



An Academic Analysis of New Approaches to Educational Administration from Different Perspectives

Hasan Beysun

St. Clements University

Assoc. Prof. Kürşat Şahin YILDIRIMER

To cite this article, Hasan Beysun, PhD, Current Science, Volume 5, No. 5-4, 2023, pp. 227 – 252. - 0099-0001-2304-0407

Our studies are in a format accredited, approved, and supported by EAALS - European Academic Studies and Laboratory Services. ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia") "EAALS offers all our works, services, and publications to the world scientists at the stage of carrying our control, accreditation, and support processes to the international platform." ("CURRENT SCIENCE") ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

ISSN: 2667-9515

Barcode: 977266795001

Editors Group:

Concessionaire: Tsisana Kharabadze

Niyaz Bokvadze

Prof. Sabrina Corbi

Prof. Samantha Lewes



CURRENT SCIENCE

Assoc. Prof. Osman Doruk

""• *Current Science Multidisciplinary Academic Journal with Review Panel is a monthly multidisciplinary academic*"" ("CURRENT SCIENCE A Different Look at Traffic Sociology and Driver ...") ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia") ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

journal with a multi-science peer-review." ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia") ("Scientific Studies -

Current Science Georgia")

""*The magazine will be at the subscriber's address in the first week of the month.*"" ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

• *The journal continues to be included in all international rankings and registrations. Quality articles and publications accelerate this* ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

""• *Response or rejection time for applications varies between 30 and 90 days.*"" ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

Abstract

Is to uncover new information that adds to what people currently know about the world and contributes to that knowledge. However, in order for a person's knowledge to be considered part of the body of scientific knowledge, it must first be communicated with others in a manner that allows other individuals to independently evaluate how accurate the information is. Academics and scientists are given the opportunity and the platform they need to collect, distribute, and discuss their thoughts about the findings of their own study when they do research. The goal of research is to either create new knowledge or add to the body of previously acquired information. In the realm of academic study, judgments are often reached on the basis of evidence obtained via



the conduct of experiments and the analysis of data. The significance of research to mankind, particularly in terms of finding answers to issues and settling on courses of action, necessitates the observance of certain norms and regulations and the avoidance of particular behaviors in order for the process to go forward in an effective and desirable manner. In the context of research, the aforementioned codes of conduct and statutes are often referred to as ethical considerations. However, the need to adhere with ethical norms is not waived in the case of research into educational procedures. When doing research in the subject of education, there are a number of guidelines, standards, regulations, and requirements that need to be adhered to in order to both raise the credibility of the study and decrease the likelihood of encountering any complications. Effectiveness in each individual activity that constitutes the process is necessary for educational research management practice, just as it is for any other kind of practice or discipline. This demonstrates the need of enhancing the quality of the administration of educational research and the practices that are related with it. When these results are published, however, certain problems do not get the attention that is due to them. The majority of the time, a significant number of academics take part in experimental research, and the inferences that they make based on the results of such study are correct empirical inferences. If a researcher does this, they are breaching "ethical codes," and anybody who breaks these ethical guidelines runs the risk of facing serious fines and/or responsibility if the violation is reported to the appropriate authorities.

Keywords: *Educational Administration, Different Perspectives in Educational Administration, Trainer's Management, Education and Principles of Administration*

Introduction

In his book Research and Teaching, he makes the case that it is essential to take into account ethical considerations whenever one is carrying out research. Ethical standards act as a barrier to the fabrication or falsification of data. At the same time, these standards aid in the pursuit of knowledge and the truth, which is the primary objective of the study. The maintenance of ethical conduct among researchers is critical to the accomplishment of joint projects because it creates an atmosphere characterized by a high level of trust, accountability, and mutual respect. Taking into



CURRENT SCIENCE

consideration considerations such as data sharing, co-authoring, copyright regulations, privacy, and a great number of other difficulties, this is of the utmost importance. It is necessary for researchers to abide by specific ethical standards in order for the work that they do to garner acceptance and confidence from the general public. The general public, researchers, human rights, animal welfare, compliance with the law, conflicts of interest, safety requirements, and health standards, amongst other things, are all important considerations. It requires confirmation that it is adhering to the essential standards in all situations. The general public furthermore want assurance that investigators will not break any laws throughout their work. According to CIRT (2019), the manner in which these ethical problems are handled has a substantial influence on the integrity of the research activity and may play a part in deciding whether or not the project is financed. Because the conduct of research is a public trust, the results, in order to be of any value at all, need to be ethically sound, trustworthy, and socially responsible. In order to be deemed ethical, a research endeavor must conform to the highest standards of conduct throughout its whole, beginning with the stage in which it is planned and going on until the stage in which it presents its results to a group of knowledgeable individuals.

It is important for everybody who is engaged in the execution of research projects or who uses and applies the results of research to have a fundamental grasp of what constitutes ethical research. Because a lack of familiarity with fundamental ethical principles and an absence of up-to-date knowledge on rules and procedures meant to assure research safety are not considered acceptable justifications for undertaking morally dubious studies, all researchers should be knowledgeable of policies and processes designed to safeguard study subjects.

