


Contractors' Competitiveness Measurement in International Competitive Bid of Ethiopian Road Projects



Hanna Moges Dereje, Wubishet Jekale Mengesha

Abstract: *The competitiveness of organizations and the development of competitive strategies have become important issues in the construction industry. Therefore, this research sought to create a statistical model framework to enhance domestic road contractors' competitiveness when bidding for the International Competitive Bid in Ethiopia. This has been addressed by studying the challenges faced by domestic contractors in International competitive bids. The study considered structured questionnaires, interviews, and case studies for data. To measure the level of competitiveness a statistical model was generated based on factor analysis and multiple regression with the aid of software. It's been found that challenges mainly on core competency, owner's tough requirement, public construction policies, and etc. as critical factors which construction stakeholders need to give emphasis on.*

Keywords: *Competitiveness, Construction-Procurement, Domestic-Contractors.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is vital for the development of any nation. In many ways, the pace of economic growth of any nation can be measured by the development of physical infrastructures, such as buildings, roads, railways, hydropower stations, and bridges [20]. Construction project development involves numerous parties, various processes, different phases and stages of work, and plenty of inputs from both the public and private sectors, with the major aim of bringing the project to a successful conclusion. The procurement system and tendering process are how it goes about acquiring the Goods, Services, and Works with the competitive Contractor [19].

In most cases, Construction Work is awarded mainly based on Competitive bidding. Under this system, the project owner invites interested contractors to compete for a project by tendering bids. The award is made based on the bidder with the lowest quoted price [4]. However, the price cannot

guarantee the delivery of a project with expected quality, using the best techniques, or meeting the delivery date. Contractors must have the capability to deal with various bidding situations successfully in today's highly competitive construction market [10].

Contractors' competitiveness, which has the end goal of getting the market share and being productive for the project, delivering the project while sustaining the profitability of the company is determined by a large number of factors for numerous challenges and problems [18]. These challenges start from the beginning which is the Bidding. Ofori [18] listed challenges such as the inability to prepare responsive bids, completeness issues, inability to meet the special requirements, lack of the required annual turnover and line of credit, lack of experienced and qualified staff, and inadequate contract administration system. Of course, it might be difficult to address all the challenges and satisfy the factors for the challenges at the same time as management practices always have to face limited resources such as money, manpower, time, and management efforts. Therefore, identifying a list of critical success factors is valuable for helping contractors focus more on developing their competitiveness ([7]; [15]; [25]).

Even if some domestic Contractors are registered in the high classes or grades for road construction, in Ethiopia most of them are incapable of submitting responsive bids as expected despite their ability, or some stakeholders and Government efforts. While in the time of free trade, where not just one of the country's business owners' computes but also those from all around, the ability to win and sign an International Competitive bid gets tough for Domestic ones other than internal /Company/. Hence, this competitive and unsustainable construction environment necessitates that Ethiopian construction organizations need to be more strategic and proactive to increase their chances of survival in the sector. According to [22], the measure of the competitiveness of a construction organization, is an effective way to understand the concept, promote continuous improvement, and enhance performance.

Therefore, to measure competitiveness and come up with strategic means bidding related research was concerned with modelling bidding behavior by considering competitive relationships. Competitiveness in bidding can be modelled by analyzing: (1) entire bid distributions; (2) competitiveness within bids; and (3) competitiveness between bids for either a single or series of construction contracts [5].

Manuscript received on 29 January 2023 | Revised Manuscript received on 06 February 2023 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 February 2023 | Manuscript published on 28 February 2023.

*Correspondence Author(s)

Hanna Moges Dereje*, Construction Technology and Management, Wachemo University, Hossana, Ethiopia. Email: hanna.moges@yahoo.com, ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7391-4992>.

Wubishet Jekale Mengesha, Construction Technology and Management, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Email: jekale.cmc@gmail.com.

© The Authors. Published by Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP). This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC-ND license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Contractors' Competitiveness Measurement in International Competitive Bid of Ethiopian Road Projects

To develop, this notion, [6] used regression analysis to model competitiveness between the bids of competing contractors. Using data collected from tender reports they modelled the competitiveness behavior of 15 contractors toward five different types of construction work. It was found that the competitiveness of the 15 contractors did not differ significantly between some types. The original five types were, therefore, regrouped into three types based on contractors' competitiveness. Two of these comprised mainly smaller contracts and larger contracts. Some of the contractors displayed the same behavior as those in the Flanagan and Norman [8] study. In addition, it was found that the most competitive contractors had preferred contract sizes for either smaller or larger contracts and that one contractor was more competitive on smaller contracts. They also found that competitiveness differences were greater for different sizes of construction work than for different types of construction work. In other words, the size of construction work appeared to influence competitiveness more than the type of construction work [15]. On the other prospect, deciding to bid or no bid in competitive world of construction industry is a crucial issue. Because all tenders are not meant to be bid on. Consideration of the resources that will be put on by the contractor should be consider before the decision to bid. For instance, on this issue, the other three models were introduced and developed by Wanous [24], In order to create the weights of the elements taken into consideration, an artificial neural network (ANN) approach on the bid/no-bid and the neuro-fuzzy "bid / no bid" models was evaluated on actual projects. These two methods rely on input data collected from the contractors on their evaluation of the variables influencing the choice to bid or not to bid and link it with the actual output data, which becomes the ultimate decision. The (ANN) approach then creates connections between the input and the output using its knowledge base, and the weighted link is utilized to create a straightforward computer software called Smart Bidder to assist in the bid/no-bid decision-making process. The weights of variables from a questionnaire survey given to Syrian contractors were employed in the third model (a parametric solution model) ([24] cited on [15], [7]). But this model was hard to understand by the users. Similar to Drew, D.S., Skitmore, R. M., and Lo, H. P [5] regression model, in El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N [7] and unpublished masters dissertation of Nasser, S. A. [15] 125 factors were identified, categorized into nine groups, evaluated, and ranked from the contractor's perspectives. A factor analysis technique was used to develop the model to measure and forecast companies' competitiveness strategies. El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N [7] and Nasser, S. A. [15] multiple regression analysis model includes five clusters with 18 factors.

