

SOIL AND LAND MANAGEMENT ONTOLOGY REFERENCE DOCUMENT

SOIL HEALTH ONTOLOGY AIMED TO FACILITATE STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IN THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE SOIL MISSION OBJECTIVES

Grant Agreement 101000258

Deliverable 4.3


Version: Final, 2nd revised version

February 4th, 2023

Author(s): Laura Nougues & Jos Brils (Eds.).

Contributors: Gundula Prokop, Antonio Bispo, Saskia Keesstra, Rocío Lansac, Linda Maring, David Wall, Mary Kate Doherty, Michael Loebmann, Peter Tramberend, Katharina Helming, Peter Kuikman

Conceptual model drawings: Joost Fluitsma



DISCLAIMER

The content of this deliverable reflects only the author's view. The European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

Grant agreement	101000258
Project title	Soil Mission Support
Project acronym	SMS
Project duration	01 / 11 / 2020 - 31 / 10 / 2022
Related work package	WP4 SMS Platform Co-Design
Related task(s)	Task 4.2 SMS Ontology
Lead organisation	Deltares
Author(s)	Laura Nougues & Jos Brils (Eds.)
Contributors	Gundula Prokop, Antonio Bispo, Saskia Keesstra, Rocío Lansac,
	Linda Maring, David Wall, Mary Kate Doherty, Michael Loeb-
	mann, Peter Tramberend, Katharina Helming, Peter Kuikman
Conceptual model drawings	Joost Fluitsma
Submission date	October 24 th , 2022 (final version)
	January 31st, 2023 (final, revised version)
	February 4 th , 2023 (final, 2 nd revised version)
Dissemination level	Public

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Date	Submitted by	Reviewed by	Version (Notes)
24 / 10 / 2022	Laura Nougues	Rocío Lansac and David Wall	Final version
31 / 01 / 2023	Laura Nougues	Jos Brils	Final, revised version
04 / 02 / 2023	Laura Nougues	Jos Brils	Final, 2 nd revised version



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 101000258. The content of publication is the sole responsibility of the authors. The European Commission or its services cannot be held responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

SMS

С	ΟΝΤ	TENT	
•	h h v a v		7
		viations	7
S	umm	ary	9
1	Intr	oduction	11
	1.1	About the Soil Mission Support (SMS) project	11
	1.2	Purpose and target group of this document	11
	1.3	Status and recommended use of this document	11
2	Ont	ology theory	13
	2.1	Ontology	13
	2.2	The need for a dedicated ontology for soil and land management	13
	2.3	How to create an own ontology for soil and land management	14
	2.4	Development of the ontology within the SMS project	15
3	The	soil and land management ontology	17
	3.1	Shared domain of discourse	17
	3.2	The 1 st level of hierarchy: soil and land and its use	17
		3.2.1 Objects	17
		3.2.2 Conceptual model	17
		3.2.3 Common language	18
	3.3	The 2 nd level of hierarchy: soil management	18
		3.3.1 Objects	18
		3.3.2 Conceptual model	19
	~ .	3.3.3 Common language	20
	3.4	The 3 rd level of hierarchy: achieving of the first six Soil Mission objectives	20
		3.4.1 Objects	20
		3.4.2 Conceptual model	20
		3.4.3 Common language for reducing desertification (objective 1)	21
		3.4.4 Common language for conserving soil organic carbon stocks (objective 2)3.4.5 Common language for stopping soil sealing and increasing re-use of urban soils (objective	27
			<i>,</i>
		3.4.6 Common language for reducing soil pollution and enhancing restoration (objective 4)3.4.7 Common language for preventing erosion (objective 5)	33 38
		3.4.7 Common language for preventing erosion (objective 5)3.4.8 Common language for improving soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity (objective 6)	
	3.5	Soil Mission objectives 7 and 8	41
	5.5	3.5.1 Introducing remarks	44
		3.5.2 Common language for reducing the EU global footprint on soils (objective 7)	44
		3.5.3 Common language for improving soil literacy in society (objective 8)	45
4	Rec	ommendations	47
5	Glos	ssary	49
6	Refe	erences	67
7	Ann	ex: Policy brief	73

ABBREVIATIONS

AI	Artificial Intelligence	
BFs	Brownfields	
CA	Conservation Agriculture	
САР	Common Agricultural Policy	
CCC	Carbon Carrying Capacity	
CCS	Current Carbon Stocks	
DPSIR	Drivers Pressures State Impact Response	
EC	European Commission	
EEA	European Environment Agency	
EQS	Environmental Quality Standard	
ETS	Emissions Trading System	
GHA	Global hectares	
GHG	Greenhouse gas	
IT	Information Technology	
LH	Lighthouses	
LL	Living labs	
MRV	Measurement, Reporting, Verification	
NT	No tillage	
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development	
РоМ	Programme of Measures	
PRB	Permeable Reactive Barrier	
R&I	Research and Innovation	
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal	
SMS	Soil Mission Support	
SOC	Soil Organic Carbon	
SPR	Sources-Pathways-Receptor	

SUMMARY

The Soil Mission Support (SMS) project supports the European Commission and the Mission Board of the Horizon Europe Mission in the area of Soil Health and Food in delivering its objectives and related targets. It is assumed that the Soil Mission and its related objectives and specific targets can only be achieved through healthy soils and for that, stakeholder engagement is needed. Healthy soils are defined as soils that are in good chemical, biological and physical condition and thus are able to continuously provide as many ecosystem services as possible (EC, 2021a). Stakeholders are defined as those who are affected in their interest or concern by changes in soil and land management (Brils et al., 2022).

With multi-stakeholder processes, language and use of language is very important. The capability to understand each other is critical. Communication difficulties originate to a large extent from the 'jargon' used in the different communities. A common language facilitates 'learning together' which helps to build trust, develop a common view on the issues at stake, resolve conflicts and arrive at joint solutions that are technically sound and that can be implemented in practice. Ontology defines a common vocabulary for those who, for example, need to converse about a common issue or share information in a specific domain.

In first instance the shared domain of discourse was defined and then at different levels of hierarchy:

- Primary objects of relevance for the domain of discourse were selected;
- The inter-relational links between these objects was conceptualized (conceptual model); and
- These objects were defined in a representational vocabulary (a common language).

The domain of discourse covers soil and land management aimed to achieve the first six (of the eight) Soil Mission objectives, which are: 1. reduce desertification, 2. conserve soil organic carbon stocks, 3. stop soil sealing and increase re-use of urban soils, 4. reduce soil pollution and enhance restoration, 5. prevent erosion, and 6. improve soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity.

The first level of hierarchy covers soil and land and its use. At this level the following objects have been selected, interrelated in a conceptual model (i.e. visual of soil and land-use) and defined in a common language: soil, land, landuse and land-use types (including: urban, industrial, agriculture, forest, nature and protected land).

The second level of hierarchy covers soil management. At his level the following objects have been selected, interrelated in a conceptual soil management model and defined in a common language: soil management (including: soil management strategy, measures, program of measures), soil ecosystems (including: ecosystem services, pressures, healthy soil ecosystems), users (stakeholders) and information.

Lastly, the third level of hierarchy covers the achievement of the first six Soil Mission objectives. At this level the most relevant objects related to each of these objectives are selected and interrelated to their position in the DPSIR (Drivers-Pressures-State-Impact-Response) framework which is at this 3rd level superimposed on the soil management model as used for level 2.

The remaining two Soil Mission objectives, i.e. 7. reduce the EU global footprint on soils and 8. improve soil literacy in society, do not directly relate to the actual management of soil and land. However, also for these mission objectives some important objects have been selected and defined in a common language.

Experts in the SMS project – jointly covering the fields of expertise related to all the 8 Soil Mission objectives – developed this ontology. This ontology should now be used in soil policy and management practice, such as Living Labs. In such settings, the ontology can be improved through interaction with stakeholders from different backgrounds, further increasing its value.

The key-recommendations are:

- use this ontology in soil policy and management practice (e.g. Living Labs)
- soil policy makers and managers should promote its use in such practice
- use the feedback from stakeholders to further improve the ontology

In support of the dissemination of this document a policy brief is prepared and attached as annex in this document. Both documents are made publicly available via de SMS website: <u>https://www.soilmissionsupport.eu/outputs</u>

SMS

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 About the Soil Mission Support (SMS) project

Soil health is vital for the delivery of food, energy, and biomaterials, as well as climate change adaptation and mitigation, biodiversity below and above ground and wide range of further ecosystem services. Pressure on land and soil is growing due to competing demands for land and bio-based products. A sustainable soil management that satisfies the increasing demand and avoids soil degradation requires coordinated research and innovation (R&I). The Soil Mission Support (SMS) project employs a multi-actor approach to create an effective framework for action in the wider area of soil health and land management by coordinating efforts and pooling resources, by developing a coherent portfolio of R&I activities and by identifying criteria for Living Labs and Lighthouses to demonstrate solutions. SMS brings together the main players in soil health and management in a transdisciplinary approach. Activities include the analysis of the needs for R&I on soil and land management as expressed through stakeholder/citizen consultation and research projects, the identification of gaps, priority areas and types of action for intervention including Living Labs and Lighthouses. The action fields range from agriculture and forestry to spatial planning, land remediation, climate action, and disaster control. SMS outcomes and results will include:

- A stakeholder-based, co-created roadmap for R&I on soil and land management;
- Improved coordination with existing activities in Europe and globally, thereby raising visibility and effectiveness of R&I funding. Identification of and learning from existing and potential Living Labs and Lighthouses for testing and demonstrating solutions in order to simultaneously satisfy competing demands of soil use.

1.2 Purpose and target group of this document

This document "Soil and Land Management Ontology Reference document" forms part of the output from SMS Work Package 4 "SMS Platform Co-Design". The key objective of this document is to be the ontology reference document for soil health and land management. Thus, it aims to support the engagement of stakeholders in such management.

1.3 Status and recommended use of this document

Experts in the SMS project – jointly covering the fields of expertise related to all the 8 Soil Mission objectives (see section 2.4) – developed this ontology. This ontology should be used in soil policy and management practice, such as Living Labs. In such settings, the ontology can be improved through interaction with stakeholders from different backgrounds, further increasing its value.

2 ONTOLOGY THEORY

2.1 Ontology

The term ontology is borrowed from philosophy, where ontology is defined as a systematic account of existence. For knowledge-based systems, what "exists", is exactly that which can be represented (Gruber, 1993).

Ontology is an explicit specification of a conceptualization, which can be defined as an abstract, simplified view of the world that we wish to represent for some purpose. Or in other words: a conceptualization is the objects, concepts, and other entities that are presumed to exist in some area of interest and the relationships that hold them (Genesereth & Nilsson, 1987). When the knowledge of a particular domain is represented in a declarative formalism, the set of objects that can be represented is called the universe of discourse. This set of objects, and the describable relationships among them, are reflected in the representational vocabulary with which a knowledge-based program represents knowledge. Thus, the ontology of a program can be described by defining a set of representational terms. In such an ontology, definitions associate the names of entities in the universe of discourse (e.g., classes, relations, functions, or other objects) with human-readable text describing what the names are meant to denote, and formal axioms that constrain the interpretationand well-formed use of these terms (Gruber, 1993).

Ontologies are commonly used in Information Technology (IT) and according to Gruber (1993) they support the sharing and reuse of formally represented knowledge among Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems. Ontologies have become common on the World-Wide-Web. In an IT setting this also includes machine-interpretable definitions of basic concepts in the domain and relations among them (Noy & MgGuinness, 2017).

The reasons for developing an ontology include (Noy & MgGuinness, 2017):

- To share common understanding of (the structure of) information among people;
- To enable reuse of domain knowledge;
- To make domain assumptions explicit;
- To analyse domain knowledge.

Ontology defines a common vocabulary for those who need to share information in a domain (Gruber, 1993).

Different types of ontology can be distinguished, each of which might be used for different purposes. An overview on ontology types is presented by Kaewboonma et al. (2012). Within the context of soil and land management the most appropriate ontology type is probably the 'domain ontology'.

A domain ontology provides vocabularies about concepts within a domain and their relationships, about the activities taking place in that domain, and about the theories and elementary principles governing the domain (Kaewboonma et al., 2012).

This type is probably most appropriate for soil and land management as domain ontologies can explain generic concepts and relations within the natural resource management domain (Kaewboonma et al., 2012). The ontology should be designed and developed in relation to the application and context. For example, a domain ontology is used to collect all knowledge about resource management, as well as represent all terms in noun form (such as basic knowledge of the soil and land systems, and land use) in order to facilitate future reuse of the ontology (Kaewboonma et al., 2012).

2.2 The need for a dedicated ontology for soil and land management

The SMS project supports the European Commission and the Mission Board of the Horizon Europe Mission in the area of Soil Health and Food in delivering its objectives and related targets. It is assumed that the Soil Mission and its related objectives and specific targets can only be achieved through healthy soils and for that, stakeholder engagement is needed (Brils et al., 2022). Healthy soils are defined as soils that are in good chemical, biological and physical condition and thus are able to continuously provide as many ecosystem services as possible (EC, 2021a). Stakeholders are defined as those who are affected in their interest or concern by changes in soil and land management (Brils et al., 2022).

With multi-stakeholder processes, language and use of language is very important. The capability to under-stand each other is critical. Communication difficulties originate to a large extent from the 'jargon' used in the different communities. A common language facilitates 'learning together' which helps to build trust, develop a common view on the issues at stake, resolve conflicts and arrive at joint solutions that are technically sound and that can be implemented in practice. Ontology defines a common vocabulary for those who, for example, need to converse about a common issue or share information in a specific domain.

2.3 How to create an own ontology for soil and land management

According to Brils et al. (2019) four activities need to be performed in order to achieve an appropriate domain ontology for soil and land management:

- 1. Define the shared domain of discourse;
 - And then at different levels of hierarchy:
- 2. Select the objects of relevance for this domain of discourse;
- 3. Conceptualize how these objects interrelate (conceptual model);
- 4. Define these objects in a representational vocabulary (common language).

Activities 3 (conceptual model) and 4 (common language) are further described below.

Conceptual model

The most straightforward definition of a model is that it constitutes a simplification of reality, created in order to assist in clarifying and understanding of some aspect of the real world (Rocher and Schnell, *sine anno*). The key to successful application of such a model is achieving an appropriate balance between simplifying a complex reality, making it both easier to understand and applicable to a wider range of circumstances, whilst preserving the most important relationships to yield results that are a realisable, representative indication of the functioning of the original system (Merrit et al., 2003, Chapman et al., 2008).

A conceptual model is a theoretical construct of the interrelationships between a range of known and quantifiable variables acting within a specified area of influence (Merrit et al., 2003). Almost all models of any description begin life as a conceptual model: some are developed and subsequently expanded into a quantitative model, while others remain as a concept to aid understanding and develop or test ideas. A conceptual model – and potentially a subsequent quantitative or semi- quantitative model – is an ideal format to assist in improving understanding of the inter-relationships between the biophysical and societal system (Manley et al., 2000).

In general, a conceptual model should be as simple and logic as possible in order to be useful in practice. Also non experts should be able to grasp it, when the model and its logic are explained to them (Brils and Maring, submitted).

Common language



Figure 2.1 - 'Jargon' used by different communities (figure left) complicates communication, while a common language (right) facilitates 'learning together' (Source figures: Brils et al., 2014). With interdisciplinary and multi-stakeholder processes, language and use of language is very important. The capability to understand each other is critical in bridging the gap between science disciplines as well as the gap between scientists and policy makers, natural resource managers, spatial planners and entrepreneurs (Slob et al., 2007; Slob and Duijn, 2014). Making the effort to speak the language of stakeholders is a prerequisite for successful engagement in natural resources management (Brils et al., 2015). Communication difficulties originate to a large extent from the jargon used in the different communities (Quevauviller et al., 2005; Hooimeijer and Maring, 2018). A common language facilitates learning together (Figure 2.1). Learning together helps to build trust, develop a common view on the issues at stake, resolve conflicts and arrive at joint solutions that are technically sound and implemented in practice (Ridder et al., 2005). It thus enables common understanding, collaboration and co-creation.

2.4 Development of the ontology within the SMS project

The four activities to develop the soil and land management ontology (see section 2.3) were performed in close collaboration between SMS partners covering different fields of expertise. The fields of expertise they cover relate to the Soil Mission objectives (Table 2.1). The partners indicated in Table 2.1 selected the objects (activity 2) and defined them in a representational vocabulary or common language (activity 4). All SMS partners whom engaged are listed as co-authors of this ontology reference document.

Table 2.1 – Fields of expertise covered by SMS partners engaged in the development of the soil and land management ontology.

SMS partner	Field of expertise	Soil Mission Objective
CSIC	Reducing desertification	1
TEAGASC	Conserving soil organic carbon stocks	2
UBA GMBH	Stopping soil sealing and increasing re-use of urban soils	3
Deltares	Reduce soil pollution and enhance restoration	4
WEnR	Preventing erosion	5
INRAE	Improving soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity	6
All above	Reducing the EU global footprint on soils	7
All above	Improving soil literacy in society	8

3 THE SOIL AND LAND MANAGEMENT ONTOLOGY

3.1 Shared domain of discourse

The domain of discourse covers soil and land management aimed to achieve the first six Soil Mission objectives, which are:

- 1. reduce desertification
- 2. conserve soil organic carbon stocks
- 3. stop soil sealing and increase re-use of urban soils
- 4. reduce soil pollution and enhance restoration
- 5. prevent erosion
- 6. improve soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity

3.2 The 1st level of hierarchy: soil and land and its use


3.2.1 Objects

The following objects have been selected as being of relevance for the Soil and Land Management domain of discourse at the 1st level of hierarchy:

- Soil
- Land
- Land-use
- Land-use types: Urban, Industry, Agriculture, Forestry, and Nature.

3.2.2 Conceptual model

A graphically conceptualization of soil and land and land-use types in an easily understandable way by all stakeholders, is presented in Figure 3.1a and 3.1b.



SOIL

irrst

Figure 3.1a – Conceptualization of soil and land and land-use (Drawings: Joost Fluitsma).




Figure 3.1b – Conceptualization of the land-use types. The length of the box is indicative for the percentage that that land-use covers Europe. All lengths added equals 100% (Drawings: Joost Fluitsma).

3.2.3 Common language

Table 3.1 - Objects at the 1 st hierarchy level of the soil and land management ontology.			
Object	Described in common language	Source	
Land	The ground, including the soil covering and any associ- ated surface waters, over which ownership rights are en- forced.	OECD glossary, 2008	
Soil	Upper layer of the earth in which plants grow.	EC, 2018a	
Land cover	Observed (bio)physical cover of the Earth's surface.	EC, 2018a	
Land-use	Arrangements, activities and inputs people undertake in a certain land cover type to maintain it or produce change.	EC, 2018a	
Urban	Cities, parks, urban ecosystem, household and wastewater treatment.	SMS D3.2: actor analysis	
Industry	Commercial and industrial sites (factories, industrial halls), mine, contaminated land, recultivated areas.	SMS D3.2: actor analysis	
Agriculture	Farmland, agricultural land use: arable land, grassland, rise, orchard, vineyard and others, semi-natural land.	SMS D3.2: actor analysis	
Forestry	Forest, afforestation, deforestation.	SMS D3.2: actor analysis	
Nature	Natural and cultural heritage (National parks, archaeolog- ical sites, cemeteries).	SMS D3.2: actor analysis	

Table 3.1 - Objects at the 1st hierarchy level of the soil and land management ontology.

