

Seneca 2022 International Conference

Conversational behaviour as characterisation: Pragmatics in Senecan Tragedy

Federica Iurescia

Universität Zürich - Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Milano

SNSF
Swiss National Science Foundation Research Project
„Pragmatics of Dialogue in ancient Tragedy“

CIRCSE
Centro Interdisciplinare di Ricerche
per la Computerizzazione dei Segni dell'Espressione

Conversational behaviour as characterisation: Pragmatics in Senecan Tragedy

- Introduction
- Case study
- LiLa
- Conclusions

What more can we say about Seneca?

characterisation through linguistic behaviour
in Senecan tragedies

Tragic as isolation – represented in dialogue as problems in communicating



Theoretical framework\1

Conversation Analysis (CA)

Schegloff, Emanuel A. (2007). *Sequence Organization in Interaction, Volume 1: A Primer in Conversation Analysis*. Leiden: Cambridge University Press.

Pragmatics of Communication

Watzlawick, Paul; Helmick Beavin, Janet; Jackson, Don D. (1967): *Pragmatics of human communication. A study of interactional patterns, pathologies, and paradoxes*. New York: Norton.

Theoretical framework\2

Common Ground (CG)

Clark, Herbert H. (1996). *Using language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Cognitive Linguistics

Verhagen, Arie (2005): *Constructions of Intersubjectivity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Realm of studies: Historical Pragmatics

Jucker, Andreas H., ed., (1995). *Historical Pragmatics*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: Benjamin.

Jucker, Andreas H., Taavitsainen, Irma, eds., (2010). *Historical pragmatics*. Berlin/New York: De Gruyter.

Realm of studies: Literary Pragmatics

Herman, Vimala (1995). *Dramatic discourse: Dialogue as interaction in plays*. London/New York: Routledge.

Locher, Miriam A., Jucker, Andreas H., eds., (2017). *Pragmatics of Fiction*. Berlin: de Gruyter.

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Specific linguistic features

- Carom-communication

Ricottilli, Licia (2013). Strategie comunicative “a carambola” in Terenzio (Ph. 350–377; Andr., 459–497; 740–795). *Dionysus ex machina* 4, 133–145.

- Non-interpellation

Orlandini, Anna, Poccetti, Paolo (2010): Stratégies discursives de non-interpellation et échecs de l'interpellation dans des dialogues latins. *Corela: Cognition, Représentation, Langage* [HS-8], (<http://journals.openedition.org/corela/1045>; DOI: 10.4000/corela.1045).

- Interruptions

Verano, R. (ed.) (in press) *Conversation Analysis and Classics*. Brill

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Conversation in CG perspective

Conversation is an example *par excellence* of a joint activity in which the joint actions are aperiodic, unbalanced, and alternating. It is aperiodic because it has no cadence, unbalanced because it is led largely by the speaker, and alternating because who speaks alternates turn by turn.

A joint project is a joint action projected by one of its participants and taken up by the others. ... the joint construal of an utterance, a signal, gets established in an interactive, sequential process that depends on the joint projects they contribute to, and vice versa.

... joint projects are essential to reaching joint construals of what speakers are to be taken to mean.

Clark 1996: 58; 87; 191-192; 219-220 (emphasis in original).

Clark, Herbert H. (1996). *Using language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Conversation in CG perspective

Almost every event is open to differing construals - and this is especially true of social actions.

In social processes, the argument goes, people often need to agree on what is taking place. One way of reaching consensus is by displaying construals of what is taking place for the others to accept or correct, and that often leads people to revise their intentions in greater or smaller ways. The process is sequential and interactive.

Clark 1996: 195-196.

Clark, Herbert H. (1996). *Using language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Conversation in Intersubjectivity perspective

... an addressee takes an utterance not primarily as an instruction to construe an object of conceptualization in a particular way, but as an instruction to engage in a reasoning process, and to draw certain conclusions; it is typically not just attending to the same object, but understanding what the speaker/writer is 'getting at' (what she wants you to infer), that counts as successful communication. The latter establishes a direct relationship between the coordinating minds

Verhagen 2005: 12-13.

Verhagen, Arie (2005): *Constructions of Intersubjectivity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Conversational behaviour as characterisation: Pragmatics in Senecan Tragedy

- Introduction
- **Case study**
- LiLa
- Conclusions

Failure in communication

Seneca *Agamemnon* 788b-801

Agamemnon Cassandra

Failure in communication

Before the dialogue: settings of Agamemnon's and Cassandra's interaction

Sen. Ag. 775-781

CHORUS *Iam pervagatus ipse se fregit* 775
furor, *caditque flexo qualis ante aras genu*
cervice taurus vulnus incertum gerens.
relevemus artus. En deos tandem suos
victrice lauru cinctus Agamemnon *adit,*
et festa coniunx obvios illi tulit gressus, 780
reditque iuncta concordi gradu.

