

## Non-unique Fixed Points of Self Mappings in Bi-metric Spaces

Khan Ihsanullah<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Umair<sup>2</sup> & Hassan Asad<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Jiangsu Normal University, China. <sup>2</sup>International Islamic University ISB, Pakistan.  
Corresponding Author (Khan Ihsanullah) - 3106337078@qq.com\*



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46431/MEJAST.2023.6101>

Copyright © 2023 Khan Ihsanullah et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Article Received: 03 December 2022

Article Accepted: 23 January 2023

Article Published: 04 February 2023

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove a few non-unique fixed-point results of mapping on a set with bi-metrics using  $\theta$  – contraction. We also give an example that justifies our results. In the literature, our result generalized many results.

**Keywords:** Fixed point,  $\theta$  – contraction, Bi-metric, Non-unique fixed point, Bi-metric space.

### Introduction

This paper involves an extension of some non-unique fixed point theorems of mappings in bi-metric space using  $\theta$  – contraction. First, we discuss a brief history of fixed points and applications of the fixed points. Fixed point theory plays an essential role in various applied and theoretical fields, such as differential and integral equations, theory of dynamic systems, game theory, approximation theory, and nonlinear analysis. In the research aspect, it is considered one of the most active and favorable subjects, thus numerous mathematicians such as Cauchy, Liouville, Peano, Lipschitz and Picard did work in its related field. The significant result in fixed-point theory is the famous result by Brouwer in 1910. He also proved the result for  $n$ –dimensional Euclidean space. Birkhoff and Kellogg investigated the first infinite dimensional fixed point theorem. Later, Banach [2] gave the idea of a fixed point for contraction mappings which is known as the “Banach Contraction Principle” in 1922. This principle is useful for the uniqueness and existence of fixed points. There have been many generalizations of this principle in distinct directions [1, 3, 4, 6, 7]. Marr gave the first important result for non-expensive mappings. In 1941, Kakutani studied the fixed point problem of multifunction and generalizations of this type of mapping discussed in [5, 8, 9, 10].

### Main Body

Firstly, consider a theorem about non-unique fixed points of self mappings in bi-metric spaces.

**Theorem-1:** Let  $S \neq \emptyset$  with bi-metrics  $\rho$  and  $\delta$  on  $S$  and  $T : S \rightarrow S$  be  $T$  – orbitally continuous mapping which satisfies

$$(i) \quad \theta(\rho(Tg, Th)) \leq [\theta(\delta(g, h))]^\alpha, \quad \text{for all } g, h \in S \text{ and } \alpha \in (0,1)$$

(ii)  $(S, \rho)$  is  $T$  – orbitally complete metric space

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, Th), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(h, Th)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(g, Th), \rho(h, Tg)\}]} \leq (\theta[\delta(g, h)])^c \quad \text{for } c \in (0,1)$$

$$(iv) \quad \theta[\delta(g, h)] \leq (\theta[\rho(g, h)])^{c_1}, \quad \text{for all } g \neq h \in S \text{ and } c_1 \in (0,1)$$

Then  $T$  has fixed point.

**Proof:** Suppose  $g \in S$  be any arbitrary point. We wish to prove that the sequence of iterates

$$g_0 = g,$$

$$g_1 = Tg_0,$$

$$g_2 = Tg_1,$$

... ..

$$g_w = Tg_{w-1}$$

at  $x$  is Cauchy sequence. If  $g_{w-1} = g_w$  for some  $w \in I^+$  where  $I^+$  is a positive integer then  $\{g_w\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, we may suppose that  $g_{w-1} \neq g_w$  for each  $w = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  let  $g = g_{w-1}$  and  $h = g_w$  we have

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg_{w-1}, Tg_w), \rho(g_{w-1}, Tg_{w-1}), \rho(g_w, Tg_w)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, Tg_w), \rho(g_w, Tg_{w-1})\}]} \leq (\theta[\delta(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^c$$

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(g_w, g_{w+1}), \rho(g_{w-1}, g_w), \rho(g_w, g_{w+1})\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, g_{w+1}), \rho(g_w, g_w)\}]} \leq (\theta[\delta(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^c$$

