



The Importance of Life Force, the First Stage and Creator of Concentration, in Education

Nuray HURATA, PhD¹
St. Clements University

To cite this article, Nuray HURATA, PhD, Current Science, Volume 5, No. 4-2, 2023, p. 43 – 65. - 0099-0001-2304-0203.

Our studies are in a format accredited, approved, and supported by EAALS - European Academic Studies and Laboratory Services. ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia") "EAALS offers all our works, services, and publications to the world scientists at the stage of carrying our control, accreditation, and support processes to the international platform." ("CURRENT SCIENCE") ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

ISSN: 2667-9515

Barcode: 977266795001

Editors Group:

Concessionaire: Tsisana Kharabadze

Niyaz Bokvadze

Prof. Sabrina Corbi

Prof. Samantha Lewes

Assoc. Prof. Osman Doruk

""• Current Science Multidisciplinary Academic Journal with Review Panel is a monthly multidisciplinary academic"" ("CURRENT SCIENCE A Different Look at Traffic Sociology and Driver ...") ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia") ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

¹ORCID: 0000-0001-9356-2392



journal with a multi-science peer-review." ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia") ("Scientific Studies -

Current Science Georgia")

""The magazine will be at the subscriber's address in the first week of the month."" ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

• The journal continues to be included in all international rankings and registrations. Quality articles and publications accelerate this ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

""• Response or rejection time for applications varies between 30 and 90 days."" ("Scientific Studies - Current Science Georgia")

Abstract

The endeavor to separate what is thought to be logical, cognitive, and intellectual from creativity and emotion has resulted in several unexpected effects, the most evident of which is the wasteland that we refer to as class arithmetic. The product is a catastrophe because it is constructed on incorrect assumptions about how people acquire knowledge. When students' imaginations come into touch with the passion that mathematics has, mathematics has the potential to become exciting and significant. Nevertheless, the problem that we confront goes beyond merely pointing out that mathematics is an enthusiastic topic. People have an exceedingly tough time understanding how mathematics could be taught in an unusual way than what is currently being taught because the vocabulary that is used to discuss education is so full of assumptions and assumptions that need to be uprooted and questioned. The problem is that the vocabulary that is used to discuss education is so full of assumptions and assumptions that need to be uprooted and questioned. Most people get their mathematical knowledge via school textbooks. Since schoolbooks operate on the presumption that imagination and feeling are in no way connected to mathematics, we are unable to provide an explanation for how these factors might be reintroduced into such a mathematical framework. Despite the clear excitement and creative genius of the persons responsible for producing the mathematical information that is retained in textbooks, this illusion continues to endure. We need to reclaim Wordsworth's understanding of the imagination as "Logic at its most exuberant" (The Prelude, XIV, 192), and we also need to recognize the significance of Frye's



CURRENT SCIENCE

insight that "the combination of passion and intellect we name imagination" (Imagination), page 57. When we give emphasis to imagination in the learning process, we are directed to transcend the mind/emotion distinction and to see the two together in every area of the learning process and in every subject of knowledge. This is true in every aspect of the learning process. Our emotional lives are connected to our intellectual lives, and vice versa. Our imagined lives are also connected to our emotional lives. It is thus hard for us to resist being emotionally invested in the process of fictional learning. Since it needs us to acknowledge that methods of teaching and learning that are independent of our emotions are educationally useless, imagination is a vital part of the educational process. This is because it demands us to use our imagination.

Keywords: *Education, Training, Educational Administration, Concentration in Student, Concentration in Trainer's Education*

Introduction

It should be obvious that none of this should suggest that normal classrooms in the future will be filled with sobbing, screams, and unfettered delights during the whole of the school day. Instead, whatever the topic that is going to be discussed, it needs to have some kind of emotional connection with the students, or it needs to be a part of the class subject in some way, in the fashion of human emotions that bring the topic up in the first place or are connected to it in some way (Egan (1986)).

These three distinct subjects, namely vision, originality, and creativity, shall be condensed into a single theme for the sake of discussion. I made the comment at the outset of this post that everyone appreciates imagination in general, and it seems reasonable to argue that the link between imagination and visualization, originality, and creativity probably accounts for the bulk of growth support. If I gloss over these concerns without delving further into them, it is not because I do not consider them significant; rather, it is because we feel that the significance of these concerns, in addition to their value, is of the utmost importance. "the word imagination typically signifies nothing more than the ability to generate a picture of something in our head and retain it there when we think about it," Ted Hughes says (1988, p. 35). This conventional, constrained view of imagination represents a capability that may be cultivated through practice and is already



CURRENT SCIENCE

incorporated into a variety of instructional approaches and techniques of educational value. Practice is the best way to improve a skill, and imagination is no exception.

The instructor encourages students to conjure up images in their heads.