To Prevent Sloppy or Irresponsible Research

When researchers are aware of the regulations and procedures established to safeguard the people who participate in their study, they are less likely to conduct careless or irresponsible research. Therefore, it is the obligation of the researcher to do study on and have an in-depth understanding of the laws and concepts that are necessary to provide ethical research processes (UMCB, 2003). It should not come as a surprise that several different professional organisations, government agencies, and institutions have produced distinct codes, standards, and policies involving research



CURRENT SCIENCE

ethics. Considering how important ethics are to the conduct of research, this development should not come as a surprise. There are many distinct areas of study, institutions, and professions, and each one has its own set of behavioral expectations that are influenced by the aims and objectives that are specific to that sector. These standards not only allow individuals inside the discipline to better coordinate their actions or activities, but they also contribute to the general public's increased confidence in the discipline as a whole. For instance, conduct in the disciplines of health, law, engineering, and business are all held to certain ethical standards. People who perform or conduct scientific research, as well as those who engage in other academic or creative activities, are also regarded to be within the purview of ethical norms that support the aims or objectives of educational research management. According to David and Resnik's (2015) interpretation, the investigation of these criteria is considered to be within the purview of a particular field referred to as research ethics. The presentation of what defines ethical challenges in the administration and practice of educational research will be the primary emphasis of the next part, which will provide a review of the significance of ethics in research and will serve as the section's next topic of discussion. Because of the "interpretive turn" and the concomitant rise in the use of qualitative research methodologies, the ethical considerations surrounding social and educational research have gotten a great deal more complicated over the course of the last several decades. When carrying out education and other types of research trials, these recommendations should always be adhered to. In this part of the article, we will discuss what comes before and what comes after interpretative shift when it comes to classic and contemporary approaches to research ethics, respectively. First, we will take a more conventional method, and then we will move on to a more modern one. Intuitive assessments are used as the basis for making this differentiation. We in no way want to give the impression that the change in interpretation took place at a certain point in time or that he won all of his arguments against his rivals. In this setting, there is no question that the more conventional strategy is the one that individuals are choose to follow. The contrast between doing unethical research and safeguarding study participants, who are referred to as "research subjects" in old dictionaries, is intrinsically tied with the difference between traditional techniques of conducting research and contemporary ones. This is another example of a heuristic



CURRENT SCIENCE

distinction since there is a substantial amount of overlap in doing so. In particular, the safeguarding of people who take part in research studies is deeply ingrained in the idea of unethical behavior in research. Nevertheless, it is a distinction that has the benefit of being easily discernible since it parallels the manner in which government rules and universities segregate concerns about the ethics of research. Medical research has been a trailblazer when it comes to the ethics of conducting research on humans, both in terms of the development of vocabulary and conceptual frameworks as well as the formulation of official government policy.

This is something that has to be brought up straight away in this episode so that everyone is on the same page. This trend has been followed by the vast majority of social research in its whole, as well as educational research in particular. When I make this observation, it does not imply that scientists working in the social and educational domains take a back seat and simply adopt the norms of medical ethics. On the other hand, as we will see in the subsequent discussion, at least some theorists believe that in order to develop an acceptable approach to social and educational research ethics, it is necessary to have the vocabulary and frameworks transmitted to them through ethics. Furthermore, there needs to be a significant change in the way medical research is conducted. Instead, we bring this up to tell readers of the reasons why we make such extensive use of non-educational sources and to call their attention to an important aspect in the history of ethical issues in educational research. In addition, we do this to inform readers of the reasons why we make such extensive use of non-educational materials.

Traditional Approach

According to Beauchamp et al. (1982), the "traditional approach" establishes a very clear difference between the "prescriptive" (moral-political) component of social research and the "descriptive" (scientific-methodological) component of social research. The "prescriptive" component of social research is seen to be the more morally and politically charged of the two. The phrase "traditional approach" is what's used to draw this line in the sand. It does this by separating issues about the ethics and politics of social scientific research from questions about their scientific qualities, and then pursuing each of these lines of inquiry relatively independently from one another. In point of fact, failing to keep these areas of endeavor distinct is often cited as



CURRENT SCIENCE

the defining characteristic of biased social research and advocacy. When we discuss the conventional approach, we do what they do and distinguish the more general political and methodological problems from the ethical aspects of social research. While we are discussing the "contemporary approach," our worries over this movement will be kept a secret for the time being.

Protection of Individual Autonomy of Research Participants

The maintenance of the participants' individual autonomy throughout the study process has been, for a very long time, regarded as an essential element in Western moral and political philosophy. Therefore, it should not come as a surprise that research ethics holds a major position in Western thinking, especially in research that involves human beings. Two conflicting ethical theories that have typically been used to characterize the dispute around autonomy are Kantian ethics and utilitarianism. The Kantian framework is founded on categorical ethical principles, the most general of which is "Always treat persons as ends in themselves and never as mere means." This framework is also known as the non-consequential or deontological framework. Due to the fact that respecting individual autonomy is identical with seeing persons as ends in themselves, this concept is seen as being of the utmost significance in this particular setting. In contrast, consequentialist The utilitarian framework is based on the application of hypothetical ethical standards pursuant to the overriding objective of maximizing the ratio of benefits to harms. This framework is also known as the teleological or teleological framework. Therefore, the requirement "/f maximizes these benefits" must be satisfied before "always treat people as goals" can be satisfied. Within the framework of this paradigm, autonomy functions as an asset, and the maintenance of it must be subservient to the larger goal of utilitarianism. The idea that utilitarian reasoning, whether used in principle or in reality, might be considered to condone unacceptable moral judgements has led to considerable criticism of the approach. In theory, it is not impossible to conceive of a society in which the idea of utility is defined as what brings people pleasure, and where battles to the death between enslaved warriors serve the purpose of optimizing the total pleasure-to-pain ratio in order to maximize overall enjoyment. If the overall value of the practice is estimated in such a society by adding the joy that is experienced by the viewers and subtracting the pain that is experienced by the warriors, it would be observed that the total value is larger than



CURRENT SCIENCE

it would be in the event that the practice was outlawed. This is because the pleasure that is experienced by the spectators is added to the agony that is experienced by the warriors.

