

Directorate General IV Directorate of Culture and of Cultural and Natural Heritage

Survey on Access to Archives

2022

Dear Participant,

this survey is undertaken in order to assess the current state of implementation of Recommendation R(2000)13 of the Committee of Ministers of Council of Europe to the member states on a **European Policy on Access to Archives**, adopted on 13 July 2000 and to identify current and future challenges regarding Access to Archives. It is a follow-up to the study "Access to Archives - A handbook of guidelines for implementation of Recommendation No R(2000)13 on a European policy on access to archives" written by Charles Kecskeméti and Iván Székely and published by the Council of Europe in 2005.

The text of the Recommendation can be accessed under: https://rm.coe.int/16804cea4f (EN) and https://rm.coe.int/16804ca098 (FR).

This questionnaire concerns only holdings transferred to the custody of national and other public archival institutions and services, including independent specialised and agency archives. Access to current records still in the agencies is not covered by the questionnaire.

This questionnaire and its Appendix are intended for public Archives or archival institutions. If possible, please fill in the survey form not only on the basis of the regulation and practice of your own Archives or institution, but also take into account the regulations and practices of other public Archives in your country.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please feel free to contact us:

Mr. Michael Friedewald
Fraunhofer-Institut für System- und Innovationsforschung ISI
Competence Center Emerging Technologies
Coordinator ICT Research
Breslauer Straße 48 | 76139 Karlsruhe
fon: +49 721 6809-146 (-166, ass.)
michael.friedewald@isi.fraunhofer.de

Thank you for your cooperation.

	► 0. INTRODUCTION ◀	
0.1	Has Council of Europe Recommendation R(2000)13 been public in your country?	shed
	YES □	NO \square
0.1.	1 If yes , please specify:	
	Language(s):	
	Bibliographical reference(s):	
0.2	Is the national legislation in your country compatible with the Recommendation?	
	Fully compatible	
	Compatible with a few exceptions	
	Partly compatible	
	Not compatible \square	
0.3	Have you encountered difficulties in following the Recommendation?	
	YES □	NO \square
	1 If you encountered difficulties in following the Recommendation, ple relevant checkboxes to indicate in which respect these problems occ	
	Legislation not harmonised	
	Significant differences at various levels of the Administration	
	Documents classified without time limit	
	Cumbersome downgrading and declassification procedures	
	Access to former political police archives	
	Set-backs in arrangement and description	
	Destination of the records of suppressed agencies	
	Mass privatisation	
	Inadequate resources (staff, financial means, equipment etc.)	
	Lack of knowledge about the Recommendation	
	Other (please specify)	

	► 1. ARCHIVAL AND RELATED L	EGISLATIOI	N 🔻
1.1	Are the following types of legislation in force	e in your co	untry?
	Archives Act	YES	NO 🗆
	Act on former secret service archives	YES 🗆	NO \square
	Freedom of Information Act	YES \square	NO \square
	Secrecy Act	YES 🗆	NO \square
	National Data Protection Act	YES	NO \square
	Copyright Act	YES 🗆	NO \square
	General Data Protection Regulation (GDP of the European Union	R) YES □	NO 🗆
	Council of Europe Convention on Access to Official Documents	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
	Other relevant Acts relating to access to Archives	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
1.2	In recent years, have laws been enacted or which had an impact on access to archives		
	► 2. ARCHIVAL REGULA	TIONS	▲
2.1			ountry?
-			_
	Enforcement orders of the Archival Act Ministerial instructions	YES □	NO ∐
		169 🗆	NO \square
	General regulations or operational rules of the Archives	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
	Professional Codes	YES	NO 🗆
	Other instruments	YES 🗆	NO \square
2.2	In recent years, have archival regulations be country, which had an impact on access to		
		YES	\square NO \square
	1 If yes , please explain what archival regulations how they affect access to archives and docume		ssued or modified
23	Are there special/internal regulations issued	1 by your	

archives/organization?

COUNCIL OF EUROPE - SURVEY ON ACCESS TO ARCHIVES YES Reading room regulation NO \square

	Special regulation on access to restricted documents	VEC.	NO			
	Other regulatory texts	YES □	NO NO			
	Office regulatory texts		110			
	► 3. NATIONA	L VALIDITY		◄		
3.1	Are there public archival institutions archives) which are exempt from the Archives Act or in other laws?	•				
			YES		NO	
	1 If yes , please mark which public archi eral access rule:	val institutions	s are exe	empt fr	om the	•
́а) <u>А</u>	Archives of central state authorities and Archives of the Head of State / Preside Archives of the Parliament Archives of the Prime Minister's Office Archives of the Ministry of Foreign Affa Archives of the Ministry of Defence/ M Archives of the Ministry of the Interior Police Archives Former Secret Service / Political Police Judicial archives Archives of other authorities exempted	ential Archives airs ilitary Archives	YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES	 	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	
(b) <u>s</u>	Public Archives Public Archives / Documentation centr war, prosecutions or military occupation Archives of the Central Statistical Office Archives of the Academy of Sciences Civil Register Archives Land register / cadastral archives	n YE	s 🗆 s 🗆	NO NO NO NO		
3.2	Is access to unrestricted files in the authorisation?	se Archives	-	_		
			YES		NO	