Hence, as it has been an important issue for other countries' construction industries for many years, Competitiveness and its strategy should have been something that needed to be addressed long ago in Ethiopia's construction industry. Since the situation is alarming, there is a need to study and understand the challenges facing domestic Contractors, especially when competing for large Road work tenders that attract international bidders. The solutions that will be drawn will explicitly benefit all local contractors' competitive strategies and other stakeholders.

From different previous reviews especially taking [5, 7,15] studies as a major base for this Ethiopian based research, six major groups were drawn to represent challenging factors, which are the company's /firm's/ characteristics, project/contract/ characteristics, bidding situation, contract documentation and administration, tenderers' internal relationships, and social and economic conditions. Using that this study tends to develop a statistical model framework based on critical factors to strengthen Ethiopian Contractors' competitiveness in federal international competitive bidding (ICB) road projects based on critical factors that affect them so that the Ethiopian contractors' and other main stakeholders on road projects aware on enhancing mechanisms for competitiveness.

A. Objective of the study

The study intends to achieve the following objectives:

- To develop a statistical model framework based on critical factors affecting competitiveness of Ethiopian Contractors in federal international competitive bidding (ICB) road projects.
- To recommend enhancing mechanisms for competitiveness of Domestic Contractors in ICB of Ethiopian federal road projects.

II. METHODS

A. Research Area

The study focused on Ethiopian road contractors' competitiveness. Most of these Contractors are based in the major business town and capital city of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa. In addition, since headquarter of the Ethiopian Road authority is also found there, the study area was chosen to be in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

B. Research Population

The target population in this research was:

- Domestic Road Contractors registered in grade one
- Ethiopian Road Authority's (ERA) Engineering Procurement Directorate staff

This group of stakeholders is considered for this research because they have a right-hand relationship with procurement, bid evaluation criteria, and implementation of the ICB tender. The study covered twenty-two domestic road contractors registered in grade one and thirteen staff from ERA in the Engineering Procurement Directorate department. Additionally, five higher officers; project senior advisors, directorate directors, and Engineering procurement team leaders were interviewed face-to-face.

C. Population Sampling and Sampling Techniques

This study considered two groups of the population. Grade one Road Contractors and ERA's Engineering and Procurement staff which has been addressed through non-probability sampling.

- Grade one Road Contractors: Snowball sampling and Purposive sampling techniques have been used.
- ERA's Engineering and Procurement staff: Purposive sampling technique has been used.



Purposive sampling has been used with the advice and assistance of the ERA Engineering and procurement department, similarly based on the record the organization kept. The Snowball sampling technique has been used, for groups that are difficult to reach. In addition, there are few registered grade-one road contractors in Ethiopia. Hence, the study tried to include as many as possible based on the recommendation of willing participants.

D. Research Data Required and Instruments

Primary data were obtained from questionnaires via google Forms and interviews. In addition, to verify the model framework, two case studies were considered. Secondary data have been obtained from different pieces of literature on published books, journals, and research.

E. Research Instrument Quality Test

(1) Validity Test

- Face Validity Test: This involves the expert looking at the items in the instruments and agreeing that the test is a valid measure of the concept that is being measured just on the face of it. Therefore, advisors and experts like contract administration staff and ERA’s engineering procurement directorate staff, evaluated whether each of the measuring items and questions in the instrument matched the domain of the research.
- Content Validity Test: the content validity of the instruments was achieved by a rational analysis of the instrument by raters (experts) familiar with the construct of interest; Research Advisors and experts on the research subject;

Contractors’ Contract administration staff and ERA’s engineering procurement directorate experts, and researchers. Raters reviewed the relevance in form of readability, Clarity, and Comprehensiveness and came to some level of agreement as to which items should be included in the final questionnaire and interview. The content validity index commonly known as I-CVI has been computed as the experts giving a rating of ‘relevance’ which will measure the individual questions. The scale level content validity index (S-CVI) measures the overall questionnaire and interview items. The result was obtained by dividing each item by the number of experts. Eight experts were used (i.e. N=8) and the total number of questionnaires was thirty-six. According to Lynn [13], for six to eight experts acceptable CVI value is at least 0.83.

$I-CVI = (\text{agreed item}) / (\text{number of experts})$ (1)

$S-CVI/AVE = (\text{sum of I-CVI scores}) / (\text{number of items})$ (2)

$S-CVI/UA = (\text{sum of UA scores}) / (\text{number of items})$ (3)

Table 1 shows the detailed outcome of the content validity result for thirty-six questions of both the google form survey questionnaire and the interview. The result of I-CVI and S-CVI/UA was 0.96 and 0.83 respectively. Hence, the results showed that the item of the instruments is relevant enough.