CHORUS Now **her madness** has spent itself with its ramblings, and she sinks down, as a bull sinks on bent knees before the altar, bearing an ill-aimed wound in its neck. Let us lift her body. See, at last **Agamemnon** comes before his own gods, **crowned with the victor's laurel**; his wife went out to meet him in festive mood, and returns walking in concord at his side.

Fitch, John, G. (2001). *Seneca Tragedies vol. 2*, Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press.

Failure in communication

Before the dialogue: settings of Agamemnon's and Cassandra's interaction

Sen. Ag. 782-789

AGAMEMNON Tandem revertor sospes ad patrios lares.

o cara salve terra! tibi tot barbarae dedere gentes spolia, tibi felix diu potentis Asiae domina summisit manus. 785 Quid ista vates corpus effusa ac tremens dubia labat cervice? famuli, attollite, refovete gelido latice. iam recipit diem marcente visu.

AGAMEMNON At long last I return in safety to my father's house gods. Greetings, my dear land! To you so many barbarian peoples have yielded spoils, to you the long-thriving mistress of powerful Asia has surrendered. Why is the priestess lying there trembling and fainting, her neck drooping? Servants, raise her, revive her with cold water. Now she sees the light again, but with dull eyes.

Fitch, John, G. (2001). *Seneca Tragedies vol. 2*, Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press.

Failure in communication

Sen. Ag. 789-801

AGAMEMNON Suscita sensus tuos:

optatus ille portus aerumnis adest.

festus dies est. CASSANDRA Festus et Troiae fuit.

AG. Veneremur aras. CA. Cecidit ante aras pater.

AG. Iovem precemur pariter. CA. Herceum Iovem?

AG. Credis videre te Ilium? CA. Et Priamum simul.

AG. Hic Troia non est. CA. Helena ubi est, Troiam puto. 795

AG. Ne metue dominam famula. CA. Libertas adest.

AG. Secura vive! CA. Mihi mori est securitas.

AG. Nullum est periculum tibi met. CA. At magnum tibi.

AG. Victor timere quid potest? CA. Quod non timet.

AG. Hanc, fida famuli turba, dum excutiat deum, 800
retinete ne quid impotens peccet furor.

790

AGAMEMNON Gather your senses: the longed-for haven from sufferings is here at hand. This is a festive day! CASSANDRA It was festive too at Troy. AG. Let us do reverence at the altar. CA. Father fell before an altar. AG. Let us pray to Jove together. CA. Hercean Jove? AG. You think you see Ilium? CA. Yes, and Priam as well. AG. Here is not Troy. CA. Where Helen is, I think it Troy. AG. Do not fear your mistress, though a slave. CA. Freedom is close. AG. Live in security! CA. For me death is security. AG. There is no danger for you. CA. But great danger for you. AG. What can a conqueror fear? CA. What he does not fear. AG. My loyal band of servants, restrain her until she throws off the god's influence, lest her wayward madness should commit some offense.

Fitch, John, G. (2001). *Seneca Tragedies vol. 2*, Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press.

Failure in communication

CASSANDRA:
cohesive devices

co-construction of the conversation

standard conversational behaviour

1 st ADJACENCY PAIR	
1 st PAIR PART: 789-791a	AG. <i>Suscita sensus tuos:/ optatus ille portus aerumnis adest./festus dies est.</i> CA. <i>Festus et Troiae fuit.</i>
(dispreferred) 2 nd PAIR PART: 791b	
1 st PP: 792a	2 nd AP
(dispreferred) 2 nd PP:	AG. <i>Veneremur aras.</i> CA. <i>Cecidit ante aras pater.</i>
1 st PP: 793a	3 rd AP
(dispreferred) 2 nd PAIR PART: 793b	AG. <i>louem precemur pariter.</i> CA. <i>Herceum louem?</i>
INSERT SEQUENCE: 794-795	
	1 st AP
	1 st PP: 794a
	(preferred) 2 nd PP: 794b
	2 nd AP
	1 st PP: 795a
(dispreferred) 2 nd PP: 795b	AG. <i>Credis uidere te Ilium?</i> CA. <i>Et Priamum simul.</i>
	AG. <i>Hic Troia non est.</i> CA. <i>Vbi Helena est, Troiam puto.</i>

AGAMEMNON:

egocentric communication

not standard conversational behaviour

Figure 1. CA Sen. Ag. 789-795

lurescia forthcoming. *Effare aperte. Pragmatica dei dialoghi nella tragedia romana.*

Keysar, B. (2008): Egocentric Processes in Communication and Miscommunication, in I. Kecskés, Mey, J. (eds.), *Intention, Common Ground and the Egocentric Speaker-Hearer*. Berlin: de Gruyter, 277-296.