Methodology

In actuality, certain social and biological research, such as the obedience experiments conducted by Milgram (1974), and others like those conducted by Jones (1993), have the stamp of approval from utilitarian logic. It has been stated that the short-term disadvantages experienced by those who take part in research are not worth weighing against the potential advantages of the information gained in the long run. The Tuskegee study is a good illustration of this concept. These are unquestionably the types of working techniques that the vast majority of utilitarian thinkers, if not all of them, would regard to be immoral. On the other hand, one could argue that the only way to prevent negative moral consequences from the extensive application of utilitarian reasoning is to adhere to rule utilitarianism and to provide utilitarian reasons for the adherence to moral rules that are independent of the particular benefit-harm calculation. rates. To put it another way, the only way to avoid these unfavorable moral implications is to present utilitarian reasons to reject the application of utilitarian reasoning in particular circumstances, and this is the only method available. Entering moral theory on a level that would let us to thoroughly investigate this subject would take us too far away from the work that we are doing now, therefore we are not going to do that. Therefore, independent of the final theoretical foundations, the concepts that were utilized to study the most critical obstacle for utilitarianism in the context of research involving human participants and subsequently to regulate the treatment of research participants are of practical value.

Kantianism

The determination of the benefits and drawbacks that need to be included into utilitarianism's calculations presents the most significant obstacle that the theory must surmount. Not only is it possible that various people will have unique perspectives on what things are, but also. One of the most important ramifications is that every morally relevant problem has to be reframed in terms of the benefits and drawbacks of the situation. This implies, for instance, that the suffering done to slaves who are forced to fight to the death is compared with the joys supplied to those who enjoy



CURRENT SCIENCE

seeing a show of such violence being carried out. In such a scenario, it is not viable to do calculations from the perspective of utilitarianism. According to MacIntyre (1982), confining oneself to a utilitarian appraisal of benefits and harms is what leads to the eradication of "wrongness" and "moral harm" as other morally essential considerations in the context of social research. This is the outcome of the elimination of "wrongness" and "moral harm" as other morally important considerations in the context of social science. well-known (or well-known to you), as the case may be Tearoom Consider doing some study on trading. During a time when males were more interested in engaging in gay conduct, laud Humphreys worked as a lookout in public facilities. She was often referred to be the "watch queen." He achieved this while maintaining a high level of secrecy about his role as a researcher. It is possible to make the case that the ratio of advantages to harms in this research was favorable for gay males in general, even if it was not favorable for the men who took part in the study. However, if the relevant factors are limited to benefits and harms, then the analysis cannot address the issue of whether or not deceiving these guys constitutes a moral wrong regardless of the total damage and benefit calculation. Humphreys has discovered that it generates generally favorable impacts by decreasing homophobic stereotyping, and there has been a lot of actual debate along these lines. For example, see Beauchamp et al. 1982. It is conceivable to claim that Humphreys' deceit of these individuals ignored their dignity and agency, and that in general, he considered them as nothing more than a vehicle by which the objectives of other people might be accomplished. The argument that treating humans as simple tools is a kind of damage that ought to be reflected into the benefit-harm calculation is not only missing the point of the complaint, but it also argues the matter in favor of the utilitarian premise, on which all morally meaningful issues may be put.

Same Scale

The second morally significant component, "moral damages," which cannot be included into utilitarian benefit-harm calculations due to their restrictive nature, may also be used to bring attention to the issue at hand; the Teahouse Trade may serve as an illustration of this. According to MacIntyre, "moral harm is done to that person when an action creates a greater tendency to do wrong in that person" (1982, p. 178). "Moral harm is done to that person when an action creates a



CURRENT SCIENCE

greater tendency to do wrong in that person." When someone's activity causes them to have a higher propensity to act immorally, that person suffers moral harm as a result of the action. As a consequence of their engagement in the research conducted by Humphreys, the participants in the study are more likely to be cynical, insecure, and prone to use others as simply tools to promote their own goals. This is a hypothesis that is supported by a variety of data, and it is a theory that can be said to be a supported hypothesis. (The Tuskegee study is a more dramatic example, and it was one of the studies that documented "moral harms" [Haworth, 1997].) If moral damage is something that ought to be avoided in social research, then the justification for avoiding it ought to be investigated outside of the context of utilitarian cost-benefit calculations. In utilitarian benefit-loss calculations, moral damages cannot be filled in routinely; rather, ignoring them places a fundamental constraint on the use that such calculations may be put to. This is because moral damages are subjective in nature. In many cases, moral harms cannot be quantified. This is true for immoral acts as well, given that they violate rights to self-determination and privacy; utilitarian calculations are not exempt from this rule. This is true for both immoral acts and the application of utilitarian principles. As was said before, there is a kind of utilitarianism that is referred to as rule utilitarianism. This form of utilitarianism is claimed to overlook the many sorts of critique that were just stated. Kelman (1982), who considers himself to be a rule utilitarian, is a great example of such a perspective openly applied to the ethics of social research. Kelman's work is cited as an exemplary example of this. The work done by Kelman is a great illustration of how this strategy might be put into practice. Kelman describes the benefit that he eventually tries to enhance as the "realization of human potentials" (1982, p. 41). However, he understands the great difficulty involved in determining if this is applicable in particular circumstances; however, the fact that he acknowledges this problem is the reason he refuses to be a utilitarian. Then, he begins to use "consistency with human dignity" as a criterion for moral evaluation (1982, page 42). He then characterizes it (in almost verbatim terminology from Kant) as "the practice of seeing individuals as ends in themselves" (1982, page 42), as opposed to being "a tool for some external end" (1982, page 43). In a similar vein, Kelman contends that social research causes "pervasive harm," "decreased private space," and should not lead to "erosion" (1982, page 46), all of which are



CURRENT SCIENCE

included under the title "broader social values." There are several remarkable similarities between Kelman's and MacIntyre's points of view. In response to MacIntyre's recommendation to steer clear of "moral wrongs," Kelman recommends seeing individuals as "purposes in themselves." We have Kelman to protect us against "common harm," which is analogous to the guidance given by the to protect ourselves from "moral harm." Then it is not only the advantages and damages that are individually specified that need to be taken into consideration, but also the benefits or harms that are caused to the overall moral health of the human community. should be carried out:

Whatever the ultimate rationale for the moral implications of treating research participants, there is widespread consensus that certain ethical principles should constrain how researchers treat research participants in achieving traditional utilitarian goals of advancing knowledge.