Regardless of the subject matter being covered in class, the teacher may encourage students to generate mental images, concentrate on them, expand on them, or move them, and then have them continue with writing, exploring, or any other activity. This kind of sketching exercise has been shown in several studies to be an effective stimulant, and those studies can be found in the body of research that pertains to education. These are the experiences of those who have attempted it themselves. Nevertheless, some time spent in quiet is also a crucial component, and the teacher may provide ideas to the pupils to assist them in developing mental representations that are more comprehensive or exact. This technique, which is more often referred to as Guided Imagery, is an advancement that is built on the basic skill of being able to create images. According to the findings of the relevant study, most of its applications may be found in the subject of social studies. After an oral story that describes pictures, sounds, tastes, and scents, students generate as vivid an inner cinematic projection for themselves as is feasible. In this scenario, as the name says, the visuals are formed with the teacher's explanations. Students, particularly those studying historical topics, may have a fantastically enjoyable learning experience by using this specific technique for arousing their imaginations, as my personal experience has shown to me. As a result of the regularity with which this point is emphasized, there is no need for me to emphasize the significance of originality, creativity, and the strong link that these three concepts have with the imagination. On the other side, there is a chance that I may pick up some added information. What first struck me as a contextless innovation seems to be universally acknowledged as the finest example of originality and ingenuity. This is most often seen in activities that are referred to as "creativity tests." In the absence of any relevant context or productive aims, these assessments evaluate one's ability to communicate creative expressions or ideas as well as the usage of components. This is most obvious when considering so-called "creativity tests" (Barrow, 1990). Although it is patently evident that imagination is required in this scenario, there does not seem to be any demand placed on creative imagination.



CURRENT SCIENCE

Promoting quick changes of attention and new imagery would have the same impact on creative production as boosting creative activity itself would. According to the observations of Brian Sutton, Smith's "this constant distraction truly hinders the actual development of creativity by continually diverting young individuals from one stimulus to another, therefore decreasing the attention and familiarity that is essential for innovation" (1988, p. 17).

At the very least, it is good to have a healthy skepticism about tests that appear to reflect ideas of imagination and creativity but lack many of the intricate elements that have been previously addressed. It's possible that the question posed in the headline of this piece was a peculiar one. It is not impossible for the answer or solutions to become readily apparent. Everyone agrees that one of the most important qualities an educated person can possess is creativity. Imagination is a trait that should be shown by anybody who has received an education. Notwithstanding this, it is important to try to explain, in as much detail as possible, why educators should take imagination seriously and why imagination is essential to the area of education.

Methodology

To begin, providing an explanation of the factors that contribute to such behavior might assist us in developing strategies and environments that are more likely to pique the interest of children's imaginations. Second, expressing them may disclose potentially unanticipated educational repercussions because we comprehend the principle of imagination. Finally, it is abundantly obvious that the conception of imagination that we have is both comprehensive and intricate at the same time. It is also painfully evident that different people often mean quite different things when they use the phrase in their conversations. Considering this, providing an explanation of the factors that led to its considerable consideration in educational contexts may be beneficial in elucidating the different implications it carries. Fourth, general and rather hazy support for the growth of imagination in education is most commonly limited to dealing with a rather lackluster sense of novelty in art self-expression and other areas of the curriculum. Elaborating on the reasons why the development of imagination is important for education can help clarify its role in the curriculum. And lastly, the fifth thing to take into consideration is that the standard structures and methods of modern education, which have been summed up in several publications, are



CURRENT SCIENCE

constructed on concepts that do not expressly acknowledge imagination as an essential component of education. One further way of thinking about why creativity is so important to the teaching and learning process is to investigate the preconceptions that now serve as the foundation for educational practice. When it comes to teaching, everyone knows that you should "start where the kids are." It would be irresponsible not to acknowledge the significance of the findings that led to the development of this idiom and many others like it. But, once we grasp its significance as a practice guide and begin to think about it seriously, the apparently straightforward counsel that it gives begins to seem a bit daunting.

A point of departure for subsequent units or lessons

The concept that is conveyed by the cliché is often used to support the selection of curricular content that is a component of the familiar environment to which pupils belong. This justification may take the form of a beginning point for units or lessons. It is also used to justify an effort to classify students' stages of development, skill levels, relevant previous knowledge, and distinct learning styles. This is only one of the many uses of this concept. They have the potential to be of wonderful use in assisting with the planning of an effective classroom instruction. Yet, the most common uses of the notion in connection to the topic of the curriculum and psychological states are both susceptible to interpretations that are educationally ineffective. It is widespread practice to simplify the complexities of epistemological and psychological theories by reducing them to assertions about "where pupils are." Nonetheless, it seems that these assertions are ignoring the reality that pupils have imagination. Sadly, many instructors seem to have accepted certain student preconceptions without questioning their validity, which has the impact of preventing students from recognizing "where students are." When it comes to the subject matter of the curriculum, the patterns of interest with which pupils might begin to inspire themselves are, for the most part, confined to the known substance of their day-to-day experiences. When it comes to psychological states, the stereotype of students' thinking is often restricted to descriptions of students' logical and arithmetic cognitive skills. This is the case since logic and mathematics are cognitive talents that are related to academic success. We can take a deeper look at these limiting prejudices and give a more nuanced view on the situation when we incorporate the creative lives of children in our "Where are the kids?" evaluation. The concept that the most interesting content can be found in



CURRENT SCIENCE

their local environment and from the experiences they have daily appears to be completely illogical, and the concept that their logical and mathematical abilities determine what they are capable of accomplishing appears to be insufficient. This pertains to the pupils' cognitive capacities, developmental stages, various learning methods, and so on. This is not to argue that attempting to study or understand what parts of students' local settings and day-to-day experiences may play a role does not have any merit.

