaced by mod, \(<\) ?, \(<=\) ? and \(=\) ? in 8.13. (\#14819404, by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Include floats in the number notation mechanism (\#14525 \({ }^{405}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Coercion entries and ident/global entries in custom notations now respect the only parsing modifier (\#15340 \({ }^{406}\), fixes \#15335 \({ }^{407}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Reserved Infix now accept further parameters in the infix notation (\#14379 \({ }^{408}\), fixes \#11402 \({ }^{409}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Useless self reference when printing abbreviations declared in nested modules ( \(\# 14493^{410}\), fixes one part of \#12777 \({ }^{411}\) and \(\# 14486^{412}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: anomalies with notation applied in match patterns when the notation have a notation variable at head (\#14713 \({ }^{413}\), fixes \#14708 \({ }^{414}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Regression in parsing error reporting in case of empty custom entry ( \(\# 15338^{415}\), fixes \#15334416 , by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: apply with does not rename arguments unless using compatibility flag Apply With Renaming (\#13837417, fixes \#13759418, by Gaëtan Gilbert).

Porting hint: if the renaming is because of a goal variable (eg intros \(x\); apply foo with (x0 := bar) where About foo. says the argument is called \(x\) ) it is probably caused by an interaction with implicit arguments and apply @foo with (x := bar) will usually be a backwards compatible fix.
- Changed: Hint Unfold in discriminated databases now respects its specification, namely that a constant may be unfolded only when it is the head of the goal. The previous behavior was to perform unfolding on any goal, without any limitation.

An unexpected side-effect of this was that a database that contained Unfold hints would sometimes trigger silent strong \(\beta \mathrm{t}\)-normalization of the goal. Indeed, unfold performs such a normalization regardless of the presence of its argument in the goal. This does introduce a bit of backwards incompatibility, but it occurs in very specific situations and is easily circumvented. Since by default hint bases are not discriminated, it means that incompatibilities are typically observed when adding unfold hints to the typeclass database.

In order to recover the previous behavior, it is enough to replace instances of Hint Unfold foo. with Hint Extern 4 progress (unfold foo).. A less compatible but finer-grained change can be
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achieved by only adding the missing normalization phase with Hint Extern 4 => progress (lazy beta iota). (\#14679 \({ }^{419}\), fixes \#14874 \({ }^{420}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Correctly consider variables without a body to be rigid for the pattern recognition algorithm of discriminated hints (\#14722 \({ }^{421}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Use discrimination nets for goals containing evars in all auto tactics. It essentially makes the behavior of undiscriminated databases to be the one of discriminated databases where all constants are considered transparent. This may be incompatible with previous behavior in very rare cases ( \(\# 14848^{422}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: The choice strategy for rewrite_strat is now of arbitrary arity (\#14989 \({ }^{423}\), fixes \#6109 \({ }^{424}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: The exact tactic now takes a uconstr as argument instead of an ad-hoc one. In very rare cases, this can change the order of resolution of dependent evars when used over several goals at once (\#15171425, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: cbn interprets the combination of the! and / modifiers (from Arguments) to mean "unfold as soon as all arguments before the / are provided and all arguments marked with ! reduce to a constructor". This makes it unfold more often than without the / when all arguments are provided. Previously adding / would only prevent unfolding when insufficient arguments are provided without adding new unfoldings.

Note that this change only takes effect in default mode (as opposed to when simpl nomatch was used) (\#15204 \({ }^{426}\), fixes \#4555 \({ }^{427}\) and \#7674428 , by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: the deprecated new auto tactic ( \(\# 14527^{429}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: deprecated syntax for instantiate using capitalized Value or Type (\#15193 \({ }^{430}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: deprecated autoapply . . . using syntax for autoapply (\#15194431, by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Deprecated: the bfs eauto tactic. Since its introduction it has behaved exactly like the eauto tactic. Use typeclasses eauto with the bfs flag instead ( \(\# 15314^{432}\), fixes \(\# 15300^{433}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: The zify tactic can now recognize Pos.Nsucc_double, Pos.Ndouble, N. succ_double, N.double, N.succ_pos, N.div2, N.pow, N.square, and Z.to_pos. Moreover, importing module ZifyBool lets it recognize Pos.eqb, Pos.leb, Pos.ltb, N.eqb, N.leb, and N.ltb (\#10998 \({ }^{434}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Added: best_effort option to typeclasses eauto, to return a partial solution to its initial proof-search problem. The goals that can remain unsolved are determined according to the modes declared for their head (see Hint Mode). This is used by typeclass resolution during type inference to provide more informative error messages. (\#13952 \(2^{435}\), fixes \#13942 \({ }^{436}\) and \#14125 \(5^{437}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
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- Added: A new Keep Equalities table to selectively control the preservation of subterm equalities for the injection tactic. It allows a finer control than the boolean flag Keep Proof Equalities that acts globally. (\#14439438, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: simple congruence tactic which works like congruence but does not unfold definitions. (\#14657 \({ }^{439}\), fixes \#13778 \({ }^{440}\) and \#5394441 and \#13189442 , by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Added: Small enhancement of unification in the presence of local definitions ( \(\# 14673^{443}\), fixes \(\# 4415^{444}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: dfs option in typeclasses eauto to use depth-first search (\#14693 \({ }^{445}\), fixes \#13859446, by Ali Caglayan).
- Fixed: More flexible hypothesis specialization in congruence. (\#14650447, fixes \#14651 \({ }^{448}\) and \#14662 \({ }^{449}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Fixed: Added caching to congruence initialization to avoid quadratic runtime. (\#14683 \({ }^{450}\), fixes \#5548 \({ }^{451}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Fixed: Correctly handle matching up to \(\eta\)-expansion in discriminated hints ( \(\# 14732^{452}\), fixes \#14731453 , by PierreMarie Pédrot).
- Fixed: Old unification understands some inductive cumulativity (\#14758 \({ }^{454}\), fixes \#14734 \({ }^{455}\) and \#6976 \({ }^{456}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: The clear dependent tactic now does not backtrack internally, preventing an exponential blowup (\#14984 \({ }^{457}\), fixes \#11689458, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: setoid_rewrite now works when the rewriting lemma has non dependent arguments and rewriting under binders ( \(\# 14986^{459}\), fixes \#5369 \({ }^{460}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Regression in 8.14 .0 and 8.14 .1 with action pattern \% in as clause of tactic specialize (\#15245461, fixes \(\# 15244^{462}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{Tactic language}
- Fixed: the parsing level of the Ltac2 tactic now was set to level 6 in order to behave as it did before \(8.14\left(\# 15250^{463}\right.\), fixes \#15122 \({ }^{464}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Changed: rewrite generates subgoals in the expected order (side conditions first, by default) also when rewriting with a setoid relation. ( \(\# 14314^{465}\), fixes \#5706466 , by Enrico Tassi).
- Removed: The ssrsearch plugin and the ssr Search command ( \(\# 13760^{467}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Added: port the additions made to ssrbool.v in math-comp PR \#757468, namely reflect combinators negPe, orPP, andPP and implyPP (\#15059469, by Christian Doczkal).
- Fixed: SSR patterns now work with primitive values such as ints, floats or arrays (\#14660 \({ }^{470}\), fixes \#12770471 , by Juan Conejero).
- Fixed: A bug where suff would fail due to use of apply under the hood. (\#14687 \({ }^{472}\), fixes \#14678 \({ }^{473}\), by Ali Caglayan helped by Enrico Tassi).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: About and Print now display all known argument names (\#14596 \({ }^{474}\), grants \#13830475 , by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Typeclasses Transparent and Typeclasses Opaque support \#[local], \# [export] and \#[global] attributes (\#14685 \({ }^{476}\), fixes \#14513 \({ }^{477}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: In extraction to OCaml, empty types in Type (such as Empty_set) are now extracted to an abstract type (empty by construction) rather than to the OCaml's unit type ( \(\# 14802^{478}\), fixes a remark at \#14801479, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Closed modules now live in a separate namespace from open modules and sections. (\#15078 480 , fixes \#14529 \({ }^{481}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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- Removed: boolean attributes monomorphic, noncumulative and notemplate that were replaced by polymorphic=no, cumulative=no and template=no in 8.13. ( \(\# 14819^{482}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Removed: command Grab Existential Variables that was deprecated in 8.13. Use Unshelve that is mostly equivalent, up to the reverse order of the resulting subgoals. (\#14819 \({ }^{483}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Removed: command Existential that was deprecated in 8.13. Use Unshelve and exact. (\#14819484, by Pierre Roux).
- Removed: the -outputstate command line argument and the corresponding vernacular commands Write State and Restore State ( \(\# 14940^{485}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot)
- Deprecated: ambiguous Proof using and Collection usage ( \(\# 15056^{486}\), fixes \#13296 \({ }^{487}\), by Wojciech Karpiel).
- Deprecated: Universal Lemma Under Conjunction flag that was introduced for compatibility with Coq versions prior to 8.4 ( \(\# 15272^{488}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Deprecated: using Hint Cut, Hint Mode, Hint Transparent, Hint Opaque, Typeclasses Transparent or Typeclasses Opaque without an explicit locality outside sections. (\#14697 \({ }^{489}\), by PierreMarie Pédrot, and \#14685 \({ }^{490}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert)
- Added: The Mangle Names Light flag, which changes the behavior of Mangle Names. For example, the name foo becomes _0 with Mangle Names, but with Mangle Names Light set, it will become _foo. (\#14695 \({ }^{491}\), fixes \#14548 \({ }^{492}\), by Ali Caglayan).
- Added: The Hint Cut, Hint Mode, Hint Transparent, Hint Opaque, Typeclasses Transparent and Typeclasses Opaque commands now accept the local, export and global locality attributes inside sections. With either attribute, the commands will trigger the non-local-section-hint warning if the arguments refer to local section variables ( \(\# 14697^{493}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot, and \#14685 \({ }^{494}\), fixes \#14513 \({ }^{495}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: projections (primitive) attribute to make a record use primitive projections (\#14699496, fixes \#13150497, by Ali Caglayan).
- Added: Syntax for import_categories providing selective import of module components (eg Import (notations) M (\#14892 \({ }^{498}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Search understands modifier in as an alias of inside (\#15139499, fixes \#14930500 , by Gaëtan Gilbert). This is intended to ease transition for ssreflect Search users.
- Fixed: interaction of Program's obligation state and modules and sections: obligations started in a parent module or
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}
section are not available to be solved until the submodules and subsections are closed (\#14780 501 , fixes \#14446 \({ }^{502}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Eval and Compute now beta-iota-simplify the type of the result, like Check does (\#14901 \({ }^{503}\), fixes \#14899 \({ }^{504}\), by Hugo Herbelin)

\section*{Command-line tools}
- Changed: Coqdoc options --coqlib and --coqlib_path have been renamed to --coqlib_url and --coqlib to make them more consistent with flags used by other Coq executables (\#14059 \({ }^{505}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: Syntax of _CoqProject files: -arg is now handled by coq_makefile and not by make. Unquoted \# now start line comments. (\#14558506, by Stéphane Desarzens, with help from Jim Fehrle and Enrico Tassi).
- Changed: Require now selects files whose logical name exactly matches the required name, making it possible to unambiguously select a given file: if several \(-\mathbf{Q}\) or \(-\mathbf{R}\) options bind the same logical name to a different file, the option appearing last on the command line takes precedence. Moreover, it is now an error to require a file using a partial logical name which does not resolve to a non-ambiguous path ( \(\# 14718^{507}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: coq_makefile now declares variable COQBIN to avoid warnings in make --warn mode (\#14787 \({ }^{508}\), by Clément Pit-Claudel).
- Changed: coqchk respects the Kernel Term Sharing flag instead of forcing it on (\#14957 \({ }^{509}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert)
- Removed: These options of coq_makefile: -extra, -extra-phony, -custom, -no-install, -install, -no-opt, -byte. Support for subdirectories is also removed. (\#14558510 , by Stéphane Desarzens, with help from Jim Fehrle and Enrico Tassi).
- Added: coq_makefile now takes the -docroot option as alternative to the INSTALLCOQDOCROOT variable (\#14558 \({ }^{511}\), by Stéphane Desarzens, with help from Jim Fehrle and Enrico Tassi).
- Fixed: Various coqdep issues with the From clause of Require and a few inconsistencies between coqdep and coqc disambiguation of Require ( \(\# 14718^{512}\), fixes \#11631 \({ }^{513}\) and \#14539 \({ }^{514}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: coq_makefile has improved logic when dealing with incorrect _CoqProject files (\#13541 \({ }^{515}\), fixes \#9319 \({ }^{516}\), by Fabian Kunze).
- Fixed: coqdep was confusing periods occurring in comments with periods ending Coq sentences (\#14996 \({ }^{517}\), fixes \#7393 \({ }^{518}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{CoqIDE}
- Changed: CoqIDE unicode keys for brackets (e.g. langle) now bind to unicode mathematical symbols rather than unicode CJK brackets (\#14452 \({ }^{519}\), by Bart Jacobs).
- Changed: All occurrences of the name CoqIde to CoqIDE. This may cause issues with installing and uninstalling desktop icons, causing apparent duplicates. (\#14696 \({ }^{520}\), fixes \#14310 \({ }^{521}\), by Ali Caglayan).
- Added: Initial version of a visual debugger in CoqIDE. Supports setting breakpoints visually and jumping to the stopping point plus continue, step over, step in and step out operations. Displays the call stack and variable values for each stack frame. Currently only for Ltac. See the documentation here. (\#14644 \({ }^{522}\), fixes \#13967 \({ }^{523}\), by Jim Fehrle)
- Fixed: It is now possible to deactivate the unicode completion mechanism in CoqIDE (\#14863 \({ }^{524}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: Permutation-related Proper instances are now at default priority instead of priority 10 ( \(\# 14574^{525}\), fixes \#14571 \({ }^{526}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: The new type of epsilon_smallest is (exists \(n\) : nat, \(P n\) ) -> \{ \(n\) : nat | \(\mathrm{P} n\) /forall \(k, \mathrm{P} k \rightarrow \mathrm{n}<=\mathrm{k}\}\). Here the minimality of n is expressed by forall \(k, P\) \(\mathrm{k}->\mathrm{n}<=\mathrm{k}\) corresponding to the intuitive meaning of minimality "the others are greater", whereas the previous version used the negative equivalent formulation forall \(k, k<n \rightarrow \sim P k\). Scripts using epsilon_smallest can easily be adapted using lemmas le_not_lt and lt_not_le from the standard library. (\#14601 \({ }^{527}\), by Jean-Francois Monin).
- Changed: ltb and leb functions for ascii, into comparison-based definition (\#14234 \({ }^{528}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Removed: the file Numeral.v that was replaced by Number.v in 8.13. (\#14819 \({ }^{529}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Removed: some *_invol functions that were renamed *_involutive for consistency with the remaining of the stdlib in 8.13. (\#14819 \({ }^{530}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Deprecated: frexp and ldexp in FloatOps.v, renamed Z.frexp and Z.ldexp (\#15085 \({ }^{531}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: A proof that incoherent equivalences can be adjusted to adjoint equivalences in Logic. Adjointification. (\#13408532, by Jasper Hugunin).
- Added: 1 tb and leb functions for string, and some lemmas about them;
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- Added: simple non dependent product slexprodin Relations/Relation_Operators.vand its proof of well-foundness wf_slexprod in Wellfounded/Lexicographic_Product.v (\#14809 \({ }^{533}\), by Laurent Thery).
- Added: The notations ( x ; y) , x.1, x. 2 for sigT are now exported and available after Import SigTNotations. (\#14813 \({ }^{534}\), by Laurent Théry).
- Added: The function sigT_of_prod turns a pair A * B into \{_ : A \& B \}. Its inverse function is prod_of_sigT. This is shown by theorems sigT_prod_sigT and prod_sigT_prod. (\#14813 \({ }^{535}\), by Laurent Théry).
- Fixed: split_combine lemma for lists, making it usable (\#14458536 , by Yishuai Li).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: Coq's continuous integration now provides a more accessible Windows installer artifact in the "Checks" GitHub tab, both for pull requests and the master branch.
This facilitates testing Coq's bleeding edge builds on Windows, and should be more reliable than the previous setup (\#12425 \({ }^{537}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: Coq's ./configure script has gone through a major cleanup. In particular, the following options have been removed:
- -force-caml-version, -force-findlib-version: Coq won't compile with OCaml or findlib lower than the required versions;
- -vmbyteflags, -custom, -no-custom: linking options for toplevels are now controlled in topbin/ dune;
- -ocamlfind: Coq will now use the toolchain specified in the Dune configuration; this can be controlled using the workspaces feature;
- -nodebug: Coq will now follow the standard, which is to always pass -g to OCaml; this can be modified using a custom Dune workspace;
- -flambda-opts: compilation options are now set in Coq's root dune file, can be updated using a custom Dune workspace;
- -local, -bindir, -coqdocdir, -annotate, -camldir, -profiling: these flags were deprecated in 8.14, and are now removed.
Moreover, the -annot and -bin-annot flags only take effect to set coq-makefile's defaults. (\#14189 \({ }^{538}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: Configure will now detect the Dune version, and will correctly pass -etcdir and-docdir to the install procedure if Dune \(>=2.9\) is available. Note that the -docdir configure option now refers to root path for documentation. If you would like to install Coq documentation in foo/coq, use -docdir foo. (\#14844 \({ }^{539}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: OCaml 4.13 is now officially supported (\#14879 \({ }^{540}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias)
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- Changed: Sphinx 3.0.2 or above is now required to build the reference manual (\#14963541 , by Théo Zimmermann)

\section*{Extraction}
- Changed: replaced Big module with Big_int_z functions from zarith.

OCaml code extracted with the following modules should be linked to the Zarith \({ }^{542}\) library.
- ExtrOcamlNatBigInt
- ExtrOcamlZBigInt

Removed ExtrOcamlBigIntConv module.
(\#8252 \({ }^{543}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Fixed: compilation errors in ExtrOcamlString and ExtrOcamlNativeString (\#15075 \({ }^{544}\), fixes \#15076 \({ }^{545}\), by Yishuai Li).

\section*{Changes in 8.15.1}
- Kernel
- Notations
- Tactics
- Command-line tools
- CoqIDE
- Miscellaneous

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: cases of incompletenesses in the guard condition for fixpoints in the presence of cofixpoints or primitive projections (\#15498 \({ }^{546}\), fixes \#15451 \({ }^{547}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: inconsistency when using module subtyping with squashed inductives (\#15839 \({ }^{548}\), fixes \#15838 \({ }^{549}\) (reported by Pierre-Marie Pédrot), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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\section*{Notations}
- Fixed: Check for prior declaration of a custom entry was missing for notations in only printing mode (\#15628 \(8^{550}\), fixes \#15619551, by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactics}
- Fixed: rewrite_strat regression in 8.15 .0 related to Transitive instances (\#15577 \({ }^{552}\), fixes \#15568 \({ }^{553}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: When setoid_rewrite succeeds in rewriting at some occurrence but the resulting equality is the identity, it now tries rewriting in subterms of that occurrence instead of giving up ( \(\# 15612^{554}\), fixes \(\# 8080^{555}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Ill-typed goals created by clearbody in the presence of transitive dependencies in the body of a hypothesis (\#15634556, fixes \#15606 \({ }^{557}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: cbn knows to refold fixpoints when Arguments with / and ! was used (\#15653 \({ }^{558}\), fixes \#15567559, by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Command-line tools}
- Fixed: a bug where coqc -vok was not creating an empty '.vok' file. (\#15745 \({ }^{560}\), by Ramkumar Ramachandra).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fixed: Line numbers shown in the Errors panel were incorrect; they didn't match the error locations in the script panel (\#15532 \({ }^{561}\), fixes \#15531 \({ }^{562}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: anomaly when using proof diffs with no focused goal (\#15633 \(3^{563}\), fixes \#15578 \({ }^{564}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: Attempted edits to the processed part of a buffer while Coq is busy processing a request are now ignored to ensure "processed" highlighting is accurate (\#15714 \({ }^{565}\), fixes \#15733 \({ }^{566}\) and \#15675 \(5^{567}\) and \(\# 15725^{568}\), by Jim Fehrle).
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\section*{Miscellaneous}
- Fixed: Ensure that the names of arguments of inductive schemes are distinct so that the new \(\operatorname{Coq} 8.15\) preservation of argument names in the with clause of tactics in \(\# 13837^{569}\) works as in Coq 8.14 for these schemes ( \(\# 15537^{570}\), fixes \#15420 \({ }^{571}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Changes in 8.15.2}
- Tactics
- CoqIDE
- Standard library

\section*{Tactics}
- Added: intuition and dintuition use Tauto.intuition_solver (defined as auto with *) instead of hardcoding auto with *. This makes it possible to change the default solver with Ltac Tauto. intuition_solver : : = . . (\#15866 \({ }^{572}\), fixes \#7725 \({ }^{573}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: uncaught exception UnableToUn ify with bidirectionality hints (\#16066574 , fixes \#16063 \({ }^{575}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fixed: multiple CoqIDE bugs ( \(\# 15938^{576}\), fixes \#15861 \({ }^{577}\), \#15939 \({ }^{578}\), fixes \#15882 \({ }^{579}\), \#15964 \({ }^{580}\), fixes \#15799581, \#15984 \({ }^{582}\), partially fixes \#15873583, \#15996 \({ }^{584}\), \#15912 \({ }^{585}\), fixes \#15903 \({ }^{586}\), all by Jim Fehrle).
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\section*{Standard library}
- Fixed: an incorrect implementation of SFClassify, allowing for a proof of False since 8.11.0, due to Axioms present in Float.Axioms. (\#16101 \({ }^{587}\), fixes \#16096 \({ }^{588}\), by Ali Caglayan).

\section*{Version 8.14}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.14 integrates many usability improvements, as well as an important change in the core language. The main changes include:
- The internal representation of match has changed to a more space-efficient and cleaner structure, allowing the fix of a completeness issue with cumulative inductive types in the type-checker. The internal representation is now closer to the user-level view of match, where the argument context of branches and the inductive binders in and as do not carry type annotations.
- A new coqnat ive binary performs separate native compilation of libraries, starting from a .vo file. It is supported by coq_makefile.
- Improvements to typeclasses and canonical structure resolution, allowing more terms to be considered as classes or keys.
- More control over notations declarations and support for primitive types in string and number notations.
- Removal of deprecated tactics, notably omega, which has been replaced by a greatly improved lia, along with many bug fixes.
- New Ltac2 APIs for interaction with Ltac1, manipulation of inductive types and printing.
- Many changes and additions to the standard library in the numbers, vectors and lists libraries. A new signed primitive integers library Sint 63 is available in addition to the unsigned Uint 63 library.
See the Changes in 8.14 .0 section below for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed. Coq's reference manual \({ }^{589}\), documentation of the standard library \({ }^{590}\) and developer documentation of the ML API \({ }^{591}\) are also available.

Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.
Erik Martin-Dorel has maintained the Coq Docker images \({ }^{592}\) that are used in many Coq projects for continuous integration.
The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.

The Coq Platform \({ }^{593}\) has been maintained by Michael Soegtrop and Enrico Tassi.
Our current maintainers are Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Ali Caglayan, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Benjamin Grégoire, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Assia Mahboubi, Kenji Maillard, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria,
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Michael Soegtrop, Arnaud Spiwack, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann. See the Coq Team face book \({ }^{594}\) page for more details.
The 54 contributors to this version are Reynald Affeldt, Arthur Azevedo de Amorim, Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Lasse Blaauwbroek, Ana Borges, Ali Caglayan, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Stéphane Desarzens, Andrej Dudenhefner, Jim Fehrle, Yannick Forster, Simon Friis Vindum, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Samuel Gruetter, Stefan Haan, Hugo Herbelin, Jasper Hugunin, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Jacques-Henri Jourdan, Ralf Jung, Jan-Oliver Kaiser, Fabian Kunze, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Yishuai Li, Barry M. Trager, Kenji Maillard, Erik Martin-Dorel, Guillaume Melquiond, Isaac Oscar Gariano, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Rudy Peterson, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre Roux, Takafumi Saikawa, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Gabriel Scherer, Vincent Semeria, shenlebantongying, Avi Shinnar, slrnsc, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Hendrik Tews, Anton Trunov, Karolin Varner, Li-yao Xia, Beta Ziliani and Théo Zimmermann.

The Coq community at large helped improve the design of this new version via the GitHub issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list coqdev@inria.fr, the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list, the Discourse forum \({ }^{595}\) and the Coq Zulip chat \({ }^{596}\).
Version 8.14's development spanned 9 months from the release of Coq 8.13.0. Guillaume Melquiond is the release manager of Coq 8.14. This release is the result of 522 merged PRs, closing \(\sim 150\) issues.

Nantes, September 2021,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Changes in 8.14.0}
- Kernel
- Specification language, type inference
- Notations
- Tactics
- Tactic language
- SSReflect
- Commands and options
- Command-line tools
- Native Compilation
- CoqIDE
- Standard library
- Infrastructure and dependencies
- Miscellaneous
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\section*{Kernel}
- Changed: The term representation of pattern-matchings now uses a compact form that provides a few static guarantees such as eta-expansion of branches and return clauses and is usually more efficient. The most visible user change is that for the time being, the destruct tactic and its variants generate dummy cuts ( \(\beta\) redexes) in the branches of the generated proof. This can also generate very uncommon backwards incompatibilities, such as a change of occurrence numbering for subterms, or breakage of unification in complex situations involving patternmatchings whose underlying inductive type declares let-bindings in parameters, arity or constructor types. For ML plugin developers, an in-depth description of the new representation, as well as porting tips, can be found in dev/doc/case-repr.md (\#13563 \({ }^{597}\), fixes \#3166 \({ }^{598}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Linking of native-code libraries used by native_compute is now delayed until an actual call to the native_compute machinery is performed. This should make Coq more responsive on some systems (\#13853 \({ }^{599}\), fixes \#13849 \({ }^{600}\), by Guillaume Melquiond).
- Removed: The ability to change typing flags inside sections to prevent exploiting a weakness in Print Assumptions (\#14395 \({ }^{601}\), fixes \#14317 \({ }^{602}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Changed: The hints mode ! matches a term iff the applicative head is not an existential variable. It now also matches projections applied to any term or a match on any term. (\#14392 \({ }^{603}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
- Removed: The little used : > type cast, which was only interpreted in Program-mode (\#13911 \({ }^{604}\), by Jim Fehrle and Théo Zimmermann).
- Added: Enable canonical fun _ => _ projections, see Canonical Structures for details. (\#14041 \({ }^{605}\), by JanOliver Kaiser and Pierre Roux, reviewed by Cyril Cohen and Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Canonical Structure declarations now accept dependent function types forall _, _ as keys. (\#14386 \({ }^{606}\), by Jan-Oliver Kaiser and Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Added: Ability to declare primitive projections as class, for dependent typeclass resolutions (\#9711 \({ }^{607}\), fixes \#12975 \({ }^{608}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
- Fixed: Multiple printing of same warning about unused variables catching several cases (\#14261 \({ }^{609}\), fixes \#14207610 , by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Constants id and not were unduly set opaque in some parts of the unification algorithm (\#14371 \({ }^{611}\), fixes \#14374 \({ }^{612}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{Notations}
- Changed: Flag Printing Notations no longer controls whether strings and numbers are printed raw (\#13840 \({ }^{613}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Changed: The error Argument X was previously inferred to be in scope xxx_scope but is here used in YYY_scope. is now the warning [inconsistent-scopes, syntax] and can be silenced by specifying the scope of the argument (\#13965 \({ }^{614}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Removed: Decimal-only number notations which were deprecated in 8.12. (\#13842615, by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Number Notation and String Notation now support parsing and printing of primitive floats, primitive arrays and type constants of primitive types. (\#13519 \({ }^{616}\), fixes \#13484 \({ }^{617}\) and \#13517618, by Fabian Kunze, with help of Jason Gross)
- Added: Flag Printing Raw Literals to control whether strings and numbers are printed raw. (\#13840 \(0^{619}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Let the user specify a scope for abbreviation arguments, e.g. Notation abbr X := t ( X in scope my_scope). (\#13965620, by Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Look-ahead of tokens is changed from sequential to tree-based, allowing more automatic rule factorizations in notations (\#14070 \({ }^{621}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Non-local custom entries survive module closing and are declared when a file is Required (\#14183 \({ }^{622}\), fixes \#13654 \({ }^{623}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: ident modifier in custom entry notations gave fatal errors at printing time (\#14257 \({ }^{624}\), fixes \#14211 \(1^{625}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Anomaly when overriding a notation with different applicability in match patterns (\#14377 \({ }^{626}\), fixes \#13966 \({ }^{627}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: More systematic checks that occurrences of an at clause are valid in tactics such as rewrite or pattern (\#13568 \({ }^{628}\), fixes \#13566 \({ }^{629}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed: fail and gfail, which formerly accepted negative values as a parameter, now give syntax errors for negative values ( \(\# 13469^{630}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: Deprecated flag Bracketing Last Introduction Pattern affecting the behavior of trailing disjunctive introduction patterns is definitively removed ( \(\# 13509^{631}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed: The omega tactic (deprecated in 8.12) and four * Omega * flags. Use lia instead. (\#13741632, by Jim Fehrle, who addressed the final details, building on much work by Frédéric Besson, who greatly improved Iia, Maxime Dénès, Vincent Laporte and with the help of many package maintainers, among others).
- Removed: convert_concl_no_check. Use change_no_check instead (\#13761 \({ }^{633}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: double induction tactic. Replace double induction ident ident with induction ident; induction ident (or induction ident ; destruct ident depending on the exact needs). Replace double induction natural \(l_{1}\) natural \(l_{2}\) with induction natural \(1_{1}\); induction natural \({ }_{3}\) where natural \({ }_{3}\) is the result of natural \({ }_{2}-\) natural \(_{1}\left(\# 13762^{634}\right.\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Deprecated: In change and change_no_check, the at ... with ... form is deprecated. Use with ... at . . . instead. For at ... with ... in \(H \quad \mid-\), use with ... in \(H\) at ... \(1-\). (\#13696 \({ }^{635}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Deprecated: The micromega option Simplex, which is currently set by default (\#13781 \({ }^{636}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Deprecated: the undocumented new auto tactic (\#14528 \({ }^{637}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Iia supports the boolean operator Bool.implb (\#13715638, by Frédéric Besson).
- Added: zify (lia/nia) support for div, mod, pow for Nat (via ZifyNat module) and N (via ZifyN module). The signature of Z_div_mod_eq_full has no assumptions. ( \(\# 14037^{639}\), fixes \#11447 \({ }^{640}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner, Jason Gross, and Frédéric Besson).
- Added: Ltac2 now has a unify tactic (\#14089 \({ }^{641}\), fixes \#14083 \({ }^{642}\), by Samuel Gruetter).
- Added: inversion_sigma can now be applied to a specified hypothesis and additionally supports intropatterns, so it can be used much like induction and inversion. Additionally, inversion_sigma now supports the types ex (exists \(\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}\) ) and ex2 (exists2 \(\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x} \& \mathbf{Q}\) ) in cases where the first argument \(\mathbf{A}\) is a Prop (\#14174 \({ }^{643}\), by Jason Gross).
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- Added: zify (lia/nia) support for Sint 63. (\#14408644, by Ana Borges, with help from Frédéric Besson).
- Fixed: Possible collision between a user-level name and an internal name when using the \% introduction pattern (\#13512 \({ }^{645}\), fixes \#13413 \({ }^{646}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: simpl and hnf now reduce primitive functions on primitive integers, floats and arrays (\#13699 \({ }^{647}\), fixes \#13579 \({ }^{648}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Fixed: Setoid rewriting now remembers the (invisible) binder names of non-dependent product types. SSReflect's rewrite tactic expects these names to be retained when using rewrite foo in H. This also fixes SSR rewrite foo in H * erroneously reverting H. (\#13882 \({ }^{649}\), fixes \#12011 \({ }^{650}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Properly expand projection parameters in hint discrimination nets. (\#14033 \({ }^{651}\), fixes \#9000 \({ }^{652}\), \#14009 \({ }^{653}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: anomalies caused by empty strings in Ltac notations are now errors (\#14378 \({ }^{654}\), fixes \#14124 \({ }^{655}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Print a message instead of a Diff_Failure anomaly when old and new goals can't be matched; show the goal without diff highlights ( \(\# 14457^{656}\), fixes \#14425 \({ }^{657}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Fixed: Anomaly of destruct on terms with dependent variables unused in goal (\#15099 \({ }^{658}\), fixes \#11504 \({ }^{659}\) and \#14090 \({ }^{660}\), by Lasse Blaauwbroek and Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Correct convertibility of multiple terms selected by patterns in tactics such as set when these terms have subterms in SProp (\#14610 \({ }^{661}\), fixes \#14609 \({ }^{662}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Changed: Renamed Ltac2 Bool. eq into Bool. equal for uniformity. The old function is now a deprecated alias (\#14128 \({ }^{663}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: A printf macro to Ltac2. It can be made accessible by importing the Ltac2. Printf module. See the documentation there for more information (\#13236 \({ }^{664}\), fixes \#10108665, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{644}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/14408
\({ }^{645} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13512
\({ }^{646}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/13413
\({ }^{647} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13699
648 https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/13579
\({ }^{649} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13882
\({ }^{650} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/12011
\({ }^{651} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/14033
\(652 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/9000
\({ }^{653} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q /\) issues/14009
\({ }^{654} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/14378
\({ }^{655} \mathrm{https}\) ://github.com/coq/coq/issues/14124
\(656 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/14457
\({ }^{657} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/14425
\(658 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/15099
\({ }^{659} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/11504
\({ }^{660}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/14090
\({ }^{661} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/14610
662 https ://github.com/coq/coq/issues/14609
\({ }^{663} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q /\) pull/14128
\({ }^{664} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q /\) pull/13236
\(665 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/10108
}
- Added: A function Ltac1 . lambda allowing to embed Ltac2 functions into Ltac1 runtime values (\#13442666, fixes \#12871 \({ }^{667}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Ltac2 commands defining terms now accept the deprecated attribute (\#13774 \({ }^{668}\), fixes \#12317 \({ }^{669}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Allow the presence of type casts for function return values, let bindings and global definitions in Ltac2 (\#13914 \({ }^{670}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: The Ltac2 API Ltac2. Ind for manipulating inductive types (\#13920 \({ }^{671}\), fixes \#10095 \({ }^{672}\), by PierreMarie Pédrot).
- Added: Allow scope delimiters in Ltac2 open_constr: (. . . ) quotation (\#13939673, fixes \#12806 \({ }^{674}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: A FFI to convert between Ltac1 and Ltac2 identifiers (\#13997675, fixes \#13996 \({ }^{676}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Lazy evaluating boolean operators lazy_and, lazy_or, lazy_impl and infix notations \& \& and ।। to the Ltac2 Bool.v library 1. (\#14081 \({ }^{677}\), fixes \#13964 \({ }^{678}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
- Fixed: Ltac2 notations now correctly take into account their assigned level (\#14094 \({ }^{679}\), fixes \#11866 \({ }^{680}\), by PierreMarie Pédrot).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Added: A test that the notations \{in _, _\} and \{pred _\} from ssrbool.v are displayed correctly. (\#13473 \({ }^{681}\), by Cyril Cohen).
 Mathematical Components 1.12.0 (\#13490 \({ }^{682}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
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\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: Hint Rewrite now supports locality attributes (including export) like other Hint commands (\#13725 \({ }^{683}\), fixes \#13724 \({ }^{684}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: In Record, alpha-rename the variable associated with the record to avoid alpha-renaming parameters of projections ( \(\# 13852^{685}\), fixes \#13727 \({ }^{686}\), by Li-yao Xia).
- Changed: Improve the Coercion command to reduce the number of ambiguous paths to report. A pair of multiple inheritance paths that can be reduced to smaller adjoining pairs will not be reported as ambiguous paths anymore. (\#13909 \({ }^{687}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Changed: The printing order of Print Classes and Print Graph, due to the changes for the internal tables of coercion classes and coercion paths. (\#13912 \({ }^{688}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Removed: The Hide Obligations flag, deprecated in 8.12 (\#13758 \({ }^{689}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: SearchHead command. Use the headconcl : clause of Search instead (\#13763 \({ }^{690}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: Show Zify Spec, Add InjTyp and 11 similar Add * commands. For Show Zify Spec, use Show Zify UnOpSpec or Show Zify BinOpSpecinstead. For Add *, Use Add Zify *intead of Add * (\#13764 \({ }^{691}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Deprecated: Like hints, typeclass instances added outside of sections without an explicit locality now generate a deprecation warning. See Hint ( \(\# 14208^{692}\), fixes \(\# 13562^{693}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Deprecated: the Regular Subst Tactic flag (\#14336 \({ }^{694}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Debug to control debug messages, functioning similarly to the warning system (\#13202695, by Maxime Dénès and Gaëtan Gilbert). The following flags have been converted (such that Set Flag becomes Set Debug "flag"):
- Debug Unification to unification
- Debug HO Unification to ho-unification
- Debug Tactic Unification totactic-unification
- Congruence Verbose to congruence
- Debug Cbv to cbv
- Debug RAKAM to RAKAM
- Debug Ssreflect to ssreflect
- Added: The Ltac2 grammar can now be printed using the Print Grammar ltac2 command (\#14093 \({ }^{696}\), fixes \#14092 \({ }^{697}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
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- Added: Inst ance now accepts the export locality attribute ( \(\# 14148^{698}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: extraction failure of a parameterized type in Prop exported in an module interface as an assumption in Type (\#14102 \({ }^{699}\), fixes \#14100 \({ }^{700}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Print Assumptions now treats delayed opaque proofs generated by vos compilation as if they were axioms (\#14382 \({ }^{701}\), fixes \#13589 \({ }^{702}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: Incorrect de Bruijn index handling in vernac class declaration, preventing users from marking existing instances of existing classes which are primitive projections (\#14664 \({ }^{703}\), fixes \#14652 \({ }^{704}\), by Ali Caglayan and Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Command-line tools}
- Changed: coqc now enforces that at most a single . v file can be passed in the command line. Support for multiple .\(v\) files in the form of coqc f1.v f2.v didn’t properly work in 8.13, tho it was accepted. (\#13876 \({ }^{705}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: coqdep now reports an error if files specified on the command line don't exist or if it encounters unreadable files. Unknown options now generate a warning. Previously these conditions were ignored. (\#14024 \({ }^{706}\), fixes \#14023 \({ }^{707}\), by Hendrik Tews).
- Changed: Makefiles produced by coq_makefile now use .DELETE_ON_ERROR (\#14238 \({ }^{708}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: Previously deprecated command line options -sprop-cumulative and-input-state and its alias -is (\#13822 \({ }^{709}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: coq_makefile-made Makefiles now support inclusion of a .local-late file at the end, allowing the user to access more variables (\#12411 \({ }^{710}\), fixes \#10912 \({ }^{711}\), by Jason Gross).
- Fixed: Failure of extraction in the presence of inductive types with local definitions in parameters (\#13624 \({ }^{712}\), fixes \#13581 \({ }^{713}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: File name was missing in coqdoc error position reporting (\#14285 \({ }^{714}\), fixes \#14283 \({ }^{715}\), by Arthur Charguéraud and Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{Native Compilation}
- Changed: coq_makefile now uses the coqnative binary to generate native compilation files. Project files also understand directly the -native-compiler flag without having to wrap it with -arg (\#14265 \({ }^{716}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Deprecated: the -native-compiler option for coqc. It is now recommended to use the Split compilation of native computation files binary instead to generate native compilation files ahead of time (\#14309 \({ }^{717}\), by PierreMarie Pédrot).
- Added: A standalone coqnat ive binary that performs native compilation out of vo files, allowing to split library compilation from native compilation. See Split compilation of native computation files. The hybrid build system was adapted to perform a split compilation on the stdlib ( \(\# 13287^{718}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Added: Ltac debugger support in CoqIDE (see Ltac Debug). Debugger output and prompts appear in the Messages panel (\#13783 \({ }^{719}\), by Jim Fehrle and Emilio J. Gallego Arias).
- Added: Shift-return in the Find dialog now searches backwards (\#13810 \({ }^{720}\), by slrnsc).

\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: Minor Changes to Rpower: Generalizes exp_ineq1 to hold for all non-zero numbers. Adds exp_ineq1_le, which holds for all reals (but is a <= instead of a <). (\#13582 \({ }^{721}\), by Avi Shinnar and Barry Trager, with help from Laurent Théry).
- Changed: set \(n \bmod 0=n\) uniformly for nat, \(N\), \(Z\), int 63 , sint 63 , int 31 such that \(m=(m / n)\) * \(n+(m \bmod n)\) holds (also for \(n=0)\)
```

Warning: code that relies on $n \bmod 0=0$ will break; for compatibility with both $n \bmod 0=n$ and $n$
$\bmod 0=0$ you can use $n \bmod 0=1 t a c:(m a t c h e v a l \operatorname{hnf} i n(1 \bmod 0)$ with $\mid 0=>$
exact 0 I_ => exact $n$ end)

```
(\#14086 \({ }^{722}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner with help of Guillaume Melquiond, Jason Gross, and Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Changed: The standard library now contains a more complete theory of equality on types of the form exists \(\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P} \mathrm{x}\) and exists2 \(\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P} \mathrm{x} \& \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{x}\) when we have A : Prop. To bring this theory more in line with the existing theory about sigma types, eq_ex_uncurried, eq_ex2_uncurried, eq_ex, eq_ex2, eq_ex_hprop, eq_ex2_hprop have been renamed into eq_ex_intro_uncurried, eq_ex_intro2_uncurried, eq_ex_intro, eq_ex_intro2,
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eq_ex_intro_hprop, eq_ex_intro2_hprop respectively and the implicit status of these lemmas has changed slightly ( \(\# 14174^{723}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed Moved 39 lemmas and notations about the rationals \(Q\) from the constructive reals private file theories/Reals/Cauchy/QExtra.v to appropriate files in theories/QArith. The now public lemmas are mostly about compatibility of multiplication and power with relational operators and simple convenience lemmas e.g. for reduction of \(Q\) values. The following moved lemmas have been renamed: Q_factorDenom to Qmult_frac_l, Q_reduce_fl to Qreduce_num_l, Qle_neq to Qlt_leneq, Qmult_lt_le_compat_nonneg to Qmult_le_lt_compat_pos, Qpower_pos_lt to Qpower_0_lt, Qpower_lt_1_increasing to Qpower_1_lt_pos, Qpower_lt_1_increasing' to Qpower_1_lt, Qpower_le_1_increasing to Qpower_1_le_pos, Qpower_le_1_increasing' to Qpower_1_le, Qzero_eq to Qreduce_zero, Qpower_lt_compat to Qpower_lt_compat_l, Qpower_le_compat to Qpower_le_compat_l, Qpower_lt_compat_inv to Qpower_lt_compat_l_inv, Qpower_le_compat_inv to Qpower_le_compat_l_inv, Qpower_decomp' to Qpower_decomp_pos and QarchimedeanExp2_Pos to Qarchimedean_power2_pos. The following lemmas have been renamed and the sides of the equality swapped: Qinv_swap_pos to Qinv_pos, Qinv_swap_neg to Qinv_neg and. The following lemmas have been deleted: Q_factorNum_l and Q_factorNum. The lemma Qopp_lt_compat has been moved from theories/QArith/Qround.v to theories/QArith/QArith_base.v. About 10 additional lemmas have been added for similar cases as the moved lemmas. Compatibility notations are not provided because QExtra is considered internal (excluded from the library documentation). (\#14293 \({ }^{724}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
- Changed: Importing ZArith no longer has the side-effect of closing Z_scope. (\#14343 \({ }^{725}\), fixes \#13307726, by Ralf Jung).
- Removed: IF_then_else definition and corresponding IF P then Q else R notation (\#13871 \({ }^{727}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Removed: from List.v deprecated/unexpected dependencies Setoid, Le, Gt, Minus, Lt (\#13986 \({ }^{728}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Deprecated: Unsigned primitive integers are now named uint 63 instead of int 63. The Int 63 module is replaced by Uint 63. The full list of changes is described in the PR. (\#13895 \({ }^{729}\), by Ana Borges).
- Added: leb and ltb functions for ascii (\#13080 \({ }^{730}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Added: Library for signed primitive integers, Sint63. The following operations were added to the kernel: division, remainder, comparison functions, and arithmetic shift right. Everything else works the same for signed and unsigned ints. (\#13559 \({ }^{731}\), fixes \#12109 \({ }^{732}\), by Ana Borges, Guillaume Melquiond and Pierre Roux).
- Added: Lemmas about vectors related with to_list: length_to_list, of_list_to_list_opp, to_list_nil, to_list_cons, to_list_hd, to_list_last, to_list_const, to_list_nth_order, to_list_tl, to_list_append, to_list_rev_append_tail, to_list_rev_append, to_list_rev, to_list_map, to_list_fold_left, to_list_fold_right, to_list_Forall, to_list_Exists, to_list_In, to_list_Forall2 (\#13671 \({ }^{733}\), by Olivier Laurent).
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- Added: Lemmas about count_occ: count_occ_app, count_occ_elt_eq, count_occ_elt_neq, count_occ_bound, count_occ_repeat_eq, count_occ_repeat_neq, count_occ_unique, count_occ_repeat_excl, count_occ_sgt, Permutation_count_occ (\#13804 \({ }^{734}\), by Olivier Laurent with help of Jean-Christophe Léchenet).
- Added: Lemmas to List: Exists_map, Exists_concat, Exists_flat_map, Forall_map, Forall_concat, Forall_flat_map, nth_error_map, nth_repeat, nth_error_repeat (\#13955 \({ }^{735}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner, with help from Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Cantor.v containing the Cantor pairing function and its inverse. Cantor.to_nat : nat * nat -> nat and Cantor. of_nat : nat -> nat * nat are the respective bijections between nat * nat and nat. (\#14008 \({ }^{736}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner).
- Added: Lemmas to Q: Qeq_from_parts, Qden_cancel, Qnum_cancel, Qreduce_l, Qreduce_r, Qmult_inject_Z_l, Qmult_inject_Z_r QArith_base Reduction of rationals; establishing equality for Qden/Qnum separately. (\#14087 \({ }^{737}\), by Karolin Varner).
- Added: Coq.Structures.OrdersEx.String_as_OT and Coq.Structures.OrdersEx. Ascii_as_OT to make strings and ascii ordered types (using lexical order). (\#14096 \({ }^{738}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Lemmas app_eq_app, Forall_nil_iff, Forall_cons_iff to List.v (\#14153 \({ }^{739}\), closes \#1803 \({ }^{740}\), by Andrej Dudenhefner, with help from Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Z, positive and \(N\) constants can now be printed in hexadecimal by opening hex_Z_scope, hex_positive_scope, and hex_N_scope respectively (\#14263 \({ }^{741}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Absolute value function for Sint63. (\#14384 \({ }^{742}\), by Ana Borges).
- Added: Lemmas showing firstn and skipn commute with map. (\#14406 \({ }^{743}\), by Rudy Peterson).
- Fixed: Haskell extraction is now compatible with GHC versions >= 9.0. Some \# if statements have been added to extract unsafeCoerce to its new location in newer versions of GHC. (\#14345 \({ }^{744}\), fixes \#14256 \({ }^{745}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: Coq's configure script now requires absolute paths for the -prefix option. (\#12567 \({ }^{746}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: The regular Coq package has been split in two: coq-core, with OCaml-based libraries and tools; and coqstdlib, which contains the Gallina-based standard library. The package Coq now depends on both for compatiblity. (\#12567 \({ }^{747}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, review by Vincent Laporte, Guillaume Melquiond, Enrico Tassi, and Théo Zimmerman).
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- Changed: Coq's OCaml parts and tools [coq-core] are now built using Dune. The main user-facing change is that Dune \(>=2.5\) is now required to build Coq. This was a large and complex change. If you are packager you may find some minor differences if you were using a lot of custom optimizations. Note that, in particular, the configure option-datadir is not customizable anymore, and -bindir has been removed in favor of \$prefix/ bin. Moreover, the install procedure will ignore-docdir and -etcdir, unless you patch the makefile and use Dune \(>=2.9\). We usually recommended using a recent Dune version, if possible. For developers and plugin authors, see the entry in dev/doc / changes.md. For packagers and users, see dev/doc/INSTALL.make. md. (\#13617 \({ }^{748}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Rudi Grinberg, and Théo Zimmerman; review and testing by Gaëtan Gilbert, Guillaume Melquiond, and Enrico Tassi)
- Changed: Undocumented variables OLDROOT and COQPREFIXINSTALL which added a prefix path to make install have been removed. Now, make install does support the more standard DESTDIR variable, akin to what coq_makefile does. ( \(\# 14258^{749}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Added: Support OCaml 4.12 (\#13885 \({ }^{750}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, review by Gaëtan Gilbert and Théo Zimmerman).

\section*{Miscellaneous}
- Changed: The representation of micromega caches was slightly altered for efficiency purposes. As a consequence all stale caches must be cleaned up ( \(\# 13405^{751}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: Fix the timeout facility on Unix to allow for nested timeouts. Previous behavior on nested timeouts was that an "inner" timeout would replace an "outer" timeout, so that the outer timeout would no longer fire. With the new behavior, Unix and Windows implementations should be (approximately) equivalent. (\#13586 \({ }^{752}\), by Lasse Blaauwbroek).

\section*{Changes in 8.14.1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Fix the implementation of persistent arrays used by the VM and native compute so that it uses a uniform representation. Previously, storing primitive floats inside primitive arrays could cause memory corruption (\#15081 \({ }^{753}\), closes \#15070 \({ }^{754}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Fixed: Missing registration of universe constraints in Module Type elaboration (\#14666 \({ }^{755}\), fixes \#14505 \({ }^{756}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{Tactics}
- Fixed: abstract more robust with respect to Ltac constr bindings containing existential variables (\#14671757, fixes \#10796 \({ }^{758}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: correct support of trailing let by tactic specialize (\#15046 \({ }^{759}\), fixes \#15043 \({ }^{760}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Fixed: anomaly with Extraction Conservative Types when extracting pattern-matching on singleton types (\#14669 \({ }^{761}\), fixes \#3527 \({ }^{762}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: a regular error instead of an anomaly when calling Separate Extraction in a module (\#14670763, fixes \#10796 \({ }^{764}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Version 8.13}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.13 integrates many usability improvements, as well as extensions of the core language. The main changes include:
- Introduction of primitive persistent arrays in the core language, implemented using imperative persistent arrays.
- Introduction of definitional proof irrelevance for the equality type defined in the SProp sort.
- Cumulative record and inductive type declarations can now specify the variance of their universes.
- Various bugfixes and uniformization of behavior with respect to the use of implicit arguments and the handling of existential variables in declarations, unification and tactics.
- New warning for unused variables in catch-all match branches that match multiple distinct patterns.
- New warning for Hint commands outside sections without a locality attribute, whose goal is to eventually remove the fragile default behavior of importing hints only when using Require. The recommended fix is to declare hints as export, instead of the current default global, meaning that they are imported through Require Import only, not Require. See the following rationale and guidelines \({ }^{765}\) for details.
- General support for boolean attributes.
- Many improvements to the handling of notations, including number notations, recursive notations and notations with bindings. A new algorithm chooses the most precise notation available to print an expression, which might introduce changes in printing behavior.
- Tactic improvements in Iia and its zify preprocessing step, now supporting reasoning on boolean operators such as Z. leb and supporting primitive integers Int 63.
- Typing flags can now be specified per-constant/inductive.
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- Improvements to the reference manual including updated syntax descriptions that match Coq's grammar in several chapters, and splitting parts of the tactics chapter to independent sections.
See the Changes in \(8.13+\) betal section and following sections for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed.
Coq's documentation is available at https://coq.github.io/doc/v8.13/refman (reference manual), and https://coq.github. io/doc/v8.13/stdlib (documentation of the standard library). Developer documentation of the ML API is available at https://coq.github.io/doc/v8.13/api.

Maxime Dénès, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.
Erik Martin-Dorel has maintained the Coq Docker images \({ }^{766}\) that are used in many Coq projects for continuous integration.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
Our current 32 maintainers are Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Benjamin Grégoire, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Assia Mahboubi, Kenji Maillard, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Arnaud Spiwack, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia and Théo Zimmermann.

The 51 contributors to this version are Reynald Affeldt, Tanaka Akira, Frédéric Besson, Lasse Blaauwbroek, Clément Blaudeau, Martin Bodin, Ali Caglayan, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Julien Coolen, Matthew Dempsky, Maxime Dénès, Andres Erbsen, Jim Fehrle, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Attila Gáspár, Paolo G. Giarrusso, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Benjamin Grégoire, Hugo Herbelin, Wolf Honore, Jasper Hugunin, Ignat Insarov, Ralf Jung, Fabian Kunze, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Larry D. Lee Jr, Thomas Letan, Yishuai Li, James Lottes, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, Kenji Maillard, Erik Martin-Dorel, Yusuke Matsushita, Guillaume Melquiond, Carl Patenaude-Poulin, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Anton Trunov, Edward Wang, Li-yao Xia, Beta Ziliani and Théo Zimmermann.
The Coq community at large helped improve the design of this new version via the GitHub issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list coqdev@inria.fr, the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list, the Discourse forum \({ }^{767}\) and the Coq Zulip chat \({ }^{768}\).

Version 8.13's development spanned 5 months from the release of Coq 8.12.0. Enrico Tassi and Maxime Dénès are the release managers of Coq 8.13. This release is the result of 400 merged PRs, closing \(\sim 100\) issues.