Several distinct types of empirical study are constructed. Hitchcock and Hughes (1995: 21), who suggest that ontological assumptions give birth to epistemological assumptions, which in turn lead to methodological concerns, which in turn lead to instrumentation and data collecting issues, provide an essential insight that our study uses. This approach takes us beyond just considering research techniques as a technical exercise and as being about knowing the world; rather, it is influenced by how we perceive our worlds, what we accept understanding to be, and what we regard as the aims of knowledge. This chapter acknowledges that educational research, policy, and decision-making are inextricably linked, and it pays attention to the policy of educational research and its implications for conducting research (for instance, "moving away from pure research" and "moving towards applied and assessment-based research"). pulls.' study). pulls.

At last, we will conclude with a remark about the methodology.

Seeking the Truth

Since the beginning of time, people have been fascinated by the idea of facing their surroundings and gaining a grasp of the fundamentals behind the phenomena that their surroundings portray to their senses. According to Mouly (1978), the techniques by which people set out to accomplish these goals may be broken down into three major categories: experience, reasoning, and study. However, rather of being independent of one another and mutually exclusive, these categories need



CURRENT SCIENCE

to be seen as having traits that are complimentary to one another and overlap with one another, as evidence for answers to complicated contemporary challenges. When we make an attempt to come to terms with the challenges of day-to-day existence, we rely significantly on the wisdom and experience of those in positions of authority. It is important to take notice of the fact that they have opted to use restrictions as instruments in order to uncover the absolute truth. For instance, when contrasted with aspects of a scientific approach to problem-solving, the limits of human experience in the form of common sense knowledge may soon become evident. Consider, for instance, the startling dissimilarities in the applications of several theories. Common people tend to build them on coincidental occurrences and use them carelessly and without much reflection. When asked to put their hypotheses to the test, they do so in a selective manner, often selecting only data that is in line with their presumption and ignoring those that run counter to it. In contrast, scientists create their hypotheses in a methodical and meticulous manner. No matter what hypotheses they come up with, they have to be put through empirical testing in order for their explanation to truly have a strong basis. In addition, there is the idea of control, which is what differentiates the approach that a layperson takes to experience from that of a scientist. When attempting to explain a phenomenon, regular people do not often make an effort to exert control over any outside sources of impact. On the other hand, since scientists are acutely aware of the myriad of factors that may have contributed to a particular occurrence, they use specific methods and protocols in order to single out and examine the impact of one or more of the putative causal factors. Last but not least, there is a difference in perspective on the links that exist between phenomena. Concerns of regular people about these kinds of interactions tend to be disorganized, unsystematic, and unmanaged. The coincidence of two occurrences that are very closely connected provides sufficient evidence to support the hypothesis that there is a connection between the two of them.

Literature Findings

However, scientists have a considerably more serious professional concern for connections, and they can only presume a link between the two events as a consequence of careful testing. People use three distinct styles of reasoning in their pursuit of an understanding of the world around them:



CURRENT SCIENCE

deductive reasoning, inductive reasoning, and a technique that combines inductive and deductive thinking.

The concept of syllogism, which was an important part of Aristotle's formal logic, serves as the foundation for deductive reasoning. A syllogism may be broken down into its most basic components, which include a major premise that is founded on an a priori or self-evident statement, a minor premise that offers a specific illustration, and a conclusion. Therefore, the sun is in the center of the orbits of all planets. The planet Earth is a planet. This is the reason why the earth travels around the sun. The comparison is based on the concept that one may arrive to a legitimate conclusion by following a number of formal stages of logic, moving from the general to the particular, beginning with the premise, which also must be valid. It is only capable of dealing with specific kinds of expressions, which is its primary restriction. Comparison served as the fundamental building block for systematic reasoning from the time it was first developed until the Renaissance. After some time, its usefulness diminished as a result of the fact that it ceased to focus on observation and experience and instead evolved into a cerebral exercise. One of the effects of this was that authority came to take the place of empirical evidence as the foundation of proof, and the more authority a person could claim in support of their argument, the stronger their stance became. Because of this fundamental flaw in the way science is practiced, it has inevitably degenerated into a fruitless endeavor. When Francis Bacon started to put an increased focus on the observational foundation of science in the 1600s, this would usher in a period of time that would see a monumental shift in the history of thinking. He criticized the deductive model of reasoning on the grounds that its fundamental premises are often prejudiced conceptions that are unavoidably skewed towards conclusions. As an alternative, he advocated the inductive way of reasoning, which would arise from studying a group of unique situations.