Concentration in Trainer's Education

I feel that the degeneration that can be seen in these instances is a direct consequence of educational approaches that pay little or no attention to components of the creative life of pupils. This article's goals are to (1) reiterate the significance of paying attention to the imaginations of students and (2) investigate how the simple act of taking imagination seriously may influence some of the most widely held beliefs on the nature of education. During the decades of attempts to make educational science more scientific by utilizing philosophical approaches and more rationally by utilizing psychological methods, the primary function of imagination has been overlooked for an inexplicable reason. This has occurred during the decades of these attempts. Both John Dewey's observation that "imagination is a tool for evaluation in every field" (1966, p. 236) and John Warnock's claim that "development of imagination... should be the main aim of education" support the idea that imagination should be emphasized as one of the primary goals of educational institutions (1976, p. 236). 9) Both of these perspectives have played a significant role in the development of our culture since the Romantic period (and for much longer when we consider that the term "imagination" inherited characteristics that were formerly associated with the concept of "soul"), but they are in direct opposition to one another.

The purpose of this discussion is not to investigate why the significance of imagination has been downplayed in educational discourse in favor of the promises of educational philosophy and educational psychology, neither of which does a particularly excellent job of addressing the slippery complexity of the topic at hand. When we put creativity back at the center of our conversation, we must be prepared for the possibility that some of the standard topics discussed in today's educational discourse may be displaced from time to time. This is something we must be prepared for as we put creativity back at the center of our conversation. When beginning to author



CURRENT SCIENCE

an essay on the topic, it is important to note that one cannot presuppose that all readers and listeners will have the same comprehension of what the term "imagination" means. But we all use the word with a level of reasonable confidence, which means that we are certain that other people will comprehend what we mean, and that other people will interpret what we mean in the same way that they interpret what they mean when they use this expression. I have a suspicion that there is some basis for this faith. So, when we speak about "imagination," we are referring to a variety of skills that are possessed by each one of us. Intuitively, I think that the vast majority of individuals are able to reach a consensus on what elements fit inside this range. On the other hand, as soon as we attempt to expose it, categorize it, and define the components of it, it seems to produce conflicts, or at the very least displeasure with the characterizations. The challenge stems from the fact that our capacity for imagination resides at the core of many aspects of our life that are only partially understood, while imagination itself is both intricate and multidimensional.

Literature Review

Every one of us has the power to form mental images of things that are not here or even do not exist, and we can also let these mental images to have the same type of influence on us as if they were actual and here in the room with us. As a result of the fact that these paintings are unlike any other picture that we are used to seeing in the "outside" world, it is quite challenging for us to adequately characterize the nature of these works of art. Nonetheless, it seems that various people will have quite diverse experiences of these pictures. Although some people will have unrestricted access to vivid quasi-pictorial visions, others may have experiences that are too hazy to accurately describe as "images." It's one of those topics where "everything is captured, including even exactly what the problem is," and the same person can meet a spectrum of what appears to be "pictures" of various kinds or degrees. This is one of those topics where "everything is captured, including even exactly what the problem is" (Block, 1981, p. 5).

The imagination occupies a kind of pivotal position at the intersection and interaction of a number of other aspects of our existence that are determined, such as perception, memory, the process of idea formation, emotion, and metaphor. This position allows the imagination to interact with all these other aspects. Some of the pictures we view seem to be "echoes" of things we have seen in



CURRENT SCIENCE

the past, even though we can modify, combine, and exert control over the images we come across in wholly novel ways. Our memories seem to be able to change our views and keep their "echo" in ways that do not always, or maybe very frequently at all, need semi-pictorial representations of those perceptions. This may be because our memories are able to preserve the "echo" of those perceptions (as, for example, in situations of sound and smell).

The ability of a person's imagination to "see" various solutions to a problem has virtually always been linked to the uniqueness of their ideas. When we imagine something, it gives us the impression that it is happening right now or is genuine. So, our "coding" of and "access" to pictures is connected to our feelings. When we imagine something, it gives us the impression that it is happening right now or is genuine. The logic of the imagination is more readily compatible with the logic of metaphor than it is with any system of reason that can be explained in more detail by us. Every one of these problems comes with its own unique set of challenges, if not outright enigmas. However, as many others have shown in the past, it is not required to address all of them before stating anything significant about the function of imagination in education. This has been demonstrated by several people. To make any headway on this topic by the time I get to the conclusion of this piece, we are going to rely on an imagination that is both expansive and daily.

Power of Concentration and Traditional Ways of Thinking

If we look at the way education is conducted in general, it would be reasonable to infer that the major objective of education is to provide students with the opportunity to acquire information, skills, and attitudes that are suited to the context in which they find themselves. On the other hand, if we examine the work of the educational theorists who have had the most impact over the course of history, we find that the principal subject of their research is not the same. When we use Plato, Rousseau, and Dewey as examples, it becomes all too clear that their knowledge and skills, in the sense that seem to run our schools almost entirely, are only a small part of what interested them. This is a problem because our educational system is based almost entirely on knowledge and skills. When we take into consideration the writings of these three philosophers, this becomes very evident. According to them, the capacity to think independently of the normative beliefs and viewpoints that most people take for granted as they grow up is the most significant component of having an education. This is because receiving an education allows one to acquire this skill. They