Nantes, November 2020,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team
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\section*{Changes in 8.13+beta1}
- Kernel
- Specification language, type inference
- Notations
- Tactics
- Tactic language
- SSReflect
- Commands and options
- Tools
- CoqIDE
- Standard library
- Infrastructure and dependencies

\section*{Kernel}
- Added: Definitional UIP, only when Definitional UIP is enabled. This models definitional uniqueness of identity proofs for the equality type in SProp. It is deactivated by default as it can lead to non-termination in combination with impredicativity. Use of this flag is also printed by Print Assumptions. See documentation of the flag for details. ( \(\# 10390^{769}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Built-in support for persistent arrays, which expose a functional interface but are implemented using an imperative data structure, for better performance. ( \(\# 11604^{770}\), by Maxime Dénès and Benjamin Grégoire, with help from Gaëtan Gilbert).

Primitive arrays are irrelevant in their single polymorphic universe (same as a polymorphic cumulative list inductive would be) ( \(\# 13356^{771}\), fixes \#13354 \({ }^{772}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: A loss of definitional equality for declarations obtained through Include when entering the scope of a Module or Module Type was causing Search not to see the included declarations (\#12537773, fixes \#12525 \({ }^{774}\) and \(\# 12647^{775}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Fix an incompleteness in the typechecking of match for cumulative inductive types. This could result in breaking subject reduction. (\#13501 \({ }^{776}\), fixes \#13495 \({ }^{777}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
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\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Changed: Boolean attributes are now specified using key/value pairs, that is to say ident \(_{\text {attr }}=\) yes no \(^{?}\). If the value is missing, the default is yes. The old syntax is still supported, but produces the deprecated-attribute-syntax warning.
Deprecated attributes are universes(monomorphic), universes(notemplate) and universes (noncumulative), which are respectively replaced by universes (polymorphic=no), universes (template=no) and universes (cumulative=no). Attributes program and canonical are also affected, with the syntax ident \({ }_{a t t r}\) (false) being deprecated in favor of ident \(_{\text {attr }}=\) no. \(\left(\# 13312^{778}\right.\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: Heuristics for universe minimization to Set: also use constraints Prop <= i (\#10331 \({ }^{779}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert with help from Maxime Dénès and Matthieu Sozeau, fixes \#12414 \({ }^{780}\) ).
- Changed: The type given to Instance is no longer automatically generalized over unbound and generalizable variables. Use Instance: ‘\{type\} instead of Instance : type to get the old behavior, or enable the compatibility flag Instance Generalized Output. (\#13188 \({ }^{781}\), fixes \#6042 \({ }^{782}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: Tweaked the algorithm giving default names to arguments. Should reduce the frequency that argument names get an unexpected suffix. Also makes Mangle Names not mess up argument names. (\#12756 \({ }^{783}\), fixes \#12001 \({ }^{784}\) and \#6785 \({ }^{785}\), by Jasper Hugunin).
- Removed: Undocumented and experimental forward class hint feature : >>. Use : > (see of_type) instead (\#13106 \({ }^{786}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Commands Inductive, Record and synonyms now support syntax Inductive foo@\{=i +j \(\left.*_{k} \quad 1\right\}\) to specify variance information for their universes (in Cumulative mode) (\#12653 \({ }^{787}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Warning on unused variables in pattern-matching branches of match serving as catch-all branches for at least two distinct patterns. (\#12768 \({ }^{788}\), fixes \#12762 \({ }^{789}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Definition and (Co)Fixpoint now support the using attribute. It has the same effect as Proof using, which is only available in interactive mode. (\#13183 \({ }^{790}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Typing flags can now be specified per-constant / inductive, this allows to fine-grain specify them from plugins or attributes. See Controlling Typing Flags for details on attribute syntax. (\#12586 \({ }^{791}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Added: Inference of return predicate of a match by inversion takes sort elimination constraints into account (\#13290 \({ }^{792}\), grants \#13278 \({ }^{793}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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- Fixed: Implicit arguments taken into account in defined fields of a record type declaration (\#13166 \({ }^{794}\), fixes \#13165 \({ }^{795}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Allow use of typeclass inference for the return predicate of a match (was deactivated in versions 8.10 to 8.12, \#13217 \({ }^{796}\), fixes \#13216 \({ }^{797}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: A case of unification raising an anomaly IllTypedInstance (\#13376 \({ }^{798}\), fixes \#13266 \({ }^{799}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Using \{wf . . .\} in local fixpoints is an error, not an anomaly (\#13383 \({ }^{800}\), fixes \(\# 11816^{801}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Issue when two expressions involving different projections and one is primitive need to be unified (\#13386 \({ }^{802}\), fixes \#9971 \({ }^{803}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: A bug producing ill-typed instances of existential variables when let-ins interleaved with assumptions (\#13387 \({ }^{804}\), fixes \#12348 \({ }^{805}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Notations}
- Changed: In notations (except in custom entries), the misleading syntax_modifier ident ident (which accepted either an identifier or a _) is deprecated and should be replaced by ident name. If the intent was really to only parse identifiers, this will eventually become possible, but only as of Coq 8.15 . In custom entries, the meaning of ident ident is silently changed from parsing identifiers or _ to parsing only identifiers without warning, but this presumably affects only rare, recent and relatively experimental code (\#11841 \({ }^{806}\), fixes \#9514 \({ }^{807}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Improved support for notations/abbreviations with mixed terms and patterns (such as the forcing modality) (\#12099 \({ }^{808}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed Rational and real constants are parsed differently. The exponent is now encoded separately from the fractional part using \(Z\). pow_pos. This way, parsing large exponents can no longer blow up and constants are printed in a form closer to the one in which they were parsed (i.e., \(102 \mathrm{e}-2\) is reprinted as such and not 1.02 ). (\#12218 \({ }^{809}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Changed: Scope information is propagated in indirect applications to a reference prefixed with @; this covers for instance the case \(r\). (@p) \(t\) where scope information from \(p\) is now taken into account for interpreting \(t\) (\#12685 \({ }^{810}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: New model for only parsing and only printing notations with support for at most one parsing-and-printing or only-parsing notation per notation and scope, but an arbitrary number of only-printing

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{794}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/13166
\(795 \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q / i s s u e s / 13165\)
\({ }^{796} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13217
\({ }^{797} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q / i s s u e s / 13216\)
\({ }^{798} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13376
799 https ://github.com/coq/coq/issues/13266
\(800 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13383
\({ }^{801} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/11816
\(802 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/13386
\({ }^{803} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q / i s s u e s / 9971\)
804 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/13387
\(805 \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q /\) issues/13387
\({ }^{806}\) https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/11841
\(807 \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q / p u l l / 9514\)
\(808 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/12099
\({ }^{809} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/12218
\({ }^{810} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q /\) pull/12685
}
notations (\#12950 \({ }^{811}\), fixes \#4738 812 and \#9682 \({ }^{813}\) and part 2 of \#12908 \({ }^{814}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Redeclaring a notation also reactivates its printing rule; in particular a second Import of the same module reactivates the printing rules declared in this module. In theory, this leads to changes in behavior for printing. However, this is mitigated in general by the adoption in \(\# 12986^{815}\) of a priority given to notations which match a larger part of the term to print (\#12984 \({ }^{816}\), fixes \(\# 7443^{817}\) and \(\# 10824^{818}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Use of notations for printing now gives preference to notations which match a larger part of the term to abbreviate (\#12986 \({ }^{819}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed OCaml parser and printer for real constants have been removed. Real constants are now handled with proven Coq code. (\#12218 \({ }^{820}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Deprecated Numeral. \(v\) is deprecated, please use Number.v instead. (\#12218 \({ }^{821}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Deprecated: Numeral Notation, please use Number Notation instead (\#12979 \({ }^{822}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Printing Float flag to print primitive floats as hexadecimal instead of decimal values. This is included in the Printing All flag (\#11986 \({ }^{823}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Number Notation and String Notation commands now support parameterized inductive and non-inductive types (\#12218 \({ }^{824}\), fixes \#12035 \({ }^{825}\), by Pierre Roux, review by Jason Gross and Jim Fehrle for the reference manual).
 experimental. ( \(\# 12765^{826}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: The binder entry of Notation can now be used in notations expecting a single (non-recursive) binder (\#13265 \({ }^{827}\), by Hugo Herbelin, see section Notations and binders of the reference manual).
- Fixed: Issues in the presence of notations recursively referring to another applicative notations, such as missing scope propagation, or failure to use a notation for printing (\#12960 \({ }^{828}\), fixes \(\# 9403^{829}\) and \#10803 \({ }^{830}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Capture the names of global references by binders in the presence of notations for binders (\#12965 \({ }^{831}\), fixes \#9569 \({ }^{832}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Preventing notations for constructors to involve binders (\#13092 \({ }^{833}\), fixes \(\# 13078^{834}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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- Fixed: Notations understand universe names without getting confused by different imported modules between declaration and use locations ( \(\# 13415^{835}\), fixes \(\# 13303^{836}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: In refine, new existential variables unified with existing ones are no longer considered as fresh. The behavior of simple refine no longer depends on the orientation of evar-evar unification problems, and new existential variables are always turned into (unshelved) goals. This can break compatibility in some cases (\#7825837, by Matthieu Sozeau, with help from Maxime Dénès, review by Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Enrico Tassi, fixes \#4095838 and \#4413 \({ }^{839}\) ).
- Changed: Giving an empty list of occurrences after in in tactics is no longer permitted. Omitting the in gives the same behavior ( \(\# 13237^{840}\), fixes \#13235 \({ }^{841}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed: at occs_nums clauses in tactics such as unfold no longer allow negative values. A"-" before the list (for set complement) is still supported. Ex: "at -1-2" is no longer supported but "at -12 " is. (\#13403 \({ }^{842}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: A number of tactics that formerly accepted negative numbers as parameters now give syntax errors for negative values. These include \(\{\mathrm{e}\}\) constructor, do, timeout, 9 \{e\}auto tactics and psatz*. (\#13417843, by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: The deprecated and undocumented prolog tactic was removed (\#12399 \({ }^{844}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: info tactic that was deprecated in 8.5. (\#12423845, by Jim Fehrle).
- Deprecated: Undocumented eauto nat_or_var nat_or_varsyntax in favor of new bfs eauto. Also deprecated 2-integer syntax for debug eauto and info_eauto. (Use bfs eauto with the Info Eauto or Debug Eauto flags instead.) ( \(\# 13381^{846}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Added: Iia is extended to deal with boolean operators e.g. andb or Z.leb. (As lia gets more powerful, this may break proof scripts relying on lia failure.) ( \(\# 11906^{847}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Added: apply ... in supports several hypotheses (\#12246 \({ }^{848}\), by Hugo Herbelin; grants \#9816 \(6^{849}\) ).
- Added: The zify tactic can now be extended by redefining the zify_pre_hook tactic. (\#12552850, by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Added: The \(z i f y\) tactic provides support for primitive integers (module ZifyInt 63). (\#12648851 , by Frédéric Besson).
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}
- Fixed: Avoid exposing an internal name of the form _tmp when applying the _ introduction pattern which would break a dependency ( \(\# 13337^{852}\), fixes \(\# 13336^{853}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: The case of tactics, such as eapp \(I y\), producing existential variables under binders with an ill-formed instance (\#13373 \({ }^{854}\), fixes \#13363 \({ }^{855}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Added: An if-then-else syntax to Ltac2 (\#13232 \({ }^{856}\), fixes \#10110 \({ }^{857}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: Printing of the quotation qualifiers when printing Ltac functions (\#13028 \({ }^{858}\), fixes \#9716 \({ }^{859}\) and \#13004 \({ }^{860}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Added: SSReflect intro pattern ltac views / [dup], / [swap] and / [apply] (\#13317 \({ }^{861}\), by Cyril Cohen).
- Fixed: Working around a bug of interaction between + and /(ltac:(...)) cf \#13458 \({ }^{862}\) (\#13459863 , by Cyril Cohen).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: Drop prefixes from grammar non-terminal names, e.g. "constr:global" -> "global", "Prim.name" -> "name". Visible in the output of Print Grammar and Print Custom Grammar. (\#13096 \({ }^{864}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Changed: When declaring arbitrary terms as hints, unsolved evars are not abstracted implicitly anymore and instead raise an error (\#13139 \({ }^{865}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: In the Extraction Language command, remove Ocaml as a valid value. Use OCaml instead. This was deprecated in Coq 8.8, \#6261866 (\#13016 \({ }^{867}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Deprecated: Hint locality currently defaults to local in a section and global otherwise, but this will change in a future release. Hints added outside of sections without an explicit locality now generate a deprecation warning. We recommend using export where possible ( \(\# 13384^{868}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Deprecated: Grab Existential Variables and Existential commands (\#12516 \({ }^{869}\), by Maxime Dénès).
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}
- Added: The export locality can now be used for all Hint commands, including Hint Cut, Hint Mode, Hint Transparent / Opaque and Remove Hints ( \(\# 13388^{870}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Support for automatic insertion of coercions in Search patterns. Additionally, head patterns are now automatically interpreted as types (\#13255 \({ }^{871}\), fixes \#13244 \({ }^{872}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: The Proof using command can now be used without loading the Ltac plugin (-noinit mode) (\#13339 \({ }^{873}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Added: Clarify in the documentation that Add ML Path is not exported to compiled files (\#13345874, fixes \#13344 \({ }^{875}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tools}
- Changed: Option -native-compiler of the configure script now impacts the default value of the -native-compiler option of coqc. The -native-compiler option of the configure script supports a new ondemand value, which becomes the default, thus preserving the previous default behavior. The stdlib is still precompiled when configuring with -native-compiler yes. It is not precompiled otherwise. This an implementation of point 2 of CEP \#48 88 ( \(\# 13352^{877}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Changed: Added the ability for coq_makefile to directly set the installation folders, through the COQLIBINSTALL and COQDOCINSTALL variables. See CoqMakefile.local. (\#12389878, by Martin Bodin, review of Enrico Tassi).
- Removed: The option -I of coqchk was removed (it was deprecated in Coq 8.8) (\#12613 \({ }^{879}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: coqchk no longer reports names from inner modules of opaque modules as axioms (\#12862880 , fixes \#12845 \({ }^{881}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Added: Support showing diffs for Show Proof in CoqIDE from the View menu. See "Show Proof" differences. (\#12874 \({ }^{882}\), by Jim Fehrle and Enrico Tassi)
- Added: Support for flag Printing Goal Names in View menu (\#13145883, by Hugo Herbelin).
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\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: In the reals theory changed the epsilon in the definition of the modulus of convergence for CReal from \(1 / \mathrm{n}\) ( n in positive) to \(2^{\wedge} \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{z}\) in Z ) so that a precision coarser than one is possible. Also added an upper bound to CReal to enable more efficient computations. (\#12186 \({ }^{884}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
- Changed: Int63 notations now match up with the rest of the standard library: a \(\backslash \% \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~m}==\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}<\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}<=\) n , and \(\mathrm{m} \leq \mathrm{n}\) have been replaced with a mod \(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}=\) ? \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}<\) ? \(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}<=\) ? n , and \(\mathrm{m} \leq\) ? n . The old notations are still available as deprecated notations. Additionally, there is now a Coq.Numbers.Cyclic.Int 63 . Int 63. Int 63Notations module that users can import to get the Int 63 notations without unqualifying the various primitives ( \(\# 12479^{885}\), fixes \(\# 12454^{886}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: PrimFloat notations now match up with the rest of the standard library: \(m=n, m<n\), and \(m<=\) \(n\) have been replaced with \(m=\) ? \(n, m<? n\), and \(m<=\) ? \(n\). The old notations are still available as deprecated notations. Additionally, there is now a Coq.Floats.PrimFloat.PrimFloatNotations module that users can import to get the PrimFloat notations without unqualifying the various primitives (\#12556887, fixes \#12454 \({ }^{888}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: the sort of cyclic numbers from Type to Set. For backward compatibility, a dynamic sort was defined in the 3 packages bignums, coqprime and color. See for example commit 6f62bda in bignums. (\#12801889, by Vincent Semeria).
- Changed: Require Import Coq.nsatz.NsatzTactic now allows using nsatz with Z and Q without having to supply instances or using Require Import Coq.nsatz.Nsatz, which transitively requires unneeded files declaring axioms used in the reals ( \(\# 12861^{890}\), fixes \(\# 12860^{891}\), by Jason Gross).
- Deprecated: prod_curry and prod_uncurry, in favor of uncurry and curry (\#12716892, by Yishuai Li).
- Added: New lemmas about repeat in List and Permutation: repeat_app, repeat_eq_app, repeat_eq_cons, repeat_eq_elt, Forall_eq_repeat, Permutation_repeat (\#12799 \({ }^{893}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Extend some list lemmas to both directions: app_inj_tail_iff, app_inv_head_iff, app_inv_tail_iff. (\#12094 \({ }^{894}\), fixes \#12093 \({ }^{895}\), by Edward Wang).
- Added: Decidable instance for negation (\#12420 \({ }^{896}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Fixed: Coq.Program.Wf.Fix_F_inv and Coq.Program.Wf.Fix_eq are now axiom-free. They no longer assume proof irrelevance. (\#13365 \({ }^{897}\), by Li-yao Xia).
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\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: When compiled with OCaml \(>=4.10 .0\), Coq will use the new best-fit GC policy, which should provide some performance benefits. Coq's policy is optimized for speed, but could increase memory consumption in some cases. You are welcome to tune it using the OCAMLRUNPARAM variable and report back on good settings so we can improve the defaults. ( \(\# 13040^{898}\), fixes \(\# 11277^{899}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: Coq now uses the zarith \({ }^{900}\) library, based on GNU's gmp instead of num which is deprecated upstream. The custom bigint module is no longer provided. ( \(\# 11742^{901}\), \#13007902, by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias and Vicent Laporte, with help from Frédéric Besson).

\section*{Changes in 8.13.0}

\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: The warning custom-entry-overriden has been renamed to custom-entry-overridden (with two d's). (\#13556 \({ }^{903}\), by Simon Friis Vindum).

\section*{Changes in 8.13.1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Fix arities of VM opcodes for some floating-point operations that could cause memory corruption (\#13867904 , by Guillaume Melquiond).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Added: Option -v and --version to CoqIDE (\#13870905 , by Guillaume Melquiond).

\section*{Changes in 8.13.2}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Crash when using vm_compute on an irreducible PArray. set (\#14005 \({ }^{906}\), fixes \(\# 13998^{907}\), by Guillaume Melquiond).
- Fixed: Never store persistent arrays as VM / native structured values. This could be used to make vo marshalling crash, and probably breaking some other invariants of the kernel (\#14007908, fixes \#14006 \({ }^{909}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
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\section*{Tactic language}
- Fixed: Ltac2 Array. init no longer incurs exponential overhead when used recursively (\#14012 \({ }^{910}\), fixes \#14011 \({ }^{911}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{Version 8.12}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.12 integrates many usability improvements, in particular with respect to notations, scopes and implicit arguments, along with many bug fixes and major improvements to the reference manual. The main changes include:
- New binder notation for non-maximal implicit arguments using [ ] allowing to set and see the implicit status of arguments immediately.
- New notation Inductive I A | x : s := . . to distinguish the uniform from the non-uniform parameters in inductive definitions.
- More robust and expressive treatment of implicit inductive parameters in inductive declarations.
- Improvements in the treatment of implicit arguments and partially applied constants in notations, parsing of hexadecimal number notation and better handling of scopes and coercions for printing.
- A correct and efficient coercion coherence checking algorithm, avoiding spurious or duplicate warnings.
- An improved Search command which accepts complex queries. Note that this takes precedence over the now deprecated ssreflect search.
- Many additions and improvements of the standard library.
- Improvements to the reference manual include a more logical organization of chapters along with updated syntax descriptions that match Coq's grammar in most but not all chapters.

Additionally, the omega tactic is deprecated in this version of Coq, and we recommend users to switch to lia in new proof scripts.

See the Changes in \(8.12+\) betal section and following sections for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed.
Coq's documentation is available at https://coq.github.io/doc/v8.12/refman (reference manual), and https://coq.github. io/doc/v8.12/stdlib (documentation of the standard library). Developer documentation of the ML API is available at https://coq.github.io/doc/v8.12/api.
Maxime Dénès, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.

Erik Martin-Dorel has maintained the Coq Docker images \({ }^{912}\) that are used in many Coq projects for continuous integration.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.

Previously, most components of Coq had a single principal maintainer. This was changed in \(8.12\left(\# 11295^{913}\right)\) so that every component now has a team of maintainers, who are in charge of reviewing and merging incoming pull requests. This gave us a chance to significantly expand the pool of maintainters and provide faster feedback to contributors. Special thanks to all our maintainers!
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Our current 31 maintainers are Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Tej Chajed, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Benjamin Grégoire, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Vincent Laporte, Assia Mahboubi, Kenji Maillard, Guillaume Melquiond, PierreMarie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Arnaud Spiwack, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, Li-yao Xia, Théo Zimmermann

The 59 contributors to this version are Abhishek Anand, Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Lasse Blaauwbroek, Simon Boulier, Quentin Carbonneaux, Tej Chajed, Arthur Charguéraud, Cyril Cohen, Pierre Courtieu, Matthew Dempsky, Maxime Dénès, Andres Erbsen, Erika (@rrika), Nikita Eshkeev, Jim Fehrle, @formalize, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Paolo G. Giarrusso, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Samuel Gruetter, Attila Gáspár, Hugo Herbelin, Jan-Oliver Kaiser, Robbert Krebbers, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Xavier Leroy, Thomas Letan, Yishuai Li, Kenji Maillard, Erik Martin-Dorel, Guillaume Melquiond, Ike Mulder, Guillaume Munch-Maccagnoni, Antonio Nikishaev, Karl Palmskog, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Ramkumar Ramachandra, Lars Rasmusson, Daniel de Rauglaudre, Talia Ringer, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, @scinart, Kartik Singhal, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Ralf Treinen, Anton Trunov, Bernhard M. Wiedemann, Li-yao Xia, Nickolai Zeldovich and Théo Zimmermann.

Many power users helped to improve the design of this new version via the GitHub issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list coqdev@inria.fr, the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list, the Discourse forum \({ }^{914}\) and the new Coq Zulip chat \({ }^{915}\) (thanks to Cyril Cohen for organizing the move from Gitter).

Version 8.12's development spanned 6 months from the release of Coq 8.11.0. Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias and Théo Zimmermann are the release managers of Coq 8.12. This release is the result of \(\sim 500\) PRs merged, closing \(\sim 100\) issues.

Nantes, June 2020,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Changes in 8.12+beta1}
- Kernel
- Specification language, type inference
- Notations
- Tactics
- Tactic language
- SSReflect
- Flags, options and attributes
- Commands
- Tools
- CoqIDE
- Standard library
- Reals library
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- Extraction
- Reference manual
- Infrastructure and dependencies

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Specification of PrimFloat. leb which made ( \(\mathbf{x}<=\mathbf{y}\) ) \%float true for any non-NaN \(\mathbf{x}\) and \(\mathbf{y}\). (\#12484 \({ }^{916}\), fixes \#12483 \({ }^{917}\), by Pierre Roux).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Changed: The deprecation warning raised since Coq 8.10 when a trailing implicit is declared to be non-maximally inserted (with the command Arguments) has been turned into an error ( \(\# 11368^{918}\), by SimonBoulier).
- Changed: Typeclass resolution, accessible through typeclasses eauto, now suspends constraints according to their modes instead of failing. If a typeclass constraint does not match any of the declared modes for its class, the constraint is postponed, and the proof search continues on other goals. Proof search does a fixed point computation to try to solve them at a later stage of resolution. It does not fail if there remain only stuck constraints at the end of resolution. This makes typeclasses with declared modes more robust with respect to the order of resolution. (\#10858 \({ }^{919}\), fixes \#9058 \({ }^{920}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
- Added: Warn when manual implicit arguments are used in unexpected positions of a term (e.g. in Check id (forall \(\{x\}, x)\) ) or when an implicit argument name is shadowed (e.g. in Check fun \(f\) : forall \{x:nat \} \{x\}, nat \(=>\) f) (\#10202 \({ }^{921}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Arguments now supports setting implicit an anonymous argument, as e.g. in Arguments id \{A\} \(\left\{\_\right\}\left(\# 11098^{922}\right.\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixes \#4696 \({ }^{923}\), \#5173924, \#9098 \({ }^{925}\) ).
- Added: Syntax for non-maximal implicit arguments in definitions and terms using square brackets. The syntax is [x : A], [x], ` A\(]\) to be consistent with the command Arguments (\#11235 \({ }^{926}\), by Simon Boulier).
- Added: Implicit Types are now taken into account for printing. To inhibit it, unset the Printing Use Implicit Types flag (\#11261 \({ }^{927}\), by Hugo Herbelin, granting \#10366 \({ }^{928}\) ).
- Added: New syntax Inductive ident binder \({ }^{\star}\), binder \({ }^{\star}:=\ldots\) to specify which parameters of an inductive type are uniform. See Parameterized inductive types ( \(\# 11600^{929}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Warn when using Fixpoint or CoFixpoint for definitions which are not recursive (\#12121930, by Hugo Herbelin).
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- Fixed: More robust and expressive treatment of implicit inductive parameters in inductive declarations (\#11579931, by Maxime Dénès, Gaëtan Gilbert and Jasper Hugunin; fixes \#7253 \({ }^{932}\) and \(\# 11585{ }^{933}\) ).
- Fixed: Anomaly which could be raised when printing binders with implicit types (\#12323 \({ }^{934}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#12322 \({ }^{935}\) ).
- Fixed: Case of an anomaly in trying to infer the return clause of an ill-typed match (\#12422 \({ }^{936}\), fixes \#12418 \(8^{937}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Notations}
- Changed: Notation scopes are now always inherited in notations binding a partially applied constant, including for notations binding an expression of the form @qualid. The latter was not the case beforehand (part of \#11120 \({ }^{938}\) ).
- Changed: The printing algorithm now interleaves search for notations and removal of coercions (\#11172 \({ }^{939}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Nicer printing for decimal constants in R and Q. 1.5 is now printed 1.5 rather than \(15 \mathrm{e}-1\) (\#11848940, by Pierre Roux).
- Removed: deprecated compat modifier of Notation and Infix commands. Use the deprecatedattribute instead (\#11113 \({ }^{941}\), by Théo Zimmermann, with help from Jason Gross).
- Deprecated: Numeral Notation on Decimal.uint, Decimal.int and Decimal. decimal are replaced respectively by numeral notations on Numeral.uint, Numeral.int and Numeral. numeral (\#11948942, by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Notations declared with the where clause in the declaration of inductive types, coinductive types, record fields, fixpoints and cofixpoints now support the only parsing modifier (\#11602 \({ }^{943}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Printing Parentheses flag to print parentheses even when implied by associativity or precedence (\#11650944, by Hugo Herbelin and Abhishek Anand).
- Added: Numeral notations now parse hexadecimal constants such as \(0 \times 2 \mathrm{a}\) or \(0 \mathrm{xb} .2 \mathrm{ap}-2\). Parsers added for nat, positive, Z, N, Q, R, primitive integers and primitive floats (\#11948945, by Pierre Roux).
- Added: Abbreviations support arguments occurring both in term and binder position (\#8808 \({ }^{946}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Different interpretations in different scopes of the same notation string can now be associated with different printing formats (\#10832947, by Hugo Herbelin, fixes \#6092 \({ }^{948}\) and \#7766 \({ }^{949}\) ).
- Fixed: Parsing and printing consistently handle inheritance of implicit arguments in notations. With the exception of notations of the form Notation string \(:=\) @qualidand Notation ident \(:=\) @qualidwhich
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inhibit implicit arguments, all notations binding a partially applied constant, as e.g. in Notation string \(:=\) (qualid \(\arg ^{+}\)), or Notation string \(:=\)(@qualid \({ }^{\text {arg }}{ }^{+}\)), or Notation ident \(:=\) (qualid \(\arg ^{+\boldsymbol{+}}\) ), or Notation ident \(:=\) (@qualid \(\arg ^{+}\)), inherit the remaining implicit arguments ( \(\# 11120^{950}\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixing \#4690 \({ }^{951}\) and \#11091 \({ }^{952}\) ).
- Fixed: Notations in only printing mode do not uselessly reserve parsing keywords (\#11590 \({ }^{953}\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixes \#9741 \({ }^{954}\) ).
- Fixed: Numeral Notations now play better with multiple scopes for the same inductive type. Previously, when multiple numeral notations were defined for the same inductive, only the last one was considered for printing. Now, among the notations that are usable for printing and either have a scope delimiter or are open, the selection is made according to the order of open scopes, or according to the last defined notation if no appropriate scope is open (\#12163 \({ }^{955}\), fixes \#12159956 , by Pierre Roux, review by Hugo Herbelin and Jason Gross).

\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: The rapply tactic in Coq. Program. Tactics now handles arbitrary numbers of underscores and takes in a uconstr. In rare cases where users were relying on rapply inserting exactly 15 underscores and no more, due to the lemma having a completely unspecified codomain (and thus allowing for any number of underscores), the tactic will now loop instead ( \(\# 10760^{957}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: The auto with zarith tactic and variations (including intuition) may now call lia instead of omega (when the Omega module is loaded); more goals may be automatically solved, fewer section variables will be captured spuriously ( \(\# 11018^{958}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Changed: The new NativeCompute Timing flag causes calls to native_compute (as well as kernel calls to the native compiler) to emit separate timing information about conversion to native code, compilation, execution, and reification. It replaces the timing information previously emitted when the - debug command-line flag was set, and allows more fine-grained timing of the native compiler ( \(\# 11025^{959}\), by Jason Gross). Additionally, the timing information now uses real time rather than user time (fixes \#11962 \({ }^{960}\), \#11963 \({ }^{961}\), by Jason Gross)
- Changed: Improve the efficiency of PreOmega.elim_let using an iterator implemented in OCaml (\#11370962, by Frédéric Besson).
- Changed: Improve the efficiency of zify by rewritting the remaining Ltac code in OCaml (\#11429963, by Frédéric Besson).
- Changed: Backtrace information for tactics has been improved ( \(\# 11755^{964}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: The default tactic used by firstorder is auto with core instead of auto with *; see Solvers for logic and equality for details; old behavior can be reset by using the -compat 8.12 command-line
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flag; to ease the migration of legacy code, the default solver can be set to debug auto with * with Set Firstorder Solver debug auto with * (\#11760 \({ }^{965}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Changed: autounfold no longer fails when the Opaque command is used on constants in the hint databases (\#11883 \({ }^{966}\), by Attila Gáspár).
- Changed: Tactics with qualified name of the form Coq. Init. Notations are now qualified with prefix Coq. Init. Ltac; users of the -noinit option should now import Coq. Init. Ltac if they want to use Ltac (\#12023 \({ }^{967}\), by Hugo Herbelin; minor source of incompatibilities).
- Changed: Tactic subst ident now fails over a section variable which is indirectly dependent in the goal; the incompatibility can generally be fixed by first clearing the hypotheses causing an indirect dependency, as reported by the error message, or by using rewrite . . . in * instead; similarly, subst has no more effect on such variables (\#12146 \({ }^{968}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#10812 \({ }^{969}\) and \#12139 \({ }^{970}\) ).
- Changed: The check that unfold arguments were indeed unfoldable has been moved to runtime (\#12256 \({ }^{971}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot; fixes \#5764 \({ }^{972}\), \#5159 \({ }^{973}\), \#4925 \({ }^{974}\) and \#11727975).
- Changed When the tactic functional induction \(\mathbf{c}_{1} \quad \mathbf{c}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\) is used with no parenthesis around \(\mathbf{c}_{1}\) \(\mathbf{c}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathbf{c}_{1} \quad \mathbf{c}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{n}}\) is now read as one single applicative term. In particular implicit arguments should be omitted. Rare source of incompatibility (\#12326 \({ }^{976}\), by Pierre Courtieu).
- Changed: When using exists or eexists with multiple arguments, the evaluation of arguments and applications of constructors are now interleaved. This improves unification in some cases ( \(\# 12366^{977}\), fixes \#12365 \({ }^{978}\), by Attila Gáspár).
- Removed: Undocumented omega with. Using lia is the recommended replacement, although the old semantics of omega with * can also be recovered with zify; omega (\#11288979, by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Removed: Deprecated syntax _eqn for destruct and remember. Use eqn : syntax instead (\#11877980, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Removed: at clauses can no longer be used with autounfold. Since they had no effect, it is safe to remove them (\#11883 \({ }^{981}\), by Attila Gáspár).
- Deprecated: The omega tactic is deprecated; use li a from the Micromega plugin instead (\#11976 \({ }^{982}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Added: The zify tactic is now aware of Pos.pred_double, Pos.pred_N, Pos.of_nat, Pos. add_carry, Pos.pow, Pos.square, Z.pow, Z.double, Z.pred_double, Z.succ_double, Z. square, Z.div2, and Z.quot2. Injections for internal definitions in module ZifyBool (isZero and
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isLeZero) are also added to help users to declare new zify class instances using Micromega tactics (\#10998983, by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Added: Show Lia Profile prints some statistics about lia calls (\#11474 \({ }^{984}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Added: Syntax pose proof (ident:=term) as an alternative to pose proof term as ident, following the model of pose (ident:=term) (\#11522985, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: New tactical with_strategy which behaves like the command Strategy, with effects local to the given tactic (\#12129986, by Jason Gross).
- Added: The zify tactic is now aware of Nat. le, Nat. It and Nat. eq (\#12213 \({ }^{987}\), by Frédéric Besson; fixes \#12210 \({ }^{988}\) ).
- Fixed: zify now handles Z.pow_pos by default. In Coq 8.11 , this was the case only when loading module ZifyPow because this triggered a regression of lia. The regression is now fixed, and the module kept only for compatibility (\#11362 \({ }^{989}\), fixes \#11191 \({ }^{990}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Fixed: Efficiency regression of Lia (\#11474 \({ }^{991}\), fixes \#11436 \({ }^{992}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Fixed: The behavior of autounfold no longer depends on the names of terms and modules (\#11883 \({ }^{993}\), fixes \#7812 \({ }^{994}\), by Attila Gáspár).
- Fixed: Wrong type error in tactic functional induction (\#12326 \({ }^{995}\), by Pierre Courtieu, fixes \#11761996, reported by Lasse Blaauwbroek).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Changed: The "reference" tactic generic argument now accepts arbitrary variables of the goal context (\#12254997, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: An array library for Ltac2 (as compatible as possible with OCaml standard library) (\#10343 \({ }^{998}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
- Added: The Ltac2 rebinding command Ltac2 Set has been extended with the ability to give a name to the old value so as to be able to reuse it inside the new one ( \(\# 11503{ }^{999}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Ltac2 notations for enough and eenough ( \(\# 11740^{1000}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
- Added: New Ltac2 function Fresh. Free. of_goal to return the list of names of declarations of the current goal; new Ltac2 function Fresh. in_goal to return a variable fresh in the current goal (\#11882 \({ }^{1001}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
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- Added: Ltac2 notations for reductions in terms: eval red_expr in term (\#11981 \({ }^{1002}\), by Michael Soegtrop).
- Fixed: The Ltac Profiling machinery now correctly handles backtracking into multi-success tactics. The call-counts of some tactics are unfortunately inflated by 1 , as some tactics are implicitly implemented as tac + fail, which has two entry-points rather than one (fixes \#12196 \({ }^{1003}\), \#12197 \({ }^{1004}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Changed: The Search (ssreflect) command that used to be available when loading the ssreflect plugin has been moved to a separate plugin that needs to be loaded separately: ssrsearch (part of \#8855 \({ }^{1005}\), fixes \#12253 \({ }^{1006}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Deprecated: Search (ssreflect) (available through Require ssrsearch.) in favor of the headconcl: clause of Search (part of \#8855 \({ }^{1007}\), by Théo Zimmermann).

\section*{Flags, options and attributes}
- Changed: Legacy attributes can now be passed in any order (\#11665 \({ }^{1008}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: Typeclasses Axioms Are Instances flag, deprecated since 8.10. Use Declare Instance for axioms which should be instances (\#11185 \({ }^{1009}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: Deprecated unsound compatibility Template Check flag that was introduced in 8.10 to help users gradually move their template polymorphic inductive type definitions outside sections ( \(\# 11546{ }^{1010}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: Deprecated Shrink Obligations flag (\#11828 \({ }^{1011}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Removed: Unqualified polymorphic, monomorphic, template, notemplate attributes (they were deprecated since Coq 8.10). Use universes (polymorphic), universes(monomorphic), universes (template) and universes (notemplate) instead (\#11663 \({ }^{1012}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Deprecated: Hide Obligations flag (\#11828 \({ }^{1013}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Added: Handle the local attribute in Canonical Structure declarations (\#11162 \({ }^{1014}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Added: New attributes supported when defining an inductive type universes(cumulative), universes (noncumulative) and private(matching), which correspond to legacy attributes Cumulative, NonCumulative, and the previously undocumented Private (\#11665 \({ }^{1015}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
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- Added: The Hint commands now accept the export locality as an attribute, allowing to make import-scoped hints (\#11812 \({ }^{1016}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Added: Cumulative StrictProp to control cumulativity of SProp (\#12034 \({ }^{1017}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Commands}
- Changed: The Coercion command has been improved to check the coherence of the inheritance graph. It checks whether a circular inheritance path of \(C>->C\) is convertible with the identity function or not, then report it as an ambiguous path if it is not. The new mechanism does not report ambiguous paths that are redundant with others. For example, checking the ambiguity of \([f ; g]\) and [ \(f\) '; \(g\) ] is redundant with that of [f] and [f'] thus will not be reported (\#11258 \({ }^{1018}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Changed: Several commands (Search, About, ...) now print the implicit arguments in brackets when printing types (\#11795 \({ }^{1019}\), by Simon Boulier).
- Changed: The warning when using Require inside a section moved from the deprecated category to the fragile category, because there is no plan to remove the functionality at this time (\#11972 \({ }^{1020}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: Redirect now obeys the Printing Width and Printing Depth options (\#12358 \({ }^{1021}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Removed: Recursive OCaml loadpaths are not supported anymore; the command Add Rec ML Path has been removed; Add ML Path is now the preferred one. We have also dropped support for the non-qualified version of the Add LoadPath command, that is to say, the Add LoadPath dir version; now, you must always specify a prefix now using Add Loadpath dir as Prefix (\#11618 \({ }^{1022}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Removed: undocumented Chapter command. Use Section instead ( \(\# 11746{ }^{1023}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: SearchAbout command that was deprecated since 8.5. Use Search instead (\#11944 \({ }^{1024}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Deprecated: Declaration of arbitrary terms as hints. Global references are now preferred (\#7791 \({ }^{1025}\), by PierreMarie Pédrot).
- Deprecated: SearchHead in favor of the new headconcl: clause of Search (part of \#8855 \({ }^{1026}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Added: Print Canonical Projections can now take constants as arguments and prints only the unification rules that involve or are synthesized from the given constants ( \(\# 10747^{1027}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Added: A section variable introduced with Let can be declared as a Canonical Structure (\#11164 \({ }^{1028}\), by Enrico Tassi).
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- Added: Support for universe bindings and universe contrainsts in Let definitions (\#11534 \({ }^{1029}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Added: Support for new clauses hyp:, headhyp:, concl:, headconcl:, head: and is: in Search. Support for complex search queries combining disjunctions, conjunctions and negations (\#8855 \({ }^{1030}\), by Hugo Herbelin, with ideas from Cyril Cohen and help from Théo Zimmermann).
- Fixed: A printing bug in the presence of elimination principles with local definitions (\#12295 \({ }^{1031}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#12233 \({ }^{1032}\) ).
- Fixed: Anomalies with Show Proof ( \(\# 12296{ }^{1033}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#12234 \({ }^{1034}\) ).

\section*{Tools}
- Changed: Internal options and behavior of coqdep. coqdep no longer works as a replacement for ocamldep, thus . ml files are not supported as input. Also, several deprecated options have been removed: \(-\mathrm{w},-\mathrm{D},-\mathrm{ml}\) dep, -prefix, -slash, and-dumpbox. Passing -boot to coqdep will not load any path by default now, -R/-Q should be used instead (\#11523 \({ }^{1035}\) and \#11589 \({ }^{1036}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Changed: The order in which the require flags -ri, -re, -rfrom, etc. and the option flags -set, -unset are given now matters. In particular, it is now possible to interleave the loading of plugins and the setting of options by choosing the right order for these flags. The load flags -1 and -1 v are still processed afterward for now (\#11851 1037 and \#12097 \({ }^{1038}\), by Lasse Blaauwbroek).
- Changed: The cleanall target of a makefile generated by coq_makefile now erases.lia.cache and . nia. cache (\#12006 \({ }^{1039}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Changed: The output of make TIMED=1 (and therefore the timing targets such as print-pretty-timed and print-pretty-timed-diff) now displays the full name of the output file being built, rather than the stem of the rule (which was usually the filename without the extension, but in general could be anything for userdefined rules involving \%) ( \(\# 12126^{1040}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: When passing TIMED=1 to make with either Coq's own makefile or a coq_makefile-made makefile, timing information is now printed for OCaml files as well (\#12211 \({ }^{1041}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: The pretty-timed scripts and targets now print a newline at the end of their tables, rather than creating text with no trailing newline ( \(\# 12368^{1042}\), by Jason Gross).
- Removed: The -load-ml-source and -load-ml-object command-line options have been removed; their use was very limited, you can achieve the same adding additional object files in the linking step or using a plugin (\#11409 \({ }^{1043}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Removed: The confusingly-named -require command-line option, which was deprecated since 8.11 . Use the equivalent -require-import / -ri options instead (\#12005 \({ }^{1044}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
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- Deprecated: -cumulative-sprop command-line flag in favor of the new Cumulative StrictProp flag (\#12034 \({ }^{1045}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: A new documentation environment details to make certain portion of a Coq document foldable. See Hiding / Showing parts of the source (\#10592 \({ }^{1046}\), by Thomas Letan).
- Added: The make-both-single-timing-files.py script now accepts a \(--f u z z=N\) parameter on the command line which determines how many characters two lines may be offset in the "before" and "after" timing logs while still being considered the same line. When invoking this script via the print-pretty-single-time-diff target in a Makefile made by coq_makefile, you can set this argument by passing TIMING_FUZZ=N to make ( \(\# 11302^{1047}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: The make-one-time-file.py and make-both-time-files.py scripts now accept a --real parameter on the command line to print real times rather than user times in the tables. The make-both-single-timing-files.py script accepts a --user parameter to use user times. When invoking these scripts via the print-pretty-timed or print-pretty-timed-diff or print-pretty-single-time-diff targets in a Makefile made by coq_makefile, you can set this argument by passing TIMING_REAL=1 (to pass --real) or TIMING_REAL=0 (to pass --user) to make (\#11302 \({ }^{1048}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Coq's build system now supports both TIMING_FUZZ, TIMING_SORT_BY, and TIMING_REAL just like a Makefile made by coq_makefile (\#11302 \({ }^{1049}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: The make-one-time-file.py and make-both-time-files.py scripts now include peak memory usage information in the tables (can be turned off by the --no-include-mem command-line parameter), and a --sort-by-mem parameter to sort the tables by memory rather than time. When invoking these scripts via the print-pretty-timed or print-pretty-timed-diff targets in a Makefile made by coq_makefile, you can set this argument by passing TIMING_INCLUDE_MEM=0 (to pass --no-include-mem) and TIMING_SORT_BY_MEM=1 (to pass --sort-by-mem) to make (\#11606 \({ }^{1050}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Coq's build system now supports both TIMING_INCLUDE_MEM and TIMING_SORT_BY_MEM just like a Makefile made by coq_makefile (\#11606 \({ }^{1051}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: New coqc / coqtop option -boot that will not bind the Coq library prefix by default (\#11617 \({ }^{1052}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Added: Definitions in coqdoc link to themselves, giving access in html to their own url (\#12026 \({ }^{1053}\), by Hugo Herbelin; granting \#7093 \({ }^{1054}\) ).
- Added: Hyperlinks on bound variables in coqdoc (\#12033 \({ }^{1055}\), by Hugo Herbelin; it incidentally fixes \#76971056).
- Added: Highlighting of link targets in coqdoc (\#12091 \({ }^{1057}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: The various timing targets for Coq's standard library now correctly display and label the "before" and "after" columns, rather than mixing them up ( \(\# 11302^{1058}\) fixes \#11301 \({ }^{1059}\), by Jason Gross).
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- Fixed: The sorting order of the timing script make-both-time-files.py and the target print-pretty-timed-diff is now deterministic even when the sorting order is absolute or diff; previously the relative ordering of two files with identical times was non-deterministic (\#11606 \({ }^{1060}\), by Jason Gross).
- Fixed: Fields of a record tuple now link in coqdoc to their definition (\#12027 \({ }^{1061}\), fixes \#3415 \({ }^{1062}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: coqdoc now reports the location of a mismatched opening [ [ instead of throwing an uninformative exception (\#12037 \({ }^{1063}\), fixes \#9670 \({ }^{1064}\), by Xia Li-yao).
- Fixed: coqchk incorrectly reporting names from opaque modules as axioms (\#12076 \({ }^{1065}\), by Pierre Roux; fixes \#5030 \({ }^{1066}\) ).
- Fixed: coq_makefile-generated Makefiles pretty-timed-diff target no longer raises Python exceptions in the rare corner case where the \(\log\) of times contains no files ( \(\# 12388^{1067}\), fixes \(\# 12387^{1068}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Removed: "Tactic" menu from CoqIDE which had been unmaintained for a number of years (\#11414 \({ }^{1069}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Removed: "Revert all buffers" command from CoqIDE which had been broken for a long time (\#11415 \({ }^{1070}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: Notations [|term|] and [||term||] for morphisms from 63-bit integers to Z and zn 2 z int have been removed in favor of \(\varphi(t e r m)\) and \(\Phi(t e r m)\) respectively. These notations were breaking Ltac parsing (\#11686 \({ }^{1071}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- Changed: The names of Sorted_sort and LocallySorted_sort in Coq. Sorting.MergeSort have been swapped to appropriately reflect their meanings ( \(\# 11885^{1072}\), by Lysxia).
- Changed: Notations <=? and <? from Coq. Structures.Orders and Coq. Sorting.Mergesort. Nat Order are now at level 70 rather than 35 , so as to be compatible with the notations defined everywhere else in the standard library. This may require re-parenthesizing some expressions. These notations were breaking the ability to import modules from the standard library that were otherwise compatible (fixes \#11890 \({ }^{1073}\), \#11891 \({ }^{1074}\), by Jason Gross).
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- Changed: The level of \(\equiv\) in Coq. Numbers.Cyclic. Int 63 . Int 63 is now 70, no associativity, in line with \(=\). Note that this is a minor incompatibility with developments that declare their own \(\equiv\) notation and import Int 63 (fixes \#11905 \({ }^{1075}\), \#11909 \({ }^{1076}\), by Jason Gross).
- Changed: No longer re-export ListNotations from Program (Program. Syntax) (\#11992 \({ }^{1077}\), by Antonio Nikishaev).
- Changed: It is now possible to import the nsatz machinery without transitively depending on the axioms of the real numbers nor of classical logic by loading Coq. nsatz.NsatzTactic rather than Coq. nsatz. Nsatz. Note that some constants have changed kernel names, living in Coq. nsatz.NsatzTactic rather than Coq. nsatz. Nsatz; this might cause minor incompatibilities that can be fixed by actually running Import Nsatz rather than relying on absolute names ( \(\# 12073{ }^{1078}\), by Jason Gross; fixes \#5445 \({ }^{1079}\) ).
- Changed: new lemma NoDup_incl_NoDup in List.v to remove useless hypothesis NoDup l' in Sorting. Permutation.NoDup_Permutation_bis (\#12120 \({ }^{1080}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Changed: Fixpoints of the standard library without a recursive call turned into ordinary Definitions (\#12121 \({ }^{1081}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#11903 \({ }^{1082}\) ).
- Deprecated: Bool.leb in favor of Bool.le. The definition of Bool.le is made local to avoid conflicts with Nat. le. As a consequence, previous calls to leb based on importing Bool should now be qualified into Bool. le even if Bool is imported ( \(\# 12162^{1083}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Theorem bezout_comm for natural numbers (\#11127 \({ }^{1084}\), by Daniel de Rauglaudre).
- Added rew dependent notations for the dependent version of rew in Coq. Init.Logic.EqNotations to improve the display and parsing of match statements on Logic. eq (\#11240 \({ }^{1085}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: Lemmas about lists:
- properties of In: in_elt, in_elt_inv
- properties of nth: app_nth2_plus, nth_middle, nth_ext
- properties of last: last_last, removelast_last
- properties of remove: remove_cons, remove_app, notin_remove, in_remove, in_in_remove, remove_remove_comm, remove_remove_eq, remove_length_le, remove_length_lt
- properties of concat: in_concat, remove_concat
- properties of map and flat_map: map_last, map_eq_cons, map_eq_app, flat_map_app, flat_map_ext, nth_nth_nth_map
- properties of incl: incl_nil_l, incl_l_nil, incl_cons_inv, incl_app_app, incl_app_inv, remove_incl, incl_map, incl_filter, incl_Forall_in_iff
- properties of NoDup and nodup: NoDup_rev, NoDup_filter, nodup_incl
- properties of Exists and Forall: Exists_nth, Exists_app, Exists_rev, Exists_fold_right, incl_Exists, Forall_nth, Forall_app, Forall_elt, Forall_rev, Forall_fold_right, incl_Forall, map_ext_Forall, Exists_or,
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Exists_or_inv, Forall_and, Forall_and_inv, exists_Forall, Forall_image, concat_nil_Forall, in_flat_map_Exists, notin_flat_map_Forall
- properties of repeat: repeat_cons, repeat_to_concat
- definitions and properties of list_sum and list_max: list_sum_app, list_max_app, list_max_le, list_max_lt
- misc: elt_eq_unit, last_length, rev_eq_app, removelast_firstn_len, cons_seq, seq_S
(\#11249 \({ }^{1086}\), \#12237 \({ }^{1087}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Well-founded induction principles for nat: lt_wf_rect1, lt_wf_rect, gt_wf_rect, lt_wf_double_rect (\#11335 \({ }^{1088}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: remove' and count_occ' over lists, alternatives to remove and count_occ based on filter (\#11350 \({ }^{1089}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Added: Facts about N. iter and Pos.iter:
- N.iter_swap_gen, N.iter_swap, N.iter_succ, N.iter_succ_r, N.iter_add, N. iter_ind, N.iter_invariant;
- Pos.iter_succ_r, Pos.iter_ind.
(\#11880 \({ }^{1090}\), by Lysxia).
- Added: Facts about Permutation:
- structure: Permutation_refl', Permutation_morph_transp
- compatibilities: Permutation_app_rot, Permutation_app_swap_app, Permutation_app_middle, Permutation_middle2, Permutation_elt, Permutation_Forall, Permutation_Exists, Permutation_Forall2, Permutation_flat_map, Permutation_list_sum, Permutation_list_max
- inversions: Permutation_app_inv_m, Permutation_vs_elt_inv, Permutation_vs_cons_inv, Permutation_vs_cons_cons_inv, Permutation_map_inv, Permutation_image, Permutation_elt_map_inv
- length-preserving definition by means of transpositions Permutation_transp with associated properties: Permutation_transp_sym, Permutation_transp_equiv, Permutation_transp_cons, Permutation_Permutation_transp, Permutation_ind_transp
(\#11946 \({ }^{1091}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Notations for sigma types: \(\{x \& P \& Q\},\{1\) pat \& \(P\}\), \(\{\quad\) ' \(p a t \& P \& Q\}\) (\#11957 \({ }^{1092}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Order relations \(1 t\) and compare added in Bool. Bool. Order properties for bool added in Bool. BoolOrder as well as two modules Bool_as_OT and Bool_as_DT in Structures. OrdersEx (\#12008 \({ }^{1093}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Properties of some operations on vectors:
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```