3.3	in these Archives?		e obtained	ior research in r	estricted	nies
				YI	ES □	NO □
3.4	Are access provisions (federal) state, reginancess provisions State?	onal (m	ember-state	e), and local adn	ninistratio	n? Are
	1 If access provisions country (or federal st			quivalent through	ES □ nout the te	NO □ rritory of the
3.5	Are there typical di regional (member-s implementation of or other resources)	state), a access	nd the loca	administration (because of lack	regarding of huma	the n, financial
regio acce	I If there are typical donal (member-state), ess provisions (becausain these differences	and the	local admini	he levels of centr stration regarding	ı the imple	mentation of
3.6	Does the current le archives (the existe	_				
	Yes, explicitly Yes, implicitly No					
(the	I If the current legislates existence of which is e provisions concerned	not reve		olic agencies, ple		
	Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Agency's internal regulations	Other	
	Other (please specif	y)				
	_	4 4	CCESS CO	PANOITIONS	4	

4.1	is access to archives regarded as a right in the rei	evant legislat	ion?
		YES 🗆	NO \square
4.2	Is access to unrestricted documents systematicall authorization?	y subject to	
		YES 🗆	NO 🗆
4.3	Are the archives entitled to deny production of docirrelevant for the submitted research theme?	cuments they	consider
		YES \square	NO \square
4.4	Are there specific rules applicable to specific cate	gories of use	rs?
		YES 🗆	NO □
4.4.	A If yes, please mark for which groups specific rules are Academic users Non-academic researchers Non-national users Other (please specify)	e applicable:	
4.4.2	2 If there are specific rules, what are these based on? The Archives Act Other legal instruments The operational rules of the Archives □		
	4.4.1.1 If academic users are privileged, recognition	on of academ	ic status
	Is based on a legal definition Is left at the discretion of the Archives		
	▶ 5. FEES ◄		
5.1	Is access to the paper-based documents and their copies – as a main rule defined by law – free of cha		or digitized
5.2	Is access to the documents online – as a main rule charge?	YES □ e defined by I	NO □ aw – free of
		YES □	NO \square
5.3	Is the issue of the reader's card free of charge?		
		YES 🗆	NO \square
5.3.	1 If not , amount charged (in Euro):		

For one visit EUR _____

		For a me		EUR	_				
5.4	Fees a		st of pr	oduction ma	y be charged	on tl	ne follo	wing	
		oduction of pl	hotocor	oies					
		elivery of auth	•						
		oduction of m		•					
	Pr	oduction of so	cans (oi	n archive equ	ipment)				
	Ad	ccess to audio	-visual	records					
	Ad	ccess to elect	ronic re	cords					
		ccess to recor							
		her custodial							
	O	ther services,	please	specify					
	Source	of the provisi	ions coi	ncerned:					
	30000	, c. a.o p.o.o.			The Archives	,			
		Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	internal regulation	ons	Other		
	Other (please specif	y)						
		>	6. GEN	ERAL CLOS	URE PERIOD		◄		
6.1		•		-	ified in the ard		_		for
						YES		NO	
6.1.	1 If yes	Leng	th of the	e general clos	sure period:	<u> </u>	/ears		
6.1.2	2 If no	Is there a get transferred to			nmediate acce	ss to	docume	ents	
						YES		NO	
	Source	of the provisi	ions coi	ncerned:	 1 A1				
		Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Archives internal regulation		Other		
	Other (please specif	y)						
		•							_

6.2	no longer covered by the		•	ning o	reco	ras tn	at are
	Yes, systematically Yes, occasionally No						
6.3	Do the Archives keep ac under the Freedom of In- held in the originating ag	formation Ac					
	Yes, systematically Yes, occasionally No						
	► 7. CL	OSURE AND	DISCLOSURI	E	◄		
	Recommendation specifinecessary for the protection	•	otions to the	genera	al acce	ess ru	le may
	– of public interests (na	ational defen	ce, foreign po	olicy, p	oublic	order) or
	 of private individual their private lives. 	s against th	e release of	inforr	natior	n cond	erning
7.1	Are there other criteria for	exceptions	to the genera	l rule,	such	as	
	Office secrets		YES		NO		
	Private economic inte	rests	YES		NO		
	Working and backgro Other (please specify				NO		
	Source of the provisions c	oncerned:					
	Archival Act FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Archive internal regula		Other		
	Other (please specify)						
7.2	Substantial criteria of cla	assifying sec	ret/non-acce	ssible	docui	ments	:
	Defence			YES		NO	
	National security			YES		NO	
	Diplomacy, internation	nal relations		YES		NO	
	Public order			YES		NO	

Criminal investigation and prevention of crime YES NO \square YES NO \square Judicature The economic interests of the State YES NO \square Other (please specify) Source of the provisions concerned: The Archives' Archival Act FOIA Secrecy Act internal regulations Other П П Other (please specify) 7.3 Is there a *general* restriction for protecting personal data in archival documents? NO \square YES 7.4 Are there specific restrictions for protecting personal data in archival documents regarding Statistical material YES \square NO \square Civil register YES NO \square YES Medical data NO \square Other (please specify) 7.5 In the Archives access to documents containing personal data is principally governed by Provisions of the Data Protection Act П Provisions of the Archival Act Other legal provisions (please specify) 7.6 Decisions about the classification of restricted documents, for reasons other than the protection of personal data, is made by The originating agency A national agency or commission 7.7 Are there provisions for regular review/downgrading of classified documents? YES NO \square

7.8 Is a formal declassification procedure needed at the closure period?	ne expiration	of the
	YES	NO □
7.9 Are there documents classified without time limit?	•	
	YES 🗆	NO \square
7.10 Authority entitled to declassify such documents: The originating agency or its successor	П	
One agency or ad-hoc body for documents		
of any provenance		
7.11 Are there provisions for re-classification?		
	YES	NO \square
► 8. FINDING AIDS (CATALOGUES	S) <	I
8.1 Are there specific rules governing access to finding	ng aids?	
	YES	NO \square
8.1.1 If yes		
Access to all finding aids (except those published	l)	
is subject to authorization Access to <i>certain</i> finding aids is subject to authori	ization	
· ·		
8.2 Do the Archives prepare finding aids describing re	estricted hole	dings?
Only in certain cases		
No		
If yes		
8.2.1 Are these finding aids accessible without r	estriction	

