Framework for Extensible, Asynchronous Task Scheduling (FEATS) in Fortran

Brad Richardson, Damian Rouson, Harris Snyder and Robert **Singleterry**

Agenda

- Motivations
- Implementation Details
- Example/Demo Applications
- Conclusions

Motivations

- Target an under-served user base: Fortran
	- Enable scientists and engineers to develop efficient applications for HPC beyond the "embarrassingly parallel" problems
- Explore the native parallel features of Fortran
	- Don't force "reformulating" the problem to be able to interoperate with C/C++ or other external libraries

Motivations

Compiled languages used at NERSC

- Fortran remains a common language for scientific computation.
- Noteworthy increases in C++ and multi-language
- Language use inferred from runtime libraries recorded by ALTD. (previous analysis used survey data)
	- ALTD-based results are mostly in line with survey data.
	- No change in language ranking
	- Survey underrepresented Fortran

archaeologic

Nearly $\frac{1}{4}$ of jobs use Python.

Fraction of Users (%) Totals exceed 100% because some users rely on multiple languages.

https://portal.nersc.gov/project/m888/nersc10/workload/N10_Workload_Analysis.latest.pdf

Implementation

- One scheduler image and multiple executer images
- Mailbox, and task assignment coarrays
- Events to signal ready for work and task completed
- Directed acyclic graph (DAG) to define task dependencies

Coarrays Needed

- \bullet type(payload_t), allocatable :: mailbox(:)[:]
- type(event_type), allocatable :: ready_for_next_task(:)[:]
- type(event type) :: task assigned[*]
- \bullet integer :: task_identifier[\ast]
- integer, allocatable :: task_assignment_history(:)[:]

Startup Procedure

- Define Tasks
- Define DAG
- Construct Application
	- DAG and tasks must correspond
- Call image%run(application)

NOTE: All images must have same application to start

Scheduler Steps

- Find executor that has posted it is ready
	- While we do this, we keep track of what tasks have been completed
- Find next task with all dependencies completed
- "Wait" for the ready executor (balances posts/waits)
- Assign the task to the executor
- Post that the executor has been assigned a task
- Repeat

Executor Steps

- Post ready for a task
- Wait till it has been assigned a task
- Collect payloads from executors that ran dependent tasks
	- We access the history kept by the scheduler to determine this
- Execute task and store result in mailbox
- Repeat

Fortran's Advantages

- Coarrays and Events a perfect match
	- Coarray to communicate task inputs and outputs
	- Events to signal task start and completion
- Teams should allow for scalable implementation
	- Partition task DAG and have multiple schedulers work on independent regions with separate teams of executors
- Polymorphism
	- Different kinds of task can exist that capture different kinds of "input" data at startup
- Fortran's History
	- Likely lots of applications that could be adapted easily

Fortran's Disadvantages

- Can't "transfer" polymorphic objects
	- A strategic change to the standard could enable this
- No introspection
	- Automatic task detection, fusion or splitting not possible
- Fortran's History
	- Many existing applications have shared global state
	- Presents data races in task based execution

Example Applications


```
feats = dag t(\& [ vertex_t([integer::], name_string(assert_m)) &
   , vertex_t([integer::], name_string(dag_m)) &
   , vertex_t( &
    [dag_m, task_item_m], name_string(application_m)) &
   , vertex_t( &
    [assert m, application m], &
     name_string(application_s)) &
   , vertex_t( &
     [integer::], name_string(feats_result_map_m)) &
   , vertex_t( &
    [payload m, task m], name string(final task m)) \&, vertex t([final task m], name string(final task s)) \& , vertex_t( &
     [application_m, feats_result_map_m, payload_m], &
    name string(image m) &
   , vertex_t( &
     [dag_m, final_task_m, image_m, &
    mailbox m, task item m], &
     name_string(image_s)) &
   , vertex_t([payload_m], name_string(mailbox_m)) &
   , vertex_t([integer::], name_string(payload_m)) &
  , vertex_t([payload m], name string(payload s)) &
  , vertex t( &
    [payload m, task m], name string(task item m)) \& , vertex_t([task_item_m], name_string(task_item_s)) &
   vertex_t([payload_m], name_string(task_m)) &
  , vertex t([task m], name string(task s)) &
 ])
tasks = [(task_i, item_t(compile_task_t(name_striangle))), \&i = 1, size(name))application = application t(feats, tasks)
```
Compiling FEATS


```
if (this image() == 1) then
  print *, "Enter values for a, b and c in ar^*x**2 + b*x + c':"
  read (*, *) a, b, c
end if
call co_broadcast(a, 1)
call co_broadcast(b, 1)
call co broadcast(c, 1)
solver = dag t ( \&[ vertex t([integer::], "a") &
  , vertex t([integer::], "b") &
  , vertex t([integer::], "c") &
   , vertex_t([2], "#∗∗2") &
   , vertex_t([1,3], "4∗#∗#") &
   , vertex_t([4,5], "sqrt(# − #)) &
   , vertex_t([2,6], "−# +− #") &
   , vertex_t([1], "2∗#") &
   , vertex_t([8,7], "# / #") &
   , vertex_t([9], "print roots") &
 ])
tasks = \&[ task item t(a t(a)) \&, task item t(b\ t(b)) &
  , task item t(c\ t(c)) &
  , task item t(b squared t()) &
  , task item t(four a c t()) \& , task_item_t(square_root_t()) &
   , task_item_t(minus_b_pm_square_root_t()) &
   , task_item_t(two_a_t()) &
   , task_item_t(division_t()) &
    task item t(printer t()) &
 ]
application = application t(solver, tasks)
```
Quadratic Solver

Conclusions

- It works
- There are limitations
- Future Work
	- Propose changes to Fortran standard to improve utility/flexibility
	- Explore performance characteristics
		- What is ideal ratio of task-size to number of tasks
	- Explore use of teams to enable multiple schedulers
	- Find "beta" testers, i.e. target applications

Questions?

https://github.com/sourceryinstitute/feats

