

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOCIO-PRAGMATICS AND STYLISTICS

D.Tirkashev

English teacher of Kokand State Pedagogical Institute https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8020245

Anotation: Socio-pragmatics and stylistics are two branches of linguistics that deal with the social function and the artistic features of language respectively. This paper aims to explore the relationship between Socio-pragmatics and stylistics and how they can complement each other in the analysis of language use.

Key words: metaphor, metonymy, socio-pragmatics, figurative language, stylistics, speech acts.

Introduction

Language is not solely a means of communication but also a tool for social interaction. People use language to connect with others and to convey their thoughts, feelings, and intentions. In this sense, language is a medium through which people can achieve their social and communicative goals. Socio-pragmatics and stylistics as two branches of linguistics study the social function and the artistic characteristics of language accordingly. In this work the relationship between socio-pragmatics and stylistics and the way by which they can relate to each other in the analysis of language use are explored.

Socio-pragmatics

Socio-pragmatics is a branch of linguistics that studies the social functions of language. It examines how language is used in social contexts to achieve social goals. Socio-pragmatics investigates the relationship between language and society, and how social factors such as culture, gender, and power influence language use. Socio-pragmatic analysis involves looking at the context of language use, the speaker's intentions, and the effect of language on the audience.

Socio-pragmatics is concerned with the following aspects of language use

1. Speech Acts

Speech acts are the actions we perform through language. For example, when we say "I promise to be there on time," we are making a promise. Speech acts can be classified into three categories: locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary acts. The locutionary act is the literal meaning of the words spoken. The illocutionary act is the intended meaning behind the words spoken. The perlocutionary act is the effect the words have on the listener.

2. Politeness

Politeness refers to the use of language to show respect and deference to others. Politeness is a social construct that varies across cultures and social contexts. Politeness can be expressed through various linguistic strategies such as indirectness, euphemism, and mitigation.

3. Face

Face refers to the social identity that a person presents to others. Face has two dimensions, positive face, which is the desire to be liked and accepted, and negative face, which is the desire for freedom and independence. Language use can either enhance or threaten a person's face. Socio-pragmatics investigates how face is negotiated through language use.

Stylistics

Stylistics is a branch of linguistics that studies the artistic features of language. Stylistics is concerned with how language is used to create literary and aesthetic effects. Stylistic analysis



examines the use of figurative language, such as metaphor, simile, and imagery, as well as other creative techniques such as repetition, sound patterning, and sentence structure.

Stylistic analysis is concerned with the following aspects of language use:

1. Figurative Language

Figurative language refers to the use of words or expressions in a non-literal way to create a deeper meaning. Figurative language includes metaphors, similes, personification, and hyperbole.

2. Sound Patterning

Sound patterning refers to the use of sound devices such as alliteration, assonance, and rhyme. Sound patterning adds musicality to language and creates a memorable effect.

3. Sentence Structure

Sentence structure refers to the way words are arranged in a sentence. Sentence structure can be varied to create a desired effect, such as creating suspense, emphasizing a point, or creating a slow pace.

Relationship between Socio-pragmatics and Stylistics

Socio-pragmatics and stylistics are two branches of linguistics that deal with different aspects of language use. Socio-pragmatics deals with the social function of language, while stylistics deals with the artistic features of language. However, there is a close relationship between these two branches, as both are concerned with how language is used in social contexts.

The relationship between Socio-pragmatics and stylistics can be demonstrated in the following ways:

1. Speech Acts and Figurative Language

Speech acts and figurative language are two important aspects of language use that are studied by Socio-pragmatics and stylistics respectively. Speech acts are the actions we perform through language, while figurative language is the use of words or expressions in a non-literal way. These two aspects of language use often overlap, as figurative language can be used to perform speech acts. For example, when a person says "I am over the moon," they are using figurative language (a metaphor) to convey their emotions. However, this figurative language also performs a speech act, as it shows the speaker's enthusiasm.

2. Politeness and Sound Patterning

Politeness and sound patterning are two aspects of language use that are studied by Sociopragmatics and stylistics respectively. Politeness refers to the use of language to show respect and deference to others, while sound patterning refers to the use of sound devices such as alliteration, assonance, and rhyme. These two aspects of language use can overlap, as sound patterning can be used to convey politeness. For example, when a person uses alliteration to create a catchy slogan such as "Coke is it," they are also using sound patterning to create a positive impression of the product, which is a form of politeness.

3. Face and Sentence Structure

Face and sentence structure are two aspects of language use that are studied by Sociopragmatics and stylistics respectively. Face refers to the social identity that a person presents to others, while sentence structure refers to the way words are arranged in a sentence. These two aspects of language use can overlap, as sentence structure can be used to enhance or threaten a person's face. For example, when a person uses a declarative sentence such as "I



know better than you," they are using sentence structure to assert their superiority and threaten the listener's face.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Socio-pragmatics and stylistics are two branches of linguistics that deal with different aspects of language use. Socio-pragmatics deals with the social function of language, while stylistics deals with the artistic features of language. Despite these differences, there is a close relationship between Socio-pragmatics and stylistics, as both are concerned with how language is used in social contexts. Understanding the relationship between Socio-pragmatics and stylistics can help us to better understand how language is used to achieve social and artistic goals and can help us to appreciate the complexity and beauty of language.

References:

- 1. Dickey J. Metaphor as Pure Adventure. Washington, 1968.
- 2. Black E. Metaphor, simile and cognition. Cambridge: Blackwell Publishing, 1954.
- 3. Crisp P. Imagism's metaphors. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- 4. Lakoff G., Johnson M. Metaphors we live. Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1980.
- 5. Freeman H. Metaphor making meaning // Journal of Pragmatics, 1995, vol 24, pp. 643-665.
- 6. Goatly A. The language of metaphors. London: Routledge, 1997.
- 7. Steen J., Gibbs W. Questions about metaphor in literature // European Journal of English Studies, 2004, vol 3, pp. 118-125.
- 8. Dickey J. Metaphor as Pure Adventure. Washington, 1968.