CURRENT SCIENCE

stress repeatedly that education is something that teachers and students in our classes often give extraordinarily little attention to. Instilling information is obviously not insignificant to them; nonetheless, their concern is dominated by the far more essential question of how to help a pupil to become an independent thinker who can perceive what conventional conceptions are. This problem is much more important to them. To put it in a condescending manner, education is a process that reawakens individuals to a state of mind that enables them to foresee situations different from those that exist now or have happened in the past. This state of mind is necessary for people to be able to imagine new possibilities. The educational programs that are provided are reflective of the many pedagogical philosophies that exist on how young children might be helped to develop into educated people and which pedagogical philosophies are used. Plato advocated for a curriculum that was very regimented and lasted for fifty years so that the minds of his greatest pupils may be liberated from the confines of doxa, which is another name for conventional thinking. Rousseau proposed that his pupil's every thought should be influenced, and that the student should be stopped from learning to read until he was around twelve years old. This was done to ensure that his learner would not be swayed by the myriad of views that may be gleaned through everyday social dialogue as well as literature. Throughout the learning process, Dewey proposed educational practices that would assist pupils to take on a more scientific, inquisitive, and skeptical perspective. Everyone agrees that one of the primary goals of schools is to socialize students, which is to educate them to comprehend, acknowledge, and appreciate the traditional concepts and beliefs of the society that they are a part of. This is a goal that is shared by all educational institutions. Students are introduced to these concepts and worldviews in the context of the classroom, which enables this goal to be achieved.

If there is nothing to back up one's assertions, then one's imagination is nothing more than unfounded conjecture, and using it serves neither the person nor society. This perspective is held by many individuals, and it goes as follows: "We want the kid to learn and participate, at least to some degree, in our shared beliefs and our common way of life" (Hanson, 1988, p. 137).

It is thought that having a mental life that is comprised of the customary ideas and attitudes of one's time and location constitutes a type of slumber or slavery. Several idioms, such as "wake up," "liberate," and "release," are used often to refer to education that goes beyond typical



CURRENT SCIENCE

socialization. (Those who are most likely to fall prey to this slumber or servitude are not conscious of their state, of course.) When Plato discusses reawakening the soul or freeing prisoners, he is referring to those whose experiences are only representations of the true world. "To be able to dream is to be free of conventional appearances" (Sutton-Smith, 1988, p. 10/11) is a quotation that is commonly repeated in education as a manner of addressing the educational entailment of experience. This quote was first published in Sutton-book Smith's in 1988.

It should simply be referred to as "free," not "always free from all norms," or "free." It not only provides us with a frame of mind in which we are able to feel their values and accept them as conditions of advancing social existence, but it also provides us with a frame of mind in which we are able to understand their limitations, their arbitrariness, and envision changing them if we so choose.

Because of this, there is a constant tension in the field of education between teaching the traditions that students will have to live with and fostering capacities that enable them to gain a kind of mental freedom from these traditions by turning them into tools rather than constraints. This is because teaching the traditions that students will have to live with and fostering capacities that enable them to gain this freedom are two quite different things. Because of this, there is an ongoing conflict between teaching pupils the traditions that they will have to live with and developing their skills in such a way that allows them to acquire some type of mental independence from these traditions. This struggle is often addressed in the writings of great educational thinkers, but regrettably, it is not so frequently voiced in many institutions. The portion of the task that requires interaction with students or the presentation of established standards is the one that requires the biggest amount of time. The difficulty of accomplishing even this duty to a satisfactory level is not intended to be downplayed by this revelation, which is another one of its purposes. It conflicts with what already takes a lot of energy and, of course, imposes subtle but tremendous pressures against the order and many forms of control that are the bureaucratic demands of the school. We do not have clear curricular rules to accomplish this.



Findings

As a direct consequence of this, the capacity to be liberated from these traditions is far less often acquired. This piece is not intended to be a dissertation on sociology or philosophy, and I may have scrolled down too far to emphasize the fact that most outstanding educational thinkers see traditional thinking as the primary adversary of traditional thought. Conventional brains may be capable of a wide variety of things, including having an astronomically high IQ, doing well on academic performance tests, and possessing encyclopedic knowledge. AN Whitehead referred to persons like these as the dumbest people on the face of the earth. What they seem to be lacking is originality, which points to a severe lack of knowledge. Imagination is not in conflict with conventional thought; rather, imagination provides a type of framework or an extra dimension within which conventional thinking may be managed and transcended. Imagination and traditional ways of thinking are not incompatible modes of thought. It does not run counter to logical thinking, but rather has the ability to fill rational thought with vigor, energy, and deeper significance. To put Bowra's thoughts on this topic into my own words, "By the use of his imagination, [person] is responsible for the existence of life and adds to the whole experience of life. [Person] does not want to play the role of a spectator but rather one of an active participant " (1949, p. .292).