- nth_order:nth_order_hd, nth_order_tl, nth_order_ext
_ replace: nth_order_replace_eq, nth_order_replace_neq, replace_id,
replace_replace_eq, replace_replace_neq
- map: map_id, map_map, map_ext_in,map_ext
- Forall and Forall2: Forall_impl, Forall_forall, Forall_nth_order,
Forall2_nth_order

```
(\#12014 \({ }^{1094}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Lemmas orb_negb_l, andb_negb_l, implb_true_iff, implb_false_iff, implb_true_r, implb_false_r, implb_true_l, implb_false_l, implb_same, implb_contrapositive, implb_negb, implb_curry, implb_andb_distrib_r, implb_orb_distrib_r, implb_orb_distrib_l in library Bool (\#12018 \({ }^{1095}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: Definition and properties of cyclic permutations / circular shifts: CPermutation (\#12031 \({ }^{1096}\), by Olivier Laurent).
- Added: Structures.OrderedTypeEx.Ascii_as_OT (\#12044 \({ }^{1097}\), by formalize.eth (formalize@protonmail.com)).
- Fixed: Rewrote Structures.OrderedTypeEx.String_as_Ot.compare to avoid huge proof terms (\#12044 \({ }^{1098}\), by formalize.eth (formalize@ protonmail.com); fixes \#12015 \({ }^{1099}\) ).

\section*{Reals library}
- Changed: Cleanup of names in the Reals theory: replaced tan_is_inj with tan_inj and replaced atan_right_inv with tan_atan - compatibility notations are provided. Moved various auxiliary lemmas from Ratan.v to more appropriate places (\#9803 \({ }^{1100}\), by Laurent Théry and Michael Soegtrop).
- Changed: Replace CRzero and CRone by CR_of_Q 0 and CR_of_Q 1 in ConstructiveReals. Use implicit arguments for ConstructiveReals. Move ConstructiveReals into new directory Abstract. Remove imports of implementations inside those Abstract files. Move implementation by means of Cauchy sequences in new directory Cauchy. Split files ConstructiveMinMax and ConstructivePower.

Warning: The constructive reals modules are marked as experimental.
(\#11725 \({ }^{1101}, \# 12287^{1102}\) and \#12288 \({ }^{1103}\), by Vincent Semeria).
- Removed: Type RList has been removed. All uses have been replaced by list R. Functions from RList named In, Rlength, cons_Rlist, app_Rlist have also been removed as they are essentially the same as In, length, app, and map from List, modulo the following changes:
- RList. In x (RList.cons a l) used to be convertible to ( \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}\) ) \(\backslash / \mathrm{RList}\). In x l, but List. In \(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{a}: \mathbf{:} \mathrm{l})\) is convertible to \((\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x})\) \/ List. In l. The equality is reversed.
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- app_Rlist and List.map take arguments in different order.
(\#11404 \({ }^{1104}\), by Yves Bertot).
- Added: inverse trigonometric functions asin and acos with lemmas for the derivatives, bounds and special values of these functions; an extensive set of identities between trigonometric functions and their inverse functions; lemmas for the injectivity of sine and cosine; lemmas on the derivative of the inverse of decreasing functions and on the derivative of horizontally mirrored functions; various generic auxiliary lemmas and definitions for Rsqr, sqre, posreal and others (\#9803 \({ }^{1105}\), by Laurent Théry and Michael Soegtrop).

\section*{Extraction}
- Added: Support for better extraction of strings in OCaml and Haskell: ExtOcamlNativeString provides bindings from the Coq String type to the OCaml string type, and string literals can be extracted to literals, both in OCaml and Haskell ( \(\# 10486^{1106}\), by Xavier Leroy, with help from Maxime Dénès, review by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: In Haskell extraction with ExtrHaskellString, equality comparisons on strings and characters are now guaranteed to be uniquely well-typed, even in very polymorphic contexts under unsafeCoerce; this is achieved by adding type annotations to the extracted code, and by making ExtrHaskellString export ExtrHaskellBasic (\#12263 \({ }^{1107}\), by Jason Gross, fixes \#12257 \({ }^{1108}\) and \#12258 \({ }^{1109}\) ).

\section*{Reference manual}
- Changed: The reference manual has been restructured to get a more logical organization. In the new version, there are fewer top-level chapters, and, in the HTML format, chapters are split into smaller pages. This is still a work in progress and further restructuring is expected in the next versions of Coq (CEP\#43 \({ }^{1110}\), implemented in \#11601 1111 , \(\# 11871^{1112}, \# 11914^{1113}, \# 12148^{1114}, \# 12172^{1115}, \# 12239^{1116}\) and \(\# 12330^{1117}\), effort inspired by Matthieu Sozeau, led by Théo Zimmermann, with help and reviews of Jim Fehrle, Clément Pit-Claudel and others).
- Changed: Most of the grammar is now presented using the notation mechanism that has been used to present commands and tactics since Coq 8.8 and which is documented in Syntax conventions (\#11183 \({ }^{1118}\), \#11314 \({ }^{1119}\), \(\# 11423^{1120}, \# 11705^{1121}, \# 11718^{1122}, \# 11720^{1123}, \# 11961^{1124}\) and \#12103 \({ }^{1125}\), by Jim Fehrle, reviewed by Théo Zimmermann).
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}
- Added: A glossary of terms and an index of attributes (\#11869 \({ }^{1126}\), \#12150 \({ }^{1127}\) and \#12224 \({ }^{1128}\), by Jim Fehrle and Théo Zimmermann, reviewed by Clément Pit-Claudel)
- Added: A selector that allows switching between versions of the reference manual (\#12286 \({ }^{1129}\), by Clément PitClaudel).
- Fixed: Most of the documented syntax has been thoroughly updated to make it accurate and easily understood. This was done using a semi-automated doc_grammar tool introduced for this purpose and through significant revisions to the text (\#9884 \({ }^{1130}, \# 10614^{1131}, \# 11314^{1132}, \# 11423^{1133}, \# 11705^{1134}, \# 11718^{1135}, \# 11720^{1136} \# 11797^{1137}\), \(\# 11913^{1138}, \# 11958^{1139}, \# 11960^{1140}, \# 11961^{1141}\) and \(\# 12103^{1142}\), by Jim Fehrle, reviewed by Théo Zimmermann and Jason Gross).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: Minimal versions of dependencies for building the reference manual: now requires Sphinx >=2.3.1 \& <3.0.0, sphinx_rtd_theme 0.4.3+ and sphinxcontrib-bibtex 0.4.2+.

Warning: The reference manual is known not to build properly with Sphinx 3.
(\#12224 \({ }^{1143}\), by Jim Fehrle and Théo Zimmermann).
- Removed: Python 2 is no longer required in any part of the codebase ( \(\# 11245^{1144}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).

\section*{Changes in 8.12.0}

\section*{Notations}
- Added: Simultaneous definition of terms and notations now support custom entries. Fixes \#11121 \({ }^{1145}\). (\#12523 \({ }^{1146}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- Fixed: Printing bug with notations for n-ary applications used with applied references. (\#12683 \({ }^{1147}\), fixes \#12682 \({ }^{1148}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactics}
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- Fixed: typeclasses eauto (and discriminated hint bases) now correctly classify local variables as being unfoldable ( \(\# 12572^{1149}\), fixes \#12571 \({ }^{1150}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Fixed: Excluding occurrences was causing an anomaly in tactics (e.g., pattern _ at L where L is -2). (\#12541 \({ }^{1151}\), fixes \#12228 \({ }^{1152}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Fixed: Parsing of multi-parameters Ltac2 types (\#12594 \({ }^{1153}\), fixes \(\# 12595{ }^{1154}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Fixed: Do not store the full environment inside ssr ast_closure_term (\#12708 \({ }^{1155}\), fixes \#12707 \({ }^{1156}\), by PierreMarie Pédrot).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Fixed: Properly report the mismatched magic number of vo files ( \(\# 12677^{1157}\), fixes \(\# 12513^{1158}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Arbitrary hints have been undeprecated, and their definition now triggers a standard warning instead (\#12678 \({ }^{1159}\), fixes \#11970 \({ }^{1160}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fixed: CoqIDE no longer exits when trying to open a file whose name is not a valid identifier (\#12562 \({ }^{1161}\), fixes \#10988 \({ }^{1162}\), by Vincent Laporte).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Fixed: Running make in test-suite/ twice (or more) in a row will no longer rebuild the modules / tests on subsequent runs, if they have not been modified in the meantime ( \(\# 12583^{1163}\), fixes \#12582 \({ }^{1164}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{Changes in 8.12.1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Incompleteness of conversion checking on problems involving \(\eta\)-expansion and cumulative universe polymorphic inductive types ( \(\# 12738^{1165}\), fixes \(\# 7015^{1166}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Polymorphic side-effects inside monomorphic definitions were incorrectly handled as not inlined. This allowed deriving an inconsistency ( \(\# 13331^{1167}\), fixes \(\# 13330^{1168}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
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\section*{Notations}
- Fixed: Undetected collision between a lonely notation and a notation in scope at printing time (\#12946 \({ }^{1169}\), fixes the first part of \#12908 \({ }^{1170}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Printing of notations in custom entries with variables not mentioning an explicit level (\#13026 \({ }^{1171}\), fixes \#12775 \({ }^{1172}\) and \#13018 \({ }^{1173}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactics}
- Added: replace and inversion support registration of a core.identity-like equality in Type, such as HoTT's path (\#12847 \({ }^{1174}\), partially fixes \(\# 12846{ }^{1175}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Anomaly with injection involving artificial dependencies disappearing by reduction (\#12816 \({ }^{1176}\), fixes \#12787 \({ }^{1177}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Fixed: Miscellaneous issues with locating tactic errors (\#13247 \({ }^{1178}\), fixes \#12773 \(3^{1179}\) and \#12992 \({ }^{1180}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Fixed: Regression in error reporting after case. A generic error message "Could not fill dependent hole in apply" was reported for any error following case or elim (\#12857 \({ }^{1181}\), fixes \#12837 \({ }^{1182}\), by Enrico Tassi).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Fixed: Failures of Search in the presence of primitive projections (\#13301 \({ }^{1183}\), fixes \#13298 \({ }^{1184}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: Search supports filtering on parts of identifiers which are not proper identifiers themselves, such as "1" (\#13351 \({ }^{1185}\), fixes \#13349 \({ }^{1186}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tools}
- Fixed: Special symbols now escaped in the index produced by coqdoc, avoiding collision with the syntax of the output format ( \(\# 12754^{1187}\), fixes \#12752 \({ }^{1188}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Fixed: The details environment added in the 8.12 release can now be used as advertised in the reference manual (\#12772 \({ }^{1189}\), by Thomas Letan).
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- Fixed: Targets such as print-pretty-timed in coq_makefile-made Makefiles no longer error in rare cases where --output-sync is not passed to make and the timing output gets interleaved in just the wrong way ( \(\# 13063^{1190}\), fixes \#13062 \({ }^{1191}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fixed: View menu "Display parentheses" (\#12794 \({ }^{1192}\) and \#13067 \({ }^{1193}\), fixes \#12793 \({ }^{1194}\), by Jean-Christophe Léchenet and Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Added: Coq is now tested against OCaml 4.11.1 (\#12972 \({ }^{1195}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Fixed: The reference manual can now build with Sphinx 3 (\#13011 \({ }^{1196}\), fixes \#12332 \({ }^{1197}\), by Théo Zimmermann and Jim Fehrle).

\section*{Changes in 8.12.2}

\section*{Notations}
- Fixed: 8.12 regression causing notations mentioning a coercion to be ignored (\#13436 \({ }^{1198}\), fixes \#13432 \({ }^{1199}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Tactics}
- Fixed: 8.12 regression: incomplete inference of implicit arguments in exists ( \(\# 13468^{1200}\), fixes \#13456 \({ }^{1201}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Version 8.11}

\section*{Summary of changes}

The main changes brought by Coq version 8.11 are:
- Ltac2, a new tactic language for writing more robust larger scale tactics, with built-in support for datatypes and the multi-goal tactic monad.
- Primitive floats are integrated in terms and follow the binary64 format of the IEEE 754 standard, as specified in the Coq.Float. Floats library.
- Cleanups of the section mechanism, delayed proofs and further restrictions of template polymorphism to fix soundness issues related to universes.
- New unsafe flags to disable locally guard, positivity and universe checking. Reliance on these flags is always printed by Print Assumptions.
- Fixed bugs of Export and Import that can have a significant impact on user developments (common source of incompatibility!).
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- New interactive development method based on vos interface files, allowing to work on a file without recompiling the proof parts of their dependencies.
- New Arguments annotation for bidirectional type inference configuration for reference (e.g. constants, inductive) applications.
- New refine attribute for Instance can be used instead of the removed Refine Instance Mode.
- Generalization of the under and over tactics of SSReflect to arbitrary relations.
- Revision of the Coq. Reals library, its axiomatisation and instances of the constructive and classical real numbers.

Additionally, while the omega tactic is not yet deprecated in this version of Coq, it should soon be the case and we already recommend users to switch to lia in new proof scripts.
The dev/doc/critical-bugs file documents the known critical bugs of Coq and affected releases. See the Changes in \(8.11+\) betal section and following sections for the detailed list of changes, including potentially breaking changes marked with Changed.
Coq's documentation is available at https://coq.github.io/doc/v8.11/api (documentation of the ML API), https://coq. github.io/doc/v8.11/refman (reference manual), and https://coq.github.io/doc/v8.11/stdlib (documentation of the standard library).

Maxime Dénès, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop and Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Karl Palmskog, Matthieu Sozeau and Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.

The 61 contributors to this version are Michael D. Adams, Guillaume Allais, Helge Bahmann, Langston Barrett, Guillaume Bertholon, Frédéric Besson, Simon Boulier, Michele Caci, Tej Chajed, Arthur Charguéraud, Cyril Cohen, Frédéric Dabrowski, Arthur Azevedo de Amorim, Maxime Dénès, Nikita Eshkeev, Jim Fehrle, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Paolo G. Giarrusso, Gaëtan Gilbert, Georges Gonthier, Jason Gross, Samuel Gruetter, Armaël Guéneau, Hugo Herbelin, Florent Hivert, Jasper Hugunin, Shachar Itzhaky, Jan-Oliver Kaiser, Robbert Krebbers, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Samuel Lelièvre, Nicholas Lewycky, Yishuai Li, Jose Fernando Lopez Fernandez, Andreas Lynge, Kenji Maillard, Erik MartinDorel, Guillaume Melquiond, Alexandre Moine, Oliver Nash, Wojciech Nawrocki, Antonio Nikishaev, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Lars Rasmusson, Robert Rand, Talia Ringer, JP Rodi, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Vincent Semeria, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, spanjel, Claude Stolze, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, James R. Wilcox, Xia Li-yao, Théo Zimmermann

Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list, the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list or the Discourse forum \({ }^{1202}\). It would be impossible to mention exhaustively the names of everybody who to some extent influenced the development.
Version 8.11 is the sixth release of Coq developed on a time-based development cycle. Its development spanned 3 months from the release of Coq 8.10. Pierre-Marie Pédrot is the release manager and maintainer of this release, assisted by Matthieu Sozeau. This release is the result of 2000+ commits and 300+ PRs merged, closing 75+ issues.

Paris, November 2019,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team
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\section*{Changes in 8.11+beta1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Added: A built-in support of floating-point arithmetic, allowing one to devise efficient reflection tactics involving numerical computation. Primitive floats are added in the language of terms, following the binary64 format of the IEEE 754 standard, and the related operations are implemented for the different reduction engines of Coq by using the corresponding processor operators in rounding-to-nearest-even. The properties of these operators are axiomatized in the theory Coq.Floats.FloatAxioms which is part of the library Coq.Floats.Floats. See Section Primitive Floats ( \(\# 98677^{1203}\), closes \(\# 8276^{1204}\), by Guillaume Bertholon, Erik Martin-Dorel, Pierre Roux).
- Changed: Internal definitions generated by abstract-like tactics are now inlined inside universe Qedterminated polymorphic definitions, similarly to what happens for their monomorphic counterparts, (\#10439 \({ }^{1205}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Fixed: Section data is now part of the kernel. Solves a soundness issue in interactive mode where global monomorphic universe constraints would be dropped when forcing a delayed opaque proof inside a polymorphic section. Also relaxes the nesting criterion for sections, as polymorphic sections can now appear inside a monomorphic one (\#10664 \({ }^{1206}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Changed: Using SProp is now allowed by default, without needing to pass -allow-sprop or use Allow StrictProp (\#108111207, by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Added: Annotation in Arguments for bidirectionality hints: it is now possible to tell type inference to use type information from the context once the n first arguments of an application are known. The syntax is: Argument s foo x y \& z. See Bidirectionality hints (\#10049 \({ }^{1208}\), by Maxime Dénès with help from Enrico Tassi).
- Added: Record fields can be annotated to prevent them from being used as canonical projections; see Canonical Structures for details (\#10076 \({ }^{1209}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Changed: Require parentheses around nested disjunctive patterns, so that pattern and term syntax are consistent; match branch patterns no longer require parentheses for notation at level 100 or more.

\section*{Warning: Incompatibilities}
- In match p with (_, (0|1)) => ... parentheses may no longer be omitted around 0|1.
- Notation (p | q) now potentially clashes with core pattern syntax, and should be avoided. -w disj-pattern-notation flags such Notation.

See Extended pattern matching for details (\#10167 \({ }^{1210}\), by Georges Gonthier).
- Changed: Function always opens a proof when used with a mea sure or wf annotation, see Advanced recursive functions for the updated documentation (\#10215 \({ }^{1211}\), by Enrico Tassi).
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- Changed: The legacy command Add Morphismalways opens a proof and cannot be used inside a module type. In order to declare a module type parameter that happens to be a morphism, use Declare Morphism. See Deprecated syntax and backward incompatibilities for the updated documentation (\#10215 \({ }^{1212}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Changed: The universe polymorphism setting now applies from the opening of a section. In particular, it is not possible anymore to mix polymorphic and monomorphic definitions in a section when there are no variables nor universe constraints defined in this section. This makes the behavior consistent with the documentation. (\#104411213, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot)
- Added: The Section command now accepts the "universes" attribute. In addition to setting the section universe polymorphism, it also locally sets the universe polymorphic option inside the section. ( \(\# 10441^{1214}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot)
- Fixed: Program Fixpoint now uses ex and sig to make telescopes involving Prop types (\#10758 \({ }^{1215}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert, fixing \#10757 \({ }^{1216}\) reported by Xavier Leroy).
- Changed: Output of the Print and About commands. Arguments meta-data is now displayed as the corresponding Arguments command instead of the human-targeted prose used in previous Coq versions. (\#10985 \({ }^{1217}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: refine attribute for Instance, a more predictable version of the old Refine Instance Mode which unconditionally opens a proof ( \(\# 10996^{1218}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: The unsupported attribute error is now an error-by-default warning, meaning it can be disabled (\#10997 \({ }^{1219}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: Bugs sometimes preventing to define valid (co)fixpoints with implicit arguments in the presence of local definitions, see \#3282 \({ }^{1220}\) (\#11132 \({ }^{1221}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Example}

The following features an implicit argument after a local definition. It was wrongly rejected.
```

Definition f := fix f (o := true) {n : nat} m {struct m} :=
match m with 0 => | S m' => f (n:=n+1) m' end.

```

\section*{Notations}
- Added: Numeral Notations now support sorts in the input to printing functions (e.g., numeral notations can be defined for terms containing things like @cons set nat nil). (\#9883 \({ }^{1222}\), by Jason Gross).
- Added: The Notation and Infix commands now support the deprecated attribute (\#10180 \({ }^{1223}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- Deprecated: The former compat annotation for notations is deprecated, and its semantics changed. It is now made equivalent to using a deprecated attribute, and is no longer connected with the -compat command-line flag (\#10180 \({ }^{1224}\), by Maxime Dénès).
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- Changed: A simplification of parsing rules could cause a slight change of parsing precedences for the very rare users who defined notations with constr at level strictly between 100 and 200 and used these notations on the right-hand side of a cast operator \((:,<:, \ll:)\left(\# 10963^{1225}\right.\), by Théo Zimmermann, simplification initially noticed by Jim Fehrle).

\section*{Tactics}
- Added: Syntax injection term as \(\left[=\right.\) intropattern \({ }^{+}\)] as an alternative to injection term as simple_intropattern \({ }^{+}\)using the standard injection intropattern syntax (\#9288 \({ }^{1226}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Changed: Reimplementation of the zify tactic. The tactic is more efficient and copes with dependent hypotheses. It can also be extended by redefining the tactic zify_post_hook. (\#9856 \({ }^{1227}\), fixes \#8898 \({ }^{1228}\), \#7886 \({ }^{1229}\), \#9848 \({ }^{1230}\) and \#5155 \({ }^{1231}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Changed: The goal selector tactical only now checks that the goal range it is given is valid instead of ignoring goals out of the focus range ( \(\# 10318^{1232}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Flags Lia Cache, Nia Cache and Nra Cache. (\#10765 \({ }^{1233}\), by Frédéric Besson, see \#10772 \({ }^{1234}\) for use case).
- Added: The zify tactic is now aware of Z.to_N. (\#10774 \({ }^{1235}\), grants \#9162 \({ }^{1236}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Changed: The assert_succeeds and assert_fails tactics now only run their tactic argument once, even if it has multiple successes. This prevents blow-up and looping from using multisuccess tactics with assert_succeeds. (\#10966 \({ }^{1237}\) fixes \#10965 \({ }^{1238}\), by Jason Gross).
- Fixed: The assert_succeeds and assert_fails tactics now behave correctly when their tactic fully solves the goal. (\#10966 \({ }^{1239}\) fixes \#9114 \({ }^{1240}\), by Jason Gross).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Added: Ltac2, a new version of the tactic language Ltac, that doesn't preserve backward compatibility, has been integrated in the main Coq distribution. It is still experimental, but we already recommend users of advanced Ltac to start using it and report bugs or request enhancements. See its documentation in the dedicated chapter (\#10002 \({ }^{1241}\), plugin authored by Pierre-Marie Pédrot, with contributions by various users, integration by Maxime Dénès, help on integrating / improving the documentation by Théo Zimmermann and Jim Fehrle).
- Added: Ltac2 tactic notations with "constr" arguments can specify the notation scope for these arguments; see Notations for details (\#10289 \({ }^{1242}\), by Vincent Laporte).
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- Changed: White spaces are forbidden in the \&ident syntax for ltac2 references that are described in Built-in quotations ( \(\# 10324^{1243}\), fixes \#10088 \({ }^{1244}\), authored by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Added: Generalize tactics under and over for any registered relation. More precisely, assume the given context lemma has type forall f1 f2, .. -> (forall i, R1 (f1 i) (f2 i)) -> R2 f1 f2. The first step performed by under (since Coq 8.10) amounts to calling the tactic rewrite, which itself relies on setoid_rewrite if need be. So this step was already compatible with a double implication or setoid equality for the conclusion head symbol R2. But a further step consists in tagging the generated subgoal R1 (f1 i) (? f 2 i) to protect it from unwanted evar instantiation, and get Under_rel _ R1 (f1 i) (?f2 i) that is displayed as 'Under [ f1 i ]. In Coq 8.10, this second (convenience) step was only performed when R1 was Leibniz' eq or iff. Now, it is also performed for any relation R1 which has a RewriteRelation instance (a RelationClasses.Reflexive instance being also needed so overcan discharge the 'Under [ _ ] goal by instantiating the hidden evar.) This feature generalizing support for setoid-like relations is enabled as soon as we do both Require Import ssreflect. and Require Setoid. Finally, a rewrite rule UnderE has been added if one wants to "unprotect" the evar, and instantiate it manually with another rule than reflexivity (i.e., without using the over tactic nor the over rewrite rule). See also Section Rewriting under binders (\#10022 \({ }^{1245}\), by Erik Martin-Dorel, with suggestions and review by Enrico Tassi and Cyril Cohen).
- Added: A void notation for the standard library empty type (Empty_set) (\#10932 \({ }^{1246}\), by Arthur Azevedo de Amorim).
- Added: Lemma inj_compr to ssr.ssrfun (\#11136 \({ }^{1247}\), by Cyril Cohen).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Removed: Deprecated flag Refine Instance Mode (\#9530 \({ }^{1248}\), fixes \#3632 \({ }^{1249}\), \#3890 \({ }^{1250}\) and \#4638 1251 by Maxime Dénès, review by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Changed: Fail does not catch critical errors (including "stack overflow") anymore (\#10173 \({ }^{1252}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: Undocumented Instance : ! type syntax (\#10185 \({ }^{1253}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed: Deprecated Show Script command (\#10277 \({ }^{1254}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added: Unsafe commands to enable/disable guard checking, positivity checking and universes checking (providing a local -type-in-type). See Controlling Typing Flags (\#10291 \({ }^{1255}\) by Simon Boulier).
- Fixed: Two bugs in Export. This can have an impact on the behavior of the Import command on libraries. Import A when A imports B which exports C was importing C, whereas Import is not transitive. Also, after Import A B, the import of B was sometimes incomplete (\#10476 \({ }^{1256}\), by Maxime Dénès).
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Warning: This is a common source of incompatibilities in projects migrating to Coq 8.11.
- Changed: Output generated by Printing Dependent Evars Line flag used by the Prooftree tool in Proof General. (\#10489 \({ }^{1257}\), closes \#4504 \({ }^{1258}\), \#10399 \({ }^{1259}\) and \#10400 \({ }^{1260}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Added: Optionally highlight the differences between successive proof steps in the Show Proof command. Experimental; only available in coqtop and Proof General for now, may be supported in other IDEs in the future. (\#10494 \({ }^{1261}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Removed: Legacy commands AddPath, AddRecPath, and DelPath which were undocumented, broken variants of Add LoadPath, Add Rec LoadPath, and Remove LoadPath (\#11187 \({ }^{1262}\), by Maxime Dénès and Théo Zimmermann).

\section*{Tools}
- Added: coqc now provides the ability to generate compiled interfaces. Use coqc -vos foo.v to skip all opaque proofs during the compilation of foo.v, and output a file called foo.vos. This feature is experimental. It enables working on a Coq file without the need to first compile the proofs contained in its dependencies (\#8642 \(2^{1263}\) by Arthur Charguéraud, review by Maxime Dénès and Emilio Gallego).
- Added: Command-line options -require-import, -require-export, -require-import-from and -require-export-from, as well as their shorthand, -ri, -re, -refrom and -rifrom. Deprecate confusing command line option -require ( \(\# 10245^{1264}\) by Hugo Herbelin, review by Emilio Gallego).
- Changed: Renamed VDFILE from .coqdeps.d to . <CoqMakefile>.d in the coq_makefile utility, where <CoqMakefile> is the name of the output file given by the -o option. In this way two generated makefiles can coexist in the same directory. (\#10947 \({ }^{1265}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- Fixed: coq_makefile now supports environment variable COQBIN with no ending / character (\#11068 \({ }^{1266}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Standard library}
- Changed: Moved the auto hints of the OrderedType module into a new ordered_type database (\#9772 \({ }^{1267}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Removed: Deprecated modules Coq.ZArith.Zlogarithm and Coq.ZArith.Zsqrt_compat (\#9811 \({ }^{1268}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Added: Module Reals.Cauchy.ConstructiveCauchyReals defines constructive real numbers by Cauchy sequences of rational numbers \(\left(\# 10445{ }^{1269}\right.\), by Vincent Semeria, with the help and review of Guillaume Melquiond and Bas Spitters). This module is not meant to be imported directly, please import Reals.Abstract. ConstructiveReals instead.
- Added: New module Reals.ClassicalDedekindReals defines Dedekind real numbers as boolean-valued functions along with 3 logical axioms: limited principle of omniscience, excluded middle of negations, and
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functional extensionality. The exposed type \(R\) in module Reals. Rdefinitions now corresponds to these Dedekind reals, hidden behind an opaque module, which significantly reduces the number of axioms needed (see Reals.Rdefinitions and Reals.Raxioms), while preserving backward compatibility. Classical Dedekind reals are a quotient of constructive reals, which allows to transport many constructive proofs to the classical case (\#10827 \({ }^{1270}\), by Vincent Semeria, based on discussions with Guillaume Melquiond, Bas Spitters and Hugo Herbelin, code review by Hugo Herbelin).
- Added: New lemmas on combine, filter, nodup, nth, and nth_error functions on lists (\#10651 \({ }^{1271}\), and \#10731 \({ }^{1272}\), by Oliver Nash).
- Changed: The lemma filter_app was moved to the List module (\#10651 \({ }^{1273}\), by Oliver Nash).
- Added: Standard equivalence between weak excluded-middle and the classical instance of De Morgan's law, in module ClassicalFacts (\#10895 \({ }^{1274}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Changed: Coq now officially supports OCaml 4.08. See INSTALL file for details (\#10471 \({ }^{1275}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias).

\section*{Changes in 8.11.0}

\section*{Kernel}
- Changed: the native compilation (native_compute) now creates a directory to contain temporary files instead of putting them in the root of the system temporary directory ( \(\# 11081^{1276}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: \#11360 \({ }^{1277}\). Broken section closing when a template polymorphic inductive type depends on a section variable through its parameters (\#11361 \({ }^{1278}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed: The type of \(S e t+1\) would be computed to be itself, leading to a proof of False (\#11422 \({ }^{1279}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Specification language, type inference}
- Changed: Heuristics for universe minimization to Set: only minimize flexible universes (\#10657 \({ }^{1280}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert with help from Maxime Dénès and Matthieu Sozeau).
- Fixed: A dependency was missing when looking for default clauses in the algorithm for printing pattern matching clauses (\#11233 \({ }^{1281}\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixing \#11231 \({ }^{1282}\), reported by Barry Jay).

\section*{Notations}
- Fixed: Print Visibility was failing in the presence of only-printing notations (\#11276 \({ }^{1283}\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixing \(\# 10750^{1284}\) ).
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- Fixed: Recursive notations with custom entries were incorrectly parsing constr instead of custom grammars (\#11311 \({ }^{1285}\) by Maxime Dénès, fixes \#9532 \({ }^{1286}\), \#9490 \({ }^{1287}\) ).

\section*{Tactics}
- Changed: The tactics eapply, refine and variants no longer allow shelved goals to be solved by typeclass resolution (\#10762 \({ }^{1288}\), by Matthieu Sozeau).
- Fixed: The optional string argument to time is now properly quoted under Print Ltac (\#11203 \({ }^{1289}\), fixes \#10971 \({ }^{1290}\), by Jason Gross)
- Fixed: Efficiency regression of lia introduced in 8.10 by PR \#9725 \({ }^{1291}\) (\#11263 \({ }^{1292}\), fixes \#11063 \({ }^{1293}\), and \#11242 \({ }^{1294}\), and \#11270 \({ }^{1295}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Deprecated: The undocumented omega with tactic variant has been deprecated. Using lia is the recommended replacement, though the old semantics of omega with * can be recovered with zify; omega (\#11337 \({ }^{1296}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).
- Fixed For compatibility reasons, in 8.11 , zify does not support Z.pow_pos by default. It can be enabled by explicitly loading the module ZifyPow (\#11430 \({ }^{1297}\) by Frédéric Besson fixes \#11191 \({ }^{1298}\) ).

\section*{Tactic language}
- Fixed: Syntax of tactic cofix . . . with . . . was broken since Coq 8.10 ( \(\# 11241^{1299}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Deprecated: The -load-ml-source and -load-ml-object command line options have been deprecated; their use was very limited, you can achieve the same by adding object files in the linking step or by using a plugin (\#11428 \({ }^{1300}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias).

\section*{Tools}
- Fixed: coqtop --version was broken when called in the middle of an installation process (\#11255 \({ }^{1301}\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixing \#11254 \({ }^{1302}\) ).
- Deprecated: The -quick command is renamed to -vio, for consistency with the new -vos and -vok flags. Usage of -quick is now deprecated (\#11280 \({ }^{1303}\), by Arthur Charguéraud).
- Fixed: coq_makefile does not break when using the CAMLPKGS variable together with an unpacked (mllib) plugin (\#11357 \({ }^{1304}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\footnotetext{
\(1285 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11311
\(1286 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/9532
1287 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/9490
\(1288 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/10762
\(1289 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11203
1290 https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/10971
1291 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/9725
\(1292 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11263
1293 https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/11063
1294 https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/11242
1295 https://github.com/coq/coq/issues/11270
1296 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/11337
1297 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/11430
\(1298 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/11191
1299 https ://github.com/coq/coq/pull/11241
\(1300 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11428
\(1301 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11255
\(1302 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11254
\(1303 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11280
1304 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/11357
}
- Fixed: coqdoc with option -g (Gallina only) now correctly prints commands with attributes (\#11394 \({ }^{1305}\), fixes \#11353 \({ }^{1306}\), by Karl Palmskog).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Changed: CoqIDE now uses the GtkSourceView native implementation of the autocomplete mechanism (\#11400 \({ }^{1307}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{Standard library}
- Removed: Export of module RList in Ranalysis and Ranalysis_reg. Module RList is still there but must be imported explicitly where required ( \(\# 11396^{1308}\), by Michael Soegtrop).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Added: Build date can now be overridden by setting the SOURCE_DATE_EPOCH environment variable (\#11227 \({ }^{1309}\), by Bernhard M. Wiedemann).

\section*{Changes in 8.11.1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Allow more inductive types in Unset Positivity Checking mode (\#11811310, by SimonBoulier).

\section*{Notations}
- Fixed: Bugs in dealing with precedences of notations in custom entries \(\left(\# 11530{ }^{1311}\right.\), by Hugo Herbelin, fixing in particular \#9517 \(7^{1312}\), \#9519 \({ }^{1313}\), \#9521 \({ }^{1314}\), \#11331 \({ }^{1315}\) ).
- Added: In primitive floats, print a warning when parsing a decimal value that is not exactly a binary 64 floatingpoint number. For instance, parsing 0.1 will print a warning whereas parsing 0.5 won't. (\#11859 \({ }^{1316}\), by Pierre Roux).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fixed: Compiling file paths containing spaces (\#10008 \({ }^{1317}\), by snyke7, fixing \#11595 \({ }^{1318}\) ).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Added: Bump official OCaml support and CI testing to 4.10 .0 ( \(\# 11131^{1319}\), \#11123 \({ }^{1320}\), \#11102 \({ }^{1321}\), by Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, Jacques-Henri Jourdan, Guillaume Melquiond, and Guillaume Munch-Maccagnoni).

\section*{Miscellaneous}
- Fixed: Extraction Implicit on the constructor of a record was leading to an anomaly (\#11329 \({ }^{1322}\), by
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Hugo Herbelin, fixes \#11114 \({ }^{1323}\) ).

\section*{Changes in 8.11.2}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed: Using Require inside a section caused an anomaly when closing the section. (\#11972 \({ }^{1324}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert, fixing \#11783 \({ }^{1325}\), reported by Attila Boros).

\section*{Tactics}
- Fixed: Anomaly with induction schemes whose conclusion is not normalized (\#12116 \({ }^{1326}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#12045 \({ }^{1327}\) )
- Fixed: Loss of location of some tactic errors (\#12223 \({ }^{1328}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#12152 \({ }^{1329}\) and \#12255 \({ }^{1330}\) ).

\section*{Commands and options}
- Changed: Ignore -native-compiler option when built without native compute support. (\#12070 \({ }^{1331}\), by Pierre Roux).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Changed: CoqIDE now uses native window frames by default on Windows. The GTK window frames can be restored by setting the GTK_CSD environment variable to 1 ( \(\# 12060^{1332}\), fixes \#11080 \({ }^{1333}\), by Attila Gáspár).
- Fixed: New patch presumably fixing the random Coq 8.11 segfault issue with CoqIDE completion (\#12068 \({ }^{1334}\), by Hugo Herbelin, presumably fixing \#11943 \({ }^{1335}\) ).
- Fixed: Highlighting style consistently applied to all three buffers of CoqIDE (\#12106 \({ }^{1336}\), by Hugo Herbelin; fixes \#11506 \({ }^{1337}\) ).

\section*{Version 8.10}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.10 contains two major new features: support for a native fixed-precision integer type and a new sort SProp of strict propositions. It is also the result of refinements and stabilization of previous features, deprecations or removals of deprecated features, cleanups of the internals of the system and API, and many documentation improvements. This release includes many user-visible changes, including deprecations that are documented in the next subsection, and new features that are documented in the reference manual. Here are the most important user-visible changes:
- Kernel:
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- A notion of primitive object was added to the calculus. Its first instance is primitive cyclic unsigned integers, axiomatized in module UInt 63. See Section Primitive Integers. The Coq. Numbers.Cyclic.Int 31 library is deprecated (\#6914 \({ }^{1338}\), by Maxime Dénès, Benjamin Grégoire and Vincent Laporte, with help and reviews from many others).
- The SProp sort of definitionally proof-irrelevant propositions was introduced. SProp allows to mark proof terms as irrelevant for conversion, and is treated like Prop during extraction. It is enabled using the -allow-sprop command-line flag or the Allow StrictProp flag. See Chapter SProp (proof irrelevant propositions) (\#8817 \({ }^{1339}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- The unfolding heuristic in termination checking was made more complete, allowing more constants to be unfolded to discover valid recursive calls. Performance regression may occur in Fixpoint declarations without an explicit \{struct \} annotation, since guessing the decreasing argument can now be more expensive (\#9602 \({ }^{1340}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- Universes:
- Added Subgraph variant to Print Universes. Try for instance Print Universes Subgraph (sigT2.u1 sigT_of_sigT2.u1 projT3_eq.u1). (\#8451 \({ }^{1341}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added private universes for opaque polymorphic constants, see the documentation for the Private Polymorphic Universes flag, and unset it to get the previous behavior ( \(\# 8850^{1342}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Notations:
- New command String Notation to register string syntax for custom inductive types (\#8965 \({ }^{1343}\), by Jason Gross).
- Experimental: Number Notations now parse decimal constants such as \(1.02 \mathrm{e}+01\) or 10.2 . Parsers added for \(Q\) and \(R\). In the rare case when such numeral notations were used in a development along with \(Q\) or \(R\), they may have to be removed or disambiguated through explicit scope annotations ( \(\# 8764^{1344}\), by Pierre Roux).
- Ltac backtraces can be turned on using the Ltac Backtrace flag, which is off by default (\#9142 \({ }^{1345}\), fixes \#7769 \({ }^{1346}\) and \#7385 \({ }^{1347}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- The tactics lia, nia, Ira, nra are now using a novel Simplex-based proof engine. In case of regression, unset Simplex to get the venerable Fourier-based engine ( \(\# 8457^{1348}\), by Fréderic Besson).
- SSReflect:
- New intro patterns:
```

* temporary introduction: => +
* block introduction: => [^ prefix ] [^~ suffix ]
* fast introduction: => >
* tactics as views: => /ltac:mytac
* replace hypothesis: => {} H

```
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See Section Introduction in the context (\#6705 \({ }^{1349}\), by Enrico Tassi, with help from Maxime Dénès, ideas coming from various users).
- New tactic under to rewrite under binders, given an extensionality lemma:
* interactive mode: under term, associated terminator: over
* one-liner mode: under term do [tactic I ...]

It can take occurrence switches, contextual patterns, and intro patterns: under \(\{2\}\) [in RHS]eq_big => [i\|i ?] (\#9651 \({ }^{1350}\), by Erik Martin-Dorel and Enrico Tassi).
- Combined Scheme now works when inductive schemes are generated in sort Type. It used to be limited to sort Prop (\#7634 \({ }^{1351}\), by Théo Winterhalter).
- A new registration mechanism for reference from ML code to Coq constructs has been added (\#186 \({ }^{1352}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Maxime Dénès and Vincent Laporte).
- CoqIDE:
- CoqIDE now depends on gtk+3 and lablgtk3 instead of gtk+2 and lablgtk2. The INSTALL file available in the Coq sources has been updated to list the new dependencies (\#9279 \({ }^{1353}\), by Hugo Herbelin, with help from Jacques Garrigue, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Michael Sogetrop and Vincent Laporte).
- Smart input for Unicode characters. For example, typing \alpha then Shift+Space will insert the greek letter alpha. A larger number of default bindings are provided, following the latex naming convention. Bindings can be customized, either globally, or on a per-project basis. See Section Bindings for input of Unicode symbols for details ( \(\# 85600^{1354}\), by Arthur Charguéraud).
- Infrastructure and dependencies:
- Coq 8.10 requires \(\mathrm{OCaml}>=4.05 .0\), bumped from 4.02.3 See the INSTALL file for more information on dependencies (\#7522 \({ }^{1355}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arías).
- Coq 8.10 doesn't need Camlp5 to build anymore. It now includes a fork of the core parsing library that Coq uses, which is a small subset of the whole Camlp5 distribution. In particular, this subset doesn't depend on the OCaml AST, allowing easier compilation and testing on experimental OCaml versions. Coq also ships a new parser coqpp that plugin authors must switch to (\#7902 \({ }^{1356}, \# 7979^{1357}, \# 8161^{1358}, \# 8667^{1359}\), and \#8945 \({ }^{1360}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias).

The Coq developers would like to thank Daniel de Rauglaudre for many years of continued support.
- Coq now supports building with Dune, in addition to the traditional Makefile which is scheduled for deprecation (\#6857 \({ }^{1361}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, with help from Rudi Grinberg).

Experimental support for building Coq projects has been integrated in Dune at the same time, providing an improved experience \({ }^{1362}\) for plugin developers. We thank the Dune team for their work supporting Coq.
Version 8.10 also comes with a bunch of smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system, including many additions to the standard library (see the next subsection for details).
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On the implementation side, the \(\mathrm{dev} / \mathrm{doc} /\) changes.md file documents the numerous changes to the implementation and improvements of interfaces. The file provides guidelines on porting a plugin to the new version and a plugin development tutorial originally made by Yves Bertot is now in doc/plugin_tutorial. The dev/doc/critical-bugs file documents the known critical bugs of Coq and affected releases.
The efficiency of the whole system has seen improvements thanks to contributions from Gaëtan Gilbert, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, and Maxime Dénès.

Maxime Dénès, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop, Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system and package building infrastructure. Coq is now continuously tested against the OCaml trunk, in addition to the oldest supported and latest OCaml releases.
Coq's documentation for the development branch is now deployed continuously at https://coq.github.io/doc/master/api (documentation of the ML API), https://coq.github.io/doc/master/refman (reference manual), and https://coq.github.io/ doc/master/stdlib (documentation of the standard library). Similar links exist for the v8.10 branch.
The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Melquiond, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi (who migrated it to opam 2) with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/ opam/www/.
The 61 contributors to this version are Tanaka Akira, Benjamin Barenblat, Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Lasse Blaauwbroek, Martin Bodin, Joachim Breitner, Tej Chajed, Frédéric Chapoton, Arthur Charguéraud, Cyril Cohen, Lukasz Czajka, David A. Dalrymple, Christian Doczkal, Maxime Dénès, Andres Erbsen, Jim Fehrle, Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Matěj Grabovský, Simon Gregersen, Jason Gross, Samuel Gruetter, Hugo Herbelin, Jasper Hugunin, Mirai Ikebuchi, Chantal Keller, Matej Košík, Sam Pablo Kuper, Vincent Laporte, Olivier Laurent, Larry Darryl Lee Jr, Nick Lewycky, Yao Li, Yishuai Li, Assia Mahboubi, Simon Marechal, Erik Martin-Dorel, Thierry Martinez, Guillaume Melquiond, Kayla Ngan, Karl Palmskog, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre Roux, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Ryan Scott, Vincent Semeria, Gan Shen, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Kamil Trzciński, whitequark, Théo Winterhalter, Xia Li-yao, Beta Ziliani and Théo Zimmermann.
Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list, the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list or the new Discourse forum. It would be impossible to mention exhaustively the names of everybody who to some extent influenced the development.
Version 8.10 is the fifth release of Coq developed on a time-based development cycle. Its development spanned 6 months from the release of Coq 8.9. Vincent Laporte is the release manager and maintainer of this release. This release is the result of \(\sim 2500\) commits and \(\sim 650\) PRs merged, closing \(150+\) issues.

Santiago de Chile, April 2019, Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Other changes in 8.10+beta1}
- Command-line tools and options:
- The use of coqt op as a compiler has been deprecated, in favor of coqc. Consequently option -compile will stop to be accepted in the next release. coqt op is now reserved to interactive use (\#9095 \({ }^{1363}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias).
- New option-topfile filename, which will set the current module name (à la -top) based on the filename passed, taking into account the proper \(-\mathrm{R} /-\mathrm{Q}\) options. For example, given -R Foo foolib

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1363} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/9095
}
using -topfile foolib/bar.v will set the module name to Foo. Bar. CoqIDE now properly sets the module name for a given file based on its path ( \(\# 89911^{1364}\), closes \(\# 8989{ }^{1365}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Experimental: Coq flags and options can now be set on the command-line, e.g. -set "Universe Polymorphism=true" (\#9876 \({ }^{1366}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- The-native-compiler flag of coqc and coqt op now takes an argument which can have three values:
* no disables native_compute
* yes enables native_compute and precompiles . v files to native code
* ondemand enables native_compute but compiles code only when native_compute is called

The default value is ondemand. Note that this flag now has priority over the configure flag of the same name.
A new-bytecode-compiler flag for coqc and coqtop controls whether conversion can use the VM. The default value is yes.
(\#8870 \({ }^{1367}\), by Maxime Dénès)
- The pretty timing diff scripts (flag TIMING=1 to a coq_makefile-made Makefile, also tools/ make-both-single-timing-files.py, tools/make-both-time-files.py, and tools/make-one-time-file.py) now correctly support non-UTF-8 characters in the output of coqc / make as well as printing to stdout, on both python2 and python3 (\#9872 \({ }^{1368}\), closes \#9767 \({ }^{1369}\) and \#9705 \({ }^{1370}\), by Jason Gross)
- coq_makefile's install target now errors if any file to install is missing (\#9906 \({ }^{1371}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Preferences from coqide.keys are no longer overridden by modifiers preferences in coqiderc (\#10014 \({ }^{1372}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Specification language, type inference:
- Fixing a missing check in interpreting instances of existential variables that are bound to local definitions. Might exceptionally induce an overhead if the cost of checking the conversion of the corresponding definitions is additionally high ( \(\# 8217^{1373}\), closes \(\# 8215^{1374}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- A few improvements in inference of the return clause of match that can exceptionally introduce incompatibilities. This can be solved by writing an explicit ret urn clause, sometimes even simply an explicit return _ clause (\#262 \({ }^{1375}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Using non-projection values with the projection syntax is not allowed. For instance 0 . ( \(S\) ) is not a valid way to write \(S 0\). Projections from non-primitive (emulated) records are allowed with warning "nonprimitive-projection-syntax" (\#8829 \({ }^{1376}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- An option and attributes to control the automatic decision to declare an inductive type as template polymorphic were added. Warning "auto-template" (off by default) can trigger when an inductive is automatically declared template polymorphic without the attribute.

Inductive types declared by Funind will never be template polymorphic.
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\author{
(\#8488 \({ }^{1377}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert)
}
- Notations:
- New command Declare Scope to explicitly declare a scope name before any use of it. Implicit declaration of a scope at the time of Bind Scope, Delimit Scope, Undelimit Scope, or Notation is deprecated (\#7135 \({ }^{1378}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Various bugs have been fixed (e.g. \#9214 \({ }^{1379}\) on removing spurious parentheses on abbreviations shortening a strict prefix of an application, by Hugo Herbelin).
- Number Notation now support inductive types in the input to printing functions (e.g., numeral notations can be defined for terms containing things like @cons nat \(\bigcirc \bigcirc\) ), and parsing functions now fully normalize terms including parameters of constructors (so that, e.g., a numeral notation whose parsing function outputs a proof of Nat.gcd \(x y=1\) will no longer fail to parse due to containing the constant Nat.gcd in the parameter-argument of eq_refl) (\#9874 \({ }^{1380}\), closes \#9840 \({ }^{1381}\) and \#9844 \({ }^{1382}\), by Jason Gross).
- Deprecated compatibility notations have actually been removed. Uses of these notations are generally easy to fix thanks to the hint contained in the deprecation warning emitted by Coq 8.8 and 8.9. For projects that require more than a handful of such fixes, there is a script \({ }^{1383}\) that will do it automatically, using the output of coqc (\#8638 \({ }^{1384}\), by Jason Gross).
- Allow inspecting custom grammar entries by Print Cust om Grammar (\#10061 \({ }^{1385}\), fixes \#9681 \({ }^{1386}\), by Jasper Hugunin, review by Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Hugo Herbelin).
- The quote plugin \({ }^{1387}\) was removed. If some users are interested in maintaining this plugin externally, the Coq development team can provide assistance for extracting the plugin and setting up a new repository (\#7894 \({ }^{1388}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- Ltac:
- Tactic names are no longer allowed to clash, even if they are not defined in the same section. For example, the following is no longer accepted: Ltac foo \(:=\) idtac. Section S. Ltac foo := fail. End S. (\#8555 \({ }^{1389}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- Names of existential variables occurring in Ltac functions (e.g. ? [ \(n\) ] or ? \(n\) in terms - not in patterns) are now interpreted the same way as other variable names occurring in Ltac functions (\#7309 \({ }^{1390}\), by Hugo Herbelin).
- Tactics:
- Removed the deprecated romega tactic (\#8419 \({ }^{1391}\), by Maxime Dénès and Vincent Laporte).
- Hint declaration and removal should now specify a database (e.g. Hint Resolve foo : database). When the database name is omitted, the hint is added to the core database (as previously), but a deprecation warning is emitted ( \(\# 8987^{1392}\), by Maxime Dénès).

\footnotetext{
1377 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/8488
\(1378 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/7135
1379 https ://github.com/coq/coq/pull/9214
\(1380 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/9874
\(1381 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/9840
\(1382 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/9844
1383 https://gist.github.com/JasonGross/9770653967de3679d131c59d42de6d17\#file-replace-notations-py
\(1384 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/8638
\({ }^{1385} \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/10061
1386 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/9681
\(1387 \mathrm{https}: / /\) coq.inria.fr/distrib/V8.9.0/refman/proof-engine/detailed-tactic-examples.html\#quote
1388 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/7894
\(1389 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/8555
1390 https ://github.com/coq/coq/pull/7309
\(1391 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/8419
1392 https://github.com/coq/coq/pull/8987
}
- There are now tactics in PreOmega.v called Z.div_mod_to_equations, Z. quot_rem_to_equations, and Z.to_euclidean_division_equations (which combines the div_mod and quot_rem variants) which allow lia, nia, etc to support Z. div and Z.modulo (Z. quot and Z.rem, respectively), by posing the specifying equation for Z. div and Z.modulo before replacing them with atoms ( \(\# 8062^{1393}\), by Jason Gross).
- The syntax of the autoapply tactic was fixed to conform with preexisting documentation: it now takes a with clause instead of a using clause (\#9524 \({ }^{1394}\), closes \#7632 \({ }^{1395}\), by Théo Zimmermann).
- Modes are now taken into account by typeclasses eauto for local hypotheses (\#9996 \({ }^{1396}\), fixes \#5752 \({ }^{1397}\), by Maxime Dénès, review by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- New variant change_no_check of change, usable as a documented replacement of convert_concl_no_check ( \(\# 10012^{1398}\), \#10017 \({ }^{1399}\), \#10053 \({ }^{1400}\), and \(\# 10059^{1401}\), by Hugo Herbelin and Paolo G. Giarrusso).
- The simplified value returned by field_simplify is not always a fraction anymore. When the denominator is 1 , it returns \(x\) while previously it was returning \(x / 1\). This change could break codes that were post-processing application of field_simplify to get rid of these \(\mathrm{x} / 1\) (\#9854 \({ }^{1402}\), by Laurent Théry, with help from Michael Soegtrop, Maxime Dénès, and Vincent Laporte).
- SSReflect:
- Clear discipline made consistent across the entire proof language. Whenever a clear switch \{x..\} comes immediately before an existing proof context entry (used as a view, as a rewrite rule or as name for a new context entry) then such entry is cleared too.
E.g. The following sentences are elaborated as follows (when H is an existing proof context entry):
```