3.3 The 2nd level of hierarchy: soil management

3.3.1 Objects

The following objects have been selected as being of relevance for the Soil and Land Management domain of discourse at the 2nd level of hierarchy:

- Soil Management:
 - o Soil Management Strategy
 - o Measures
 - o Program of Measures (PoM)
- Soil ecosystems:
 - o Ecosystem services
 - o Pressures
 - o Healthy soil ecosystems
- Users (stakeholders)
- Information

3.3.2 Conceptual model

A graphical conceptualization of the interrelation of the objects under the 2nd level of hierarchy is presented in Fig. 3.2. The Soil Mission targets the achievement of healthy soils, which are soils that have the continued capacity to support ecosystem services. The figure shows that soil ecosystems provide services to the benefit of users (stakeholders), but in turn, the unsustainable use of these services exerts pressures on, and thus impacts the soil health and its service provision capacity. Therefore, soils need to be managed to maintain and, where needed, restore its health. Through monitoring and observation of the soil ecosystem, information can be gathered about the state of the soil. Brils et al. (2022) assume that appropriate soil management can only be achieved through the engagement of all stakeholders in that management area. The users (stakeholders) should engage in the co-creation of a Soil Management Strategy, based on gathered soil state information. This Soil Management Strategy should include a Programme of Measures (PoM) tailored to protect and restore soil ecosystem health. Measures implemented in the soil ecosystem should be monitored and observed, restarting the soil management cycle.




Figure 3.2 – Conceptual model for soil management (Drawings: Joost Fluitsma).

Brils et al. (2022) also assume that the better we understand the functioning of soil ecosystems – and how they respond to human (miss) use and climate change – the better we will be able to manage them sustainably. Scientific observation of soil ecosystems provides information on that functioning. That scientific information is ideally used to design the PoM and then implementation of these measures should demonstrate their effectiveness. Targeted monitoring provides information to assess the effectiveness of the PoM and thus assess whether the (policy) objectives in the Soil Management Strategy are achieved. That monitoring information – ideally combined with latest information from scientific observations – is also utilized by the users (stakeholders) to improve and update the Soil Management Strategy and PoM. Thus, starting the next management cycle.

3.3.3 Common language

Table 2.2 Objects at the 2nd biorarch	y level of the Soil and Land Management ontology.
	y level of the son and Land Management Ontology.

Table 3.2 - Objects at the 2^{m} metarchy level of the solitand management ontology.			
Object	Described in common language	Source	
Healthy soils	Soils that are in good chemical, biological and phys- ical condition and thus are able to continuously provide as many ecosystem services as possible.	EC, 2021a	
Soil Management	The application of measures to achieve healthy soils.	This deliverable	
Soil Management Strategy	Sets out how users (stakeholders) will work to- gether to achieve healthy soils.	This deliverable	
Measure	Action aimed to achieve healthy soils.	This deliverable	
Program of Measures	Set of actions aimed to achieve healthy soils.	This deliverable	
Ecosystem	A dynamic complex of plant, animal, and micro-or- ganism communities and their non-living environ- ment interacting as a functional unit.	United Nations, 1992	
Ecosystem services	Services provided and the benefits people derive from these services, both at the ecosystem and at the landscape scale, including public goods related to the wider ecosystem functioning and society well-being.	Haines-Young & Potschin, 2018 (also in D3.3)	
Pressures	Release of substances (emissions), physical and bi- ological agents, the use of resources and the use of land which impacts soil health.	EEA glossary, 2022. Modi- fied version	
Users (stakeholders)	Those who are affected in their interest or concern by changes in soil and land management.	SMS D3.3: Actor Engage- ment guide	
Information	Processed, organized and structured data. It pro- vides context for data and enables decision making process.	Diffen, ND	

3.4 The 3rd level of hierarchy: achieving of the first six Soil Mission objectives


3.4.1 Objects


This 3rd level provides the highest level of detail regarding the soil and land management ontology. The objects of relevance at the 3rd level of hierarchy relate to the achieving of the first six Soil Mission objectives: 1. reduce desertification, 2. conserve soil organic carbon stocks, 3. stop soil sealing and increase re-use of urban soils, 4. reduce soil pollution and enhance restoration, 5. prevent erosion, and 6. improve soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity. There are simply too many objects to mention them all here, but they are all listed in sections 5.4.3 to 5.4.8, where they are grouped per specific Soil Mission objective.

3.4.2 Conceptual model

A logic conceptual model to be used for achieving of the Soil Mission objectives is the well-known Drivers-Pressures-State-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework. In Fig. 3.3 this framework is superimposed on the ontology level 2 framework, i.e. the conceptual model for soil management (see Fig. 3.2).

The DPSIR framework was described by Brils (2008) in the following way (slightly adapted to better fit to this document): "The DPSIR framework was developed by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and extensively used by the European Environment Agency (EEA) to provide an insight into environmental processes and the links between human activities and their impact on the environment. Economic activities (driving forces, No. 1 in Figure 3.3) such as industry, agriculture, tourism etc., lead to increasing pressures (No. 2) on the natural environment as these activities result in land-use change, population growth, over-use of natural resources and emissions (accidental or controlled) of waste to (ground) water, soil and sediment. The over-use of resources and the emissions will change the state (No. 3) of these environments in quantity and quality: soil, sediment and water, and resources are depleted (erosion) and are loaded (contaminated) with hazardous substances originating from the economic activities. Above a certain level of depletion and contamination the environment will be impacted (No. 4), i.e., loss of biodiversity, vulnerability to floods and landslides, decreased chemical and ecological water, soil and sediment quality and health and a shortage of these resources. Several response (No. 5) measures prevent this from happening or mitigate impacts to a level deemed acceptable or tolerable by society. For example, by optimization of industrial manufacturing processes less resources will be used, and less waste may be produced. Through stricter permits for emission of wastewater the pollution of surface water may be reduced. The setting of environmental quality standards (EQS) may help prevent the environment being overloaded with specific hazardous substances. And through mitigation and remediation measures the state may be improved and the impacted environment may be restored."





In Figure 3.3, each step in the DPSIR Framework is given a number so that the objects defined in the following sections can be pinpointed to a specific location in the conceptual soil management model. Apart from the five DPSIR steps, extra positions have been numbered, namely three positions within the program of measures step (2A, 3A and 4A) and three positions within the informing step (2B, 3B and 4B). These locations are used when objects in either the program of measures step or the informing step are directly related to either pressures (2A or 2B), state (3A or 3B) or impact (4A or 4B).

3.4.3 Common language for reducing desertification (objective 1)

Table 3.3 - Objects at the 3rd hierarchy level of the Soil and Land Management ontology related to the reducing of desertification (For DPSIR position see Fig. 3.3).

DPSIR	Object	Described in common language	Source
position			
1	Land suitabil- ity	Land suitability is the fitness of a given type of land for a defined use. The land may be consid- ered in its present condition or after improve- ments.	FAO, 1976

1	Intensive farm- ing	A system of raising crops and animals, usually on small parcels of land, where a comparatively large amount of production inputs or labour are used per acre.	FAO AGROVOC, 2022c
1 & 2	Deforestation	The removal of forest and undergrowth to in- crease the surface of arable land or to use the tim- ber for construction or industrial purposes. Forest and its undergrowth possess a very high water-re- taining capacity, inhibiting runoff of rainwater.	EEA glossary, 2022
1&2	Wind erosion	Wind erosion is a natural process that moves soil from one location to another by wind power. It can cause significant economic and environmental damage.	NSW, 2020
1 & 2	Forest fire	Uncontrolled fire occurring in vegetation more than 1.8 metres in height. It spreads rapidly through the topmost branches of the trees before involving undergrowth or the forest floor.	Kane, 2022
1&2	Groundwater overexploita- tion	Groundwater is surface water which has filtered through permeable soils and rocks until stopped by impermeable layers below, being cleaned in the process. It accumulates as aquifers, which may be thousands and millions or years old and slowly seep to the surface as springs or flow underground and feed rivers and lakes. The depletion of groundwater in excess of its recharge rate leads to overexploitation.	UIA, 2020
1&2	Overgrazing	Intensive grazing by livestock that exceeds the en- vironmental carrying capacity of a given piece of land. It can lead to impoverishment of the sward, dominance of certain unpalatable species, soil ero- sion, soil compaction and even a (complete) loss of vegetation.	Adapted from EEA glossary, 2022
1&2	Overtourism	Overtourism indicates the overcrowding of tour- ists at a holiday destination. When it comes to nat- ural tourist destinations, tourism must respect flora, fauna, and microclimate. When the destina- tion is a city, tourism must primarily respect resi- dents, as well as local culture and archaeological sites	Framba, 2020
1&2	Population pressure	The sum of the factors (as increase in numbers or excessive food consumption) within a population that reduce the ability of an environment to sup- port the population and that therefore tend to re- sult in migration and expansion of range or in ex- tinction or decline of the population.	Merriam Webster, 2022
2	Drought	A period of abnormally dry weather sufficiently prolonged so that the lack of water causes a seri- ous hydrologic imbalance (such as crop damage, water supply shortage) in the affected area.	EEA glossary, 2022

2 Climatic change	The long-term fluctuations in temperature, precip- itation, wind, and all other aspects of the Earth's climate. External processes, such as solar-irradi- ance variations, variations of the Earth's orbital parameters (eccentricity, precession, and inclina- tion), lithosphere motions, and volcanic activity, are factors in climatic variation. Internal variations of the climate system, e.g., changes in the abun- dance of greenhouse gases (GHG), also may pro- duce fluctuations of sufficient magnitude and vari- ability to explain observed climate change through the feedback processes interrelating the compo- nents of the climate system.
2 Desertific	ion Degraded land in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-hu- UNDDD, 2010 mid areas resulting from various factors, including climatic fluctuations and human activities.
3 Soil orgar carbon co tent	
3 Soil mois deficit	re This indicator shows the annual deviation in soil moisture content of each 500-m grid cell from the long-term (1995-2019) average. Negative soil moisture anomalies indicate that the annual aver- age availability of soil moisture to plants drops to such a level that it has the potential to affect ter- restrial vegetation and, hence, cause persistent changes in ecosystem condition. Negative long- term averages and negative trends in the annual data indicate increasing pressures on vegetation and ecosystems, and thus represent a climatic driver that should be considered in EU nature res- toration plans. Therefore, the indicator can inform policy action on ecosystem restoration in the EU but also on adaptation to climate change.
3 Degraded	and The result of human-induced actions which exploit UNDDD, 2010 land, causing its utility, biodiversity, soil fertility, and overall health to decline.
3 Arid land	Lands characterized by low annual rainfall of less EEA glossary, 2022 than 250 mm, by evaporation exceeding precipita- tion and a sparse vegetation.

3	Semi-arid land ecosystem	The interacting system of a biological community and its non-living environmental surroundings in regions that have between 10 to 20 inches of rain- fall and are capable of sustaining some grasses and shrubs but not woodland.	EEA glossary, 2022
3	Erosion vulner- ability	The erosion vulnerability index is calculated by combining soil loss potential, the stream power in- dex and internally drained areas. Areas with high soil loss and a high stream power index will have high erosion vulnerability. Areas that are internally draining are excluded from the vulnerability as- sessment.	University of Wiscon- sin-Stevens Point, 2016
3	Soil water availability	Soil water availability is the capacity of a soil to hold water that is available for plant use.	Kolb, 2019
3	Soil saliniza- tion	Salt-affected soils consist of saline and sodic soils, occur in all continents and under almost all cli- matic conditions, but their distribution is relatively more extensive in the arid and semi-arid regions compared to the humid regions. Soil salinization is a major process of land degradation that de- creases soil fertility and is a significant component of desertification processes in the world's dry- lands.	FAO, 2022a
3	Vulnerable area	Area that is subject to threatening processes and is likely to become endangered unless the threat- ening factors cease to operate.	EEA glossary, 2022
4	Loss of soil-bio- diversity	The reduction of forms of life living in soils (both in terms of quantity and variety) and of related func- tions, causing a deterioration of one or more soil functions or ecosystem services.	Bispo et al., 2009
4	Field damage	A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salin- ity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic fac- tors, or progressive urbanization.	EEA glossary, 2022
4	Flooding of low land	Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a re- sult of an excessive water table. This may incur ad- dition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
4	Surface runoff	The precipitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil before reaching surface streams is called groundwa- ter runoff or seepage flow from groundwater.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
4	Dam sedimen- tation	Deposition of material of varying size, both min- eral and organic, away from its site of origin by the action of water, wind, gravity or ice. This sediment builds and steadily decreases the storage capacity	EEA glossary, 2022

		of the reservoir. Ultimately all dams fill with sedi- ment or are destroyed by natural floods.	
5	Land degrada-	A state whereby the amount and quality of land	UNCCD, 2015
	tion neutrality	resources necessary to support ecosystem func-	
		tions and services and enhance food security re-	
		main stable or increase within specified temporal and spatial scales and ecosystems.	
5	Terracing	Terracing is an agricultural practice that suggests	EOS, 2021
U	rondonig	rearranging farmlands or turning hills into farm-	200,2021
		lands by constructing specific ridged platforms.	
		These platforms are called terraces which stop	
		erosion and contribute to soil and water conserva-	
5	Soil restora-	tion.	CTCN, 2022
5	tion	Soil restoration refers to actions to regenerate natural soil cycles through revegetation with shrub	CTCN, ZUZZ
		and creeper species, reforestation with native ar-	
		boreal species and containment work with stakes.	
		The aim is to stabilize the soil and increase the	
		supply of organic matter, which promotes restora-	
5	Climate change	tion. Refers to efforts to reduce or prevent emission of	Soilcaro glossany 2022
5	mitigation	GHGs. Mitigation can mean using new technolo-	Soilcare glossary, 2022
	initigation	gies and renewable energies, making older equip-	
		ment more energy efficient, or changing manage-	
		ment practices or consumer behavior.	
5	Sustainable ag-	Use for the practice of agriculture which supports	FAO AGROVOC, 2022c
	riculture	sustained economic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the environment, efficient use of	
		natural resources, and the overall quality and	
		availability of food and fibre for mankind.	
5	Wetland pro-	Areas that are inundated by surface or ground wa-	EEA glossary, 2022
	tection	ter with frequency sufficient to support a preva-	
		lence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires	
		saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth or reproduction.	
5	Forest protec-	Branch of forestry concerned with the prevention	EEA glossary, 2022
	tion	and control of damage to forests arising from the	5 5.
		action of people or livestock, of pests and abiotic	
	Demonsteller	agents.	
5	Demesnial wa- ter	A body of water that is owned and maintained by a national governmental body or agency.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Agricultural	A course of action adopted by government or	EEA glossary, 2022
	policy	some other organization that determines how to	J J,
		deal with matters involving the cultivation of land;	
		raising crops; feeding, breeding and raising live-	
5	Environmental	stock or poultry; and other farming issues.	EEA alossany 2022
5	protection	Measures and controls to prevent damage and degradation of the environment, including the sus-	EEA glossary, 2022
	protoction	tainability of its living resources.	
5	Water desalini-	Any mechanical procedure or process where	EEA glossary, 2022
	zation	some, or all, of the salt is removed from water.	

5	Water policy	Collection of legislation, legal interpretations, gov- ernmental decisions, agency rules and regulations, and cultural responses which guide a country's ac- tions concerning the quantity and quality of wa- ter.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Touristic activ- ity manage- ment	The administration, promotion, organization and planning for the business or industry of providing information, transportation, entertainment, ac- commodations and other services to travelers or visitors.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Water govern- ance	The range of political, organizational and adminis- trative processes through which communities ar- ticulate their interests, their input is absorbed, de- cisions are made and implemented, and decision makers are held accountable in the development and management of water resources and delivery of water services at different levels of society.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Groundwater dam	Structures that intercept or obstruct the natural flow of groundwater and provide storage for water underground.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Hydrologic bal- ance	An accounting of the inflow to, outflow from, and storage in a hydrologic unit such as a drainage ba- sin, aquifer, soil zone, lake or reservoir; the rela- tionship between evaporation, precipitation, run- off, and the change in water storage.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Water transfer	Artificial conveyance of water from one area to another one.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Desertification control	Any remedial and preventive actions adopted against desertification including improved irriga- tion management, planting of trees and grasses, the erection of fences to secure sand dunes, and a careful management of water resources.	EEA glossary, 2022
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Living labs (LL)	Spaces for co-innovation through participatory, transdisciplinary and systemic research	D2.2 LL & LH
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Lighthouses (LH)	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and communication. They are best practice examples (technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings) that have already been developed and applied in practice.	D2.2 LL & LH
2a,3a & 4a	Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new knowledge and/or data.	Buytaert et al., 2014 (also in D3.3)
2a,3a & 4a	Co-creating / co-production / joint-fact finding	Process in which stakeholders with differing view- points and interests work together to develop data and information, analyse facts and forecasts, develop common assumptions and informed opin- ion, finally, use the information they have devel- oped to reach decisions together.	Ehrmann & Stinson, 1999 (also in D3.3)

3.4.4 Common language for conserving soil organic carbon stocks (objective 2)

Table 3.4 - Objects at the 3rd hierarchy level of the Soil and Land Management ontology related to the conserving of soil organic carbon stocks (For DPSIR position see Fig. 3.3).

