## A Short Disproof of the Riemann Hypothesis

By

Armando M. Evangelista Jr.

arman781973@gmail.com

armando781973@yahoo.com

On

February 08, 2023

#### ABSTRACT

The **Riemann Hypothesis** is one of the most important unsolved problems in Mathematics and its validity will have a great consequence on the precise calculation of the number of primes. Riemann developed an explicit formula relating the number of primes with the hypothesized *non-trivial zeros* of the Riemann zeta function. Riemann hypothesis states that all the non-trivial zeros of the zeta function have real part equal to one-half.

Despite many attempts to solve it for about 150 years, no one have so far succeeded. The Riemann hypothesis is based on the **existence of the zeros o**f the zeta function. If it can be shown, that such zeros do not exist, then the Riemann Hypothesis is false or not valid.

## Introduction

The Riemann zeta "function" is shown below

(1) 
$$\zeta(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad s = \sigma + \omega i$$

where *n* is the independent variable and *s* is a complex constant with real part  $\sigma$  and imaginary part  $\omega$ 

 $\Re(s) = \sigma$  and  $\Im(s) = \omega$ ,

respectively, and *i* is the imaginary unit equal to  $\sqrt{-1}$ . A positive real number associated with any complex quantity is known as its modulus, usually denoted by  $|\zeta(s)|$ . The quantity  $\sigma$  has a damping effect on  $\zeta(s)$  while  $\omega$  acts as a filter that can remove some of its components. Thus, the values of  $\sigma$  and  $\omega$  have a great effect on the convergence of the infinite series in (1).

Since *s* is constant,

$$\zeta'(s) = \frac{d\zeta}{ds} = \frac{0}{0} \text{ and } \int_{s}^{s} \zeta(s) ds = 0.$$

The role of *s* is simply to ensure that the sum in (1) remains finite  $|(\xi(s))| < \infty$ .

# $\zeta(s)$ Has No Zeros

#### FIRST DISPROOF

 $\zeta(s)$  is related to the distribution of prime numbers for one obtains from (1) the infinite product,

(2) 
$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{(1-2^{-s})(1-3^{-s})(1-5^{-s})(1-7^{-s})} \dots = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}} \qquad \sigma > 1.$$

This had driven Riemann to obtained a formula for relating the supposedly non-trivial zeros of

 $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \omega i)$  with the number of primes given a certain number. A simple inspection of (2) and one can easily conclude that such zeros are nowhere to be found.

The infinite product in (2) runs through all the prime numbers p and is widely known as the Euler product. The modulus of (2) is given by

(3) 
$$|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)| = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2p^{-\sigma}\cos(\omega \log p) + p^{-2\sigma}}}$$

For  $\sigma > 0$  and, for all  $\omega$  and p

(4) 
$$1 - 2p^{-\sigma}\cos(\omega \log p) + p^{-2\sigma} > 0$$

since the least value of (4) is attained when  $cos(\omega \log p) = 1$  resulting in (4) still greater than zero,

$$(1 - p^{-\sigma})^2 > 0$$

Each individual term in (4) converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 0$  while their product converges conditionally if  $0 < \sigma \le 1$ , and their product converges absolutely for  $\sigma > 1$ . In fact, the divergent nature of  $\zeta(s)$  at  $0 < \sigma \le 1$  proves the existence of the infinity of primes but at  $\sigma \le 0$  it is completely invalid. Also, as a consequence of (4), the zeta function has no zeros and its modulus is always greater than zero

$$\zeta(s) \neq 0 \qquad |\zeta(s)| > 0, \quad \sigma > 0,$$

therefore, the **Riemann hypothesis is false or not valid**.

### SECOND DISPROOF

If  $\sigma > 1$ , the series (1) converges absolutely

$$|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)| = \left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma + \omega i}\right| \le 1 + 2^{-\sigma} + 3^{-\sigma} + 4^{-\sigma} + 5^{-\sigma} + \dots + n^{-\sigma} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma},$$

while if  $0 < \sigma \le 1$  and  $\omega \ne 0$ , the series is said to be conditionally convergent. It may converge if  $\sigma$  is large enough. But, how large?

The series (1) can be express as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-s\log n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma \log n - i\omega \log n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} (\cos \omega \log n - i \sin \omega \log n),$$

where log *n* is the natural logarithm of *n* and its modulus is

$$|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)| = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} \cos \omega \log n\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} \sin \omega \log n\right)^2},$$

or

$$\left|\zeta(\sigma+\omega i)\right| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\sigma} + 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} \cos\omega \log n} + 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} (n+k)^{-\sigma} \cos\omega \log\left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right)$$

Also

$$|\zeta(\sigma+\omega i)| = \sqrt{S_1 + S_2 + S_3} = \sqrt{S},$$

where

$$S_{1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2\sigma}, \quad S_{2} = 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} \cos \omega \log n, \quad S_{3} = 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} (n+k)^{-\sigma} \cos \omega \log \left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right)$$

and  $S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ .

The first series  $S_1$  is independent of  $\omega$  and converges absolutely if  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ ; the second series  $S_2$  converges absolutely if  $\sigma > 1$ ; and the double sum  $S_3$  converges quickly due to its highly damped coefficients. The value of the sum S must be greater than or equal to zero ( $S \ge 0$ ) in order for (1) to be valid; since if S < 0, the modulus  $|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)|$  will not be a real number.

It is known that  $|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)|$  is always greater than zero if  $\sigma > 1$ . The sum  $S_1 + S_2$ , will converge to a value say, A, and is unlikely to reduce  $|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)|$  to zero due to  $S_1$  providing a fixed positive value for a given value of  $\sigma$ : that is  $S_1 > |A|$  so that  $|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)| = \sqrt{S_1 + A} > 0$ . Hence,  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros if  $\sigma > 1$ .

If  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \le 1$ ,  $S_1$  converges and the sum  $S_1 + S_2$  converges for  $\omega \ne 0$ . Hence  $|\zeta(\sigma + \omega i)|$  is conditionally convergent if  $\frac{1}{2} < \sigma \le 1$  ( $\omega \ne 0$ ). One can also conclude that  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros for the same reason given above.

If  $0 < \sigma \le \frac{1}{2}$  and for every  $\omega$ ,  $S_1$  diverges and it doesn't matter whether the sum  $S_1 + S_2$  converges or not,  $\zeta(s)$  is undefined. It is also interesting to note that  $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \omega i\right)$  is **undefined** which proves that the **Riemann Hypothesis is not valid**.

If  $\sigma \le 0$  and for every  $\omega$ ,  $S_1$  diverges very rapidly and  $\zeta(s)$  is undefined.

Therefore,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1 & \text{and} & \omega \neq 0 \\ \sigma > 1. \end{array} \right.$$

It has no zeros and its modulus is always greater than zero,

$$|\zeta(s)| > 0 \begin{cases} \text{If } \frac{1}{2} < \sigma \leq 1 \text{ and } \omega \neq 0 \\ \text{If } \sigma > 1. \end{cases}$$

#### REFERENCE

[1] Riemann, Bernhard (1859). On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Magnitude.

#### LINKS

- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann\_zeta\_function</u>
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riemann hypothesis