A Hypothesis and Finally a Generalization

Mouly (1978) provides an explanation for this phenomenon by saying that Bacon's fundamental assumption is that, given adequate evidence, meaningful correlations and laws may still be found by the vigilant observer, even if the observer does not have a prior understanding of the relevance or meaning of the relationships or laws in question. The most important thing that Francis Bacon



CURRENT SCIENCE

did for science was to rescue it from the lethal grasp of the logical method, the misuse of which had caused a halt to the advancement of scientific knowledge. Therefore, by requiring empirical proof for verification, he shifted the focus of the scientific community away from human issues and onto natural phenomena in an effort to discover answers. Instead of being seen as conclusive tools of evidence in and of themselves, logic and authority evolved into sources of hypotheses on the universe and the phenomena that it contains. The inductive-deductive approach, which finally united Aristotelian deduction with Baconian induction, came after Bacon's technique of induction and was followed by the inductive-deductive approach. In this stage of the investigation, the researcher engages in an iterative process that involves induction (moving from observation to hypothesis) and deduction (moving from hypothesis to inference) (Mouly 1978). The hypotheses are put through extensive testing, and revisions are made to them as required. In spite of the fact that both deduction and induction have their limitations, the contributions that they have made to the expansion of scientific knowledge have been immense and may be broken down into the following categories:

1. *Suggesting hypotheses*
2. *The logical development of these hypotheses*
3. *Explaining and interpreting scientific findings and synthesizing them in a conceptual framework.*

Research is another another method that we use in our pursuit of the truth. Kerlinger (1970) provided a definition for this concept, which he described as the methodical, controlled, empirical, and critical exploration of hypothetical statements regarding putative links between natural phenomena. At TWO CONC, research contributes in three different ways to the greater good of society. particular characteristics that set it apart from the first instrument for problem-solving that was discussed before, and that tool is experience. First, although experience is concerned with occurrences that take place at random, research is methodical and controlled, and it based its operations on the inductive-deductive paradigm described earlier in this paragraph. The study is also of an empirical nature. When it comes to verification, the scientist relies on experience. Kerlinger (1970) suggests that an individual should do a reality check by comparing their



CURRENT SCIENCE

subjective and personal beliefs against objective and factual facts and tests. The third benefit is that research tends to rectify itself. Not only does the scientific method come equipped with systems that are designed to safeguard researchers from making mistakes to the greatest extent that is physically feasible, but its processes and findings are also subject to criticism from the general public as well as from other experts. Over the course of time, erroneous findings will be discovered and either amended or abandoned (Mouly 1978). Research is a blend of both experience and logic, and it should be viewed as the most successful method to the discovery of truth, particularly when it comes to the natural sciences (Borg 1963). Research should be seen as the most successful approach to the discovery of truth.¹ The field of educational research has assimilated several conflicting perspectives from the social sciences.

However, the interpretative view shares the rigor of the natural sciences and the same concern as traditional social sciences in describing and explaining human behavior, while emphasizing how humans are different from inanimate natural phenomena. The established and traditional view holds that the social sciences are essentially the same as the natural sciences and are therefore concerned with discovering the natural and universal laws that regulate and determine individual and social behavior. These opposing points of view, together with their respective reverberations in the field of educational research, are first and foremost the result of divergent conceptions of social reality, as well as individual and social behavior. It will be easier for us to comprehend the concerns that will be explored later if we look at them in a little more depth first.

Two perspectives on the nature of social reality The perspectives on social science that we have just discussed constitute startlingly diverse ways of looking at social reality and are, as a result, predicated on distinctively different approaches to the analysis of that reality. Examining the assumptions, both explicit and implicit, that underpin these social world notions may prove to be the most fruitful approach we can take toward understanding them. The work of Burrell and Morgan (1979), who determined that there are four such sets of assumptions, serves as the foundation for our research. To begin, there are assumptions that are of the ontological kind. These are assumptions that are made regarding the nature or essence of the social phenomena that is being investigated. As a result, the writers question whether social reality is something that is



CURRENT SCIENCE

external to individuals—that is, if it imposes itself on their consciousness from the outside—or whether it is something that is the product of individual consciousness. Is there an objective component to reality, or is it only the product of one's own mental processes? Is it something that exists 'outside' in the world, or did one's own imagination bring it into existence?

The argument between nominalists and realists, as it is known in philosophy, gives rise to these concerns directly. The first point of view contends that the things that may be thought about are only words, and that there is nothing that can be known for certain that contributes to the meaning of a word. The realism perspective, on the other hand, maintains that things have their own independent existences and are not reliant on the knower in any way for this. The epistemological kind of assumption makes up the second group of assumptions that were found by Burrell and Morgan (1979). These are questions that pertain to the most basic aspects of knowledge, such as its origins and manifestations, as well as how it may be gained and shared with other individuals. The perspective from which one approaches this specific debate has a significant impact on the development of our understanding of social behavior. However, viewing knowledge as personal, subjective, and unique forces researchers to deal with their subject matter and reject the naturalist's methods. The view that knowledge is difficult, objective, and tangible will demand from researchers an observer role, along with a commitment to the methods of the natural sciences. Being positive means participating in the first; being anti-positive means participating in the second. Contributions made by universities in Europe have been a crucial factor in the region's success in advancing research in the field of education. Since 2007, higher education institutions have reorganized their educational offerings in line with their commitment to continuing promotion of research in accordance with the Bologna Process. This has been accomplished through the inclusion of courses on research procedures in the study plans of master's and doctorate programs.

Argument

Because of the global guiding practices known as "discourses and local mentoring," the veracity of this claim has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. It is now abundantly obvious that having



CURRENT SCIENCE

a solid foundation in the application of research techniques gives significant knowledge and methodological skills that allow one to do better research and, as a result, make a significant contribution to the educational system. In spite of this apparent uniformity, there are a number of data that indicate to a broad range of commitments and considerable disparities in the sorts of offers that are given by higher education institutions in reference to this topic. According to Nind et al., one of the key causes contributing to the dispute that develops when debating research methods in education is the changing nature of knowledge. There is not much place for supporting the exchange of attitudes, beginning with the misconceptions that learners have and ending with what instructors have. This is because there is not much space. The issue of methodological competence in research techniques is increasing in the training courses offered to postgraduate students in education. This is in addition to the variety that already exists in institutions of higher education. Students who are working for a master's degree in education are the ones that are enrolled in these classes. According to the findings of Llamas and Boza, pedagogies that describe research methodologies taught by instructors in relation with the research backgrounds of students establish a platform for dissonance. They imply that this is the case the majority of the time. These differences across research approaches for teaching and learning in education add another degree of complexity to a landscape that already presents a significant challenge in terms of methodology. In addition to the topological fields that are typically covered in research methods courses offered at higher education institutions, as well as the financial resources that are required to expertly conduct research in terms of knowledge and advanced methodological approaches, the body of research identifies a third major topic concerning the connections that exist between the various topological fields.