DPSIR position	Object	Described in common language	Source
1	Monoculture	The growing of a single arable crop species on a field year after year, for at least 10 years.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
1	Carbon farm- ing	Carbon farming refers to anthropogenic interfer- ence with carbon pools, flows and GHG fluxes at farm-level with the purpose of minimising climate change. Farmers and foresters manage vast car- bon stocks and significant GHG fluxes. There is a provision of incentives to adopt practices/manage- ment that promotes carbon conservation and car- bon sequestration.	COWI, Ecologic Insti- tute & IEEP, 2020
1	EU Emissions trading system (ETS)	The EU ETS is a "cap and trade" scheme where a limit (the cap) is placed on the right to emit speci- fied pollutants over a geographic area and compa- nies can trade emission rights within that area.	EPA, 2022
2	Soil poaching	Soil that has been broken down under the weight of animals. It causes direct physical damage to the crop and the soil, leading to bare patches, there is also an increased risk of erosion, leaching and in- vasive weeds.	Soil Association, 2022
2	Soil leaching	Removal of soluble materials from one zone in soil to another via water downward movement in the profile.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
2, 2a & 3a	Pedoclimatic zones	Zones that are relatively homogeneous concerning climate and soil.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
2a & 2b	Fire risk zone	Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations.	Enoh, Okeke & Nar- inau, 2021
2a & 3	Inorganic car- bon	Soil inorganic carbon mainly refers to the parent rock soil carbonate formed in the weathering pro- cess of silicate carbon, which has very high accu- mulation rate, and easily affected by atmosphere, water, rocks, etc, is the main form of soil carbon pool in arid and semi-arid region.	Bai et al., 2017
2a, 3a & 4a	Soil carbon flux	The movement of any material from one place to another is called a flux. We typically think of a car- bon flux as a transfer of carbon from one pool to another.	University of New Hampshire, 2008
2a, 3a & 4a	Remote sens- ing	The measurement or acquisition of information of some property of an object or phenomena, by a recording device that is not in physical or intimate contact with the object or phenomenon under study, e.g., the utilization at a distance.	Jafarbiglu & Pourreza, 2022
3	Carbon Sink	Forests and other ecosystems that absorb carbon, thereby removing it from the atmosphere and off- setting CO ₂ emissions.	EEA glossary, 2022

3	Permanent pasture	Land used permanently (five years or more) for herbaceous forage crops, either cultivated or growing wild (wild prairie or grazing land).	EEA glossary, 2022
3	Recalcitrance	Resistance to decomposition – humus is highly re- calcitrant and therefore remains in soil for a long time.	Ontl et al., 2021
3a	Soil profile	A column of soil extending through all its horizons and into the parent material and large enough to be used to characterise the soil condition at a par- ticular place.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3a	Carbon cycle	Sequence of transformations whereby carbon di- oxide is converted to organic forms by photosyn- thesis or chemosynthesis, recycled through the bi- osphere (with partial incorporation into sedi- ments), and ultimately returned to its original state through respiration or combustion.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3a	Edaphon	The community of soil organisms (microbes, fungi, nematodes, worms, insects, protozoa, etc.).	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3 & 3a	Bulk density	Ratio of the mass of a quantity of material (or one phase) and the total volume occupied by this material (including other phases).	ISO 11074, 2015
		Monitoring/measuring bulk density can inform on the state of soil compaction.	This deliverable
3 & 3a	Soil organic matter	The organic fraction of the soil exclusive of unde- cayed plant and animal residues.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3 & 3a	Humus	The well decomposed, amorphous, stable fraction of the organic matter in mineral soils with a low specific weight and high surface area; usually com- posed of many organic compounds of high molec- ular weight and dark colour. A term often used synonymously with soil organic matter. Humus is important for soil fertility and helps to bind soil particles and aggregates together.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3b	Species diver- sity	The number and variety of species found in a given area in a region.	EEA glossary, 2022
3a & 4a	Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) sequestration potential	The potential of soils to absorb carbon. This can be increased through conservational agricultural practices.	Zomer et al., 2017
3a & 4a	Carbon carry- ing capacity (CCC)	The mass of carbon stored in an ecosystem in a state of dynamic equilibrium under prevailing en- vironmental conditions and natural disturbance regimes but excluding anthropogenic disturbance. CCC provides a baseline against which current car- bon stocks (CCS) can be compared, with the differ- ence between CCC and CCS giving the carbon se- questration potential.	Keith et al., 2010
3a & 4a	Respiration rate	Soil respiration consists of heterotrophic respira- tion, mainly through the mineralization of soil or- ganic C and decomposition of litters of leaves, branches and roots by soil microorganisms, and	Huang et al., 2021

		autotrophic respiration, generally via plant root and microbial respiration in the rhizosphere. Soil	
		respiration has been generally considered to be the second greatest C exchange between the at- mosphere and terrestrial ecosystem.	
3b & 4	Climate regula-	The capacity of a soil to reduce the negative im-	Soilcare glossary, 2022
	tion	pact of increased GHG (i.e., CO ₂ , CH ₄ , and N ₂ O) emissions on climate, among which is its capacity to store carbon.	
3b & 4b	Paludiculture	The productive land use of wet and rewetted peat- lands that preserves the peat soil and thereby minimizes CO ₂ emissions and subsidence.	EU Peatlands & CAP Network, 2021
3b & 4b	Nitrogen fixa- tion	Conversion of molecular nitrogen (N ₂) to ammonia and subsequently to organic nitrogen utilizable in biological processes.	SSSA, 2022
3 & 5	Green Ma- nures	Non-harvested crop grown in between two main crop seasons, intended to improve the soil fertil- ity, generally not growing under N limitation due to the use of fertilizers and manures, or the ability to fix atmospheric N, OR Young and succulent plant material turned into the soil to improve its organic matter and nutrient content.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3 & 5	Cover crops	Cover crops, catch crops or green manure crops are normally grown between successive produc- tion crops to provide ground cover, to capture soil nutrients and to improve soil characteristics or benefit the following crop. Using deep rooting crops provides crop induced wetting and drying cycles that crack the soil and breaks up impermeable layers of soil by root pene- tration.	Teagasc, 2022
3&5	Crop residue	Biomass remaining on the soil's surface after har- vest. In some systems, linear increases in soil or- ganic carbon stocks can be observed with increas- ing rates of residue addition.	Page, Dang & Dalal, 2020
3, 3b & 5	Multi-species sward	A combination of diverse forage species with spe- cific characteristics. An advantage of multi-species swards is the maintenance of a steady plant growth rate at reduced fertiliser application com- pared to grass only swards.	Teagasc, 2020
3b & 5	Agroforestry	Land-use system in which woody perennials are maintained or planted, in some form of spatial ar- rangement or temporal sequence, on the same land as agricultural crops and/or livestock.	EEA glossary, 2022
4a & 4b	Measurement, reporting, veri- fication (MRV) System	The practice of "MRV," which involves three pro- cesses of measurement or monitoring (M), report- ing (R), and verification (V) to obtain a clear under- standing of GHG emissions.	United Nations Cli- mate Change Secretar- iat, 2014
4b	Carbon Se- questration	The uptake and storage of carbon. Trees and plants, for example, absorb carbon dioxide, re- lease the oxygen and store the carbon. Soils	EEA glossary, 2022

		benefit from an increased rate of carbon seques- tration or net carbon storage.	
5	Agri-environ- mental Scheme	Agri-environment schemes are Government pro- grammes set up to help farmers manage their land in an environmentally-friendly way. Schemes which incentivise farmers to adopt or carry out fa- vourable practices / management of their land and soil resources to reach a target objective.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Organic ferti- liser	Organic fertilisers are materials of animal origin used to maintain or improve plant nutrition and the physical and chemical properties and biologi- cal activity of soils, either separately or together, they may include manure, digestive tract content, compost and digestion residues.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	No tillage (NT)	An agronomic practice in conservation agriculture (CA) for annual crops, and is defined as a way to farm without disturbing the soil through tillage. NT must leave at least 30% of area covered by plant residues right after crop establishment, and crops are sown using machinery which is able to place seeds through plant residues from previous crops. Also, in arid climates it enhances water retention in soils through decreasing evaporation losses from the soil surface which is usually enhanced by tillage involving soil invert.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Afforestation	The establishment of a forest, stand or tree crop on an area not previously forested, or on land from which forest cover has very long been ab- sent.	EEA glossary, 2022
5	Urban organic waste	These wastes include sewage, wastewater and vegetable waste.	Schroder et al., 2021
5	Direct drill seeding	Planting crops in a non-inverted soil without seed- bed preparation.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Strip tillage	The process in which only a narrow strip of land needed for the crop row is tilled.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Biochar	Biochar is a charcoal-like substance that's made by burning organic material from agricultural and for- estry wastes in a controlled process called pyroly- sis. The energy or heat created during pyrolysis can be captured and used as a form of clean en- ergy. Biochar is also found to be beneficial for composting, since it reduces GHG emissions and prevents the loss of nutrients in the compost ma- terial.	Spears, 2018
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Living labs (LL)	Spaces for co-innovation through participatory, transdisciplinary and systemic research.	D2.2 LL & LH

2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Lighthouses (LH)	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and communication. They are best practice examples (technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings) that have already been developed and applied in practice.	D2.2 LL & LH
2a,3a & 4a	Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new knowledge and/or data.	Buytaert et al., 2014 (also in D3.3)
2a,3a & 4a	Co-creating / co-production / joint-fact finding	Process in which stakeholders with differing view- points and interests work together to develop data and information, analyse facts and forecasts, develop common assumptions and informed opin- ion, finally, use the information they have devel- oped to reach decisions together.	Ehrmann & Stinson, 1999 (also in D3.3)

3.4.5 Common language for stopping soil sealing and increasing reuse of urban soils (objective 3)

Table 3.5 - Objects at the 3rd hierarchy level of the Soil and Land Management ontology related to the stopping of soil sealing and increasing of the re-use of urban soils (For DPSIR position see Fig. 3.3).

DPSIR position	Object	Described in common language	Source
2	Land take	The area of land that is "taken" by infrastructure itself and other facilities that necessarily go along with the infrastructure, such as filling stations on roads and railway stations.	EEA glossary, 2022
2	Artificial sur- face	The continuous and discontinuous urban fabric (housing areas), industrial, commercial and transport units, road and rail networks, dump sites and extraction sites, but also green urban areas.	Prokop et al., 2012
2a	Measuring land take	Measuring the change in the area of agricultural, forest and other semi-natural land taken for urban and other artificial land development.	EEA glossary, 2022
2b	Circular land use	Circular land use is a process in which neglected land in urban areas is put to better uses. CircUse as a concept aims to be integrated with existing structures and uses, and is put into practice on a broad scale. The concept also looks to reduce the consumption of un-built land through prioritizing inner development over outer development.	HOMBRE, D2.3
2b	Land recycling	The reuse of abandoned, vacant, or underused properties for redevelopment.	Centre of Creative Land Recycling, 2021
2b	Brownfield re- generation or revitalization	Regeneration or revitalization involves the process of turning around deprived communities and lands in decaying neighbourhoods. It consists of two specific processes: one is the decontamination or remediation of a specific site and the other is the social, economic or cultural redevelopment of the site in view of future uses.	TIMBRE Glossary, 2022
2b	Brownfield re- development	Recycling of brownfields instead of developing greenfield land outside the built environment re- duces land take and further soil sealing. Some but not the majority of brownfield sites are	Prokop et al., 2012

		contaminated to differing extents and these re- quire risk assessment.	
2b	Interim / tem- porary land use	Interim, non-permanent use is a step-by-step re- generation approach to smoothen the transition from traditional to future use in a given area. It can buy more time to plan and realise new long- term use, meanwhile not "wasting" the land re- source altogether. Interim uses specifically consid- ered are those that in themselves may contribute to a more sustainable society and societal ambi- tions, such as production of biomass for sustaina- ble energy, city farms and allotments, or the crea- tion of outdoor amenities and open space for hu- man well-being and health.	HOMBRE, D2.2
2b	Soft land use / re-use	Innovative strategies, techniques and appraisal methods to improve the value of brownfield re- generation into "soft re-use" (i.e. non-sealed land uses) on an interim or long-term basis.	HOMBRE outcomes
3	Sealed soils	Sealed soils can be defined as the destruction or covering of soils by buildings, constructions and layers of completely or partly impermeable artifi- cial material (asphalt, concrete, etc.). It is the most intense form of land take and is essentially an irre- versible process. Sealed land is a subset of the above mentioned category; i.e. land consumed by development of settlements, infrastructure, and commercial and industrial areas. An indicator of the intensity of land take is the proportion of the total built-up land area which is sealed.	Prokop et al., 2012
3	Brownfields	Sites that have been affected by the former use of the site and surrounding land, are derelict or un- derused, may have real or perceived contamina- tion problems, are mainly in developed urban ar- eas and require intervention to bring them back to beneficial use.	Ferber et al., 2006
3	Greenfields	A site, usually suburban or rural, that has never been used for development.	Centre of Creative Land Recycling, 2021
4a	Measuring soil functions	Measuring the performance of the ecological pro- cesses (provided by soils) that result in the supply of ecosystem services (different indicators).	Van der Meulen & Maring, 2018
4b	Permeable sur- faces	Permeable surfaces reduce soil sealing and in- crease the water drainage capacity of surfaces. However, permeable surfaces cannot be consid- ered as a soil protection measure, since all tech- niques require removal of the upper soil layer of at least 30 cm. In some cases, the original soil can be replaced to some extent, as in the case of gravel turf.	Prokop et al., 2012
5	Net land take	Changes of non-artificial areas into artificial areas, which are not compensated by the restoration of	SURFACE, 2019

SMS

		the same amount of artificial areas into non-artificial areas.	
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Living labs (LL)	Spaces for co-innovation through participatory, transdisciplinary and systemic research.	D2.2 LL & LH
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Lighthouses (LH)	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and communication. They are best practice examples (technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings) that have already been developed and applied in practice.	D2.2 LL & LH
2a,3a & 4a	Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new knowledge and/or data.	Buytaert et al., 2014 (also in D3.3)
2a,3a & 4a	Co-creating / co-production / joint-fact finding	Process in which stakeholders with differing view- points and interests work together to develop data and information, analyse facts and forecasts, develop common assumptions and informed opin- ion, finally, use the information they have devel- oped to reach decisions together.	Ehrmann & Stinson, 1999 (also in D3.3)

3.4.6 Common language for reducing soil pollution and enhancing restoration (objective 4)

Table 3.6 - Objects at the 3rd hierarchy level of the Soil and Land Management ontology related to the reducing of soil pollution and enhancing of restoration (For DPSIR position see Fig. 3.3).

DPSIR position	Object	Described in common language	Source
1	Uses with a heavy environ- mental impact	Socioeconomic use of land with a heavy environ- mental impact including mining and quarrying; en- ergy production; industry; water and waste treat- ment; and construction.	LUCAS, 2021
1, 2, 3 & 4	Source-Path- way-Receptor (SPR)	Causal chain linking the origin of a hazard or pres- sure (e.g. an identified or estimated loading of a polluting substance) along an environmental path- way to consequences for human health or the en- vironment (using concepts such as vulnerability, exposure and impact assessment). It should also provide some assessment of the probability of, and confidence in, such a forecast.	EC, 2010b
1, 2, 3 & 4	Megasite	Expression used for a large area with multiple con- taminant sources related to (former) industrial ac- tivities, with a considerable impact on the environ- ment, through groundwater, surface water and/or air migration. The dimensions of the area for which the megasite management strategy needs to be developed is determined by the sphere of in- fluence of contaminant migration through these different pathways. In general these vary between 1-10 km.	IMS, ND
2	Atmospheric deposition	Transfer of substances in air to surfaces, including soil, vegetation, surface water, or indoor surfaces, by dry or wet processes.	EEA glossary, 2022

Point source	Stationary locations or fixed facilities from which pollutants are discharged.	EEA glossary, 2022
Diffuse pollu-	Pollution from widespread activities with no one	EEA glossary, 2022
•		0 5
Pollution		EC, 2010a
		_0, _0.00
	-	
	3	
Contamination		EEA glossary, 2022
Contamination		LLA YIUSSAI Y, 2022
	•	
	•	
Emorging.con		Changrakar at al
0 0		Ghangrekar et al., 2020
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2020
OI CONCETT		
Microplastics		ECHA, ND
iviici opiastics		LONA, ND
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Romodial		EC, 2004
		LC, 2004
measures		
Remediation		EC, 2006
	•	
	poses a significant risk to human health or the en-	
	vironment. Remediation actions may involve mon-	
	•	
	itored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in	
Gentle soil re-	itored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in situ) or off site (ex-situ).	GREENLAND. 2014
Gentle soil re- mediation op-	itored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in situ) or off site (ex-situ). Using plants, associated microbes and soil amend-	GREENLAND, 2014
mediation op-	itored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in situ) or off site (ex-situ). Using plants, associated microbes and soil amend- ments may serve as an environmentally friendly	GREENLAND, 2014
mediation op- tions	 itored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in situ) or off site (ex-situ). Using plants, associated microbes and soil amendments may serve as an environmentally friendly and cost-efficient alternative. 	
mediation op-	itored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in situ) or off site (ex-situ). Using plants, associated microbes and soil amend- ments may serve as an environmentally friendly	GREENLAND, 2014 EEA glossary, 2022
	Diffuse pollu- tion Pollution Contamination Emerging con- taminants / contaminants of concern Microplastics Remedial measures	pollutants are discharged.Diffuse pollu- tionPollution from widespread activities with no one discrete source, e.g. acid rain, pesticides, urban run-off, etc.PollutionDirect or indirect introduction, as a result of hu- man activity, of substances, vibrations, heat or noise into air, water or land which may be harmful to human health or the quality of the environ- ment, result in damage to material property, or impair or interfere with amenities and other legiti- mate uses of the environment.ContaminationIntroduction into or onto water, air, soil or other media of microorganisms, chemicals, toxic sub- stances, wastes, wastewater or other pollutants in a concentration that makes the medium unfit for its next intended use.Emerging con- taminants / of concernChemicals that are not currently (or have been only recently) regulated by the environmental reg- ulatory bodies and about which there are con- cerns regarding their impact on human or ecologi- cal health.MicroplasticsSolid plastic particles <5 mm composed of mix- tures of polymers and functional additives. They may also contain residual impurities. Microplastics can be unintentionally formed when larger pieces of plastic, like car tyres or synthetic textiles, wear and tear. But they are also deliberately manufac- tured and added to products for specific purposes, such as exfoliating beads in facial or body scrubs.Remedial measuresAny action, or combination of actions, including mitigating or interim measures to restore, rehabili- tate or replace damaged natural resources and/or impaired services, or to provide an equivalent al- ternative to those resources or services as fore- seen in Annex II (of the Environmental Liability Di- rective).

2b & 3b	Brownfield re-	Regeneration or revitalization involves the process	TIMBRE Glossary, 2022	2
20 0 00	generation or	of turning around deprived communities and lands		-
	revitalization	in decaying neighbourhoods. It consists of two		
		specific processes: one is the decontamination or		
		remediation of a specific site and the other is the		
		social, economic or cultural redevelopment of the		
		site in view of future uses.		
2b & 3b	In-situ chemi-	This technique inserts a strong oxidant into the	SOILECTION, 2008	
	cal oxidation	soil. When the oxidant comes into contact with		
		the pollution, it is broken down chemically (oxi-		
		dized). This produces harmless compounds. The		
		oxidator (for example ozone or Fenton's reagens)		
		is produced in the right concentrations in a special		
		unit and is injected into the soil by the use of fil-		
		ters. If the injection is discontinuous after the in-		
		jection period, the filters are flushed with an acidic		
		solution to prevent clogging.		
2b & 3b	Enhanced nat-	The principle of aerobic biological remediation is	SOILECTION, 2008	
	ural attenua-	stimulation of the biological activity by improving		
	tion (aerobic)	the limiting factor for biological activity. Limiting		
		factors for aerobic biological activity can be: lack		
		of oxygen, the lack of nutrients or the lack of mi-		
		cro-organisms that degrade the contamination.		
		The clue of this technology is to find out what is		
		the limiting factor and subsequently improving		
		this factor by injection, extraction, heating or a		
		combination.		
2b & 3b	Enhanced nat-	Natural soil bacteria can anaerobically degrade	SOILECTION, 2008	
	ural attenua-	contaminants by biological processes. During the		
	tion (anaero-	treatment of the soil, the soil conditions for the		
	bic)	bacteria are improved by injecting substrate and		
		nutrients. Also a bio screen can be applied. In the		
		laboratory soil samples are examined to know how		
		much the concentration of contaminants is de-		
		creased and how the biodegradation is proceed-		
		ing. It is an anaerobic process which is very sensi- tive to redox conditions.		
2b & 3b	Soil vapor ov		SOILECTION, 2008	_
20 & 30	Soil vapor ex- traction	Refreshes the soil vapor in the unsaturated zone by lowering the atmospheric pressure. This causes	JULECTION, 2000	
	traction	vaporization of the contaminant which is extracted		
		from the soil. The extracted soil vapor is cleaned		
		with the use of an activated carbon filter, biofilter		
		or catalyst. Soil Vapor Extraction also causes an in-		
		crease of the oxygen level which stimulates natu-		
		ral attenuation (bioventing). Lowering the concen-		
		tration of the contaminant in the vapor phase		
		causes a new equilibrium between the vapor		
		phase and the soil. Therefore, the concentration in		
		the soil decreases too.		
2b & 3b	Pump & Treat	Polluted groundwater is pumped to the surface	SOILECTION, 2008	
		where it is cleaned or discharged. Once the	,	
		groundwater is cleaned, it can infiltrate the soil		
		again. The extraction of groundwater can be		
D4.3 Soil a	nd land managem	nent ontology reference document		3