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w), \rho(g_w, g_{w+1})\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, g_{w+1}), 0\}]} \leq (\theta[\delta(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^c$$

which implies

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w), \rho(g_w, g_{w+1})\}] \leq (\theta[\delta(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^c$$

By (iv)  $\theta[\delta(g_{w-1}, g_w)] \leq \theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)]_{c_1}$  for  $c_1 \in (0, 1)$

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w), \rho(g_w, g_{w+1})\}] \leq (\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^{cc_1}$$

Let  $cc_1 = k \in (0, 1)$  and suppose  $\min\{\rho(g_w, g_{w+1}), \rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)\} = \rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)$

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)\}] \leq (\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)] \leq (\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^k < \theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)]$$

$$\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)] < \theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)]$$

which is contradiction. So,

$$\theta[\rho(g_w, g_{w+1})] \leq (\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(g_w, g_{w+1})] \leq (\theta[\rho(g_{w-1}, g_w)])^k \leq (\theta[\rho(g_{w-2}, g_{w-1})])^{k^2} \leq \dots \leq (\theta[\rho(g, Tg)])^{k^w}$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(g_w, g_{w+1})] \leq (\theta[\rho(g, Tg)])^{k^w} \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{N}$$

Letting  $w \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \theta[\rho(g_w, g_{w+1})] = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{w+1} g)] = 1$$

which implies

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^w g, T^{w+1} g) = 0$$

Now proceeding in similar way, such that there exists  $w_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\rho(T^w g, T^{w+1} g) \leq \frac{1}{w^{\frac{1}{r}}} \text{ for all } w > w_1$$

Now for  $s > w$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \rho(T^w g, T^{w+1} g) + \rho(T^{w+1} g, T^{w+2} g) + \rho(T^{w+2} g, T^{w+3} g) + \dots + \rho(T^{s-1} g, T^s g)$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \frac{1}{w^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \frac{1}{(w+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \frac{1}{(w+2)^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \dots + \frac{1}{(s-1)^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{s-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{s-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}} \leq \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

It is infinite geometric series and is convergent (as  $0 < \frac{1}{i} < 1$ ). Therefore,  $\{T^w g\}$  is C.S. As  $(S, \rho)$  is  $T$  – orbitally complete, so there exists  $v \in S$  such that

$$v = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w g$$

By orbital continuity of  $T$

$$Tv = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} TT^w g = v$$

Now change some conditions in the above statement, and take a new theorem.

**Theorem-2:** Suppose  $S$  be non-empty set with bi-metrics  $\rho$  and  $\delta$  on  $S$ . Suppose that  $(S, \rho)$  is  $T$  – orbitally complete and  $T : S \rightarrow S$  be  $T$  – orbitally continuous. For  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $g_0 \in S$  such that  $\rho(g_0, T^k g_0) < \epsilon$  for  $k \in I^+$  and if  $T$  satisfies

(i)  $\theta[\rho(Tg, Th)] \leq [\theta(\delta(g, h))]^\alpha$  for all  $g, h \in S$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

(ii)  $0 < \rho(g, h) < \epsilon$  implies that  $\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, Th), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(h, Th)\}] \leq (\theta[\delta(g, h)])^c$  for  $c \in (0, 1)$

(iii)  $\theta[\delta(g, h)] \leq (\theta[\rho(g, h)])^{c_1}$  ,  $g \neq h$  and  $c_1 \in (0, 1)$

Then  $T$  has periodic point.

**Proof:** Let we define a subset  $K = \{ \rho(g, T^k g) < \epsilon \text{ for some } g \in S \}$  of positive integer is non-empty. Let  $m = \min K$  and suppose that

$$\rho(g, T^m g) < \epsilon \text{ for } g \in S$$

**Case-1:** If  $m = \min K = 1$  then  $0 < \rho(g, Tg) < \epsilon$  for  $g$  and  $Tg$  we have

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, TTg), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(Tg, TTg)\}] \leq [\theta(\delta(g, Tg))]^c$$

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(g, Tg), \rho(Tg, T^2g)\}] \leq [\theta(\delta(g, Tg))]^c$$

By (iii)

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(g, Tg), \rho(Tg, T^2g)\}] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^{cc_1}$$