Table-I: Content Validity Test Result

Item	expert 1	expert 2	expert 3	expert 4	expert 5	expert 6	expert 7	expert 8	expert in agreement	I-CVI	Universal Agreement
Q1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q8	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q9	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	6	0.75	0
Q10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q12	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	7	0.88	0
Q13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q16	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	6	0.75	0
Q17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q19	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	0.88	0



Contractors' Competitiveness Measurement in International Competitive Bid of Ethiopian Road Projects

Q20	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	0.88	0
Q21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q22	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	6	0.75	0
Q23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q26	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q27	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q28	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q31	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q33	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
Q36	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	1	1
									S-CVI/Ave	0.96	
proportion relevance	0.94	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.94	0.97	0.97	S-CVI/UA		0.83

(2) Reliability Test

Cronbach's Alpha: This method was used to measure the reliability of the questionnaire between each field and the mean of the whole field of the questionnaire. The normal range of Cronbach's coefficient alpha value is between 0.0 and + 1.0 and the higher values reflect a higher degree of internal consistency ([7]; [15]). The closer the Alpha is to 1, the greater the internal consistency of items in the instrument. The formula that determines alpha is fairly simple and makes use of the items (variables), k, in the scale and the average of the inter-item correlation (r).

$$\alpha = kr/1+(k-1)r \quad [9] \quad (4)$$

Where: $0.9 \leq \alpha \leq 1.0$ Excellent ; $0.8 \leq \alpha < 0.9$ Good; $0.7 \leq \alpha < 0.8$ Acceptable; $0.5 \leq \alpha < 0.6$ Poor; $0.0 \leq \alpha < 0.5$ Unacceptable [9,15] .

But for this study the Cronbach alpha for the reliability was conducted with the aid of SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) to increase efficiency and accuracy.

The results are presented in Table 2. Therefore, a Cronbach's Alpha value was greater than 0.6, which shows this study instrument was reliable.

Table-II: Reliability Coefficient Alpha Cronbach Technique

Factor Domains	Reliability Statistics	
	Cronbach's Alpha	Number of Items/factors
Company's /Firm's/ characteristics	0.809	24
Project/contract characteristics factors	0.789	10
Bidding situation	0.888	13
Contract documentation and administration factors	0.684	4
Tenderers' internal relationships	0.731	4
The social and economic conditions	0.679	7
ERA's Major Factors causes	0.749	7

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The objectives of this study have been addressed through a selected factor for challenges in competitiveness with a structured questionnaire with a Likert scale to Ethiopian Road Contractors. Frequency distribution, correlation analysis, factor analysis, and multiple regression were used to analyze and organize data, also to give meaning to the response rates, address the research objectives, and facilitate

insight. Table III illustrated the response rate of the respondents. Twenty-seven questionnaires with a list of sub-section for domestic contractors, sixteen questionnaires for Ethiopian road authority and procurement staffs were distributed and conducted using Google Forms to elicit responses. Additionally, five interviews for higher management officers from ERA were held to strength and put different prospect for the study.

Table-III: Distribution and Collection of Data

Targeted Group	Addressed	Responded
Grade 1 General or Road Contractors	27	22
Ethiopian road authority procurement staff	16	13
higher officers from the Ethiopian Road Authority	5	5
Response rate	Those who did not respond – 16.67%	Those who returned – 83.33%

A. Questionnaires Data Result and Discussion

(1) Critical sub-factor selection

The Reviewed literature mainly taking [5], [7] and [15] as bench mark and the study of current ways of the International Competitive Bid Road Construction sector in Ethiopia given way to categorize factors affecting Local Contractors' Competitiveness into Six Major Groups. 24 Company (Firm's Characteristics) factors, 10 Contract (Project) characteristics factors, 13 bidding situation factors, 4 Contract documentation/administration factors, 7 Social and economic condition factors and, 4 tenderers' internal

relationships and were identified. A total of 62 factors were ranked per group by the 22 contractors and contractor firm representatives. To determine the relative ranking of the factors, the scores were transformed into the importance indices based on the following formula.

$$\text{Relative Importance Index} = \frac{\sum w}{AN} = \frac{5n_5 + 4n_4 + 3n_3 + 2n_2 + 1n_1}{5N} \quad (5)$$

Table IV displays the critical sub-factors selected based on RII ranked in each of the six major groups.

Table-IV: Critical Sub-Factors Affecting Contractor's Competitiveness in ICB Road Projects

Critical Sub Factors (CSFs)	Mean	Std. Deviation	(Importance Index) II	Rank
Financial resources and financial stability for the bid (CSF 1)	4.864	0.351	97.27%	1
Experience in Certain kinds of bidding (CSF 2)	4.818	0.395	96.36%	2
Failure to meet the Turnover requirement of ICB Tender (CSF 3)	4.682	0.477	93.64%	3
Past experience in similar ICB Road projects /company strength in the industry (CSF 4)	4.636	0.658	92.73%	4
Availability of qualified and Experienced Staff (CSF 5)	4.591	0.590	91.82%	5
Social, Economic, and Political condition of the country (CSF 6)	4.591	0.666	91.82%	6
Completeness of Bid document (CSF 7)	4.500	0.598	90.00%	7
Bid Contract Conditions /special requirements/ (CSF 8)	4.500	0.673	90.00%	8
Managerial ability (CSF 9)	4.500	0.678	90.00%	9
Project cash flow (CSF 10)	4.455	0.596	89.09%	10
Project size (CSF 11)	4.409	0.666	88.18%	11
Contract Coordination difficulties (CSF 12)	4.364	0.658	87.27%	12
Pre-qualification requirement (CSF13)	4.364	0.727	87.27%	13
Expected number of competitors (degree of competition) (CSF 14)	4.364	0.734	87.27%	14
Site Conditions (CSF 15)	4.364	0.747	87.27%	15
The Contract Administration System (CSF 16)	4.273	0.827	85.45%	16
The commitment of the Firm to ongoing projects (CSF 17)	4.273	0.883	85.45%	17
Contractors' Relationship with stakeholders (CSF 18)	4.227	0.528	84.55%	18
Government Procurement regulations (CSF 19)	4.227	0.869	84.55%	19