		performed in the horizontal or vertical direction with the use of drains driven by a vacuum pump or by gravitational flow.	
2b & 3b	Multi-Phase Extraction	Combines the extraction of groundwater and/or soil vapor and/or pure product. The extracted phases are separated aboveground where the phases are treated or discharged. Usually remov- ing the floating layer and groundwater are meant by multiple-phase extraction or two-phase extrac- tion.	SOILECTION, 2008
2b & 3b	Steam Injec- tion	Heathens up the soil including the capillary zone, floating layer and groundwater. Because of the heating the mobility of the contaminant will in- crease as well as the evaporation and the concen- tration of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction filters the phase mixture (steam, va- por, pure product and water) is extracted from the soil and treated aboveground.	SOILECTION, 2008
2b & 3b	Electro-recla- mation	Charged particles and ions are transported through the soil by an electrical field. The charged particles are moving towards the electrodes and are being removed by the electrode fluids which circulate around the electrodes. The use of alter- nating current heathens the soil which increases the availability of the contaminant. The biological activity can also be stimulated due to the heating.	SOILECTION, 2008
2b & 3b	(Bio)sparging	Compressed air is transported into the soil. This causes decomposition of the contaminant (In Situ Air Sparging) and stimulates aerobic attenuation.	SOILECTION, 2008
2b & 3b	Co-solvent/ Surfactant flushing	These are two comparable in situ techniques using a different principal. Both techniques inject en- riched water into the soil and extract groundwater with the mobilized contaminant. Co-solvent flush- ing: Injection of a fluid or mixture of fluids (mostly alcohol) to dissolve the pure product. The mixture of water, alcohol and the contaminant is now ex- tracted. Surfactant flushing: Injection of a mole- cule with a water-soluble head and water insolu- ble tail which increases the solvability of contami- nants in water. Because of the increased solvabil- ity, the contaminant can be pumped out of the soil via the water phase.	SOILECTION, 2008
2b & 3b	In-situ Chemi- cal reduction	In situ chemical reduction is based on the addition of reducing compounds to the soil. This technique is mostly applied as a permeable reactive barrier (PRB). The reducing compound commonly used is zero-valent iron (Fe0).	SOILECTION, 2008
2b & 3b	In-situ Metal Precipitation	The most applied in situ metal precipitation method is the stimulation of microbiological sul- phate to sulphide conversion. The conversion takes place because of the addition of a carbon	SOILECTION, 2008

		source and (if needed) nutrients to the soil. The sulphide being formed can fixate metals. There are also other methods which stimulate sorption, pre- cipitation and cation exchange with the addition of certain compounds.	
3	Soil quality	The capacity of a soil to function for specific land uses or within ecosystem boundaries. This capac- ity is an inherent characteristic of a soil and varies from soil to soil. Such indicators as organic-matter content, salinity, tilth, compaction, available nutri- ents, and rooting depth help measure the health or condition of the soil-its quality-in any given place.	USDA, 2015
3	Groundwater quality	Comprises the physical, chemical, and biological qualities of ground water. Temperature, turbidity, colour, taste, and odour make up the list of physi- cal water quality parameters.	Harter, 2003
3b	Land restora- tion	Reversing land degradation processes by applying soil amendments to enhance land resilience and restoring soil functions and ecosystem services.	UNCCD, 2012
3 & 4	Brownfields (BFs)	Sites that have been affected by the former use of the site and surrounding land, are derelict or un- derused, may have real or perceived contamina- tion problems, are mainly in developed urban ar- eas and require intervention to bring them back to beneficial use.	Ferber et al., 2006
3 & 4	Contaminated site	Location where, as a result of human activity an unacceptable, hazard to human health and ecosys- tems exists. Local contamination (contaminated sites) is a problem in restricted areas (or sites) around the source, where there is a direct link to the source of contamination.	EEA glossary, 2022
4	Ecological risk	Risks posed by the presence of substances re- leased to the environment by man, in theory, on all living organisms in the variety of ecosystems which make up the environment.	EEA glossary, 2022
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Living labs (LL)	Spaces for co-innovation through participatory, transdisciplinary and systemic research.	D2.2 LL & LH
2a & 2b 3a & 3b 4a & 4b	Lighthouses (LH)	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and communication. They are best practice examples (technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings) that have already been developed and applied in practice.	D2.2 LL & LH
2a,3a & 4a	Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new knowledge and/or data.	Buytaert et al., 2014 (also in D3.3)
2a,3a & 4a	Co-creating / co-production / joint-fact finding	Process in which stakeholders with differing view- points and interests work together to develop data and information, analyse facts and forecasts, develop common assumptions and informed opin- ion, finally, use the information they have devel- oped to reach decisions together.	Ehrmann & Stinson, 1999 (also in D3.3)

3.4.7 Common language for preventing erosion (objective 5)

Table 3.7 - Objects at the 3rd hierarchy level of the Soil and Land Management ontology related to the preventing of erosion (For DPSIR position see Fig. 3.3).

DPSIR	Object	Described in common language	Source
position 1	Erosion	The wearing away of the land surface by water, wind, ice, gravity or other natural or anthropo- genic agents that abrade, detach and remove soil particles or rock material from one point on the earth's surface, for deposition elsewhere, includ- ing gravitational creep and so-called tillage ero- sion.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
1	Accelerated erosion	The erosion that exceeds the normal geologic ero- sion and becomes destructive. It occurs when peo- ple disturb the soil or the natural vegetation by cutting forests, overgrazing, ploughing hillsides, recreational activity, indiscriminate (arbitrary) burning, or construction of roads and buildings.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
1	Common Agri- cultural Pol- icy (CAP)	The Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the agri- cultural policy of the European Union. It is a set of laws adopted by the EU to provide a common, uni- fied policy on agriculture.	European Council, ND. Modified version
1	Fertilization	Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve spe- cific soil properties (pH, stability of soil structure).	Soilcare glossary, 2022
1&2	Agronomic management techniques	Techniques used to manage soil, water, nutrients and pests.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
1&4	Connectivity	The interdependence of hydrological processes with other elements of the landscape as soil, high- lighting the strong relationship among them.	Keesstra et al., 2018
2	Drip irrigation	Sometimes called trickle irrigation and involves dripping water onto the soil at very low rates from a system of small diameter plastic pipes fitted with outlets called emitters or drippers. Water is ap- plied close to plants so that only part of the soil in which the roots grow is wetted, unlike surface and sprinkler irrigation, which involves wetting the whole soil profile.	Brouwer et al., 1990
2	Conventional tillage	Full width tillage that disturbs the entire soil sur- face which is generally performed prior to plant- ing. It usually involves a primary operation by depth ploughing or chiselling (commonly to 20-30 cm depth), followed by secondary operation such as rotavating or harrowing that pulverizes, flat- tens, and firms the surface. Depending on climate and soil type, tillage may be the cause of compaction but may also help in im- proving soil structure through the mechanical de- struction of compacted layers.	Soilcare glossary, 2022

2&5	Conservation agriculture	A farming method including minimum soil disturb- ance (no tillage, minimum tillage, reduced till- age, strip tillage, direct drill), crop rotation, and permanent soil cover.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
2 & 5	No tillage (NT)	An agronomic practice in conservation agriculture (CA) for annual crops and is defined to farm with- out disturbing the soil through tillage. NT must leave at least 30% of area covered by plant resi- dues right after crop establishment, and crops are sown using machinery which is able to place seeds through plant residues from previous crops. Also, in arid climates it enhances water retention in soils through decreasing evaporation losses from the soil surface which is usually enhanced by tillage in- volving soil invert.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3	Aggregates	Soil aggregate consisting of two or more soil parti- cles bound together by various forces.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3	Aggregation	Process whereby primary soil particles (sand, silt, clay) are bound together, usually by natural forces and substances derived from root exudates and microbial activity. Soil aggregates are arranged to form soil peds, units of soil struc- ture, classified by size, shape (platy, prismatic, co- lumnar, angular, subangular, blocky, granular) and grade (single-grain, massive, weak, moderate, strong). From an agronomical point of view, the most important soil aggregates are in range 3 - 1 mm.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3	Gully	Channel resulting from erosion and caused by the concentrated but intermittent flow of water dur- ing and immediately following heavy rainfall; gul- lies are deep enough (usually >0.5 m) to interfere with, but not obliterated by, normal tillage opera- tions.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3	Arable land	Agricultural land that is cultivated by ploughing, usually to 20 or 30 cm depth. More than 30 cm represents deep ploughing.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3b	Cultural eco- system ser- vices	The non-material benefits people obtain from eco- systems through spiritual enrichment, cognitive development, reflection, recreation, and aesthetic experience, including, e.g., knowledge systems, so- cial relations, and aesthetic values.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3&4	Infiltration	The movement of water passing the soil surface into the soil (as contrasted with percolation, which is movement of water through soil layers moving down to the aquifers, or out to rivers).	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3 & 4	Preferential flow	Water flow through macro-pores (e.g., cracks, root channels) in the unsaturated/vadose zone.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3 & 4	Surface runoff	The precipitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil before	Soilcare glossary, 2022

		ously ensuring the long-term productive potential of these resources and the maintenance of their environmental functions.	
5	Sustainable land manage- ment	The use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultane-	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Precision farm- ing (precision agriculture)	animal feed is banned. A management strategy that utilizes site-specific information to precisely and economically manage and optimize production inputs.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Organic farm- ing	Agricultural production which typically places a higher emphasis on environmental and wildlife protection and, with regard to livestock produc- tion, on measures that are supposedly animal wel- fare friendly. Organic production aims at more ho- listic production management systems for crops and livestock, emphasizing on-farm management practices over off-farm inputs. This involves avoid- ing, or largely reducing, the use of synthetic chem- icals such as inorganic fertilizers, pesticides, me- dicinal products, replacing them, wherever possi- ble, with cultural, biological and mechanical meth- ods. Organic producers explicitly aim to develop an allegedly healthier, fertile soil by growing and rotating a mixture of crops and using clover to fix nitrogen from the atmosphere. The production of genetically-modified (GM) crops and their use in	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Reduced till- age	A tillage without inversion at a reduced depth (about 30% crop residues remaining on the sur- face), with specific machines (often with grub- ber/cultivator), more than once a year.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Strip cropping	Growing crops in strips that follow the contour line. Strips of grass or close-growing crops alter- nate with strips of clean-tilled crops or summer fallow.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
5	Contour ploughing	Ploughing in a direction that follows the contour, maintaining the same elevation.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
4	Soil resilience	The capacity of a soil to recover its functional ca- pacity after a disturbance.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
3 & 5	Compost	ter runoff or seepage flow from groundwater. The material used to supply organic matter or plant nutrients to a soil, resulting from compost- ing.	Soilcare glossary, 2022

2a & 1	2b Lighthouses	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and	D2.2 LL & LH
3a & 3	3b (LH)	communication. They are best practice examples	
4a &	· · ·	(technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings)	
40.0	40		
		that have already been developed and applied in	
		practice.	
2a,3a	& Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new	Buytaert et al., 2014
4a		knowledge and/or data.	(also in D3.3)
2a,3a	& Co-creating /	Process in which stakeholders with differing view-	Ehrmann & Stinson,
4a	co-production	points and interests work together to develop	1999 (also in D3.3)
	/ joint-fact	data and information, analyse facts and forecasts,	
	finding	develop common assumptions and informed opin-	
	•	ion, finally, use the information they have devel-	
		oped to reach decisions together.	

3.4.8 Common language for improving soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity (objective 6)

Table 3.8 - Objects at the 3rd hierarchy level of the Soil and Land Management ontology related to the improving of soil structure to enhance soil biodiversity (For DPSIR position see Fig. 3.3).

DPSIR position	Object	Described in common language	Source
1	Agricultural economics	Study of the allocation, distribution, and utilization of the resources used, along with the commodities produced, by farming.	Britannica, 2022
1	Agronomic management techniques	Techniques used to manage soil, water, nutrients and pests.	Soilcare glossary, 2022
2	Machinery	Equipment used for managing soil and biomass production as tractors, skidding machine or har- vester (to minimize costs, progressively larger and more efficient machinery is used in the field).	Schjonning et al., 2015
2	Grazing inten- sity	The cumulative effects grazing animals have on rangelands during a particular time period.	Holechek et al., 1998
2	Overgrazing	Intensive grazing by livestock that exceeds the en- vironmental carrying capacity of a given piece of land. It can lead to impoverishment of the sward, dominance of certain unpalatable species, soil ero- sion, soil compaction and even a (complete) loss of vegetation.	Adapted from EEA glossary, 2022
2	Compaction	Changing the nature of the soil such that there is a decrease in the volume of voids between soil par- ticles or aggregates; it is manifested as an increase in bulk density and a severely compacted soil can become significantly less permeable and less aer- ated. Manmade compaction is caused by poaching (trampling of animal hooves repeatedly) or by the passage of heavy machinery	Soilcare glossary, 2022
2, 2b & 5	Conventional tillage	Full width tillage that disturbs the entire soil sur- face which is generally performed prior to plant- ing. It usually involves a primary operation by depth ploughing or chiselling (commonly to 20-30 cm depth), followed by secondary operation such	Soilcare glossary, 2022

		as rotavating or harrowing that pulverizes, flat- tens, and firms the surface.	
		Depending on climate and soil type, tillage may be	
		the cause of compaction but may also help in im-	
		proving soil structure through the mechanical de-	
		struction of compacted layers.	
2, 2b &	No tillage (NT)	An agronomic practice in conservation agriculture	Soilcare glossary, 2022
2, 20 Q 5	No thuge (NT)	(CA) for annual crops, and is defined as a way to	30110ar c 91033ar y, 2022
0		farm without disturbing the soil through tillage. NT	
		must leave at least 30% of area covered by plant	
		residues right after crop establishment, and crops	
		are sown using machinery which is able to place	
		seeds through plant residues from previous crops.	
		Also, in arid climates it enhances water retention	
		in soils through decreasing evaporation losses	
		from the soil surface which is usually enhanced by	
		tillage involving soil invert.	
		Depending on climate and soil type, NT may be	
		the cause of compaction but may also help in im-	
		proving soil structure due to biological processes	
		linked to the use cover crops or to the activity of	
		soil engineers as earthworms.	
2,2b &	Sustainable soil	Soil management is sustainable if the supporting,	FAO,2017
5	management	provisioning, regulating, and cultural services pro-	
		vided by soil are maintained or enhanced without	
		significantly impairing either the soil functions that	
2 2h 9	Cover grops	enable those services or biodiversity.	Toogoog 2022
2, 2b & 5	Cover crops	Cover crops, catch crops or green manures are normally grown between successive production	Teagasc, 2022
5		crops to provide ground cover, to capture soil nu-	
		trients and to improve soil characteristics or bene-	
		fit the following crop.	
		Using deep rooting crops provides crop induced	
		wetting and drying cycles that crack the soil and	
		breaks up impermeable layers of soil by root pene-	
		tration.	
2b & 5	Reduction of	Compaction depends on machinery tractor	Van-Camp et al., 2004
	pressure on	size/weight, on soil texture, and soil water con-	
	soils	tent. Those characteristics need to be considered	
		to reduce pressure on soils.	
		Note that low tire inflation pressures it is possible	
		to reduce the soil stresses, at least in the upper	
2	Call atmost	subsoil.	
3	Soil structure	Arrangement of particles and organic matter to	ISO 11074, 2015
		form aggregates which produce macro structures and micro structures in the soil.	
3	Soil habitat	Ability of soil/soil materials to serve as a habitat	ISO 11074, 2015
0	Jon nabitat	for micro-organisms, plants, soil living animals,	100 1107 7, 2010
		and their interactions (biocenosis).	
3 & 3a	Soil porosity	Volume of pores in a soil sample (non-solid vol-	ISO 11074, 2015
	1	ume) divided by the bulk volume of the sample.	,

		Monitoring/measuring soil porosity can inform on	
		the state of soil compaction.	
3 & 3a	Bulk density	Ratio of the mass of a quantity of material (or one	ISO 11074, 2015
		phase) and the total volume occupied by this ma-	
		terial (including other phases).	
		Monitoring/measuring bulk density can inform on	
		the state of soil compaction.	
3 & 3a	Soil biodiver-	Variability among living organisms on the earth, in-	ISO 11074, 2015
	sity	cluding the variability within and between species,	
		and within and between ecosystems.	
		This is also often used as the number and variety	
		of organisms found within a specified geographic	
		region. Soil biodiversity may be measured and	
		monitored by collecting soil samples and extract-	
		ing soil animals (or DNA) to identify the different	
		groups of organisms. It is also possible to monitor	
		biological activities (e.g. enzymatic measurements,	
		organic matter degradation).	
4	Compacted	Densification and distortion in which total and air-	Van-Camp et al., 2004
	soil	filled porosity and permeability are reduced,	
		strength is increased, soil structure partly de-	
		stroyed and many changes are induced in the soil	
		fabric and in various behaviour characteristics.	
		Soil biological activity and soil productivity for agri-	Schjonning et al.,
		cultural and forest cropping is reduced and which	2015
		results in a decreased water infiltration capacity	
		and increased erosion risk.	
		Note that the subsoil compaction is a hidden form	
		of soil degradation that affects all the agricultural	
		area and results in gradually decreasing yields and	
		yield security and gradually increasing problems	
		with waterlogging.	
		Note that a reduced aeration of the soil matrix be-	
		tween vertical macropores increases the risk of	
		anaerobic conditions. Denitrification of nitrate is	
		one of the potential undesirable side effects, since	
		it removes plant-available nitrogen from the soil	
		and potentially adds to the atmospheric concen-	
		tration of the potent GHG N_2O .	
2a & 2b	Living labs (LL)	Spaces for co-innovation through participatory,	D2.2 LL & LH
3a & 3b		transdisciplinary and systemic research.	
4a & 4b			
2a & 2b	Lighthouses	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and	D2.2 LL & LH
3a & 3b	(LH)	communication. They are best practice examples	
4a & 4b		(technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings)	
		that have already been developed and applied in	
0-0-0	0	practice.	Density and the L OOT 1
2a,3a &	Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new	Buytaert et al., 2014
4a	0	knowledge and/or data.	(also in D3.3)
2a,3a &	Co-creating /	Process in which stakeholders with differing view-	Ehrmann & Stinson,
4a	co-production	points and interests work together to develop	1999 (also in D3.3)
	/ joint-fact	data and information, analyse facts and forecasts,	
	finding	develop common assumptions and informed	

 $\mathsf{D4.3}$ Soil and land management ontology reference document

3.5 Soil Mission objectives 7 and 8

3.5.1 Introducing remarks

The remaining two Soil Mission objectives, i.e. 7. reduce the EU global footprint on soils and 8. improve soil literacy in society, do not directly relate to the actual management of soil and land. However, also for these mission objectives some important objects have been selected and defined in a common language.

3.5.2 Common language for reducing the EU global footprint on soils (objective 7)

Table 2.0 Objects related	to reducing the FIL global	footprint on soils (objective 7).
Table 3.9 - Oblects related	to reducing the EU global	
	to roudoning the Eo grobal	

Object	Described in common language	Source
Ecological footprint	The only metric that compares the resource demand of individuals, governments, and businesses against Earth's capacity for biological regeneration. Ecological Footprint accounting measures the demand on and supply of nature. On the demand side, the Ecological Footprint adds up all the productive areas for which a population, a person or a product competes. It measures the ecological assets that a given population or product requires to produce the natural resources it consumes (including plant-based food and fiber products, livestock and fish products, tim- ber and other forest products, space for urban infrastruc- ture) and to absorb its waste, especially carbon emis- sions. The Ecological Footprint tracks the use of productive sur- face areas. Typically, these areas are: cropland, grazing land, fishing grounds, built-up land, forest area, and car- bon demand on land. On the supply side, a city, state or nation's biocapacity represents the productivity of its ecological assets (in- cluding cropland, grazing land, forest land, fishing grounds, and built-up land). These areas, especially if left unharvested, can also serve to absorb the waste we gen- erate, especially our carbon emissions from burning fossil fuel. Both the Ecological Footprint and biocapacity are ex- pressed in global hectares (gha).	GFN, ND
Global hectares (gha)	Globally comparable, standardized hectares with world average productivity.	GFN, ND
Biocapacity	The goods and services that a regions land and seas can provide (fruits and vegetables, meat, fish, wood, cotton for clothing, and carbon dioxide absorption).	GFN, ND
Biocapacity deficit	A population's Ecological Footprint exceeds the region's biocapacity.	GFN, ND

EU Global footprint	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world.	This deliverable & GFN, ND
Overshoot Day	Marks the date when humanity has exhausted nature's budget for the year. For the rest of the year, we are maintaining our ecological deficit by drawing down local resource stocks and accumulating carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. We are operating in overshoot.	GFN, ND

3.5.3 Common language for improving soil literacy in society (objective 8)

Table 3.10 - Objects related to improving soil literacy in society (objective 8).