* => {x..} H -> => {x..H} H
* => {x..} /H -> => /v {x..H}
* rewrite {x..} H-> rewrite E {x..H}

```
(\#9341 \({ }^{1403}\), by Enrico Tassi).
- inE now expands \(y\) in \(r x\) when \(r\) is a simpl_rel. New \{pred \(T\) \} notation for a pred \(T\) alias in the pred_sort coercion class, simplified predType interface: pred_class and mkPredType deprecated, \{pred T\} and PredType should be used instead. if c return then ... now expects \(c\) to be a variable bound in \(t\). New nonPropType interface matching types that do _not_ have sort Prop. New relpre R f definition for the preimage of a relation R under f ( \(\# 9995^{1404}\), by Georges Gonthier).
- Commands:
- Binders for an Instance now act more like binders for a Theorem. Names may not be repeated, and may not overlap with section variable names ( \(\# 8820^{1405}\), closes \#8791 \({ }^{1406}\), by Jasper Hugunin).
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- Removed the deprecated Implicit Tactic family of commands (\#87791407, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- The Automatic Introduction option has been removed and is now the default (\#9001 \({ }^{1408}\), by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias).
- Arguments now accepts names for arguments provided with extra_scopes (\#9117 \({ }^{1409}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- The naming scheme for anonymous binders in a Theorem has changed to avoid conflicts with explicitly named binders (\#9160 \({ }^{1410}\), closes \#8819 \({ }^{1411}\), by Jasper Hugunin).
- Computation of implicit arguments now properly handles local definitions in the binders for an Instance, and can be mixed with implicit binders \(\{x: T\}\left(\# 9307^{1412}\right.\), closes \#9300 \({ }^{1413}\), by Jasper Hugunin).
- Declare Instance now requires an instance name.

The flag Refine Instance Mode has been turned off by default, meaning that Instance no longer opens a proof when a body is provided. The flag has been deprecated and will be removed in the next version.
(\#9270 \({ }^{1414}\), and \#9825 \({ }^{1415}\), by Maxime Dénès)
- Command Instance, when no body is provided, now always opens a proof. This is a breaking change, as instance of Instance ident in \(_{1}\) : ident \({ }_{2}\). where ident \({ }_{2}\) is a trivial class will have to be changed into Instance ident \(_{1}:\) ident \(_{2}:=\{ \}\). or Instance ident \({ }_{1}\) : ident \({ }_{2}\). Proof. Qed. (\#9274 \({ }^{1416}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- The flag Program Mode now means that the Program attribute is enabled for all commands that support it. In particular, it does not have any effect on tactics anymore. May cause some incompatibilities (\#9410 \({ }^{1417}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- The algorithm computing implicit arguments now behaves uniformly for primitive projection and application nodes (\#9509 \({ }^{1418}\), closes \#9508 \({ }^{1419}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Hypotheses and Variables can now take implicit binders inside sections (\#9364 \({ }^{1420}\), closes \#9363 \({ }^{1421}\), by Jasper Hugunin).
- Removed deprecated option Automatic Coercions Import (\#8094 \({ }^{1422}\), by Maxime Dénès).
- The Show Script command has been deprecated (\#9829 \({ }^{1423}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Coercion does not warn ambiguous paths which are obviously convertible with existing ones. The ambiguous paths messages have been turned to warnings, thus now they could appear in the output of coqc. The convertibility checking procedure for coercion paths is complete for paths consisting of coercions satisfying the uniform inheritance condition, but some coercion paths could be reported as ambiguous even if they are
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convertible with existing ones when they have coercions that don't satisfy the uniform inheritance condition (\#9743 \({ }^{1424}\), closes \#3219 \({ }^{1425}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).
- A new flag Fast Name Printing has been introduced. It changes the algorithm used for allocating bound variable names for a faster but less clever one ( \(\# 9078^{1426}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).
- Option Typeclasses Axioms Are Instances (compatibility option introduced in the previous version) is deprecated. Use Declare Instance for axioms which should be instances (\#8920 \({ }^{1427}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Removed option Printing Primitive Projection Compatibility (\#9306 \({ }^{1428}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Standard Library:
- Added Bvector. BVeq that decides whether two Bvectors are equal. Added notations for BVxor, BVand, BVor, BVeq and BVneg (\#8171 \({ }^{1429}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Added ByteVector type that can convert to and from string (\#8365 \({ }^{1430}\), by Yishuai Li).
- Added lemmas about monotonicity of \(N\). double and \(N\). succ_double, and about the upper bound of number represented by a vector. Allowed implicit vector length argument in Ndigits. Bv2N (\#8815 \({ }^{1431}\), by Yishuai Li).
- The prelude used to be automatically Exported and is now only Imported. This should be relevant only when importing files which don't use -noinit into files which do (\#9013 \({ }^{1432}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Added Coq.Structures.OrderedTypeEx.String_as_OT to make strings an ordered type, using lexical order (\#7221 \({ }^{1433}\), by Li Yao).
- Added lemmas about Z.testbit, Z. ones, and Z.modulo (\#9425 \({ }^{1434}\), by Andres Erbsen).
- Moved the auto hints of the FSet library into a new fset database (\#9725 \({ }^{1435}\), by Frédéric Besson).
- Added Coq.Structures.EqualitiesFacts.PairUsualDecidableTypeFull (\#9984 \({ }^{1436}\), by Jean-Christophe Léchenet and Oliver Nash).
- Some error messages that show problems with a pair of non-matching values will now highlight the differences (\#8669 \({ }^{1437}\), by Jim Fehrle).
- Changelog has been moved from a specific file CHANGES . md to the reference manual; former Credits chapter of the reference manual has been split in two parts: a History chapter which was enriched with additional historical information about Coq versions 1 to 5 , and a Changes chapter which was enriched with the content formerly in CHANGES.md and COMPATIBILITY (\#9133 \({ }^{1438}\), \#9668 \({ }^{1439}\), \#9939 \({ }^{1440}, \# 99644^{1441}\), and \#10085 \({ }^{1442}\), by Théo
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Zimmermann, with help and ideas from Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Clément Pit-Claudel, Matthieu Sozeau, and Enrico Tassi).

\section*{Changes in 8.10+beta2}

Many bug fixes and documentation improvements, in particular:

\section*{Tactics}
- Make the discriminate tactic work together with Universe Polymorphism and equality in Type. This, in particular, makes discriminate compatible with the HoTT library https://github.com/HoTT/HoTT (\#10205 \({ }^{1443}\), by Andreas Lynge, review by Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Matthieu Sozeau).

\section*{SSReflect}
- Make the case E: t tactic work together with Universe Polymorphism and equality in Type. This makes case compatible with the HoTT library https://github.com/HoTT/HoTT (\#10302 \({ }^{1444}\), fixes \#10301 \({ }^{1445}\), by Andreas Lynge, review by Enrico Tassi)
- Make the rewrite /t tactic work together with Universe Polymorphism. This makes rewrite compatible with the HoTT library https://github.com/HoTT/HoTT (\#10305 \({ }^{1446}\), fixes \#9336 \({ }^{1447}\), by Andreas Lynge, review by Enrico Tassi)

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fix CoqIDE instability on Windows after the update to gtk3 (\#10360 \({ }^{1448}\), by Michael Soegtrop, closes \#9885 \({ }^{1449}\) ).

\section*{Miscellaneous}
- Proof General can now display Coq-generated diffs between proof steps in color (\#10019 \({ }^{1450}\) and (in Proof General) \#421 \({ }^{1451}\), by Jim Fehrle).

\section*{Changes in 8.10+beta3}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fix soundness issue with template polymorphism (\#9294 \({ }^{1452}\) ).

Declarations of template-polymorphic inductive types ignored the provenance of the universes they were abstracting on and did not detect if they should be greater or equal to Set in general. Previous universes and universes introduced by the inductive definition could have constraints that prevented their instantiation with e.g. Prop, resulting in unsound instantiations later. The implemented fix only allows abstraction over universes introduced by the inductive declaration, and properly records all their constraints by making them by default only \(>=\) Prop. It is also checked that a template polymorphic inductive actually is polymorphic on at least one universe.

This prevents inductive declarations in sections to be universe polymorphic over section parameters. For a backward compatible fix, simply hoist the inductive definition out of the section. An alternative is to declare the inductive as universe-polymorphic and cumulative in a universe-polymorphic section: all universes and constraints will be
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properly gathered in this case. See Template polymorphism for a detailed exposition of the rules governing templatepolymorphic types.

To help users incrementally fix this issue, a command line option -no-template-check and a global flag Template Check are available to selectively disable the new check. Use at your own risk.
(\#9918 \({ }^{1453}\), by Matthieu Sozeau and Maxime Dénès).

\section*{User messages}
- Improve the ambiguous paths warning to indicate which path is ambiguous with new one (\#10336 \({ }^{1454}\), closes \#3219 \({ }^{1455}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).

\section*{Extraction}
- Fix extraction to OCaml of primitive machine integers; see Primitive Integers (\#10430 \({ }^{1456}\), fixes \#10361 \({ }^{1457}\), by Vincent Laporte).
- Fix a printing bug of OCaml extraction on dependent record projections, which produced improper assert false. This change makes the OCaml extractor internally inline record projections by default; thus the monolithic OCaml extraction (Extraction and Recursive Extraction) does not produce record projection constants anymore except for record projections explicitly instructed to extract, and records declared in opaque modules ( \(\# 105777^{1458}\), fixes \(\# 7348^{1459}\), by Kazuhiko Sakaguchi).

\section*{Standard library}
- Added splitat function and lemmas about splitat and uncons (\#9379 \({ }^{1460}\), by Yishuai Li, with help of Konstantinos Kallas, follow-up of \#8365 \({ }^{1461}\), which added uncons in 8.10+beta1).

\section*{Changes in 8.10.0}
- Micromega tactics (Iia, nia, etc) are no longer confused by primitive projections (\#10806 \({ }^{1462}\), fixes \#9512 \({ }^{1463}\) by Vincent Laporte).

\section*{Changes in 8.10.1}

A few bug fixes and documentation improvements, in particular:

\section*{Kernel}
- Fix proof of False when using SProp (incorrect De Bruijn handling when inferring the relevance mark of a function) (\#10904 \({ }^{1464}\), by Pierre-Marie Pédrot).

\section*{Tactics}
- Fix an anomaly when unsolved evar in Add Ring (\#10891 \({ }^{1465}\), fixes \#9851 \({ }^{1466}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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\section*{Tactic language}
- Fix Ltac regression in binding free names in uconstr (\#10899 \({ }^{1467}\), fixes \#10894 \({ }^{1468}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fix handling of unicode input before space ( \(\# 10852^{1469}\), fixes \(\# 10842^{1470}\), by Arthur Charguéraud).

\section*{Extraction}
- Fix custom extraction of inductives to JSON (\#10897 \({ }^{1471}\), fixes \#4741 \({ }^{1472}\), by Helge Bahmann).

\section*{Changes in 8.10.2}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed a critical bug of template polymorphism and nonlinear universes (\#11128 \({ }^{1473}\), fixes \#11039 \({ }^{1474}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed an anomaly "Uncaught exception Constr.DestKO" on Induct ive (\#11052 \({ }^{1475}\), fixes \#11048 \({ }^{1476}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
- Fixed an anomaly "not enough abstractions in fix body" (\#11014 \({ }^{1477}\), fixes \#8459 \({ }^{1478}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).

\section*{Notations}
- Fixed an 8.10 regression related to the printing of coercions associated with notations (\#11090 \({ }^{1479}\), fixes \#11033 \({ }^{1480}\), by Hugo Herbelin).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Fixed uneven dimensions of CoqIDE panels when window has been resized (\#11070 \({ }^{1481}\), fixes 8.10-regression \#10956 \({ }^{1482}\), by Guillaume Melquiond).
- Do not include final stops in queries (\#11069 \({ }^{1483}\), fixes 8.10-regression \#11058 \({ }^{1484}\), by Guillaume Melquiond).

\section*{Infrastructure and dependencies}
- Enable building of executables when they are running (\#11000 \({ }^{1485}\), fixes 8.9-regression \#10728 \({ }^{1486}\), by Gaëtan Gilbert).
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\(1480 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/11033
\(1481 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11070
\(1482 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/10956
\(1483 \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{github} . c o m / c o q / c o q / p u l l / 11069\)
\(1484 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/11058
\(1485 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/pull/11000
\(1486 \mathrm{https}: / /\) github.com/coq/coq/issues/10728
}

\section*{Version 8.9}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.9 contains the result of refinements and stabilization of features and deprecations or removals of deprecated features, cleanups of the internals of the system and API along with a few new features. This release includes many uservisible changes, including deprecations that are documented in the next subsection and new features that are documented in the reference manual. Here are the most important changes:
- Kernel: mutually recursive records are now supported, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot.
- Notations:
- Support for autonomous grammars of terms called "custom entries", by Hugo Herbelin (see Section Custom entries of the reference manual).
- Deprecated notations of the standard library will be removed in the next version of Coq, see the next subsection for a script to ease porting, by Jason Gross and Jean-Christophe Léchenet.
- Added the Number Notation command for registering decimal numeral notations for custom types, by Daniel de Rauglaudre, Pierre Letouzey and Jason Gross.
- Tactics: Introduction tactics introlintros on a goal that is an existential variable now force a refinement of the goal into a dependent product rather than failing, by Hugo Herbelin.
- Decision procedures: deprecation of tactic romega in favor of lia and removal of fourier, replaced by lra which subsumes it, by Frédéric Besson, Maxime Dénès, Vincent Laporte and Laurent Théry.
- Proof language: focusing bracket \{ now supports named goals, e.g. [x]:\{ will focus on a goal (existential variable) named \(x\), by Théo Zimmermann.
- SSReflect: the implementation of delayed clear was simplified by Enrico Tassi: the variables are always renamed using inaccessible names when the clear switch is processed and finally cleared at the end of the intro pattern. In addition to that, the use-and-discard flag \{\} typical of rewrite rules can now be also applied to views, e.g. => \{ \}/v applies v and then clears v. See Section Introduction in the context.
- Vernacular:
- Experimental support for attributes on commands, by Vincent Laporte, as in \#[local] Lemma foo : bar. Tactics and tactic notations now support the deprecated attribute.
- Removed deprecated commands Arguments Scope and Implicit Arguments in favor of Arguments, with the help of Jasper Hugunin.
- New flag Uniform Inductive Parameters by Jasper Hugunin to avoid repeating uniform parameters in constructor declarations.
- New commands Hint Variables and Hint Constants, by Matthieu Sozeau, for controlling the opacity status of variables and constants in hint databases. It is recommended to always use these commands after creating a hint database with Create HintDb.
- Multiple sections with the same name are now allowed, by Jasper Hugunin.
- Library: additions and changes in the VectorDef, Ascii, and String libraries. Syntax notations are now available only when using Import of libraries and not merely Require, by various contributors (source of incompatibility, see the next subsection for details).
- Toplevels: coqtop and coqide can now display diffs between proof steps in color, using the Diffs option, by Jim Fehrle.
- Documentation: we integrated a large number of fixes to the new Sphinx documentation by various contributors, coordinated by Clément Pit-Claudel and Théo Zimmermann.
- Tools: removed the gallina utility and the homebrewed Emacs mode.
- Packaging: as in Coq 8.8.2, the Windows installer now includes many more external packages that can be individually selected for installation, by Michael Soegtrop.
Version 8.9 also comes with a bunch of smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system. Most important ones are documented in the next subsection file.

On the implementation side, the \(\mathrm{dev} / \mathrm{doc} /\) changes .md file documents the numerous changes to the implementation and improvements of interfaces. The file provides guidelines on porting a plugin to the new version and a plugin development tutorial kept in sync with Coq was introduced by Yves Bertot http://github.com/ybertot/plugin_tutorials. The new \(\mathrm{dev} / \mathrm{doc} / \mathrm{critical-bugs}\) file documents the known critical bugs of Coq and affected releases.

The efficiency of the whole system has seen improvements thanks to contributions from Gaëtan Gilbert, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, and Maxime Dénès.

Maxime Dénès, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Michael Soegtrop, Théo Zimmermann worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system.
The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Melquiond, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
The 54 contributors for this version are Léo Andrès, Rin Arakaki, Benjamin Barenblat, Langston Barrett, Siddharth Bhat, Martin Bodin, Simon Boulier, Timothy Bourke, Joachim Breitner, Tej Chajed, Arthur Charguéraud, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Andres Erbsen, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, Gaëtan Gilbert, Matěj Grabovský, Jason Gross, Samuel Gruetter, Armaël Guéneau, Hugo Herbelin, Jasper Hugunin, Ralf Jung, Sam Pablo Kuper, Ambroise Lafont, Leonidas Lampropoulos, Vincent Laporte, Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine, Pierre Letouzey, Jean-Christophe Léchenet, Nick Lewycky, Yishuai Li, Sven M. Hallberg, Assia Mahboubi, Cyprien Mangin, Guillaume Melquiond, Perry E. Metzger, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Daniel R. Grayson, Kazuhiko Sakaguchi, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Paul Steckler, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Anton Trunov, whitequark, Théo Winterhalter, Zeimer, Beta Ziliani, Théo Zimmermann.

Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the issue and pull request system, the Coq development mailing list or the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list. It would be impossible to mention exhaustively the names of everybody who to some extent influenced the development.

Version 8.9 is the fourth release of Coq developed on a time-based development cycle. Its development spanned 7 months from the release of Coq 8.8. The development moved to a decentralized merging process during this cycle. Guillaume Melquiond was in charge of the release process and is the maintainer of this release. This release is the result of \(\sim 2,000\) commits and \(\sim 500\) PRs merged, closing \(75+\) issues.

The Coq development team welcomed Vincent Laporte, a new Coq engineer working with Maxime Dénès in the Coq consortium.

Paris, November 2018,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Details of changes in 8.9+beta1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Mutually defined records are now supported.

\section*{Notations}
- New support for autonomous grammars of terms, called "custom entries" (see chapter "Syntax extensions" of the reference manual).
- Deprecated compatibility notations will actually be removed in the next version of Coq. Uses of these notations are generally easy to fix thanks to the hint contained in the deprecation warnings. For projects that require more than a handful of such fixes, there is a script \({ }^{1487}\) that will do it automatically, using the output of coqc. The script contains documentation on its usage in a comment at the top.

Tactics
- Added toplevel goal selector! which expects a single focused goal. Use with Set Default Goal Selector to force focusing before tactics are called.
- The undocumented "nameless" forms fix N , cofix that were deprecated in 8.8 have been removed from Ltac's syntax; please use fix ident \(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{cofix}\) ident to explicitly name the (co)fixpoint hypothesis to be introduced.
- Introduction tactics intro/intros on a goal that is an existential variable now force a refinement of the goal into a dependent product rather than failing.
- Support for fix/cofix added in Ltac match and lazymatch.
- Ltac backtraces now include trace information about tactics called by OCaml-defined tactics.
- Option Ltac Debug now applies also to terms built using Ltac functions.
- Deprecated the Implicit Tactic family of commands.
- The default program obligation tactic uses a bounded proof search instead of an unbounded and potentially nonterminating one now (source of incompatibility).
- The simple apply tactic now respects the Opaque flag when called from Ltac (auto still does not respect it).
- Tactic constr_eq now adds universe constraints needed for the identity to the context (it used to ignore them). New tactic constr_eq_strict checks that the required constraints already hold without adding new ones. Preexisting tactic constr_eq_nounivs can still be used if you really want to ignore universe constraints.
- Tactics and tactic notations now understand the deprecated attribute.
- The fourier tactic has been removed. Please now use lra instead. You may need to add Require Import Lra to your developments. For compatibility, we now define fourier as a deprecated alias of lra.
- The romega tactics have been deprecated; please use lia instead.

\section*{Focusing}
- Focusing bracket \{ now supports named goal selectors, e.g. [x]: \{ will focus on a goal (existential variable) named x . As usual, unfocus with \} once the subgoal is fully solved.
Specification language
- A fix to unification (which was sensitive to the ascii name of variables) may occasionally change type inference in incompatible ways, especially regarding the inference of the return clause of match.

\section*{Standard Library}
\({ }^{1487}\) https://gist.github.com/JasonGross/9770653967de3679d131c59d42de6d17\#file-replace-notations-py
- Added Ascii.eqb and String.eqb and the \(=\) ? notation for them, and proved some lemmas about them. Note that this might cause incompatibilities if you have, e.g., string_scope and z_scope both open with string_scope on top, and expect \(=\) ? to refer to Z.eqb. Solution: wrap _ =? _ in (_ =? _) \% Z (or whichever scope you want).
- Added Ndigits.N2Bv_sized, and proved some lemmas about it. Deprecated Ndigits.N2Bv_gen.
- The scopes int_scope and uint_scope have been renamed to dec_int_scope and dec_uint_scope, to clash less with ssreflect and other packages. They are still delimited by \%int and \%uint.
- Syntax notations for string, ascii, Z, positive, N, R, and int31 are no longer available merely by Requireing the files that define the inductives. You must Import Coq.Strings. String.StringSyntax (after Require Coq.Strings.String), Coq.Strings.Ascii. AsciiSyntax (after Require Coq.Strings.Ascii), Coq.ZArith.BinIntDef, Coq. PArith.BinPosDef, Coq.NArith.BinNatDef, Coq.Reals.Rdefinitions, and Coq. Numbers.Cyclic.Int31.Int31, respectively, to be able to use these notations. Note that passing -compat 8.8 or issuing Require Import Coq.Compat.Coq88 will make these notations available. Users wishing to port their developments automatically may download fix.py from https://gist.github.com/JasonGross/5d4558edf8f5c2c548a3d96c 17820169 and run a command like while true; do make -0 jj 2>\&1 | /path/to/fix.py; done and get a cup of coffee. (This command must be manually interrupted once the build finishes all the way though. Note also that this method is not fail-proof; you may have to adjust some scopes if you were relying on string notations not being available even when string_scope was open.)
- Numeral syntax for nat is no longer available without loading the entire prelude (Require Import Coq. Init. Prelude). This only impacts users running Coq without the init library (-nois or -noinit) and also issuing Require Import Coq.Init.Datatypes.
Tools
- Coq_makefile lets one override or extend the following variables from the command line: COQFLAGS, COQCHKFLAGS, COQDOCFLAGS. COQFLAGS is now entirely separate from COQLIBS, so in custom Makefiles \$(COQFLAGS) should be replaced by (COQFLAGS) (COQLIBS).
- Removed the gallina utility (extracts specification from Coq vernacular files). If you would like to maintain this tool externally, please contact us.
- Removed the Emacs modes distributed with Coq. You are advised to use Proof-General \({ }^{1488}\) (and optionally Company-Coq \({ }^{1489}\) ) instead. If your use case is not covered by these alternative Emacs modes, please open an issue. We can help set up external maintenance as part of Proof-General, or independently as part of coq-community.
Commands
- Removed deprecated commands Arguments Scope and Implicit Arguments (not the option). Use the Arguments command instead.
- Nested proofs may be enabled through the option Nested Proofs Allowed. By default, they are disabled and produce an error. The deprecation warning which used to occur when using nested proofs has been removed.
- Added option Uniform Inductive Parameters which abstracts over parameters before typechecking constructors, allowing to write for example Inductive list (A : Type) := nil : list | cons : A -> list -> list.
- New Set Hint Variables/Constants Opaque/Transparent commands for setting globally the opacity flag of variables and constants in hint databases, overriding the opacity setting of the hint database.
- Added generic syntax for"attributes", as in: \#[local] Lemma foo : bar.
- Added the Numeral Notation command for registering decimal numeral notations for custom types

\footnotetext{
1488 https://proofgeneral.github.io/
1489 https://github.com/cpitclaudel/company-coq
}
- The Set SsrHave NoTCResolution command no longer has special global scope. If you want the previous behavior, use Global Set SsrHave NoTCResolution.
- Multiple sections with the same name are allowed.

Coq binaries and process model
- Before 8.9 , Coq distributed a single coqtop binary and a set of dynamically loadable plugins that used to take over the main loop for tasks such as IDE language server or parallel proof checking.

These plugins have been turned into full-fledged binaries so each different process has associated a particular binary now, in particular coqidetop is the CoqIDE language server, and coq\{proof,tactic, query \(\}\) worker are in charge of task-specific and parallel proof checking.

\section*{SSReflect}
- The implementation of delayed clear switches in intro patterns is now simpler to explain:
1. The immediate effect of a clear switch like \(\{x\}\) is to rename the variable \(x\) to \(\quad x\) _ (i.e. a reserved identifier that cannot be mentioned explicitly)
2. The delayed effect of \(\{x\}\) is that \(\_x_{-}\)is cleared at the end of the intro pattern
3. A clear switch immediately before a view application like \(\{x\} / v\) is translated to \(/ v\{x\}\).

In particular, the third rule lets one write \(\{x\} / v\) even if \(v\) uses the variable \(x\) : indeed the view is executed before the renaming.
- An empty clear switch is now accepted in intro patterns before a view application whenever the view is a variable. One can now write \(\} / v\) to mean \(\{v\} / v\). Remark that \(\} / x\) is very similar to the idiom \(\} e\) for the rewrite tactic (the equation \(e\) is used for rewriting and then discarded).

\section*{Standard Library}
- There are now conversions between string and positive, Z , nat, and N in binary, octal, and hex.

Display diffs between proof steps
- coqtop and coqide can now highlight the differences between proof steps in color. This can be enabled from the command line or the Set Diffs "on"/"off"/"removed" command. Please see the documentation for details. Showing diffs in Proof General requires small changes to PG (under discussion).

Notations
- Added ++ infix for VectorDef.append. Note that this might cause incompatibilities if you have, e.g., list_scope and vector_scope both open with vector_scope on top, and expect ++ to refer to app. Solution: wrap \({ }^{++}\)_ in (_ \(++_{-}\)) \%list (or whichever scope you want).

\section*{Changes in 8.8.0}

Various bug fixes.

\section*{Changes in 8.8.1}
- Some quality-of-life fixes.
- Numerous improvements to the documentation.
- Fix a critical bug related to primitive projections and native_compute.
- Ship several additional Coq libraries with the Windows installer.

\section*{Version 8.8}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.8 contains the result of refinements and stabilization of features and deprecations, cleanups of the internals of the system along with a few new features. The main user visible changes are:
- Kernel: fix a subject reduction failure due to allowing fixpoints on non-recursive values, by Matthieu Sozeau. Handling of evars in the VM (the kernel still does not accept evars) by Pierre-Marie Pédrot.
- Notations: many improvements on recursive notations and support for destructuring patterns in the syntax of notations by Hugo Herbelin.
- Proof language: tacticals for profiling, timing and checking success or failure of tactics by Jason Gross. The focusing bracket \{ supports single-numbered goal selectors, e.g. 2 : \{, by Théo Zimmermann.
- Vernacular: deprecation of commands and more uniform handling of the Local flag, by Vincent Laporte and Maxime Dénès, part of a larger attribute system overhaul. Experimental Show Extraction command by Pierre Letouzey. Coercion now accepts Prop or Type as a source by Arthur Charguéraud. Export modifier for options allowing to export the option to modules that Import and not only Require a module, by Pierre-Marie Pédrot.
- Universes: many user-level and API level enhancements: qualified naming and printing, variance annotations for cumulative inductive types, more general constraints and enhancements of the minimization heuristics, interaction with modules by Gaëtan Gilbert, Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Matthieu Sozeau.
- Library: Decimal Numbers library by Pierre Letouzey and various small improvements.
- Documentation: a large community effort resulted in the migration of the reference manual to the Sphinx documentation tool. The result is this manual. The new documentation infrastructure (based on Sphinx) is by Clément Pit-Claudel. The migration was coordinated by Maxime Dénès and Paul Steckler, with some help of Théo Zimmermann during the final integration phase. The 14 people who ported the manual are Calvin Beck, Heiko Becker, Yves Bertot, Maxime Dénès, Richard Ford, Pierre Letouzey, Assia Mahboubi, Clément Pit-Claudel, Laurence Rideau, Matthieu Sozeau, Paul Steckler, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Nikita Zyuzin.
- Tools: experimental -mangle-names option to coqtop/coqc for linting proof scripts, by Jasper Hugunin.

On the implementation side, the dev/doc/changes.md file documents the numerous changes to the implementation and improvements of interfaces. The file provides guidelines on porting a plugin to the new version.

Version 8.8 also comes with a bunch of smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system. Most important ones are documented in the next subsection file.

The efficiency of the whole system has seen improvements thanks to contributions from Gaëtan Gilbert, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Maxime Dénès and Matthieu Sozeau and performance issue tracking by Jason Gross and Paul Steckler.

The official wiki and the bugtracker of Coq migrated to the GitHub platform, thanks to the work of Pierre Letouzey and Théo Zimmermann. Gaëtan Gilbert, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias worked on maintaining and improving the continuous integration system.

The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Melquiond, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
The 44 contributors for this version are Yves Bertot, Joachim Breitner, Tej Chajed, Arthur Charguéraud, Jacques-Pascal Deplaix, Maxime Dénès, Jim Fehrle, Julien Forest, Yannick Forster, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Samuel Gruetter, Thomas Hebb, Hugo Herbelin, Jasper Hugunin, Emilio Jesus Gallego Arias, Ralf Jung, Johannes Kloos, Matej Košík, Robbert Krebbers, Tony Beta Lambda, Vincent Laporte, Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine, Pierre Letouzey, Farzon Lotfi, Cyprien Mangin, Guillaume Melquiond, Raphaël Monat, Carl Patenaude Poulin, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Clément Pit-Claudel, Matthew Ryan, Matt Quinn, Sigurd Schneider, Bernhard Schommer, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Arnaud Spiwack, Paul Steckler, Enrico Tassi, Anton Trunov, Martin Vassor, Vadim Zaliva and Théo Zimmermann.

Version 8.8 is the third release of Coq developed on a time-based development cycle. Its development spanned 6 months from the release of Coq 8.7 and was based on a public roadmap. The development process was coordinated by Matthieu Sozeau. Maxime Dénès was in charge of the release process. Théo Zimmermann is the maintainer of this release.

Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the bug tracker, the pull request system, the Coq development mailing list or the coq-club@inria.fr mailing list. Special thanks to the users who contributed patches and intensive brain-storming and code reviews, starting with Jason Gross, Ralf Jung, Robbert Krebbers and Amin Timany. It would however be impossible to mention exhaustively the names of everybody who to some extent influenced the development.

The Coq consortium, an organization directed towards users and supporters of the system, is now running and employs Maxime Dénès. The contacts of the Coq Consortium are Yves Bertot and Maxime Dénès.

Santiago de Chile, March 2018,
Matthieu Sozeau for the Coq development team

\section*{Details of changes in 8.8+beta1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Support for template polymorphism for definitions was removed. May trigger more "universe inconsistency" errors in rare occasions.
- Fixpoints are no longer allowed on non-recursive inductive types.

\section*{Notations}
- Recursive notations with the recursive pattern repeating on the right (e.g. "( \(\mathrm{x} ;. . \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{y}\) z )") now supported.
- Notations with a specific level for the leftmost nonterminal, when printing-only, are supported.
- Notations can now refer to the syntactic category of patterns (as in "fun 'pat =>" or "match p with pat \(=>\)... end"). Two variants are available, depending on whether a single variable is considered as a pattern or not.
- Recursive notations now support ".." patterns with several occurrences of the recursive term or binder, possibly mixing terms and binders, possibly in reverse left-to-right order.
- "Locate" now working also on notations of the form " \(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}\) " (rather than "_ + _").

Specification language
- When printing clauses of a "match", clauses with same right-hand side are factorized and the last most factorized clause with no variables, if it exists, is turned into a default clause. Use "Unset Printing Allow Default Clause" do deactivate printing of a default clause. Use "Unset Printing Factorizable Match Patterns" to deactivate factorization of clauses with same right-hand side.

\section*{Tactics}
- On Linux, "native_compute" calls can be profiled using the "perf" utility. The command "Set NativeCompute Profiling" enables profiling, and "Set NativeCompute Profile Filename" customizes the profile filename.
- The tactic "omega" is now aware of the bodies of context variables such as "x:=5:Z" (see \#1362). This could be disabled via Unset Omega UseLocalDefs.
- The tactic "romega" is also aware now of the bodies of context variables.
- The tactic "zify" resp. "omega with N" is now aware of N.pred.
- Tactic "decide equality" now able to manage constructors which contain proofs.
- Added tactics reset ltac profile, show ltac profile (and variants)
- Added tactics restart_timer, finish_timing, and time_constr as an experimental way of timing Ltac's evaluation phase
- Added tactic optimize_heap, analogous to the Vernacular Optimize Heap, which performs a major garbage collection and heap compaction in the OCaml run-time system.
- The tactics "dtauto", "dintuition", "firstorder" now handle inductive types with let bindings in the parameters.
- The tactic dtauto now handles some inductives such as @sigT A (fun _ \(=>\) B) as non-dependent conjunctions.
- A bug fixed in rewrite \(H\) in * and rewrite \(H\) in * I-may cause a few rare incompatibilities (it was unintendedly recursively rewriting in the side conditions generated by H ).
- Added tactics "assert_succeeds tac" and "assert_fails tac" to ensure properties of the execution of a tactic without keeping the effect of the execution.
- vm_compute now supports existential variables.
- Calls to shelve and give_up within calls to tactic refine now working.
- Deprecated tactic appcontext was removed.

\section*{Focusing}
- Focusing bracket \{ now supports single-numbered goal selector, e.g. 2: \{ will focus on the second subgoal. As usual, unfocus with \} once the subgoal is fully solved. The Focus and Unfocus commands are now deprecated.

\section*{Commands}
- Proofs ending in "Qed exporting ident, .., ident" are not supported anymore. Constants generated during abstract are kept private to the local environment.
- The deprecated Coercion Local, Open Local Scope, Notation Local syntax was removed. Use Local as a prefix instead.
- For the Extraction Language command, "OCaml" is spelled correctly. The older "Ocaml" is still accepted, but deprecated.
- Using "Require" inside a section is deprecated.
- An experimental command "Show Extraction" allows to extract the content of the current ongoing proof (grant wish \#4129).
- Coercion now accepts the type of its argument to be "Prop" or "Type".
- The "Export" modifier can now be used when setting and unsetting options, and will result in performing the same change when the module corresponding the command is imported.
- The Axiom command does not automatically declare axioms as instances when their type is a class. Previous behavior can be restored using Set Typeclasses Axioms Are Instances.

\section*{Universes}
- Qualified naming of global universes now works like other namespaced objects (e.g. constants), with a separate namespace, inside and across module and library boundaries. Global universe names introduced in an inductive / constant / Let declaration get qualified with the name of the declaration.
- Universe cumulativity for inductive types is now specified as a variance for each polymorphic universe. See the reference manual for more information.
- Inference of universe constraints with cumulative inductive types produces more general constraints. Unsetting new option Cumulativity Weak Constraints produces even more general constraints (but may produce too many universes to be practical).
- Fix \#5726: Notations that start with Type now support universe instances with \(₫\{u\}\).
- with Definition now understands universe declarations (like @\{u| Set <u\}).

Tools
- Coq can now be run with the option -mangle-names to change the auto-generated name scheme. This is intended to function as a linter for developments that want to be robust to changes in auto-generated names. This feature is experimental, and may change or disappear without warning.
- GeoProof support was removed.

\section*{Checker}
- The checker now accepts filenames in addition to logical paths.

\section*{CoqIDE}
- Find and Replace All report the number of occurrences found; Find indicates when it wraps.
coqdep
- Learned to read -I, -Q, -R and filenames from _CoqProject files. This is used by coq_makefile when generating dependencies for .v files (but not other files).

\section*{Documentation}
- The Coq FAQ, formerly located at https://coq.inria.fr/faq, has been moved to the GitHub wiki section of this repository; the main entry page is https://github.com/coq/coq/wiki/The-Coq-FAQ.
- Documentation: a large community effort resulted in the migration of the reference manual to the Sphinx documentation tool. The result is partially integrated in this version.

\section*{Standard Library}
- New libraries Coq.Init.Decimal, Coq.Numbers.DecimalFacts, Coq.Numbers.DecimalNat, Coq.Numbers.DecimalPos, Coq.Numbers.DecimalN, Coq.Numbers.DecimalZ, Coq.Numbers.DecimalString providing a type of decimal numbers, some facts about them, and conversions between decimal numbers and nat, positive, \(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Z}\), and string.
- Added [Coq.Strings.String.concat] to concatenate a list of strings inserting a separator between each item
- Notation ' for Zpos in QArith was removed.
- Some deprecated aliases are now emitting warnings when used.

\section*{Compatibility support}
- Support for compatibility with versions before 8.6 was dropped.

\section*{Options}
- The following deprecated options have been removed:
- Refolding Reduction
```