(2) Factor analysis and Extraction

The principal component analysis and basis vector are used to select the base of the factors. which component causes variations to occur most frequently. The process of producing linear combinations of variables using factor analysis in order to explain as much variance in the obtained data as feasible is known as principal components analysis ([7];[15]). The critical-sub factor components are arranged in order of how much they contribute to the variation. It has identified 19

linear components within the data as shown in table IV above. In factor analysis and extraction with the assistance of SPSS, The Eigenvalues associated with each factor represent the variance explained by that particular linear component. It also displays the Eigenvalue in terms of the percentage of variance explained (e.g., factor 1 explains 25.402% of total variance as shows in table V below).



Table-V: Eigen-Value for the Nineteen Critical Sub-Factors

Critical Sub Factors (CSFs)	Initial Eigenvalues		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
Financial resources and financial stability for the bid (CSF 1)	4.826	25.402	25.402
Experience in Certain kinds of bidding (CSF 2)	3.699	19.470	44.872
Failure to meet the Turnover requirement of ICB Tender (CSF 3)	1.986	10.451	55.322
Experience in similar ICB Road projects /company strength in the industry (CSF 4)	1.710	8.999	64.321
Availability of qualified and Experienced Staff (CSF 5)	1.557	8.194	72.515
Social, Economic, and Political condition of the country (CSF 6)	1.162	6.114	78.630
Completeness of Bid document (CSF 7)	0.962	5.066	83.695
Bid Contract Conditions /special requirements/ (CSF 8)	0.720	3.788	87.483
Managerial ability (CSF 9)	0.649	3.417	90.900
Project cash flow (CSF 10)	0.483	2.544	93.444
Project size (CSF 11)	0.368	1.935	95.378
Contract Coordination difficulties (CSF 12)	0.271	1.427	96.806
Pre-qualification requirement (CSF13)	0.247	1.302	98.108
Expected number of competitors (degree of competition) (CSF 14)	0.177	0.934	99.042
Site Conditions (CSF 15)	0.087	0.457	99.499
The Contract Administration System (CSF 16)	0.045	0.235	99.734
The commitment of the Firm to ongoing projects (CSF 17)	0.033	0.173	99.908
Contractors' Relationship with stakeholders (CSF 18)	0.013	0.068	99.976
Government Procurement regulations (CSF 19)	0.005	0.024	100.000

This factor's Eigenvalue is once more shown, along with the column labeled "% of the variance" that shows how much of the variation is explained by these factors.

The recovered clusters in Table V, which shows the results of the principal component analysis, account for (78.63%) of the variance. Which means only 6 factors among the nineteen stood out. On the contrary with [7, 15] study which 8 factors selected by the Eigenvalue result which account for (71.38%).

The value for the elements that were disregarded were ignored, as shown in [fig. 1](#) for the plot of total variance., since the Eigenvalue of those factors is less than 1.




Fig. 1. Total Variance Plot

(3) Factor Rotation

Since factor rotation maximizes the variance of factors across the variables, which produces a simpler solution [15]. Even though, this is the default setting in most statistical programs.

In more explained way, 19 identified CSFs were subjected to factor analysis using principal components analysis and Varimax Rotation. Already done with Principal components. Varimax rotation is used for this level of factor analysis as an attempt to clarify the relationship among the factors. Hence, it involves adjusting the coordinates of data that result from the principal components analysis.

The rotated factor matrix in factor analysis which is a matrix of the factor loadings for each variable onto each factor is illustrated in table VI. This matrix contains the same information as the component matrix which is calculated after rotation. A few things that needed to consider about this matrix were as follows.

- Factor loading is suppressed by 0.4.
- The Factor loading output is to be sorted by size.

To get a simpler solution the above considerations has been done for the matrix of El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N [7] and unpublished masters dissertation of Nasser, S. A. [15]. [Table VI](#) shows the factor rotation between new clusters and all Critical sub-factor affecting the competitiveness of ICB Road projects. For presentation and factor analysis purposes, only those with the above-selected criteria are presented in the table. The result also showed the strength of the correlation between extracted factors and their variables (CSFs).