Object	Described in common language	Source
Soil literacy	The state of knowing about or being familiar with soil. It concerns both a popular awareness about the im- portance of soil, and specialised and practice-oriented knowledge related to achieving soil health.	EC, 2021b and Cam- bridge, 2022
Know	Aware of the importance of soil and of how to achieve soil health.	EC, 2021b and Cam- bridge, 2022
Knowledge	Awareness, understanding, or information that has been obtained by experience or study, and that is either in a person's mind or possessed by people generally.	Cambridge, 2022
Explicit knowledge	Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be articulated and easily communicated between individuals and organizations.	Cambridge, 2022
Implicit knowledge	Knowledge that you do not get from being taught, or from books, etc. but get from personal experience, for example when working in a particular organization (= same as tacit knowledge).	Cambridge, 2022
Tacit knowledge	Knowledge that you do not get from being taught, or from books, etc. but get from personal experience, for example when working in a particular organization (= same as implicit knowledge).	Cambridge, 2022
Knowledge transfer	Knowledge transfer is the sharing of knowledge, skills and technologies between research and enterprises for collective benefit.	IUA, ND
Science – policy in- terface	Science–policy interfaces are defined as social processes which encompass relations between scientists and other actors in the policy process, and which allow for ex- changes, co-evolution, and joint construction of knowledge with the aim of enriching decision-making.	Watson, 2005
Agriculture Knowledge and In- novation Systems (AKIS)	The term Agricultural Knowledge and Innovation Systems (AKIS) is used to describe the whole knowledge exchange system: the ways people and organisations interact within a country or a region. AKIS can include farming practice, businesses, authorities, research, etc. and can vary a lot, depending on the country or sector.	EC, 2018b

4 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Experts in the SMS project – jointly covering the fields of expertise related to all the 8 Soil Mission objectives – developed this ontology aimed to facilitate stakeholder engagement in the achievement of the Soil Mission objectives.

This ontology should now be used in soil policy and management practice, such as Living Labs. In such settings, the ontology can be improved through interaction with stakeholders from different backgrounds, such as policy makers, farmers, spatial planners or land managers. This will further increase its value.

Key recommendations:

- Recommendation 1: use this ontology in soil policy and management practice (e.g. Living Labs)
- Recommendation 2: soil policy makers and managers should promote its use in such practice\
- Recommendation 3: use the feedback from stakeholders to further improve the ontology

Regarding recommendation 3, the ontology could for instance be further improved during follow-up projects that aim to support the Soil Mission.

5 GLOSSARY

In this final costion	a list with all conce	nts dofined in the Onte	logy report can be found
	a list with all conce	pis defined in the Onto	logy report can be found.

Object	Definition	Table
Accelerated ero- sion	The erosion that exceeds the normal geologic erosion and be- comes destructive. It occurs when people disturb the soil or the natural vegetation by cutting forests, overgrazing, ploughing hillsides, recreational activity, indiscriminate (arbitrary) burning, or construction of roads and buildings.	3.7
Afforestation	The establishment of a forest, stand or tree crop on an area not previously forested, or on land from which forest cover has very long been absent.	3.4
Aggregates	Soil aggregate consisting of two or more soil particles bound to- gether by various forces.	3.7
Aggregation	Process whereby primary soil particles (sand, silt, clay) are bound together, usually by natural forces and substances derived from root exudates and microbial activity. Soil aggregates are ar- ranged to form soil peds, units of soil structure, classified by size, shape (platy, prismatic, columnar, angular, subangular, blocky, granular) and grade (single-grain, massive, weak, moderate, strong). From an agronomical point of view, the most important soil aggregates are in range 3 - 1 mm.	3.7
Agricultural eco- nomics	Study of the allocation, distribution, and utilization of the re- sources used, along with the commodities produced, by farming.	3.8
Agricultural pol- icy	A course of action adopted by government or some other organi- zation that determines how to deal with matters involving the cul- tivation of land; raising crops; feeding, breeding and raising live- stock or poultry; and other farming issues.	3.3
Agriculture	Farmland, agricultural land use: arable land, grassland, rise, or- chard, vineyard and others, semi-natural land.	3.1
Agriculture Knowledge and Innovation Sys- tems (AKIS)	The term Agricultural Knowledge and Innovation Systems (AKIS) is used to describe the whole knowledge exchange system: the ways people and organisations interact within a country or a region. AKIS can include farming practice, businesses, authorities, re- search, etc. and can vary a lot, depending on the country or sec- tor.	3.10
Agri-environ- mental Scheme	Agri-environment schemes are Government programmes set up to help farmers manage their land in an environmentally-friendly way. Schemes which incentivise farmers to adopt or carry out fa- vourable practices / management of their land and soil resources to reach a target objective.	3.4
Agroforestry	Land-use system in which woody perennials are maintained or planted, in some form of spatial arrangement or temporal se- quence, on the same land as agricultural crops and/or livestock.	3.4
Agronomic man- agement tech- niques	Techniques used to manage soil, water, nutrients and pests.	3.7 & 3.8
Arable land	Agricultural land that is cultivated by ploughing, usually to 20 or 30 cm depth. More than 30 cm represents deep ploughing.	3.7
Arid land	Lands characterized by low annual rainfall of less than 250 mm, by evaporation exceeding precipitation and a sparse vegetation.	3.3

Artificial sur-	The continuous and discontinuous urban fabric (housing areas), in-	3.5
face	dustrial, commercial and transport units, road and rail networks,	
	dump sites and extraction sites, but also green urban areas.	
Atmospheric	Transfer of substances in air to surfaces, including soil, vegetation,	3.6
deposition	surface water, or indoor surfaces, by dry or wet processes.	
Biocapacity	The goods and services that a regions land and seas can provide	3.9
2.0000000000	(fruits and vegetables, meat, fish, wood, cotton for clothing, and	0.7
	carbon dioxide absorption).	
Biocapacity defi-	A population's Ecological Footprint exceeds the region's biocapac-	3.9
cit	ity.	0.7
Biochar	Biochar is a charcoal-like substance that's made by burning or-	3.4
Diocriai	ganic material from agricultural and forestry wastes in a controlled	0.1
	process called pyrolysis. The energy or heat created during pyroly-	
	sis can be captured and used as a form of clean energy. Biochar is	
	also found to be beneficial for composting, since it reduces GHG	
	emissions and prevents the loss of nutrients in the compost mate-	
(Pio)sparging	rial.	2.4
(Bio)sparging	Compressed air is transported into the soil. This causes decompo-	3.6
	sition of the contaminant (In Situ Air Sparging) and stimulates aer-	
	obic attenuation.	
Brownfield re-	Recycling of brownfields instead of developing greenfield land out-	3.5
development	side the built environment reduces land take and further soil seal-	
	ing. Some but not the majority of brownfield sites are contami-	
	nated to differing extents and these require risk assessment.	
Brownfield re-	Regeneration or revitalization involves the process of turning	3.5 & 3.6
generation or	around deprived communities and lands in decaying neighbour-	
revitalization	hoods. It consists of two specific processes: one is the decontami-	
	nation or remediation of a specific site and the other is the social,	
	economic or cultural redevelopment of the site in view of future	
	USES.	
Brownfields	Sites that have been affected by the former use of the site and	3.5 & 3.6
	surrounding land, are derelict or underused, may have real or per-	
	ceived contamination problems, are mainly in developed urban ar-	
	eas and require intervention to bring them back to beneficial use.	
Bulk density	Ratio of the mass of a quantity of material (or one phase) and the	3.4 & 3.8
	total volume occupied by this material (including other phases).	
	Monitoring/measuring bulk density can inform on the state of soil	
	compaction.	
Carbon carrying	The mass of carbon stored in an ecosystem in a state of dynamic	3.4
capacity (CCC)	equilibrium under prevailing environmental conditions and natural	
1 3 ()	disturbance regimes, but excluding anthropogenic disturbance.	
	CCC provides a baseline against which current carbon stocks (CCS)	
	can be compared, with the difference between CCC and CCS giving	
	the carbon sequestration potential.	
Carbon cycle	Sequence of transformations whereby carbon dioxide is converted	3.4
	to organic forms by photosynthesis or chemosynthesis, recycled	0.1
	5 5 5 5	
	through the biosphere (with partial incorporation into sediments),	
	through the biosphere (with partial incorporation into sediments), and ultimately returned to its original state through respiration or	
Carbon farming	through the biosphere (with partial incorporation into sediments), and ultimately returned to its original state through respiration or combustion.	2.4
Carbon farming	through the biosphere (with partial incorporation into sediments), and ultimately returned to its original state through respiration or	3.4

	minimising climate change. Farmers and foresters manage vast	
	carbon stocks and significant GHG fluxes. There is a provision of in-	
	centives to adopt practices/management that promotes carbon	
	conservation and carbon sequestration.	
Carbon Seques-	The uptake and storage of carbon. Trees and plants, for example,	3.4
tration	absorb carbon dioxide, release the oxygen and store the car-	
	bon. Soils benefit from an increased rate of carbon sequestration	
	or net carbon storage.	
Carbon Sink	Forests and other ecosystems that absorb carbon, thereby remov-	3.4
	ing it from the atmosphere and offsetting CO ₂ emissions.	
Circular land use	Circular land use is a process in which neglected land in urban ar-	3.5
	eas is put to better uses. CircUse as a concept aims to be inte-	
	grated with existing structures and uses, and is put into practice	
	on a broad scale. The concept also looks to reduce the consump-	
	tion of un-built land through prioritizing inner development over	
	outer development.	
Citizen science	Participation of citizens in the generation of new knowledge	3.3 – 3.8
	and/or data.	
Climate change	Refers to efforts to reduce or prevent emission of GHGs. Mitiga-	3.3
mitigation	tion can mean using new technologies and renewable energies,	
	making older equipment more energy efficient, or changing man-	
	agement practices or consumer behaviour.	
Climate regula-	The capacity of a soil to reduce the negative impact of increased	3.4
tion	GHG (i.e., CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O) emissions on climate, among which	
	is its capacity to store carbon.	
Climatic change	The long-term fluctuations in temperature, precipitation, wind,	3.3
	and all other aspects of the Earth's climate. External processes,	
	such as solar-irradiance variations, variations of the Earth's orbital	
	parameters (eccentricity, precession, and inclination), lithosphere	
	motions, and volcanic activity, are factors in climatic variation. In-	
	ternal variations of the climate system, e.g., changes in the abun-	
	dance of greenhouse gases (GHG), also may produce fluctuations of sufficient magnitude and variability to explain observed climate	
	о	
	change through the feedback processes interrelating the compo-	
Co-creating / co-	nents of the climate system. Process in which stakeholders with differing viewpoints and inter-	3.3 – 3.8
production /	ests work together to develop data and information, analyse facts	3.3 - 3.0
joint-fact finding	and forecasts, develop common assumptions and informed opin-	
juint-ract multing	ion, finally, use the information they have developed to reach de-	
Common Agri-	cisions together. The Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the agricultural policy of	3.7
cultural Pol-	the European Union. It is a set of laws adopted by the EU to pro-	5.7
icy (CAP)	vide a common, unified policy on agriculture.	
Compacted soil	Densification and distortion in which total and air-filled porosity	3.8
compacted soli	and permeability are reduced, strength is increased, soil structure	5.0
	partly destroyed and many changes are induced in the soil fabric	
	and in various behaviour characteristics.	
	Soil biological activity and soil productivity for agricultural and for-	
	est cropping is reduced and which results in a decreased water in-	
	filtration capacity and increased erosion risk.	
	Note that the subsoil compaction is a hidden form of soil degrada-	
	tion that affects all the agricultural area and results in gradually	
	tion that arrests an the agricultural area and results in gradually	

	decreasing yields and yield security and gradually increasing prob- lems with waterlogging. Note that a reduced aeration of the soil matrix between vertical macropores increases the risk of anaerobic conditions. Denitrifica- tion of nitrate is one of the potential undesirable side effects, since it removes plant-available nitrogen from the soil and poten- tially adds to the atmospheric concentration of the potent GHG N_2O .	
Compaction	Changing the nature of the soil such that there is a decrease in the volume of voids between soil particles or aggregates; it is mani- fested as an increase in bulk density and a severely compacted soil can become significantly less permeable and less aerated. Manmade compaction is caused by poaching (trampling of animal hooves repeatedly) or by the passage of heavy machinery	3.8
Compost	The material used to supply organic matter or plant nutrients to a soil, resulting from composting.	3.7
Connectivity	The interdependence of hydrological processes with other ele- ments of the landscape as soil, highlighting the strong relationship among them.	3.7
Conservation agriculture	A farming method including minimum soil disturbance (no till- age, minimum tillage, reduced tillage, strip tillage, direct drill), crop rotation, and permanent soil cover.	3.7
Contaminated site	Location where, as a result of human activity an unacceptable, hazard to human health and ecosystems exists. Local contamina- tion (contaminated sites) is a problem in restricted areas (or sites) around the source, where there is a direct link to the source of contamination.	3.6
Contamination	Introduction into or onto water, air, soil or other media of micro- organisms, chemicals, toxic substances, wastes, wastewater or other pollutants in a concentration that makes the medium unfit for its next intended use.	3.6
Contour plough- ing	Ploughing in a direction that follows the contour, maintaining the same elevation.	3.7
Conventional tillage	Full width tillage that disturbs the entire soil surface which is gen- erally performed prior to planting. It usually involves a primary op- eration by depth ploughing or chiselling (commonly to 20-30 cm depth), followed by secondary operation such as rotavating or har- rowing that pulverizes, flattens, and firms the surface. Depending on climate and soil type, tillage may be the cause of compaction but may also help in improving soil structure through the mechanical destruction of compacted layers.	3.7 & 3.8
Co-solvent/ Sur- factant flushing	These are two comparable in situ techniques using a different principal. Both techniques inject enriched water into the soil and extract groundwater with the mobilized contaminant. Co-solvent flushing: Injection of a fluid or mixture of fluids (mostly alcohol) to dissolve the pure product. The mixture of water, alcohol and the contaminant is now extracted. Surfactant flushing: Injection of a molecule with a water-soluble head and water insoluble tail which increases the solvability of contaminants in water. Because of the increased solvability, the contaminant can be pumped out of the soil via the water phase.	3.6

Cover crops	Cover crops, catch crops or green manures are normally grown be- tween successive production crops to provide ground cover, to capture soil nutrients and to improve soil characteristics or benefit the following crop. Using deep rooting crops provides crop induced wetting and dry- ing cycles that crack the soil and breaks up impermeable layers of soil by root penetration.	3.4 & 3.8
Crop residue	Biomass remaining on the soil's surface after harvest. In some sys- tems, linear increases in soil organic carbon stocks can be ob- served with increasing rates of residue addition.	3.4
Cultural ecosys- tem services	The non-material benefits people obtain from ecosystems through spiritual enrichment, cognitive development, reflection, recrea- tion, and aesthetic experience, including, e.g., knowledge systems, social relations, and aesthetic values.	3.7
Dam sedimenta- tion	Deposition of material of varying size, both mineral and organic, away from its site of origin by the action of water, wind, gravity or ice. This sediment builds and steadily decreases the storage capac- ity of the reservoir. Ultimately all dams fill with sediment or are destroyed by natural floods.	3.3
Decontamina- tion	The removing of chemical, biological, or radiological contamina- tion from, or the neutralizing of it on a person, object, or area.	3.6
Deforestation	The removal of forest and undergrowth to increase the surface of arable land or to use the timber for construction or industrial pur- poses. Forest and its undergrowth possess a very high water-re- taining capacity, inhibiting runoff of rainwater.	3.3
Degraded land	The result of human-induced actions which exploit land, causing its utility, biodiversity, soil fertility, and overall health to decline.	3.3
Demesnial wa- ter	A body of water that is owned and maintained by a national gov- ernmental body or agency.	3.3
Desertification	Degraded land in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid areas resulting from various factors, including climatic fluctuations and human ac- tivities.	3.3
Desertification control	Any remedial and preventive actions adopted against desertifica- tion including improved irrigation management, planting of trees and grasses, the erection of fences to secure sand dunes, and a careful management of water resources.	3.3
Diffuse pollution	Pollution from widespread activities with no one discrete source, e.g. acid rain, pesticides, urban run-off, etc.	3.6
Direct drill seed- ing	Planting crops in a non-inverted soil without seedbed prepara- tion.	3.4
Drip irrigation	Sometimes called trickle irrigation and involves dripping water onto the soil at very low rates from a system of small diameter plastic pipes fitted with outlets called emitters or drippers. Water is applied close to plants so that only part of the soil in which the roots grow is wetted, unlike surface and sprinkler irrigation, which involves wetting the whole soil profile.	3.7
Drought	A period of abnormally dry weather sufficiently prolonged so that the lack of water causes a serious hydrologic imbalance (such as crop damage, water supply shortage) in the affected area.	3.3
Ecological foot- print	The only metric that compares the resource demand of individu- als, governments, and businesses against Earth's capacity for bio- logical regeneration.	3.9