Let  $cc_1 = k \in (0,1)$  and if  $\min\{\rho(g, Tg), \rho(Tg, T^2g)\} = \rho(g, Tg)$

$$\theta[\rho(g, Tg)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(g, Tg)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^k < \theta[\rho(g, Tg)]$$

$$\theta[\rho(g, Tg)] < \theta[\rho(g, Tg)]$$

which is a contradiction. So,

$$\theta[\rho(Tg, T^2g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^k$$

Similarly,

$$\theta[\rho(T^2g, T^3g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(Tg, T^2g))]^k \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^{k^2}$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{w+1}g)] \leq \theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g, T^w g)]^k \leq \dots \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^{k^w}$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{w+1}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, Tg))]^{k^w}$$

Applying limit  $w \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{w+1}g)] = 1$$

Implies

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^w g, T^{w+1}g) = 0$$

Now by same argument of Theorem 1 we get  $Tv = v$

**Case-2:** If  $\min K = m \geq 2$  and suppose

$$\rho(h, Th) \geq \epsilon \text{ for all } h \in S \quad \rightarrow \quad (1)$$

Then by our assumption  $0 < \rho(g, T^m g) < \epsilon$

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, TT^m g), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(T^m g, T^{m+1}g)\}] \leq [\theta(\delta(g, T^m g))]^c$$

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(T^m g, T^{m+1}g)\}] \leq [\theta(\delta(g, T^m g))]^c$$

By (iii)

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(T^m g, T^{m+1}g)\}] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^{cc_1}$$

Let  $cc_1 = k \in (0,1)$  and if  $\min\{\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(T^m g, T^{m+1}g)\} = \rho(g, Tg)$

$$\theta[\rho(g, Tg)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(g, Tg)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^k < \theta(\rho(g, T^m g))$$

$$\theta[\rho(g, Tg)] < \theta(\rho(g, T^m g)) < \theta(\epsilon)$$

By using (1)

$$\theta(\epsilon) \leq \theta[\rho(g, Tg)] < \theta(\epsilon)$$

$$\theta(\epsilon) < \theta(\epsilon)$$

which is not possible.

If

$$\min\{\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(T^m g, T^{m+1}g)\} = \rho(T^m g, T^{m+1}g)$$

Then by (1) it is again contradiction. So,

$$\theta[\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^k \leq (\theta(\epsilon))^k$$

Similarly,

$$\theta[\rho(T^2g, T^{m+2}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g))]^k \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^{k^2}$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^3g, T^{m+3}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(T^2g, T^{m+2}g))]^k \leq [\theta(\rho(Tg, T^{m+1}g))]^{k^2} \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^{k^3}$$

In general

$$\theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{m+w}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(T^{w-1}g, T^{m+w-1}g))]^k \leq [\theta(\rho(T^{w-2}g, T^{m+w-2}g))]^{k^2} \leq \dots \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^{k^w}$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{m+w}g)] \leq [\theta(\rho(g, T^m g))]^{k^w} \leq (\theta(\epsilon))^{k^w} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \theta[\rho(T^w g, T^{m+w}g)] = 1$$

implies

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^w g, T^{m+w}g) = 0$$

Now proceeding in similar way such that there exists  $w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\rho(T^w g, T^{m+w}g) \leq \frac{1}{w^{r_1}} \quad \text{for all } w > w_2$$

Now for  $s > w$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \rho(T^w g, T^{w+1} g) + \rho(T^{w+1} g, T^{w+2} g) + \rho(T^{w+2} g, T^{w+3} g) + \dots + \rho(T^{s-1} g, T^s g)$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \frac{1}{w^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \frac{1}{(w+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \frac{1}{(w+2)^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \dots + \frac{1}{(s-1)^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{s-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{s-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}} \leq \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g, T^s g) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

It is infinite geometric series and is convergent (as  $0 < \frac{1}{i} < 1$ ). Therefore,  $\{T^m g\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. As  $(S, \rho)$  is  $T$  – orbitally complete, so there exists  $v \in S$  such that

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w g = v$$

Now by orbital continuity of  $T$

$$T^m v = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w T^w g = v$$

**Theorem-3:** Suppose  $S$  be non-empty set with bi-metrics  $\rho$  and  $\delta$  on  $S$  and  $T : S \rightarrow S$  be  $T$  – orbitally continuous. If  $T$  satisfies