Table-VI: Extracted Group Matrix After Varimax Rotation

Critical Sub-factors	Critical Factors					
	CF.1	CF.2	CF.3	CF.4	CF.5	CF.6
Completeness of document (CSF 7)	0.841					
Managerial ability (CSF 9)	0.839					
Pre-qualification requirement (CSF 13)	0.810					
Government procurement regulations (CSF 19)	0.751					
Project size (CFS 11)	-0.663					
The contract administration system (CFS 16)		0.909				
Availability of qualified and Experienced Staff (CFS 5)		0.858				
Contract Coordination difficulties (CFS 12)		0.728				
Past experience in similar projects /company strength in the industry (CFS 4)	-0.495	0.605		0.448		
Failure to meet the Turnover requirement (CFS 3)			0.800			
Financial resources and financial stability for the bid (CSF 1)	-0.501		0.646			
Project cash flow (CFS 10)				0.869		
Expected number of competitors (degree of competition) (CFS 14)		0.504		0.607		
Bid Contract Conditions/special requirements/ (CFS 8)			0.499	0.582		
Experience in Certain kinds of bidding (CFS 2)					0.844	
The commitment of the Firm to ongoing projects (CFS 17)					0.647	
Contractors' relationship with stakeholders (CFS 18)			0.433		0.477	
Social, Economic, and Political condition of the country (CFS 6)						0.863
Site Conditions (CSF 15)						0.738

(4) Extracted Critical Factors Interpretation

The six retrieved clusters have been renamed as follow.

Cluster 1: Contract and Project Administration Conditions

This cluster includes the Completeness of the document, Managerial ability, Pre-qualification requirements, Government procurement regulations, and Project size.

Cluster 2: Experience Influence

This cluster includes The Contract administration system, Availability of qualified and Experienced Staff, Coordination difficulties, and experience in similar projects /company strength in the industry.

Cluster 3: Financial Influence

This cluster includes Failure to meet the Turnover requirement and Financial resources.

Cluster 4: Bid Requirement This one includes Project cash flow, the expected number of competitors (degree of competition), and Bid Contract Conditions/special requirements/.

Cluster 5: Internal situation

This cluster includes Experience in Certain kinds of bidding, Commitment of the Firm to projects, and Contractor relationships with stakeholders.

Cluster 6: Security Conditions

This cluster includes the Social, Economic, and Political conditions of the country and Site Conditions.

The cluster of El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N [7] and unpublished master’s dissertation of Nasser, S. A. [15] were eight based on their factor extraction.

(5) Statistical Model Development based Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regressions are a statistical technique that allows us to predict someone’s score on one variable based on their scores on several other variables. Regression analysis includes techniques for modeling and analyzing several variables when the focus is on the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables as shown in equation 5 [7,15].

$$Y = b_1F_i + b_2F_{ii} + b_3F_{iii} + \dots + b_nF_n + c \tag{6}$$

where:

b₁, b₂, b₃ ...b_n are intercepts (coefficients)

c is the constant coefficient

F_i+ F_{ii} + F_{iii} ...F_n are critical factors (Independent Variables)

Y is the dependent variable (Competitiveness)

By creating a model to forecast the percentage of competitiveness in the ICB Road projects, this technique for this study attempted to identify the most significant element impacting the Contractor's competitiveness.

To assess their utility for forecasting changes in the dependent variable, competitiveness, the selected 19 essential success elements that influence competitiveness were used as independent variables.

Table VII shows six structures, which include different factors. The criteria variable's observed value and anticipated value are correlated, and this is indicated by the letter R. R Square (R²) is the square of this correlation measure and represents the percentage of the criterion variable's variation that is accounted for in the model framework. It serves as a gauge of how well we can predict the criterion variable using the predictor factors (i.e. independent variables) [7,15].



Table-VII: R Measures for Predictor Variable

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. An error in the Estimate
1	.844 ^a	0.713	0.598	0.223
2	.879 ^a	0.772	0.681	0.223
3	.882 ^a	0.778	0.689	0.266
4	.923 ^a	0.852	0.792	0.300
5	.896 ^a	0.803	0.724	0.310
6	.888 ^a	0.788	0.703	0.363

As in this model, the adjusted R-square was 0.792. It meant that independent variables explain almost 80% of the variance of the dependent variable. Based on the selected Model only three variables were significant out of six independent variables as shown in [table VIII](#) below.

The p-value of the statistic should be less than 0.05(level of Significance) for a model to be selected. This means that, at least, one of the predictor variables is significantly related to the outcome variable.

Table-VIII: Predictor/Coefficient/ of the Selected Model.

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
(Constant)	4.636	0.064		72.528	0.000
REGR factor score 1 for analysis 1	-0.326	0.065	-0.495	-4.975	0.000
REGR factor score 2 for analysis 1	0.398	0.065	0.605	6.080	0.000
REGR factor score 3 for analysis 1	-0.084	0.065	-0.128	-1.291	0.216
REGR factor score 4 for analysis 1	0.295	0.065	0.448	4.507	0.000
REGR factor score 5 for analysis 1	-0.099	0.065	-0.150	-1.511	0.152
REGR factor score 6 for analysis 1	-0.024	0.065	-0.037	-0.370	0.716

Hence, the model equation can be written as:

$$\text{Competitiveness} = (4.636 - 0.326 * C1 + 0.398 * C2 + 0.295 * C4) * (100/6.471) \tag{7}$$

Where: Following are explanations of the ranking scores of the components that made up the average weighted scores of C1, C2, and C4.

C1: Factors included in Cluster 1 which are CSF 7, CSF 19, CSF 9, CSF 13, CSF 11

C2: Factors included in Cluster 2 which are CSF 16, CSF 5, CSF 12, CSF 4

C4: Factors included in Cluster 4 which are CSF 10, CSF 14, CSF 8

The value 6.471 is a result of the summation of the equation if all Critical success factors have a maximum score which is 5. Also, 100 was the maximum expected competitiveness result from an Ethiopian road contractor.