This problem is at the center of the third primary problem that has been uncovered by the research. According to the same authors, a discussion of the conceptualization of environments that can be found in courses on research methodology gives deeper insight into the context of the subject matter, which in turn enables the systematic adjustment of modifications for the purpose of improvement. Because of this issue, there should be a discussion on the qualities that should be included in effective research methodologies classes in educational settings. The pedagogically-



CURRENT SCIENCE

scientific combination of knowledge trends and methodological skills as the principal themes of research methods courses generates an opportunity for the study and analysis of research cultures that are already present in higher education institutions. In light of this, in order to develop settings that are conducive to the teaching and learning of research procedures, it is necessary to have a comprehensive awareness of the intricate connections that exist between distinct pedagogical concepts and scientific points of view about research. A significant concern in the field of education is the instruction of research methods. This is due to the fact that the demography of students that make up the target audience often comes from a wide range of backgrounds and has varying degrees of prior knowledge, interests, and expectations.

The working title of the research project studying the assumption that educators working in education would benefit from the use of a conceptual framework as a tool to construct and conduct research methods courses in education is Research Methods in Advanced Studies in Education. This article is the working title of the research project, and its title is Research Methods in Advanced Studies in Education. The quality of the research that is carried out in the area of education has an effect on the quality of the results that are gained from that study. This is the foundation upon which this project is founded. As a consequence of this, it seeks to provide reliable evidence that has the ability to educate decision makers and other stakeholders operating within the area of education. As a consequence of this, both the organizational framework of the classes that teach research methodology and the instructional strategies that are used need to be reexamined. The purpose of the project is to locate and provide a conceptual framework that is supported by research as a basis for the development of research methods courses in the field of education. This framework will include principles and recommendations for the development of these courses, and the research team is comprised of both more seasoned researchers who teach research methods as part of further education programs and younger researchers who take on the challenge of questioning and improving the design and conduct of research methods classes.

In light of the fact that it is common knowledge that there is a link between the processes of teaching and learning, between the processes of learning and self-interest, between the processes of self-interest and the research process, and between the processes of research and teaching, a



CURRENT SCIENCE

systematic review of the relevant literature indicates that the challenge posed by research is more in-depth. makes comprehension possible. This article is organized in such a way that it will give information on the methodology that was used, as well as the goals and research questions that served as the foundation for the process of carrying out the study. This formulation is organized around the following four aspects with the intention of making a contribution to the solution of the challenges while also building on the results of previous research:

- (i) *methodological knowledge concepts that focus primarily on students;*
- (ii) *research qualifications;*
- (iii) *pedagogical practices; and (iv) pedagogical cultures of research methods in training courses. In the last part of the article, findings, limitations and recommendations are presented.*

In conclusion, this review aims to answer the following primary research question: What pedagogical cultures of teaching research methodologies can be found in the current academic literature ? In order to contribute to the solution of this problem, special research questions were created. These research questions are:

- (i) Which research results highlight understandings and misconceptions about what methodological knowledge is in research methodology for teaching and learning in education ?
- (ii) What results from research add to the conversation about the key skills needed to interpret and conduct research?
- (iii) What results from the study contribute to considering acceptable and inappropriate training techniques for teaching research methodology ?
- (iv) What research results, if any, contribute to the problematization of a scientific culture (teaching and learning)? When most people think of ethics (or morals), they think of rules for distinguishing between right and wrong, for example the Golden Rule ("Do to others as you would like them to be done to you") is a code of professional conduct . Behavior such as the Hippocratic Oath ("First and foremost, do no harm"), a religious



CURRENT SCIENCE

belief such as the Ten Commandments ("Thou shalt not kill..."), or wise aphorisms such as the words of Confucius. The definition of "ethics" that most people use is a set of codes of conduct that distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate actions.

Conclusion

The majority of people get their first education on ethical principles either at family, in school, in church, or in some other kind of social setting. Although the majority of people already have a sense of what is good and bad when they are children, moral development continues throughout a person's whole life and progresses through a number of stages depending on the person's level of maturity. It's understandable to believe that moral principles are nothing more than common sense, given how widespread ethical norms are. This misconception may be excused. On the other hand, if morality is nothing more than common sense, then the issue that has to be asked is why our society is so fraught with so many ethical debates and dilemmas. The use of test tubes placed in a beautiful manner on a plate is a metaphor for alternative testing methods, which are procedures that modify, minimize, or otherwise enhance the use of animals in research and testing. Alternatives to animal testing include these strategies. Although everyone admits the existence of some kind of universal ethical code, everyone interprets, applies, and balances these rules differently according to their own individual views and life experiences. This is one viewpoint that appears to be a viable explanation for these disparities in opinion. There are several ways to conceptualize what it is to be human, each of which might give rise to a unique perspective on the morality of certain behaviors. For instance, two individuals could agree that it is unethical to murder another person, but they might disagree over whether or not it is immoral to have an abortion. On the other hand, ethical standards have a tendency to be more all-encompassing while also being less formal than the legal restrictions that are employed to control conduct in the majority of nations. Law and ethics are not the same thing, despite the fact that laws are employed in most societies to enforce commonly accepted moral standards, and that ethical and legal concepts include many of the same ideas, law and ethics are not the same thing. It is conceivable for the same action to be considered morally commendable in one scenario, yet immorally unacceptable in another one. In addition, we may critique the law, review it, make