	Ecological Footprint accounting measures the demand on and supply of nature.	
	On the demand side, the Ecological Footprint adds up all the pro- ductive areas for which a population, a person or a product com- petes. It measures the ecological assets that a given population or product requires to produce the natural resources it consumes (in- cluding plant-based food and fiber products, livestock and fish products, timber and other forest products, space for urban infra- structure) and to absorb its waste, especially carbon emissions.	
	The Ecological Footprint tracks the use of productive surface ar- eas. Typically, these areas are: cropland, grazing land, fishing grounds, built-up land, forest area, and carbon demand on land.	
	On the supply side, a city, state or nation's biocapacity represents the productivity of its ecological assets (including cropland, grazing land, forest land, fishing grounds, and built-up land). These areas, especially if left unharvested, can also serve to absorb the waste we generate, especially our carbon emissions from burning fossil fuel.	
	Both the Ecological Footprint and biocapacity are expressed in global hectares (gha).	
Ecological risk	Risks posed by the presence of substances released to the envi- ronment by man, in theory, on all living organisms in the variety of ecosystems which make up the environment.	3.6
Ecosystem	A dynamic complex of plant, animal, and micro-organism commu- nities and their non-living environment interacting as a functional unit.	3.2
Ecosystem ser- vices	Services provided and the benefits people derive from these ser- vices, both at the ecosystem and at the landscape scale, including public goods related to the wider ecosystem functioning and soci- ety well-being.	3.2
Edaphon	The community of soil organisms (microbes, fungi, nematodes, worms, insects, protozoa, etc.).	3.4
Electro-reclama- tion	Charged particles and ions are transported through the soil by an electrical field. The charged particles are moving towards the electrodes and are being removed by the electrode fluids which circulate around the electrodes. The use of alternating current heathens the soil which increases the availability of the contaminant. The biological activity can also be stimulated due to the heating.	3.6
Emerging con- taminants / con- taminants of concern	Chemicals that are not currently (or have been only recently) regu- lated by the environmental regulatory bodies and about which there are concerns regarding their impact on human or ecological health.	3.6
Enhanced natu- ral attenuation (aerobic)	The principle of aerobic biological remediation is stimulation of the biological activity by improving the limiting factor for biologi- cal activity. Limiting factors for aerobic biological activity can be: lack of oxygen, the lack of nutrients or the lack of micro-organisms	3.6

	that degrade the contamination. The clue of this technology is to	
	find out what is the limiting factor and subsequently improving	
	this factor by injection, extraction, heating or a combination.	
Enhanced natu-	Natural soil bacteria can anaerobically degrade contaminants by	3.6
ral attenuation	biological processes. During the treatment of the soil, the soil con-	
(anaerobic)	ditions for the bacteria are improved by injecting substrate and	
	nutrients. Also a bio screen can be applied. In the laboratory soil	
	samples are examined to know how much the concentration of	
	contaminants is decreased and how the biodegradation is pro-	
	ceeding. It is an anaerobic process which is very sensitive to redox	
	conditions.	
Environmental	Measures and controls to prevent damage and degradation of the	3.3
protection	environment, including the sustainability of its living resources.	0.7
Erosion	The wearing away of the land surface by water, wind, ice, gravity	3.7
	or other natural or anthropogenic agents that abrade, detach and	
	remove soil particles or rock material from one point on the	
	earth's surface, for deposition elsewhere, including gravitational	
Erosion vulnera-	creep and so-called tillage erosion. The erosion vulnerability index is calculated by combining soil loss	3.3
bility	potential, the stream power index and internally drained areas.	5.5
binty	Areas with high soil loss and a high stream power index will have	
	high erosion vulnerability. Areas that are internally draining are	
	excluded from the vulnerability assessment.	
EU Emissions	The EU ETS is a "cap and trade" scheme where a limit (the cap) is	3.4
trading system	placed on the right to emit specified pollutants over a geographic	0.1
(ETS)	area and companies can trade emission rights within that area.	
	area and companies can trade emission rights within that area.	
EU Global foot-	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world.	3.9
		3.9
EU Global foot-		3.9 3.10
EU Global foot- print	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar-	
EU Global foot- print Explicit	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or-	
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations.	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil	
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc-	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture).	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing,	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization.	3.10 3.7 3.3
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization	 EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be articulated and easily communicated between individuals and organizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil structure). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. 	3.10
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations.	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces-	3.10 3.7 3.3
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low land	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water.	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4 3.3
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water. Uncontrolled fire occurring in vegetation more than 1.8 metres in	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low land	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water. Uncontrolled fire occurring in vegetation more than 1.8 metres in height. It spreads rapidly through the topmost branches of the	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4 3.3
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low land	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water. Uncontrolled fire occurring in vegetation more than 1.8 metres in	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4 3.3
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low land Forest fire	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water. Uncontrolled fire occurring in vegetation more than 1.8 metres in height. It spreads rapidly through the topmost branches of the trees before involving undergrowth or the forest floor.	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.3
EU Global foot- print Explicit knowledge Fertilization Field damage Fire risk zone Flooding of low land Forest fire Forest protec-	EU's Ecological Footprint compared to that of the world. Knowledge that can be expressed in words, numbers, and symbols and stored in books, computers, etc. Explicit knowledge can be ar- ticulated and easily communicated between individuals and or- ganizations. Application of mainly mineral compounds, in order to increase soil fertility. In some cases, (e.g. liming) the purpose of fertilization is also to improve specific soil properties (pH, stability of soil struc- ture). A decline in the productivity of an area of land or in its ability to support natural ecosystems or types of agriculture. Degradation may be caused by a variety of factors, including inappropriate land management techniques, soil erosion, salinity, flooding, clearing, pests, pollution, climatic factors, or progressive urbanization. Forest fire risk zones are areas more likely to start a fire, before spreading to other locations. Inundation of land beside a watercourse, as a result of an exces- sive water table. This may incur addition of sediment onto the land surface as well as water. Uncontrolled fire occurring in vegetation more than 1.8 metres in height. It spreads rapidly through the topmost branches of the trees before involving undergrowth or the forest floor. Branch of forestry concerned with the prevention and control of	3.10 3.7 3.3 3.4 3.3 3.3

Forestry	Forest, afforestation, deforestation.	3.1
Gentle soil re-	Using plants, associated microbes and soil amendments may serve	3.6
mediation op-	as an environmentally friendly and cost-efficient alternative.	
tions		
Global hectares	Globally comparable, standardized hectares with world average	3.9
(gha)	productivity.	
Grazing inten-	The cumulative effects grazing animals have on rangelands during	3.8
sity	a particular time period.	
Green Manures	Non-harvested crop grown in between two main crop seasons, in-	3.4
	tended to improve the soil fertility, generally not growing under N	
	limitation due to the use of fertilizers and manures, or the ability	
	to fix atmospheric N, OR Young and succulent plant material turned into the soil to improve its organic matter and nutrient	
	content.	
Greenfields	A site, usually suburban or rural, that has never been used for de-	3.5
Greenneids	velopment.	0.0
Groundwater	Structures that intercept or obstruct the natural flow of ground-	3.3
dam	water and provide storage for water underground.	010
Groundwater	Groundwater is surface water which has filtered through permea-	3.3
overexploita-	ble soils and rocks until stopped by impermeable layers below, be-	
tion	ing cleaned in the process. It accumulates as aquifers, which may	
	be thousands and millions or years old and slowly seep to the sur-	
	face as springs or flow underground and feed rivers and lakes. The	
	depletion of groundwater in excess of its recharge rate leads to	
	overexploitation.	
Groundwater	Comprises the physical, chemical, and biological qualities of	3.6
quality	ground water. Temperature, turbidity, colour, taste, and odour	
Cully	make up the list of physical water quality parameters.	2.7
Gully	Channel resulting from erosion and caused by the concentrated but intermittent flow of water during and immediately following	3.7
	heavy rainfall; gullies are deep enough (usually >0.5 m) to inter-	
	fere with, but not obliterated by, normal tillage operations.	
Healthy (soils)	Having the continued capacity to support ecosystem services in	3.2
	line with the Sustainable Development Goals and the European	0.2
	Green Deal.	
Humus	The well decomposed, amorphous, stable fraction of the organic	3.4
	matter in mineral soils with a low specific weight and high surface	
	area; usually composed of many organic compounds of high mo-	
	lecular weight and dark colour. A term often used synonymously	
	with soil organic matter. Humus is important for soil fertility, and	
	helps to bind soil particles and aggregates together.	
Hydrologic bal-	An accounting of the inflow to, outflow from, and storage in a hy-	3.3
ance	drologic unit such as a drainage basin, aquifer, soil zone, lake or	
	reservoir; the relationship between evaporation, precipitation, runoff, and the change in water storage.	
Implicit	Knowledge that you do not get from being taught, or from books,	3.10
knowledge	etc. but get from personal experience, for example when working	5.10
KITOWICUye	in a particular organization (= same as tacit knowledge).	
Industry	Commercial and industrial sites (factories, industrial halls), mine,	3.1
maastry	contaminated land, recultivated areas.	0.1

Infiltration	The movement of water passing the soil surface into the soil (as contrasted with percolation, which is movement of water through	3.7
	soil layers moving down to the aquifers, or out to rivers).	
Information	Processed, organized and structured data. It provides context for data and enables decision making process.	3.2
Inorganic car-	Soil inorganic carbon mainly refers to the parent rock soil car-	3.4
bon	bonate formed in the weathering process of silicate carbon, which	
	has very high accumulation rate, and easily affected by atmos-	
	phere, water, rocks, etc, is the main form of soil carbon pool in	
	arid and semi-arid region.	
In-situ chemical	This technique inserts a strong oxidant into the soil. When the oxi-	3.6
oxidation	dant comes into contact with the pollution, it is broken down	
omulation	chemically (oxidized). This produces harmless compounds. The ox-	
	idator (for example ozone or Fenton's reagens) is produced in the	
	right concentrations in a special unit and is injected into the soil by	
	the use of filters. If the injection is discontinuous after the injec-	
	tion period, the filters are flushed with an acidic solution to pre-	
	vent clogging.	
In-situ Chemical	In situ chemical reduction is based on the addition of reducing	3.6
reduction	compounds to the soil. This technique is mostly applied as a per-	010
	meable reactive barrier (PRB). The reducing compound commonly	
	used is zero-valent iron (Fe0).	
In-situ Metal	The most applied in situ metal precipitation method is the stimula-	3.6
Precipitation	tion of microbiological sulphate to sulphide conversion. The con-	
	version takes place because of the addition of a carbon source and	
	(if needed) nutrients to the soil. The sulphide being formed can	
	fixate metals. There are also other methods which stimulate sorp-	
	tion, precipitation and cation exchange with the addition of cer-	
	tain compounds.	
Intensive farm-	A system of raising crops and animals, usually on small parcels of	3.3
ing	land, where a comparatively large amount of production inputs or	
-	labour are used per acre.	
Interim / tem-	Interim, non-permanent use is a step-by-step regeneration ap-	3.5
porary land use	proach to smoothen the transition from traditional to future use	
	in a given area. It can buy more time to plan and realise new long-	
	term use, meanwhile not "wasting" the land resource alto-	
	gether. Interim uses specifically considered are those that in	
	themselves may contribute to a more sustainable society and soci-	
	etal ambitions, such as production of biomass for sustainable en-	
	ergy, city farms and allotments, or the creation of outdoor ameni-	
	ties and open space for human well-being and health.	
Know	Aware of the importance of soil and of how to achieve soil health.	3.10
Knowledge	Awareness, understanding, or information that has been obtained	3.10
	by experience or study, and that is either in a person's mind or	
	possessed by people generally.	
Knowledge	Knowledge transfer is the sharing of knowledge, skills and technol-	3.10
transfer	ogies between research and enterprises for collective benefit.	
Land	The ground, including the soil covering and any associated surface	3.1
	waters, over which ownership rights are enforced.	
Land cover	Observed (bio)physical cover of the Earth's surface.	3.1
Land degrada-	A state whereby the amount and quality of land resources neces-	3.3
tion neutrality	sary to support ecosystem functions and services and enhance	
J		

SMS

	food security remain stable or increase within specified temporal and spatial scales and ecosystems.	
Land recycling	The reuse of abandoned, vacant, or underused properties for re- development.	3.5
Land restoration	Reversing land degradation processes by applying soil amend- ments to enhance land resilience and restoring soil functions and ecosystem services.	3.6
Land suitability	Land suitability is the fitness of a given type of land for a defined use. The land may be considered in its present condition or after improvements.	3.3
Land take	The area of land that is "taken" by infrastructure itself and other facilities that necessarily go along with the infrastructure, such as filling stations on roads and railway stations.	3.5
Land-use	Arrangements, activities and inputs people undertake in a certain land cover type to maintain it or produce change.	3.1
Lighthouses (LH)	Places for demonstration of solutions, training and communica- tion. They are best practice examples (technologies, cooperation, governance, trainings) that have already been developed and ap- plied in practice.	3.3 – 3.8
Living labs (LL)	Spaces for co-innovation through participatory, transdisciplinary and systemic research	3.3 - 3.8
Loss of soil-bio- diversity	The reduction of forms of life living in soils (both in terms of quan- tity and variety) and of related functions, causing a deterioration of one or more soil functions or ecosystem services.	3.3
Machinery	Equipment used for managing soil and biomass production as trac- tors, skidding machine or harvester (to minimize costs, progres- sively larger and more efficient machinery is used in the field).	3.8
Measure	Action aimed to achieve healthy soils.	3.2
Measurement, reporting, verifi- cation (MRV) System	The practice of "MRV," which involves three processes of meas- urement or monitoring (M), reporting (R), and verification (V) to obtain a clear understanding of GHG emissions.	3.4
Measuring land take	Measuring the change in the area of agricultural, forest and other semi-natural land taken for urban and other artificial land development.	3.5
Measuring soil functions	Measuring the performance of the ecological processes (provided by soils) that result in the supply of ecosystem services (different indicators).	3.5
Megasite	Expression used for a large area with multiple contaminant sources related to (former) industrial activities, with a considera- ble impact on the environment, through groundwater, surface wa- ter and/or air migration. The dimensions of the area for which the megasite management strategy needs to be developed is deter- mined by the sphere of influence of contaminant migration through these different pathways. In general these vary between 1-10 km.	3.6
Microplastics	Solid plastic particles <5 mm composed of mixtures of polymers and functional additives. They may also contain residual impuri- ties. Microplastics can be unintentionally formed when larger pieces of plastic, like car tyres or synthetic textiles, wear and tear. But they are also deliberately manufactured and added to	3.6

	products for specific purposes, such as exfoliating beads in facial	
Monoculture	or body scrubs. The growing of a single arable crop species on a field year after	3.4
Multi-Phase Ex- traction	year, for at least 10 years. Combines the extraction of groundwater and/or soil vapor and/or pure product. The extracted phases are separated aboveground where the phases are treated or discharged. Usually removing the floating layer and groundwater are meant by multiple-phase ex- traction or two-phase extraction.	3.6
Multi-species sward	Multi-species mixtures are a combination of diverse forage species with specific characteristics. An advantage of multi-species swards is the maintenance of a steady plant growth rate at reduced ferti- liser application compared to grass only swards.	3.4
Nature	Natural and cultural heritage (National parks, archaeological sites, cemeteries).	3.1
Net land take	Changes of non-artificial areas into artificial areas, which are not compensated by the restoration of the same amount of artificial areas into non-artificial areas.	3.5
Nitrogen fixa- tion	Conversion of molecular nitrogen (N_2) to ammonia and subsequently to organic nitrogen utilizable in biological processes.	3.4
No tillage (NT)	An agronomic practice in conservation agriculture (CA) for annual crops, and is defined as a way to farm without disturbing the soil through tillage. NT must leave at least 30% of area covered by plant residues right after crop establishment, and crops are sown using machinery which is able to place seeds through plant residues from previous crops. Also, in arid climates it enhances water retention in soils through decreasing evaporation losses from the soil surface which is usually enhanced by tillage involving soil invert.	3.4, 3.7 & 3.8
Organic farming	Agricultural production which typically places a higher emphasis on environmental and wildlife protection and, with regard to live- stock production, on measures that are supposedly animal welfare friendly. Organic production aims at more holistic production management systems for crops and livestock, emphasizing on- farm management practices over off-farm inputs. This involves avoiding, or largely reducing, the use of synthetic chemicals such as inorganic fertilizers, pesticides, medicinal products, replacing them, wherever possible, with cultural, biological and mechanical methods. Organic producers explicitly aim to develop an allegedly healthier, fertile soil by growing and rotating a mixture of crops and using clover to fix nitrogen from the atmosphere. The produc- tion of genetically-modified (GM) crops and their use in animal feed is banned.	3.7
Organic ferti- liser	Organic fertilisers are materials of animal origin used to maintain or improve plant nutrition and the physical and chemical proper- ties and biological activity of soils, either separately or together, they may include manure, digestive tract content, compost and di- gestion residues.	3.4
Overgrazing	Intensive grazing by livestock that exceeds the environmental car- rying capacity of a given piece of land. It can lead to impoverish- ment of the sward, dominance of certain unpalatable species, soil	3.3 & 3.8

	erosion, soil compaction and even a (complete) loss of vegeta- tion.	
Overshoot Day	Marks the date when humanity has exhausted nature's budget for the year. For the rest of the year, we are maintaining our ecologi- cal deficit by drawing down local resource stocks and accumulat- ing carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. We are operating in over- shoot.	3.9
Overtourism	Overtourism indicates the overcrowding of tourists at a holiday destination. When it comes to natural tourist destinations, tour- ism must respect flora, fauna, and microclimate. When the desti- nation is a city, tourism must primarily respect residents, as well as local culture and archaeological sites	3.3
Paludiculture	The productive land use of wet and rewetted peatlands that pre- serves the peat soil and thereby minimizes CO ₂ emissions and sub- sidence.	3.4
Pedoclimatic zones	Zones that are relatively homogeneous concerning climate and soil.	3.4
Permanent pas- ture	Land used permanently (five years or more) for herbaceous forage crops, either cultivated or growing wild (wild prairie or grazing land).	3.4
Permeable sur- faces	Permeable surfaces reduce soil sealing and increase the water drainage capacity of surfaces. However, permeable surfaces can- not be considered as a soil protection measure, since all tech- niques require removal of the upper soil layer of at least 30 cm. In some cases, the original soil can be replaced to some extent, as in the case of gravel turf.	3.5
Point source	Stationary locations or fixed facilities from which pollutants are discharged.	3.6
Pollution	Direct or indirect introduction, as a result of human activity, of substances, vibrations, heat or noise into air, water or land which may be harmful to human health or the quality of the environ- ment, result in damage to material property, or impair or interfere with amenities and other legitimate uses of the environment.	3.6
Population pres- sure	The sum of the factors (as increase in numbers or excessive food consumption) within a population that reduce the ability of an en- vironment to support the population and that therefore tend to result in migration and expansion of range or in extinction or de- cline of the population.	3.3
Precision farm- ing (precision agriculture)	A management strategy that utilizes site-specific information to precisely and economically manage and optimize production in- puts.	3.7
Preferential flow	Water flow through macro-pores (e.g., cracks, root channels) in the unsaturated/ vadose zone.	3.7
Pressures	Release of substances (emissions), physical and biological agents, the use of resources and the use of land which impacts soil health.	3.2
Program of Measures	Set of actions aimed to achieve healthy soils.	3.2
Pump & Treat	Polluted groundwater is pumped to the surface where it is cleaned or discharged. Once the groundwater is cleaned, it can infiltrate the soil again. The extraction of groundwater can be performed in	3.6

	the horizontal or vertical direction with the use of drains driven by a vacuum pump or by gravitational flow.	
Recalcitrance	Resistance to decomposition – humus is highly recalcitrant and therefore remains in soil for a long time.	3.4
Reduced tillage	A tillage without inversion at a reduced depth (about 30% crop residues remaining on the surface), with specific machines (often with grubber/cultivator), more than once a year.	3.7
Reduction of pressure on soils	Compaction depends on machinery tractor size/weight, on soil texture, and soil water content. Those characteristics need to be considered to reduce pressure on soils. Note that low tire inflation pressures it is possible to reduce the soil stresses, at least in the upper subsoil.	3.8
Remedial measures	Any action, or combination of actions, including mitigating or in- terim measures to restore, rehabilitate or replace damaged natu- ral resources and/or impaired services, or to provide an equivalent alternative to those resources or services as foreseen in Annex II (of the Environmental Liability Directive).	3.6
Remediation	Actions aimed at the removal, control, containment or reduction of contaminants or exposure pathways so that the site, taking ac- count of its current use or approved future use, no longer poses a significant risk to human health or the environment. Remediation actions may involve monitored natural recovery. It can be done onsite (in situ) or off site (ex-situ).	3.6
Remote sensing	The measurement or acquisition of information of some property of an object or phenomena, by a recording device that is not in physical or intimate contact with the object or phenomenon under study, e.g., the utilization at a distance.	3.4
Respiration rate	Soil respiration consists of heterotrophic respiration, mainly through the mineralization of soil organic C and decomposition of litters of leaves, branches and roots by soil microorganisms, and autotrophic respiration, generally via plant root and microbial res- piration in the rhizosphere. Soil respiration has been generally considered to be the second greatest C exchange between the at- mosphere and terrestrial ecosystem.	3.4
Science – policy interface	Science–policy interfaces are defined as social processes which en- compass relations between scientists and other actors in the pol- icy process, and which allow for exchanges, co-evolution, and joint construction of knowledge with the aim of enriching decision- making.	3.10
Sealed soils	Sealed soils can be defined as the destruction or covering of soils by buildings, constructions and layers of completely or partly im- permeable artificial material (asphalt, concrete, etc.). It is the most intense form of land take and is essentially an irreversible process. Sealed land is a subset of the above mentioned category; i.e. land consumed by development of settlements, infrastructure, and commercial and industrial areas. An indicator of the intensity of land take is the proportion of the total built-up land area which is sealed.	3.5
Semi-arid land ecosystem	The interacting system of a biological community and its non-living environmental surroundings in regions that have between 10 to 20 inches of rainfall and are capable of sustaining some grasses and shrubs but not woodland.	3.3

