Supplementary Text: Cancer phylogenetic tree inference at scale from 1000s of single cell genomes

Sohrab Salehi *¹, Fatemeh Dorri *², Kevin Chern³, Farhia Kabeer⁴, Nicole Rusk¹, Tyler Funnell¹, Marc J Williams¹, Daniel Lai^{4,5}, Mirela Andronescu^{4,5}, Kieran R. Campbell^{6,7,8}, Andrew McPherson¹, Samuel Aparicio^{4,5}, Andrew Roth^{2,4,5}, Sohrab Shah¹, and Alexandre Bouchard-Côté^{3, *}

¹ Computational Oncology, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer **Center**

> Department of Computer Science, University of British Columbia ³ Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of British Columbia Department of Molecular Oncology, BC Cancer Research Centre Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital ⁷ Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto *Correspondence: bouchard@stat.ubc.ca

1 Pre-processing

1.1 HMMcopy pre-processing

Corrected CNA states from HMMCopy are stored in cn.csv.gz and this file is the input to our preprocessing pipeline. For this work the data was stored in the cloud (Microsoft Azure) and the scgenome API was used to access and download the data. Please see [https:](https://github.com/shahcompbio/scgenome) [//github.com/shahcompbio/scgenome](https://github.com/shahcompbio/scgenome) for documentation. The scgenome API ensures that only cells with the correct sample ids are selected, removes control cells and cells that have fewer than 10,000 mapped reads.

1.2 Filtering low-mappability bins

Some copy number bins are located at parts of the genome where sequencing is difficult, for example due to inaccessibility of the genome at that position. This is reflected in their mappability score. We filter the CNA matrix to keep high-map-ability bins cn_bin_filtered.csv.gz. In this work we use a cutoff threshold of map \geq = .99 that yields 4375/6206 or 0.705% of the bins. The list of kept bins is identical across all datasets.

[∗]Equal contribution

1.3 Filtering low-quality cells

In this step, a second round of quality control is done. Cells with a *quality score*, as defined in [**laks_directlibrary_2019**], of over 0.75 or higher are kept, while the other ones which are suspected to be contaminated (e.g., mouse cells) or cycling cells are removed. This results in cn_bin_cell_filtered.csv.gz.

1.4 Filtering cells with excess CNA changes

Some cells show a "jumpy" CNA profile in which there are too many copy number changes. It appears that these cells are either in early or late stages of division and were missed by the S-phase classifier. The CNA profile of early replicating cells is patterned by seemingly scattered focal amplifications while the late replicating cells show scattered focal deletions. Note that not all parts of the genome duplicate at the same time upon cell division. Regions that start duplicating later will show as having focal deletions in cells captured at their later replicating stage; these regions would have not started to duplicate by the time the sample was prepared for sequencing. These scattered patterns 13 do not directly reflect the evolutionary history of the cells and are detrimental to phylogenetic tree inference.

Here we rank cells by the number of changes in their copy number states (a change is measured between consecutive bins) and pick the 90% percentile. The file cn_bin_cell_filtered_no_jump.csv.gz contains the integer copy number state with the final list of cells and genomic bins. An example input matrix is shown in **Supplementary Fig. 3**-**a** where the integer copy numbers are coloured coded in a heatmap. Attrition rate due to filtering of cells is shown in Table 1.

2 Baseline methods

Here we give a brief description of the baseline methods to which we compare sitka. UPGMA [\[1\]](#page-2-0), WPGMA [\[1\]](#page-2-0) and Neighbour Joining (NJ) [\[2\]](#page-2-1) are all distance-based phylogenetic inference methods, that is, they use the input data to first compute a similarity matrix between single-cells and then proceed to construct an agglomerative clustering in an iterative process. HDBSCAN [\[3\]](#page-2-2) on the other hand is a heuristic that, roughly speaking, computes the minimum spanning tree from a low dimensional representation of the CN matrix. MEDALT [\[4\]](#page-2-3) is another distance based method that uses the Chu–Liu's algorithm to compute a directed minimum spanning tree from the matrix of minimum edit distance. Medicc2 [\[5\]](#page-2-4) uses a finite state transducer to model copy number evolution over time, taking into account allele specific and whole genome duplication events. MrBayes [\[6\]](#page-2-5) is a Bayesian phylogenetics framework that implements multiple evolutionary models and uses MCMC to approximate the posterior distribution of trees and model parameters.