Failure in communication

<p>CASSANDRA:</p> <p>cohesive devices</p> <p>co-construction of the conversation</p> <p>standard conversational behaviour</p>	<p>6th ADJACENCY PAIR</p>	<p>AG. <i>Ne metue dominam <u>famula</u>.</i> CA. <i><u>Libertas</u> adest./</i></p> <p>AG. <i><u>Secura</u> uiue.</i> CA. <i>Mihi <u>mori</u> est <u>securitas</u>./</i></p> <p>AG. <i><u>Nullum</u> est <u>periculum</u> tibi met.</i> CA. <i><u>At magnum</u> tibi./</i></p> <p>AG. <i>Victor <u>timere</u> quid potest?</i> CA. <i><u>Quod non</u> timet./</i></p>	<p>AGAMEMNON:</p> <p>egocentric communication</p> <p>not standard conversational behaviour</p>
	<p>1st PAIR PART: 796a (dispreferred) 2nd PAIR PART: 796b 7th AP</p> <p>1st PP: 797a (dispreferred) 2nd PP: 797b 8th AP</p> <p>1st PP: 798a (dispreferred) 2nd PP: 798b 9th AP</p> <p>1st PP: 799a (dispreferred?) 2nd PP: 799b</p>		

Figure 1. CA Sen. Ag. 796-799

lurescia forthcoming. *Effare aperte. Pragmatica dei dialoghi nella tragedia romana.*

Keysar, B. (2008): Egocentric Processes in Communication and Miscommunication, in I. Kecskés, Mey, J. (eds.), *Intention, Common Ground and the Egocentric Speaker-Hearer*. Berlin: de Gruyter, 277-296.

Failure in communication

Sen. Ag. 789-801

<p>CASSANDRA:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">cohesive devices</p> <p>co-construction of the conversation</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">standard conversational behaviour</p>	<p><i>AGAMEMNON Suscita sensus tuos: optatus ille portus aerumnis adest. festus dies est. CASSANDRA Festus et Troiae fuit.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>AG. Iovem precemur pariter. CA. Herceum Iovem? AG. Credis videre te Ilium? CA. Et Priamum simul.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>AG. Victor timere quid potest? CA. Quod non timet. AG. Hanc, fida famuli turba, dum excutiat deum, retinete ne quid impotens peccet furor.</i></p>	<p>790</p>	<p><i>AGAMEMNON Gather your senses: the longed-for haven from sufferings is here at hand. This is a festive day!</i></p> <p><i>CASSANDRA It was festive too at Troy. ...</i></p> <p><i>AG. Let us pray to Jove together. CA. Hercean Jove? AG. You think you see Ilium? CA. Yes, and Priam as well.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>AG. What can a conqueror fear? CA. What he does not fear. AG. My loyal band of servants, restrain her until she throws off the god's influence, lest her wayward madness should commit some offense.</i></p>	<p>AGAMEMNON:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">egocentric communication</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">not standard conversational behaviour</p>
---	--	------------	---	--

Keysar, B. (2008): Egocentric Processes in Communication and Miscommunication, in I. Kecskés, Mey, J. (eds.), *Intention, Common Ground and the Egocentric Speaker-Hearer*. Berlin: de Gruyter, 277-296.

Failure in communication

CASSANDRA:

vates

Furor?

takes
Clytemnestra's
presence into
account?

CLYTEMNESTRA:

persona muta

AGAMEMNON:

Victor

Self-oriented
/
Evaluation of Cassandra
as not reliable
conversational partner

does not understand
what
Cassandra
is 'getting at'

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Failure in communication as isolation

CASSANDRA:
perceived *furor*

AGAMEMNON:
Lack of Intersubjectivity

Isolation

Verhagen, Arie (2005): *Constructions of Intersubjectivity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.



Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Failure in communication as isolation

CASSANDRA

Seer

Interlocutor

VS

Riddles, obscurity

Standard conversation

Conversational behaviour as characterisation: Pragmatics in Senecan Tragedy

- Introduction
- Case study
- **LiLa**
- Conclusions

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Failure in communication as isolation

CASSANDRA

AMBIGUITY

Senecan Tragedy

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Failure in communication as isolation

CASSANDRA

AMBIGUITY



Senecan Tragedy



<http://lila-erc.eu:8080/lila-lisp/>

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Interoperability between lexical and textual resources in Latin