When it comes to the result of El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N [7] and unpublished master's dissertation of Nasser, S. A. [15], the competitiveness model had equation of Competitiveness model = (-12.704 +12.351 C4+5.221C1+2.654C2+ 8.331C6 +5.824C3) *(100/159.201).

(6) Model Application and Verification for Validation

Model verification and validation (V&V) are essential parts of the model development process if models are to be accepted and used to support decision-making ([14] cited on [7,15]). El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N [7] and unpublished master's dissertation of Nasser, S. A. [15] has done the verification of the mathematical model they created with 18 critical factors under five clusters, also with a bidder who stood from one to three i.e. six bidders for a total of two cases.

Hence, for this research model equation to be applied and to test its validity a new form was developed based on 3 clusters and the 12 critical factors. The form was distributed for two road projects of Construction Managers and Resident engineers.

▪ *Verification cases*

Two cases were taken to evaluate the model verifications and to measure its accuracy and strength in forecasting and evaluating the competitiveness of local contractors who participated in this research survey representing Domestic Contractors of Road Construction who participate in international competitiveness bidding. The first two contractors who participated in two projects have been studied.

Case 1. Construction Works of Design and Build Road Project

Project description

A road project with 57 km under an international competitive bid has been implemented with 956,000,000 ETB. The contract period was 36 months. It has been financed by the Government of Ethiopia.

According to equation 6, the results introduced in [table IX](#) show that the two companies who bid for this project rating on a Likert scale of one to five for the critical factors grouped in a cluster of three.

The result shows that the 1st place bidder has high competitiveness than the 2nd with 97% and 95%, respectively. The first bidder got a better place in the actual bid competition, and according to the developed mathematical model, it too has a better competitive strategy. Also, the company emphasizes internal characteristics.



Table-IX: Result of the First Case Project Competitiveness Model Test

Cluster	Critical Sub-Factors (CSF)	1 st bidder	average of 1 st bidder	2 nd bidder	average of 2 nd bidder
Cluster 1	Completeness of document	5	4.4	5	4.2
	Managerial ability	4		5	
	Pre-qualification requirement	5		5	
	Government procurement regulations	3		2	
	Project size	5		4	
Cluster 2	The contract administration system	5	4.5	4	4.25
	Availability of qualified and Experienced Staff	4		4	
	Contract Coordination difficulties	4		4	
	Experience in similar projects /company strength in the industry	5		5	
Cluster 4	Project cash flow	4	4.333333333	5	4
	Expected number of competitors (degree of competition)	4		3	
	Bid Contract Conditions/special requirements/	5		4	
	Competitiveness		96.91%		94.86%

Case 2: Construction Works of Road Upgrade

Project Description

A road project of upgrading with a kilometer of 13.6 and Contract Amount of 736,910,325 ETB built in the past seven years with International Competitive Bidding. Which was planned to take 30 months. It was funded by the Government of Ethiopia. For case two also two first bidders have been

requested to fill out the form to test the statistical model. [Table X](#) summarized it. The result shows that the 1st place bidder has high competitiveness than the 2nd with 93% and 88%, respectively. The first bidder got a better place in the actual bid competition, it too has a better competitive strategy and core competence, according to the developed mathematical model.

Table-X: Result of the Second Project Competitiveness Model Test

Cluster	Critical Sub-Factors (CSF)	1 st bidder	average of 1 st bidder	2 nd bidder	average of 2 nd bidder
Cluster 1	Completeness of document	5	4	5	3.8
	Managerial ability	5		3	
	Pre-qualification requirement	3		3	
	Government procurement regulations	3		3	
	Project size	4		5	
Cluster 2	The contract administration system	3	4	3	3.5
	Availability of qualified and Experienced Staff	5		5	
	Contract Coordination difficulties	3		2	
	Experience in similar projects /company strength in the industry	5		4	
Cluster 3	Project cash flow	4	3.666666667	3	3
	Expected number of competitors (degree of competition)	3		3	
	Bid Contract Conditions/special requirements/	4		3	
	Competitiveness		92.80%		87.70%

B. Interviewed data result and discussion

This study tried to forward is to study and aware the internal and external stakeholders the necessity of improving the competitiveness of Contractors in Ethiopia. Other than the result of questionnaires and creating mathematical competitiveness measurement mechanism of the above analysis, one to one interviews with five higher position and management-level personnel at the Ethiopian road authority has been done specially to strengthen the second objective of the study. Hence, different competitiveness enhancement mechanisms have been suggested for Ethiopian domestic road Contractors in ICB road projects.

1) Domestic Contractors' Role and Responsibility

- Contractors need to hire experienced contract administrators and work on professionalism and handle work with equipped staff,

- Train technical staff according to ICB, filling process, new technologies to increase efficiency and effectiveness,
- Increasing commitment to ongoing projects,
- Being legitimate and lawful,
- Acquaintance with ERA’s procurement and evaluation system,
- Building good relationships and reputations with the stakeholders, and solving disputes and litigation processes timely,
- Working on the value of money on the tender to enhance competitive strategies,



Contractors' Competitiveness Measurement in International Competitive Bid of Ethiopian Road Projects

- Working in close collaboration with PPA, CRB, and any Governmental entity that relates to construction projects specifically ICB road projects to influence policies and regulations so that it gets conducive enough.