- (i)  $\theta(\rho(Tg, Th)) \leq [\theta(\delta(g, h))]^q$  for all  $g, h \in S$  and  $q \in (0,1)$
- (ii)  $\theta[\delta(g, h)] \leq (\delta[\rho(g, h)])^k$ ,  $g \neq h$  and  $k \in (0,1)$
- (iii)  $\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, Th), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(h, Th)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(g, Th), \rho(h, Tg)\}]} < \theta(\delta(g, h))$ ,  $g \neq h$ , for each  $g_0 \in S$

Then the sequence  $\{T^w g_0\}$  has cluster point  $v \in S$  then  $v$  is fixed point of  $T$

**Proof:** Let  $v \in S$  be a cluster point then

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w g_0 = v$$

If  $T^{w-1} g_0 = T^w g_0$  for some  $w \in I^+$ , then  $v$  is a fixed point of  $T$  which is our required result.

If  $T^{w-1} g_0 \neq T^w g_0$  for all  $w \in I^+$  then for  $T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0 \in S$  we get

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(TT^{w-1} g_0, TT^w g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, TT^{w-1} g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, TT^w g_0)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, TT^w g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, TT^{w-1} g_0)\}]} < \theta(\delta(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))$$

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, T^w g_0)\}]} < \theta(\delta(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))$$

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), 0\}]} < \theta(\delta(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))$$

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)\}]}{\theta[0]} < \theta(\delta(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))$$

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)\}] < \theta(\delta(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))$$

By using (ii)

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)\}] < [\theta(\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))]^k$$

If  $n\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)\} = \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)$ , then

$$\theta[\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)] < [\theta(\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))]^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)] < [\theta(\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))]^k < \theta[\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)]$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)] < \theta[\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)]$$

which is not possible. So,

$$\theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)] < [\theta(\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))]^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)] < [\theta(\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))]^k < [\theta(\rho(T^{w-2} g_0, T^{w-1} g_0))]^{k^2} < \dots < [\theta(\rho(g_0, T g_0))]^{k^w}$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)] < [\theta(\rho(g_0, T g_0))]^{k^w}$$

Applying limit  $w \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)] = 1$$

Implies

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0) = 0$$

Now proceeding in similar way such that there exists  $w_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  we get

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0) \leq \frac{1}{w^r} \text{ for all } w > w_1$$

Now for  $s > w$

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \leq \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0) + \rho(T^{w+1} g_0, T^{w+2} g_0) + \rho(T^{w+2} g_0, T^{w+3} g_0) + \dots + \rho(T^{s-1} g_0, T^s g_0)$$

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \leq \frac{1}{w^r} + \frac{1}{(w+1)^r} + \frac{1}{(w+2)^r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(s-1)^r}$$

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{s-1} \frac{1}{i^r} = w$$

Hence,  $\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $w, s \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\{T^w g_0\}$  is Cauchy sequence. So there exists  $v \in S$  such that

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w g_0 = v$$

Now by orbitally continuity of  $T$

$$Tv = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^{w+1} g_0 = v$$

Now change some conditions in the theorem-3, and take a new theorem.

**Theorem-4:** Suppose  $S$  be a non-empty set with bi-metrics  $\rho$  and  $\delta$  on  $S$  and  $T : S \rightarrow S$  be  $T$  – orbitally continuous. If  $T$  satisfies

(i)  $\theta(\rho(Tg, Th)) \leq [\theta(\delta(g, h))]^q$  for all  $g, h \in S$  and  $q \in (0,1)$

(ii)  $\theta[\delta(g, h)] \leq (\theta[\rho(g, h)])^k$  ,  $g \neq h$  and  $k \in (0,1)$

(iii)  $0 < \rho(g, h) < \epsilon$  implies that  $\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg, Th), \rho(g, Tg), \rho(h, Th)\}] < \theta(\delta(h, Th))$  , for each  $g_0 \in S$  the sequence  $\{T^w g_0\}$  has cluster point  $v \in S$

Then  $v$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

**Proof:** Let  $v \in S$  be a cluster point i.e.  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w g_0 = v$ .

Then there exists  $w_0 \in I^+$  such that

$$\rho(T^w g_0, v) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} , w > w_0$$

which gives

$$\rho(T^m g_0, T^{w+1} g_0) < \rho(T^m g_0, v) + \rho(v, T^{w+1} g_0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