Soft land use /	Innovative strategies, techniques and appraisal methods to im-	3.5
re-use	prove the value of brownfield regeneration into "soft re-use" (i.e.	
	non-sealed land uses) on an interim or long-term basis.	
Soil	Upper layer of the earth in which plants grow.	3.1
Soil biodiversity	Variability among living organisms on the earth, including the vari-	3.8
Son bloarversity	ability within and between species, and within and between eco-	5.0
	•	
	systems.	
	This is also often used as the number and variety of organisms	
	found within a specified geographic region. Soil biodiversity may	
	be measured and monitored by collecting soil samples and ex-	
	tracting soil animals (or DNA) to identify the different groups of	
	organisms. It is also possible to monitor biological activities (e.g.	
	enzymatic measurements, organic matter degradation).	
Soil carbon flux	The movement of any material from one place to another is called	3.4
	a flux. We typically think of a carbon flux as a transfer of carbon	
	from one pool to another.	
Soil habitat	Ability of soil/soil materials to serve as a habitat for micro-organ-	3.8
Jon	isms, plants, soil living animals, and their interactions (biocenosis).	0.0
Soil leaching	Removal of soluble materials from one zone in soil to another via	3.4
Johnedenning	water downward movement in the profile.	5.4
Coll literaau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.10
Soil literacy	The state of knowing about or being familiar with soil. It concerns	3.10
	both a popular awareness about the importance of soil, and spe-	
	cialised and practice-oriented knowledge related to achieving soil	
	health.	
Soil Manage-	The application of measures to achieve healthy soils.	3.2
ment		
Soil Manage-	Sets out how users (stakeholders) will work together to achieve	3.2
ment Strategy	healthy soils.	
Soil moisture	This indicator shows the annual deviation in soil moisture content	3.3
deficit	of each 500-m grid cell from the long-term (1995-2019) average.	
	Negative soil moisture anomalies indicate that the annual average	
	availability of soil moisture to plants drops to such a level that it	
	has the potential to affect terrestrial vegetation and, hence, cause	
	persistent changes in ecosystem condition. Negative long-term av-	
	erages and negative trends in the annual data indicate increasing	
	pressures on vegetation and ecosystems, and thus represent a cli-	
	matic driver that should be considered in EU nature restoration	
	plans. Therefore, the indicator can inform policy action on ecosys-	
	tem restoration in the EU but also on adaptation to climate	
	change.	0.4
Soil Organic Car-	The potential of soils to absorb carbon. This can be increased	3.4
bon (SOC) se-	through conservational agricultural practices.	
questration po-		
tential		
Soil organic car-	Soil organic carbon, the major component of soil organic matter, is	3.3
bon content	extremely important in all soil processes. Organic material in the	
	soil is essentially derived from residual plant and animal material,	
	synthesised by microbes and decomposed under the influence of	
	temperature, moisture and ambient soil conditions. The annual	
	rate of loss of organic matter can vary greatly, depending on culti-	
	vation practices, the type of plant/crop cover, drainage status of	

	the soil and weather conditions. There are two groups of factors	
	that influence inherent organic matter content: natural factors	
	(climate, soil parent material, land cover and/or vegetation and	
	topography), and human-induced factors (land use, management	
	and degradation).	
Soil organic	The organic fraction of the soil exclusive of undecayed plant and	3.4
matter	animal residues.	
Soil poaching	Soil that has been broken down under the weight of animals. It	3.4
	causes direct physical damage to the crop and the soil, leading to	
	bare patches, there is also an increased risk of erosion, leaching	
	and invasive weeds.	
Soil porosity	Volume of pores in a soil sample (non-solid volume) divided by the	3.8
	bulk volume of the sample.	
	Monitoring/measuring soil porosity can inform on the state of soil	
	compaction.	
Soil profile	A column of soil extending through all its horizons and into the	3.4
	parent material and large enough to be used to characterise the	
	soil condition at a particular place.	
Soil quality	The capacity of a soil to function for specific land uses or within	3.6
	ecosystem boundaries. This capacity is an inherent characteristic	
	of a soil and varies from soil to soil. Such indicators as organic-	
	matter content, salinity, tilth, compaction, available nutrients, and	
	rooting depth help measure the health or condition of the soil-its	
	quality-in any given place.	
Soil resilience	The capacity of a soil to recover its functional capacity after a dis-	3.7
	turbance.	
Soil restoration	Soil restoration refers to actions to regenerate natural soil cycles	3.3
	through revegetation with shrub and creeper species, reforesta-	
	tion with native arboreal species and containment work with	
	stakes. The aim is to stabilize the soil and increase the supply of	
	organic matter, which promotes restoration.	
Soil salinization	Salt-affected soils consist of saline and sodic soils, occur in all con-	3.3
	tinents and under almost all climatic conditions, but their distribu-	
	tion is relatively more extensive in the arid and semi-arid regions	
	compared to the humid regions. Soil salinization is a major process	
	of land degradation that decreases soil fertility and is a significant	
	component of desertification processes in the world's drylands.	
Soil structure	Arrangement of particles and organic matter to form aggregates	3.8
	which produce macro structures and micro structures in the soil.	
Soil vapor ex-	Refreshes the soil vapor in the unsaturated zone by lowering the	3.6
traction	atmospheric pressure. This causes vaporization of the contami-	
	nant which is extracted from the soil. The extracted soil vapor is	
	cleaned with the use of an activated carbon filter, biofilter or cata-	
	lyst. Soil Vapor Extraction also causes an increase of the oxygen	
	level which stimulates natural attenuation (bioventing). Lowering	
	the concentration of the contaminant in the vapor phase causes a	
	new equilibrium between the vapor phase and the soil. Therefore,	
	the concentration in the soil decreases too.	
Soil water avail-	Soil water availability is the capacity of a soil to hold water that is	3.3
ability	available for plant use.	

Source-Path- way-Receptor Causal chain linking the origin of a hazard or pressure (e.g. an identified or estimated loading of a polluting substance) along an environmental pathway to consequences for human health or the environment (using concepts such as vulnerability, exposure and impact assessment). It should alos provide some assessment of the probability of , and confidence in, such a forecast. 3.4 Species diver- sity gion. 3.4 Steam Injection Heathens up the soil including the capillary zone, floating layer and groundwater. Because of the heating the mobility of the con- taminant will increase as well as the evaporation and the concen- tration of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction filters the phase mixture (steam, vapor, pure product and water) is extracted from the soil and treated aboveground. 3.7 Strip cropping Growing crops in strips that follow the contour line. Strips of grass or close-growing crops alternate with strips of clean-tilled crops or summer fallow. 3.4 Surface runoff The precipitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface runoff. Water that enters the soil be- fore reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from groundwater. 3.3 3.7 Sustainable agri- culture Use for the practice of agriculture which supports sustained eco- nomic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the envi- ronment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and availability of food and fibre for mankind. 3.7 Sustainable soil Soil m			
sitygion.Steam InjectionHeathens up the soil including the capillary zone, floating layer and groundwater. Because of the heating the mobility of the con- taminant will increase as well as the evaporation and the concen- tration of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction filters the phase mixture (steam, vapor, pure product and water) is extracted from the soil and treated aboveground.3.6Strip croppingGrowing crops in strips that follow the contour line. Strips of grass or close-growing crops alternate with strips of clean-tilled crops or summer fallow.3.7Strip tillageThe process in which only a narrow strip of land needed for the crop row is tilled.3.4Surface runoffThe process in which only a narrow strip of land needed for the crop row is tilled.3.3 & 3.7Surface runoffThe procepitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil be- fore reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from groundwater.3.3Sustainable agri- uutureUse for the practice of agriculture which supports sustained eco- noment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and availability of food and fibre for markind.3.7Sustainable soilSoil management is sustainable if the supporting, provisioning, regulating, and cultural services provided by soil are maintained or enhanced without significantly impairing either the soil functions that enable those services or biodiversity.3.10Sustainable soilSoil management is sustainable if the supporting, provisioning, in a particular organ	way-Receptor	identified or estimated loading of a polluting substance) along an environmental pathway to consequences for human health or the environment (using concepts such as vulnerability, exposure and impact assessment). It should also provide some assessment of the probability of, and confidence in, such a forecast.	3.6
and groundwater. Because of the heating the mobility of the contaminant will increase as well as the evaporation and the concentration of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction of the dissolved contaminant. Strip trip trips cropping Growing crops in strips that follow the contour line. Strips of grass or close-growing crops alternate with strips of clean-tilled crops or summer fallow. 3.7 Strip tillage The process in which only a narrow strip of land needed for the crop row is tilled. 3.4 Surface runoff The proceptation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil before reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from groundwater. 3.3 & 3.7 Sustainable agriculture Use for the practice of agriculture which supports sustained economic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the environment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and vallability of food and fibre for mankind. 3.7 Sustainable land The use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultaneously ensuring the long-term productive potential fun	sity	gion.	
or close-growing crops alternate with strips of clean-tilled crops or summer fallow.Strip tillageThe process in which only a narrow strip of land needed for the crop row is tilled.3.4Surface runoffThe precipitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil be- fore reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from groundwater.3.3 & 3.7Sustainable agri- cultureUse for the practice of agriculture which supports sustained eco- nomic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the envi- ronment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and availability of food and fibre for mankind.3.3Sustainable land managementThe use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultaneously ensuring the long-term productive potential of these resources and the maintenance of their environ- mental functions.3.8Sustainable soil 	Steam Injection	and groundwater. Because of the heating the mobility of the con- taminant will increase as well as the evaporation and the concen- tration of the dissolved contaminant. With the use of extraction filters the phase mixture (steam, vapor, pure product and water) is	3.6
crop row is tilled.Surface runoffThe precipitation discharged into stream channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil be- fore reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from groundwater.3.3 & 3.7Sustainable agri- cultureUse for the practice of agriculture which supports sustained eco- nomic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the envi- ronment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and availability of food and fibre for mankind.3.3Sustainable land managementThe use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and 	Strip cropping	or close-growing crops alternate with strips of clean-tilled crops or	3.7
The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil be- fore reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from groundwater.Sustainable agri- cultureUse for the practice of agriculture which supports sustained eco- nomic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the envi- ronment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and availability of food and fibre for mankind.3.3Sustainable land managementThe use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultaneously ensuring the long-term productive potential of these resources and the maintenance of their environ- mental functions.3.8Sustainable soil managementSoil management is sustainable if the supporting, provisioning, regulating, and cultural services provided by soil are maintained or enhanced without significantly impairing either the soil functions that enable those services or biodiversity.3.10Tacit knowledge tracing is an agricultural practice that suggests rearranging farmlands or turning hills into farmlands by constructing specific ridged platforms. These platforms are called terraces which stop erosion and contribute to soil and water conservation.3.3Touristic activity managementThe administration, promotion, organization and planing for the sustainad so turning hills into farmlands by constructing specific ridged platforms. These platforms are called terraces which stop erosion and contribute to soil and water conservation.3.3Touristic activity managementThe administration, promotion, organization and planning for the<	Strip tillage		3.4
culturenomic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the environment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality and availability of food and fibre for mankind.Sustainable land managementThe use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultaneously ensuring the long-term productive potential of these resources and the maintenance of their environ- mental functions.3.7Sustainable soil managementSoil management is sustainable if the supporting, provisioning, regulating, and cultural services provided by soil are maintained or enhanced without significantly impairing either the soil functions that enable those services or biodiversity.3.8Tacit knowledge in a particular organization (= same as implicit knowledge).3.10TerracingTerracing is an agricultural practice that suggests rearranging farmlands or turning hills into farmlands by constructing specific ridged platforms. These platforms are called terraces which stop erosion and contribute to soil and water conservation.3.3Touristic activity managementThe administration, promotion, organization and planning for the business or industry of providing information, transportation, en- tertainment, accommodations and other services to travellers or visitors.3.3	Surface runoff	The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil be- fore reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or	3.3 & 3.7
Sustainable land managementThe use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultaneously ensuring the long-term productive potential of these resources and the maintenance of their environ- mental functions.3.7Sustainable soil managementSoil management is sustainable if the supporting, provisioning, 	v	nomic profitability, sustained quality and well-being of the envi- ronment, efficient use of natural resources, and the overall quality	3.3
managementregulating, and cultural services provided by soil are maintained or enhanced without significantly impairing either the soil functions that enable those services or biodiversity.Tacit knowledgeKnowledge that you do not get from being taught, or from books, etc. but get from personal experience, for example when working 		The use of land resources, including soils, water, animals and plants, for the production of goods to meet changing human needs, while simultaneously ensuring the long-term productive potential of these resources and the maintenance of their environ-	3.7
etc. but get from personal experience, for example when working in a particular organization (= same as implicit knowledge).TerracingTerracing is an agricultural practice that suggests rearranging farmlands or turning hills into farmlands by constructing specific ridged platforms. These platforms are called terraces which stop erosion and contribute to soil and water conservation.3.3Touristic activity managementThe administration, promotion, organization and planning for the business or industry of providing information, transportation, en- tertainment, accommodations and other services to travellers or visitors.3.3UrbanCities, parks, urban ecosystem, household and wastewater treat- 3.13.1		regulating, and cultural services provided by soil are maintained or enhanced without significantly impairing either the soil functions that enable those services or biodiversity.	
farmlands or turning hills into farmlands by constructing specific ridged platforms. These platforms are called terraces which stop erosion and contribute to soil and water conservation.Touristic activity managementThe administration, promotion, organization and planning for the business or industry of providing information, transportation, en- tertainment, accommodations and other services to travellers or visitors.3.3UrbanCities, parks, urban ecosystem, household and wastewater treat- 	Tacit knowledge	etc. but get from personal experience, for example when working	3.10
managementbusiness or industry of providing information, transportation, en- tertainment, accommodations and other services to travellers or visitors.UrbanCities, parks, urban ecosystem, household and wastewater treat- 3.1	Terracing	Terracing is an agricultural practice that suggests rearranging farmlands or turning hills into farmlands by constructing specific ridged platforms. These platforms are called terraces which stop	
	J	business or industry of providing information, transportation, en- tertainment, accommodations and other services to travellers or	3.3
	Urban		3.1

Urban organic waste	These wastes include sewage, wastewater and vegetable waste.	3.4
Users (stake-	Those who are affected in their interest or concern by changes in	3.2
holders)	soil and land management.	
Uses with a	Socioeconomic use of land with a heavy environmental impact in-	3.6
heavy environ-	cluding mining and quarrying; energy production; industry; water	
mental impact	and waste treatment; and construction.	
Vulnerable area	Area that is subject to threatening processes and is likely to be-	3.3
	come endangered unless the threatening factors cease to oper-	
	ate.	
Water desalini-	Any mechanical procedure or process where some, or all, of the	3.3
zation	salt is removed from water.	
Water govern-	The range of political, organizational and administrative processes	3.3
ance	through which communities articulate their interests, their input is	
	absorbed, decisions are made and implemented, and decision	
	makers are held accountable in the development and manage-	
	ment of water resources and delivery of water services at differ-	
	ent levels of society.	
Water manage-	The way in which water availability (irrigation; water harvesting)	3.7
ment	and discharge (drainage) is regulated.	
Water policy	Collection of legislation, legal interpretations, governmental deci-	3.3
	sions, agency rules and regulations, and cultural responses which	
	guide a country's actions concerning the quantity and quality of	
	water.	
Water transfer	Artificial conveyance of water from one area to another one.	3.3
Wetland protec-	Areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with fre-	3.3
tion	quency sufficient to support a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic	
	life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions	
	for growth or reproduction.	
Wind erosion	Wind erosion is a natural process that moves soil from one loca-	3.3
	tion to another by wind power. It can cause significant economic	
	and environmental damage.	

6 REFERENCES

Bai S.G., Jiao Y., Yang W.Z., Gu P., Yang J., Liu L.J. (2017). Review of progress in soil organic carbon research. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 100, 012129.

Bispo A., Cluzeau D., Creamer R., Dombos M., Graefe U., Krogh P. H., ... & Römbke J. (2009). Indicators for mon-itoring soil biodiversity. Integrated environmental assessment and management, 5(4), 717-719.

Brils J. (2008). Sediment monitoring and the European Water Framework Directive. Ann. Ist Super Sanita, 44 (3):218-223

Brils J., Brack W., Müller-Grabherr D., Negrel P., Vermaat J.E. (Eds) (2014). Risk-informed management of European River Basins. Springer, Heidelberg. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.springer.com/la/book/9783642385971</u>

Brils J., Appleton A., van Everdingen N., Bright D. (2015). Key-factors for successful application of ecosystem servicesbased approaches to water resources management: The role of stakeholder participation, In: Martin-Ortega J, Ferrier RC, Gordon IJ, Khan S, (Eds) (2018) Water Ecosystem Services - A Global Perspective, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 138-148. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9781316178904.017

Brils J., Otter H., Gault J., Duester L., Massmig M., Roessler; Brottier F., Grant M., Bradley C., Gracia V. (2019). DANU-BIUS-RI Ontology reference document - Ontology for advanced studies on river-sea systems delivered through a distributed research infrastructure. H2020 project DANUBIUS-PP Deliverable Nr. 9.2. Retrieved from: <u>https://danubiuspp.eu/www/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/9.2-DANUBIUS-RI-Ontology-reference-document.pdf</u>

Brils J., Ellen G.J., Maring L., Koopman J., Anderiesse M., van Dongen M., Ittner S., Naumann S., Prokop G., Bispo A., Keesstra S., Helming K. (2022). Actor engagement guide - Guidance for engaging actors in land and soil management. H2020 project Soil Mission Support (SMS) Deliverable D3.3. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15263.43688 Retrieved from: https://www.soilmissionsupport.eu/fileadmin/inhalte/soilmission/pdf/actor_engagement_guide.pdf

Brils J., Maring L. (submitted). A conceptual model for enabling sustainable management of soil-sediment-water ecosystems in support of European policy. Submitted to Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management journal.

Britannica (2022). Dictionary. Retrieved from: https://www.britannica.com/dictionary

Brouwer C., Prins K., Kay M., Heidbloem M. (1990). Irrigation Water Management: Irrigation Methods. Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nations. Chapter 6: Drip Irrigation. Retrieved from: https://www.fao.org/3/s8684e/s8684e07.htm#chapter%206.%20drip%20irrigation

Buytaert W., Zulkafli Z., Grainger S., Acosta L., Alemie T.C., Bastiaensen J., De Bièvre B., Bhusal J., Clark J., Dewulf A., Foggin M., Hannah D.M., Hergarten C., Isaeva A., Karpouzoglou T., Pandeya B., Paudel D., Sharma K., Steenhuis T., Tilahun S., Van Hecken G., Zhumanova M. (2014). Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: opportunities for knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development, Front. Earth Sci., 2, 26, https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2014.00026

Cambridge (2022). Dictionary. Retrieved from: https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/

Centre of Creative Land Recycling (2021). Retrieved from: <u>https://www.cclr.org/expert-advice/land-recycling-101-part-1#:~:text=Land%20Recycling-%20Land%20Recycling%20is%2C%20you%20might%20have,of%20aban-doned%2C%20vacant%2C%20or%20underused%20properties%20for%20redevelopment</u>

Chapman A., Brils J., Ansink E., Herivaux C., Strosser P. (2008). Conceptual models in river basin management. In: Quevauviller P (ed) Groundwater science & policy. An international overview. RSC Publishing, London, p611-629.