3 Tree shape statistics

All three trees from the three real data experiments are imbalanced [\[7\]](#page-2-6) relative to a Yule model (**Supplementary Fig. 6**-**a**). Unbalanced tree topologies appear and are expected in adapting populations [\[8\]](#page-2-7). In S_A535 and $OV2295$ the sample subtrees become more balanced over time and post-relapse respectively. In contrast, S_A501 exhibits a decrease

in balancedness, except timepoint X11, where a marked increase in imbalance is observed (**Fig. 6**-**b,c**).

sitka inferred trees are not dichotomous therefore we first resolve multichotomies into dichotomies. As there are multiple ways to resolve multichotomies, we do this 100 times, resolving multichotomies uniformly at random. We then compute the balance statistics on each resulting dichotomous tree and report the average in **Fig. 6**-**a-c**. We report three balance statistics, namely Sackin, Colless, and Beta [\[9\]](#page-3-0).

The Sackin [\[10\]](#page-3-1) and Colless [\[10\]](#page-3-1) statistics are both measures of imbalance of a rooted phylogenetic tree. The former is the sum of the depth of the leaves, while the latter is the sum of the absolute values of the difference between the number of descendent leaves of the left and the right child of each internal node. The Yule [\[10\]](#page-3-1) model is a probabilistic model for bifurcating phylogenetic trees. Under this stochastic model, a phylogenetic tree with N leaves is generated by an iterative process: given rooted tree with 2 leaves, pick a leaf node uniformly randomly, and attach two new leaf nodes to it until the tree has N nodes.

We measure the change in the balance of the tree over time in two ways: (i) starting with the first timepoint and progressively adding more timepoints **Fig. 6**-**b**), (ii) in individual timepoints Fig. 6-c). In the former, we start with the maximal subtree $\tau_\mathsf{max}(X_{t_0)}$ for cells in the first timepoint (X_{t_0}) , then compute the maximal subtree that contains the first two timepoints $\tau_\mathsf{max}((X_{t_0},X_{t_1})),$ and continue until all timepoints are included. We report the imbalance statistic for each subtree constructed in this process. In the latter, for each timepoint X_t , we find the maximal subtree $\tau_\mathsf{max}(X_t)$ that contains all cells from timepoint X_t , and report the imbalance index for it.

References

- [1] Robert R Sokal. "A statistical method for evaluating systematic relationships." In: *Univ. Kansas, Sci. Bull.* 38 (1958), pp. 1409–1438.
- [2] Naruya Saitou and Masatoshi Nei. "The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees." In: *Molecular biology and evolution* 4.4 (1987), pp. 406–425.
- [3] Ricardo JGB Campello, Davoud Moulavi, and Jörg Sander. "Density-based clustering based on hierarchical density estimates". In: *Pacific-Asia conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. Springer. 2013, pp. 160–172.
- [4] F. Wang et al. "MEDALT: single-cell copy number lineage tracing enabling gene discovery". In: *Genome biology* 22.1 (2021), pp. 1–22.
- [5] T. L. Kaufmann et al. "MEDICC2: whole-genome doubling aware copy-number phylogenies for cancer evolution". In: *bioRxiv* (2021).
- [6] J. P. Huelsenbeck and F. Ronquist. "MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees". In: *Bioinformatics* 17.8 (Aug. 2001), pp. 754–755. ISSN: 1367-4803.
- [7] Nicolas Bortolussi et al. "apTreeshape: statistical analysis of phylogenetic tree shape". In: *Bioinformatics* 22.3 (2006), pp. 363–364.
- [8] Richard A Neher and Oskar Hallatschek. "Genealogies of rapidly adapting populations". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110.2 (2013), pp. 437– 442.
- [9] Emmanuel Paradis. *Analysis of Phylogenetics and Evolution with R*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [10] Tomás M Coronado et al. "On Sackin's original proposal: the variance of the leaves' depths as a phylogenetic balance index". In: *BMC bioinformatics* 21.1 (2020), pp. 1– 17.