	Source	e of the provis Archival Act	ions coi	Secrecy Act	The Archives'	Other	
	Other ((please specit	- y)				
		>	9. S	PECIAL PER	RMISSIONS	•	
9.1		sers apply fo ted/non-acc	-	-	on for access to ?		
.		,		,		s 🗆	NO 🗆
9.1.1	Fo Fo	please speci or private lega or academic r or journalistic	al reaso esearch	าร	can special permi □ □ □	ssions be	granted:
If ye	o:	•	_				
	Tł Tł	ne Archives we parent ming originating nother author	ithout c istry/aut agency ity (plea	hority of the or its successe specify)	er agencies or cor Archives ssor	nmissions	s
			•		ocuments concerr	ned	
	Source	e of the provis	ions co	ncerned:	The Archives' internal regulations	Other	
	Other ((please specit	- y)				
9.2	to form	ner repressiv	e orga s) or ar	nizations (so e there spec	s for preserving output and as the secret cial rules governi	police in	n non- ss to these _
						s 🗆	NO 🗆
relat	ing to fo		ive orga	nizations (su	s regarding acces: uch as the secret p		

_						
	•	10	. PARTIAL	ACCESS	◄	
	-		•	l release of restric anonymization, b		
				YES	3 🗆	NO \square
	tion of parts of the		• ,	release of restrict ization, blanking et		
Sc	ource of the provis	sions cor	ncerned:			
	Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Archives' internal regulations	Other	
Ot	ther (please speci	fy)				
please	-	the Arc se? chives		tial release of res a position (staff a		-
	>	11. F	REFUSALS -	- APPEALS	•	
	re there provision cess requests?	ns for o	bligatory wr	itten communicat	tion of re	fusals of
	f yes ,what is the inication of refus		•	isions for obligat	S □ ory writt	NO □ en
	Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Archives' internal regulations	Other	
Ot	ther (please speci	fy)				

11.2 Are there possibilities of making administrative appeals?								
				Y	ES 🗆	NO □		
11.2.1	If yes , the authority				_			
	Parent Ministry/		y of the Arch	ives [
	Ministry of the I							
	Prime Minister's	Office						
	Other administration (please spe		ıthority					
11.2.2	lf yes							
;	Source of the provi	sions co	oncerned:					
	Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Archives' internal regulation	s Other			
0	ther (please specif	w)						
O	ther (piease speen	y /						
11.3 Aı	re there possibilit	ies for a	appealing to	courts?				
				Υ	ES 🗆	NO □		
11.3.1	lf yes			-				
,	Source of the provi	sions co	oncerned:					
	Archival Act	FOIA		The Archives'	s Other			
	Archival Act	FUIA	Secrecy Act	internal regulation	s Other			
	Ц	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш			
0	ther (please specif	v)						
		, ,						
44.4.4	the ether	. المالة مال ما	. f .	d				
	re there other pos formation Commi		_	earess (e.g. On	nbuasman	1		
				v		NO 🗆		
				Y	ES U	NO ∐		
11.4.1	If yes , please spec	ify what	t other possik	oilities for legal re	edress (e.g.			
Ombud	Isman, Information	Commi	issioner etc.)	exist:				
_						_		
-						_		
11.4.2	lf yes							
;	Source of the provi	sions co	oncerned:					
	Archival Act	FOIA	Secrecy Act	The Archives' internal regulation	s Other			

Other (please specify)		
11.5 In the past 12 months, according to your knowledg refuse full or partial access to documents in its cu researchers' request?		
	YES 🗆	NO \square
11.5.1 If your institution refused full or partial access to docu upon researchers' request in the past 12 months, what were access?		•
General closure period		
Documents containing personal data		
Classified data/documents		
Copyright		
Researcher's insufficient qualification		
Documents not necessary for the research topic		
Donor's wish stipulated in contract		
Other reasons		
11.5.2 Did the researchers turn to higher authoriti refusal?	YES	NO \Box
11.5.1.1 If yes , what was the outcome of their appea	1?	
Access granted to the requested documen	ts 🗆	
Access granted to certain documents or certain parts of documents		
Refusals upheld		
► 12. PRIVATE ARCHIVES	•	
12.1 Are there legal, financial or other provisions intendaccess to private archives?	ded to enco	ourage
12.1.1 If yes , please specify legal, financial or other provision encourage access to private archives	YES □ ons intende	NO □ d to
12.2 la thara		
12.2 Is there A Register of protected private archives?		

	Council of	F EUROPE – SURVEY ON ACCESS TO ARCHIVES	
	A specialized au	vate archives open to the public? Ithority/service to assist private archives? It/budget line for subsidising private archives?	
Add	itional information y	ou consider important	_
	13. DI	GITIZATION / DIGITAL DOCUMENTS ¹	_
13.1	Have digital technol access to documen	logies introduced in your Archives facilitated lawfuts?	ll.
	Yes, because digital	technologies reduce the reference archivists' burdens	
	•	documents are easier to use in research rooms documents can be made available remotely over the	
	Partly, because only	some documents are digitized	
	No, because these te	echnologies detract resources from processing and	
	No, because researc	hers develop exaggerated demands for digitization	
	No, because researc	hers can copy and publish documents illegally	
13.2	a What percentage o	of your holdings are born-digital?	
	Textual documents:		
	less than 5%		
	5-15%		
	15-25%		
	25-50%		
	more than 50%	6 □	

¹ A "digital document" is any document in digital form. A "digitized document" is a digital document created by (retro-)digitizing earlier anologue documents. Born-digital documents refers to refers to documents that originate in a digital form.