COWI, Ecologic Institute & IEEP (2020). Analytical Support for the Operationalisation of an EU Carbon Farming Initiative: Lessons learned from existing result-based carbon farming schemes and barriers and solutions for implementation within the EU. Report to the European Commission, DG Climate Action under Contract No. CLIMA/C.3/ETU/2018/007.

Diffen (ND). Data vs. Information. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.diffen.com/difference/Data_vs_Infor-</u>mation#:~:text=When%20data%20is%20processed%2C%20organized,useful%2C%20it%20is%20called%20information.

Earth Observing System (EOS) (2021). Terrace Farming Purpose, Benefits, and Common Types. Retrieved from: https://eos.com/blog/terrace-farming/

Ehrmann J.R., Stinson B.L. (1999). Joint fact – finding and the use of technical experts. In: Susskind L, McKearnan S, Thomas-Larner J (Eds.), The Consensus Building Handbook: A Comprehensive Guide to Reaching Agreement. Sage, London, pp. 375 – 400.

Ellen G.J. (2013). Cost effective monitoring within the Circular Land Management Framework HOMBRE Deliverable 2.2. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.zerobrownfields.eu/HombreTrainingGallery/HOMBRE_D2.2_final.pdf</u>

Encyclopedia of Agriculture and Food Systems (ND). Academic Press, ISBN 9780080931395, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52512-3.00247-3.

Enoh M.A., Okeke U.C., Narinau N.Y. (2021). Identification and modelling of forest fire severity and risk zones in the Cross – Niger transition forest with remotely sensed satellite data. The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Sciences, 21, pp. 879 – 887.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2022). The EU Emissions Trading System. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.epa.ie/our-services/licensing/climate-change/eu-emissions-trading-system-/</u>

EU Peatlands and CAP Network (2021). Policy briefing paper (Definition of Paludiculture in the CAP.

European Chemical Agency (ECHA) (ND). Microplastics. Retrieved from: <u>https://echa.europa.eu/hot-topics/microplas-</u> tics

European Commission (EC) (2004). Environmental Liability Directive.

European Commission (EC) (2006). Draft Soil Directive.

European Commission (EC) (2010a). Industrial Emissions Directive.

European Commission (EC) (2010b). Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework Directive. Guidance Document No. 26: Guidance on Risk Assessment and the use of Conceptual Models for Groundwater. European Commission, Brussels DOI 10.2779/53333

European Commission (EC) (2018a). Agriculture glossary. Retrieved from: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Category:Agriculture_glossary</u>

European Commission (EC) (2018b). Agriculture Knowledge and Innovation Systems. Stimulating creativity and learning. Retrieved from: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/sites/default/files/eip-agri_brochure_knowledge_sys-</u> tems_2018_en_web.pdf

European Commission (EC) (2020). Caring for soil is caring for life – Ensure 75% of soils are healthy by 2030 for food, people, nature and climate. European Commission (EC) Directorate-General for Research and Innovation and Directorate-General for Agriculture and Rural Development. European Commission, B-1049 Brussels. First edition.

European Commission (EC) (2021a). EU soil strategy for 2030. Reaping the benefits of health soils for people, food, nature and climate. Communication from the commission to the European parliament, the council, the European economic and social committee and the committee of the regions. Retrieved from: <u>https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52021DC0699</u>

European Commission (EC) (2021b). European Missions: A Soil Deal for Europe: 100 living labs and lighthouses to lead the transition towards healthy soils by 2030 – Implementation plan. European Commission, Brussels.

European Commission (EC) (2022). Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, EU mission, soil deal for Europe, 2022. Retrieved from: <u>https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/706627</u>

European Council (ND). Common agricultural policy. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/policies/cap-introduction/</u>

European Environment Agency (EEA) (2021). Soil moisture deficit. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.eea.eu-ropa.eu/ims/soil-moisture-deficit</u>

European Environment Agency (EEA) (2022). EEA glossary. Retrieved from: https://www.eea.europa.eu/help/glossary

European Environment Agency (EEA) (ND). European Environment Agency, Land take in Europe. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/land-take-3</u>

European Soil Data Centre (ESDAC) (2022). Soil Organic Carbon Content. Retrieved from: <u>https://esdac.jrc.ec.eu-ropa.eu/themes/soil-organic-carbon-content</u>

FAO (1976). A framework for land evaluation. Land suitability classifications. ISBN 92-5-100111-1.

FAO (2017). Voluntary Guidelines for Sustainable Soil Management. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome, Italy

FAO (2022a). Soil Salinization and sodification. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership/areas-of-work/soil-salinity/en/</u>

FAO (2022b). Soil biodiversity. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.fao.org/global-soil-partnership/areas-of-work/soil-biodi-versity/en/</u>

FAO (2022c). AGROVOC Multilingual Thesaurus. Vocabulary information. Retrieved from: <u>https://agrovoc.fao.org/browse/agrovoc/en/</u>

Ferber U., Grimski D., Millar K., Nathanail P. (2006). Final Report: Concerted Action of Brownfield Economic Regeneration (CABERNET), Nottingham

Framba A. (2020). Overtourism: Causes, Consequences and Solutions. EcoBNB. Retrieved from: <u>https://ecobnb.com/blog/2020/02/overtourism-causes-consequences-solutions/</u>

Genesereth M.R., Nilsson N.J. (1987). Logical Foundations of Artificial Intelligence. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.

Ghangrekar M.M., Bhowmick, Sathe S.M. (2020). An overview of membrane bioreactor coupled bioelectrochemical systems. Chapter 12 in: Integrated Microbial Fuel Cells for Wastewater Treatment. Retrieved from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817493-7.00012-6</u>.

Global Footprint Network (GFN) (ND). Ecological footprint. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.footprintnetwork.org/our-work/ecological-footprint/</u>

GREENLAND (2014). Gentle remediation of trace element contaminated land.

Gruber T.R. (1993). A Translation Approach to Portable Ontology Specifications. Knowledge Acquisition, 5(2):199-220. Retrieved from: <u>http://tomgruber.org/writing/ontolingua-kaj-1993.pdf</u>

Haines-Young R.H., Potschin M.B. (2018). Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES) V5.1 and Guidance on the Application of the Revised Structure. Fabis Consulting Ltd

Harter T. (2003). Groundwater Quality and Groundwater Pollution. University of California. Retrieved from: <u>https://groundwater.ucdavis.edu/files/136273.pdf</u>

Holechek, J. L., Gomes, H. D. S., Molinar, F., & Galt, D. (1998). Grazing intensity: critique and approach. Rangelands Archives, 20(5), 15-18.

HOMBRE – Outcomes. Retrieved from: http://www.zerobrownfields.eu/index.aspx

HOMBRE (2013). D2.2 Cost effective monitoring within the Circular Land Management Framework. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.zerobrownfields.eu/content.aspx?wp=2&p=233</u>

HOMBRE (2014). D2.3 Successful Brownfield Regeneration. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.zerobrownfields.eu/con-tent.aspx?wp=2&p=233</u>

Hooimeijer F.L., Maring L. (2018). The significance of the subsurface in urban renewal, Journal of Urbanism: International Research on Placemaking and Urban Sustainability, DOI: 10.1080/17549175.2017.1422532

Huang K., Li Y., Hu J., Tang C., Zhang S., Fu S., Jiang P., Ge T., Luo Y., Song X., Li Y., Cai Y. (2021). Rates of soil respiration components in response to inorganic and organic fertilisers in an intensively-managed Moso bamboo forest. Geoderma, 403, 115212.

Huber S., Prokop G. et al (2008). Environmental Assessment of Soil for Monitoring. Volume I: Indicators & Criteria, publisher: Joint Research Centre of the European Commission, ISBN 978-92-79-09708-9

McIvor I., Youjun H., Daoping L., Eyles G., Pu Z. (2014). Agroforestry: Conservation Trees and Erosion Prevention,

SMS

Integrated Management Strategy (IMS) (ND). Megasites. When contamination has an impact on large areas. Retrieved from: <u>https://publicwiki.deltares.nl/display/IMSW/Megasites</u>

Irish Universities Association (IUA) (ND). Knowledge transfer. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.iua.ie/for-research-ers/knowledge-transfer/</u>

ISO 11074 (2015). Soil quality — Vocabulary

Jafarbiglu H., Pourreza A. (2022). A comprehensive review of remote sensing platforms, sensors, and applications in nut crops. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 197, 106844.

Kaewboonma N., Tuamsuk K., Buranarach M. (2014). Ontology modelling for a drought management information system. Libres 24(1): 21-33 Retrieved from: <u>http://www.libres-ejournal.info/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Ll-BRESv24i1p21-33.Kaewboonma.2014.pdf</u>

Kane J. (2022). Forest fire. Encyclopedia Britannica. Retrieved from: https://www.britannica.com/science/forest-fire

Keesstra S., Nunes J. P., Novara A., Finger D., Avelar D., Kalantari Z., & Cerdà, A. (2018). The superior effect of nature based solutions in land management for enhancing ecosystem services. Science of the Total Environment, 610-611, 997-1009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.077

Keith H., Mackey B., Berry S., Lindenmayer D., Gibbons P. (2010). Estimating carbon carrying capacity in natural forest ecosystems across heterogeneous landscapes: addressing sources of error. Global Change Biology, 16, pp. 2971-2989.

Kolb P. (2019) Climate, Forests and Woodlands. Soils and water availability. Montana State University. Retrieved from: <u>https://climate-woodlands.extension.org/soils-and-water-availability/</u>

Land Use and Land Cover Survey (LUCAS) (2021).

Manley P.N., Zielinski W.J., Stuart C.M., Keane J.J., Lind A.J., Brown C., Plymale B.L., Napper C.O. (2000) Monitoring ecosystems in the Sierra Nevada: the conceptual model foundation. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 64(1):139-152. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.fs.fed.us/psw/publications/zielinski/psw_2000_zielinski002_manley.pdf</u>

Merriam Webster (2022). Dictionary. Retrieved from: https://www.merriam-webster.com/

Merrit W.S., Letcher R.A., Jakeman A.J. (2003). A review of erosion and sediment transport models. Environmental Modelling & Software. 18(8–9):761-799. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/arti-cle/pii/S1364815203000781</u>

Noy N.F., MgGuinness D.L. (2017). Ontology Development 101: A Guide to Creating Your First Ontology. Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305. Retrieved from: <u>http://protege.stanford.edu/publications/ontology_development/ontology101.pdf</u>

NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (NSW) (2020). Wind erosion. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/land-and-soil/soil-degradation/wind-erosion</u>

Ontl T. A. & Schulte L. A. (2012). Soil Carbon Storage. Nature Education Knowledge 3(10):35

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2008). OECD glossary of statistical terms. Paris: OECD. Retrieved from: <u>https://stats.oecd.org/glossary/index.htm</u>

Page K.L., Dang Y.P., Dalal R.C. (2020). The ability of conservation agriculture to conserve soil organic carbon and the subsequent impact on soil physical, chemical, and biological properties and yield. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 4 (31).

Prokop G., Jobstmann H. (2011). Report on best practices for limiting soil sealing and mitigating its effects. Publisher: European Commission, Brussels, Technical Report - 2011 – 050, ISBN : 978-92-79-20669-6. Retrieved from: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/soil/pdf/sealing/Soil%20sealing%20-%20Final%20Report.pdf</u>

Quevauviller P., Balabanis P., Fragakis C., Weydert M., Oliver M., Kaschl A., Arnold G., Kroll A., Galbiati L., Zaldivar J., Bidoglio G. (2005). Science-policy integration needs in support of the implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive. Environ Sci Policy 8:203-211

Ridder D., Mostert E., Wolters H. (Eds.) (2005). Learning together to manage together – improving participation in

water management. Handbook from the project 'Harmonizing Collaborative Planning' (HarmoniCOP), University of Osnabrück, Osnabrück. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.harmonicop.uni-osnabrueck.de/HarmoniCOPHandbook.pdf</u>

Rocher L.M., Schnell S. (ND). Modelling the world. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.informatics.indiana.edu/rocha/aca-demics/i101/pdfs/i101_lecnotes_v3.pdf</u>

Schjønning P., van den Akker J. J., Keller T., Greve M. H., Lamandé M., Simojoki A., ... & Breuning-Madsen H. (2015). Driver-pressure-state-impact-response (DPSIR) analysis and risk assessment for soil compaction—a European perspective. Advances in agronomy, 133, 183-237.

Schroder C., Hafner F., Larsen O.C., Krause A. (2021). Urban organic waste for urban farming: growing lettuce using vermicompost and thermophilic compost. Agronomy, 11, 1175.

Slob A., Duijn M. (2014). Improving the Connection Between Science and Policy for River Basin Management. In: Brils J, Brack W, Müller-Grabherr D, Negrel P, Vermaat JE (eds) Risk-informed management of European River Basins. Springer, Heidelberg

Slob A., Rijnveld M., Chapman A., Strosser P. (2007). Challenges of linking scientific knowledge to river basin management policy: AquaTerra as a case study. Environ Pollut 148:867-874

Soil Mission Support – D 2.2. Initial collection of living labs and lighthouses in the field of soil management. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.soilmissionsupport.eu/</u>

Soil Science Society of America (SSSA) (2022). Glossary of soil science terms. Retrieved from: https://www.soils.org/publications/soils-glossary/

SoilAssociation (2022). Managing your soil: looking after what you've got. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.soilassocia-tion.org/farmers-growers/technicalinformation/soil-health/managing-your-soil/</u>

SoilCare (2022). Glossary. Retrieved from: https://soilcare-project.eu/resources/glossary/

SOILECTION (2008). Beslissingsondersteunend internetinstrument dat ervaringen met in-situ bodem saneren ontsluit.

Spears, S. (2018). What is Biochar? Regeneration International. Retrieved from: <u>https://regenerationinterna-</u>tional.org/2018/05/16/what-is-biochar/

SURFACE (2019). International Expert Workshop on Land Take, 4 – 5 April 2019 in Berlin. Retrieved from: https://www.ufz.de/export/data/464/239438_235934_SURFACE%20Workshop%20report_final_2019-11-07.pdf

Teagasc (2020). Grassland re-seeding: how to establish multi-species swards. Retrieved from: https://www.teagasc.ie/publications/2020/grassland-re-seeding-how-to-establish-multi-species-swards.php

Teagasc (2022). Cover crops. Retrieved from: https://www.teagasc.ie/crops/crops/break-crops/cover-crops/

TIMBRE Glossary (2022). http://www.timbre-project.eu/en/brownfield.html

Union of International Associations (UIA)(2020). The encyclopedia of world problems and human potential. Retrieved from: <u>http://encyclopedia.uia.org/en/problem/136785</u>

UN Climate Technology Centre & Network (CTCN) (2022). Restoration of organic soils. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.ctc-n.org/technologies/restoration-organic-soils</u>

UNCCD (2015). Report of the Conference of the Parties on its twelfth session, held in Ankara from 12 to 23 October 2015. Part two: Actions taken by the Conference of the Parties at its twelfth session. ICCD/ COP(12)/20/Add. Bonn: United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification.

United Nations (1992). Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)

United Nations Climate Change Secretariat (2014). Handbook on Measurement, Reporting and Verification for developing Country Parties. UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, Bonn, Germany.

United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD) (2010). Background Information. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.un.org/en/events/desertification_decade/background.shtml</u>

United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification (UNDDD) (2012). Zero Net land Degradation. A sustainable development goal for RIO+20. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.commonland.com/wp-content/up-loads/2019/09/UNCCDPolicyBriefZeroNetLandDegradation_9402.pdf</u>

University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point (2016). Erosion Vulnerability Index. Upper Couderay River Watershed Sawyer and Washburn counties, WI. Retrieved from: <u>https://www3.uwsp.edu/cols-ap/GIS/Documents/COLA/Maps/Erosion-VulnerabilityIndex_12-09-16.pdf</u>

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA) (2015). Soil Quality Indicators. Physical, chemical and biological indicators for soil quality assessment and management. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/por-tal/nrcs/detail/soils/health/assessment/?cid=stelprdb1237387</u>

Van der Meulen S.M., Maring L. (2019). Mapping and Assessment of Ecosystems and their Services Soil ecosystems. SOILS4EU deliverable 1.2. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.worldsoilday2017.eu/pdfs/Soils4EU_D1.2_ecosystems.temservices_MAES.pdf</u>

Van Gaans P., Ellen G.J. (2014). Successful Brownfield Regeneration HOMBRE Deliverable 2.2. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.zerobrownfields.eu/HombreTrainingGallery/HOMBRE_D2.3_final.pdf</u>

Van-Camp L., Bujarrabal B., Gentile A-R., Jones R.J.A., Montanarella L., Olazabal C. and Selvaradjou S-K. (2004). Reports of the Technical Working Groups Established under the Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection. EUR 21319 EN/6, 872 pp. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.

Watson R.T. (2005). Turning science into policy: challenges and experiences from the science-policy interface. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2004.1601. PMID: 15814358; PMCID: PMC1569452. Retrieved from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1569452/

Zomer R.J., Bossio D.A., Sommer R., Verchot, L.V. (2017). Global sequestration potential of increased organic carbon in cropland soils. Scientific Reports, 7, 15554.

7 ANNEX: POLICY BRIEF

Policy brief



Common language for facilitating stakeholder engagement in Soil Mission achievement

Written by Jos Brils and Laura Nougues, Deltares

Executive statement

Soil experts in the Horizon 2020 project Soil Mission Support (SMS) developed an ontology aimed to facilitate stakeholder engagement in the achievement of the Soil Mission objectives. This ontology should be used in soil policy and management practice, such as Living Labs. In such settings, the ontology can be improved through interaction with stakeholders from different backgrounds, further increasing its value.

Key recommendations

- Recommendation 1: use this ontology in soil policy and management practice (e.g. Living Labs)
- Recommendation 2: soil policy makers and managers should promote its use in such practice
- Recommendation 3: use the feedback from stakeholders to further improve the ontology

Introduction/Problem/Context

The Soil Mission and its related objectives and specific targets can only be achieved through healthy soils and for that, stakeholder engagement is needed. Healthy soils are defined as soils that are in good chemical, biological and physical condition and thus can continuously provide as many ecosystem services as possible. Stakeholders are defined as those who are affected in their interest or concern by changes in soil and land management. With multi-stakeholder processes, language and use of language is very important. The capability to understand each other is critical. A common language facilitates 'learning together' which helps to build trust, develop a common view on the issues at stake, resolve conflicts and arrive at joint solutions that are technically sound and that can be implemented in practice.

Ontology

Ontology defines a common vocabulary for those who, for example, need to converse about a common issue or share information in a specific domain, such as soil and land management aimed to achieve the Soil Mission objectives.

Experts in the SMS project – jointly covering the fields of expertise related to all the 8 Soil Mission objectives – developed a first version of such an ontology. The ontology was divided in 3 levels: (1) soil and land and its use, (2) soil management and (3) achievement of the Soil Mission objectives. Objects of relevance were selected at each level. It was conceptualized how these objects interrelate (conceptual model, such as the one to the right for soil management: drawing Joost Fluitsma) and these objects were defined in a common language.

The ontology can be downloaded from the SMS website at: https://www.soilmissionsupport.eu/outputs

PROCERM OF MEASURES

Acknowledgements



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 101000258. The content of publication is the sole responsibility of the authors. The European Commission or its services cannot be held responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Link to the Ontology and this policy brief: https://www.soilmissionsupport.eu/outputs