Hence, placing an emphasis on imagination helps to bring to our notice the fact that the most creative modes of thinking are also ones that have been the focus of the most prominent educational thinkers throughout the course of history. They have struggled to find ways to ensure that this process is accompanied by a process that makes these traditions intellectual servants rather than masters, but educational programs are not designed to prevent students from socializing and maturing in accordance with the traditions of the time and place in which they are growing up. Therefore, it is not suggested that kids participate in these programs since they inhibit students' ability to socialize and mature in accordance with the norms of their time and location. And what we propose is that we can make the greatest headway toward this objective right now by fostering the creative potential of children via a combination of positive reinforcement and active cultivation. The importance of using one's imagination in the classroom People have been capable of remembering more information thanks to the invention of writing, which led to the development of more complex memory techniques. Whatever information you enter these storage and retrieval



CURRENT SCIENCE

systems, whether they are based on wax tablets or parchment, books or computers, you will receive out of them precisely the same thing. One of the characteristics that sets them apart from many other kinds of systems is the presence of this attribute. The processes of storing knowledge and retrieving it are substantially dissimilar to those involved in the learning process of humans. Nonetheless, the way we think about ourselves is, sadly, influenced by our technology. Naturally, if you think about learning a fact like 100 degrees Celsius, which is the boiling point of water at sea level, and then repeating that fact, what you are doing is the reality is very similar to what happens in real life. This is because the reality is remarkably like what happens when you are learning the fact. It is written down someplace using symbols, and it may be accessed later. It just so happens that the storage device in this situation is your brain, and the mechanism of accessing the information is your memory. This is a happy coincidence. If we allow our technology to define how we think about our intellectual processes, then one result that I believe is prevalent and highly destructive to education is the notion that learning is a process like enlisting information in one's memory for later retrieval. Because of this style of thinking, many have come to believe that education is a process that is analogous to recording symbols to recollect them later. In fact, the human mind seems to be rather poor at keeping this sort of record and reliably maintaining it through time, which is the very first item that we will highlight here.

Argument

It is far more trustworthy to have anything written down or kept on a disk in a computer. Learning may be evaluated in this technologically analogous manner by determining the degree to which recordings are preserved and saved for eventual use in the subsequent examination. Exams of this kind are administered on a regular basis in schools, and the results are construed in a very direct manner as evidence of pupils' capacities for academic growth. Since this method has been around for such a long time and is so prevalent in educational institutions, the definition of learning that is most frequently accepted involves some type of mechanical storing and retrieval.

And what exactly is the problem with that?

First things first, let's have a look at a few different items. The most significant issue with this strategy is that it pays no attention at all to the characteristics that give human learning its



CURRENT SCIENCE

distinctive character. Humans tend to forget that the process by which the human mind "learns" is extremely different from the process by which a computer "learns," and that the way our memories are stored is quite different from the way computers "remember" things. As we acquire new knowledge, the human mind does not just save the information for later use. It is plausible that it can do this, and if it is, we can use them to remember things like phone numbers or grocery lists when we don't have a piece of paper to write them on. Even the most fundamental facts, like the fact that spiders have eight legs or that Vasco da Gama set sail from Lisbon in 1497 to travel across Africa and arrive in India the following year, are typically forgotten as soon as they are learned by most people. This is because most of the time, we simply do not store the information.

For instance, we discovered that Vasco da Gama embarked on a journey of Africa in the year 1497 and landed in India the year after that. As we gain knowledge of anything, that knowledge becomes entwined with the ever-changing web of feelings, memories, intentions, and other mental states that comprise our existence. The way in which we feel about insects in general and spiders will influence the way in which we see the facts that we know about spiders, as well as the way in which those facts will be colored emotionally. The adventures of Vasco da Gama are likely to elicit ideas of ships traveling along distant shorelines, as well as a spirit of exploration associated with those ships.

The intricate web of meaning structures that we already possess, which in turn is impacted by elements such as our emotions, ambitions, and so on, has a direct effect on whether we can recall specific knowledge and how successfully we are able to do so. The human memory is not a tidy, clean environment that can be organized into compartments or shelves, where knowledge may be kept until it is required. It is more analogous to a constant upheaval that is brought on by the feelings and objectives that are a part of us. Almost nothing is retrieved from human memory exactly as it was first learnt. This is since the human memory is not fixed. There is a never-ending process of mixing and combining, and connections are continually being established, destroyed, and re-created. Every new piece of information is surrounded by an infinite number of connections, and there is also a never-ending process of mixing and combining. Making use of one's creativity is also a significant part of this endeavor, so keep that in mind. It is becoming increasingly obvious that human learning entails not just reflecting on what is going on in the world outside of one's



CURRENT SCIENCE

brain, but also critically constructing or composing something new, and the connection between the two is becoming increasingly apparent (Bruner, 1986). Every person's thought process is unique, and they each add a distinct point of view and experience to the discussion of the world. For the whole of the process of education, it is expected of the student that he would combine all that is to be learnt into the network of different meaning structures that has already been built in his mind. As a result, it is essential to restructure, as well as to reconsider the composition and the meanings. According to Warnock (1976), one of the most essential purposes of the imagination is to provide meaning to what one sees or hears. This is one of the most important roles of the imagination. If we consider the power of imagination and then investigate learning in the context of our growing capacity for imagination, we can zero in on the aspects of education that place an emphasis on the construction of meaning. It is essential to give imagination the weight it deserves and to consider learning in the context of our always developing knowledge of imagination. It is not the facts themselves, our talents, or anything we learn that generate meaning; rather, it is the link that is made between what is learnt and our thinking. But our brains are more than simply repositories of information; rather, they are dynamic hubs of activity in which emotions, objectives, and memories are intertwined with freshly acquired information to give it meaning. Because of this, the random perspective of learning may seem to be so hopelessly complicated that the straightforward notion that is now widespread in school may seem preferable, despite the price of schooling. If we are unable to explain why a spider has eight legs without resorting to emotion, purpose, meaning structures (whatever they are), or imagination, then it is feasible for us to quit up and acknowledge that we have been unsuccessful. We don't think it's as big of a concern as other people make it out to be we don't need to connect all of these distinct sets of complicated mental notions simply to discuss learning.