				YES	NO \square
	Do you involve use documents through	_		ting archival	
	Conservational reas	sons (vulnerable o	locuments)		
	creating copies on I	new media) 🗆			
	Regular preservation	•	f digital documer	nts (format mi	gration,
	The logic of archiva	I management			
	Provide documents	to a larger user g	roup via online a	ccess 🗆	
	Responding on rese	earchers' demand			
	When defining prior primary importance			nents, which	is of
	more than 50%	%□			
	25-50%				
	15-25%				
	5-15%				
	less than 5%				
	Audio, film and video	documents:			
	more than 50%	%□			
	25-50%				
	15-25%				
	5-15%				
	Textual documents:	. , J	-	•	
13.2b	What percentage o	of your (analogue	e) holdings have	e been digitiz	zed?
	more than 50%	%□			
	25-50%				
	15-25%				
	5-15%				
	less than 5%				
	Audio, film and video	documents:			

3.5 In your opinion, does enhanced digit in:	al accessibil	ity of docum	ents result
Increasing national security risks?			
Increasing privacy/data protection risk	s?		
Increasing copyright infringement risks	s?		
Increasing risks of forging or modifying	g documents?		
14. REMOTE	ACCESS		
4.1 Are your catalogues/finding aids ava	ilable online	?	
4.1.1 If yes, are there digital cross-referenc	es in the cata	logues?	
Only in the description			
Links to other parts of the collection			
Links to individual documents			
Links to other Archives' collections			
4.2 Do you provide free access to digital	/digitized do	cuments onl	ine?
		YES 🗆	NO \square
4.2.1 If yes, which parts can be accessed	I free of char	ge?	
Only selected samples			
Complete collections or series			
Most, or all of the archival holdings are 4.2.2 If not, what are the alternatives?	e available on	line 🗆	
The archive provides online document		□ tion with a co	mmercial
service provider			

Providing information on your institutional website Providing information on social networks Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists Real-time chat connection with reference archivists 14.4 Does your institution provide remote access to its holdings? NO \square YES 14.4.1 If yes, how? П Through a detailed online catalogue Through a dedicated private channel established for registered users By uploading significant parts of your holdings to the internet П By offering a digitization-on-demand service for remote users П By offering artificial intelligence-based applications for finding documents \Box 15. POSSIBILITY FOR ADDITIONAL POINTS 15.1 If you feel that the guestions and items listed are not adequate to describe the situation of access to archives in your country, please let us know in which way this is the case: 16. THANK YOU 16.1 End of the survey Thank you very much for your participation in the access to archives survey. The results of the survey will be submitted to the Council of Europe at the end of the vear. Publication is scheduled for the beginning of next year. If you wish to be notified of the survey results, you can enter your e-mail address in the box below.

COUNCIL OF EUROPE - SURVEY ON ACCESS TO ARCHIVES

With "continue" you close the survey and then you cannot make any more changes.



Directorate General IV Culture and Cultural Heritage Division

Survey on Access to Archives

2022

Dear Participant,

this survey has been undertaken in order to assess the applicability and the impact of Recommendation R(2000)13 of the Committee of Ministers of Council of Europe to the member states on a **European Policy on Access to Archives**, adopted on 13 July 2000. It is a follow-up to the study "Access to Archives - A handbook of guidelines for implementation of Recommendation No R(2000)13 on a European policy on access to archives" written by Charles Kecskeméti and Iván Székely and published by the Council of Europe in 2005.

The text of the Recommendation can be accessed under: https://rm.coe.int/16804cea4f (EN) and https://rm.coe.int/16804ca098 (FR).

This questionnaire concerns only holdings transferred to the custody of national and other public archival institutions and services, including independent specialised and agency archives. Access to current records still in the agencies is not covered by the questionnaire.

This questionnaire is intended to cover the views of academics on archival access. Since the questionnaire is being sent to a sample of academics, we would like to ask you not only to complete the survey form based on your personal experience, but also to consider the opinions and experiences of other academic users of public archives in your country when completing it, if possible. The questions are intended to explore actual practice, not the legal provisions for access, nor the opinion of the Archives themselves.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please feel free to contact us:

Mr. Michael Friedewald
Fraunhofer-Institute für System- und Innovationsforschung ISI
Competence Center Emerging Technologies
Coordinator ICT Research
Breslauer Straße 48 | 76139 Karlsruhe
fon: +49 721 6809-146 (-166, ass.)
michael.friedewald@isi.fraunhofer.de

Thank you for your cooperation.

▶ 0.	INTR	ODUCTION	◀		
0.1 Is the academic community Recommendation?	of yo	ur country awaı	re of the exis	tence o	f this
It is practically unknown Some scholars know it It is well-known					
► 1. ARCHIVAL	AND R	ELATED LEGIS	LATION	•	
1.1 Do you encounter obstacles legislation?	s to re	search, based o	on the <i>provis</i>	ions of	,
In pre-1989 documen	ts?	YES 🗆	NO \square		
In post-1989 docume	nts?	YES 🗆	NO 🗆		
1.1.1 If yes, these obstacl	es are	based on			
(please mark the relevant che	ckbox	res)			
pre-1989 documents Archives Act		post- Archives Act	-1989 documen	ts	
Act on former secret service archives		Act on former	secret service a	rchives	
Freedom of Information Act		Freedom of In	formation Act		
Official secrets Act		Official secrets	s Act		
National Data Protection Act		National Data	Protection Act		
Copyright Act		Copyright Act			
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) of the European Union		General Data Pro (GDPR) of the Eu)	
Other Acts		Other Acts			
Other Acts (please specify) _					_
1.2 Do you encounter obstacles interpretation of the legislat		search because	of the restri	ctive	
			YES 🗆	NO []