Therefore, it shows the local contractors themselves know that strategies of competitiveness consider adequate market share, productive manner, and profitability as been mentioning with building core competence [11] and having a competitive and comparative advantage ([21]; [1]) are crucial. On the other hand, [23] offered a competitive strategy of a lower bidding price than other competitors to increase the chance of winning the contract. But, on contrary, the finding of this research based on the interview showed low bid strategy might be a risk for profitability and losing market value. Alexanderson and Hultén [3] also Ngai and Wat [16] agreed with that in their study.

2) Ethiopian Road Authority (ERA) Responsibility

ERA as a client representative for road projects, as a major organization that leads high-class procurement systems, as a Government entity, etc. plays a major role in domestic Contractors' Competitiveness.

- ERA should provide regular training to contractors on bidding & bid submission strategies,
- To provide regulation for the provision of slots where local Contractors can be specially considered,
- ERA needs to work on Capacity building programs intensively,
- To formulate a suitable procurement management strategy with clear objectives, monitoring systems, and evaluation mechanisms,
- To bring down the turnover figure for domestic Contractors,
- Equipping its (ERA's) staff, especially those related to procurement and contract,
- Create a system that increases the participation of sub-contractors on ICB projects so that they can enhance their experience and capacity,
- To be more serious and direct on the local contractors' performance and supervision, not compromising quality and performance so that the whole process and system gets refined,
- Regular assessment of Contractors' technical team and assistance,
- To cooperate with the Contractor's association to train Contractors in the international bidding system,
- Revise the minimum Bid requirement to be fulfilled by the domestic Contractors set for the ICB projects,
- ERA should advise other government entities who are directly or indirectly involved in the procurement system, to launch the system to build the capacity of Local Contractors in a short and long-term strategy to improve their competitiveness,
- Working on Transparency and formal ways of communication,
- Avoid Corruption practices; encourage a fair and transparent procurement system,
- Encouraging domestic Contractors to undertake sub-contract works from foreign contractors to enhance the experience,
- Proper monitoring and evaluation system,

- Facilitate loans in the form of finance or capital goods for domestic Contractors,
- To create a stable system and enforce the requirement that all mega projects should be done by JV between local and foreign firms.

Therefore, integration is another key factor, as Aidah Nassazi [2] too mentioned in Uganda's business, it is a necessity to improve competitiveness internally and increase trust externally so that the company continues to gain the trust of the project owner to continue getting sustainable construction project work. The finding also relates highly to the improvement mechanism of Nurisra [17] and Kumaraswamy [12] maintaining a good relationship with the government, owner, and consultant, considering joint ventures, has a big impact on an improving mechanism.

3) Public procurement and property administration Agency and other Government entities (CRB, Financial Institutions: Financers, Construction Council, etc.)

- To support efforts by Contractors aimed at improving their capacity to compete effectively such as the formation of Joint Ventures or joining forces in tendering processing and execution of contracts,
- Procurement agency policies and regulations should be revised also review laws that hinder the progress of Domestic Contractors,
- Creating a means of providing securities and guarantee for domestic Contractors,
- Improve Preferential availability of foreign currency to a local bidder,
- Manage material price escalations,
- Keeping the peace and security of the site areas and the Country,
- Integrated work from all Government entities and create a system conducive enough to elevate domestic Contractors' competitiveness,
- Arrange loans in cash or the form of a Construction plant,
- Jointly work with ERA to build the capacity of Local Contractors and improve the parameters of the licensing system,
- The procurement policy should be reviewed as per the project's uniqueness,
- Foreign financers' Requirements and policies should be conducive for local contractors,
- Simplify ways of loaning processes,
- The government should review its policy in the field to give preferential treatment to domestic contractors e.g. Importing materials, equipment, and plants processes,
- Create a rooted regulation that would force foreign contractors to hire or subcontract bids that they win with domestic contractors.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This study has attempted to assess domestic Contractors' Competitiveness while exploring the challenges they face in the procurement of Ethiopian federal ICB road projects which focused on from 2015/16 to 2020 E.C. The study revealed that local Contractors do face many challenges earmarked in the study.



Some of the challenges were severe enough to prevent local road Works bidders from attempting to bid for ICB projects. A statistical model framework tried to reveal areas like bid document completeness, internal matters of the bidder, financial consideration, and nature of the project, were crucial areas for enhancing the competitiveness ability of domestic Contractors. Which will direct the local Contractors' efforts to be competent on ICB road construction projects.

Due to the number of Ethiopian road contractors with higher grades so that they can practice ICB Works being small the analysis specifically the regression model is affected by it. Therefore, it is better to undertake a study on related topics but with areas that have more sample size. Also, since the construction industry is dynamic and interrelated, other parameters and factors affecting contractors which were not considered in the present study suggested to be include for further study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The researchers send appreciation and gratefulness to the support of the key actors who contributed their parts to the study and our beloved families. Also, for the researchers who implemented their study before this especially Drew, D.S., Skitmore, R. M., and Lo, H. P, El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N, and Nasser, S. A. who became the major motivation and guide for this study.

DECLARATION

Funding/ Grants/ Financial Support	No, we did not receive.
Conflicts of Interest/ Competing Interests	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.
Availability of Data and Material/ Data Access Statement	Not relevant.
Authors Contributions	Hanna Moges Dereje: was the principal researcher and the reviewing of papers and data collection also analyzing the study was the major responsibility. Wubishet Jekale Mengesha: was the main advisor and mentor of the success of the study. Also a provider of different additional data.