- Standard Proposition Elimination
- Dependent Propositions Elimination
- Discriminate Introduction
- Shrink Abstract
- Tactic Pattern Unification
- Intuition Iff Unfolding
- Injection L2R Pattern Order
- Record Elimination Schemes
- Match Strict
- Tactic Compat Context
- Typeclasses Legacy Resolution
- Typeclasses Module Eta
- Typeclass Resolution After Apply

```

\section*{Details of changes in 8.8.0}

Tools
- Asynchronous proof delegation policy was fixed. Since version 8.7 Coq was ignoring previous runs and the -async-proofs-delegation-threshold option did not have the expected behavior.
Tactic language
- The undocumented "nameless" forms fix \(N\), cofix have been deprecated; please use fix ident \(N\) / cofix ident to explicitly name the (co)fixpoint hypothesis to be introduced.

\section*{Documentation}
- The reference manual is now fully ported to Sphinx.

Other small deprecations and bug fixes.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.8.1}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fix a critical bug with cofixpoints and vm_compute/native_compute (\#7333).
- Fix a critical bug with modules and algebraic universes (\#7695)
- Fix a critical bug with inlining of polymorphic constants (\#7615).
- Fix a critical bug with universe polymorphism and vm_compute (\#7723). Was present since 8.5.

Notations
- Fixed unexpected collision between only-parsing and only-printing notations (issue \#7462).

Windows installer
- The Windows installer now includes external packages Ltac2 and Equations (it included the Bignums package since 8.8+beta1).

Many other bug fixes, documentation improvements (including fixes of regressions due to the Sphinx migration), and user message improvements (for details, see the 8.8 .1 milestone at https://github.com/coq/coq/milestone/13?closed=1).

\section*{Details of changes in 8.8.2}

\section*{Documentation}
- A PDF version of the reference manual is available once again.

Tools
- The coq-makefile targets print-pretty-timed, print-pretty-timed-diff, and print-pretty-single-time-diff now correctly label the "before" and "after" columns, rather than swapping them.

\section*{Kernel}
- The kernel does not tolerate capture of global universes by polymorphic universe binders, fixing a soundness break (triggered only through custom plugins)
Windows installer
- The Windows installer now includes many more external packages that can be individually selected for installation.

Many other bug fixes and lots of documentation improvements (for details, see the 8.8 .2 milestone at https://github.com/ coq/coq/milestone/15?closed=1).

\section*{Version 8.7}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.7 contains the result of refinements, stabilization of features and cleanups of the internals of the system along with a few new features. The main user visible changes are:
- New tactics: variants of tactics supporting existential variables eassert, eenough, etc... by Hugo Herbelin. Tactics extensionality in \(H\) and inversion_sigma by Jason Gross, specialize with ... accepting partial bindings by Pierre Courtieu.
- Cumulative Polymorphic Inductive types, allowing cumulativity of universes to go through applied inductive types, by Amin Timany and Matthieu Sozeau.
- Integration of the SSReflect plugin and its documentation in the reference manual, by Enrico Tassi, Assia Mahboubi and Maxime Dénès.
- The coq_makefile tool was completely redesigned to improve its maintainability and the extensibility of generated Makefiles, and to make _CoqProject files more palatable to IDEs by Enrico Tassi.
Coq 8.7 involved a large amount of work on cleaning and speeding up the code base, notably the work of Pierre-Marie Pédrot on making the tactic-level system insensitive to existential variable expansion, providing a safer API to plugin writers and making the code more robust. The dev/doc/changes.txt file documents the numerous changes to the implementation and improvements of interfaces. An effort to provide an official, streamlined API to plugin writers is in progress, thanks to the work of Matej Košík.

Version 8.7 also comes with a bunch of smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system. We shall only list a few of them.

The efficiency of the whole system has been significantly improved thanks to contributions from Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Maxime Dénès and Matthieu Sozeau and performance issue tracking by Jason Gross and Paul Steckler.

Thomas Sibut-Pinote and Hugo Herbelin added support for side effect hooks in cbv, cbn and simpl. The side effects are provided via a plugin available at https://github.com/herbelin/reduction-effects/.
The BigN, BigZ, BigQ libraries are no longer part of the Coq standard library, they are now provided by a separate repository https://github.com/coq/bignums, maintained by Pierre Letouzey.
In the Reals library, IZR has been changed to produce a compact representation of integers and real constants are now represented using IZR (work by Guillaume Melquiond).

Standard library additions and improvements by Jason Gross, Pierre Letouzey and others, documented in the next subsection file.

The mathematical proof language/declarative mode plugin was removed from the archive.
The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Melquiond, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi with contributions from many users. A list of packages is available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
Packaging tools and software development kits were prepared by Michael Soegtrop with the help of Maxime Dénès and Enrico Tassi for Windows, and Maxime Dénès for MacOS X. Packages are regularly built on the Travis continuous integration server.

The contributors for this version are Abhishek Anand, C.J. Bell, Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Tej Chajed, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Julien Forest, Gaëtan Gilbert, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Ralf Jung, Matej Košík, Xavier Leroy, Pierre Letouzey, Assia Mahboubi, Cyprien Mangin, Erik Martin-Dorel, Olivier Marty, Guillaume Melquiond, Sam Pablo Kuper, Benjamin Pierce, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Lars Rasmusson, Lionel Rieg, Valentin Robert, Yann Régis-Gianas, Thomas Sibut-Pinote, Michael Soegtrop, Matthieu Sozeau, Arnaud Spiwack, Paul Steckler, George Stelle, Pierre-Yves Strub, Enrico Tassi, Hendrik Tews, Amin Timany, Laurent Théry, Vadim Zaliva and Théo Zimmermann.
The development process was coordinated by Matthieu Sozeau with the help of Maxime Dénès, who was also in charge of the release process. Théo Zimmermann is the maintainer of this release.

Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the bug tracker, the pull request system, the Coq development mailing list or the Coq-Club mailing list. Special thanks to the users who contributed patches and intensive brain-storming and code reviews, starting with Jason Gross, Ralf Jung, Robbert Krebbers, Xavier Leroy, Clément PitClaudel and Gabriel Scherer. It would however be impossible to mention exhaustively the names of everybody who to some extent influenced the development.

Version 8.7 is the second release of Coq developed on a time-based development cycle. Its development spanned 9 months from the release of Coq 8.6 and was based on a public road-map. It attracted many external contributions. Code reviews and continuous integration testing were systematically used before integration of new features, with an important focus given to compatibility and performance issues, resulting in a hopefully more robust release than Coq 8.6 while maintaining compatibility.
Coq Enhancement Proposals (CEPs for short) and open pull request discussions were used to discuss publicly the new features.

The Coq consortium, an organization directed towards users and supporters of the system, is now upcoming and will rely on Inria's newly created Foundation.

Paris, August 2017,
Matthieu Sozeau and the Coq development team

\section*{Potential compatibility issues}
- Extra superfluous names in introduction patterns may now raise an error rather than a warning when the superfluous name is already in use. The easy fix is to remove the superfluous name.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.7+beta1}

Tactics
- New tactic "extensionality in H" which applies (possibly dependent) functional extensionality in H supposed to be a quantified equality until giving a bare equality.
- New tactic inversion_sigma which turns equalities of dependent pairs (e.g., existT \(P \times p=\) existT P y q, frequently left over by inversion on a dependent type family) into pairs of equalities (e.g., a hypothesis \(H: x=y\) and a hypothesis of type rew \(H\) in \(p=q\) ); these hypotheses can subsequently be simplified using subst, without ever invoking any kind of axiom asserting uniqueness of identity proofs. If you want to explicitly specify the hypothesis to be inverted, or name the generated hypotheses, you can invoke induction H as [H1 H2] using eq_sigT_rect. The tactic also works for sig, sigT2, and sig2, and there are similar eq_sig*_rect induction lemmas.
- Tactic "specialize with ..." now accepts any partial bindings. Missing bindings are either solved by unification or left quantified in the hypothesis.
- New representation of terms that statically ensure stability by evar-expansion. This has several consequences.
- In terms of performance, this adds a cost to every term destructuration, but at the same time most eager evar normalizations were removed, which couterbalances this drawback and even sometimes outperforms the old implementation. For instance, many operations that would require \(O(n)\) normalization of the term are now \(\mathrm{O}(1)\) in tactics. YMMV.
- This triggers small changes in unification, which was not evar-insensitive. Most notably, the new implementation recognizes Miller patterns that were missed before because of a missing normalization step. Hopefully this should be fairly uncommon.
- Tactic "auto with real" can now discharge comparisons of literals.
- The types of variables in patterns of "match" are now beta-iota-reduced after type checking. This has an impact on the type of the variables that the tactic "refine" introduces in the context, producing types that should be closer to the expectations.
- In "Tactic Notation" or "TACTIC EXTEND", entry "constr_with_bindings" now uses type classes and rejects terms with unresolved holes, like entry "constr" does. To get the former behavior use "open_constr_with_bindings" (possible source of incompatibility).
- New e-variants eassert, eenough, epose proof, eset, eremember, epose which behave like the corresponding variants with no "e" but turn unresolved implicit arguments into existential variables, on the shelf, rather than failing.
- Tactic injection has become more powerful (closes bug \#4890) and its documentation has been updated.
- New variants of the first and solve tacticals that do not rely on parsing rules, meant to define tactic notations.
- Added support for side effects hooks in cbv, cbn and simpl. The side effects are provided via a plugin: https: //github.com/herbelin/reduction-effects/
- It is now possible to take hint database names as parameters in a Ltac definition or a Tactic Notation.
- New option Set Ltac Batch Debug on top of Set Ltac Debug for non-interactive Ltac debug output. Gallina
- Now supporting all kinds of binders, including 'pat, in syntax of record fields.

\section*{Commands}
- Goals context can be printed in a more compact way when Set Printing Compact Contexts is activated.
- Unfocused goals can be printed with the Set Printing Unfocused option.
- Print now shows the types of let-bindings.
- The compatibility options for printing primitive projections (Set Printing Primitive Projection Parameters and Set Printing Primitive Projection Compatibility) are now off by default.
- Possibility to unset the printing of notations in a more fine grained fashion than Unset Printing Notations is provided without any user-syntax. The goal is that someone creates a plugin to experiment such a user-syntax, to be later integrated in Coq when stabilized.
- About now tells if a reference is a coercion.
- The deprecated Save vernacular and its form Save Theorem id to close proofs have been removed from the syntax. Please use Qed.
- Search now sorts results by relevance (the relevance metric is a weighted sum of number of distinct symbols and size of the term).

\section*{Standard Library}
- New file PropExtensionality.v to explicitly work in the axiomatic context of propositional extensionality.
- New file SetoidChoice.v axiomatically providing choice over setoids, and, consequently, choice of representatives in equivalence classes. Various proof-theoretic characterizations of choice over setoids in file ChoiceFacts.v.
- New lemmas about iff and about orders on positive and Z.
- New lemmas on powerRZ.
- Strengthened statement of JMeq_eq_dep (closes bug \#4912).
- The BigN, BigZ, BigZ libraries are no longer part of the Coq standard library, they are now provided by a separate repository https://github.com/coq/bignums The split has been done just after the Int31 library.
- IZR (Reals) has been changed to produce a compact representation of integers. As a consequence, IZR is no longer convertible to INR and lemmas such as INR_IZR_INZ should be used instead.
- Real constants are now represented using IZR rather than R 0 and R 1 ; this might cause rewriting rules to fail to apply to constants.
- Added new notation \(\{\mathrm{x} \& \mathrm{P}\}\) for sigT (without a type for x )

\section*{Plugins}
- The Ssreflect plugin is now distributed with Coq. Its documentation has been integrated as a chapter of the reference manual. This chapter is work in progress so feedback is welcome.
- The mathematical proof language (also known as declarative mode) was removed.
- A new command Extraction TestCompile has been introduced, not meant for the general user but instead for Coq's test-suite.
- The extraction plugin is no longer loaded by default. It must be explicitly loaded with [Require Extraction], which is backwards compatible.
- The functional induction plugin (which provides the [Function] vernacular) is no longer loaded by default. It must be explicitly loaded with [Require FunInd], which is backwards compatible.
Dependencies
- Support for camlp4 has been removed.

Tools
- coq_makefile was completely redesigned to improve its maintainability and the extensibility of generated Makefiles, and to make _CoqProject files more palatable to IDEs. Overview:
_ _CoqProject files contain only Coq specific data (i.e. the list of files, -R options, ...)
- coq_makefile translates _CoqProject to Makefile.conf and copies in the desired location a standard Makefile (that reads Makefile.conf)
- Makefile extensions can be implemented in a Makefile.local file (read by the main Makefile) by installing a hook in the extension points provided by the standard Makefile

The current version contains code for retro compatibility that prints warnings when a deprecated feature is used. Please upgrade your_CoqProject accordingly.
- Additionally, coq_makefile-made Makefiles now support experimental timing targets pretty-timed, pretty-timed-before, pretty-timed-after, print-pretty-timed-diff, print-pretty-single-time-diff, all.timing.diff, and the variable TIMING=1 (or TIMING=before or TIMING=after); see the documentation for more details.
Build Infrastructure
- Note that 'make world' does not build the bytecode binaries anymore. For that, you can use 'make byte' (and 'make install-byte' afterwards). Warning: native and byte compilations should not be mixed in the same instance of 'make -j', otherwise both ocamlc and ocamlopt might race for access to the same .cmi files. In short, use "make -j \& \& make -j byte" instead of "make -j world byte".

\section*{Universes}
- Cumulative inductive types. see prefixes "Cumulative", "NonCumulative" for inductive definitions and the option "Set Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity" in the reference manual.
- New syntax foo@ \{_\} to instantiate a polymorphic definition with anonymous universes (can also be used with Type).

XML Protocol and internal changes
See dev/doc/changes.txt
Many bugfixes including \#1859, \#2884, \#3613, \#3943, \#3994, \#4250, \#4709, \#4720, \#4824, \#4844, \#4911, \#5026, \#5233, \#5275, \#5315, \#5336, \#5360, \#5390, \#5414, \#5417, \#5420, \#5439, \#5449, \#5475, \#5476, \#5482, \#5501, \#5507, \#5520, \#5523, \#5524, \#5553, \#5577, \#5578, \#5589, \#5597, \#5598, \#5607, \#5618, \#5619, \#5620, \#5641, \#5648, \#5651, \#5671.

Many bugfixes on OS X and Windows (now the test-suite passes on these platforms too).
Many optimizations.
Many documentation improvements.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.7+beta2}

Tools
- In CoqIDE, the "Compile Buffer" command takes account of flags in _CoqProject or other project file.

Improvements around some error messages.
Many bug fixes including two important ones:
- Bug \#5730: CoqIDE becomes unresponsive on file open.
- coq_makefile: make sure compile flags for Coq and coq_makefile are in sync (in particular, make sure the -safe-string option is used to compile plugins).

\section*{Details of changes in 8.7.0}

OCaml
- Users can pass specific flags to the OCaml optimizing compiler by -using the flambda-opts configure-time option.

Beware that compiling Coq with a flambda-enabled compiler is experimental and may require large amounts of RAM and CPU, see INSTALL for more details.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.7.1}

Compatibility with OCaml 4.06.0.
Many bug fixes, documentation improvements, and user message improvements (for details see the 8.7.1 milestone at https://github.com/coq/coq/milestone/10?closed=1).

\section*{Details of changes in 8.7.2}

Fixed a critical bug in the VM handling of universes (\#6677). This bug affected all releases since 8.5.
Improved support for building with OCaml 4.06.0 and external num package.
Many other bug fixes, documentation improvements, and user message improvements (for details, see the 8.7.2 milestone at https://github.com/coq/coq/milestone/11?closed=1).

\section*{Version 8.6}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.6 contains the result of refinements, stabilization of 8.5 's features and cleanups of the internals of the system. Over the year of (now time-based) development, about 450 bugs were resolved and over 100 contributions integrated. The main user visible changes are:
- A new, faster state-of-the-art universe constraint checker, by Jacques-Henri Jourdan.
- In CoqIDE and other asynchronous interfaces, more fine-grained asynchronous processing and error reporting by Enrico Tassi, making Coq capable of recovering from errors and continue processing the document.
- More access to the proof engine features from Ltac: goal management primitives, range selectors and a typeclasses eauto engine handling multiple goals and multiple successes, by Cyprien Mangin, Matthieu Sozeau and Arnaud Spiwack.
- Tactic behavior uniformization and specification, generalization of intro-patterns by Hugo Herbelin and others.
- A brand new warning system allowing to control warnings, turn them into errors or ignore them selectively by Maxime Dénès, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot and others.
- Irrefutable patterns in abstractions, by Daniel de Rauglaudre.
- The ssreflect subterm selection algorithm by Georges Gonthier and Enrico Tassi is now accessible to tactic writers through the ssrmatching plugin.
- Integration of LtacProf, a profiler for Ltac by Jason Gross, Paul Steckler, Enrico Tassi and Tobias Tebbi.

Coq 8.6 also comes with a bunch of smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system. We shall only list a few of them.
The iota reduction flag is now a shorthand for match, fix and cofix flags controlling the corresponding reduction rules (by Hugo Herbelin and Maxime Dénès).

Maxime Dénès maintained the native compilation machinery.
Pierre-Marie Pédrot separated the Ltac code from general purpose tactics, and generalized and rationalized the handling of generic arguments, allowing to create new versions of Ltac more easily in the future.

In patterns and terms, @, abbreviations and notations are now interpreted the same way, by Hugo Herbelin.
Name handling for universes has been improved by Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Matthieu Sozeau. The minimization algorithm has been improved by Matthieu Sozeau.

The unifier has been improved by Hugo Herbelin and Matthieu Sozeau, fixing some incompatibilities introduced in Coq 8.5. Unification constraints can now be left floating around and be seen by the user thanks to a new option. The Keyed Unification mode has been improved by Matthieu Sozeau.
The typeclass resolution engine and associated proof search tactic have been reimplemented on top of the proof-engine monad, providing better integration in tactics, and new options have been introduced to control it, by Matthieu Sozeau with help from Théo Zimmermann.

The efficiency of the whole system has been significantly improved thanks to contributions from Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Maxime Dénès and Matthieu Sozeau and performance issue tracking by Jason Gross and Paul Steckler.

Standard library improvements by Jason Gross, Sébastien Hinderer, Pierre Letouzey and others.
Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias contributed many cleanups and refactorings of the pretty-printing and user interface communication components.

Frédéric Besson maintained the micromega tactic.
The opam repository for Coq packages has been maintained by Guillaume Claret, Guillaume Melquiond, Matthieu Sozeau, Enrico Tassi and others. A list of packages is now available at https://coq.inria.fr/opam/www/.
Packaging tools and software development kits were prepared by Michael Soegtrop with the help of Maxime Dénès and Enrico Tassi for Windows, and Maxime Dénès and Matthieu Sozeau for MacOS X. Packages are now regularly built on the continuous integration server. Coq now comes with a META file usable with ocamlfind, contributed by Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Gregory Malecha, and Matthieu Sozeau.
Matej Košík maintained and greatly improved the continuous integration setup and the testing of Coq contributions. He also contributed many API improvements and code cleanups throughout the system.
The contributors for this version are Bruno Barras, C.J. Bell, Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Pierre Boutillier, Tej Chajed, Guillaume Claret, Xavier Clerc, Pierre Corbineau, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Ricky Elrod, Emilio Jesús Gallego Arias, Jason Gross, Hugo Herbelin, Sébastien Hinderer, Jacques-Henri Jourdan, Matej Košík, Xavier Leroy, Pierre Letouzey, Gregory Malecha, Cyprien Mangin, Erik Martin-Dorel, Guillaume Melquiond, Clément Pit-Claudel, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Daniel de Rauglaudre, Lionel Rieg, Gabriel Scherer, Thomas Sibut-Pinote, Matthieu Sozeau, Arnaud Spiwack, Paul Steckler, Enrico Tassi, Laurent Théry, Nickolai Zeldovich and Théo Zimmermann. The development process was coordinated by Hugo Herbelin and Matthieu Sozeau with the help of Maxime Dénès, who was also in charge of the release process.

Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the bug tracker, the pull request system, the Coq development mailing list or the Coq-Club mailing list. Special thanks to the users who contributed patches and intensive brain-storming and code reviews, starting with Cyril Cohen, Jason Gross, Robbert Krebbers, Jonathan Leivent, Xavier Leroy, Gregory Malecha, Clément Pit-Claudel, Gabriel Scherer and Beta Ziliani. It would however be impossible to mention exhaustively the names of everybody who to some extent influenced the development.

Version 8.6 is the first release of Coq developed on a time-based development cycle. Its development spanned 10 months from the release of Coq 8.5 and was based on a public roadmap. To date, it contains more external contributions than
any previous Coq system. Code reviews were systematically done before integration of new features, with an important focus given to compatibility and performance issues, resulting in a hopefully more robust release than Coq 8.5.
Coq Enhancement Proposals (CEPs for short) were introduced by Enrico Tassi to provide more visibility and a discussion period on new features, they are publicly available https://github.com/coq/ceps.
Started during this period, an effort is led by Yves Bertot and Maxime Dénès to put together a Coq consortium.

Paris, November 2016,
Matthieu Sozeau and the Coq development team

\section*{Potential sources of incompatibilities}
- Symptom: An obligation generated by Program or an abstracted subproof has different arguments.

Cause: Set Shrink Abstract and Set Shrink Obligations are on by default and the subproof does not use the argument. Remedy:
- Adapt the script.
- Write an explicit lemma to prove the obligation/subproof and use it instead (compatible with 8.4).
- Unset the option for the program/proof the obligation/subproof originates from.
- Symptom: In a goal, order of hypotheses, or absence of an equality of the form "x=t" or "t \(=\mathrm{x}\) ", or no unfolding of a local definition.

Cause: This might be connected to a number of fixes in the tactic "subst". The former behavior can be reactivated by issuing "Unset Regular Subst Tactic".

\section*{Details of changes in 8.6beta1}

Kernel
- A new, faster state-of-the-art universe constraint checker.

\section*{Specification language}
- Giving implicit arguments explicitly to a constant with multiple choices of implicit arguments does not break any more insertion of further maximal implicit arguments.
- Ability to put any pattern in binders, prefixed by quote, e.g. "fun '(a,b) => ...", " \(\lambda\) ' \((a,(b, c))\), ...", "Definition foo '(x,y) := ...". It expands into a "let 'pattern := ..."

\section*{Tactics}
- Flag "Bracketing Last Introduction Pattern" is now on by default.
- Flag "Regular Subst Tactic" is now on by default: it respects the initial order of hypothesis, it contracts cycles, it unfolds no local definitions (common source of incompatibilities, fixable by "Unset Regular Subst Tactic").
- New flag "Refolding Reduction", now disabled by default, which turns on refolding of constants/fixpoints (as in cbn) during the reductions done during type inference and tactic retyping. Can be extremely expensive. When set off, this recovers the 8.4 behavior of unification and type inference. Potential source of incompatibility with 8.5 developments (the option is set on in Compat/Coq85.v).
- New flag "Shrink Abstract" that minimalizes proofs generated by the abstract tactical w.r.t. variables appearing in the body of the proof. On by default and deprecated. Minor source of incompatibility for code relying on the precise arguments of abstracted proofs.
- Serious bugs are fixed in tactic "double induction" (source of incompatibilities as soon as the inductive types have dependencies in the type of their constructors; "double induction" remains however deprecated).
- In introduction patterns of the form (pat1,...,patn), n should match the exact number of hypotheses introduced (except for local definitions for which pattern can be omitted, as in regular pattern-matching).
- Tactic scopes in Ltac like constr: and ltac: now require parentheses around their argument.
- Every generic argument type declares a tactic scope of the form "name:(...)" where name is the name of the argument. This generalizes the constr: and ltac: instances.
- When in strict mode (i.e. in a Ltac definition), if the "intro" tactic is given a free identifier, it is not bound in subsequent tactics anymore. In order to introduce a binding, use e.g. the "fresh" primitive instead (potential source of incompatibilities).
- New tactics is_ind, is_const, is_proj, is_constructor for use in Ltac.
- New goal selectors. Sets of goals can be selected by listing integers ranges. Example: "1,4-7,24: tac" focuses "tac" on goals \(1,4,5,6,7,24\).
- For uniformity with "destruct" \(/\) "induction" and for a more natural behavior, "injection" can now work in place by activating option "Structural Injection". In this case, hypotheses are also put in the context in the natural left-to-right order and the hypothesis on which injection applies is cleared.
- Tactic "contradiction" (hence "easy") now also solve goals with hypotheses of the form "~True" or "t<>t" (possible source of incompatibilities because of more successes in automation, but generally a more intuitive strategy).
- Option "Injection On Proofs" was renamed "Keep Proof Equalities". When enabled, injection and inversion do not drop equalities between objects in Prop. Still disabled by default.
- New tactics "notypeclasses refine" and "simple notypeclasses refine" that disallow typeclass resolution when typechecking their argument, for use in typeclass hints.
- Integration of LtacProf, a profiler for Ltac.
- Reduction tactics now accept more fine-grained flags: iota is now a shorthand for the new flags match, fix and cofix.
- The ssreflect subterm selection algorithm is now accessible to tactic writers through the ssrmatching plugin.
- When used as an argument of an ltac function, "auto" without "with" nor "using" clause now correctly uses only the core hint database by default.
Hints
- Revised the syntax of [Hint Cut] to follow standard notation for regexps.
- Hint Mode now accepts "!" which means that the mode matches only if the argument's head is not an evar (it goes under applications, casts, and scrutinees of matches and projections).
- Hints can now take an optional user-given pattern, used only by [typeclasses eauto] with the [Filtered Unification] option on.

\section*{Typeclasses}
- Many new options and new engine based on the proof monad. The [typeclasses eauto] tactic is now a multi-goal, multi-success tactic. See reference manual for more information. It is planned to replace auto and eauto in the following version. The 8.5 resolution engine is still available to help solve compatibility issues.

\section*{Program}
- The "Shrink Obligations" flag now applies to all obligations, not only those solved by the automatic tactic.
- "Shrink Obligations" is on by default and deprecated. Minor source of incompatibility for code relying on the precise arguments of obligations.

\section*{Notations}
- "Bind Scope" can once again bind "Funclass" and "Sortclass".

\section*{General infrastructure}
- New configurable warning system which can be controlled with the vernacular command "Set Warnings", or, under coqc/coqtop, with the flag "-w". In particular, the default is now that warnings are printed by coqc.
- In asynchronous mode, Coq is now capable of recovering from errors and continue processing the document.

Tools
- coqc accepts a -o option to specify the output file name
- coqtop accepts --print-version to print Coq and OCaml versions in easy to parse format
- Setting [Printing Dependent Evars Line] can be unset to disable the computation associated with printing the "dependent evars: " line in -emacs mode
- Removed the -verbose-compat-notations flag and the corresponding Set Verbose Compat vernacular, since these warnings can now be silenced or turned into errors using "-w".

XML protocol
- message format has changed, see dev/doc/changes.txt for more details.

Many bug fixes, minor changes and documentation improvements are not mentioned here.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.6}

\section*{Kernel}
- Fixed critical bug \#5248 in VM long multiplication on 32-bit architectures. Was there only since 8.6beta1, so no stable release impacted.

Other bug fixes in universes, type class shelving,...

\section*{Details of changes in 8.6.1}
- Fix \#5380: Default colors for CoqIDE are actually applied.
- Fix plugin warnings
- Document named evars (including Show ident)
- Fix Bug \#5574, document function scope
- Adding a test case as requested in bug 5205.
- Fix Bug \#5568, no dup notation warnings on repeated module imports
- Fix documentation of Typeclasses eauto \(:=\)
- Refactor documentation of records.
- Protecting from warnings while compiling 8.6
- Fixing an inconsistency between configure and configure.ml
- Add test-suite checks for coqchk with constraints
- Fix bug \#5019 (looping zify on dependent types)
- Fix bug 5550: "typeclasses eauto with" does not work with section variables.
- Bug 5546, qualify datatype constructors when needed in Show Match
- Bug \#5535, test for Show with -emacs
- Fix bug \#5486, don't reverse ids in tuples
- Fixing \#5522 (anomaly with free vars of pat)
- Fix bug \#5526, don't check for nonlinearity in notation if printing only
- Fix bug \#5255
- Fix bug \#3659: -time should understand multibyte encodings.
- FIx bug \#5300: Anomaly: Uncaught exception Not_found" in "Print Assumptions".
- Fix outdated description in RefMan.
- Repairing Set Rewriting Schemes
- Fixing \#5487 (v8.5 regression on ltac-matching expressions with evars).
- Fix description of command-line arguments for Add (Rec) LoadPath
- Fix bug \#5377: @? patterns broken.
- add XML protocol doc
- Fix anomaly when doing [all:Check _.] during a proof.
- Correction of bug \#4306
- Fix \#5435: [Eval native_compute in] raises anomaly.
- Instances should obey universe binders even when defined by tactics.
- Intern names bound in match patterns
- funind: Ignore missing info for current function
- Do not typecheck twice the type of opaque constants.
- show unused intro pattern warning
- [future] Be eager when "chaining" already resolved future values.
- Opaque side effects
- Fix \#5132: coq_makefile generates incorrect install goal
- Run non-tactic comands without resilient_command
- Univs: fix bug \#5365, generation of \(u+k<=v\) constraints
- make emit tail recursive
- Don't require printing-only notation to be productive
- Fix the way setoid_rewrite handles bindings.
- Fix for bug 5244 - set printing width ignored when given enough space
- Fix bug 4969 , autoapply was not tagging shelved subgoals correctly

\section*{Version 8.5}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.5 contains the result of five specific long-term projects:
- A new asynchronous evaluation and compilation mode by Enrico Tassi with help from Bruno Barras and Carst Tankink.
- Full integration of the new proof engine by Arnaud Spiwack helped by Pierre-Marie Pédrot,
- Addition of conversion and reduction based on native compilation by Maxime Dénès and Benjamin Grégoire.
- Full universe polymorphism for definitions and inductive types by Matthieu Sozeau.
- An implementation of primitive projections with \(\eta\)-conversion bringing significant performance improvements when using records by Matthieu Sozeau.

The full integration of the proof engine, by Arnaud Spiwack and Pierre-Marie Pédrot, brings to primitive tactics and the user level Ltac language dependent subgoals, deep backtracking and multiple goal handling, along with miscellaneous features and an improved potential for future modifications. Dependent subgoals allow statements in a goal to mention the proof of another. Proofs of unsolved subgoals appear as existential variables. Primitive backtracking makes it possible to write a tactic with several possible outcomes which are tried successively when subsequent tactics fail. Primitives are also available to control the backtracking behavior of tactics. Multiple goal handling paves the way for smarter automation tactics. It is currently used for simple goal manipulation such as goal reordering.

The way Coq processes a document in batch and interactive mode has been redesigned by Enrico Tassi with help from Bruno Barras. Opaque proofs, the text between Proof and Qed, can be processed asynchronously, decoupling the checking of definitions and statements from the checking of proofs. It improves the responsiveness of interactive development, since proofs can be processed in the background. Similarly, compilation of a file can be split into two phases: the first one checking only definitions and statements and the second one checking proofs. A file resulting from the first phase - with the .vio extension - can be already Required. All .vio files can be turned into complete .vo files in parallel. The same infrastructure also allows terminating tactics to be run in parallel on a set of goals via the par: goal selector.

CoqIDE was modified to cope with asynchronous checking of the document. Its source code was also made separate from that of Coq, so that CoqIDE no longer has a special status among user interfaces, paving the way for decoupling its release cycle from that of Coq in the future.

Carst Tankink developed a Coq back-end for user interfaces built on Makarius Wenzel's Prover IDE framework (PIDE), like PIDE/jEdit (with help from Makarius Wenzel) or PIDE/Coqoon (with help from Alexander Faithfull and Jesper Bengtson). The development of such features was funded by the Paral-ITP French ANR project.
The full universe polymorphism extension was designed by Matthieu Sozeau. It conservatively extends the universes system and core calculus with definitions and inductive declarations parameterized by universes and constraints. It is based on a modification of the kernel architecture to handle constraint checking only, leaving the generation of constraints to the refinement/type inference engine. Accordingly, tactics are now fully universe aware, resulting in more localized error messages in case of inconsistencies and allowing higher-level algorithms like unification to be entirely type safe. The internal representation of universes has been modified but this is invisible to the user.

The underlying logic has been extended with \(\eta\)-conversion for records defined with primitive projections by Matthieu Sozeau. This additional form of \(\eta\)-conversion is justified using the same principle than the previously added \(\eta\)-conversion for function types, based on formulations of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions with typed equality. Primitive projections, which do not carry the parameters of the record and are rigid names (not defined as a pattern matching construct), make working with nested records more manageable in terms of time and space consumption. This extension and universe polymorphism were carried out partly while Matthieu Sozeau was working at the IAS in Princeton.

The guard condition has been made compliant with extensional equality principles such as propositional extensionality and univalence, thanks to Maxime Dénès and Bruno Barras. To ensure compatibility with the univalence axiom, a new flag -indices-matter has been implemented, taking into account the universe levels of indices when computing the
levels of inductive types. This supports using Coq as a tool to explore the relations between homotopy theory and type theory.
Maxime Dénès and Benjamin Grégoire developed an implementation of conversion test and normal form computation using the OCaml native compiler. It complements the virtual machine conversion offering much faster computation for expensive functions.
Coq 8.5 also comes with a bunch of many various smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system. We shall only list a few of them.

Pierre Boutillier developed an improved tactic for simplification of expressions called cbn.
Maxime Dénès maintained the bytecode-based reduction machine. Pierre Letouzey maintained the extraction mechanism.
Pierre-Marie Pédrot has extended the syntax of terms to, experimentally, allow holes in terms to be solved by a locally specified tactic.

Existential variables are referred to by identifiers rather than mere numbers, thanks to Hugo Herbelin who also improved the tactic language here and there.
Error messages for universe inconsistencies have been improved by Matthieu Sozeau. Error messages for unification and type inference failures have been improved by Hugo Herbelin, Pierre-Marie Pédrot and Arnaud Spiwack.
Pierre Courtieu contributed new features for using Coq through Proof General and for better interactive experience (bullets, Search, etc).

The efficiency of the whole system has been significantly improved thanks to contributions from Pierre-Marie Pédrot.
A distribution channel for Coq packages using the opam tool has been initiated by Thomas Braibant and developed by Guillaume Claret, with contributions by Enrico Tassi and feedback from Hugo Herbelin.

Packaging tools were provided by Pierre Letouzey and Enrico Tassi (Windows), Pierre Boutillier, Matthieu Sozeau and Maxime Dénès (MacOS X). Maxime Dénès improved significantly the testing and benchmarking support.
Many power users helped to improve the design of the new features via the bug tracker, the coq development mailing list or the Coq-Club mailing list. Special thanks are going to the users who contributed patches and intensive brain-storming, starting with Jason Gross, Jonathan Leivent, Greg Malecha, Clément Pit-Claudel, Marc Lasson, Lionel Rieg. It would however be impossible to mention with precision all names of people who to some extent influenced the development.

Version 8.5 is one of the most important releases of Coq. Its development spanned over about 3 years and a half with about one year of beta-testing. General maintenance during part or whole of this period has been done by Pierre Boutillier, Pierre Courtieu, Maxime Dénès, Hugo Herbelin, Pierre Letouzey, Guillaume Melquiond, Pierre-Marie Pédrot, Matthieu Sozeau, Arnaud Spiwack, Enrico Tassi as well as Bruno Barras, Yves Bertot, Frédéric Besson, Xavier Clerc, Pierre Corbineau, Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Julien Forest, Sébastien Hinderer, Assia Mahboubi, Jean-Marc Notin, Yann RégisGianas, François Ripault, Carst Tankink. Maxime Dénès coordinated the release process.

Paris, January 2015, revised December 2015,
Hugo Herbelin, Matthieu Sozeau and the Coq development team

\section*{Potential sources of incompatibilities}

List of typical changes to be done to adapt files from Coq 8.4 to Coq 8.5 when not using compatibility option -compat 8.4.
- Symptom: "The reference omega was not found in the current environment".

Cause: "Require Omega" does not import the tactic "omega" any more
Possible solutions:
- use "Require Import OmegaTactic" (not compatible with 8.4)
- use "Require Import Omega" (compatible with 8.4)
- add definition "Ltac omega := Coq.omega.Omega.omega."
- Symptom: "intuition" cannot solve a goal (not working anymore on nonstandard connective)

Cause: "intuition" had an accidental non-uniform behavior fixed on nonstandard connectives
Possible solutions:
- use "dintuition" instead; it is stronger than "intuition" and works uniformly on nonstandard connectives, such as n-ary conjunctions or disjunctions (not compatible with 8.4)
- do the script differently
- Symptom: The constructor foo (in type bar) expects n arguments.

Cause: parameters must now be given in patterns
Possible solutions:
- use option "Set Asymmetric Patterns" (compatible with 8.4)
- add "_" for the parameters (not compatible with 8.4)
- turn the parameters into implicit arguments (compatible with 8.4)
- Symptom: "NPeano.Nat.foo" not existing anymore

Possible solutions:
- use "Nat.foo" instead

Symptom: typing problems with proj1_sig or similar
Cause: coercion from sig to sigT and similar coercions have been removed so as to make the initial state easier to understand for beginners

Solution: change proj1_sig into projT1 and similarly (compatible with 8.4)
Other detailed changes
- options for coq compilation (see below for ocaml).
- [-I foo] is now deprecated and will not add directory foo to the coq load path (only for ocaml, see below). Just replace [-I foo] by [-Q foo ""] in your project file and re-generate makefile. Or perform the same operation directly in your makefile if you edit it by hand.
- Option -R Foo bar is the same in v8.5 than in v8.4 concerning coq load path.
- Option [-I foo -as bar] is unchanged but discouraged unless you compile ocaml code. Use -Q foo bar instead. for more details: see section "Customization at launch time" of the reference manual.
- Command line options for ocaml Compilation of ocaml code (plugins)
- [-I foo] is not deprecated to add foo to the ocaml load path.
- [-I foo -as bar] adds foo to the ocaml load path and adds foo to the coq load path with logical name bar (shortcut for -I foo -Q foo bar).
for more details: section "Customization at launch time" of the reference manual.
- Universe Polymorphism.
- Refinement, unification and tactics are now aware of universes, resulting in more localized errors. Universe inconsistencies should no more get raised at Qed time but during the proof. Unification always produces well-typed substitutions, hence some rare cases of unifications that succeeded while producing ill-typed terms before will now fail.
- The [change \(p\) with \(c\) ] tactic semantics changed, now typechecking [ \(c\) ] at each matching occurrence [ \(t\) ] of the pattern [p], and converting [t] with [c].
- Template polymorphic inductive types: the partial application of a template polymorphic type (e.g. list) is not polymorphic. An explicit parameter application (e.g [fun A \(=>\) list A]) or [apply (list _)] will result in a polymorphic instance.
- The type inference algorithm now takes opacity of constants into account. This may have effects on tactics using type inference (e.g. induction). Extra "Transparent" might have to be added to revert opacity of constants.

Type classes.
- When writing an Instance foo : Class \(A:=\{\mid\) proj \(:=t \mid\}\) (note the vertical bars), support for typechecking the projections using the type information and switching to proof mode is no longer available. Use \{ \} (without the vertical bars) instead.
Tactic abstract.
- Auxiliary lemmas generated by the abstract tactic are removed from the global environment and inlined in the proof term when a proof is ended with Qed. The behavior of 8.4 can be obtained by ending proofs with "Qed exporting" or "Qed exporting ident, .., ident".

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5beta1}

Logic
- Primitive projections for records allow for a compact representation of projections, without parameters and avoid the behavior of defined projections that can unfold to a case expression. To turn the use of native projections on, use [Set Primitive Projections]. Record, Class and Structure types defined while this option is set will be defined with primitive projections instead of the usual encoding as a case expression. For compatibility, when p is a primitive projection, @p can be used to refer to the projection with explicit parameters, i.e. [@p] is definitionally equal to [ \(\lambda\) params r. r.(p)]. Records with primitive projections have eta-conversion, the canonical form being [mkR pars (p1 t) ... \((\mathrm{pnt})]\).
- New universe polymorphism (see reference manual)
- New option -type-in-type to collapse the universe hierarchy (this makes the logic inconsistent).
- The guard condition for fixpoints is now a bit stricter. Propagation of subterm value through pattern matching is restricted according to the return predicate. Restores compatibility of Coq's logic with the propositional extensionality axiom. May create incompatibilities in recursive programs heavily using dependent types.
- Trivial inductive types are no longer defined in Type but in Prop, which leads to a non-dependent induction principle being generated in place of the dependent one. To recover the old behavior, explicitly define your inductive types in Set.
Commands
- A command "Variant" allows to define non-recursive variant types.
- The command "Record foo ..." does not generate induction principles (foo_rect, foo_rec, foo_ind) anymore by default (feature wish \#2693). The command "Variant foo ..." does not either. A flag "Set/Unset Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes" allows changing this. The tactic "induction" on a "Record" or a "Variant" is now actually doing "destruct".
- The "Open Scope" command can now be given also a delimiter (e.g. Z).
- The "Definition" command now allows the "Local" modifier, allowing for non-importable definitions. The same goes for "Axiom" and "Parameter".
- Section-specific commands such as "Let" (resp. "Variable", "Hypothesis") used out of a section now behave like the corresponding "Local" command, i.e. "Local Definition" (resp. "Local Parameter", "Local Axiom"). (potential source of rare incompatibilities).
- The "Let" command can now define local (co)fixpoints.
- Command "Search" has been renamed into "SearchHead". The command name "Search" now behaves like former "SearchAbout". The latter name is deprecated.
- "Search", "About", "SearchHead","SearchRewrite" and "SearchPattern" now search for hypothesis (of the current goal by default) first. They now also support the goal selector prefix to specify another goal to search: e.g. "n:Search id". This is also true for SearchAbout although it is deprecated.
- The coq/user-contrib directory and the XDG directories are no longer recursively added to the load path, so files from installed libraries now need to be fully qualified for the "Require" command to find them. The tools/updaterequire script can be used to convert a development.
- A new Print Strategies command allows visualizing the opacity status of the whole engine.
- The "Locate" command now searches through all sorts of qualified namespaces of Coq: terms, modules, tactics, etc. The old behavior of the command can be retrieved using the "Locate Term" command.
- New "Derive" command to help writing program by derivation.
- New "Refine Instance Mode" option that allows to deactivate the generation of obligations in incomplete typeclass instances, raising an error instead.
- "Collection" command to name sets of section hypotheses. Named collections can be used in the syntax of "Proof using" to assert which section variables are used in a proof.
- The "Optimize Proof" command can be placed in the middle of a proof to force the compaction of the data structure used to represent the ongoing proof (evar map). This may result in a lower memory footprint and speed up the execution of the following tactics.
- "Optimize Heap" command to tell the OCaml runtime to perform a major garbage collection step and heap compaction.
- Instance no longer treats the \(\{|\ldots|\}\) syntax specially; it handles it in the same way as other commands, e.g. "Definition". Use the \(\{\ldots\}\) syntax (no pipe symbols) to recover the old behavior.

\section*{Specification Language}
- Slight changes in unification error messages.
- Added a syntax \(\$(\ldots)\) ) that allows putting tactics in terms (may break user notations using "\$(", fixable by inserting a space or rewriting the notation).
- Constructors in pattern-matching patterns now respect the same rules regarding implicit arguments as in applicative position. The old behavior can be recovered by the command "Set Asymmetric Patterns". As a side effect, notations for constructors explicitly mentioning non-implicit parameters can now be used in patterns. Considering that the pattern language is already rich enough, binding local definitions is however now forbidden in patterns (source of incompatibilities for local definitions that delta-reduce to a constructor).
- Type inference algorithm now granting opacity of constants. This might also affect behavior of tactics (source of incompatibilities, solvable by re-declaring transparent constants which were set opaque).
- Existential variables are now referred to by an identifier and the relevant part of their instance is displayed by default. They can be reparsed. The naming policy is yet unstable and subject to changes in future releases.

\section*{Tactics}
- New tactic engine allowing dependent subgoals, fully backtracking (also known as multiple success) tactics, as well as tactics which can consider multiple goals together. In the new tactic engine, instantiation information of existential variables is always propagated to tactics, removing the need to manually use the "instantiate" tactics to mark propagation points.
- New tactical (a+b) inserts a backtracking point. When (a+b);c fails during the execution of \(c\), it can backtrack and try \(b\) instead of \(a\).
- New tactical (once a) removes all the backtracking points from a (i.e. it selects the first success of a).
- Tactic "constructor" is now fully backtracking. In case of incompatibilities (e.g. combinatoric explosion), the former behavior of "constructor" can be retrieved by using instead "[> once constructor ..]". Thanks to backtracking, undocumented "constructor <tac>" syntax is now equivalent to "[> once (constructor; tac) ..]".
- New "multimatch" variant of "match" tactic which backtracks to new branches in case of a later failure. The "match" tactic is equivalent to "once multimatch".
- New selector "all:" such that "all:tac" applies tactic "tac" to all the focused goals, instead of just the first one as is the default.
- A corresponding new option Set Default Goal Selector "all" makes the tactics in scripts be applied to all the focused goal by default
- New selector "par:" such that "par:tac" applies the (terminating) tactic "tac" to all the focused goal in parallel. The number of worker can be selected with -async-proofs-tac-j and also limited using the coqworkmgr utility.
- New tactics "revgoals", "cycle" and "swap" to reorder goals.
- The semantics of recursive tactics (introduced with "Ltac \(t:=\ldots\)... or "let rec \(t:=\ldots\) in ...") changed slightly as \(t\) is now applied to every goal, not each goal independently. In particular it may be applied when no goals are left. This may cause tactics such as "let rec \(\mathrm{t}:=\) constructor;"" to loop indefinitely. The simple fix is to rewrite the recursive calls as follows: "let rec \(t:=\) constructor;[t..]" which recovers the earlier behavior (source of rare incompatibilities).
- New tactic language feature "numgoals" to count number of goals. It is accompanied by a "guard" tactic which fails if a Boolean test over integers does not pass.
- New tactical "[> ... ]" to apply tactics to individual goals.
- New tactic "gfail" which works like "fail" except it will also fail if every goal has been solved.
- The refine tactic is changed not to use an ad hoc typing algorithm to generate subgoals. It also uses the dependent subgoal feature to generate goals to materialize every existential variable which is introduced by the refinement (source of incompatibilities).
- A tactic shelve is introduced to manage the subgoals which may be solved by unification: shelve removes every goal it is applied to from focus. These goals can later be called back into focus by the Unshelve command.
- A variant shelve_unifiable only removes those goals which appear as existential variables in other goals. To emulate the old refine, use "refine c;shelve_unifiable". This can still cause incompatibilities in rare occasions.
- New "give_up" tactic to skip over a goal. A proof containing given up goals cannot be closed with "Qed", but only with "Admitted".
- The implementation of the admit tactic has changed: no axiom is generated for the admitted sub proof. "admit" is now an alias for "give_up". Code relying on this specific behavior of "admit" can be made to work by:
- Adding an "Axiom" for each admitted subproof.
- Adding a single "Axiom proof_admitted : False." and the Ltac definition "Ltac admit := case proof_admitted.".
- Matching using "lazymatch" was fundamentally modified. It now behaves like "match" (immediate execution of the matching branch) but without the backtracking mechanism in case of failure.
- New "tryif \(t\) then \(u\) else \(v\) " tactical which executes "u" in case of success of " \(t\) " and "v" in case of failure.
- New conversion tactic "native_compute": evaluates the goal (or an hypothesis) with a call-by-value strategy, using the OCaml native compiler. Useful on very intensive computations.
- New "cbn" tactic, a well-behaved simpl.
- Repeated identical calls to omega should now produce identical proof terms.
- Tactics btauto, a reflexive Boolean tautology solver.
- Tactic "tauto" was exceptionally able to destruct other connectives than the binary connectives "and", "or", "prod", "sum", "iff". This non-uniform behavior has been fixed (bug \#2680) and tauto is slightly weaker (possible source of incompatibilities). On the opposite side, new tactic "dtauto" is able to destruct any record-like inductive types, superseding the old version of "tauto".
- Similarly, "intuition" has been made more uniform and, where it now fails, "dintuition" can be used (possible source of incompatibilities).
- New option "Unset Intuition Negation Unfolding" for deactivating automatic unfolding of "not" in intuition.
- Tactic notations can now be defined locally to a module (use "Local" prefix).
- Tactic "red" now reduces head beta-iota redexes (potential source of rare incompatibilities).
- Tactic "hnf" now reduces inner beta-iota redexes (potential source of rare incompatibilities).
- Tactic "intro H" now reduces beta-iota redexes if these hide a product (potential source of rare incompatibilities).
- In Ltac matching on patterns of the form "_ pat1 ... patn" now behaves like if matching on "?X pat 1 ... patn", i.e. accepting "," to be instantiated by an applicative term (experimental at this stage, potential source of incompatibilities).
- In Ltac matching on goal, types of hypotheses are now interpreted in the \%type scope (possible source of incompatibilities).
- "change ... in ..." and "simpl ... in ..." now properly consider nested occurrences (possible source of incompatibilities since this alters the numbering of occurrences), but do not support nested occurrences.
- Tactics simpl, vm_compute and native_compute can be given a notation string to a constant as argument.
- When given a reference as argument, simpl, vm_compute and native_compute now strictly interpret it as the head of a pattern starting with this reference.
- The "change \(p\) with \(c\) " tactic semantics changed, now type checking " \(c\) " at each matching occurrence " \(t\) " of the pattern "p", and converting "t" with "c".
- Now "appcontext" and "context" behave the same. The old buggy behavior of "context" can be retrieved at parse time by setting the "Tactic Compat Context" flag (possible source of incompatibilities).
- New introduction pattern \(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{c}\) which applies lemma c on the fly on the hypothesis under consideration before continuing with introduction pattern p .
- New introduction pattern [= x1 .. xn ] applies "injection as [x1 .. xn ]" on the fly if injection is applicable to the hypothesis under consideration (idea borrowed from Georges Gonthier). Introduction pattern [=] applies "discriminate" if a discriminable equality.
- New introduction patterns \(*\) and \({ }^{* *}\) to respectively introduce all forthcoming dependent variables and all variables/hypotheses dependent or not.
- Tactic "injection c as ipats" now clears c if c refers to an hypothesis and moves the resulting equations in the hypotheses independently of the number of ipats, which has itself to be less than the number of new hypotheses (possible source of incompatibilities; former behavior obtainable by "Unset Injection L2R Pattern Order").
- Tactic "injection" now automatically simplifies subgoals "existT \(\mathrm{n} p=\operatorname{existT} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{p}\) " into " \(\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}\) " when " n " is in an inductive type for which a decidable equality scheme has been generated with "Scheme Equality" (possible source of incompatibilities).
- New tactic "rewrite_strat" for generalized rewriting with user-defined strategies, subsuming autorewrite.
- Injection can now also deduce equality of arguments of sort Prop, by using the option "Set Injection On Proofs" (disabled by default). Also improved the error messages.
- Tactic "subst id" now supports id occurring in dependent local definitions.
- Bugs fixed about intro-pattern "*" might lead to some rare incompatibilities.
- New tactical "time" to display time spent executing its argument.
- Tactics referring or using a constant dependent in a section variable which has been cleared or renamed in the current goal context now fail (possible source of incompatibilities solvable by avoiding clearing the relevant hypotheses).
- New construct "uconstr:c" and "type_term c" to build untyped terms.
- Binders in terms defined in Ltac (either "constr" or "uconstr") can now take their names from identifiers defined in Ltac. As a consequence, a name cannot be used in a binder "constr:(fun \(x=>. .\). )" if an Ltac variable of that name already exists and does not contain an identifier. Source of occasional incompatibilities.
- The "refine" tactic now accepts untyped terms built with "uconstr" so that terms with holes can be constructed piecewise in Ltac.
- New bullets --, ++, , ---, +++, *, ... made available.
- More informative messages when wrong bullet is used.
- Bullet suggestion when a subgoal is solved.
- New tactic "enough", symmetric to "assert", but with subgoals swapped, as a more friendly replacement of "cut".
- In destruct/induction, experimental modifier "!" prefixing the hypothesis name to tell not erasing the hypothesis.
- Bug fixes in "inversion as" may occasionally lead to incompatibilities.
- Behavior of introduction patterns -> and <- made more uniform (hypothesis is cleared, rewrite in hypotheses and conclusion and erasing the variable when rewriting a variable).
- New experimental option "Set Standard Proposition Elimination Names" so that case analysis or induction on schemes in Type containing propositions now produces "H"-based names.
- Tactics from plugins are now active only when the corresponding module is imported (source of incompatibilities, solvable by adding an "Import"; in the particular case of Omega, use "Require Import OmegaTactic").
- Semantics of destruct/induction has been made more regular in some edge cases, possibly leading to incompatibilities:
- new goals are now opened when the term does not match a subterm of the goal and has unresolved holes, while in 8.4 these holes were turned into existential variables
- when no "at" option is given, the historical semantics which selects all subterms syntactically identical to the first subterm matching the given pattern is used
- non-dependent destruct/induction on an hypothesis with premises in an inductive type with indices is fixed
- residual local definitions are now correctly removed.
- The rename tactic may now replace variables in parallel.
- A new "Info" command replaces the "info" tactical discontinued in v8.4. It still gives informative results in many cases.
- The "info_auto" tactic is known to be broken and does not print a trace anymore. Use "Info 1 auto" instead. The same goes for "info_trivial". On the other hand "info_eauto" still works fine, while "Info 1 eauto" prints a trivial trace.
- When using a lemma of the prototypical form "forall A, \(\{\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{A} \& \mathrm{P} \mathrm{a}\}\) ", "apply" and "apply in" do not instantiate anymore "A" with the current goal and use "a" as the proof, as they were sometimes doing, now considering that it is a too powerful decision.

\section*{Program}
- "Solve Obligations using" changed to "Solve Obligations with", consistent with "Proof with".
- Program Lemma, Definition now respect automatic introduction.
- Program Lemma, Definition, etc.. now interpret "->" like Lemma and Definition as a non-dependent arrow (potential source of incompatibility).
- Add/document "Set Hide Obligations" (to hide obligations in the final term inside an implicit argument) and "Set Shrink Obligations" (to minimize dependencies of obligations defined by tactics).
Notations
- The syntax "x \(->\mathrm{y}\) " is now declared at level 99. In particular, it has now a lower priority than "<->": "A -> B <-> C" is now "A \(->(\mathrm{B}<->\mathrm{C})\) " (possible source of incompatibilities)
- Notations accept term-providing tactics using the \(\$(\ldots) \$\) syntax.
- "Bind Scope" can no longer bind "Funclass" and "Sortclass".
- A notation can be given a (compat " \(8 . x\) ") annotation, making it behave like a "only parsing" notation, but the annotation may lead to eventually issue warnings or errors in further versions when this notation is used.
- More systematic insertion of spaces as a default for printing notations ("format" still available to override the default).
- In notations, a level modifier referring to a non-existent variable is now considered an error rather than silently ignored.
Tools
- Option -I now only adds directories to the ml path.
- Option -Q behaves as -R, except that the logical path of any loaded file has to be fully qualified.
- Option -R no longer adds recursively to the ml path; only the root directory is added. (Behavior with respect to the load path is unchanged.)
- Option -nois prevents coq/theories and coq/plugins to be recursively added to the load path. (Same behavior as with coq/user-contrib.)
- coqdep accepts a -dumpgraph option generating a dot file.
- Makefiles generated through coq_makefile have three new targets "quick" "checkproofs" and "vio2vo", allowing respectively to asynchronously compile the files without playing the proof scripts, asynchronously checking that the quickly generated proofs are correct and generating the object files from the quickly generated proofs.
- The XML plugin was discontinued and removed from the source.
- A new utility called coqworkmgr can be used to limit the number of concurrent workers started by independent processes, like make and CoqIDE. This is of interest for users of the par: goal selector.

\section*{Interfaces}
- CoqIDE supports asynchronous edition of the document, ongoing tasks and errors are reported in the bottom right window. The number of workers taking care of processing proofs can be selected with -async-proofs-j.
- CoqIDE highlights in yellow "unsafe" commands such as axiom declarations, and tactics like "give_up".
- CoqIDE supports Proof General like key bindings; to activate the PG mode go to Edit -> Preferences -> Editor. For the documentation see Help -> Help for PG mode.
- CoqIDE automatically retracts the locked area when one edits the locked text.
- CoqIDE search and replace got regular expressions power. See the documentation of OCaml's Str module for the supported syntax.
- Many CoqIDE windows, including the query one, are now detachable to improve usability on multi screen work stations.
- Coqtop/coqc outputs highlighted syntax. Colors can be configured thanks to the COQ_COLORS environment variable, and their current state can be displayed with the -list-tags command line option.
- Third party user interfaces can install their main loop in \$COQLIB/toploop and call coqtop with the -toploop flag to select it.

\section*{Internal Infrastructure}
- Many reorganizations in the ocaml source files. For instance, many internal a.s.t. of Coq are now placed in mli files in a new directory intf/, for instance constrexpr.mli or glob_term.mli. More details in dev/doc/changes.
- The file states/initial.coq does not exist anymore. Instead, coqtop initially does a "Require" of Prelude.vo (or nothing when given the options -noinit or -nois).
- The format of vo files has slightly changed: cf final comments in checker/cic.mli.
- The build system does not produce anymore programs named coqtop.opt and a symbolic link to coqtop. Instead, coqtop is now directly an executable compiled with the best OCaml compiler available. The bytecode program coqtop.byte is still produced. Same for other utilities.
- Some options of the ./configure script slightly changed:
- The -coqrunbyteflags and its blank-separated argument is replaced by option -vmbyteflags which expects a comma-separated argument.
- The -coqtoolsbyteflags option is discontinued, see -no-custom instead.

\section*{Miscellaneous}
- ML plugins now require a "DECLARE PLUGIN "foo"" statement. The "foo" name must be exactly the name of the ML module that will be loaded through a "Declare ML" foo"" command.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5beta2}

\section*{Logic}
- The VM now supports inductive types with up to 8388851 non-constant constructors and up to 8388607 constant ones.

Specification language
- Syntax "\$(tactic)\$" changed to "ltac: tactic".

Tactics
- A script using the admit tactic can no longer be concluded by either Qed or Defined. In the first case, Admitted can be used instead. In the second case, a subproof should be used.
- The easy tactic and the now tactical now have a more predictable behavior, but they might now discharge some previously unsolved goals.

\section*{Extraction}
- Definitions extracted to Haskell GHC should no longer randomly segfault when some Coq types cannot be represented by Haskell types.
- Definitions can now be extracted to Json for post-processing.

Tools
- Option -I -as has been removed, and option -R -as has been deprecated. In both cases, option -R can be used instead.
- coq_makefile now generates double-colon rules for rules such as clean.

API
- The interface of [change] has changed to take a [change_arg], which can be built from a [constr] using [make_change_arg].

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5beta3}

Commands
- New command "Redirect" to redirect the output of a command to a file.
- New command "Undelimit Scope" to remove the delimiter of a scope.
- New option "Strict Universe Declaration", set by default. It enforces the declaration of all polymorphic universes appearing in a definition when introducing it.
- New command "Show id" to show goal named id.
- Option "Virtual Machine" removed.

Tactics
- New flag "Regular Subst Tactic" which fixes "subst" in situations where it failed to substitute all substitutable equations or failed to simplify cycles, or accidentally unfolded local definitions (flag is off by default).
- New flag "Loose Hint Behavior" to handle hints loaded but not imported in a special way. It accepts three distinct flags: * "Lax", which is the default one, sets the old behavior, i.e. a non-imported hint behaves the same as an imported one. *"Warn" outputs a warning when a non-imported hint is used. Note that this is an over-approximation, because a hint may be triggered by an eauto run that will eventually fail and backtrack. * "Strict" changes the behavior of an unloaded hint to the one of the fail tactic, allowing to emulate the hopefully future import-scoped hint mechanism.
- New compatibility flag "Universal Lemma Under Conjunction" which let tactics working under conjunctions apply sublemmas of the form "forall A, ... -> A".
- New compatibility flag "Bracketing Last Introduction Pattern" which can be set so that the last disjunctiveconjunctive introduction pattern given to "intros" automatically complete the introduction of its subcomponents, as the the disjunctive-conjunctive introduction patterns in non-terminal position already do.
- New flag "Shrink Abstract" that minimalizes proofs generated by the abstract tactical w.r.t. variables appearing in the body of the proof.

\section*{Program}
- The "Shrink Obligations" flag now applies to all obligations, not only those solved by the automatic tactic.
- Importing Program no longer overrides the "exists" tactic (potential source of incompatibilities).
- Hints costs are now correctly taken into account (potential source of incompatibilities).
- Documented the Hint Cut command that allows control of the proof search during typeclass resolution (see reference manual).

API
- Some functions from pretyping/typing.ml and their derivatives were potential source of evarmap leaks, as they dropped their resulting evarmap. The situation was clarified by renaming them according to a unsafe_* scheme. Their sound variant is likewise renamed to their old name. The following renamings were made.
- Typing.type_of -> unsafe_type_of
- Typing.e_type_of -> type_of
- A new e_type_of function that matches the e_ prefix policy
- Tacmach.pf_type_of ->pf_unsafe_type_of
- A new safe pf_type_of function.

All uses of unsafe_* functions should be eventually eliminated.
Tools
- Added an option -w to control the output of coqtop warnings.
- Configure now takes an optional -native-compiler (yeslno) flag replacing -no-native-compiler. The new flag is set to no by default under Windows.
- Flag -no-native-compiler was removed and became the default for coqc. If precompilation of files for native conversion test is desired, use -native-compiler.
- The -compile command-line option now takes the full path of the considered file, including the ".v" extension, and outputs a warning if such an extension is lacking.
- The -require and -load-vernac-object command-line options now take a logical path of a given library rather than a physical path, thus they behave like Require [Import] path.
- The -vm command-line option has been removed.

\section*{Standard Library}
- There is now a Coq.Compat.Coq84 library, which sets the various compatibility options and does a few redefinitions to make Coq behave more like Coq v8.4. The standard way of putting Coq in v8.4 compatibility mode is to pass the command line flags "-require Coq.Compat.Coq84-compat 8.4".

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5}

Tools
- Flag "-compat 8.4 " now loads Coq.Compat.Coq84. The standard way of putting Coq in v8.4 compatibility mode is to pass the command line flag "-compat 8.4 ". It can be followed by "-require Coq.Compat.AdmitAxiom" if the 8.4 behavior of admit is needed, in which case it uses an axiom.

Specification language
- Syntax "\$(tactic)\$" changed to "ltac:(tactic)".

\section*{Tactics}
- Syntax "destruct !hyp" changed to "destruct (hyp)", and similarly for induction (rare source of incompatibilities easily solvable by removing parentheses around "hyp" when not for the purpose of keeping the hypothesis).
- Syntax " \(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{c}\) " for on-the-fly application of a lemma c before introducing along pattern p changed to \(\mathrm{p} \% \mathrm{c} 1 . . \% \mathrm{cn}\). The feature and syntax are in experimental stage.
- "Proof using" does not clear unused section variables.
- Tactic "refine" has been changed back to the 8.4 behavior of shelving subgoals that occur in other subgoals. The "refine" tactic of 8.5 beta3 has been renamed "simple refine"; it does not shelve any subgoal.
- New tactical "unshelve tac" which grab existential variables put on the tactic shelve by the execution of "tac".

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5pl1}

\section*{Critical bugfix}
- The subterm relation for the guard condition was incorrectly defined on primitive projections (\#4588)

\section*{Plugin development tools}
- add a .merlin target to the makefile

Various performance improvements (time, space used by .vo files)
Other bugfixes
- Fix order of arguments to Big.compare_case in ExtrOcamlZBigInt.v
- Added compatibility coercions from Specif.v which were present in Coq 8.4.
- Fixing a source of inefficiency and an artificial dependency in the printer in the congruence tactic.
- Allow to unset the refinement mode of Instance in ML
- Fixing an incorrect use of prod_appvect on a term which was not a product in setoid_rewrite.
- Add -compat 8.4 econstructor tactics, and tests
- Add compatibility Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes
- Fixing the "No applicable tactic" uninformative error message regression on apply.
- Univs: fix get_current_context (bug \#4603, part I)
- Fix a bug in Program coercion code
- Fix handling of arity of definitional classes.
- \#4630: Some tactics are 20x slower in 8.5 than 8.4.
- \#4627: records with no declared arity can be template polymorphic.
- \#4623: set tactic too weak with universes (regression)
- Fix incorrect behavior of CS resolution
- \#4591: Uncaught exception in directory browsing.
- CoqIDE is more resilient to initialization errors.
- \#4614: "Fully check the document" is uninterruptible.
- Try eta-expansion of records only on non-recursive ones
- Fix bug when a sort is ascribed to a Record
- Primitive projections: protect kernel from erroneous definitions.
- Fixed bug \#4533 with previous Keyed Unification commit
- Win: kill unreliable hence do not waitpid after kill -9 (Close \#4369)
- Fix strategy of Keyed Unification
- \#4608: Anomaly "output_value: abstract value (outside heap)".
- \#4607: do not read native code files if native compiler was disabled.
- \#4105: poor escaping in the protocol between CoqIDE and coqtop.
- \#4596: [rewrite] broke in the past few weeks.
- \#4533 (partial): respect declared global transparency of projections in unification.ml
- \#4544: Backtrack on using full betaiota reduction during keyed unification.
- \#4540: CoqIDE bottom progress bar does not update.
- Fix regression from 8.4 in reflexivity
- \#4580: [Set Refine Instance Mode] also used for Program Instance.
- \#4582: cannot override notation [ x ]. MAY CREATE INCOMPATIBILITIES, see \#4683.
- STM: Print/Extraction have to be skipped if -quick
- \#4542: CoqIDE: STOP button also stops workers
- STM: classify some variants of Instance as regular "Fork ` nodes.
- \#4574: Anomaly: Uncaught exception Invalid_argument("splay_arity").
- Do not give a name to anonymous evars anymore. See bug \#4547.
- STM: always stock in vio files the first node (state) of a proof
- STM: not delegate proofs that contain Vernac(ModulelRequirelImport), \#4530
- Don't fail fatally if PATH is not set.
- \#4537: Coq 8.5 is slower in typeclass resolution.
- \#4522: Incorrect "Warning..." on windows.
- \#4373: coqdep does not know about .vio files.
- \#3826: "Incompatible module types" is uninformative.
- \#4495: Failed assertion in metasyntax.ml.
- \#4511: evar tactic can create non-typed evars.
- \#4503: mixing universe polymorphic and monomorphic variables and definitions in sections is unsupported.
- \#4519: oops, global shadowed local universe level bindings.
- \#4506: Anomaly: File "pretyping/indrec.ml", line 169, characters 14-20: Assertion failed.
- \#4548: CoqIDE crashes when going back one command

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5pl2}

Critical bugfix
- Checksums of .vo files dependencies were not correctly checked.
- Unicode-to-ASCII translation was not injective, leading in a soundness bug in the native compiler.

Other bugfixes
- \#4097: more efficient occur-check in presence of primitive projections
- \#4398: type_scope used consistently in "match goal".
- \#4450: eauto does not work with polymorphic lemmas
- \#4677: fix alpha-conversion in notations needing eta-expansion.
- Fully preserve initial order of hypotheses in "Regular Subst Tactic" mode.
- \#4644: a regression in unification.
- \#4725: Function (Error: Conversion test raised an anomaly) and Program (Error: Cannot infer this placeholder of type)
- \#4747: Problem building Coq 8.5pl1 with OCaml 4.03.0: Fatal warnings
- \#4752: CoqIDE crash on files not ended by ".v".
- \#4777: printing inefficiency with implicit arguments
- \#4818: "Admitted" fails due to undefined universe anomaly after calling "destruct"
- \#4823: remote counter: avoid thread race on sockets
- \#4841: -verbose flag changed semantics in 8.5 , is much harder to use
- \#4851: [nsatz] cannot handle duplicated hypotheses
- \#4858: Anomaly: Uncaught exception Failure("hd"). Please report. in variant of nsatz
- \#4880: [nsatz_compute] generates invalid certificates if given redundant hypotheses
- \#4881: synchronizing "Declare Implicit Tactic" with backtrack.
- \#4882: anomaly with Declare Implicit Tactic on hole of type with evars
- Fix use of "Declare Implicit Tactic" in refine. triggered by CoqIDE
- \#4069, \#4718: congruence fails when universes are involved.

Universes
- Disallow silently dropping universe instances applied to variables (forward compatible)
- Allow explicit universe instances on notations, when they can apply to the head reference of their expansion.

Build infrastructure
- New update on how to find camlp5 binary and library at configure time.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.5pl3}

Critical bugfix
- \#4876: Guard checker incompleteness when using primitive projections

Other bugfixes
- \#4780: Induction with universe polymorphism on was creating ill-typed terms.
- \#4673: regression in setoid_rewrite, unfolding let-ins for type unification.
- \#4754: Regression in setoid_rewrite, allow postponed unification problems to remain.
- \#4769: Anomaly with universe polymorphic schemes defined inside sections.
- \#3886: Program: duplicate obligations of mutual fixpoints.
- \#4994: Documentation typo.
- \#5008: Use the "md5" command on OpenBSD.
- \#5007: Do not assume the "TERM" environment variable is always set.
- \#4606: Output a break before a list only if there was an empty line.
- \#5001: metas not cleaned properly in clenv_refine_in.
- \#2336: incorrect glob data for module symbols (bug \#2336).
- \#4832: Remove extraneous dot in error message.
- Anomaly in printing a unification error message.
- \#4947: Options which take string arguments are not backwards compatible.
- \#4156: micromega cache files are now hidden files.
- \#4871: interrupting par:abstract kills coqtop.
- \#5043: [Admitted] lemmas pick up section variables.
- Fix name of internal refine ("simple refine").
- \#5062: probably a typo in Strict Proofs mode.
- \#5065: Anomaly: Not a proof by induction.
- Restore native compiler optimizations, they were disabled since 8.5 !
- \#5077: failure on typing a fixpoint with evars in its type.
- Fix recursive notation bug.
- \#5095: irrelevant too strict test in let-in abstraction.
- Ensuring that the evar name is preserved by "rename".
- \#4887: confusion between using and with in documentation of firstorder.
- Bug in subst with let-ins.
- \#4762: eauto weaker than auto.
- Remove if_then_else (was buggy). Use tryif instead.
- \#4970: confusion between special "\{" and non-special "\{\{" in notations.
- \#4529: primitive projections unfolding.
- \#4416: Incorrect "Error: Incorrect number of goals".
- \#4863: abstract in typeclass hint fails.
- \#5123: unshelve can impact typeclass resolution
- Fix a collision about the meta-variable ".." in recursive notations.
- Fix printing of info_auto.
- \#3209: Not_found due to an occur-check cycle.
- \#5097: status of evars refined by "clear" in ltac: closed wrt evars.
- \#5150: Missing dependency of the test-suite subsystems in prerequisite.
- Fix a bug in error printing of unif constraints
- \#3941: Do not stop propagation of signals when Coq is busy.
- \#4822: Incorrect assertion in cbn.
- \#3479 parsing of "\{" and "\}" when a keyword starts with "\{" or "\}".
- \#5127: Memory corruption with the VM.
- \#5102: bullets parsing broken by calls to parse_entry.

Various documentation improvements

\section*{Version 8.4}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.4 contains the result of three long-term projects: a new modular library of arithmetic by Pierre Letouzey, a new proof engine by Arnaud Spiwack and a new communication protocol for CoqIDE by Vincent Gross.
The new modular library of arithmetic extends, generalizes and unifies the existing libraries on Peano arithmetic (types nat, N and BigN), positive arithmetic (type positive), integer arithmetic ( Z and BigZ) and machine word arithmetic (type Int31). It provides with unified notations (e.g. systematic use of add and mul for denoting the addition and multiplication operators), systematic and generic development of operators and properties of these operators for all the types mentioned above, including gcd, pcm, power, square root, base 2 logarithm, division, modulo, bitwise operations, logical shifts, comparisons, iterators, ...

The most visible feature of the new proof engine is the support for structured scripts (bullets and proof brackets) but, even if yet not user-available, the new engine also provides the basis for refining existential variables using tactics, for applying tactics to several goals simultaneously, for reordering goals, all features which are planned for the next release. The new proof engine forced Pierre Letouzey to reimplement info and Show Script differently.
Before version 8.4, CoqIDE was linked to Coq with the graphical interface living in a separate thread. From version 8.4, CoqIDE is a separate process communicating with Coq through a textual channel. This allows for a more robust interfacing, the ability to interrupt Coq without interrupting the interface, and the ability to manage several sessions in parallel. Relying on the infrastructure work made by Vincent Gross, Pierre Letouzey, Pierre Boutillier and Pierre-Marie Pédrot contributed many various refinements of CoqIDE.

Coq 8.4 also comes with a bunch of various smaller-scale changes and improvements regarding the different components of the system.
The underlying logic has been extended with \(\eta\)-conversion thanks to Hugo Herbelin, Stéphane Glondu and Benjamin Grégoire. The addition of \(\eta\)-conversion is justified by the confidence that the formulation of the Calculus of Inductive Constructions based on typed equality (such as the one considered in Lee and Werner to build a set-theoretic model of CIC [LW11]) is applicable to the concrete implementation of Coq.

The underlying logic benefited also from a refinement of the guard condition for fixpoints by Pierre Boutillier, the point being that it is safe to propagate the information about structurally smaller arguments through \(\beta\)-redexes that are blocked by the "match" construction (blocked commutative cuts).
Relying on the added permissiveness of the guard condition, Hugo Herbelin could extend the pattern matching compilation algorithm so that matching over a sequence of terms involving dependencies of a term or of the indices of the type of a term in the type of other terms is systematically supported.

Regarding the high-level specification language, Pierre Boutillier introduced the ability to give implicit arguments to anonymous functions, Hugo Herbelin introduced the ability to define notations with several binders (e.g. exists x y \(z, \quad\) ), Matthieu Sozeau made the typeclass inference mechanism more robust and predictable, Enrico Tassi introduced a command Arguments that generalizes Implicit Arguments and Arguments Scope for assigning various properties to arguments of constants. Various improvements in the type inference algorithm were provided by Matthieu Sozeau and Hugo Herbelin with contributions from Enrico Tassi.

Regarding tactics, Hugo Herbelin introduced support for referring to expressions occurring in the goal by pattern in tactics such as set or destruct. Hugo Herbelin also relied on ideas from Chung-Kil Hur's Heq plugin to introduce automatic computation of occurrences to generalize when using destruct and induction on types with indices. Stéphane Glondu introduced new tactics constr_eq, is_evar, and has_evar, to be used when writing complex tactics. Enrico Tassi added support to fine-tuning the behavior of simpl. Enrico Tassi added the ability to specify over which variables of a section a lemma has to be exactly generalized. Pierre Letouzey added a tactic timeout and the interruptibility of vm_compute. Bug fixes and miscellaneous improvements of the tactic language came from Hugo Herbelin, Pierre Letouzey and Matthieu Sozeau.

Regarding decision tactics, Loïc Pottier maintained nsatz, moving in particular to a typeclass based reification of goals while Frédéric Besson maintained Micromega, adding in particular support for division.

Regarding commands, Stéphane Glondu provided new commands to analyze the structure of type universes.
Regarding libraries, a new library about lists of a given length (called vectors) has been provided by Pierre Boutillier. A new instance of finite sets based on Red-Black trees and provided by Andrew Appel has been adapted for the standard library by Pierre Letouzey. In the library of real analysis, Yves Bertot changed the definition of \(\pi\) and provided a proof of the long-standing fact yet remaining unproved in this library, namely that \(\sin \frac{\pi}{2}=1\).
Pierre Corbineau maintained the Mathematical Proof Language (C-zar).
Bruno Barras and Benjamin Grégoire maintained the call-by-value reduction machines.
The extraction mechanism benefited from several improvements provided by Pierre Letouzey.
Pierre Letouzey maintained the module system, with contributions from Élie Soubiran.
Julien Forest maintained the Function command.
Matthieu Sozeau maintained the setoid rewriting mechanism.
Coq related tools have been upgraded too. In particular, coq_makefile has been largely revised by Pierre Boutillier. Also, patches from Adam Chlipala for coqdoc have been integrated by Pierre Boutillier.

Bruno Barras and Pierre Letouzey maintained the coqchk checker.
Pierre Courtieu and Arnaud Spiwack contributed new features for using Coq through Proof General.
The Dp plugin has been removed. Use the plugin provided with Why 3 instead (http://why3.lri.fr/).
Under the hood, the Coq architecture benefited from improvements in terms of efficiency and robustness, especially regarding universes management and existential variables management, thanks to Pierre Letouzey and Yann Régis-Gianas with contributions from Stéphane Glondu and Matthias Puech. The build system is maintained by Pierre Letouzey with contributions from Stéphane Glondu and Pierre Boutillier.

A new backtracking mechanism simplifying the task of external interfaces has been designed by Pierre Letouzey.

The general maintenance was done by Pierre Letouzey, Hugo Herbelin, Pierre Boutillier, Matthieu Sozeau and Stéphane Glondu with also specific contributions from Guillaume Melquiond, Julien Narboux and Pierre-Marie Pédrot.
Packaging tools were provided by Pierre Letouzey (Windows), Pierre Boutillier (MacOS), Stéphane Glondu (Debian). Releasing, testing and benchmarking support was provided by Jean-Marc Notin.

Many suggestions for improvements were motivated by feedback from users, on either the bug tracker or the Coq-Club mailing list. Special thanks are going to the users who contributed patches, starting with Tom Prince. Other patch contributors include Cédric Auger, David Baelde, Dan Grayson, Paolo Herms, Robbert Krebbers, Marc Lasson, Hendrik Tews and Eelis van der Weegen.

Paris, December 2011
Hugo Herbelin

\section*{Potential sources of incompatibilities}

The main known incompatibilities between 8.3 and 8.4 are consequences of the following changes:
- The reorganization of the library of numbers:

Several definitions have new names or are defined in modules of different names, but a special care has been taken to have this renaming transparent for the user thanks to compatibility notations.

However some definitions have changed, what might require some adaptations. The most noticeable examples are:
- The "?=" notation which now bind to Pos.compare rather than former Pcompare (now Pos.compare_cont).
- Changes in names may induce different automatically generated names in proof scripts (e.g. when issuing "destruct Z_le_gt_dec").
- Z.add has a new definition, hence, applying "simpl" on subterms of its body might give different results than before.
- BigN.shiftl and BigN.shiftr have reversed arguments order, the power function in BigN now takes two BigN.
- Other changes in libraries:
- The definition of functions over "vectors" (list of fixed length) have changed.
- TheoryList.v has been removed.
- Slight changes in tactics:
- Less unfolding of fixpoints when applying destruct or inversion on a fixpoint hiding an inductive type (add an extra call to simpl to preserve compatibility).
- Less unexpected local definitions when applying "destruct" (incompatibilities solvable by adapting name hypotheses).
- Tactic "apply" might succeed more often, e.g. by now solving pattern-matching of the form ?f \(x y=g(x, y)\) (compatibility ensured by using "Unset Tactic Pattern Unification"), but also because it supports (full) betaiota (using "simple apply" might then help).
- Tactic autorewrite does no longer instantiate pre-existing existential variables.
- Tactic "info" is now available only for auto, eauto and trivial.
- Miscellaneous changes:
- The command "Load" is now atomic for backtracking (use "Unset Atomic Load" for compatibility).

\section*{Details of changes in 8.4beta}

\section*{Logic}
- Standard eta-conversion now supported (dependent product only).
- Guard condition improvement: subterm property is propagated through beta-redex blocked by pattern-matching, as in "(match \(v\) with \(C . .=>\) fun \(x=>u\) end) \(x\) "; this allows for instance to use "rewrite ... in ..." without breaking the guard condition.

Specification language and notations
- Maximal implicit arguments can now be set locally by \{ \}. The registration traverses fixpoints and lambdas. Because there is conversion in types, maximal implicit arguments are not taken into account in partial applications (use eta expanded form with explicit \{ \} instead).
- Added support for recursive notations with binders (allows for instance to write "exists x y z, P").
- Structure/Record printing can be disable by "Unset Printing Records". In addition, it can be controlled on type by type basis using "Add Printing Record" or "Add Printing Constructor".
- Pattern-matching compilation algorithm: in "match \(x\), \(y\) with ... end", possible dependencies of \(x\) (or of the indices of its type) in the type of \(y\) are now taken into account.

\section*{Tactics}
- New proof engine.
- Scripts can now be structured thanks to bullets - * + and to subgoal delimitation via \{ \}. Note: for use with Proof General, a cvs version of Proof General no older than mid-July 2011 is currently required.
- Support for tactical "info" is suspended.
- Support for command "Show Script" is suspended.
- New tactics constr_eq, is_evar and has_evar for use in Ltac (DOC TODO).
- Removed the two-argument variant of "decide equality".
- New experimental tactical "timeout \(<\mathrm{n}><\) tac>". Since \(<\mathrm{n}>\) is a time in second for the moment, this feature should rather be avoided in scripts meant to be machine-independent.
- Fix in "destruct": removal of unexpected local definitions in context might result in some rare incompatibilities (solvable by adapting name hypotheses).
- Introduction pattern "_" made more robust.
- Tactic (and Eval command) vm_compute can now be interrupted via Ctrl-C.
- Unification in "apply" supports unification of patterns of the form ?f \(x y=g(x, y)\) (compatibility ensured by using "Unset Tactic Pattern Unification"). It also supports (full) betaiota.
- Tactic autorewrite does no longer instantiate pre-existing existential variables (theoretical source of possible incompatibilities).
- Tactic "dependent rewrite" now supports equality in "sig".
- Tactic omega now understands Zpred (wish \#1912) and can prove any goal from a context containing an arithmetical contradiction (wish \#2236).
- Using "auto with nocore" disables the use of the "core" database (wish \#2188). This pseudo-database "nocore" can also be used with trivial and eauto.
- Tactics "set","destruct" and "induction" accepts incomplete terms and use the goal to complete the pattern assuming it is unambiguous.
- When used on arguments with a dependent type, tactics such as "destruct", "induction", "case", "elim", etc. now try to abstract automatically the dependencies over the arguments of the types (based on initial ideas from Chung-Kil Hur, extension to nested dependencies suggested by Dan Grayson)
- Tactic "injection" now failing on an equality showing no constructors while it was formerly generalizing again the goal over the given equality.
- In Ltac, the "context [...]" syntax has now a variant "appcontext [...]" allowing to match partial applications in larger applications.
- When applying destruct or inversion on a fixpoint hiding an inductive type, recursive calls to the fixpoint now remain folded by default (rare source of incompatibility generally solvable by adding a call to simpl).
- In an ltac pattern containing a "match", a final " _ => _" branch could be used now instead of enumerating all remaining constructors. Moreover, the pattern "match _ with \({ }_{-}=>_{-}\)end" now allows to match any "match". A "in" annotation can also be added to restrict to a precise inductive type.
- The behavior of "simpl" can be tuned using the "Arguments" vernacular. In particular constants can be marked so that they are always/never unfolded by "simpl", or unfolded only when a set of arguments evaluates to a constructor. Last one can mark a constant so that it is unfolded only if the simplified term does not expose a match in head position.

\section*{Commands}
- It is now mandatory to have a space (or tabulation or newline or end-of-file) after a "." ending a sentence.
- In SearchAbout, the [ ] delimiters are now optional.
- New command "Add/Remove Search Blacklist <substring> ...": a Search or SearchAbout or similar query will never mention lemmas whose qualified names contain any of the declared substrings. The default blacklisted substrings are _subproof, Private_.
- When the output file of "Print Universes" ends in ".dot" or ".gv", the universe graph is printed in the DOT language, and can be processed by Graphviz tools.
- New command "Print Sorted Universes".
- The undocumented and obsolete option "Set/Unset Boxed Definitions" has been removed, as well as syntaxes like "Boxed Fixpoint foo".
- A new option "Set Default Timeout n / Unset Default Timeout".
- Qed now uses information from the reduction tactics used in proof script to avoid conversion at Qed time to go into a very long computation.
- New command "Show Goal ident" to display the statement of a goal, even a closed one (available from Proof General).
- Command "Proof" accept a new modifier "using" to force generalization over a given list of section variables at section ending (DOC TODO).
- New command "Arguments" generalizing "Implicit Arguments" and "Arguments Scope" and that also allows to rename the parameters of a definition and to tune the behavior of the tactic "simpl".

\section*{Module System}
- During subtyping checks, an opaque constant in a module type could now be implemented by anything of the right type, even if bodies differ. Said otherwise, with respect to subtyping, an opaque constant behaves just as a parameter. Coqchk was already implementing this, but not coqtop.
- The inlining done during application of functors can now be controlled more precisely, by the annotations (no inline) or (inline at level XX). With the latter annotation, only functor parameters whose levels are lower or equal than XX will be inlined. The level of a parameter can be fixed by "Parameter Inline(30) foo". When levels aren't given, the default value is 100 . One can also use the flag "Set Inline Level ..." to set a level (DOC TODO).
- Print Assumptions should now handle correctly opaque modules (\#2168).
- Print Module (Type) now tries to print more details, such as types and bodies of the module elements. Note that Print Module Type could be used on a module to display only its interface. The option "Set Short Module Printing" could be used to switch back to the earlier behavior were only field names were displayed.

\section*{Libraries}
- Extension of the abstract part of Numbers, which now provide axiomatizations and results about many more integer functions, such as pow, gcd, lcm, sqrt, \(\log 2\) and bitwise functions. These functions are implemented for nat, \(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{BigN}\), Z, BigZ. See in particular file NPeano for new functions about nat.
- The definition of types positive, \(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Z}\) is now in file BinNums.v
- Major reorganization of ZArith. The initial file ZArith/BinInt.v now contains an internal module Z implementing the Numbers interface for integers. This module Z regroups:
- all functions over type Z : Z.add, Z.mul, ...
- the minimal proofs of specifications for these functions : Z.add_0_1, ...
- an instantiation of all derived properties proved generically in Numbers : Z.add_comm, Z.add_assoc, ...

A large part of ZArith is now simply compatibility notations, for instance Zplus_comm is an alias for Z.add_comm. The direct use of module Z is now recommended instead of relying on these compatibility notations.
- Similar major reorganization of NArith, via a module N in NArith/BinNat.v
- Concerning the positive datatype, BinPos.v is now in a specific directory PArith, and contains an internal submodule Pos. We regroup there functions such as Pos.add Pos.mul etc as well as many results about them. These results are here proved directly (no Number interface for strictly positive numbers).
- Note that in spite of the compatibility layers, all these reorganizations may induce some marginal incompatibilies in scripts. In particular:
- the "?=" notation for positive now refers to a binary function Pos.compare, instead of the infamous ternary Pcompare (now Pos.compare_cont).
- some hypothesis names generated by the system may changed (typically for a "destruct Z_le_gt_dec") since naming is done after the short name of the head predicate (here now "le" in module Z instead of "Zle", etc).
- the internals of Z.add has changed, now relying of Z.pos_sub.
- Also note these new notations:
- "<?" "<=?" "=?" for boolean tests such as Z.ltb Z.leb Z.eqb.
- " \(\div\) " for the alternative integer division Z.quot implementing the Truncate convention (former ZOdiv), while the notation for the Coq usual division Z.div implementing the Flooring convention remains "/". Their corresponding modulo functions are Z.rem (no notations) for Z.quot and Z.modulo (infix "mod" notation) for Z.div.
- Lemmas about conversions between these datatypes are also organized in modules, see for instance modules Z2Nat, N2Z, etc.
- When creating BigN, the macro-generated part NMake_gen is much smaller. The generic part NMake has been reworked and improved. Some changes may introduce incompatibilities. In particular, the order of the arguments for BigN.shiftl and BigN.shiftr is now reversed: the number to shift now comes first. By default, the power function now takes two BigN.
- Creation of Vector, an independent library for lists indexed by their length. Vectors' names override lists' one so you should not "Import" the library. All old names changed: function names follow the ocaml ones and, for example, Vcons becomes Vector.cons. You can get [.....;..]-style notations by importing Vector.VectorNotations.
- Removal of TheoryList. Requiring List instead should work most of the time.
- New syntax "rew Heq in H" and "rew <- Heq in H" for eq_rect and eq_rect_r (available by importing module EqNotations).
- Wf.iter_nat is now Peano.nat_iter (with an implicit type argument).

Internal infrastructure
- Opaque proofs are now loaded lazily by default. This allows to be almost as fast as -dont-load-proofs, while being safer (no creation of axioms) and avoiding feature restrictions (Print and Print Assumptions work ok).
- Revised hash-consing code allowing more sharing of memory
- Experimental support added for camlp4 (the one provided alongside ocaml), simply pass option -usecamlp4 to ./configure. By default camlp5 is used.
- Revised build system: no more stages in Makefile thanks to some recursive aspect of recent gnu make, use of vo.itarget files containing .v to compile for both make and ocamlbuild, etc.
- Support of cross-compilation via mingw from unix toward Windows, contact P. Letouzey for more informations.
- New Makefile rules mli-doc to make html of mli in dev/doc/html and full-stdlib to get a (huge) pdf reflecting the whole standard library.

\section*{Extraction}
- By default, opaque terms are now truly considered opaque by extraction: instead of accessing their body, they are now considered as axioms. The previous behavior can be reactivated via the option "Set Extraction AccessOpaque".
- The pretty-printer for Haskell now produces layout-independent code
- A new command "Separate Extraction cst1 cst2 ..." that mixes a minimal extracted environment a la "Recursive Extraction" and the production of several files (one per coq source) a la "Extraction Library" (DOC TODO).
- New option "Set/Unset Extraction KeepSingleton" for preventing the extraction to optimize singleton container types (DOC TODO).
- The extraction now identifies and properly rejects a particular case of universe polymorphism it cannot handle yet (the pair (I,I) being Prop).
- Support of anonymous fields in record (\#2555).

\section*{CoqIDE}
- CoqIDE now runs coqtop as separated process, making it more robust: coqtop subprocess can be interrupted, or even killed and relaunched (cf button "Restart Coq", ex-"Go to Start"). For allowing such interrupts, the Windows version of coqide now requires Windows >= XP SP1.
- The communication between CoqIDE and coqtop is now done via a dialect of XML (DOC TODO).
- The backtrack engine of CoqIDE has been reworked, it now uses the "Backtrack" command similarly to Proof General.
- The CoqIDE parsing of sentences has be reworked and now supports tactic delimitation via \{ \}.
- CoqIDE now accepts the Abort command (wish \#2357).
- CoqIDE can read coq_makefile files as "project file" and use it to set automatically options to send to coqtop.
- Preference files have moved to \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME/coq and accelerators are not stored as a list anymore.

Tools
- Coq now searches directories specified in COQPATH, \$XDG_DATA_HOME/coq, \$XDG_DATA_DIRS/coq, and user-contribs before the standard library.
- Coq re file has moved to \$XDG_CONFIG_HOME/coq.
- Major changes to coq_makefile:
- mli/mlpack/mllib taken into account, ml not preproccessed anymore, ml 4 work;
- mlihtml generates doc of mli, install-doc install the html doc in DOCDIR with the same policy as vo in COQLIB;
- More variables are given by coqtop -config, others are defined only if the users doesn't have defined them elsewhere. Consequently, generated makefile should work directly on any architecture;
- Packagers can take advantage of \(\$(\mathrm{DSTROOT})\) introduction. Installation can be made in \$XDG_DATA_HOME/coq;
- -arg option allows to send option as argument to coqc.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.4beta2}

\section*{Commands}
- Commands "Back" and "BackTo" are now handling the proof states. They may perform some extra steps of backtrack to avoid states where the proof state is unavailable (typically a closed proof).
- The commands "Suspend" and "Resume" have been removed.
- A basic Show Script has been reintroduced (no indentation).
- New command "Set Parsing Explicit" for deactivating parsing (and printing) of implicit arguments (useful for teaching).
- New command "Grab Existential Variables" to transform the unresolved evars at the end of a proof into goals.

\section*{Tactics}
- Still no general"info" tactical, but new specific tactics info_auto, info_eauto, info_trivial which provides information on the proofs found by auto/eauto/trivial. Display of these details could also be activated by "Set Info Auto"/"Set Info Eauto" \(/\) "Set Info Trivial".
- Details on everything tried by auto/eauto/trivial during a proof search could be obtained by "debug auto", "debug eauto", "debug trivial" or by a global "Set Debug Auto" \(/\) "Set Debug Eauto"/"Set Debug Trivial".
- New command "r string" in Ltac debugger that interprets "idtac string" in Ltac code as a breakpoint and jumps to its next use.