Searches for the occurrences of the words whose definition in the LS dictionary includes the word "obscurely" in Senecan tragedies

ambages
ambifariam
ambifarie
ambifarius
ambiformiter
ambigue
ambiguitas
ambiguus
amphiboles

dilogia
duplex

flexiloquus

obliquitas
obliquoloquus

perplexio
perplexus



Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Interoperability between lexical and textual resources in Latin

Tragedy	line	character speaking	addressee	lineQuote
Agamemnon	1	Thyestis umbra	Audience	<i>Opaca</i> <i>linquens Ditis inferni loca</i>
Agamemnon	3	Thyestis umbra	Audience	<i>incertus</i> <i>utras oderim sedes magis</i>
Agamemnon	50	Thyestis umbra	Audience	<i>quid dextra</i> dubio <i>trepida consilio labat?</i>
Agamemnon	140	Clytemnestra	Nutrix	<i>incerta dubitat</i> <i>unda cui cedat malo</i>
Agamemnon	146	Clytemnestra	Nutrix	<i>Cui ultima est fortuna, quid</i> dubiam <i>timet?</i>
Agamemnon	147	Nutrix	Clytemnestra	<i>Tuta est</i> latet <i>que culpa, si pateris, tua</i>
Agamemnon	309	Aegisthus	Clytemnestra	<i>secede mecum potius, ut rerum statum</i> / dubium <i>ac minacem iuncta consilia explicent</i>
Agamemnon	407	Clytemnestra	Eurybates	<i>nam certa fari sors maris</i> dubii <i>vetat</i>
Agamemnon	420	Clytemnestra	Eurybates	dubia <i>plus torquent mala</i>
Agamemnon	434	Eurybates	Clytemnestra	<i>splendetque classe pelagus et pariter</i> latet
Agamemnon	456	Eurybates	Clytemnestra	<i>lam litus omne tegitur et campi</i> latent
Agamemnon	457	Eurybates	Clytemnestra	dubia <i>parent montis Idaei iuga</i>
Agamemnon	473	Eurybates	Clytemnestra	<i>densa tenebras obruit</i> caligo
Agamemnon	479	Eurybates	Clytemnestra	<i>Strymonius altus Aquilo</i> contorquet <i>nives</i>
Agamemnon	714	Chorus	Audience	<i>incerta</i> <i>nutant lumina et versi retro</i>
Agamemnon	726	Cassandra	Chorus	<i>Ubi sum? fugit lux alma et</i> obscurat <i>genas</i>
Agamemnon	727	Cassandra	Chorus	<i>nox alta et aether abditus tenebris</i> latet
Agamemnon	729	Cassandra	Chorus	duplices <i>domos Argos</i>
Agamemnon	748	Chorus	Audience	<i>incertos</i> <i>geris, Deiphobe, vultus, coniugis munus novae</i>
Agamemnon	777	Chorus	Audience	<i>caditque [scil. Cassandra] flexo qualis ante aras genu/cervice taurus vulnus</i> incertum <i>gerens</i>
Agamemnon	787	Agamemnon	Chorus	<i>Quid ista vates corpus effusa ac tremens</i> / dubia <i>labat cervice?</i>
Agamemnon	874	Cassandra	Chorus	<i>imago visus</i> dubia <i>non fallit meos</i>
Agamemnon	903	Cassandra	Chorus	<i>stat ecce Titan</i> dubius <i>emerito die</i>
Agamemnon	930	Electra	Strophius	<i>per scepra terris nota, per</i> dubios <i>deos</i>
Agamemnon	984	Electra	Aegisthus	<i>per scelera natus, nomen</i> ambiguum <i>suis</i>

Senecan Tragedy



Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Interoperability between lexical and textual resources in Latin

Tragedy	line	character speaking	addressee	lineQuote
<i>Oedipus</i>	214	Creo	Oedipus	<i>ambage flexa Delphico mos est deo/arcana tegere</i>
<i>Oedipus</i>	212	Creo	Oedipus	<i>responsa dubia sorte perplexa iacent</i>
<i>Oedipus</i>	641	Laius	Creon	<i>magisque monstrum Sphynge perplexum sua</i>
<i>Phaedra</i>	639	Hippolytus	Phaedra	<i>ambigua uoce uerba perplexa iacis</i>
<i>Phaedra</i>	858	Theseus	Nutrix	<i>Perplexa magnum verba nescioquid tegunt</i>



Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Failure in communication as isolation

CASSANDRA

AMBIGUITY



Senecan Tragedy



<http://lila-erc.eu:8080/lila-lisp/>

Conversational behaviour as characterisation: Pragmatics in Senecan Tragedy

- Introduction
- Case study
- LiLa
- Conclusions

Conversational behaviour as characterisation

Failure in communication as isolation

Isolation as feature of tragic?

Senecan tragic dialogues as representation of human isolation?

Seneca 2022 International Conference

Thanks!!!