2. REGULATIONS, RESEARCH CONDITIONS

2.1	_	ou consider the organisation and internal regulations o in the Archives satisfactory from the users' point of vi	_
		YES	NO 🗆
	2.1.1	If your answer is no, please indicate the reason(s) for your dissatisfaction:	
		Too short opening hours	
		Long waiting period for receiving the documents	
		Limitation of the number of items produced per day in the reading room	
		Limitation of the number of hard copies or microcopies the reader is authorised to purchase	
		Inadequate working conditions (light, chairs, tables etc.)	
		Obsolete or inadequate equipment	_
		(e.g. microfilm readers)	
		Use of laptops not permitted	
		Use of cameras or smartphones not permitted Availability of scanners/document cameras	
		No plugs for laptops	
		Other (please specify)	
		► 3. NATIONAL VALIDITY ◀	
3.1	public	blic archival institutions/services and organisations marchives, which are independent from the national ar rity, follow the access rules set forth in the national A	chival
		YES □	NO 🗆
	3.1.2	If not, please give some examples:	
		Research is easier in	
		Research is more difficult in	

3.2	Is research hindered by administration and/or in	_			
				YES 🗆	NO □
•	4. ACCESS COND	ITIONS IN U	NRESTRIC	CTED MATERIA	AL ◀
4.1 I	s access to public archive	es subject to	authorisa	ation?	
	Yes In certain archives No				
	4.1.1 If access to public applications be su		subject to	authorisation	, where must
	To the Archives you was To the national archive To another national a	al authority			
	4.1.2 If access to unres are the Archives e consider irrelevan	entitled to de	ny produc	tion of docum	•
	Yes In certain archives No				
4.2	Is the presentation of action access to the document	-	ification/re	ecommendatio	n necessary
	Yes In certain archives No				
4.3	Is the presentation of a r documents?	esearch des	cription n	ecessary for a	ccess to the
	Yes In certain archives No				

5. FEES

5.1	Are there Archives where pay reader's card) is requested fo microfilmed/digitised copies?	r access to paper-ba		
			YES 🗆	NO □
5.2	If the issue of the reader's car fee	rd is not free of char	ge, do you co	nsider the
	Reasonable? Unreasonably high?			
5.2.1	If unreasonably high, do you	ı consider the charg	es unreasona	ıbly high for
	Production of photocopies? Delivery of authentic copies. Production of microforms? Production of electronic so: Access to audio-visual record Access to electronic record Use of scanning devices? Access to records on loan. Other services (please specified in the period are transferred to Archives).	ans? ords? ds? from other custodial in cify) AL CLOSURE PERIOR specified in the arch	D 4	on for
6.1	Do the Archives proceed, eve no longer covered by the gen	• •	ning of recor	ds that are
	Yes, systematically Yes, occasionally No			
6.2	Do the Archives keep accession under the Freedom of Informatin the originating agency?	•		
	Yes, systematically Yes, occasionally			

	No								
	>	7. ACCES	S TO RESTRI	CTED	DOCU	IMENT	ΓS	•	
	necessary i – public	ndation specif for the protect interests (nati e individuals a e lives.	ion of ional defence	, forei	gn pol	licy, p	ublic d	order) or	-
7.1		ch practice, are ns to the gener	-		r crite	ria be	ing ap	plied for	
	Office	e secret		YES		NO			
	Priva	te economic int	erests	YES		NO			
		ing or preparate	•		_		_		
	(back	(ground) docum	ents	YES	Ш	NO	Ш		
	Othe	r (please specif	y)						
7.2	Are you a	ware of specifnistory?	ic restrictions	s appli	ied to	<u>certai</u>	n peri	<u>ods</u> of	
						YES		NO \square	
		ase indicate wh	nich periods of	nation	al hist	ory are	e affec	ted by specia	1
resu	rictions: Refor	re 1920	П						
		var period							
		d War II							
	After	World War II							
	After	1989							
7.3	Are you a	ware of specif	ic restriction	s appli	ied to	<u>certai</u>	n aspe	<u>ects</u> of	
	1 If yes , ple rictions:	ease indicate wh	nich aspects o	f natioi	nal his	YES tory ar		NO □ cted by specia	1/
	Natio	nal conflicts							
	Milita	ry occupation o	f the country						
	Deco	lonisation, colo	nial wars						
	Socia	al and political c	onflicts						
	Repr	ession and pers	secution						
	Chan	ge of the politic	al system						
	Othei	r (please specif	v)						

7.4	At the end of the restriction period	
	Documents become accessible	
	without any formal declassification procedure	
	Documents are regularly declassified	
	Documents are declassified only when requested \Box	
	Other (please specify)	
7.5	To your knowledge, are there documents in the Archives classified without time limit?	
	YES □ NO □ DON'T KNOW □	
7.6	Is the academic community consulted by the Archives on declassification	on
	priorities?	
	YES □ NO □	
	► 8. FINDING AIDS (CATALOGUES) ◀	
0 1	Are there finding side to unrestricted decuments which are	
0.1.	Are there finding aids to unrestricted documents which are	
	Not accessible to users at all? YES □ NO □	
	Accessible only by special permission? YES \square NO \square	
0.0	And finding side to rectnisted material space in 19	
0.2	Are finding aids to restricted material accessible?	
	YES □ NO □	
8.3	Are there holdings, other than those recently transferred to the Archives inaccessible because of the absence of finding aids?	3,
	YES \square NO \square	
	► 9. SPECIAL PERMISSIONS ◀	
9.1	Can users apply for special permission for access to restricted/non-accessible documents?	
	VES □ NO □	

