## The KOBE experiment: filling the habitable zone desert in late K-dwarfs

A. Castro-González<sup>1</sup>, J. Lillo-Box<sup>1</sup>, and the KOBE team<sup>2-6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Centro de Astrobiología, <sup>2</sup>Universidade do Porto, <sup>3</sup>Universidad de Cádiz, <sup>4</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, <sup>5</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, <sup>6</sup>Observatorio de Calar Alto





In Fig. 1 we show 2 years of 3-day cadence simulated WiFSIP photometry and 9-day cadence CARMENES data for a typical target of our sample. We used the SOAP2 package (Dumusque et al. 2014) to simulate the photometric and spectroscopic effects of two spots covering 0.38% of the stellar surface, and two plages covering 1.68%. We then injected a simulated Keplerian signal of 4 m/s in an orbital period of 86.5 days. We analysed the simulated dataset by both using and not using the photometric time series. Our results show that in this simulation the planet is detected in both cases but the planet properties are a 30% more precise when using the photometric time series. For lower-mass planet simulations we find that only when using the WiFSIP photometry we can significantly detect the signal.









References. 1. Aigrain S., Pont F., Zucker S., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 3147. 2. McQuillan A., Mazeh T., & Aigrain S., 2014, ApJS, 211, 24. 3. Dumusque X., Boisse I., & Santos N. C. 2014, ApJ, 796, 132.

## Methodology

We fit the spectroscopic and photometric data through a Bayesian approach combined with a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling. The signals caused by stellar activity can be seen as correlated quasi-periodic noise. To deal with it, Gaussian Processes (GP) are an increasingly common tool. Thus, we model the RV through a Keplerian and a GP, and jointly we model the full width of half maximum (FWHM) of the cross correlation function (CCF) and the photometric time series through GPs with shared hyperparameters. In particular, we use a quasi-periodic kernel of the form

$$\eta_1^2 \exp\left[-\frac{(t_i - t_j)^2}{2\eta_2^2} - \frac{2\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi(t_i - t_j)}{\eta_3}\right)}{\eta_4^2}\right]$$

where  $\eta_1$  is the amplitude of the correlations,  $\eta_2$  is the timescale of the correlation decay,  $\eta_2$  defines the periodic component and  $\eta_{A}$  controls the relative importance of the periodic and decaying components.

**Observed data** 

We have a large program granted (2021B-2023A). Due to long-term technical problems, WiFSIP has been inoperative during practically the whole semester 2021B and great part of 2022A. In 2021B, we have an average of 6 profitable images per target, and in 2022A the amount of images increases up to 12. These numbers are much less than the expected for these dates (~45), so our current photometric data do not improve the results obtained from the CARMENES data alone. However, in some cases (e.g. the target of Fig. 2) we start to see a correlation between the spectra-derived activity indicators and WiFSIP extracted photometry. Currently, the technical problems seem to be solved, so semester 2022B will be of crucial importance in order to assess the success of the program.

contact email: acastro@cab.inta-csic.es