recommendations for it, or interpret it by applying ethical notions and values. The peaceful practice of civil disobedience is a method that is morally sound whether it comes to disobeying the law or expressing political ideas. It adds to a better understanding of current technologies regarding the pedagogical cultures linked with teaching and learning research techniques in education for additional studies by identifying patterns and problems. This information can then be used to further study. In order to accomplish this objective using the study technique that was selected, a full evaluation of the existing literature was carried out. Since it is common knowledge that there is a link between the processes of teaching and learning, between the processes of learning and self-interest, between the processes of self-interest and the research process, and between the processes of research and teaching, a systematic review of the relevant literature indicates that the challenge posed by research is more in-depth. makes comprehension possible. This article is organized in such a way that it will give information on the methodology that was used, as well as the goals and research questions that served as the foundation for the process of carrying out the study. This is the scientific goal that we aimed to accomplish by revising this material. This formulation is arranged along four dimensions with the goal of contributing to the solution of the questions as well as building on the results of earlier investigations. These parameters are broken out as follows:

- (i) *methodological knowledge focused primarily on learner concepts;*
- (ii) *research qualifications;*
- (iii) *pedagogical practices; And*
- (iv) *training courses. In the last part of the article, findings, limitations and recommendations are presented.*

References

- Akyuz, G. Reflections from research methods education program: Effect on pre-service teachers' attitudes and anxieties . New Horiz . educ _ 2013, 61, 1–12. [Google Scholar]
- Applause KÜçÜkaydin , M .; Gokbulut , Y. The impact of a research methods



- course on teacher candidates ' epistemology beliefs . aust . J. Teach . educ _ 2020, 45, 18–33.
- Alzheimer's- europe (2009). Ethical issues participating in research . Retrieved on the 7th Jan ., 2019 from <https://www.alzheimer-europe.org/Research/Understanding-ementiaresearch / Participating -in-research / Ethical-issues>
- Bell, R. The continuing search to find a more effective and less intimidating way to teach research methods in higher education _ innova _ educ _ teach _ int . 2016, 53, 285–295.
- Bermúdez-Sarguera , R .; Estrella-Acencio , L.; Huerta -Cruz, C.; Garcés-Silva , R. Lugar de la metodología de la investigación en la estructura curricular de la carrera Educacion inicial . Rev. _ Cuba _ DeEduc . _ super . 2021, 40, 1–16.
- Burgess (ed.) Issues in Educational Research : Qualitative methods _ Lewes : Falmer , 67–85.
- Center for Innovation in Research and Teaching (CIRT) (2019). Ethical considerations . Retrieved on 7th Jan. 2019 from <https://cirt.gcu.edu/research/developmentresources/tutorials/ethics>
- David B. & Resnik , JD (2015). What is ethics in research & why is it important ? Retrieved on the 7th of Jan. 2019, from <https://www.niehs.nih.gov/research/resources/bioethics/whatis/index.cfm>
- Dooly , M. , Moore , E. & Vallejo , C. (2017). research ethics . In M. Dooly , & E. Moore (Eds) Qualitative approaches to research on plurilingual education (pp . 351 – 362). Dublin, Ireland ; Voillans , France: Research-publishing.net.
- Dougherty , K. , & Howe , K. (1990). policy regarding educational research : Report to the Subcommittee on Educational Research of the Human Research committee . Unpublished manuscript .



- Dworkin , R. (1978). taking rights seriously .
Cambridge, MA: Harvard University
press .
- Ehiyazarian -White, E. Developing open
academic practices in research
methods teaching within a higher
education in further education context
. J Interact . MediaEduc . _ 2012,
2012, 13. [Green version]
- Ekmekci , O .; Hancock , AB; Swayze , S.
Teaching statistical research methods
to graduate students : Lessons learned
from three different degree programs
. int . J. Teach . learn . high. educ _
2012, 24, 272–279.
- Facio , E. (1993). Ethnography as personal
experience . In J. Stanfield & R.
Dennis (Eds.),
- Fay, B. (1975). social theor ~ ~ and political
practice _ Birkcnhcad , England :
George Allen
- Gilbert, N. (1994). Miscounting social ills .
Society , 31(3), 18-26.
- Giles J. (2005). taking on the cheats . Nature,
435(1), 258 – 259.
- Gilligan , C. (1982). in a different voice :
Psychological theo ~' and women's
development . Cambridge, MA:
Harvard University press .
- Goodstein , D. (1991). Scientific fraud .
American Scholar . 60, 505-515.
- Greene , P.J. , Durch , JS, Horwitz , W., &
Hooper , VS (1985). policies for
responding to fraud of research . _ _
Minera,a , 23, 203-215.
- Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 US 479, 507
(1964).
- Guglietti , M. Exploring students '
articulation of value in a social
research methods class : Towards a
phenomenography of value making .
teach _ learn . inq . 2015, 3, 3–17.
- Hamnett , M. P. , Porter, DJ, Singh, A., &
Kumar, K. (1984). Ethics , politics ,
and international social science
research : From critique to praxis _
Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press
.
- Hattie , J. (1991). the Burr controversy .
Alberta Journal of Educational
Research , 3Z 259-275.