9.2	In your experience, are requests for special perr	nissions	
	Usually granted? □ Usually rejected? □		
9.3	In your opinion, is it preferable to		
	Grant special permissions on an individual bas Declassify and open the files concerned to rese	•	
	► 10. PARTIAL ACCESS	•	
10.1	Do the Archives give partial access to restricted blanking, anonymization or partial release?	documents	through
		YES □	NO □
	10.1.1 If yes, do you consider partial access us	eful?	
		YES 🗆	NO □
	► 11. APPEALS	•	
11.1	If an application for special permission is reject appeal to	ed, can the a	pplicant
	A higher administrative authority? A court? An Information Commissioner, Ombudsman?		
11.2	In your experience, are such appeals		
	Usually successful? □ Usually rejected? □		
11.3	In the past year, did you, or researchers you kno access documents in Archives?	ow, experienc	e refusals to
		YES 🗆	NO \square
11.3	.1 If yes , on what grounds was the refusal based? General closure period Documents containing personal data		

Classified data/documents		
Copyright Your insufficient qualification		
Your insufficient qualification	for your research tonic	
Documents declared as not necessary	Tor your research topic	; ⊔
Donor's wish stipulated in contract		
Other reasons		
11.3.2 Did you turn to higher authorities	or courts against the	refusal?
	YES 🗆	NO □
11.3.2.1 If yes , what was the outcor Access granted to the req	• • • •	
Access granted to certain	•	
certain parts of document		
Refusals upheld		
► 12. PRIVATE ARC	HIVES •	
12.1 Does the Government/national archival a promoting the accessibility of private arc	chives to academic re	search?
	YES 🗆	NO 🗆
► 13. RESEARCH AE	BROAD ◀	
13.1 When visiting archives in foreign countri discriminatory measures, with respect to	•	nced
	YES □	NO \square
If your answer is yes :		
13.1.1 Please indicate in which countries	s:	
13.1.2 Difficulties encountered		
Bureaucratic clearance requirements		

IVES OF SUPRANATION TAL ORGANISATIONS oranational and intergov	
YES □	NO □
onditions encountered overnmental organisation	
are the reasons?	
□ ns too expensive □	
□ ns too expensive □	
	TAL ORGANISATIONS oranational and intergover YES conditions encountered overnmental organisations.

15. DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

15.1 When accessing archival documents, which of the resources below do you generally use?

	The website of the archival institution		
	Social network sites of the archival institution		
	Online catalogue		
	Documents uploaded to the internet		
	Digitization-on-demand service onsite		
	Digitization-on-demand service offered to remote us	ers	
	A dedicated private channel established for registered	ed users	
	Artificial intelligence-based applications for finding de	ocuments	
15.2	Have you, or researchers you know, been involved commenting archival documents through crowds		oing or
		YES \square	NO □
15.3	Which channels do you prefer for communicating remotely?	with archiv	rists
15.3	, .	with archiv	vists
15.3	remotely?	with archiv	rists
15.3	remotely? Telephone calls	with archiv	rists
15.3	remotely? Telephone calls Social networks	with archiv	rists
	remotely? Telephone calls Social networks Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists		
	remotely? Telephone calls Social networks Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists Real-time chat connection with reference archivists	□ □ □	
	remotely? Telephone calls Social networks Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists Real-time chat connection with reference archivists In your opinion, does remote access substitute or	□ □ □ nsite resear	
	remotely? Telephone calls Social networks Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists Real-time chat connection with reference archivists In your opinion, does remote access substitute or Yes, because it is convenient, spares time and traveli	□ □ □ nsite resear	
	remotely? Telephone calls Social networks Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists Real-time chat connection with reference archivists In your opinion, does remote access substitute or Yes, because it is convenient, spares time and traveling No, because not everything is available in digital form	□ □ □ nsite resear	

15.5 In your opinion, what could further advance access to contemporary archives?

More documents digitized	
More documents uploaded to the internet	
More detailed catalogues and finding aids	
Lifting of restrictions	
Easier communication with archivists	
Increased usability of the archives' internal search engines	
Use of artificial intelligence-based applications Other (please specify)	
16. POSSIBILITY FOR ADDITIONAL POINTS	6
16.1 If you feel that the questions and items listed are not adequate situation of access to archives in your country, please let us know the case:	
17. THANK YOU	
17.1 End of the survey	
Thank you very much for your participation in the access to archive The results of the survey will be submitted to the Council of Europeyear.	
Publication is scheduled for the beginning of next year.	
If you wish to be notified of the survey results, you can enter your the box below.	e-mail address in

2022



Directorate General IV Directorate of Culture and of Cultural and Natural Heritage

Survey on Access to Archives

Dear Participant,

this survey has been undertaken in order to assess the applicability and the impact of Recommendation R(2000)13 of the Committee of Ministers of Council of Europe to the member states on a **European Policy on Access to Archives**, adopted on 13 July 2000. It is a follow-up to the study "Access to Archives - A handbook of guidelines for implementation of Recommendation No R(2000)13 on a European policy on access to archives" written by Charles Kecskeméti and Iván Székely and published by the Council of Europe in 2005.

The text of the Recommendation can be accessed under: https://rm.coe.int/16804cea4f (EN) and https://rm.coe.int/16804ca098 (FR).

This questionnaire concerns only holdings transferred to the custody of national and other public archival institutions and services, including independent specialised and agency archives. Access to current records still in the agencies is not covered by the questionnaire.

This questionnaire is intended for nongovernmental organisations (NGOs). Please fill in the survey form not only on the basis of your personal experience, but also taking into account the opinions and experience of other NGOs and civil users of Archives in your country, wherever you feel their insights could be an asset to the survey.