- Tactics from the Dp plugin (simplify, ergo, yices, cvc3, z3, cvcl, harvey, zenon, gwhy) have been removed, since Why2 has not been maintained for the last few years. The Why3 plugin should be a suitable replacement in most cases.

\section*{Libraries}
- MSetRBT: a new implementation of MSets via Red-Black trees (initial contribution by Andrew Appel).
- MSetAVL: for maximal sharing with the new MSetRBT, the argument order of Node has changed (this should be transparent to regular MSets users).

Module System
- The names of modules (and module types) are now in a fully separated namespace from ordinary definitions: "Definition E:=0. Module E. End E." is now accepted.

\section*{CoqIDE}
- CoqIDE now supports the "Restart" command, and "Undo" (with a warning). Better support for "Abort".

\section*{Details of changes in 8.4}

Commands
- The "Reset" command is now supported again in files given to coqc or Load.
- "Show Script" now indents again the displayed scripts. It can also work correctly across Load'ed files if the option "Unset Atomic Load" is used.
- "Open Scope" can now be given the delimiter (e.g. Z) instead of the full scope name (e.g. Z_scope).

Notations
- Most compatibility notations of the standard library are now tagged as (compat xyz), where xyz is a former Coq version, for instance " 8.3 ". These notations behave as (only parsing) notations, except that they may triggers warnings (or errors) when used while Coq is not in a corresponding -compat mode.
- To activate these compatibility warnings, use "Set Verbose Compat Notations" or the command-line flag -verbose-compat-notations.
- For a strict mode without these compatibility notations, use "Unset Compat Notations" or the command-line flag -no-compat-notations.

Tactics
- An annotation "eqn:H" or "eqn:?" can be added to a "destruct" or "induction" to make it generate equations in the spirit of "case_eq". The former syntax "_eqn" is discontinued.
- The name of the hypothesis introduced by tactic "remember" can be set via the new syntax "remember tas x eqn:H" (wish \#2489).

\section*{Libraries}
- Reals: changed definition of PI, no more axiom about \(\sin (\mathrm{PI} / 2)\).
- SetoidPermutation: a notion of permutation for lists modulo a setoid equality.
- BigN: fixed the ocaml code doing the parsing/printing of big numbers.
- List: a couple of lemmas added especially about no-duplication, partitions.
- Init: Removal of the coercions between variants of sigma-types and subset types (possible source of incompatibility).

\section*{Version 8.3}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.3 is before all a transition version with refinements or extensions of the existing features and libraries and a new tactic nsatz based on Hilbert's Nullstellensatz for deciding systems of equations over rings.

With respect to libraries, the main evolutions are due to Pierre Letouzey with a rewriting of the library of finite sets FSets and a new round of evolutions in the modular development of arithmetic (library Numbers). The reason for making FSets evolve is that the computational and logical contents were quite intertwined in the original implementation, leading in some cases to longer computations than expected and this problem is solved in the new MSets implementation. As for the modular arithmetic library, it was only dealing with the basic arithmetic operators in the former version and its current extension adds the standard theory of the division, \(\min\) and max functions, all made available for free to any implementation of \(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\) or \(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}\).
The main other evolutions of the library are due to Hugo Herbelin who made a revision of the sorting library (including a certified merge-sort) and to Guillaume Melquiond who slightly revised and cleaned up the library of reals.

The module system evolved significantly. Besides the resolution of some efficiency issues and a more flexible construction of module types, Élie Soubiran brought a new model of name equivalence, the \(\Delta\)-equivalence, which respects as much as possible the names given by the users. He also designed with Pierre Letouzey a new, convenient operator <+ for nesting functor application that provides a light notation for inheriting the properties of cascading modules.
The new tactic nsatz is due to Loïc Pottier. It works by computing Gröbner bases. Regarding the existing tactics, various improvements have been done by Matthieu Sozeau, Hugo Herbelin and Pierre Letouzey.

Matthieu Sozeau extended and refined the typeclasses and Program features (the Russell language). Pierre Letouzey maintained and improved the extraction mechanism. Bruno Barras and Élie Soubiran maintained the Coq checker, Julien Forest maintained the Function mechanism for reasoning over recursively defined functions. Matthieu Sozeau, Hugo Herbelin and Jean-Marc Notin maintained coqdoc. Frédéric Besson maintained the Micromega platform for deciding systems of inequalities. Pierre Courtieu maintained the support for the Proof General Emacs interface. Claude Marché maintained the plugin for calling external provers (dp). Yves Bertot made some improvements to the libraries of lists and integers. Matthias Puech improved the search functions. Guillaume Melquiond usefully contributed here and there. Yann Régis-Gianas grounded the support for Unicode on a more standard and more robust basis.
Though invisible from outside, Arnaud Spiwack improved the general process of management of existential variables. Pierre Letouzey and Stéphane Glondu improved the compilation scheme of the Coq archive. Vincent Gross provided support to CoqIDE. Jean-Marc Notin provided support for benchmarking and archiving.

Many users helped by reporting problems, providing patches, suggesting improvements or making useful comments, either on the bug tracker or on the Coq-Club mailing list. This includes but not exhaustively Cédric Auger, Arthur Charguéraud, François Garillot, Georges Gonthier, Robin Green, Stéphane Lescuyer, Eelis van der Weegen, ...

Though not directly related to the implementation, special thanks are going to Yves Bertot, Pierre Castéran, Adam Chlipala, and Benjamin Pierce for the excellent teaching materials they provided.

Paris, April 2010
Hugo Herbelin

\section*{Details of changes}

\section*{Rewriting tactics}
- Tactic "rewrite" now supports rewriting on ad hoc equalities such as eq_true.
- "Hint Rewrite" now checks that the lemma looks like an equation.
- New tactic "etransitivity".
- Support for heterogeneous equality (JMeq) in "injection" and "discriminate".
- Tactic "subst" now supports heterogeneous equality and equality proofs that are dependent (use "simple subst" for preserving compatibility).
- Added support for Leibniz-rewriting of dependent hypotheses.
- Renamed "Morphism" into "Proper" and "respect" into "proper_prf" (possible source of incompatibility). A partial fix is to define "Notation Morphism R f:= (Proper (R\%signature) f)."
- New tactic variants "rewrite* by" and "autorewrite*" that rewrite respectively the first and all matches whose sideconditions are solved.
- "Require Import Setoid" does not export all of "Morphisms" and "RelationClasses" anymore (possible source of incompatibility, fixed by importing "Morphisms" too).
- Support added for using Chung-Kil Hur's Heq library for rewriting over heterogeneous equality (courtesy of the library's author).
- Tactic "replace" supports matching terms with holes.

Automation tactics
- Tactic intuition now preserves inner iff and not (exceptional source of incompatibilities solvable by redefining intuition as unfold iff, not in *; intuition, or, for iff only, by using Set Intuition Iff Unfolding.)
- Tactic tauto now proves classical tautologies as soon as classical logic (i.e. library Classical_Prop or Classical) is loaded.
- Tactic gappa has been removed from the Dp plugin.
- Tactic firstorder now supports the combination of its using and with options.
- New Hint Resolve \(->(\) or \(<-\) ) for declaring iff's as oriented hints (wish \#2104).
- An inductive type as argument of the using option of auto / eauto/firstorder is interpreted as using the collection of its constructors.
- New decision tactic "nsatz" to prove polynomial equations by computation of Groebner bases.

\section*{Other tactics}
- Tactic "discriminate" now performs intros before trying to discriminate an hypothesis of the goal (previously it applied intro only if the goal had the form \(\mathrm{tl<>t}\) 2) (exceptional source of incompatibilities - former behavior can be obtained by "Unset Discriminate Introduction").
- Tactic "quote" now supports quotation of arbitrary terms (not just the goal).
- Tactic "idtac" now displays its "list" arguments.
- New introduction patterns "*" for introducing the next block of dependent variables and "**" for introducing all quantified variables and hypotheses.
- Pattern Unification for existential variables activated in tactics and new option "Unset Tactic Evars Pattern Unification" to deactivate it.
- Resolution of canonical structure is now part of the tactic's unification algorithm.
- New tactic "decide lemma with hyp" for rewriting decidability lemmas when one knows which side is true.
- Improved support of dependent goals over objects in dependent types for "destruct" (rare source of incompatibility that can be avoided by unsetting option "Dependent Propositions Elimination").
- Tactic "exists", "eexists", "destruct" and "edestruct" supports iteration using comma-separated arguments.
- Tactic names "case" and "elim" now support clauses "as" and "in" and become then synonymous of "destruct" and "induction" respectively.
- A new tactic name "exfalso" for the use of 'ex-falso quodlibet' principle. This tactic is simply a shortcut for"elimtype False".
- Made quantified hypotheses get the name they would have if introduced in the context (possible but rare source of incompatibilities).
- When applying a component of a conjunctive lemma, "apply in" (and sequences of "apply in") now leave the side conditions of the lemmas uniformly after the main goal (possible source of rare incompatibilities).
- In "simpl c" and "change c with d", c can be a pattern.
- Tactic "revert" now preserves let-in's making it the exact inverse of "intro".
- New tactics "clear dependent H" and "revert dependent H" that clears (resp. reverts) H and all the hypotheses that depend on H .
- Ltac's pattern-matching now supports matching metavariables that depend on variables bound upwards in the pattern.

Tactic definitions
- Ltac definitions support Local option for non-export outside modules.
- Support for parsing non-empty lists with separators in tactic notations.
- New command "Locate Ltac" to get the full name of an Ltac definition.

\section*{Notations}
- Record syntax \(\{\mid \mathrm{x}=. .\). ; \(\mathrm{y}=\ldots \mathrm{I} \mid\}\) now works inside patterns too.
- Abbreviations from non-imported module now invisible at printing time.
- Abbreviations now use implicit arguments and arguments scopes for printing.
- Abbreviations to pure names now strictly behave like the name they refer to (make redirections of qualified names easier).
- Abbreviations for applied constant now propagate the implicit arguments and arguments scope of the underlying reference (possible source of incompatibilities generally solvable by changing such abbreviations from e.g. Notation foo' := (foo x ) to Notation foo' y := (foo x ( \(\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{y})\) )).
- The "where" clause now supports multiple notations per defined object.
- Recursive notations automatically expand one step on the left for better factorization; recursion notations inner separators now ensured being tokens.
- Added "Reserved Infix" as a specific shortcut of the corresponding "Reserved Notation".
- Open/Close Scope command supports Global option in sections.

Specification language
- New support for local binders in the syntax of Record/Structure fields.
- Fixpoint/CoFixpoint now support building part or all of bodies using tactics.
- Binders given before ":" in lemmas and in definitions built by tactics are now automatically introduced (possible source of incompatibility that can be resolved by invoking "Unset Automatic Introduction").
- New support for multiple implicit arguments signatures per reference.

Module system
- Include Type is now deprecated since Include now accepts both modules and module types.
- Declare ML Module supports Local option.
- The sharing between non-logical object and the management of the name-space has been improved by the new "Delta-equivalence" on qualified name.
- The include operator has been extended to high-order structures
- Sequences of Include can be abbreviated via new syntax "<+".
- A module (or module type) can be given several " \(<\) :" signatures.
- Interactive proofs are now permitted in module type. Functors can hence be declared as Module Type and be used later to type themselves.
- A functor application can be prefixed by a "!" to make it ignore any "Inline" annotation in the type of its argument(s) (for examples of use of the new features, see libraries Structures and Numbers).
- Coercions are now active only when modules are imported (use "Set Automatic Coercions Import" to get the behavior of the previous versions of Coq).

\section*{Extraction}
- When using (Recursive) Extraction Library, the filenames are directly the Coq ones with new appropriate extensions : we do not force anymore uncapital first letters for Ocaml and capital ones for Haskell.
- The extraction now tries harder to avoid code transformations that can be dangerous for the complexity. In particular many eta-expansions at the top of functions body are now avoided, clever partial applications will likely be preserved, let-ins are almost always kept, etc.
- In the same spirit, auto-inlining is now disabled by default, except for induction principles, since this feature was producing more frequently weird code than clear gain. The previous behavior can be restored via "Set Extraction AutoInline".
- Unicode characters in identifiers are now transformed into ascii strings that are legal in Ocaml and other languages.
- Harsh support of module extraction to Haskell and Scheme: module hierarchy is flattened, module abbreviations and functor applications are expanded, module types and unapplied functors are discarded.
- Less unsupported situations when extracting modules to Ocaml. In particular module parameters might be alpharenamed if a name clash is detected.
- Extract Inductive is now possible toward non-inductive types (e.g. nat => int)
- Extraction Implicit: this new experimental command allows to mark some arguments of a function or constructor for removed during extraction, even if these arguments don't fit the usual elimination principles of extraction, for instance the length \(n\) of a vector.
- Files ExtrOcaml*.v in plugins/extraction try to provide a library of common extraction commands: mapping of basics types toward Ocaml's counterparts, conversions from/to int and big_int, or even complete mapping of nat,Z,N to int or big_int, or mapping of ascii to char and string to char list (in this case recognition of ascii constants is hard-wired in the extraction).

Program
- Streamlined definitions using well-founded recursion and measures so that they can work on any subset of the arguments directly (uses currying).
- Try to automatically clear structural fixpoint prototypes in obligations to avoid issues with opacity.
- Use return type clause inference in pattern-matching as in the standard typing algorithm.
- Support [Local Obligation Tactic] and [Next Obligation with tactic].
- Use [Show Obligation Tactic] to print the current default tactic.
- [fst] and [snd] have maximal implicit arguments in Program now (possible source of incompatibility).

\section*{Type classes}
- Declaring axiomatic type class instances in Module Type should be now done via new command "Declare Instance", while the syntax "Instance" now always provides a concrete instance, both in and out of Module Type.
- Use [Existing Class foo] to declare a preexisting object [foo] as a class. [foo] can be an inductive type or a constant definition. No projections or instances are defined.
- Various bug fixes and improvements: support for defined fields, anonymous instances, declarations giving terms, better handling of sections and [Context].

Commands
- New command "Timeout <n> <command>." interprets a command and a timeout interrupts the execution after <n> seconds.
- New command "Compute <expr>." is a shortcut for "Eval vm_compute in <expr>".
- New command "Fail <command>." interprets a command and is successful iff the command fails on an error (but not an anomaly). Handy for tests and illustration of wrong commands.
- Most commands referring to constant (e.g. Print or About) now support referring to the constant by a notation string.
- New option "Boolean Equality Schemes" to make generation of boolean equality automatic for datatypes (together with option "Decidable Equality Schemes", this replaces deprecated option "Equality Scheme").
- Made support for automatic generation of case analysis schemes available to user (governed by option "Set Case Analysis Schemes").
- New command Global \({ }^{\text {? }}\) Generalizable All \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline * & No \\
\hline
\end{tabular} to declare which identifiers are generalizable in " \(\}\) ` and " () ` binders.
- New command "Print Opaque Dependencies" to display opaque constants in addition to all variables, parameters or axioms a theorem or definition relies on.
- New command "Declare Reduction <id> := <conv_expr>", allowing to write later "Eval <id> in ...". This command accepts a Local variant.
- Syntax of Implicit Type now supports more than one block of variables of a given type.
- Command "Canonical Structure" now warns when it has no effects.
- Commands of the form "Set X" or "Unset X" now support "Local" and "Global" prefixes.

Library
- Use "standard" Coq names for the properties of eq and identity (e.g. refl_equal is now eq_refl). Support for compatibility is provided.
- The function Compare_dec.nat_compare is now defined directly, instead of relying on lt_eq_lt_dec. The earlier version is still available under the name nat_compare_alt.
- Lemmas in library Relations and Reals have been homogenized a bit.
- The implicit argument of Logic.eq is now maximally inserted, allowing to simply write "eq" instead of "@eq _" in morphism signatures.
- Wrongly named lemmas (Zlt_gt_succ and Zlt_succ_gt) fixed (potential source of incompatibilities)
- List library:
- Definitions of list, length and app are now in Init/Datatypes. Support for compatibility is provided.
- Definition of Permutation is now in Sorting/Permtation.v
- Some other light revisions and extensions (possible source of incompatibilities solvable by qualifying names accordingly).
- In ListSet, set_map has been fixed (source of incompatibilities if used).
- Sorting library:
- new mergesort of worst-case complexity \(\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{*} \ln (\mathrm{n})\right)\) made available in Mergesort.v;
- former notion of permutation up to setoid from Permutation.v is deprecated and moved to PermutSetoid.v;
- heapsort from Heap.v of worst-case complexity \(\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{n})\) is deprecated;
- new file Sorted.v for some definitions of being sorted.
- Structure library. This new library is meant to contain generic structures such as types with equalities or orders, either in Module version (for now) or Type Classes (still to do):
- DecidableType.v and OrderedType.v: initial notions for FSets/FMaps, left for compatibility but considered as deprecated.
- Equalities.v and Orders.v: evolutions of the previous files, with fine-grain Module architecture, many variants, use of Equivalence and other relevant Type Classes notions.
- OrdersTac.v: a generic tactic for solving chains of (in)equalities over variables. See \{Nat,N,Z,P\}OrderedType.v for concrete instances.
- GenericMinMax.v: any ordered type can be equipped with min and max. We derived here all the generic properties of these functions.
- MSets library: an important evolution of the FSets library. "MSets" stands for Modular (Finite) Sets, by contrast with a forthcoming library of Class (Finite) Sets contributed by S. Lescuyer which will be integrated with the next release of Coq. The main features of MSets are:
- The use of Equivalence, Proper and other Type Classes features easing the handling of setoid equalities.
- The interfaces are now stated in iff-style. Old specifications are now derived properties.
- The compare functions are now pure, and return a "comparison" value. Thanks to the CompSpec inductive type, reasoning on them remains easy.
- Sets structures requiring invariants (i.e. sorted lists) are built first as "Raw" sets (pure objects and separate proofs) and attached with their proofs thanks to a generic functor. "Raw" sets have now a proper interface and can be manipulated directly.
Note: No Maps yet in MSets. The FSets library is still provided for compatibility, but will probably be considered as deprecated in the next release of Coq.
- Numbers library:
- The abstract layer (NatInt, Natural/Abstract, Integer/Abstract) has been simplified and enhance thanks to new features of the module system such as Include (see above). It has been extended to Euclidean division (three flavors for integers: Trunc, Floor and Math).
- The arbitrary-large efficient numbers (BigN, BigZ, BigQ) has also been reworked. They benefit from the abstract layer improvements (especially for div and mod). Note that some specifications have slightly changed (compare, div, mod, shift \(\{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}\}\) ). Ring/Field should work better (true recognition of constants).

Tools
- Option -R now supports binding Coq root read-only.
- New coqtop/coqc option -beautify to reformat .v files (usable e.g. to globally update notations).
- New tool beautify-archive to beautify a full archive of developments.
- New coqtop/coqc option -compat X.Y to simulate the general behavior of previous versions of Coq (provides e.g. support for 8.2 compatibility).

Coqdoc
- List have been revamped. List depth and scope is now determined by an "offside" whitespace rule.
- Text may be italicized by placing it in _underscores_.
- The "--index <string>" flag changes the filename of the index.
- The "--toc-depth <int>" flag limits the depth of headers which are included in the table of contents.
- The "--lib-name <string>" flag prints "<string> Foo" instead of "Library Foo" where library titles are called for. The "--no-lib-name" flag eliminates the extra title.
- New option "--parse-comments" to allow parsing of regular (* *) comments.
- New option "--plain-comments" to disable interpretation inside comments.
- New option "--interpolate" to try and typeset identifiers in Coq escapings using the available globalization information.
- New option "--external url root" to refer to external libraries.
- Links to section variables and notations now supported.

Internal infrastructure
- To avoid confusion with the repository of user's contributions, the subdirectory "contrib" has been renamed into "plugins". On platforms supporting ocaml native dynlink, code located there is built as loadable plugins for coqtop.
- An experimental build mechanism via ocamlbuild is provided. From the top of the archive, run ./configure as usual, and then ./build. Feedback about this build mechanism is most welcome. Compiling Coq on platforms such as Windows might be simpler this way, but this remains to be tested.
- The Makefile system has been simplified and factorized with the ocamlbuild system. In particular "make" takes advantage of .mllib files for building .cma/.cmxa. The .vo files to compile are now listed in several vo.itarget files.

\section*{Version 8.2}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.2 adds new features, new libraries and improves on many various aspects.
Regarding the language of Coq, the main novelty is the introduction by Matthieu Sozeau of a package of commands providing Haskell-style typeclasses. Typeclasses, which come with a few convenient features such as type-based resolution of implicit arguments, play a new landmark role in the architecture of Coq with respect to automation. For instance, thanks to typeclass support, Matthieu Sozeau could implement a new resolution-based version of the tactics dedicated to rewriting on arbitrary transitive relations.

Another major improvement of Coq 8.2 is the evolution of the arithmetic libraries and of the tools associated with them. Benjamin Grégoire and Laurent Théry contributed a modular library for building arbitrarily large integers from bounded integers while Evgeny Makarov contributed a modular library of abstract natural and integer arithmetic together with a few convenient tactics. On his side, Pierre Letouzey made numerous extensions to the arithmetic libraries on \(\mathbb{Z}\) and \(\mathbb{Q}\), including extra support for automation in presence of various number-theory concepts.

Frédéric Besson contributed a reflective tactic based on Krivine-Stengle Positivstellensatz (the easy way) for validating provability of systems of inequalities. The platform is flexible enough to support the validation of any algorithm able to produce a "certificate" for the Positivstellensatz and this covers the case of Fourier-Motzkin (for linear systems in \(\mathbb{Q}\) and \(\mathbb{R}\) ), Fourier-Motzkin with cutting planes (for linear systems in \(\mathbb{Z}\) ) and sum-of-squares (for non-linear systems). Evgeny Makarov made the platform generic over arbitrary ordered rings.
Arnaud Spiwack developed a library of 31-bits machine integers and, relying on Benjamin Grégoire and Laurent Théry's library, delivered a library of unbounded integers in base \(2^{31}\). As importantly, he developed a notion of "retro-knowledge" so as to safely extend the kernel-located bytecode-based efficient evaluation algorithm of Coq version 8.1 to use 31-bits machine arithmetic for efficiently computing with the library of integers he developed.

Beside the libraries, various improvements were contributed to provide a more comfortable end-user language and more expressive tactic language. Hugo Herbelin and Matthieu Sozeau improved the pattern matching compilation algorithm (detection of impossible clauses in pattern matching, automatic inference of the return type). Hugo Herbelin, Pierre Letouzey and Matthieu Sozeau contributed various new convenient syntactic constructs and new tactics or tactic features: more inference of redundant information, better unification, better support for proof or definition by fixpoint, more expressive rewriting tactics, better support for meta-variables, more convenient notations...

Élie Soubiran improved the module system, adding new features (such as an "include" command) and making it more flexible and more general. He and Pierre Letouzey improved the support for modules in the extraction mechanism.
Matthieu Sozeau extended the Russell language, ending in an convenient way to write programs of given specifications, Pierre Corbineau extended the Mathematical Proof Language and the automation tools that accompany it, Pierre Letouzey supervised and extended various parts of the standard library, Stéphane Glondu contributed a few tactics and improvements, Jean-Marc Notin provided help in debugging, general maintenance and coqdoc support, Vincent Siles contributed extensions of the Scheme command and of injection.

Bruno Barras implemented the coqchk tool: this is a stand-alone type checker that can be used to certify .vo files. Especially, as this verifier runs in a separate process, it is granted not to be "hijacked" by virtually malicious extensions added to Coq.
Yves Bertot, Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Pierre Courtieu and Julien Forest acted as maintainers of features they implemented in previous versions of Coq.
Julien Narboux contributed to CoqIDE. Nicolas Tabareau made the adaptation of the interface of the old "setoid rewrite" tactic to the new version. Lionel Mamane worked on the interaction between Coq and its external interfaces. With Samuel Mimram, he also helped making Coq compatible with recent software tools. Russell O'Connor, Cezary Kaliszyk, Milad Niqui contributed to improve the libraries of integers, rational, and real numbers. We also thank many users and partners for suggestions and feedback, in particular Pierre Castéran and Arthur Charguéraud, the INRIA Marelle team, Georges Gonthier and the INRIA-Microsoft Mathematical Components team, the Foundations group at Radboud university in Nijmegen, reporters of bugs and participants to the Coq-Club mailing list.

Palaiseau, June 2008
Hugo Herbelin

\section*{Details of changes}

\section*{Language}
- If a fixpoint is not written with an explicit \(\{\) struct ... \}, then all arguments are tried successively (from left to right) until one is found that satisfies the structural decreasing condition.
- New experimental typeclass system giving ad-hoc polymorphism and overloading based on dependent records and implicit arguments.
- New syntax "let 'pat := b in c" for let-binding using irrefutable patterns.
- New syntax "forall \(\{\mathrm{A}\}, \mathrm{T}\) " for specifying maximally inserted implicit arguments in terms.
- Sort of Record/Structure, Inductive and CoInductive defaults to Type if omitted.
- (Co)Inductive types can be defined as records (e.g. "CoInductive stream := \{ hd : nat; tl : stream \}.")
- New syntax "Theorem id1:t1 ... with idn:tn" for proving mutually dependent statements.
- Support for sort-polymorphism on constants denoting inductive types.
- Several evolutions of the module system (handling of module aliases, functorial module types, an Include feature, etc).
- Prop now a subtype of Set (predicative and impredicative forms).
- Recursive inductive types in Prop with a single constructor of which all arguments are in Prop is now considered to be a singleton type. It consequently supports all eliminations to Prop, Set and Type. As a consequence, Acc_rect has now a more direct proof [possible source of easily fixed incompatibility in case of manual definition of a recursor in a recursive singleton inductive type].

\section*{Commands}
- Added option Global to "Arguments Scope" for section surviving.
- Added option "Unset Elimination Schemes" to deactivate the automatic generation of elimination schemes.
- Modification of the Scheme command so you can ask for the name to be automatically computed (e.g. Scheme Induction for nat Sort Set).
- New command "Combined Scheme" to build combined mutual induction principles from existing mutual induction principles.
- New command "Scheme Equality" to build a decidable (boolean) equality for simple inductive datatypes and a decision property over this equality (e.g. Scheme Equality for nat).
- Added option "Set Equality Scheme" to make automatic the declaration of the boolean equality when possible.
- Source of universe inconsistencies now printed when option "Set Printing Universes" is activated.
- New option "Set Printing Existential Instances" for making the display of existential variable instances explicit.
- Support for option "[id1 ... idn]", and "-[id1 ... idn]", for the "compute"/"cbv" reduction strategy, respectively meaning reduce only, or everything but, the constants id1 ... idn. "lazy" alone or followed by "[id1 ... idn]", and "-[id1 ... idn]" also supported, meaning apply all of beta-iota-zeta-delta, possibly restricting delta.
- New command "Strategy" to control the expansion of constants during conversion tests. It generalizes commands Opaque and Transparent by introducing a range of levels. Lower levels are assigned to constants that should be expanded first.
- New options Global and Local to Opaque and Transparent.
- New command "Print Assumptions" to display all variables, parameters or axioms a theorem or definition relies on.
- "Add Rec LoadPath" now provides references to libraries using partially qualified names (this holds also for coqtop/coqc option -R).
- SearchAbout supports negated search criteria, reference to logical objects by their notation, and more generally search of subterms.
- "Declare ML Module" now allows to import .cmxs files when Coq is compiled in native code with a version of OCaml that supports native Dynlink (>= 3.11).
- Specific sort constraints on Record now taken into account.
- "Print LoadPath" supports a path argument to filter the display.

\section*{Libraries}
- Several parts of the libraries are now in Type, in particular FSets, SetoidList, ListSet, Sorting, Zmisc. This may induce a few incompatibilities. In case of trouble while fixing existing development, it may help to simply declare Set as an alias for Type (see file SetIsType).
- New arithmetical library in theories/Numbers. It contains:
- an abstract modular development of natural and integer arithmetics in Numbers/Natural/Abstract and Numbers/Integer/Abstract
- an implementation of efficient computational bounded and unbounded integers that can be mapped to processor native arithmetics. See Numbers/Cyclic/Int31 for 31-bit integers and Numbers/Natural/BigN for unbounded natural numbers and Numbers/Integer/BigZ for unbounded integers.
- some proofs that both older libraries Arith, ZArith and NArith and newer BigN and BigZ implement the abstract modular development. This allows in particular BigN and BigZ to already come with a large database of basic lemmas and some generic tactics (ring),

This library has still an experimental status, as well as the processor-acceleration mechanism, but both its abstract and its concrete parts are already quite usable and could challenge the use of nat, N and Z in actual developments. Moreover, an extension of this framework to rational numbers is ongoing, and an efficient Q structure is already provided (see Numbers/Rational/BigQ), but this part is currently incomplete (no abstract layer and generic lemmas).
- Many changes in FSets/FMaps. In practice, compatibility with earlier version should be fairly good, but some adaptations may be required.
- Interfaces of unordered ("weak") and ordered sets have been factorized thanks to new features of Coq modules (in particular Include), see FSetInterface. Same for maps. Hints in these interfaces have been reworked (they are now placed in a "set" database).
- To allow full subtyping between weak and ordered sets, a field "eq_dec" has been added to OrderedType. The old version of OrderedType is now called MiniOrderedType and functor MOT_to_OT allow to convert to the new version. The interfaces and implementations of sets now contain also such a "eq_dec" field.
- FSetDecide, contributed by Aaron Bohannon, contains a decision procedure allowing to solve basic set-related goals (for instance, is a point in a particular set ?). See FSetProperties for examples.
- Functors of properties have been improved, especially the ones about maps, that now propose some induction principles. Some properties of fold need less hypothesis.
- More uniformity in implementations of sets and maps: they all use implicit arguments, and no longer export unnecessary scopes (see bug \#1347)
- Internal parts of the implementations based on AVL have evolved a lot. The main files FSetAVL and FMapAVL are now much more lightweight now. In particular, minor changes in some functions has allowed to fully separate the proofs of operational correctness from the proofs of well-balancing: well-balancing is critical for efficiency, but not anymore for proving that these trees implement our interfaces, hence we have moved these proofs into appendix files FSetFullAVL and FMapFullAVL. Moreover, a few functions like union and compare have been modified in order to be structural yet efficient. The appendix files also contains alternative versions of these few functions, much closer to the initial Ocaml code and written via the Function framework.
- Library IntMap, subsumed by FSets/FMaps, has been removed from Coq Standard Library and moved into a user contribution Cachan/IntMap
- Better computational behavior of some constants (eq_nat_dec and le_lt_dec more efficient, Z_lt_le_dec and Positive_as_OT.compare transparent, ...) (exceptional source of incompatibilities).
- Boolean operators moved from module Bool to module Datatypes (may need to rename qualified references in script and force notations II and \&\& to be at levels 50 and 40 respectively).
- The constructors \(x I\) and \(x O\) of type positive now have postfix notations " \(\sim 1 "\) and \(" \sim 0 "\), allowing to write numbers in binary form easily, for instance 6 is \(1 \sim 1 \sim 0\) and \(4^{*}\) p is p \(\sim 0 \sim 0\) (see BinPos.v).
- Improvements to NArith (Nminus, Nmin, Nmax), and to QArith (in particular a better power function).
- Changes in ZArith: several additional lemmas (used in theories/Numbers), especially in Zdiv, Znumtheory, Zpower. Moreover, many results in Zdiv have been generalized: the divisor may simply be non-null instead of strictly positive (see lemmas with name ending by "_full"). An alternative file ZOdiv proposes a different behavior (the one of Ocaml) when dividing by negative numbers.
- Changes in Arith: EqNat and Wf_nat now exported from Arith, some constructions on nat that were outside Arith are now in (e.g. iter_nat).
- In SetoidList, eqlistA now expresses that two lists have similar elements at the same position, while the predicate previously called eqlistA is now equivlistA (this one only states that the lists contain the same elements, nothing more).
- Changes in Reals:
- Most statement in "sigT" (including the completeness axiom) are now in "sig" (in case of incompatibility, use proj1_sig instead of projT1, sig instead of sigT, etc).
- More uniform naming scheme (identifiers in French moved to English, consistent use of 0 -- zero -- instead of O -- letter \(\mathrm{O}--\), etc).
- Lemma on prod_f_SO is now on prod_f_R0.
- Useless hypothesis of ln_exists1 dropped.
- New Rlogic.v states a few logical properties about R axioms.
- RIneq.v extended and made cleaner.
- Slight restructuration of the Logic library regarding choice and classical logic. Addition of files providing intuitionistic axiomatizations of descriptions: Epsilon.v, Description.v and IndefiniteDescription.v.
- Definition of pred and minus made compatible with the structural decreasing criterion for use in fixpoints.
- Files Relations/Rstar.v and Relations/Newman.v moved out to the user contribution repository (contribution CoC_History). New lemmas about transitive closure added and some bound variables renamed (exceptional risk of incompatibilities).
- Syntax for binders in terms (e.g. for "exists") supports anonymous names.

Notations, coercions, implicit arguments and type inference
- More automation in the inference of the return clause of dependent pattern-matching problems.
- Experimental allowance for omission of the clauses easily detectable as impossible in pattern-matching problems.
- Improved inference of implicit arguments.
- New options "Set Maximal Implicit Insertion", "Set Reversible Pattern Implicit", "Set Strongly Strict Implicit" and "Set Printing Implicit Defensive" for controlling inference and use of implicit arguments.
- New modifier in "Implicit Arguments" to force an implicit argument to be maximally inserted.
- New modifier of "Implicit Arguments" to enrich the set of implicit arguments.
- New options Global and Local to "Implicit Arguments" for section surviving or non-export outside module.
- Level "constr" moved from 9 to 8.
- Structure/Record now printed as Record (unless option Printing All is set).
- Support for parametric notations defining constants.
- Insertion of coercions below product types refrains to unfold constants (possible source of incompatibility).
- New support for fix/cofix in notations.

Tactic Language
- Second-order pattern-matching now working in Ltac "match" clauses (syntax for second-order unification variable is "@? X ").
- Support for matching on let bindings in match context using syntax " \(\mathrm{H}:=\) body" or " \(\mathrm{H}:=\) body : type".
- Ltac accepts integer arguments (syntax is "ltac:nnn" for nnn an integer).
- The general sequence tactical "expr_0; [ expr_1 I ... | expr_n ]" is extended so that at most one expr_i may have the form "expr .." or just "..". Also, n can be different from the number of subgoals generated by expr_0. In this case, the value of expr (or idtac in case of just "..") is applied to the intermediate subgoals to make the number of tactics equal to the number of subgoals.
- A name used as the name of the parameter of a lemma (like \(f\) in "apply \(f\) _equal with ( \(\mathrm{f}:=\mathrm{t}\) )") is now interpreted as a ltac variable if such a variable exists (this is a possible source of incompatibility and it can be fixed by renaming the variables of a ltac function into names that do not clash with the lemmas parameter names used in the tactic).
- New syntax "Ltac tac ::= ..." to rebind a tactic to a new expression.
- "let rec ... in ... " now supported for expressions without explicit parameters; interpretation is lazy to the contrary of "let ... in ..."; hence, the "rec" keyword can be used to turn the argument of a "let ... in ..." into a lazy one.
- Patterns for hypotheses types in "match goal" are now interpreted in type_scope.
- A bound variable whose name is not used elsewhere now serves as metavariable in "match" and it gets instantiated by an identifier (allow e.g. to extract the name of a statement like "exists \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{P}\) x").
- New printing of Ltac call trace for better debugging.

Tactics
- New tactics "apply -> term", "apply <- term", "apply -> term in ident", "apply <- term in ident" for applying equivalences (iff).
- Slight improvement of the hnf and simpl tactics when applied on expressions with explicit occurrences of match or fix.
- New tactics "eapply in", "erewrite", "erewrite in".
- New tactics "ediscriminate", "einjection", "esimplify_eq".
- Tactics "discriminate", "injection", "simplify_eq" now support any term as argument. Clause "with" is also supported.
- Unfoldable references can be given by notation's string rather than by name in unfold.
- The "with" arguments are now typed using informations from the current goal: allows support for coercions and more inference of implicit arguments.
- Application of "f_equal"-style lemmas works better.
- Tactics elim, case, destruct and induction now support variants eelim, ecase, edestruct and einduction.
- Tactics destruct and induction now support the "with" option and the "in" clause option. If the option "in" is used, an equality is added to remember the term to which the induction or case analysis applied (possible source of parsing incompatibilities when destruct or induction is part of a let-in expression in Ltac; extra parentheses are then required).
- New support for "as" clause in tactics "apply in" and "eapply in".
- Some new intro patterns:
- intro pattern "?A" genererates a fresh name based on A. Caveat about a slight loss of compatibility: Some intro patterns don't need space between them. In particular intros ?a?b used to be legal and equivalent to intros? a ? b. Now it is still legal but equivalent to intros ?a ? b.
- intro pattern "(A \& ... \& Y \& Z)" synonym to "(A,....,(Y,Z)))))" for right-associative constructs like /or exists.
- Several syntax extensions concerning "rewrite":
- "rewrite \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\) " can be used to rewrite A , then B, then C. These rewrites occur only on the first subgoal: in particular, side-conditions of the "rewrite A" are not concerned by the "rewrite B,C".
- "rewrite A by tac" allows to apply tac on all side-conditions generated by the "rewrite A".
- "rewrite A at n" allows to select occurrences to rewrite: rewrite only happen at the n-th exact occurrence of the first successful matching of A in the goal.
- "rewrite 3 A " or "rewrite \(3!\mathrm{A}\) " is equivalent to "rewrite \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}\) ".
- "rewrite ! A" means rewriting A as long as possible (and at least once).
- "rewrite 3 ?A" means rewriting A at most three times.
- "rewrite ?A" means rewriting A as long as possible (possibly never).
- many of the above extensions can be combined with each other.
- Introduction patterns better respect the structure of context in presence of missing or extra names in nested disjunction-conjunction patterns [possible source of rare incompatibilities].
- New syntax "rename a into b, c into d" for "rename a into b; rename c into d"
- New tactics "dependent induction/destruction H [ generalizing id_1 .. id_n ]" to do induction-inversion on instantiated inductive families à la BasicElim.
- Tactics "apply" and "apply in" now able to reason modulo unfolding of constants (possible source of incompatibility in situations where apply may fail, e.g. as argument of a try or a repeat and in a ltac function); versions that do not unfold are renamed into "simple apply" and "simple apply in" (usable for compatibility or for automation).
- Tactics "apply" and "apply in" now able to traverse conjunctions and to select the first matching lemma among the components of the conjunction; tactic "apply" also able to apply lemmas of conclusion an empty type.
- Tactic "apply" now supports application of several lemmas in a row.
- Tactics "set" and "pose" can set functions using notation "(f x1..xn := c)".
- New tactic "instantiate" (without argument).
- Tactic firstorder "with" and "using" options have their meaning swapped for consistency with auto/eauto (source of incompatibility).
- Tactic "generalize" now supports "at" options to specify occurrences and "as" options to name the quantified hypotheses.
- New tactic "specialize H with a" or "specialize (H a)" allows to transform in-place a universally-quantified hypothesis ( H : forall \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{T} x\) ) into its instantiated form ( \(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{T} \mathrm{a}\) ). Nota: "specialize" was in fact there in earlier versions of Coq, but was undocumented, and had a slightly different behavior.
- New tactic "contradict H" can be used to solve any kind of goal as long as the user can provide afterwards a proof of the negation of the hypothesis H . If H is already a negation, say \(\sim \mathrm{T}\), then a proof of T is asked. If the current goal is a negation, say \(\sim U\), then \(U\) is saved in \(H\) afterwards, hence this new tactic "contradict" extends earlier tactic "swap", which is now obsolete.
- Tactics f_equal is now done in ML instead of Ltac: it now works on any equality of functions, regardless of the arity of the function.
- New options "before id", "at top", "at bottom" for tactics "move"/"intro".
- Some more debug of reflexive omega (romega), and internal clarifications. Moreover, romega now has a variant romega with * that can be also used on non-Z goals (nat, N, positive) via a call to a translation tactic named zify (its purpose is to Z-ify your goal...). This zify may also be used independently of romega.
- Tactic "remember" now supports an "in" clause to remember only selected occurrences of a term.
- Tactic "pose proof" supports name overriding in case of specialization of an hypothesis.
- Semi-decision tactic "jp" for first-order intuitionistic logic moved to user contributions (subsumed by "firstorder").

\section*{Program}
- Moved useful tactics in theories/Program and documented them.
- Add Program.Basics which contains standard definitions for functional programming (id, apply, flip...)
- More robust obligation handling, dependent pattern-matching and well-founded definitions.
- New syntax " dest term as pat in term " for destructing objects using an irrefutable pattern while keeping equalities (use this instead of "let" in Programs).
- Program CoFixpoint is accepted, Program Fixpoint uses the new way to infer which argument decreases structurally.
- Program Lemma, Axiom etc... now permit to have obligations in the statement iff they can be automatically solved by the default tactic.
- Renamed "Obligations Tactic" command to "Obligation Tactic".
- New command "Preterm [ of id ]" to see the actual term fed to Coq for debugging purposes.
- New option "Transparent Obligations" to control the declaration of obligations as transparent or opaque. All obligations are now transparent by default, otherwise the system declares them opaque if possible.
- Changed the notations "left" and "right" to "in_left" and "in_right" to hide the proofs in standard disjunctions, to avoid breaking existing scripts when importing Program. Also, put them in program_scope.
Type Classes
- New "Class", "Instance" and "Program Instance" commands to define classes and instances documented in the reference manual.
- New binding construct" [ Class_1 param_1 .. param_n, Class_2 ... ] " for binding type classes, usable everywhere.
- New command " Print Classes" and " Print Instances some_class " to print tables for typeclasses.
- New default eauto hint database "typeclass_instances" used by the default typeclass instance search tactic.
- New theories directory "theories/Classes" for standard typeclasses declarations. Module Classes.RelationClasses is a typeclass port of Relation_Definitions plus a generic development of algebra on \(n\)-ary heterogeneous predicates.
Setoid rewriting
- Complete (and still experimental) rewrite of the tactic based on typeclasses. The old interface and semantics are almost entirely respected, except:
- Import Setoid is now mandatory to be able to call setoid_replace and declare morphisms.
- "-->", "++>" and "==>" are now right associative notations declared at level 55 in scope signature_scope. Their introduction may break existing scripts that defined them as notations with different levels.
- One needs to use [Typeclasses unfold [cst]] if [cst] is used as an abbreviation hiding products in types of morphisms, e.g. if ones redefines [relation] and declares morphisms whose type mentions [relation].
- The [setoid_rewrite]'s semantics change when rewriting with a lemma: it can rewrite two different instantiations of the lemma at once. Use [setoid_rewrite H at 1] for (almost) the usual semantics. [setoid_rewrite] will also try to rewrite under binders now, and can succeed on different terms than before. In particular, it will unify under let-bound variables. When called through [rewrite], the semantics are unchanged though.
- [Add Morphism term : id] has different semantics when used with parametric morphism: it will try to find a relation on the parameters too. The behavior has also changed with respect to default relations: the most recently declared Setoid/Relation will be used, the documentation explains how to customize this behavior.
- Parametric Relation and Morphism are declared differently, using the new [Add Parametric] commands, documented in the manual.
- Setoid_Theory is now an alias to Equivalence, scripts building objects of type Setoid_Theory need to unfold (or "red") the definitions of Reflexive, Symmetric and Transitive in order to get the same goals as before. Scripts which introduced variables explicitly will not break.
- The order of subgoals when doing [setoid_rewrite] with side-conditions is always the same: first the new goal, then the conditions.
- New standard library modules Classes.Morphisms declares standard morphisms on refl/sym/trans relations. Classes.Morphisms_Prop declares morphisms on propositional connectives and Classes. Morphisms_Relations on generalized predicate connectives. Classes.Equivalence declares notations and tactics related to equivalences and Classes. SetoidTactics defines the setoid_replace tactics and some support for the Add * interface, notably the tactic applied automatically before each Add Morphism proof.
- User-defined subrelations are supported, as well as higher-order morphisms and rewriting under binders. The tactic is also extensible entirely in Ltac. The documentation has been updated to cover these features.
- [setoid_rewrite] and [rewrite] now support the [at] modifier to select occurrences to rewrite, and both use the [setoid_rewrite] code, even when rewriting with leibniz equality if occurrences are specified.

\section*{Extraction}
- Improved behavior of the Caml extraction of modules: name clashes should not happen anymore.
- The command Extract Inductive has now a syntax for infix notations. This allows in particular to map Coq lists and pairs onto OCaml ones:
- Extract Inductive list \(=>\) list [ " []\("\) " \((::) "]\).
- Extract Inductive prod \(=>"(*) "["() "\),\(] .\)
- In pattern matchings, a default pattern " \({ }_{-}->\ldots\)..." is now used whenever possible if several branches are identical. For instance, functions corresponding to decidability of equalities are now linear instead of quadratic.
- A new instruction Extraction Blacklist id1 .. idn allows to prevent filename conflits with existing code, for instance when extracting module List to Ocaml.
CoqIDE
- CoqIDE font defaults to monospace so as indentation to be meaningful.
- CoqIDE supports nested goals and any other kind of declaration in the middle of a proof.
- Undoing non-tactic commands in CoqIDE works faster.
- New CoqIDE menu for activating display of various implicit informations.
- Added the possibility to choose the location of tabs in coqide: (in Edit->Preferences->Misc)
- New Open and Save As dialogs in CoqIDE which filter * . v files.

Tools
- New stand-alone .vo files verifier "coqchk".
- Extended -I coqtop/coqc option to specify a logical dir: "-I dir -as coqdir".
- New coqtop/coqc option -exclude-dir to exclude subdirs for option -R.
- The binary "parser" has been renamed to "coq-parser".
- Improved coqdoc and dump of globalization information to give more meta-information on identifiers. All categories of Coq definitions are supported, which makes typesetting trivial in the generated documentation. Support for hyperlinking and indexing developments in the tex output has been implemented as well.

Miscellaneous
- Coq installation provides enough files so that Ocaml's extensions need not the Coq sources to be compiled (this assumes O'Caml 3.10 and Camlp5).
- New commands "Set Whelp Server" and "Set Whelp Getter" to customize the Whelp search tool.
- Syntax of "Test Printing Let ref" and "Test Printing If ref" changed into "Test Printing Let for ref" and "Test Printing If for ref".
- An overhauled build system (new Makefiles); see dev/doc/build-system.txt.
- Add -browser option to configure script.
- Build a shared library for the C part of Coq, and use it by default on non-(Windows or MacOS) systems. Bytecode executables are now pure. The behavior is configurable with -coqrunbyteflags, -coqtoolsbyteflags and -custom configure options.
- Complexity tests can be skipped by setting the environment variable COQTEST_SKIPCOMPLEXITY.

\section*{Version 8.1}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8.1 adds various new functionalities.
Benjamin Grégoire implemented an alternative algorithm to check the convertibility of terms in the Coq type checker. This alternative algorithm works by compilation to an efficient bytecode that is interpreted in an abstract machine similar to Xavier Leroy's ZINC machine. Convertibility is performed by comparing the normal forms. This alternative algorithm is specifically interesting for proofs by reflection. More generally, it is convenient in case of intensive computations.

Christine Paulin implemented an extension of inductive types allowing recursively non-uniform parameters. Hugo Herbelin implemented sort-polymorphism for inductive types (now called template polymorphism).

Claudio Sacerdoti Coen improved the tactics for rewriting on arbitrary compatible equivalence relations. He also generalized rewriting to arbitrary transition systems.

Claudio Sacerdoti Coen added new features to the module system.
Benjamin Grégoire, Assia Mahboubi and Bruno Barras developed a new, more efficient and more general simplification algorithm for rings and semirings.
Laurent Théry and Bruno Barras developed a new, significantly more efficient simplification algorithm for fields.
Hugo Herbelin, Pierre Letouzey, Julien Forest, Julien Narboux and Claudio Sacerdoti Coen added new tactic features.
Hugo Herbelin implemented matching on disjunctive patterns.
New mechanisms made easier the communication between Coq and external provers. Nicolas Ayache and JeanChristophe Filliâtre implemented connections with the provers cvcl, Simplify and zenon. Hugo Herbelin implemented an experimental protocol for calling external tools from the tactic language.

Matthieu Sozeau developed Russell, an experimental language to specify the behavior of programs with subtypes.
A mechanism to automatically use some specific tactic to solve unresolved implicit has been implemented by Hugo Herbelin.

Laurent Théry's contribution on strings and Pierre Letouzey and Jean-Christophe Filliâtre's contribution on finite maps have been integrated to the Coq standard library. Pierre Letouzey developed a library about finite sets "à la Objective Caml". With Jean-Marc Notin, he extended the library on lists. Pierre Letouzey's contribution on rational numbers has been integrated and extended.
Pierre Corbineau extended his tactic for solving first-order statements. He wrote a reflection-based intuitionistic tautology solver.

Pierre Courtieu, Julien Forest and Yves Bertot added extra support to reason on the inductive structure of recursively defined functions.

Jean-Marc Notin significantly contributed to the general maintenance of the system. He also took care of coqdoc.
Pierre Castéran contributed to the documentation of (co)inductive types and suggested improvements to the libraries.

Pierre Corbineau implemented a declarative mathematical proof language, usable in combination with the tactic-based style of proof.
Finally, many users suggested improvements of the system through the Coq-Club mailing list and bug-tracker systems, especially user groups from INRIA Rocquencourt, Radboud University, University of Pennsylvania and Yale University.

Palaiseau, July 2006
Hugo Herbelin

\section*{Details of changes in 8.1beta}

Logic
- Added sort-polymorphism on inductive families
- Allowance for recursively non-uniform parameters in inductive types

Syntax
- No more support for version 7 syntax and for translation to version 8 syntax.
- In fixpoints, the \(\{\) struct ... \} annotation is not mandatory any more when only one of the arguments has an inductive type
- Added disjunctive patterns in match-with patterns
- Support for primitive interpretation of string literals
- Extended support for Unicode ranges

Commands
- Added "Print Ltac qualid" to print a user defined tactic.
- Added "Print Rewrite HintDb" to print the content of a DB used by autorewrite.
- Added "Print Canonical Projections".
- Added "Example" as synonym of "Definition".
- Added "Proposition" and "Corollary" as extra synonyms of "Lemma".
- New command "Whelp" to send requests to the Helm database of proofs formalized in the Calculus of Inductive Constructions.
- Command "functional induction" has been re-implemented from the new "Function" command.

Ltac and tactic syntactic extensions
- New primitive "external" for communication with tool external to Coq
- New semantics for "match t with": if a clause returns a tactic, it is now applied to the current goal. If it fails, the next clause or next matching subterm is tried (i.e. it behaves as "match goal with" does). The keyword "lazymatch" can be used to delay the evaluation of tactics occurring in matching clauses.
- Hint base names can be parametric in auto and trivial.
- Occurrence values can be parametric in unfold, pattern, etc.
- Added entry constr_may_eval for tactic extensions.
- Low-priority term printer made available in ML-written tactic extensions.
- "Tactic Notation" extended to allow notations of tacticals.

\section*{Tactics}
- New implementation and generalization of setoid_* (setoid_rewrite, setoid_symmetry, setoid_transitivity, setoid_reflexivity and autorewite). New syntax for declaring relations and morphisms (old syntax still working with minor modifications, but deprecated).
- New implementation (still experimental) of the ring tactic with a built-in notion of coefficients and a better usage of setoids.
- New conversion tactic "vm_compute": evaluates the goal (or an hypothesis) with a call-by-value strategy, using the compiled version of terms.
- When rewriting H where H is not directly a Coq equality, search first H for a registered setoid equality before starting to reduce in H . This is unlikely to break any script. Should this happen nonetheless, one can insert manually some "unfold ... in H" before rewriting.
- Fixed various bugs about (setoid) rewrite ... in ... (in particular bug \#5941)
- "rewrite ... in" now accepts a clause as place where to rewrite instead of just a simple hypothesis name. For instance: rewrite \(H\) in \(H 1, H 2\) |- * means rewrite \(H\) in H1; rewrite \(H\) in \(H 2\); rewrite H rewrite \(H\) in * \(\mid\)-will do try rewrite \(H\) in \(H i\) for all hypothesis \(\mathrm{Hi}<>\mathrm{H}\).
- Added "dependent rewrite term" and "dependent rewrite term in hyp".
- Added "autorewrite with ... in hyp [using ...]".
- Tactic "replace" now accepts a "by" tactic clause.
- Added "clear - id" to clear all hypotheses except the ones depending in id.
- The argument of Declare Left Step and Declare Right Step is now a term (it used to be a reference).
- Omega now handles arbitrary precision integers.
- Several bug fixes in Reflexive Omega (romega).
- Idtac can now be left implicit in a [......] construct: for instance, [ foo I I bar ] stands for [ foo I idtac I bar ].
- Fixed a "fold" bug (noncritical but possible source of incompatibilities).
- Added classical_left and classical_right which transforms \(\mid-A \quad \backslash / B\) into \(\sim B \mid-A\) and \(\sim A \mid-B\) respectively.
- Added command "Declare Implicit Tactic" to set up a default tactic to be used to solve unresolved subterms of term arguments of tactics.
- Better support for coercions to Sortclass in tactics expecting type arguments.
- Tactic "assert" now accepts "as" intro patterns and "by" tactic clauses.
- New tactic "pose proof" that generalizes "assert (id:=p)" with intro patterns.
- New introduction pattern "?" for letting Coq choose a name.
- Introduction patterns now support side hypotheses (e.g. intros [I] on "(nat \(->\) nat) \(->\) nat" works).
- New introduction patterns "->" and "<-" for immediate rewriting of introduced hypotheses.
- Introduction patterns coming after nontrivial introduction patterns now force full introduction of the first pattern (e.g. intros [[l] p] on nat->nat->nat now behaves like intros [[|?] p])
- Added "eassumption".
- Added option 'using lemmas' to auto, trivial and eauto.
- Tactic "congruence" is now complete for its intended scope (ground equalities and inequalities with constructors). Furthermore, it tries to equates goal and hypotheses.
- New tactic "rtauto" solves pure propositional logic and gives a reflective version of the available proof.
- Numbering of "pattern", "unfold", "simpl", ... occurrences in "match with" made consistent with the printing of the return clause after the term to match in the "match-with" construct (use "Set Printing All" to see hidden occurrences).
- Generalization of induction "induction \(x 1 \ldots x n\) using scheme" where scheme is an induction principle with complex predicates (like the ones generated by function induction).
- Some small Ltac tactics has been added to the standard library (file Tactics.v):
- f_equal : instead of using the different f_equalX lemmas
- case_eq : a "case" without loss of information. An equality stating the current situation is generated in every sub-cases.
- swap : for a negated goal \(\sim B\) and a negated hypothesis \(H: \sim A\), swap \(H\) asks you to prove \(A\) from hypothesis B
- revert : revert H is generalize H ; clear H .

\section*{Extraction}
- All type parts should now disappear instead of sometimes producing _ (for instance in Map.empty).
- Haskell extraction: types of functions are now printed, better unsafeCoerce mechanism, both for hugs and ghc.
- Scheme extraction improved, see http://www.pps.jussieu.fr/~letouzey/scheme.
- Many bug fixes.

Modules
- Added "Locate Module qualid" to get the full path of a module.
- Module/Declare Module syntax made more uniform.
- Added syntactic sugar "Declare Module Export/Import" and "Module Export/Import".
- Added syntactic sugar "Module M(Export/Import X Y: T)" and "Module Type M(Export/Import X Y: T)" (only for interactive definitions)
- Construct "with" generalized to module paths: T with (DefinitionlModule) M1.M2....Mn.l := l'.

\section*{Notations}
- Option "format" aware of recursive notations.
- Added insertion of spaces by default in recursive notations w/o separators.
- No more automatic printing box in case of user-provided printing "format".
- New notation "exists! x:A, P" for unique existence.
- Notations for specific numerals now compatible with generic notations of numerals (e.g. " 1 " can be used to denote the unit of a group without hiding \(1 \%\) nat)

Libraries
- New library on String and Ascii characters (contributed by L. Thery).
- New library FSets+FMaps of finite sets and maps.
- New library QArith on rational numbers.
- Small extension of Zmin.V, new Zmax.v, new Zminmax.v.
- Reworking and extension of the files on classical logic and description principles (possible incompatibilities)
- Few other improvements in ZArith potentially exceptionally breaking the compatibility (useless hypothesys of Zgt_square_simpl and Zlt_square_simpl removed; fixed names mentioning letter O instead of digit 0; weaken premises in Z_lt_induction).
- Restructuration of Eqdep_dec.v and Eqdep.v: more lemmas in Type.
- Znumtheory now contains a gcd function that can compute within Coq.
- More lemmas stated on Type in Wf.v, removal of redundant Acc_iter and Acc_iter2.
- Change of the internal names of lemmas in OmegaLemmas.
- Acc in Wf.v and clos_refl_trans in Relation_Operators.v now rely on the allowance for recursively non-uniform parameters (possible source of incompatibilities: explicit pattern-matching on these types may require to remove the occurrence associated with their recursively non-uniform parameter).
- Coq.List.In_dec has been set transparent (this may exceptionally break proof scripts, set it locally opaque for compatibility).
- More on permutations of lists in List.v and Permutation.v.
- List.v has been much expanded.
- New file SetoidList.v now contains results about lists seen with respect to a setoid equality.
- Library NArith has been expanded, mostly with results coming from Intmap (for instance a bitwise xor), plus also a bridge between N and Bitvector.
- Intmap has been reorganized. In particular its address type "addr" is now N. User contributions known to use Intmap have been adapted accordingly. If you're using this library please contact us. A wrapper FMapIntMap now presents Intmap as a particular implementation of FMaps. New developments are strongly encouraged to use either this wrapper or any other implementations of FMap instead of using directly this obsolete Intmap.

Tools
- New semantics for coqtop options ("-batch" expects option "-top dir" for loading vernac file that contains definitions).
- Tool coq_makefile now removes custom targets that are file names in "make clean"
- New environment variable COQREMOTEBROWSER to set the command invoked to start the remote browser both in Coq and CoqIDE. Standard syntax: "\%s" is the placeholder for the URL.

\section*{Details of changes in 8.1gamma}

Syntax
- changed parsing precedence of let/in and fun constructions of Ltac: let \(\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{t}\) in e 1 ; e 2 is now parsed as let \(\mathrm{x}:=\mathrm{t}\) in (e1;e2).

Language and commands
- Added sort-polymorphism for definitions in Type (but finally abandoned).
- Support for implicit arguments in the types of parameters in (co)fixpoints and (co)inductive declarations.
- Improved type inference: use as much of possible general information. before applying irreversible unification heuristics (allow e.g. to infer the predicate in "(exist_0 (refl_equal 0) : \(\{\mathrm{n}\) :nat \(\mid \mathrm{n}=0\}\) )").
- Support for Miller-Pfenning's patterns unification in type synthesis (e.g. can infer \(P\) such that \(P x y=p h i(x, y))\).
- Support for "where" clause in cofixpoint definitions.
- New option "Set Printing Universes" for making Type levels explicit.

\section*{Tactics}
- Improved implementation of the ring and field tactics. For compatibility reasons, the previous tactics are renamed as legacy ring and legacy field, but should be considered as deprecated.
- New declarative mathematical proof language.
- Support for argument lists of arbitrary length in Tactic Notation.
- rewrite ... in \(H\) now fails if \(H\) is used either in an hypothesis or in the goal.
- The semantics of rewrite . . . in * has been slightly modified (see doc).
- Support for as clause in tactic injection.
- New forward-reasoning tactic "apply in".
- Ltac fresh operator now builds names from a concatenation of its arguments.
- New ltac tactic "remember" to abstract over a subterm and keep an equality
- Support for Miller-Pfenning's patterns unification in apply/rewrite/... (may lead to few incompatibilities - generally now useless tactic calls).

Bug fixes
- Fix for notations involving basic "match" expressions.
- Numerous other bugs solved (a few fixes may lead to incompatibilities).

\section*{Details of changes in 8.1}

Bug fixes
- Many bugs have been fixed (cf coq-bugs web page)

\section*{Tactics}
- New tactics ring, ring_simplify and new tactic field now able to manage power to a positive integer constant. Tactic ring on Z and R , and field on R manage power (may lead to incompatibilities with V8.1gamma).
- Tactic field_simplify now applicable in hypotheses.
- New field_simplify_eq for simplifying field equations into ring equations.
- Tactics ring, ring_simplify, field, field_simplify and field_simplify_eq all able to apply user-given equations to rewrite monoms on the fly (see documentation).

\section*{Libraries}
- New file ConstructiveEpsilon.v defining an epsilon operator and proving the axiom of choice constructively for a countable domain and a decidable predicate.

\section*{Version 8.0}

\section*{Summary of changes}

Coq version 8 is a major revision of the Coq proof assistant. First, the underlying logic is slightly different. The so-called impredicativity of the sort Set has been dropped. The main reason is that it is inconsistent with the principle of description which is quite a useful principle for formalizing mathematics within classical logic. Moreover, even in an constructive setting, the impredicativity of Set does not add so much in practice and is even subject of criticism from a large part of the intuitionistic mathematician community. Nevertheless, the impredicativity of Set remains optional for users interested in investigating mathematical developments which rely on it.
Secondly, the concrete syntax of terms has been completely revised. The main motivations were
- a more uniform, purified style: all constructions are now lowercase, with a functional programming perfume (e.g. abstraction is now written fun), and more directly accessible to the novice (e.g. dependent product is now written forall and allows omission of types). Also, parentheses are no longer mandatory for function application.
- extensibility: some standard notations (e.g. "<" and ">") were incompatible with the previous syntax. Now all standard arithmetic notations \((=,+, *, /,<,<=, \ldots\) and more) are directly part of the syntax.

Together with the revision of the concrete syntax, a new mechanism of notation scopes permits to reuse the same symbols (typically \(+,-, *, /,<,<=\) ) in various mathematical theories without any ambiguities for Coq, leading to a largely improved readability of Coq scripts. New commands to easily add new symbols are also provided.

Coming with the new syntax of terms, a slight reform of the tactic language and of the language of commands has been carried out. The purpose here is a better uniformity making the tactics and commands easier to use and to remember.
Thirdly, a restructuring and uniformization of the standard library of Coq has been performed. There is now just one Leibniz equality usable for all the different kinds of Coq objects. Also, the set of real numbers now lies at the same level as the sets of natural and integer numbers. Finally, the names of the standard properties of numbers now follow a standard pattern and the symbolic notations for the standard definitions as well.

The fourth point is the release of CoqIDE, a new graphical gtk2-based interface fully integrated with Coq. Close in style to the Proof General Emacs interface, it is faster and its integration with Coq makes interactive developments more friendly. All mathematical Unicode symbols are usable within CoqIDE.

Finally, the module system of Coq completes the picture of Coq version 8.0. Though released with an experimental status in the previous version 7.4, it should be considered as a salient feature of the new version.

Besides, Coq comes with its load of novelties and improvements: new or improved tactics (including a new tactic for solving first-order statements), new management commands, extended libraries.

Bruno Barras and Hugo Herbelin have been the main contributors of the reflection and the implementation of the new syntax. The smart automatic translator from old to new syntax released with Coq is also their work with contributions by Olivier Desmettre.

Hugo Herbelin is the main designer and implementer of the notion of notation scopes and of the commands for easily adding new notations.

Hugo Herbelin is the main implementer of the restructured standard library.
Pierre Corbineau is the main designer and implementer of the new tactic for solving first-order statements in presence of inductive types. He is also the maintainer of the non-domain specific automation tactics.

Benjamin Monate is the developer of the CoqIDE graphical interface with contributions by Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Pierre Letouzey, Claude Marché and Bruno Barras.

Claude Marché coordinated the edition of the Reference Manual for Coq V8.0.
Pierre Letouzey and Jacek Chrząszcz respectively maintained the extraction tool and module system of Coq.

Jean-Christophe Filliâtre, Pierre Letouzey, Hugo Herbelin and other contributors from Sophia-Antipolis and Nijmegen participated in extending the library.
Julien Narboux built a NSIS-based automatic Coq installation tool for the Windows platform.
Hugo Herbelin and Christine Paulin coordinated the development which was under the responsibility of Christine Paulin.

Palaiseau \& Orsay, Apr. 2004
Hugo Herbelin \& Christine Paulin
(updated Apr. 2006)

\section*{Details of changes in 8.0beta old syntax}

Logic
- Set now predicative by default
- New option -impredicative-set to set Set impredicative
- The standard library doesn't need impredicativity of Set and is compatible with the classical axioms which contradict Set impredicativity
Syntax for arithmetic
- Notation " \(=\) " and " \(<>\) " in Z and R are no longer implicitly in Z or R (with possible introduction of a coercion), use \(<\mathrm{Z}\rangle \ldots=\ldots\) or \(\langle\mathrm{Z}\rangle \ldots<>\ldots\) instead
- Locate applied to a simple string (e.g. " + ") searches for all notations containing this string

\section*{Commands}
- "Declare ML Module" now allows to import .cma files. This avoids to use a bunch of "Declare ML Module" statements when using several ML files.
- "Set Printing Width n" added, allows to change the size of width printing.
- "Implicit Variables Type \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}: \mathrm{t}\) " (new syntax: "Implicit Types x y:t") assigns default types for binding variables.
- Declarations of Hints and Notation now accept a "Local" flag not to be exported outside the current file even if not in section
- "Print Scopes" prints all notations
- New command "About name" for light printing of type, implicit arguments, etc.
- New command "Admitted" to declare incompletely proven statement as axioms
- New keyword "Conjecture" to declare an axiom intended to be provable
- SearchAbout can now search for lemmas referring to more than one constant and on substrings of the name of the lemma
- "Print Implicit" displays the implicit arguments of a constant
- Locate now searches for all names having a given suffix
- New command "Functional Scheme" for building an induction principle from a function defined by case analysis and fix.

Commands
- new coqtop/coqc option -dont-load-proofs not to load opaque proofs in memory

Implicit arguments
- Inductive in sections declared with implicits now "discharged" with implicits (like constants and variables)
- Implicit Arguments flags are now synchronous with reset
- New switch "Unset/Set Printing Implicits" (new syntax: "Unset/Set Printing Implicit") to globally control printing of implicits

Grammar extensions
- Many newly supported UTF-8 encoded unicode blocks - Greek letters (0380-03FF), Hebrew letters (U05D0-05EF), letter-like symbols (2100-214F, that includes double N,Z,Q,R), prime signs (from 2080-2089) and characters from many written languages are valid in identifiers - mathematical operators (2200-22FF), supplemental mathematical operators ( \(2 \mathrm{~A} 00-2 \mathrm{AFF}\) ), miscellaneous technical ( \(2300-23 \mathrm{FF}\) that includes sqrt symbol), miscellaneous symbols (2600-26FF), arrows ( \(2190-21 \mathrm{FF}\) and 2900-297F), invisible mathematical operators (from 2080-2089), ... are valid symbols
Library
- New file about the factorial function in Arith
- An additional elimination Acc_iter for Acc, simpler than Acc_rect. This new elimination principle is used for definition well_founded_induction.
- New library NArith on binary natural numbers
- R is now of type Set
- Restructuration in ZArith library
- "true_sub" used in Zplus now a definition, not a local one (source of incompatibilities in proof referring to true_sub, may need extra Unfold)
- Some lemmas about minus moved from fast_integer to Arith/Minus.v (le_minus, lt_mult_left) (theoretical source of incompatibilities)
- Several lemmas moved from auxiliary.v and zarith_aux.v to fast_integer.v (theoretical source of incompatibilities)
- Variables names of iff_trans changed (source of incompatibilities)
- ZArith lemmas named OMEGA something or fast_something, and lemma new_var are now out of ZArith (except OMEGA2)
- Redundant ZArith lemmas have been renamed: for the following pairs, use the second name (Zle_Zmult_right2, Zle_mult_simpl), (OMEGA2, Zle_0_plus), (Zplus_assoc_1, Zplus_assoc), (Zmult_one, Zmult_1_n), (Zmult_assoc_1, Zmult_assoc), (Zmult_minus_distr, Zmult_Zminus_distr_1) (add_un_double_moins_un_xO, is_double_moins_un), (Rlt_monotony_rev,Rlt_monotony_contra) (source of incompatibilities)
- Few minor changes (no more implicit arguments in Zmult_Zminus_distr_1 and Zmult_Zminus_distr_r, lemmas moved from Zcomplements to other files) (rare source of incompatibilities)
- New lemmas provided by users added

Tactic language
- Fail tactic now accepts a failure message
- Idtac tactic now accepts a message
- New primitive tactic "FreshId" (new syntax: "fresh") to generate new names
- Debugger prints levels of calls

Tactics
- Replace can now replace proofs also
- Fail levels are now decremented at "Match Context" blocks only and if the right-hand-side of "Match term With" are tactics, these tactics are never evaluated immediately and do not induce backtracking (in contrast with "Match Context")
- Quantified names now avoid global names of the current module (like Intro names did) [source of rare incompatibilities: 2 changes in the set of user contribs]
- NewDestruct/NewInduction accepts intro patterns as introduction names
- NewDestruct/NewInduction now work for non-inductive type using option "using"
- A NewInduction naming bug for inductive types with functional arguments (e.g. the accessibility predicate) has been fixed (source of incompatibilities)
- Symmetry now applies to hypotheses too
- Inversion now accept option "as [ ... ]" to name the hypotheses
- Contradiction now looks also for contradictory hypotheses stating \(\sim \mathrm{A}\) and A (source of incompatibility)
- "Contradiction c" try to find an hypothesis in context which contradicts the type of c
- Ring applies to new library NArith (require file NArithRing)
- Field now works on types in Set
- Auto with reals now try to replace le by ge (Rge_le is no longer an immediate hint), resulting in shorter proofs
- Instantiate now works in hyps (syntax : Instantiate in ...)
- Some new tactics : EConstructor, ELeft, Eright, ESplit, EExists
- New tactic "functional induction" to perform case analysis and induction following the definition of a function.
- Clear now fails when trying to remove a local definition used by a constant appearing in the current goal

Extraction (See details in plugins/extraction/CHANGES)
- The old commands: (Recursive) Extraction Module M. are now: (Recursive) Extraction Library M. To use these commands, M should come from a library M.v
- The other syntax Extraction \& Recursive Extraction now accept module names as arguments.

Bugs
- see coq-bugs server for the complete list of fixed bugs

\section*{Miscellaneous}
- Implicit parameters of inductive types definition now taken into account for inferring other implicit arguments

Incompatibilities
- Persistence of true_sub (4 incompatibilities in Coq user contributions)
- Variable names of some constants changed for a better uniformity (2 changes in Coq user contributions)
- Naming of quantified names in goal now avoid global names (2 occurrences)
- NewInduction naming for inductive types with functional arguments (no incompatibility in Coq user contributions)
- Contradiction now solve more goals (source of 2 incompatibilities)
- Merge of eq and eqT may exceptionally result in subgoals now solved automatically
- Redundant pairs of ZArith lemmas may have different names: it may cause "Apply/Rewrite with" to fail if using the first name of a pair of redundant lemmas (this is solved by renaming the variables bound by "with"; 3 incompatibilities in Coq user contribs)
- ML programs referring to constants from fast_integer.v must use "Coqlib.gen_constant_modules Coqlib.zarith_base_modules" instead

\section*{Details of changes in 8.0beta new syntax}

New concrete syntax
- A completely new syntax for terms
- A more uniform syntax for tactics and the tactic language
- A few syntactic changes for commands
- A smart automatic translator translating V8.0 files in old syntax to files valid for V8.0

Syntax extensions
- "Grammar" for terms disappears
- "Grammar" for tactics becomes "Tactic Notation"
- "Syntax" disappears
- Introduction of a notion of notation scope allowing to use the same notations in various contexts without using specific delimiters (e.g the same expression " \(4<=3+\mathrm{x}\) " is interpreted either in "nat", "positive", "N" (previously "entier"), "Z", "R", depending on which Notation scope is currently open) [see documentation for details]
- Notation now requires a precedence and associativity (default was to set precedence to 1 and associativity to none)

Revision of the standard library
- Many lemmas and definitions names have been made more uniform mostly in Arith, NArith, ZArith and Reals (e.g : "times" -> "Pmult", "times_sym" -> "Pmult_comm", "Zle_Zmult_pos_right" -> "Zmult_le_compat_r", "SUPERIEUR" -> "Gt", "ZERO" -> "Z0")
- Order and names of arguments of basic lemmas on nat, Z, positive and R have been made uniform.
- Notions of Coq initial state are declared with (strict) implicit arguments
- eq merged with eqT: old eq disappear, new eq (written \(=\) ) is old eqT and new eqT is syntactic sugar for new eq (notation \(==\) is an alias for \(=\) and is written as it, exceptional source of incompatibilities)
- Similarly, ex, ex2, all, identity are merged with exT, exT2, allT, identityT
- Arithmetical notations for nat, positive, N, Z, R, without needing any backquote or double-backquotes delimiters.
- In Lists: new concrete notations; argument of nil is now implicit
- All changes in the library are taken in charge by the translator

Semantical changes during translation
- Recursive keyword set by default (and no longer needed) in Tactic Definition
- Set Implicit Arguments is strict by default in new syntax
- reductions in hypotheses of the form "... in H " now apply to the type also if H is a local definition
- etc

Gallina
- New syntax of the form "Inductive bool : Set := true, false : bool." for enumerated types
- Experimental syntax of the form p.(fst) for record projections (activable with option "Set Printing Projections" which is recognized by the translator)
Known problems of the automatic translation
- iso-latin-1 characters are no longer supported: move your files to 7-bits ASCII or unicode before translation (switch to unicode is automatically done if a file is loaded and saved again by coqide)
- Renaming in ZArith: incompatibilities in Coq user contribs due to merging names INZ, from Reals, and inject_nat.
- Renaming and new lemmas in ZArith: may clash with names used by users
- Restructuration of ZArith: replace requirement of specific modules in ZArith by "Require Import ZArith_base" or "Require Import ZArith"
- Some implicit arguments must be made explicit before translation: typically for "length nil", the implicit argument of length must be made explicit
- Grammar rules, Infix notations and V7.4 Notations must be updated wrt the new scheme for syntactic extensions (see translator documentation)
- Unsafe for annotation Cases when constructors coercions are used or when annotations are eta-reduced predicates

\section*{Details of changes in 8.0}

\section*{Commands}
- New option "Set Printing All" to deactivate all high-level forms of printing (implicit arguments, coercions, destructing let, if-then-else, notations, projections)
- "Functional Scheme" and "Functional Induction" extended to polymorphic types and dependent types
- Notation now allows recursive patterns, hence recovering parts of the functionalities of pre-V8 Grammar/Syntax commands
- Command "Print." discontinued.
- Redundant syntax "Implicit Arguments On/Off" discontinued

New syntax
- Semantics change of the if-then-else construction in new syntax: "if c then t1 else t 2 " now stands for "match c with \(\mathrm{c} 1_{-} \cdots_{-}=>\mathrm{t} 1 \mid \mathrm{c} 2_{-} \cdots_{-}=>\mathrm{t} 2\) end" with no dependency of t 1 and t 2 in the arguments of the constructors; this may cause incompatibilities for files translated using coq 8.0beta
Notation scopes
- Delimiting key \%bool for bool_scope added
- Import no more needed to activate argument scopes from a module

Tactics and the tactic Language
- Semantics of "assert" is now consistent with the reference manual
- New tactics stepl and stepr for chaining transitivity steps
- Tactic "replace ... with ... in" added
- Intro patterns now supported in Ltac (parsed with prefix "ipattern:")

Executables and tools
- Added option -top to change the name of the toplevel module "Top"
- Coqdoc updated to new syntax and now part of Coq sources
- XML exportation tool now exports the structure of vernacular files (cf chapter 13 in the reference manual)

User contributions
- User contributions have been updated to the new syntax

Bug fixes
- Many bugs have been fixed (cf coq-bugs web page)
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Kernel Term Sharing, 292
Keyed Unification, 287
|
Lia Cache, 433
Loose Hint Behavior, 463
Ltac Backtrace, 513
Ltac Batch Debug, 514
Ltac Debug, 514
Ltac Profiling, 514
Ltac2 Backtrace, 543

\section*{m}

Mangle Names, 251
Mangle Names Light, 251

Mangle Names Prefix, 251
Maximal Implicit Insertion, 115

\section*{n}

NativeCompute Profile Filename, 297
NativeCompute Profiling, 297
NativeCompute Timing, 297
Nested Proofs Allowed, 252
Nia Cache, 433
Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes, 326
Nra Cache, 433

\section*{\(p\)}

Parsing Explicit,118
Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity, 90
Positivity Checking, 231
Primitive Projections, 38
Printing All, 230
Printing Allow Match Default Clause, 125
Printing Coercion, 183
Printing Coercions, 183
Printing Compact Contexts, 230
Printing Constructor, 37
Printing Dependent Evars Line, 230
Printing Depth, 230
Printing Existential Instances, 109
Printing Factorizable Match Patterns, 124
Printing Float, 562
Printing Goal Names, 252
Printing Goal Tags, 252
Printing If, 125
Printing Implicit, 117
Printing Implicit Defensive, 117
Printing Let, 125
Printing Matching, 124
Printing Notations, 143
Printing Parentheses, 143
Printing Primitive Projection
Parameters, 38
Printing Projections, 37
Printing Raw Literals, 143
Printing Record, 37
Printing Records, 37
Printing Synth, 125
Printing Unfocused, 230
Printing Universes, 96
Printing Use Implicit Types, 119
Printing Width, 230
Printing Wildcard, 125
Private Polymorphic Universes, 97
Program Cases, 211
Program Generalized Coercion, 211
Program Mode, 211

\section*{r}

Regular Subst Tactic, 288
Reversible Pattern Implicit, 115
Rewriting Schemes, 326

\section*{S}

Search Blacklist, 222
Search Output Name Only, 222
Short Module Printing, 75
Silent, 230
Solve Unification Constraints, 251
SsrHave NoTCResolution, 378
SsrIdents, 336
SsrOldRewriteGoalsOrder, 384
SsrRewrite, 336
Strict Implicit, 114
Strict Universe Declaration, 97
Strongly Strict Implicit, 114
Structural Injection, 315
Suggest Proof Using, 240
t
Transparent Obligations, 214
Typeclass Resolution For Conversion, 196
Typeclasses Debug, 197
Typeclasses Debug Verbosity, 197
Typeclasses Dependency Order, 196
Typeclasses Depth, 197
Typeclasses Filtered Unification, 196
Typeclasses Iterative Deepening, 197
Typeclasses Limit Intros, 196
Typeclasses Strict Resolution, 196
Typeclasses Unique Instances, 197
Typeclasses Unique Solutions, 196

\section*{U}

Uniform Inductive Parameters, 43
Universe Checking, 231
Universe Minimization ToSet, 95
Universe Polymorphism, 89

\section*{W}

Warnings, 230

\section*{GALLINA INDEX}
b
Bound on the ceiling function, 435
C
Case split, 435
p
Psatz, 434

\section*{ERRORS AND WARNINGS INDEX}
"
"All" is a predefined collection containing all variables. It can't be redefined, 241
,
'via' and 'abstract' cannot be used together, 164
-
... is not definitionally an identity function, 182

\section*{a}

A coercion will be introduced instead of an instance in future versions when using ':>' in 'Class' declarations. Replace ':>' with '::' (or use '\#[global] Existing Instance field.' for compatibility with Coq < 8.17), 193
Activation of abbreviations does not expect mentioning a grammar entry, 142
Activation of abbreviations does not expect mentioning a scope, 142
Argument at position 'natural' is mentioned more than once, 117
Argument of match does not evaluate to a term, 494
Argument 'name' is a trailing implicit, so it can't be declared non maximal. Please use \{ \} instead of [ ], 112
Arguments given by name or position not supported in explicit mode, 117
Arguments of ring_simplify do not have all the same type, 444
Arguments of section variables such as 'name' may not be renamed, 173

Attempt to save an incomplete proof, 237 Automatically declaring 'ident' as template polymorphic, 55

\section*{b}

Bad lemma for decidability of equality, 447
Bad magic number, 225
Bad occurrence number of 'qualid', 295
Bad relevance, 105
Bad ring structure, 447
Brackets do not support multi-goal
selectors, 243

\section*{C}

Cannot build functional inversion principle, 577
Cannot change 'ident', it is used in conclusion, 279
Cannot change 'ident', it is used in hypothesis 'ident', 279
Cannot coerce 'qualid' to an evaluable reference, 460
Cannot define graph for 'ident', 577
Cannot define principle(s) for 'ident', 577
Cannot find a declared ring structure for equality 'term',444
Cannot find a declared ring structure over 'term',444
Cannot find a relation to rewrite, 287
Cannot find any non-recursive equality over 'ident’,288
Cannot find induction information on 'qualid', 578
Cannot find inversion information for hypothesis 'ident', 578
Cannot find library foo in loadpath, 225
Cannot find the source class of 'qualid', 182
Cannot find the target class, 182
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Cannot import local constant, it be ignored, 74 & Expression does not evaluate to tactic, 494 \\
\hline Cannot infer a term for this placeholder. (Casual use of implicit arguments), 112 & failed to progress, 489 \\
\hline ```
Cannot infer a term for this
    placeholder. (refine),263
``` & File ... found twice in ..., 228 File not found on loadpath: 'string', \\
\hline Cannot interpret in 'scope_name' because 'qualid' could not be found in the current environment, 166 & ```
    226
Files processed by Load cannot leave
    open proofs,224
Flag 'rename' expected to rename
``` \\
\hline ```
Cannot interpret this number as a
    value of type 'type',164
Cannot interpret this string as a
    value of type 'type',165
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
'name' into 'name', 173 \\
Found a constructor of inductive type term while a constructor of term is expected, 135
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Cannot load 'qualid': no physical path bound to 'dirpath', 225 & Found an "at" clause without "with" clause, 289 \\
\hline Cannot move 'ident' after 'ident': it depends on 'ident', 275 & Found no matching notation to enable or disable, 142 \\
\hline Cannot move 'ident' after 'ident': it occurs in the type of 'ident', 275 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Found no subterm matching 'term' in the current goal, 287 \\
Found no subterm matching 'term' in
\end{tabular} \\
\hline annot recognize a boolean equality, 432 & 87 \\
\hline Cannot recognize a statement based on 'reference',308 & Found target class 'class' instead of 'class', 182 \\
\hline ```
Cannot recognize 'class' as a source
    class of 'qualid',182
``` & Funclass cannot be a source class, 182 \\
\hline Cannot turn [inductivelconstructor] into an evaluable reference, 294 & g Goal is solvable by congruence but \\
\hline Cannot use mutual definition with well-founded recursion or measure, 577 & some arguments are missing. Try congruence with 'term'...'term', replacing metavariables by \\
\hline 't find file 'ident' on loadp & arbitrary terms, 432 \\
\hline Casts are not supported in this pattern, 30 & h \\
\hline Compiled library 'ident'.vo makes inconsistent assumptions over library 'qualid', 225 & Hypothesis 'ident' must contain at least one Function, 578 \\
\hline Condition not satisfied, 502 & I \\
\hline d & I don't know how to handle dependent equality, 432 \\
\hline Debug mode not available in the IDE, 514 Declaring arbitrary terms as hints is fragile; it is recommended to declare a toplevel constant instead, 459 & ```
Ignored instance declaration for
    "'ident'": "'term'" is not a
    class,193
Ignoring implicit binder declaration
        in unexpected position,113
``` \\
\hline ynlink error: execution of module initializers in the, 226 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ill-formed recursive definition, 215 \\
Ill-formed template inductive
\end{tabular} \\
\hline e & declaration: not polymorphic on any universe, 56 \\
\hline Either there is a type incompatibility or the problem involves dependencies, 135 & Incorrect number of tactics (expected N tactics, was given M),396 Invalid backtrack, 228 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
lapply needs a non-dependent product, 270
Last block to end has name 'ident', 68
Load is not supported inside proofs, 224
Ltac Profiler encountered an invalid stack (no self node). This can happen if you reset the profile during tactic execution, 516
Ltac2 alias 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string',584
Ltac2 definition 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string', 584
Ltac2 notation 'ltac2_scope'...'ltac2_scop is deprecated since 'string'. 'string', 584

\section*{m}

Making shadowed name of implicit argument accessible by position, 114
Missing mapping for constructor 'qualid', 166
Module/section 'qualid' not found, 217
More than one interpretation bound to this notation, confirm with the "all" modifier, 142
Multiple 'via' options, 165
Multiple 'warning after' or 'abstract after' options, 165

\section*{n}

Nested proofs are discouraged and not allowed by default. This error probably means that you forgot to close the last "Proof." with "Qed." or "Defined.". If you really intended to use nested proofs, you can do so by turning the "Nested Proofs Allowed" flag on, 16
New coercion path ... is ambiguous with existing ..., 182
New Collection definition of 'ident' shadows the previous one, 241
No applicable tactic, 488
No argument name 'ident',577
No evars, 502
No field named 'ident' in 'qualid', 76
No focused proof, 248
No focused proof (No proof-editing in progress), 237
No focused proof to restart, 242

No head constant to reduce, 294
No information can be deduced
from this equality and the injectivity of constructors.
This may be because the terms are convertible, or due to pattern matching restrictions in the sort Prop. You can try to use option Set Keep Proof Equalities, 315
No matching clauses for match, 494
No matching clauses for match goal, 497
No notation provided, 142
No primitive equality found, 313
Ne product even after head-reduction, 272
No progress made, 474
No quantified hypothesis named 'ident' in current goal even after head-reduction, 274
No such assumption, 262
No such binder, 254
No such bound variable 'ident' (no bound variables at all in the expression), 254
No such bound variable 'ident' (possible names are: 'ident' ...), 254
No such goal, 248
No such goal ('ident'), 243
No such goal ('natural'), 243
No such goal. (fail), 490
No such goal. (Goal selector), 483
No such goal. Focus next goal with bullet 'bullet', 244
No such goal. Try unfocusing with \}, 244
No such hypothesis: 'ident', 262
No 'natural'-th non dependent
hypothesis in current goal even after head-reduction, 274
Non exhaustive pattern matching, 135
Non extensible universe declaration
not supported with monomorphic
Program Definition, 212
Non strictly positive occurrence of
'ident' in 'type', 40
not a cofix definition, 503
not a constant, 503
not a constructor, 503
Not a context variable, 499
Not a discriminable equality, 313
not a fix definition, 503
Not a negated primitive equality, 315
not a primitive projection, 503

Not a valid ring equation, 444
Not a variable or hypothesis, 503
not an (co)inductive datatype, 503
Not an evar, 502
Not an exact proof, 262
Not an inductive goal with 1 constructor, 303
Not an inductive goal with 2 constructors, 303
Not an inductive product, 303
Not convertible, 289
Not enough constructors, 303
Not enough non implicit arguments to accept the argument bound to 'ident', 117
Not enough non implicit arguments to accept the argument bound to 'natural', 117
Not equal, 502
Not equal (due to universes), 502
Not ground, 502
Not the right number of induction arguments, 578
Not the right number of missing arguments (expected 'natural'), 254
Notation levels must range between 0 and 6,537
Notation 'string' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string', 584
Nothing to inject, 315
Nothing to rewrite, 474
0
overflow in int63 literal 'bigint',164

\section*{p}
package-name.foo and not foo_plugin, 226 plugin name anymore. Plugins should be loaded using their, 226
Polymorphic universe constraints can only be declared inside sections, use Monomorphic Constraint instead, 96
Polymorphic universes can only be declared inside sections, use Monomorphic Universe instead, 95
Proof is not complete. (abstract), 247
Proof is not complete. (assert), 278
public name according to findlib, for example, 226
r
Records declared with the keyword

Record or Structure cannot be recursive, 35
Require inside a module is deprecated and strongly discouraged. You can Require a module at toplevel and optionally Import it inside another one, 225
Required library 'qualid' matches several files in path (found file.vo, file.vo, ...), 225
Ring operation should be declared as a morphism, 447

\section*{S}

Section variable 'ident' occurs implicitly in global declaration 'qualid' present in hypothesis 'ident', 288
Section variable 'ident' occurs implicitly in global declaration 'qualid' present in the conclusion, 288
shared library failed: Coq Error: 'string' is not a valid, 226
Signature components for field 'ident' do not match, 72
SProp is disallowed because the "Allow StrictProp" flag is off, 100
SSReflect: cannot obtain new equations out of ..., 352
Stack overflow or segmentation fault happens when working with large numbers in 'type' (threshold may vary depending on your system limits and on the command executed), 164
Syntax error: [prim:reference] expected after 'Notation' (in [vernac:command]), 166
Syntax error: [prim:reference] expected after [prim:reference] (in [vernac:command]), 166

\section*{t}

Tactic failure, 490
Tactic failure (level 'natural'), 490
Tactic failure: <tactic closure> succeeds, 489
Tactic failure: Setoid library not loaded, 287
Tactic generated a subgoal identical to the original goal, 287
Tactic Notation 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string',584

Tactic 'qualid' is deprecated since
'string'. 'string',584
template and polymorphism not compatible, 56
Terms do not have convertible types, 287
The "at" syntax isn't available yet for the autorewrite tactic, 456
The \& modifier may only occur once, 172
The 'abstract after' directive has no effect when the parsing function ('qualid') targets an option type, 164
The 'clear implicits' flag must be omitted if implicit annotations are given, 173
The 'default implicits' flag is incompatible with implicit annotations, 173
The / modifier may only occur once, 172
The command has not failed!, 229
The conclusion of 'type' is not valid; it must be built from 'ident',40
The constant 'number' is not a binary64 floating-point value. A closest value 'number' will be used and unambiguously printed 'number'. [inexact-float, parsing], 562
The constructor 'ident' expects 'natural' arguments, 135
The cumulative attribute can only be used in a polymorphic context, 90
The elimination predicate term should be of arity 'natural' (for non dependent case) or 'natural' (for dependent case), 135
The field 'ident' is missing in 'qualid', 72
The file 'ident'.vo contains library 'qualid' and not library 'qualid', 225
The recursive argument must be specified, 577
The reference is not unfoldable, 300
The reference \(X\) was not found in the current environment, 546
The reference 'qualid' was not found in the current environment, 299
The relation 'ident' is not a declared reflexive relation. Maybe you need to require the Coq. Classes.RelationClasses library, 285
The relation 'ident' is not a declared
symmetric relation. Maybe you need to require the Coq. Classes.RelationClasses library, 285
The relation 'ident' is not a declared transitive relation. Maybe you need to require the Coq. Classes.RelationClasses library, 285
The term "'type'" has type "'type'" which should be Set, Prop or Type, 278
The term 'term' has type 'type' which should be Set, Prop or Type, 16
The term 'term' has type 'type' while it is expected to have type 'type' ', 15
The type has no constructors, 303
The type 'ident' must be registered before this construction can be typechecked, 233
The variable ident is bound several times in pattern term, 135
The variable 'ident' is already declared, 276
The 'natural' th argument of 'ident' must be 'ident' in 'type', 28
There is already an Ltac named ‘qualid', 506
There is no flag or option with this name: "'setting_name'", 9
There is no flag, option or table with this name: "'setting_name'", 10
There is no Ltac named 'qualid',506
There is no qualid-valued table with this name: "'setting_name'", 10
There is no string-valued table with this name: "'setting_name'", 10
There is nothing to end, 68
This command does not support this attribute, 8
This command is just asserting the names of arguments of 'qualid'. If this is what you want, add ': assert' to silence the warning. If you want to clear implicit arguments, add ': clear implicits'. If you want to clear notation scopes, add ': clear scopes', 173
This hint is not local but depends on a section variable. It will disappear when the section is closed, 462

This object does not support universe names, 215
This proof is focused, but cannot be unfocused this way, 243
This tactic has more than one success, 493
To avoid stack overflow, large numbers in 'type' are interpreted as applications of 'qualid',164
To rename arguments the 'rename' flag must be specified, 173
Trying to mask the absolute name ‘qualid'!, 75
Type of 'ident' is not an equality of recognized \(\Sigma\) types: expected one of sig sig2 sigT sigT2 sigT2 ex or ex2 but got 'term', 317
Type of 'qualid' seems incompatible with the type of 'qualid'. Expected type is: 'type' instead of 'type'. This might yield ill typed terms when using the notation, 166

\section*{U}

Unable to apply lemma of type "..." on hypothesis of type "...", 265
Unable to find an instance for the variables 'ident' ... 'ident', 309
Unable to find an instance for the variables 'ident'...'ident', 265
Unable to infer a match predicate, 135
Unable to satisfy the rewriting constraints, 474
Unable to unify 'one_term' with 'one_term', 265
Unbound [value|constructor] X, 546
Unbound context identifier 'ident',499
Undeclared universe 'ident', 96
Unexpected non-option term 'term' while parsing a number notation, 165
Unexpected non-option term 'term' while parsing a string notation, 166
Unexpected only parsing for an only printing notation, 142
Unexpected only printing for an only parsing notation, 142
Unexpected term 'term' while parsing a number notation, 165
Unexpected term 'term' while parsing a string notation, 166

Universe inconsistency, 96
Universe instance length is 'natural' but should be 'natural', 215
Unknown custom entry, 142
Unknown custom entry: 'ident', 144
Unknown inductive type, 249
Unused variable 'ident' might be a misspelled constructor. Use or _'ident' to silence this warning., 126
Use of 'string' Notation is deprecated as it is inconsistent with pattern syntax, 156

\section*{V}

Variable All is shadowed by Collection named All containing all
variables, 238

\section*{W}

Wrong argument name, 116
Wrong argument position, 117
Wrong bullet 'bullet': Bullet 'bullet' is mandatory here, 244
Wrong bullet 'bullet': Current bullet 'bullet' is not finished, 244
‘
'class' must be a transparent constant, 182
'ident' already exists, 35
'ident' already exists. (Axiom), 14
'ident' already exists. (Definition), 15
'ident' already exists. (Theorem), 16
'ident' cannot be defined, 35
'ident' cannot be defined because it is informative and 'ident' is not, 35
'ident' cannot be defined because the projection 'ident' was not defined, 35
'ident' is already declared as a typeclass, 193
'ident' is already used, 272
'ident' is both name of a Collection and Variable, Collection 'ident' takes precedence over Variable, 238
'ident' is declared as a local axiom, 14
'ident' is not a local definition, 275
'ident' is not an equality of \(\Sigma\) types, 318
'ident' is opaque, 294
'ident' is used in the conclusion, 274
```