On the other hand, all that is asked of us is to bear in mind that the method in which humans learn is in no way analogous to the way in which knowledge is stored, and this is not an exceedingly difficult point to keep in mind. Accepting the findings seriously, in my opinion, is the most difficult step. And this is the moment at which real contemplation on one's imagination starts to demolish some of the well-known and deeply embedded components of the contemporary educational



CURRENT SCIENCE

landscape. [C]ontemporary educational landscapes have been built on a foundation of rote memorization and rote learning.

When education is viewed as the process of accumulating knowledge and skills that are not related to emotions, intentions, human meanings, and imagination, the teaching methods, examinations, and curriculum that are utilized are likely to fall short of doing more than simply producing conventional thinkers.

Recollection and creative thought Memory and imagination have always had a close association in Western society, and this connection goes back an exceptionally long time. The foundations of this link may be found in the writings of Aristotle. This connection is not only an intriguing truth about the past; rather, it is one that has a great deal of bearing for education in the present day. A mentality has developed because of the language of progressivism, which was discussed earlier, that "rote learning," that is, learning in the traditional sense, is meaningless in terms of education and ought to be avoided. This mentality has led to the development of a mentality that "rote learning" is learning in the traditional sense. Underestimating the importance of memorizing and treating pupils as storage instruments for knowledge that is of little use to them is a waste of time, which is the key realization that occurs because of this realization being a naïve assumption most of the time. One of the clear implications of the consistent observation of the relationship between memory and imagination is that it is essential to memorize knowledge, facts, pieces of prose and poetry, formulas, and other such things to stimulate and develop one's imagination. This is one of the clear implications of the consistent observation of the relationship between memory and imagination. This is one of the many obvious repercussions that can be drawn from the connection between memory and imagination. The imagination is simply devoured by ignorance. And we are not aware of all the knowledge that we know how to get but are unable to obtain, as well as all the information that we have learnt to acquire but are unable to acquire. Only when we have access to the knowledge that is stored in our memories can our imaginations be use.

This idea may strike you as being completely counter to what was discussed in the section before this one. Although it may appear that I am arguing that students' imaginations are stifled if they are asked to acquire an excessive amount of knowledge and skills in a particular area, what we are



CURRENT SCIENCE

stating is that students' imaginations ought to be appropriately stimulated by appropriately memorization large numbers of items.

When we take into consideration the point that was expressed previously regarding the necessity of information and talents that need to be remembered, we find that the two concepts are compatible with one another and make sense when taken together. For one's information and abilities to have any real-world application, the learning process must include the use of one's imagination. The appropriate exploration of how educators might facilitate the development of such creative thinking abilities in pupils requires a great deal more room than is allotted to it in an article (see Egan, 1988, 1990).

Having said that, the point that must be addressed here is that the development of children's imaginations cannot progress without first absorbing and then remembering a vast number of knowledge spanning a broad range of themes. This is an essential step in the process. From the late 1980s, this concept has been regularly articulated in pedagogical writings that are characterized by their adherence to a so-called "neoconservative" worldview (e.g., Bloom, 1987; Hirsch, 1987; Ravitch & Finn, 1987). These neo-conservative writings place an emphasis on the significant idea that education and knowledge are closely related, and that to have an education implies, to put it simply, to have a significant amount of information. It is important to place emphasis on this aspect. On the other hand, as I have emphasized several times in the past, this connotation does not merely signify it. Since education is also deeply concerned with the meaning that a person develops for themselves as a person, imagination is of the utmost importance in this setting. Even if someone strictly adheres to the neoconservative pedagogical approach, they can still be one of the least interested persons throughout the whole of human history. What these publications are missing, however, is a clear understanding and attention to how information becomes meaningful in the lives of learners; how can we engage kids in creative learning, in the way that I have coined the word here? When I claim that those books are missing, what I mean is exactly that. Oral civilizations only pass on information that can be recalled from generation to generation. As a direct consequence of this, a considerable amount of social value was ascribed to methods that promoted memory. Several of the solutions that were developed or envisioned included the use of rhyme, rhythm, and meter.



CURRENT SCIENCE

In other words, it has been discovered that information that is structured in a rhythmic and rhyming sequence is simpler to recall than information that is not arranged in this way. This is because rhythm and rhyme help the brain to organize and store information. It was also discovered that information, which is often referred to as the individual's tribal wisdom, was far simpler to recall if it was embedded with live images. This was one of the findings that was uncovered. Encodings of this kind sometimes take the shape of vivid imagery in the myths and legends of many cultures from throughout the globe. So, it seems logical to assume that the desire to retain knowledge is what first drives and develops many of the talents that we now term imagination. This is because the information must be remembered. The modeling of sound, the production of live images, and the structuring of narrative were the most significant early developments in social innovation.

It was the technical linguistic tools and their effects on the mind that helped groups of people to be in harmony and remain relatively stable for generations unknown (Havelock, 1963, 1986; Lévi-Bruhl, 1985; Lévi-Strauss, 1966; Ong, 1982, Havelock, 1963, 1986); Lévi-Bruhl, 1985; Lévi-Strauss, 1966; Ong, 1982). ; Lévi-Strauss, 1966; Ong, 1982).