If you have any questions regarding the survey, please feel free to contact us:

Mr. Michael Friedewald
Fraunhofer-Institut für System- und Innovationsforschung ISI
Competence Center Emerging Technologies
Coordinator ICT Research
Breslauer Straße 48 | 76139 Karlsruhe
fon: +49 721 6809-146 (-166, ass.)
michael.friedewald@isi.fraunhofer.de

Thank you for your cooperation.

0.0	Is access to archives important for the work of y	our organiza	tion?
	If "No" → finish		
	► 0. INTRODUCTION	•	
0.1	Do civil society organizations know the Recomm	nendation?	
	It is practically unknown Some NGOs know it □ It is well-known		
0.2	Have you (or other NGOs you know) cited the Redoing research in Archives?	ecommendat	ion when
		YES □	NO \square
0.3	Have you participated in a campaign to promote Archives (or are you aware of such an NGO cam		_
	1 If you participated in a campaign to promote the accure of such an NGO campaign in your country), where In the media Among civil society organizations	•	•
0.3.	2 If yes , has the Recommendation been cited in the c	campaign?	
		YES 🗆	NO \square
11	► 1. ARCHIVAL LEGISLATION		, rocoarab
1.1	In your opinion, does the current legislation ens conditions?	ure adequate	e research
		YES 🗆	NO \square
1.1.	1 If not , to which legislative Acts are the obstacles du Archival Act Act on former secret service archives State / Official Secrets Act Freedom of Information Act	ie?	

	Naional Data Protection Act			Ш		
	Copyright Act					
	General Data Protection Regula	ition (GI	OPR)			
	of the European Union					
	Other Act (please specify)					
	► 2. REGULATIONS, RE	SEARC	H CONDI	TIONS	•	
2.1	In your opinion, do the current into Archives ensure adequate research		_	and proc	edures in t	h
				YES 🗆	NO □	
21	1 If not , to which internal regulations of	or proce	dures are t	he obstac	les due?	
	Research room regulations	, p. 000		no obolao		
	Opening hours					
	Special regulation of access to	restricte	d documer	nts		
	Procedures of requesting/granti				n 🗆	
	Other regulations or procedures	3				
	(please specify)				_	
2.2	Please evaluate the assistance of	referen	ce archivi	sts in		
					Missing/	
		Useful	Adequate	Limited	useless	
	Explaining research conditions:					
	Providing catalogues (finding aids):					
	Explaining the use of catalogues:					
	Locating requested documents:					
2.3	What kind of practical assistance	do civil	licare nad	ad for mo	re efficient	
2.0	access to documents?	40 01 1 11	45015 1100	, a 101 1110		
	Extended opening hours					
	Information leaflets					
	More information on archival we	ebsites				
	Personal consultation with archi	vists				
	E-mail, chat or video consultation	n with a	rchivists			
	Explanation of catalogues (findi	•				
	Assistance in formulating acces Documents uploaded to the inte	•	sts			

	Other (please spe	ecify)			
	•	3. NATIONAI	L VALIDITY	•	
3.1	Are there typical difference archival documents of regions/States of the	depending on t	he level of ad		
				YES 🗆	NO □
3.1.	1 If yes , please give sor Research is easie	•			
	Research is more	difficult at/in: _			
	>	4. ACCESS C	ONDITIONS	•	
4.1	In your experience, is Archives?	authorization	needed for d	oing researd	ch in public
	Always				
	In some cases No				
4.2	Do civil society organ research institutions Archives?		•	•	
	Always				
	In some cases No				
	•	► 5. FE	ES •	•	
5.1	Is payment of a fee (or requested by the Arc microfilmed/digitised	hives for acces			
				YES	NO □
5.2	If the issue of the rea	der's card is n	ot free of cha	rge, do you	consider

COUNCIL OF EUROPE - SURVEY ON ACCESS TO ARCHIVES Reasonable? Unreasonably high? 5.3 Do you consider the charges unreasonably high for Production of photocopies? Delivery of authentic copies? Production of microforms? Access to audio-visual records? Remote access to digital records? Use of cameras or smartphones Access to records on loan from other custodial institutions? Other services? (please specify)

► 6. GENERAL CLOSURE PERIOD ◀

6.1 If there is a general closure period, how can users become aware of its expiry and of documents becoming accessible?

The Archives publish lists of newly available documents

From the finding aids

In the course of individual research requests

☐

This information does not reach users

6.2 In your experience do documents that are accessible under the Freedom of Information Act or similar Acts remain continuously accessible after they have been transferred to the Archives?

YES □ NO □

► 7. ACCESS TO RESTRICTED DOCUMENTS <

The Recommendation specifies that exceptions to the general access rule may be necessary for the protection of

- public interests (national defence, foreign policy, public order) or
- private individuals against the release of information concerning their private lives.
- 7.1 Have you experienced other criteria for exceptions to the general rule, such as

COUNCIL OF EUROPE - SURVEY ON ACCESS TO ARCHIVES Office secrets Working or preparatory (background) documents П Private economic interests Other (please specify) _____ 7.2 Are you aware of specific restrictions applied to certain periods of national history? YES \square NO \square 7.2.1 If yes, please indicate which periods of national history are affected by special restrictions: Before 1920 Interwar period World War II After World War II After 1989 7.3 Are you aware of specific restrictions applied to certain aspects of national history? YES NO \square 7.3.1 If yes, please indicate which aspects of national history are affected by special restrictions:

National conflicts П Military occupation of the country Decolonisation, colonial wars П Social and political conflicts Repression and persecution П Change of the political system Other (please specify)