'ident' is used in the hypothesis
'ident',274
'ident' was already a defined Variable,
the name 'ident' will refer
to Collection when executing
"Proof using" command,241
'qualid' cannot be used as a hint,459
'qualid' does not occur,295
'qualid' does not respect the uniform
inheritance condition,182
'qualid' is already a coercion,182
'qualid' is bound to a notation that
does not denote a reference,166
'qualid' is not a function,182
'qualid' is not a module,75
'qualid' is not an inductive type,460
'qualid' not a defined object,215
'qualid' not declared,182
'qualid' should go from Byte.byte or
(list Byte.byte) to 'type' or
(option 'type'),165
'qualid' should go from Number.int
to 'type' or (option 'type').
Instead of Number.int, the
types Number.uint or Z or
PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63
or PrimFloat.float or
Number.number could be used
(you may need to require
BinNums or Number or PrimInt63
or PrimFloat first),164
'qualid' should go from 'type' to
Byte.byte or (option Byte.byte)
or (list Byte.byte) or (option
(list Byte.byte)),166
'qualid' should go from 'type'
to Number.int or (option
Number.int). Instead of
Number.int, the types
Number.uint or Z or
PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63 or
Number.number could be used
(you may need to require
BinNums or Number or PrimInt63
first),164
'qualid' was already mapped to 'qualid'
and cannot be remapped to
'qualid',166
'string' cannot be interpreted as a
known notation in 'ident' entry.
Make sure that symbols are
surrounded by spaces and that
holes are explicitly denoted by
"_",144

```

\section*{ATTRIBUTE INDEX}
```