- Haworth , K. (1997, May 30). Clinton starts our efforts to recruit minority w~lunteers for federal research projects . Chronicle of Higher Education , p. A39.
- Hecht , JB (1996, April), Educational research , research ethics and federal policy : An update . paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association , New York City.
- Herman, C. Political transformation and research methodology in doctoral education _ high. educ _ 2010, 59, 489–506.
- hindsight bias _ In U.-D. Reips and M. Bosnjak (eds) Dimensions of Internet Science. Lengerich , Germany: Pabst Science, 75–90.
- House, E. , & Howe , K. (1999). Values in evaluation and social research . Thousand Oaks , CA: Sage .
- Howe , K. (1995). Democracy , justice and action research : some theoretical developments . Educational Action Research , 3, 347-349.
- Howe , K. , & Dougherty , K. (1993). Ethics , IRB's , and the changing face of educational research . Educational Researcher , 22(9), 16-21.
- In E. Eisner and A. Peshkin (eds) Qualitative Inquiry in Education : The Continuing Debate _ New York: Teachers college Press , 38–73.
- Ivankova , N .; Piano Clark, V. Teaching mixed methods research : Using a socio-ecological framework as a pedagogical approach for addressing the complexity of the field _ int . J. Soc . Res . methodol _ 2018, 21, 409–424.
- Jenn , N.C. (2006). common ethical issues in research and publication . Malaysian family Physician : The Official Journal of the Academy of Family Physicians of Malaysia , 1(2- 3), 74 – 76.
- Johnson, C. (1982). risks in the publication of fieldwork . In J. Sieber (Ed.), The ethics of social research : Fieldwork , regulation , and publication (pp . 71- 92). New York: Springer Verlag .



- Jones, JH (1993). *bad blood : the Tuskegee .~3'philis experiment* . New York: Free press .
- Joynson , RB (1994). *fallible judgments* . Society , 31(3), 45-52.
- Knipe , S .; Miles, R.; Bottrell , C. *Methods ? data ? Sources ? Utilizing a research schedule to scaffold students learning* . educ _ Res . Q. 2018, 42, 44–65. [Google Scholar]
- Lawrence, PA (2003). *the politics of publication* . Nature, 422(6929), 259 - 261.
- Lovekamp , W .; Soboroff , S.; Gillespie , M. *Engaging students in survey research projects across research methods and statistics course* . teach _ social _ 2017, 45, 65–72.
- Mekonnen , F. *Evaluating the effect of ' learning by doing ' teaching strategy in a research methodology course , Hargeisa , Somaliland* . Afr . educ _ Res . J. 2020, 8, 13–19. [Google Scholar]
- Moreira , H .; Caleffe , LG *OS desafios do ensino da disciplina de metodologia da pesquisa what pós-graduação* . Meta Avaliação 2011, 3, 244–257.
- Myers-Coffman , K .; Ibrahim , M.; Bryl , K.; Junkin , JS; Bradt , J. *Learning by doing : Student experiences in a mixed methods research course _ int* . J.Dr. stud _ 2021, 16, 31–46.
- Race and ethnicity in research methods (pp . 74-91). Newbury Park, CA: Sage .
- Rich , M. *Learning research methods : How personalized should we be? electron* . J Bus . Res . Methods 2014, 12, 124–131. [Google Scholar]
- Rogers, C.R. (1945) *The non-directive method as a technique for social research* . American Journal of Sociology , 50, 279–83.
- Rogers, C.R. (1969) *Freedom to learn* . Columbus , OH: Merrill.
- Rogers, CR and Stevens, B. (1967) *Person to Person : The Problem of Being Human*. London : Souvenir .
- Rogers, VM and Atwood , RK (1974) *Can we put ourselves in their place ? Yearbook of the national Council for social Studies* , 44, 80–111.



- Roman, LG and Apple, M. (1990) Is Naturalism a move away from positivism ? Materialist and feminist approaches to subjectivity in ethnographic research .
- Rose ,D. and Sullivan, O. (1993) Introducing Data Analysis for social scientists . Buckingham: Open University press .
- Ross, K .; Call-Cummings, M. Reflections on failure : Teaching research methodology . int . J. Res . method educ _ 2020, 43, 498–511.
- Saeed , M .; Al- Ahdal , A.; Al Qunayeer , H. Integrating research proposal writing into a postgraduate research method course : What does it tell us? int . J. Res . method educ _ 2021, 44, 303–318.
- São José, J. O ensino / aprendizagem de métodos e técnicas de investigação social : Contributos para o desenvolvimento de uma cultura pedagogic _ social _ Line 2020, 24, 11–26.
- Schutz , A. (1962) Collected papers . the The Hague : Nijhoff .
- Schwarz , S. and Reips , U.-D. (2001) CGI versus Javascript : a web experiment on the reversed
- Scott, J. (1990) A Matter of Record . Cambridge: Polity press .
- Scott, S. (1985) Feminist research and qualitative methods : a discussion of some of the issues . in RG
- Sears , R.R. , Rau , L. and Alpert , R. (1965) Identification and Child Rearing . Stanford, CA: Stanford University press .
- Sears , R. , Maccoby , E. and Levin, H. (1957) Patterns of Child Rearing . Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University press .
- Smith R. (2005). medical journals are an extension of the marketing arms of pharmaceuticals companies . PLoS Med , 2(1), 10–20.
- Snelson , C .; Wertz , C.; Onstott , K.; Bader, J. Using world of warcraft to teach research methods in online doctoral education : A student-instructor duoethnography . Qual . Rep . 2017, 22, 1439–1456.



University of Minnesota Center for Bioethics
(UMCB) (2003). a guide to research
ethics . Retrieved on the 8th of Jan.
2019 from
[https://www.ahc.umn.edu/img/assets
/26104/Research_Ethics.pdf](https://www.ahc.umn.edu/img/assets/26104/Research_Ethics.pdf)

White, P. Who's afraid of research questions
? the neglect of research questions in
the methods literature and a call for
question - led methods teaching . int .
J. Res . method educ _ 2013, 36, 213–
227.