REFERENCES

- Alberto Onetti, Antonella Zucchella, Marian V Jones, Patricia P. McDougall-Covin. "Internationalization, innovation, and entrepreneurship: Business models for new technology-based firms," Journal of Management and Governance,14(3): 2012, 1- 32.
- Aidah Nassazi, "Effect of training on employee performance," Evidence from Uganda Business Economics and Tourism, 2013.
- Alexandersson G. and Hultén S., "Competitive tenders in passenger railway services: Looking into the theory and practice of different approaches in Europe," RePEc sot journal, issue-33, 2006, page:6-28.

- Au, T. and C. Hendrickson, "Education in Engineering Planning and Management," Proceedings of the ASCE Conference on Civil Engineering Education, Columbus, Ohio, 1985.
- Drew, D.S., Skitmore, R. M., and Lo, H. P., "The effect of client and type and size of construction work on a contractor's bidding strategy," Journal of Building and Environment, Vol. 36, 2001, No. 3, pp. 393-406. [CrossRef]
- Drew, D., and Skitmore, M., "The effect of contract type and size on competitiveness in bidding," Journal of Construction Engineering Management and Economics, Vol. 15, No. 5, 1997, pp 469-489. [CrossRef]
- El Sawalhi, N. I., and Shrair, A. N., "Modelling the competitiveness strategies of contractors in Palestine," International Journal of Construction Project Management, 6(1), 2014, 13. [CrossRef]
- Flanagan R. and Norman G. "An examination of the tendering pattern of individual building contractors," Building Technology and Management, 28 April 1982, pp. 25-28.
- George, D., and Mallery, P., "SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference 11.0 update," 4th ed. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 2003.
- Kimms, A., "On the amount and timing of project payments with hints to customary contracting," in Germany, 2007. [CrossRef]
- Krasnokutskaya, E., and Seim, K. "Bid Preference Programs and Participation in Highway Procurement Auctions," The American Economic Review, 101(6), 2011, 2653-2686. [CrossRef]
- Kumaraswamy M. M. and Matthews. J. D., "Improved Subcontractor Selection Employing Partnering Principles," Journal of Management in Engineering 16(3), 2000. [CrossRef]
- Lynn, M.R., "Determination and quantification of content validity," Nursing Research, 1986, 35, 382- 385. [CrossRef]
- Macal, C. M., "Model verification and validation. Workshop on threat anticipation: Social science methods and models", The University of Chicago and Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago, April 7-9, 2005.
- Nasser, S. A. "Improving Bidders Competitiveness Strategies in construction industry Projects-Gaza Strip." Unpublished Master's Dissertation, the Islamic University, Palestine. 2011.
- Ngai E. and Wat F. K. T., "A Literature Review and Classification of Electronic Commerce Research," information and Management, 2002, 39(5):415-429. [CrossRef]
- Nurisa, NurulMalahayati, and Mahmuddin, "The main factor affecting the competitiveness of Contractor Company," University of Syiah Kuala, J. SyekhAbdurrauf 10, Banda Aceh, Indonesia IOP, 2018. [CrossRef]
- Ofori, G., "Challenges of Construction Industries in Developing Countries: Lessons from Various Countries," Department of Building, National University of Singapore, 4 Architecture Drive, Singapore, 2002.
- Robert Mwitwa, "An assessment of challenges facing local contractors when bidding for large road works," Mzumbe University, Mzumbe, Tanzania, 2013.
- Pleskovic, François Bourguignon and Boris, "Rethinking Infrastructure", Annual World Bank Conference on Development Economic Global, 2007. [CrossRef]
- Porter, M. E. "Competitive Strategy," New York. Free press, 1980.
- Stefan Ericsson, Patrick Henricsson, Carol A. Jewell, and Roger Flanagan, "Measuring Construction Competitiveness in Selected Countries", Innovative Construction Research Center, 2005.
- Tan, Y-T., Shen, L. Y., Khalid, A. G., and Song, S. C., "An examination of the factors affecting contractors' competition strategy: a Hong Kong study", International Journal of Project Organization and Management, Vol. 1, 2008, No. 1, pp. 4-23. [CrossRef]
- Wanous, M., Boussabaine, H. A., and Lewis, J., "A neural network bid/no-bid model: the case for contractors in Syria," Journal of Construction Management and Economics, Vol. 21, No. 7, 2003, pp. 737-744. [CrossRef]
- Xiaopeng, D. P. "Understanding the critical variables affecting the level of political risks in international construction projects," KSCE J Civ Eng 17., 2013, 895-907. [CrossRef]



AUTHORS PROFILE



Hanna Moges Dereje receives her B.Sc. degree in Construction Technology and Management from Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia. Also, she had her M.Sc. degree in on same area from Dire Dawa university, Ethiopia. She currently works as a faculty member of Engineering and Technology in the Construction Technology and Management

Department at Wachemo University, Ethiopia. Who is now interested in area of sustainable construction project and managemnt.



Wubishet Jekale Mengesha receives his B.sc degree in Civil engineering from Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia. He has his masters in structural engineering from the University of Strathclyde, Glasgow. Also, his Doctoral degree of engineering from NTNU, Norway in construction technology and management. He is currently working by being a

member of the Ethiopian institute of architecture, building, and city development in the department of construction technology and management. Also, he practices consultancy in his firm in the area of construction management.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)/ journal and/or the editor(s). The Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP) and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.