Now, we define a set

$$K = \{k \in I^+ , \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+k} g_0) < \epsilon \text{ for some } r \in I^+\}$$

is non-empty. Suppose that  $\min K = m$

$$\min K = \{m \in I^+ , \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+k} g_0) < \epsilon \text{ for some } r \in I^+\}$$

If  $T^r g_0 = T^{r+m} g_0$  for some  $r \in I^+$  then  $v = T^r g_0 = T^m v$  which is our required result. If  $T^r g_0 \neq T^{r+m} g_0$  for all  $r \in I^+$  then by our assumption

$$0 < \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m} g_0) < \epsilon$$

**Case-1:** If  $\min K = 1$  then  $0 < \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1} g_0) < \epsilon$  and for  $T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0 \in S$  we have

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0), \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)\}] < [\theta(\delta(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0))]$$

By (ii)

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)\}] < (\theta[\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)])^k$$

If  $\min\{\rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0), \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1} g_0)\} = \rho(T^{w-1} g_0, T^w g_0)$ , then



$$\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)])^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)])^k < \theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)]$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)] < \theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)]$$

which is not possible. So,

$$\theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)])^k$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^w g_0)])^k < \dots < (\theta[\rho(g_0, Tg_0)])^{k^w}$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(g_0, Tg_0)])^{k^w}$$

Applying limit  $w \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0)] = 1$$

Implies

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0) = 0$$

Now, we can say that

$$Tv = v$$

**Case-2:** If  $m \geq 2$  suppose that

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0) \geq \epsilon \quad \rightarrow \quad (2)$$

for all  $w \in I^+$  and by our assumption  $0 < \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0) < \epsilon$  we have

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(T^{r+1}g_0, T^{r+1+m}g_0), \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0), \rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)\}] \leq \theta[\delta(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)]$$

By (ii)

$$\theta[\min\{\rho(T^{r+1}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0), \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0), \rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)\}] \leq (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^k$$

If  $\min\{\rho(T^{r+1}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0), \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0), \rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)\} = \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)$  then

$$\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)] \leq (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)] \leq (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^k < \theta(\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0))$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)] < \theta(\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0))$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)] < \theta(\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)) < \theta(\epsilon)$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)] < \theta(\epsilon)$$

Using (2) we obtain

$$\theta(\epsilon) \leq \theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0)]$$

From above both equations

$$\theta(\epsilon) < \theta(\epsilon)$$

which is not possible. If

$$\min\{\rho(T^{r+1}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0), \rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+1}g_0), \rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)\} = \rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)])^k$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^k < \theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)]$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)] < \theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)]$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)] < \theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)] < \theta(\epsilon)$$

$$\theta[\rho(T^{r+m}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)] < \theta(\epsilon)$$

By (2) we have

$$\theta(\epsilon) < \theta(\epsilon)$$

Again it is not possible. So,

$$[\rho(T^{r+1}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^k$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+m}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^{w-1}g_0, T^{w-1+m}g_0)])^k < \dots < (\theta[\rho(T^{r+1}g_0, T^{r+m+1}g_0)])^{k^{w-1}} < (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^{k^w}$$

$$1 < \theta[\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+m}g_0)] < (\theta[\rho(T^r g_0, T^{r+m}g_0)])^{k^w}$$

Applying limit  $w \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} [\rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+m}g_0)] = 1$$

implies

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+m}g_0) = 0$$

Now proceeding in similar way such that there exists  $w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(T^w g_0, T^{w+m}g_0) \leq \frac{1}{w^{\frac{1}{r}}} \text{ for all } w \geq w_2$$

Now for  $s > w$

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \leq \rho(T^w g_0, T^{w+1}g_0) + \rho(T^{w+1}g_0, T^{w+2}g_0) + \rho(T^{w+2}g_0, T^{w+3}g_0) + \dots + \rho(T^{s-1}g_0, T^s g_0)$$

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \leq \frac{1}{w^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \frac{1}{(w+1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \frac{1}{(w+2)^{\frac{1}{r}}} + \dots + \frac{1}{(s-1)^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

$$\rho(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \leq \sum_{i=w}^{s-1} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

As  $(T^w g_0, T^s g_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $w, s \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\{T^w g_0\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^w g_0 = v$ . Now by orbital continuity of  $T$

$$T^m v = \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} T^{w+m} g_0 = v$$

Now, consider the example, in which we apply the new conditions, made in the above theorems. And prove that the following example satisfies the new conditions, but didn't satisfy the other conditions.