a
add_bottom, 157
add_top,157
b
bypass_check(guard), 231
bypass_check(positivity), 231
bypass_check(universes),231

```

\section*{C}
```

canonical, 199
Cumulative, 90

```

\section*{d}
```

deprecated, 584

```

\section*{e}
```

export, 84

```

\section*{g}
```

global, 84

```

\section*{I}
```

local, 83
m
Monomorphic, 89

```

\section*{n}
```

NonCumulative, 90
nonuniform, 182

```

\section*{\(p\)}
```

Polymorphic, 89
Private, 29
private(matching), 29
program, 211
Program, 211
projections(primitive), 38

```

\section*{r}
```

refine, 194

```
reversible, 181

\section*{U}
universes(cumulative), 90
universes(polymorphic), 89
universes (template), 55
using, 238

\section*{Symbols}
```

"All" is a predefined collection
containing all variables. It
can't be redefined(error),241
'via' and 'abstract' cannot be used
together(error),164

* (term), 551, 557
+ (backtracking branching) (tactic),486
+ (term), 551, 557
... : ... (type cast),14
... <: ..., 14
... <<: ...,14
... is not definitionally an identity
function (warning),182
::=, 505
:> (coercion),183
:> (substructure),192
< (term), 557
<= (term), 557
=> (tactic), 357
> (term), 557
>= (term), 557
?=(term),557
_,106
- (term), 557
{(tactic), 242
{A}+{B} (term),552
{x:A \& P x} (term), 552
{x:A | P x} (term), 552
} (tactic), 242
|| (first tactic making progress) (tactic),
4 8 9
`( ), 120` (! ), 120
`{ }, 120` {! }, 120
`[ ], 120` [! ], 120
[ ... | ... | ... ] (dispatch) (tactic), 487
[> ... | ... | ... ] (dispatch) (tactic), 487

```

\section*{A}

A coercion will be introduced instead of an instance in future versions when using ':>' in 'Class' declarations. Replace ':>' with '::' (or use '\#[global] Existing Instance field.' for compatibility with Coq < 8.17) (warning), 193
A*B (term), 551
\(\mathrm{A}+\{\mathrm{B}\}\) (term), 552
A+B (term), 551
Abort (command), 237
About (command), 216
abstract (ssreflect) (tactic), 356
abstract (tactic), 247
absurd (tactic), 281
absurd (term), 550
absurd_set (term), 553
Acc (term), 554
Acc_inv (term), 554
Acc_rect (term), 554
Activation of abbreviations does not expect mentioning a grammar entry (warning), 142
Activation of abbreviations does not expect mentioning a scope (warning), 142
Add (command), 9
Add Field (command), 451
Add LoadPath (command), 227
Add ML Path (command), 227
Add Morphism (command), 472
Add Parametric Morphism (command), 467
Add Parametric Relation (command), 466
Add Parametric Setoid (command), 472
Add Rec LoadPath (command), 227
Add Relation (command), 466
Add Ring (command), 446
Add Setoid (command), 472
Add Zify (command), 437
add_bottom (attribute), 157
add_top (attribute), 157
admit (tactic), 246
Admit Obligations (command), 214
Admitted (command), 237
all (term), 550
Allow StrictProp (flag), 100
and (term), 549
and_rect (term), 553
app (term), 560
apply (ssreflect) (tactic), 353, 426
apply (tactic), 263
Apply With Renaming (flag), 265
Argument at position 'natural' is mentioned more than once 117
Argument of match does not evaluate to a term (error), 494
Argument 'name' is a trailing implicit, so it can't be declared non maximal. Please use \{ \} instead of [ ] (error), 112
Arguments (command), 172
Arguments given by name or position not supported in explicit mode (error), 117
Arguments of ring_simplify do not have all the same type (error), 444
Arguments of section variables such as 'name' may not be renamed (error), 173
Arithmetical notations, 557
assert (tactic), 277
assert_fails (tactic), 489
assert_succeeds (tactic), 489
assumption (tactic), 262
Asymmetric Patterns (flag), 130
Attempt to save an incomplete proof (error), 237
auto (tactic), 454
Auto Template Polymorphism (flag), 55
autoapply (tactic), 195
Automatically declaring 'ident' as template polymorphic (warning), 55
autorewrite (tactic), 456
autounfold (tactic), 456
autounfold_one (tactic), 456
Axiom (command), 13
Axioms (command), 13

\section*{B}

Back (command), 228
BackTo (command), 228
Bad lemma for decidability of equality (error), 447

Bad magic number (error), 225
Bad occurrence number of 'qualid' (error), 295
Bad relevance (warning), 105
Bad ring structure (error), 447
Bind Scope (command), 157
bool (term), 551
bool_choice (term), 552
Boolean Equality Schemes (flag), 326
Bound on the ceiling function (theorem), 435
Brackets do not support multi-goal selectors (error), 243
(error), btauto (tactic), 432
bullet (tactic), 244
Bullet Behavior (option), 245
by (tactic), 366
bypass_check (guard) (attribute), 231
bypass_check(positivity) (attribute), 231
bypass_check(universes) (attribute), 231
C
Cannot build functional inversion principle (warning), 577
Cannot change 'ident', it is used in conclusion (error), 279
Cannot change 'ident', it is used in hypothesis 'ident' (error), 279
Cannot coerce 'qualid' to an evaluable reference (error), 460
Cannot define graph for 'ident' (warning), 577
Cannot define principle(s) for 'ident' (warning), 577
Cannot find a declared ring structure for equality 'term' (error), 444
Cannot find a declared ring structure over 'term' (error), 444
Cannot find a relation to rewrite (error), 287
Cannot find any non-recursive equality over 'ident' (error), 288
Cannot find induction information on 'qualid' (error), 578
Cannot find inversion information for hypothesis 'ident' (error), 578
Cannot find library foo in loadpath (error), 225
Cannot find the source class of 'qualid' (error), 182
Cannot find the target class (error), 182
Cannot import local constant, it will be ignored (warning), 74
```

Cannot infer a term for this classical_right(tactic),283
placeholder. (Casual use of
implicit arguments) (error),112
Cannot infer a term for this
placeholder. (refine) (error), 263
Cannot interpret in 'scope_name'
because 'qualid' could not
be found in the current
environment (error), 166
Cannot interpret this number as a
value of type 'type'(error),164
Cannot interpret this string as a
value of type 'type'(error),165
Cannot load 'qualid': no physical path
bound to 'dirpath' (error), 225
Cannot move 'ident' after 'ident': it
depends on 'ident'(error),275
Cannot move 'ident' after 'ident': it
occurs in the type of 'ident'
(error), 275
Cannot recognize a boolean equality (er-
ror),432
Cannot recognize a statement based on
'reference' (error), 308
Cannot recognize 'class' as a source
class of 'qualid'(error), 182
Cannot turn [inductive|constructor]
into an evaluable reference
ror), 294
Cannot use mutual definition with
well-founded recursion or
measure (error), 577
canonical (attribute),199
Canonical Structure (command),198
Can't find file 'ident' on loadpath (er-
ror),224
case (ssreflect) (tactic), 352
case (tactic), 306
Case Analysis Schemes (flag),326
Case split (theorem),435
case_eq(tactic), 306
casetype (tactic), 306
Casts are not supported in this
pattern (error), 30
cbn (tactic),293
cbv (tactic),291
Cd (command), 227
change (tactic), 289
change_no_check (tactic), 289
Check (command), 216
Choice (term), 552
Choice2 (term), 552
Class (command),193
classical_left(tactic), 283

```

Debug Eauto (flag), 456
debug eauto (tactic), 456
Debug mode not available in the IDE (error), 514
Debug Ssreflect (flag), 428
Debug SsrMatching (flag), 428
Debug Trivial (flag), 455
debug trivial (tactic), 455
Decidable Equality Schemes (flag), 326
decide (tactic), 321
decide equality (tactic), 322
Declare Custom Entry (command), 152
Declare Equivalent Keys (command), 287
Declare Instance (command), 194
Declare Left Step (command), 288
Declare ML Module (command), 226
Declare Module (command), 72
Declare Morphism (command), 472
Declare Reduction (command), 298
Declare Right Step (command), 288
Declare Scope (command), 156
Declaring arbitrary terms as hints is fragile
it is recommended to declare a toplevel constant instead (warning), 459
decompose (tactic), 307
decompose record (tactic), 307
decompose sum (tactic), 307
Default Goal Selector (option), 253
Default Proof Mode (option), 241
Default Proof Using (option), 240
Default Timeout (option), 229
Defined (command), 237
Definition (command), 15
Definitional UIP (flag), 103
Delimit Scope (command), 157
dependent destruction (tactic), 306
dependent generalize_eqs (tactic), 280
dependent generalize_eqs_vars (tactic), 280
dependent induction (tactic), 311
dependent inversion (tactic), 317
dependent inversion_clear (tactic), 317
dependent rewrite (tactic), 322
dependent simple inversion (tactic), 317
deprecated (attribute), 584
Derive (command), 573
Derive Dependent Inversion (command), 328
Derive Dependent Inversion_clear (command), 328
Derive Inversion (command), 327
Derive Inversion_clear (command), 327
destauto (tactic), 307
destruct (tactic), 304
dfs eauto (tactic), 456
Diffs (option), 250
dintuition (tactic), 430
Disable Notation (command), 141
discriminate (tactic), 313
discrR (tactic), 559
do (ssreflect) (tactic), 369
do (tactic), 485
done (tactic), 366
Drop (command), 229
dtauto (tactic), 429
Dump Arith (option), 433
Dynlink error: execution of module initializers in the (error), 226

\section*{E}
eapply (tactic), 269
eassert (tactic), 278
eassumption (tactic), 263
easy (tactic), 457
eauto (tactic), 455
ecase (tactic), 306
econstructor (tactic), 303
edestruct (tactic), 306
ediscriminate (tactic), 313
eelim (tactic), 311
eenough (tactic), 278
eexact (tactic), 262
eexists (tactic), 303
einduction (tactic), 310
einjection (tactic), 315
eintros (tactic), 274
Either there is a type incompatibility or the problem involves dependencies (error), 135
Elaboration StrictProp Cumulativity (flag), 104
eleft (tactic), 303
elim (ssreflect) (tactic), 352
elim (tactic), 310
Elimination Schemes (flag), 326
elimtype (tactic), 311
Enable Notation (command), 141
End (command), 68
enough (tactic), 278
epose (tactic), 277
epose proof (tactic), 278
eq (term), 550
eq_add_S (term), 553
eq_ind_r (term), 550
eq_rec_r (term), 550
eq_rect (term), 550, 553
eq_rect_r (term), 550
eq_refl (term), 550
eq_S (term), 553
eq_sym (term), 550
eq_trans (term), 550
Equality, 550
eremember (tactic), 277
erewrite (tactic), 287
eright (tactic), 303
error (term), 553
eset (tactic), 277
esimplify_eq (tactic), 315
esplit (tactic), 303
etransitivity (tactic), 285
Eval (command), 298
eval (tactic), 298
evar (tactic), 280
ex (term), 550
ex2 (term), 550
ex_intro (term), 550
ex_intro2 (term), 550
exact (ssreflect) (tactic), 426
exact (tactic), 262
exact_no_check (tactic), 283
exactly_once (tactic), 493
Example (command), 15
Exc (term), 553
exfalso (tactic), 282
exist (term), 552
exist2 (term), 552
Existing Class (command), 193
Existing Instance (command), 194
Existing Instances (command), 194
exists (tactic), 303
exists (term), 550
exists2 (term), 550
existT (term), 552
existT2 (term), 552
export (attribute), 84
Export (command), 75
Expression does not evaluate to a tactic (error), 494
Extract Constant (command), 567
Extract Inductive (command), 568
Extract Inlined Constant (command), 567
Extraction (command), 564
Extraction AutoInline (flag), 565
Extraction Blacklist (command), 569
Extraction Conservative Types (flag), 565
Extraction File Comment (option), 569
Extraction Flag (option), 569
Extraction Implicit (command), 566
Extraction Inline (command), 565
Extraction KeepSingleton (flag), 565
Extraction Language (command), 565
Extraction Library (command), 564

Extraction NoInline (command), 565
Extraction Optimize (flag), 565
Extraction SafeImplicits(flag), 566
Extraction TestCompile (command), 564
Extraction TypeExpand (flag), 569

\section*{F}
f_equal (tactic), 285
f_equal (term), 550
f_equal2 ... f_equal5 (term), 550
Fact (command), 16
Fail (command), 229
fail (tactic), 490
Failed to progress (error), 489
False (term), 549
false (term), 551
False_rec (term), 553
False_rect (term), 553
Fast Name Printing (flag), 224
field (tactic), 449
field_lookup (tactic), 450
field_simplify (tactic), 450
field_simplify_eq (tactic), 450
File ... found twice in ... (warning), 228
File not found on loadpath: 'string' (error), 226
Files processed by Load cannot leave open proofs (error), 224
finish_timing (tactic), 504
first (ssreflect) (tactic), 368
first (tactic), 488
first last (tactic variant), 368
firstorder (tactic), 430
Firstorder Depth (option), 430
Firstorder Solver (option), 430
fix, 45
fix (tactic), 312
Fix_eq (term), 555
Fix_F (term), 555
Fix_F_eq (term), 555
Fix_F_inv (term), 555
Fixpoint (command), 45
Flag 'rename' expected to rename 'name' into 'name' (error), 173
flat_map (term), 560
Focus (command), 245
fold (tactic), 295
fold_left (term), 560
fold_right (term), 560
forall, 12
Found a constructor of inductive type term while a constructor of term is expected (error), 135

Found an "at" clause without "with" clause (error), 289
Found no matching notation to enable or disable (error), 142
Found no subterm matching 'term' in the current goal (error), 287
Found no subterm matching 'term' in 'ident' (error), 287
Found target class 'class' instead of 'class' (error), 182
fresh (tactic), 500
From ... Dependency (command), 228
From ... Require (command), 224
fst (term), 551
fun, 12
fun (tactic), 481
Funclass cannot be a source class (error), 182
Function (command), 575
function_scope, 159
Functional Case (command), 583
functional induction (tactic), 577
functional inversion (tactic), 578
Functional Scheme (command), 579

\section*{G}
ge (term), 554
Generalizable (command), 121
generalize (tactic), 280
generalize dependent (tactic), 280
generalize_eqs (tactic), 280
generalize_eqs_vars (tactic), 280
generally have (tactic), 426
Generate graph for (command), 583
gfail (tactic), 490
gintuition (tactic), 430
give_up (tactic), 246
global (attribute), 84
Goal (command), 236
Goal is solvable by congruence but some arguments are missing. Try
congruence with 'term'... 'term',
replacing metavariables by
arbitrary terms (error), 432
gt (term), 554
guard (tactic), 501
Guard Checking (flag), 231
Guarded (command), 249

\section*{H}
has_evar (tactic), 502
have (tactic), 371, 372
head (term), 560
head_of_constr (tactic), 472
hget_evar (tactic), 296
Hint Constants (command), 460
Hint Constructors (command), 459
Hint Cut (command), 461
Hint Extern (command), 460
Hint Immediate (command), 459
Hint Mode (command), 461
Hint Opaque (command), 460
Hint Resolve (command), 459
Hint Rewrite (command), 462
Hint Transparent (command), 460
Hint Unfold (command), 460
Hint Variables (command), 460
Hint View for (command), 428
Hint View for apply (command), 422, 428
Hint View for move (command), 422
hnf (tactic), 293
hresolve_core (tactic), 296
Hypotheses (command), 13
Hypothesis (command), 13
Hypothesis 'ident' must contain at least one Function (error), 578
Hyps Limit (option), 252
1
I (term), 549
I don't know how to handle dependent equality (error), 432
identity (term), 551, 555
Identity Coercion (command), 182
idtac (tactic), 505
IF_then_else (term), 549
if-then-else (Ltac2) (tactic), 536
iff (term), 549
Ignored instance declaration for "'ident'": "'term'" is not a class (warning), 193
Ignoring implicit binder declaration in unexpected position (warning), 113
Ill-formed recursive definition (error), 215
Ill-formed template inductive declaration: not polymorphic on any universe (error), 56
Implicit Arguments (flag), 114
Implicit Type (command), 119
Implicit Types (command), 119
Import (command), 72
in (tactic), 370
Include (command), 72
Include Type (command), 72
Incorrect number of tactics (expected
N tactics, was given M) (error), 396
induction (tactic), 308
Inductive (command), 39
Infix (command), 140
Info (command), 513
Info Auto (flag), 455
Info Eauto (flag), 456
Info Level (option), 513
Info Trivial (flag), 455
info_auto (tactic), 455
info_eauto (tactic), 455
info_trivial (tactic), 455
infoH (command), 516
injection (tactic), 313
inl (term), 551
inleft (term), 552
inr (term), 551
inright (term), 552
Inspect (command), 215
Instance (command), 193
instantiate (tactic), 280
intro(tactic), 272
intros (tactic), 273
intros until (tactic), 273
intuition (tactic), 429
Intuition Negation Unfolding (flag), 430
Invalid backtrack (error), 228
inversion (tactic), 315
inversion_clear (tactic), 317
inversion_sigma (tactic), 317
is_cofix (tactic), 503
is_const (tactic), 503
is_constructor (tactic), 503
is_evar (tactic), 502
is_fix (tactic), 503
is_ground (tactic), 502
is_ind (tactic), 503
is_proj (tactic), 503
is_var (tactic), 503
IsSucc (term), 553

\section*{K}

Keep Equalities (table), 315
Keep Proof Equalities (flag), 315
Kernel Term Sharing (flag), 292
Keyed Unification (flag), 287

\section*{L}
lapply (tactic), 270
lapply needs a non-dependent product (error), 270
last (tactic), 368
Last block to end has name 'ident' (error), 68
last first (tactic variant), 368
lazy (tactic), 291
lazy_match! (tactic), 530
lazy_match! goal (tactic), 532
lazymatch (tactic), 493
lazymatch goal (tactic), 496
le (term), 554
le_n (term), 554
le_S (term), 554
left (tactic), 303
left (term), 552
Lemma (command), 16
length (term), 560
Let (command), 68
let (tactic), 481
let ... : = . . (term), 14
Let CoFixpoint (command), 68
Let Fixpoint (command), 68
lia (tactic), 435
Lia Cache (flag), 433
Load (command), 224
Load is not supported inside proofs (error), 224
local (attribute), 83
Locate (command), 223
Locate File (command), 223
Locate Library (command), 223
Locate Ltac (command), 223
Locate Ltac2 (command), 223
Locate Module (command), 223
Locate Term (command), 223
Loose Hint Behavior (option), 463
lra (tactic), 434
lt (term), 554
Ltac (command), 505
Ltac Backtrace (flag), 513
Ltac Batch Debug (flag), 514
Ltac Debug (flag), 514
Ltac Profiler encountered an invalid stack (no self node). This can happen if you reset the profile during tactic execution (warning), 516
Ltac Profiling (flag), 514
Ltac2 (command), 523
Ltac2 alias 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string' (warning), 584
Ltac2 Backtrace (flag), 543
Ltac2 definition 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string' (warning), 584
Ltac2 Eval (command), 543
Ltac2 external (command), 520

Ltac2 Notation (abbreviation) (command), nat_scope, 556 537
Ltac2 Notation (command), 536
native_cast_no_check (tactic), 284
native_compute (tactic), 297
Ltac2 notation 'ltac2_scope'...'ltac2_scop\&ativeCompute Profile Filename (option), is deprecated since 'string'.
'string' (warning), 584
Ltac2 Set (command), 523
Ltac2 Type (command), 520
ltac-seq (tactic), 485

\section*{M}

Making shadowed name of implicit argument accessible by position (warning), 114
Mangle Names (flag), 251
Mangle Names Light (flag), 251
Mangle Names Prefix (option), 251
map (term), 560
match (Ltac2) (tactic), 535
match (tactic), 493
match ... with ..., 29
match goal (tactic), 496
match! (tactic), 530
match! goal (tactic), 532
Maximal Implicit Insertion (flag), 115
Missing mapping for constructor
'qualid' (error), 166
mod (term), 557
Module (command), 71
Module Type (command), 71
Module/section 'qualid' not found (error), 217
Monomorphic (attribute), 89
More than one interpretation bound to this notation, confirm with the "all" modifier (error), 142
move (ssreflect) (tactic), 351, 426
move (tactic), 275
mult (term), 553
mult_n_O (term), 553
mult_n_Sm (term), 553
multi_match! (tactic), 530
multi_match! goal (tactic), 532
multimatch (tactic), 493
multimatch goal (tactic), 496
Multiple 'via' options (error), 165
Multiple 'warning after' or 'abstract after' options (error), 165

\section*{N}
n_Sn (term), 553
nat (term), 551
nat_case (term), 554
nat_double_ind (term), 554

NativeCompute Profiling (flag), 297
NativeCompute Timing (flag), 297
Nested Proofs Allowed (flag), 252
Nested proofs are discouraged and not allowed by default. This error probably means that you forgot to close the last "Proof." with "Qed." or "Defined.". If you really intended to use nested proofs, you can do so by turning the "Nested Proofs Allowed" flag on (error), 16
New coercion path ... is ambiguous with existing ... (warning), 182
New Collection definition of 'ident' shadows the previous one (warning), 241
Next Obligation (command), 214
nia (tactic), 436
Nia Cache (flag), 433
No applicable tactic (error), 488
No argument name 'ident' (error), 577
No evars (error), 502
No field named 'ident' in 'qualid' (error), 76
No focused proof (error), 248
No focused proof (No proof-editing in progress) (error), 237
No focused proof to restart (error), 242
No head constant to reduce (error), 294
No information can be deduced
from this equality and the injectivity of constructors. This may be because the terms are convertible, or due to pattern matching restrictions in the sort Prop. You can try to use option Set Keep Proof Equalities (error), 315
No matching clauses for match (error), 494
No matching clauses for match goal (error), 497
No notation provided (error), 142
No primitive equality found (error), 313,315
No product even after head-reduction (error), 272
No progress made (error), 474
No quantified hypothesis named 'ident' in current goal even after
head-reduction (error), 274
No such assumption (error), 262
No such binder (error), 254
No such bound variable 'ident' (no
bound variables at all in the expression) (error), 254
No such bound variable 'ident' (possible names are: 'ident'
...) (error), 254
No such goal (error), 248
No such goal ('ident') (error), 243
No such goal ('natural') (error), 243
No such goal. (fail) (error), 490
No such goal. (Goal selector) (error), 483
No such goal. Focus next goal with
bullet 'bullet' (error), 244
No such goal. Try unfocusing with \} (er- not_eq_S (term), 553
ror), 244
No such hypothesis: 'ident' (error), 262
No 'natural'-th non dependent
hypothesis in current goal even
after head-reduction (error), 274
Non exhaustive pattern matching (error) 135
Non extensible universe declaration not supported with monomorphic
Program Definition (error), 212
Non strictly positive occurrence of
'ident' in 'type' (error), 40
NonCumulative (attribute), 90
None (term), 551
Nonrecursive Elimination Schemes (flag), 326
nonuniform (attribute), 182
not (term), 549
not a cofix definition (error), 503
not a constant (error), 503
not a constructor (error), 503
Not a context variable (error), 499
Not a discriminable equality (error), 313
not a fix definition (error), 503
Not a negated primitive equality (error), 315
not a primitive projection (error), 503
Not a valid ring equation (error), 444
Not a variable or hypothesis (error), 503
not an (co)inductive datatype (error), 503
Not an evar (error), 502
Not an exact proof (error), 262
Not an inductive goal with 1
constructor (error), 303
Not an inductive goal with 2 constructors (error), 303
Not an inductive product (error), 303, 308

Not convertible (error), 289
Not enough constructors (error), 303
Not enough non implicit arguments to accept the argument bound to 'ident' (error), 117
Not enough non implicit arguments to accept the argument bound to 'natural' (error), 117
Not equal (due to universes) (error), 502
Not equal (error), 502
Not ground (error), 502
Not the right number of induction arguments (error), 578
Not the right number of missing arguments (expected 'natural') (error), 254
not_evar (tactic), 502
Notation (abbreviation) (command), 161
Notation (command), 136
Notation levels must range between 0 and 6 (error), 537
Notation 'string' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string' (warning), 584
Notations for lists, 560
Nothing to inject (error), 315
Nothing to rewrite (error), 474
not \(T\) (term), 555
now (tactic), 458
now_show (tactic), 289
nra (tactic), 436
Nra Cache (flag), 433
nsatz (tactic), 453
nsatz_compute (tactic), 454
nth (term), 560
Number Notation (command), 162
numgoals (tactic), 501

\section*{0}

O (term), 551
O_S (term), 553
Obligation (command), 214
Obligation Tactic (command), 214
Obligations (command), 214
once (tactic), 492
only (tactic), 482
Opaque (command), 299
Open Scope (command), 156
Optimize Heap (command), 252
Optimize Proof (command), 252
optimize_heap (tactic), 516
option (term), 551
or (term), 549
or_introl (term), 549
or_intror (term), 549
over (tactic), 397, 426
overflow in int63 literal 'bigint’ ror), 164

\section*{P}
package-name.foo and not foo_plugin (error), 226
pair (term), 551
Parameter (command), 13
Parameters (command), 13
Parsing Explicit (flag), 118
pattern (tactic), 296
Peano's arithmetic, 556
plugin name anymore. Plugins should be loaded using their (error), 226
plus (term), 553
plus_n_O (term), 553
plus_n_Sm (term), 553
Polymorphic (attribute), 89
Polymorphic Inductive Cumulativity (flag), 90
Polymorphic universe constraints can only be declared inside sections, use Monomorphic Constraint instead (error), 96
Polymorphic universes can only be declared inside sections, use Monomorphic Universe instead (error), 95
pose (ssreflect) (tactic), 340
pose (tactic), 277
pose proof (tactic), 278
Positivity Checking (flag), 231
pred (term), 553
pred_Sn (term), 553
Prenex Implicits (command), 339, 428
Preterm (command), 214
Primitive (command), 233
Primitive Projections (flag), 38
Print (command), 215
Print All (command), 215
Print All Dependencies (command), 222
Print Assumptions (command), 222
Print Canonical Projections (command), 200
Print Classes (command), 183
Print Coercion Paths (command), 183
Print Coercions (command), 183
Print Custom Grammar (command), 154
Print Debug GC (command), 252
Print Equivalent Keys (command), 287
Print Extraction Blacklist (command), 569
Print Extraction Inline (command), 566
Print Fields (command), 451

Print Firstorder Solver (command), 430
Print Grammar (command), 144
(er- Print Graph (command), 183
Print Hint (command), 462
Print HintDb (command), 462
Print Implicit (command), 117
Print Instances (command), 194
Print Keywords (command), 144
Print Libraries (command), 226
Print LoadPath (command), 227
Print Ltac (command), 506
Print Ltac Signatures (command), 506
Print Ltac2 (command), 524
Print Ltac2 Signatures (command), 524
Print ML Modules (command), 226
Print ML Path (command), 227
Print Module (command), 75
Print Module Type (command), 75
Print Namespace (command), 75
Print Notation (command), 143
Print Opaque Dependencies (command), 222
Print Options (command), 10
Print Registered (command), 233
Print Rewrite HintDb (command), 462
Print Rings (command), 443
Print Scope (command), 160
Print Scopes (command), 160
Print Section (command), 216
Print Strategies (command), 300
Print Strategy (command), 300
Print Table (command), 10
Print Tables (command), 10
Print Transparent Dependencies (command), 222
Print Typeclasses (command), 194
Print Typing Flags (command), 231
Print Universes (command), 96
Print Visibility (command), 160
Printing All (flag), 230
Printing Allow Match Default Clause (flag), 125
Printing Coercion (table), 183
Printing Coercions (flag), 183
Printing Compact Contexts (flag), 230
Printing Constructor (table), 37
Printing Dependent Evars Line (flag), 230
Printing Depth (option), 230
Printing Existential Instances (flag), 109
Printing Factorizable Match Patterns (flag), 124
Printing Float (flag), 562
Printing Goal Names (flag), 252
Printing Goal Tags (flag), 252
Printing If (table), 125

Printing Implicit(flag), 117
Printing Implicit Defensive (flag), 117
Printing Let (table), 125
Printing Matching (flag), 124
Printing Notations (flag), 143
Printing Parentheses (flag), 143
Printing Primitive Projection
Parameters (flag), 38
Printing Projections (flag), 37
Printing Raw Literals (flag), 143
Printing Record (table), 37
Printing Records (flag), 37
Printing Synth (flag), 125
Printing Unfocused (flag), 230
Printing Universes (flag), 96
Printing Use Implicit Types (flag), 119
Printing Width (option), 230
Printing Wildcard (flag), 125
Private (attribute), 29
Private Polymorphic Universes (flag), 97
private(matching) (attribute), 29
prod (term), 551
Program (attribute), 211
program (attribute), 211
Program Cases (flag), 211
Program Generalized Coercion (flag), 211
Program Mode (flag), 211
Programming, 551
progress (tactic), 489
proj1 (term), 549
proj2 (term), 549
projections(primitive) (attribute), 38
projT1 (term), 552
projT2 (term), 552
Proof (command), 237
Proof ‘term" (command), 237
Proof is not complete. (abstract) (error), 247
Proof is not complete. (assert) (error), 278
Proof Mode (command), 241
Proof using (command), 237
Proof with (command), 464
Prop, 10
Property (command), 16
Proposition (command), 16
protect_fv (tactic), 444
psatz (tactic), 436
Psatz (theorem), 434
public name according to findlib, for example (error), 226
Pwd (command), 227

\section*{Q}

Qed (command), 237
Quantifiers, 550
Quit (command), 229

\section*{R}
rapply (tactic), 269
Record (command), 32
Records declared with the keyword Record or Structure cannot be recursive (error), 35
Recursion, 554
Recursive Extraction (command), 564
Recursive Extraction Library (command), 564
red (tactic), 293
Redirect (command), 229
refine (attribute), 194
refine (tactic), 263
refl_identity (term), 551
reflexivity (tactic), 285
Register (command), 233
Register Inline (command), 233
Regular Subst Tactic (flag), 288
Remark (command), 16
remember (tactic), 277
Remove (command), 9
Remove Hints (command), 462
Remove LoadPath (command), 227
rename (tactic), 276
repeat (tactic), 485
replace (tactic), 287
Require (command), 224
Require Export (command), 224
Require Import (command), 224
Require inside a module is deprecated and strongly discouraged. You can Require a module at toplevel and optionally Import it inside another one (warning), 225
Required library 'qualid' matches several files in path (found file.vo, file.vo, ...) (error), 225
Reserved Infix (command), 141
Reserved Notation (command), 140
Reset (command), 228
Reset Extraction Blacklist (command), 569
Reset Extraction Inline (command), 566
Reset Initial (command), 228
Reset Ltac Profile (command), 514
reset ltac profile (tactic), 516
Restart (command), 242
restart_timer (tactic), 504
rev (term), 560
reversible (attribute), 181
Reversible Pattern Implicit (flag), 115
revert (tactic), 275
revert dependent (tactic), 275
revgoals (tactic), 246
rewrite (ssreflect) (tactic), 382
rewrite (tactic), 285
rewrite * (tactic), 287
rewrite_db (tactic), 474
rewrite_strat (tactic), 474
Rewriting Schemes (flag), 326
right (tactic), 303
right (term), 552
ring (tactic), 443
Ring operation should be declared as a morphism (error), 447
ring_lookup (tactic), 444
ring_simplify (tactic), 443
rtauto (tactic), 430

\section*{S}

S (term), 551
Save (command), 237
Scheme (command), 323
Scheme Boolean Equality (command), 323
Scheme Equality (command), 323
Search (command), 216
Search Blacklist (table), 222
Search Output Name Only (flag), 222
SearchPattern (command), 221
SearchRewrite (command), 222
Section (command), 68
Section variable 'ident' occurs
implicitly in global
declaration 'qualid' present
in hypothesis 'ident' (error), 288
Section variable 'ident' occurs
implicitly in global
declaration 'qualid' present
in the conclusion (error), 288
sentence, 7
Separate Extraction (command), 564
Set (command), 9
Set (sort), 10
set (ssreflect) (tactic), 342, 427
set (tactic), 276
setoid_etransitivity (tactic), 471
setoid_reflexivity (tactic), 471
setoid_replace (tactic), 471
setoid_rewrite (tactic), 471
setoid_symmetry (tactic), 471
setoid_transitivity (tactic), 471
shared library failed: Coq Error:
'string' is not a valid

226
shelve (tactic), 245
shelve_unifiable (tactic), 245
Short Module Printing (flag), 75
Show (command), 248
Show Conjectures (command), 248
Show Existentials (command), 248
Show Extraction (command), 564
Show Goal (command), 249
Show Intro (command), 248
Show Intros (command), 248
Show Lia Profile (command), 433
Show Ltac Profile (command), 514
show ltac profile (tactic), 516
Show Match (command), 249
Show Obligation Tactic (command), 214
Show Proof (command), 248
Show Universes (command), 249
Show Zify (command), 438
sig (term), 552
sig2 (term), 552
Signature components for field 'ident' do not match (error), 72
sigT (term), 552
sigT2 (term), 552
Silent (flag), 230
simpl (tactic), 293
simple apply (tactic), 269
simple congruence (tactic), 431
simple destruct (tactic), 306
simple eapply (tactic), 270
simple induction (tactic), 311
simple injection (tactic), 315
simple inversion (tactic), 317
simple subst (tactic), 288
simplify_eq (tactic), 315
singel: /(term), 557
snd (term), 551
soft functional induction (tactic), 578
solve (tactic), 488
Solve All Obligations (command), 214
Solve Obligations (command), 214
Solve Unification Constraints (flag), 251
solve_constraints (tactic), 251
Some (term), 551
specialize (tactic), 278
specialize_eqs (tactic), 280
split (tactic), 303
split_Rabs (tactic), 559
split_Rmult (tactic), 559
SProp, 10
SProp is disallowed because the "Allow StrictProp" flag is off (error), 100

SSReflect: cannot obtain new equations out of ... (warning), 352
SsrHave NoTCResolution (flag), 378
SsrIdents (flag), 336
SsrOldRewriteGoalsOrder (flag), 384
SsrRewrite (flag), 336
Stack overflow or segmentation fault
happens when working with large numbers in 'type' (threshold may vary depending on your system limits and on the command executed) (warning), 164
start ltac profiling (tactic),516
stepl (tactic), 288
stepr (tactic), 288
stop ltac profiling (tactic), 516
Strategy (command), 299
Strict Implicit(flag), 114
Strict Universe Declaration (flag), 97
String Notation (command), 165
Strongly Strict Implicit(flag), 114
Structural Injection (flag), 315
Structure (command), 32
SubClass (command), 182
subst (tactic), 287
substitute (tactic), 287
Succeed (command), 229
suff (tactic), 426
suffices (tactic), 426
Suggest Proof Using (flag), 240
sum (term), 551
sumbool (term), 552
sumor (term), 552
swap (tactic), 246
sym_not_eq (term), 550
symmetry (tactic), 285
Syntax error: [prim:reference] expected after 'Notation' (in
[vernac:command]) (error), 166
Syntax error: [prim:reference] expected after [prim:reference] (in [vernac:command]) (error), 166

\section*{T}
tactic, 7
Tactic failure (error), 490
Tactic failure (level 'natural') (error), 490
Tactic failure: <tactic closure> succeeds (error), 489
Tactic failure: Setoid library not loaded (error), 287
Tactic generated a subgoal identical to the original goal (error), 287

Tactic Notation (command), 170
Tactic Notation 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string' (warning), 584
Tactic 'qualid' is deprecated since 'string'. 'string' (warning), 584
tail (term), 560
tauto (tactic), 428
template and polymorphism not compatible (error), 56
term, 6
Terms do not have convertible types (error), 287
Test (command), 9
The "at" syntax isn't available yet for the autorewrite tactic (error), 456
The 'abstract after' directive has no effect when the parsing function ('qualid') targets an option type (warning), 164
The 'clear implicits' flag must be omitted if implicit annotations are given (error), 173
The 'default implicits' flag is incompatible with implicit annotations (error), 173
The / modifier may only occur once (error), 172
The \& modifier may only occur once (error), 172
The command has not failed! (error), 229
The conclusion of 'type' is not valid
it must be built from 'ident' (error), 40
The constant 'number' is not a binary64 floating-point value. A closest value 'number' will be used and unambiguously printed 'number'. [inexact-float,parsing] (warning), 562
The constructor 'ident' expects 'natural' arguments (error), 135
The cumulative attribute can only be used in a polymorphic context (error), 90
The elimination predicate term should be of arity 'natural' (for non dependent case) or 'natural'
(for dependent case) (error), 135
The field 'ident' is missing in 'qualid' (error), 72
The file 'ident'.vo contains library
'qualid' and not library
'qualid' (error), 225
The recursive argument must be specified (error), 577
The reference is not unfoldable (error), 300
The reference \(X\) was not found in the current environment (error), 546
The reference 'qualid' was not found in the current environment (error), 299
The relation 'ident' is not a declared reflexive relation. Maybe you need to require the Coq. Classes.RelationClasses library (error), 285
The relation 'ident' is not a declared symmetric relation. Maybe
you need to require the Coq. Classes.RelationClasses library (error), 285
The relation 'ident' is not a declared transitive relation. Maybe you need to require the Coq. Classes.RelationClasses library (error), 285
The term "'type'" has type "'type'" which should be Set, Prop or Type (error), 278
The term 'term' has type 'type' which should be Set, Prop or Type (error), 16
The term 'term' has type 'type' while it is expected to have type 'type' ' (error), 15
The type has no constructors (error), 303
The type 'ident' must be registered before this construction can be typechecked (error), 233
The variable ident is bound several times in pattern term (error), 135
The variable 'ident' is already declared (error), 276
The 'natural' th argument of 'ident' must be 'ident' in 'type' (error), 28
Theorem (command), 16
Theories, 547
There is already an Ltac named 'qualid' (error), 506
There is no flag or option with this name: "'setting_name'" (warning), 9
There is no flag, option or table with this name: "'setting_name'" (er- tt(term), 551

Type, 10
type, 7
Type (command), 216
type of (tactic), 501
Type of 'ident' is not an equality of recognized \(\Sigma\) types: expected one of sig sig2 sigT sigT2 sigT2 ex or ex2 but got 'term' (error), 317
Type of 'qualid' seems incompatible with the type of 'qualid'. Expected type is: 'type' instead of 'type'. This might yield ill typed terms when using the notation (warning), 166
type_scope, 159
type_term (tactic), 501
Typeclass Resolution For Conversion (flag), 196
Typeclasses Debug (flag), 197
Typeclasses Debug Verbosity (option), 197
Typeclasses Dependency Order (flag), 196
Typeclasses Depth (option), 197
Typeclasses eauto (command), 197
typeclasses eauto (tactic), 194
Typeclasses Filtered Unification (flag), 196
Typeclasses Iterative Deepening (flag), 197
Typeclasses Limit Intros (flag), 196
Typeclasses Opaque (command), 195
Typeclasses Strict Resolution (flag), 196
Typeclasses Transparent (command), 195
Typeclasses Unique Instances (flag), 197
Typeclasses Unique Solutions (flag), 196

\section*{U}

Unable to apply lemma of type "..." on hypothesis of type "..." (error), 265
Unable to find an instance for the variables 'ident' ... 'ident' ror), 309
Unable to find an instance for the variables 'ident'... ident' (error), 265
Unable to infer a match predicate (error), 135
Unable to satisfy the rewriting constraints (error), 474
Unable to unify 'one_term' with 'one_term' (error), 265
Unbound context identifier 'ident' (error), 499
Unbound [value|constructor] X (error), 546

Undeclared universe 'ident' (error), 96
Undelimit Scope (command), 157
under (tactic), 396, 426
Undo (command), 242
Unexpected non-option term 'term' while parsing a number notation (error), 165
Unexpected non-option term 'term' while parsing a string notation (error), 166
Unexpected only parsing for an only printing notation (error), 142
Unexpected only printing for an only parsing notation (error), 142
Unexpected term 'term' while parsing a number notation (error), 165
Unexpected term 'term' while parsing a string notation (error), 166
Unfocus (command), 245
Unfocused (command), 245
unfold (tactic), 294
Uniform Inductive Parameters (flag), 43
unify (tactic), 502
unit (term), 551
Universe (command), 95
Universe Checking (flag), 231
Universe inconsistency (error), 96
Universe instance length is 'natural' but should be 'natural' (error), 215
Universe Minimization ToSet (flag), 95
Universe Polymorphism (flag), 89
Universes (command), 95
universes (cumulative) (attribute), 90
universes(polymorphic) (attribute), 89
universes (template) (attribute), 55
Unknown custom entry (error), 142
Unknown custom entry: 'ident' (error), 144, 156
Unknown inductive type (error), 249
unlock (tactic), 400, 427
Unset (command), 9
Unshelve (command), 246
unshelve (tactic), 246
Unused variable 'ident' might be a misspelled constructor. Use or _'ident' to silence this warning (warning), 126
Use of 'string' Notation is deprecated as it is inconsistent with pattern syntax (warning), 156
using (attribute), 238

\section*{V}
value (term), 553

Variable (command), 13
Variable All is shadowed by Collection named All containing all
variables (warning), 238
Variables (command), 13
Variant (command), 28
vm_cast_no_check (tactic), 283
vm_compute (tactic), 297

\section*{W}

Warnings (option), 230
Well founded induction, 554
Well foundedness, 554
well_founded (term), 554
with_strategy (tactic), 300
without loss (tactic), 379
wlia (tactic), 436
wlog (tactic), 379, 426
wlra_Q (tactic), 434
wnia (tactic), 436
wnra_Q (tactic), 436
wpsatz_Q (tactic), 437
wpsatz_Z (tactic), 437
Wrong argument name (error), 116
Wrong argument position (error), 117
Wrong bullet 'bullet': Bullet 'bullet’ is mandatory here (error), 244
Wrong bullet 'bullet': Current bullet 'bullet' is not finished (error), 244
wsos_Q (tactic), 437
wsos_Z (tactic), 437

\section*{X}
xlia (tactic), 435
xlra_Q (tactic), 434
xlra_R (tactic), 434
xnia (tactic), 436
xnra_Q (tactic), 436
xnra_R (tactic), 436
xpsatz_Q (tactic), 437
xpsatz_R (tactic), 437
xpsatz_Z (tactic), 437
xsos_Q (tactic), 437
xsos_R (tactic), 437
xsos_Z (tactic), 437

\section*{Z}
zify (tactic), 437
zify_elim_let (tactic), 438
zify_iter_let (tactic), 438
zify_iter_specs (tactic), 438
zify_op (tactic), 438
zify_saturate (tactic), 438
'class' must be a transparent constant (error), 182
'ident' already exists (error), 35
'ident' already exists. (Axiom) (error), 14
'ident' already exists. (Definition) (error), 15
'ident' already exists. (Theorem) (error), 16
'ident' cannot be defined (warning), 35
'ident' cannot be defined because it is informative and 'ident' is not (warning), 35
'ident' cannot be defined because the projection 'ident' was not defined (warning), 35
'ident' is already declared as a typeclass (warning), 193
'ident' is already used (error), 272, 276
'ident' is both name of a Collection and Variable, Collection 'ident' takes precedence over Variable (warning), 238
'ident' is declared as a local axiom (warning), 14
'ident' is not a local definition (error), 275
'ident' is not an equality of \(\Sigma\) types (error), 318
'ident' is opaque (error), 294
'ident' is used in the conclusion (error), 274
'ident' is used in the hypothesis 'ident' (error), 274, 275
'ident' was already a defined Variable, the name 'ident' will refer to Collection when executing "Proof using" command (warning), 241
'qualid' cannot be used as a hint (error), 459
'qualid' does not occur (error), 295
'qualid' does not respect the uniform inheritance condition (warning), 182
'qualid' is already a coercion (error), 182
'qualid' is bound to a notation that does not denote a reference (error), 166
'qualid' is not a function(error), 182
'qualid' is not a module (error), 75
'qualid' is not an inductive type (error), 460
'qualid' not a defined object (error), 215
'qualid' not declared (error), 182
'qualid' should go from Byte.byte or
```

    (list Byte.byte) to 'type' or
    (option 'type') (error), 165
    'qualid' should go from Number.int
to 'type' or (option 'type').
Instead of Number.int, the
types Number.uint or Z or
PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63
or PrimFloat.float or
Number.number could be used
(you may need to require
BinNums or Number or PrimInt63
or PrimFloat first) (error), 164
'qualid' should go from 'type' to
Byte.byte or (option Byte.byte)
or (list Byte.byte) or (option
(list Byte.byte)) (error),166
'qualid' should go from 'type'
to Number.int or (option
Number.int). Instead of
Number.int, the types
Number.uint or Z or
PrimInt63.pos_neg_int63 or
Number.number could be used
(you may need to require
BinNums or Number or PrimInt63
first) (error),164
'qualid' was already mapped to 'qualid'
and cannot be remapped to
'qualid' (error), 166
'string' cannot be interpreted as a
known notation in 'ident' entry.
Make sure that symbols are
surrounded by spaces and that
holes are explicitly denoted by
"_" (error), 144
'string' cannot be interpreted as
a known notation. Make sure
that symbols are surrounded
by spaces and that holes are
explicitly denoted by "_" (error),
144
'type' is not an inductive type (error),
166
'type' was already mapped to 'type',
mapping it also to 'type' might
yield ill typed terms when
using the notation (warning), 166
... : ... (goal selector) (tactic),482
... : ... (ssreflect) (tactic), 354

```
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