As I have argued in other contexts, these discoveries do not have any bearing on the oral civilizations of the distant past (Egan, 1988). Their effect on the human mind was the source of their social importance. While our age is not as reliant on these practices as were earlier generations, they continue to play vital roles in the development of our psychology. They can direct us through the process of imaginative learning and the creative process of memorizing, which is the job that we need to accomplish. They may be employed in the process of learning to support the job that the memory does in establishing meaning, order, and significance among the many contents of memory.

It casts doubt on the long-held idea that there is a logical concern that works with abstract concepts when the mind functions properly and correctly as it should. As a direct consequence of this, limited logical operations were the only ones for which a plausible explanation could be offered. It is becoming more widely acknowledged that these actions derive from and have their genesis in foundations that are metaphorical and narrative (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980). Someone whose sense of logic was confined to what could be proven in a fashion that was comparable to formal logical



CURRENT SCIENCE

style was the kind of person who could talk of a parent's "irrational" love for a kid. Once the "thought" can break free from its captivity and become reconnected with the imagination, the love that a parent has for their kid is shown to be entirely logical. Without this link, it loses all its meaning and becomes more analogous to a kind of computing rather than the depth and complexity of the human intellect at work on the stories of our lives. When it becomes increasingly apparent that the mind operates as a whole and that this integrity encompasses our bodies, the concept of the mind as a sophisticated calculator and the mind as computations of the mind becomes less and less tenable.

It is now abundantly evident that rationality is not a set of skills that can be learned, but rather that it is connected to all of these previously disregarded attics, basements, and hidden chambers of the mind. These are the kinds of places where analogies, feelings, and the imagination are allowed to run rampant. Therefore, it has been rediscovered that we make sense of the world and our experiences through narratives, that we can remember items in narrative structures better than items that are organized logically in lists, and that we encode the information in our memory more deeply with emotional rather than logical associations. These discoveries were made possible by the fact that narratives have been around for a long time.

Conclusion

The re-discovery of the narrative mind compels us to pay more attention to the imagination since the imagination is more readily apparent in the building of fairy tales and in recognizing the coherence of their narratives. This is since imaginative thought plays a larger role in how we evaluate the consistency of tales. Because of this, it has traditionally been seen to entail the development of more significant intellectual abilities, and this is something that learners of fairy tales need to undertake to become competent. The author Northrop Frye once remarked, and I quote him on this, "The art of listening to fairy stories is a fundamental training for the imagination" (1963, p. 49). The mind's capacity to make sense of the world and find meaning in it, together with its ability to follow fairy tales, may be stimulated and increased, respectively, if the mind is able to do so. Fairy stories. Hearing numerous diverse tales may help complicate our knowledge of and ability to employ metaphor since metaphor is the linking logic of storytelling,



and metaphor is an essential component in the causality that binds stories. A story's climax is the culmination of a series of events that build up to it, and these events comprise both rational and emotional aspects working together. The progression of events that can be followed and understood in fairy tales does not rely simply on logic, even if they must be reasonable in some way; rather, it needs an emotional beat to be effective. The moment in which the fairy godmother makes her entrance takes place immediately after Cinderella bids farewell to her sisters before leaving for the ball. If we were to follow a causal chain that was based just on logic, we could need to watch activities such as dishwashing, dusting, tossing coal, or any other action; nevertheless, emotional causality assures that the connection between the two images is both instantaneous and immediate. The process of being familiar with and acting out the plots of fairy tales has been shown to facilitate the development of a variety of cognitive skills.

Source

Çalık, M., & Ayas, A. (2005). "A cross-age study on the understanding of chemical solutions and their components." ("A Cross-Age Study on the Understanding of Chemical Solutions and ... - ed") *International Education Journal*, 6(1), 30–41.

Çalık, M., Ayas, A., & Ebenezer, JV (2005). A review of solution chemistry studies: Insights into students, conceptions. ("A Review of Solution Chemistry Studies: Insights into Students' Conceptions") *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 14(1), 29–50.10.1007/s10956-005-2732-3

Chong, SH (2016). Wither the concepts of mole and concentration: Conceptual confusion in applying $M1V1 = M2V2$. ("Chong, SH (2016). Wither the Concepts of Mole and Concentration ...") *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 4(5), 1158–1162.

<https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2016.040527>.

Costu, B. (2010). Algorithmic, conceptual and graphical chemistry problems: A revisited study. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 22(8), 6013–6025.