**Example-1:** Let  $S = \{g_w = 2w - 1 ; \text{ for all } w \in \mathbb{N}\}$  we define bi-metrics  $\rho$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\rho(g, h) = 3|g - h|$  and  $\delta(g, h) = |g - h|$  for all  $g, h \in S$ . Let  $T : S \rightarrow S$  be a mapping define by  $Tg_w = g_{w-1}$  for all  $w \geq 2$  and  $Tg_1 = g_1$ . Let  $g = g_w$  and  $h = g_1$

$$\rho(Tg_w, Tg_1) = 3|2w - 4| = 6|w - 2|$$

$$\rho(g_w, Tg_w) = 3|2| = 6$$

$$\rho(g_1, Tg_1) = 3|1 - 1| = 0$$

$$\rho(g_w, Tg_1) = 3|2w - 2| = 6|w - 1|$$

$$\rho(g_1, Tg_w) = 3|2(w - 2)| = 6|w - 2|$$

$$\delta(g_w, g_1) = |2w - 2| = 6|w - 1|$$

Now,

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\min\{\rho(Tg_w, Tg_1), \rho(g_w, Tg_w), \rho(g_1, Tg_1)\} - \min\{\rho(g_w, Tg_1), \rho(g_1, Tg_w)\}}{\delta(g_w, g_1)}$$

$$= \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\min\{6|w - 2|, 6, 0\} - \min\{6|w - 1|, 6|w - 2|\}}{2|w - 1|}$$

$$= \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{0 - 6|w - 2|}{2|w - 1|}$$

$$= -3 \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w - 2}{w - 1}$$

$$= -3$$

Now, we consider  $\theta(g) = e^g \in \Theta$ .

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{\rho(Tg_w, Tg_1), \rho(g_w, Tg_w), \rho(g_1, Tg_1)\}]}{\theta[\min\{\rho(g_w, Tg_1), \rho(g_1, Tg_w)\}]} \leq (\theta[\delta(g_w, g_1)])^k$$

$$\frac{\theta[\min\{6|w - 2|, 6, 0\}]}{\theta[\min\{6|w - 1|, 6|w - 2|\}]} \leq (\theta[2|w - 1|])^k$$

$$\frac{1}{\theta[6(w - 2)]} \leq (\theta[2(w - 1)])^k$$

$$\frac{1}{e^{6(w-2)}} \leq e^{2k(w-1)}$$

which satisfy for all  $w \geq 2$  and  $k \in (0,1)$ .

**Declarations*****Source of Funding***

*This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.*

***Competing Interests Statement***

*The authors declare no competing financial, professional and personal interests.*

***Consent for publication***

*Authors declare that they consented for the publication of this research work.*

***Availability of data and material***

*Authors are willing to share data and material according to the relevant needs.*

**References**

- [1] Agarwal, R. P., Meehan, M., & O'regan, D. (2001). Fixed point theory and applications (Vol. 141). Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Banach, S. (1922). Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. Fund. math, 3(1): 133-181.
- [3] Berinde, V. (2008). General constructive fixed point theorems for Ćirić-type almost contractions in metric spaces. Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, Pages 10-19.
- [4] Edelstein, M. (1962). On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1(1): 74-79.
- [5] Eilenberg, S., & Montgomery, D. (1946). Fixed point theorems for multi-valued transformations. American Journal of mathematics, 68(2): 214-222.
- [6] Khamsi, M. A., & Kirk, W. A. (2011). An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory. John Wiley & Sons.
- [7] Kirk, W. A. (2015). Metric fixed point theory: a brief retrospective. Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2015(1): 1-17.
- [8] Nadler Jr, S. B. (1969). Multi-valued contraction mappings. Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 30(2): 475-488.
- [9] Plunkett, R. L. (1956). A fixed point theorem for continuous multi-valued transformations. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 7(1): 160-163.
- [10] Strother, W. L. (1953). On an open question concerning fixed points. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 4(6): 988-993.