LAB-SCALE CHARACTERIZATION OF TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS USING ACOUSTIC BACKSCATTERING

Manuel Regueiro-Picallo

Co-UDIabs Webinar. 16/05/2023







INTRODUCTION

Context

- European directives for the protection of water bodies (WFD), and monitoring of overflows (UWWTD). Challenge!
- Particulate matter (also referred to as SSC or TSS) is typically used as a proxy for <u>urban drainage assessment</u>. Pollutant impact.

Gaps

• Limited knowledge of <u>particle matter dynamics</u> in urban stormwater and combined sewer systems: Particle size distribution

Research

- Acoustic <u>backscattering profilers</u>. Sensitive to suspended particle concentrations and size classes.
- <u>Two experimental campaigns:</u> Laboratory controlled conditions and Flume test facility at a WWTP
- Fine particles < 63 μm: <u>high absorption</u> of pollutants







FIRST EXPERIMENTAL CAMPAIGN

EAWAG facility



Sensors: UB-Flow and UB-Lab



Particles





Concentrations

[0-1200] mg/L

Particle sizes

 $[10 - 60] \,\mu\text{m}$



SETUPS

Setup1: Water tank



Setup 2: Flume

ACOUSTIC TURBIDITY

<u>Turbidity ratio profile</u>

Assumptions: uniform particle size and density distributions along the transducer beam

 $T_{i,j}(r) = \beta_{i,j} C_v e^{-4r(\alpha_{i,j}C_v + \alpha_{w,j})}$

Cv: volumetric concentrations *i:* size class *j:* emission frequency

$$\ln(T_{i,j}(r)) = -4(\alpha_{i,j}C_v + \alpha_{w,j})r + \ln(\beta_{i,j}C_v)$$

Slope Intercept

TURBIDITY PROFILES

UNIVERSIDADE DA CORUÑA **eawag**

0.2

50

0

0

Q

Volumetric Concentration (mL/L)

0.4

0.6

0.8

PMMA CA60

▲ PMMA CA45

d₅₀

PMMA CA30

PMMA CA10

200

0

0

0.2

▲ MILLISIL W4

□ MILLISIL W6

0.4

Volumetric Concentration (mL/L)

0.6

0.8

1

FLUME BATCH TESTS

Reminder

- **Correlation between TSS** • concentrations and acoustic profile features
- Different results depending on test conditions
- Possible reason: air bubbles •

Tank

...

Setup

• Setup 2: air bubbles

- Acoustic profile distorsions
- This effect is almost attenuated for large particles (60 μm)

SECOND EXPERIMENTAL CAMPAIGN

• Flume test facility. WWTP Bens (A Coruña)

Length: 10 m Width: 0.8 m

SETUP

Optical / Acoustic turbidity vs Total suspended solids (TSS)

Samples — Acoustic probe — Optical probe

Acoustic turbidity (slope) vs Mean particle size (d₅₀)

▲ Samples — Acoustic probe

FINAL REMARKS

- The experimental campaigns confirmed the potential application of acoustic sensors for **monitoring concentrations and particle sizes** in wastewater.
- Acoustic turbidity measurements were correlated to the TSS and d₅₀ values, but considering the cases studies separately. Therefore, local calibrations might be required.
- **Air bubbles** in turbulent flows distort acoustic profiles. Question: Is it possible to remove this effect from the profiles? (background removal)
- Under combined sewer conditions, weak relationships between Acoustic Turbidity and TSS were occasionally obtained. However, a consistent good fit was obtained between the slope of the acoustic profile and the mean particle sizes.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

manuel.regueiro1@udc.es

Acknowledgements :

Jose Anta, University of A Coruña

Frank Blumensaat, Eawag (CH)/Landesdirektion Sachsen (D)

Please attribute Creative Commons with a link to creativecommons.org

Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.