Dahsah, C., & Coll, RK (2007). Thai Grade 10 and 11 students' conceptual



- understanding and ability to solve stoichiometry problems. *Re Science and Technological Education*, 25(2), 227–241.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02635140701250808>.
- Dahsah, C., & Coll, RK (2008). Thai Grade 10 and 11 students' understanding of stoichiometry and related concepts. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 6(3), 573–600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-007-9072-0>.
- Danili, E., & Reid, N. (2005). Assessment formats: Do they have an effect? *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 6(4), 204–212. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b5rp90011e>.
- de Berg, K. (2012). A study of first-year chemistry students' understanding of solution concentration at the tertiary level. (“A study of first-year chemistry students' understanding of solution ...”) *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 13, 8–16. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c1rp90056k>.
- Fajardo, MTM, & Bacarrisas, PG (2017). First-year college students' knowledge in chemistry: Is it adequate? *American Journal of Educational Research*, 5(10), 1039–1043.
<https://doi.org/10.12691/education-5-10-5>.
- Galagovsky, L., & Bekerman, D. (2009). "La química y sus lenguajes: Un aporte para interpretar errores de los estudiantes ." (“La Química y sus lenguajes : un aporte para interpretar errores de los ...”) *Revista Electrónica de Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 8(3), 952–975.
- Galagovsky, L., Rodríguez, M., Stamati, N., & Morales, L. (2003). Representaciones Mentales, Lenguajes y Códigos en la Enseñanza de Ciencias Naturales. Un Ejemplo para el Aprendizaje del Concepto Reacción Química a partir del Concepto de Mezcla. (“Representaciones mentales, lenguajes y códigos en la enseñanza de ...”) *Enseñanza de las Ciencias*, 21(1), 107–121.
<https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/ensciencias.3945>.



- Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Nueva York: Basic Books.
- Izquierdo-Aymerich, M. (2005). Para qué se inventaron los problemas de química? *Educación Química*, 16(2), 246–259. [10.22201/fq.18708404e.2005.2.66117](https://doi.org/10.22201/fq.18708404e.2005.2.66117)
- Jadhav, VR, Nair, SG, Rayate, MM, & More, BA (2019). Mathematical treatment to understanding the concentration terms. *International Journal of Research & Review*, 6(1), 172–175.
- Jansoon, N., Coll, R., & Somsook, E. (2009). *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 4(2), 147–168.
- Johnstone, AH (1982). Macro- and microchemistry. *School Science Review*, 64, 377–379.
- Johnstone, AH (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? (“Sci-Hub | Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what ...”) Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7, 75–83.
- <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.1991.tb00230.x>.
- Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia, 2005
Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia, 2005. *Garis panduan Pembelajaran luar bilik darjah (PLBD)*. Pusat Perkembangan Kurikulum.
- Khalid Mohd Noor, 2005
Khalid Mohd Noor, 2005. *Belajar technical belajar*. Bentong: PTS Publication Sdn Bhd.
- Knapper and Croppley, 1991
Knapper, C. & Croppley, A. 1991. *Lifelong learning and higher education*. London; Kogan Page.
- Lemke, JL (1998). Teaching all the languages of science: Words, symbols, images and actions. (“(PDF) Teaching All the Languages of Science - Academia.edu”) <http://academic.brooklyn.cuny.edu/education/jlemke/papers/barcelon.htm>. [Accessed May 25, 2022].
- Mohd Majid Konting, 2007
Mohd Majid Konting & Zakaria Kasa. 2007. "Kemahiran insaniah dalam kurikulum pengajian tinggi from Penerapan hasil Pembelajaran."



- (“Penerapan hasil Pembelajaran from kemahiran insaniah dalam kurikulum ...”) Monograph pengajaran from Pembelajaran IPTA: pembangunan modal insane. CADE: UPM Serdang.
- Mohd Najib, 2008 Mohd Najib & NorShafrin. 2008. "Hubungannya dengan pencapaian academic from Kemahiran belajar: kajian di Daerah Kerian, Perak." ("KEMAHIRAN BELAJAR FROM HUBUNGANNYA DENGAN PENCAPAIAN ACADEMIC: KAJIAN DI ...”) Pendidikan from Journal Pendidik, Jilid 23, ms 45.
- Mohd Yunus and Noor., 1990 Mohd Yunus Noor. 1990. Technical belajar yang berkesan from psychologist belajar. Petaling Jaya: Fajar Bakti Sdn Bhd.
- Nappa, N., Insausti, MJ, & Sigüenza, AF (2005). Obstaculos para generar representaciones mentales adecuadas sobre la disolución. Revista Eureka sobre Enseñanza y Divulgación de las Ciencias, 2(3), 344–363. https://doi.org/10.25267/rev_eureka_ensen_divulg_cienc.2005.v2.i3.04.
- Noddings, 1992 N. Noddings The challenge to care in schools' teachers College Press, New York (1992)
- Nyachwaya, JM, Warfa, AR, Roehrig, GH, & Schneider, JL (2014). "College chemistry students' use of memorized algorithms in chemical reactions." ("College chemistry students' use of memorized algorithms in chemical ...”) Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 15(1), 81–93. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c3rp00114h>.
- Ozden, M. (2009). Prospective science teachers' conceptions of solution chemistry. Journal of Baltic Science Education, 8, 69–78.
- Pinarbasi, T., & Canpolat, N. (2003). Students' understanding of solution chemistry concepts. Journal of Chemical Education, 80(11), 1328–1332. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed080p1328>.
- Raviolo, A., & Farré, AS (2018). Razonando con molaridad. Educación en la Química, 24(2), 126–136.
- Raviolo, A., & Farré, AS (2020a). "Aprendizaje conceptual del theme



concentración de disoluciones:
Análisis de imágenes de libros de
texto universitario." (" Descripción :
Aprendizaje conceptual del theme
concentración de ...") Educación
Química, 31(3), 119–133.
<https://doi.org/10.22201/fq.18708404e.2020.3.75733>.

Raviolo, A., Farré, AS, & Traiman Schroh,
N. (2021). Students' understanding of
molar concentration. Chemistry
Education Research and Practice, 22,
486–497.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/d0rp00344a>.