7.4 To your knowledge, are there documents in the Archives classified without time limit?

> NO \square YES DON'T KNOW □

7.5 How can users become aware of the expiration of the restriction period and of documents becoming accessible?

> The Archives publish the list of newly available documents

Council of Europe – Survey on Access to Archives

	From the finding aids		
	In the course of individual research requ	uests	
	This information does not reach users		
	O CATALOGUES (FIND	UNC AIDC)	
	► 8. CATALOGUES (FIND	ing Aids)	•
8.1	Do you find archival catalogues satisfactor	ory in terms of	
	Number and range? YES □	NO \square	
	Content? YES □	NO \square	
	Availability? YES □	NO 🗆	
8.2	Are finding aids to restricted material acc	essible?	
		YES 🗆	NO \square
0 2	Are there heldings other than these rese	ntly transferred to	the Archives
8.3	Are there holdings, other than those rece inaccessible because of the absence of fi		ine Archives,
		YES 🗆	NO \square
8.4	Have you experienced, or heard about, ca was needed to obtain access to finding ai	•	permission
		YES 🗆	NO □
8.4.	1 If yes , was permission granted?		
		YES 🗆	NO □
	▶ 9. SPECIAL PERMIS	SIONS	
9.1	Can users apply for special permission for accessible documents?	or access to restric	ted/non-
		YES 🗆	NO \square
9.2	In your experience, are requests for spec	ial permissions	
	Usually granted? □ Usually rejected? □		

9.3 In	your opinion, is it pref	ferable to			
	Grant special permiss Declassify and open t		•		
	> 1	10. PARTIAL ACCES	SS	•	
	your experience, do the	•			
		YES □	NO □	DON'T K	now □
10.1.1 I	f yes, do you consider	partial access usef	ful?		
			YE	s 🗆	NO \square
10.2 Ha	ave you used this oppo	ortunity in the cours	se of your	research	1?
			YE	s 🗆	NO \square
	•	11. APPEALS	4		
	an application for spec	cial permission is re	ejected, ca	n the ap	plicant
	A higher administrativ A court? An Information Comm	•	□ □ an? □		
11.2 In	your experience, are s	such appeals			
	Usually successful? Usually rejected?				
	the past year, did you, cess documents in Arc	_	ı know, ex	perience	refusals to
			YE	s 🗆	NO □

	es, on what grounds was the refusal base General closure period Documents containing personal data Classified data/documents Copyright Your insufficient qualification Documents declared as not necessary for Donor's wish stipulated in contract Other reasons 2 Did you turn to higher authorities or	your research	·		
	,	_		_	
		YES [□ NC	D 🗆	
Access granted to the requested documents Access granted to certain documents or certain parts of documents Refusals upheld ■ 12. PRIVATE ARCHIVES ■ Please evaluate research conditions in private Archives in terms of					
		The same as in public Archives	Better	Worse	
	Access to documents				
	Number and content of catalogues				
	Internal regulations and procedures				
	Working conditions				
	Use of scanners/document cameras				
	Use of own imaging devices (like smartphones)				
	Documents uploaded to the internet				
	Practical assistance of reference archivists				

► 13. RESEARCH ABROAD ◀

13.1 In your opinion, under what conditions can users from NGOs/CSOs of your country have access to documents in Archives abroad?

Council of Europe – Survey on Access to Archives

Same as in your c Better than in you Worse than in you I don't know	country			
13.2 In your opinion, unde NGOs/CSOs have acc			_	
Same as domestic	users			
Better than domes	stic users			
Worse than dome:	stic users			
I don't know				
► 14. RESEARCH AND INTEI	I IN THE ARCH RGOVERNMEN			AL ◀
14.1 Have you visited the A organisations?	Archives of sup	oranational	and intergove	rnmental
			YES 🗆	NO \square
14.1.1 If yes , were the resea	arch conditions,	compared t	o those of public	c Archives in
Similar?				
Better? (please sp	ecify)			
Worse? (please sp	oecify)			

15. Digital Technologies

15.1 When accessing archival documents, which of the you generally use?	esources :	below d	0
The website of the archival institution			
Social network sites of the archival institution			
Online catalogue			
Documents uploaded to the internet			
Digitization-on-demand service onsite			
Digitization-on-demand service offered to remote us	ers		
A dedicated private channel established for registere	ed users		
Artificial intelligence-based (smart) applications for fi	nding docur	nents	
15.2 Have you, or researchers you know, been involved commenting archival documents through crowds		oing,	
	YES	NO \square	
15.2.1 If you, or researchers you know, have been involved commenting archival documents through crowdsourcing, page 2		•	-
15.3 Which channels do you prefer for communicating remotely?	with archiv	vists	
Telephone calls			
Social networks			
Direct e-mail connection with reference archivists			
Real-time chat connection with reference archivists			
15.4 In your opinion, can remote access substitute ons	site researc	h today?)
Yes, because it is convenient, spares time and traveli	ng		
No, because not everything is available in digital form	at		
No, because direct contact with original documents is			
inevitable for serious research No, because engaging with Archivists face to face is i	nevitable fo	□ r serious	
research			

Partly, because online access can well complemen	t onsite research □
15.5 In your opinion, what could further advance accarchives?	cess to contemporary
More documents digitized	
More documents uploaded to the internet	
More detailed catalogues and finding aids	
Lifting of restrictions	
Easier communication with archivists	
Increased usability of the archives' internal search	n engines 🛚
Use of artificial intelligence-based (smart) application of the (Please specify)	<u> </u>
16.1 If you feel that the questions and items listed are no situation of access to archives in your country, please left the case:	•
17. THANK YOU	
17.1 End of the survey	
Thank you very much for your participation in the access The results of the survey will be submitted to the Council year. Publication is scheduled for the beginning of next year.	
If you wish to be notified of the survey results, you can e the box below.	nter your e-mail address in