

Journal Homepage: -www.journalijar.com

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

INTERNATIONAL ARCHINAL OF ADVINCED RESEARCH GLARI

**Article DOI:**10.21474/IJAR01/16055 **DOI URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/16055

### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# STUDY OF PROGNOSIS OF PATIENTS WITH PERITONITIS USING MANNHEIM PERITONITISINDEXSCORINGSYSTEM

# Dr. K. Prasad M.S Gen. Surgery<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Y. Chirimala M.S Gen. Surgery<sup>2</sup> and Dr. A. Pratap Kumar M.S Gen. Surgery<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Assistant Professor ACSR. Govt. General Hospital & Medical College, Nellore, AP, India.
- 2 Assistant Professor ACSR. Govt. General Hospital & Medical College, Nellore, AP, India.
- 3. AssistantProfessor ACSR. Govt. General Hospital & Medical College, Nellore, AP, India.

# .....

# Manuscript Info

# Manuscript History

Received: 20 November 2022 Final Accepted: 24 December 2022

Published: January 2023

# Abstract

Discussions of the treatment of peritonitisand the evaluation of different therapeutic

approachesarehamperedbythelackofpreciseclassification(ability).1The advantages of various scoring systemsused in other studies like Glasgow coma scale, TNMStaging and APACHE scores in the evaluation of thepathophysiology and in comparing the efficacy of thetreatmentmadeonesearchforasimilarscoringsysteminevaluationofperit onitis.ie.,Mannheimperitonitisindex.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2023,. All rights reserved.

.....

## Introduction:-

Aim ofmystudy wereasfollows:

1.Tostudythevalidityofthescoringsystem,presently being studied worldwide.i.e : . Mannheimperitonitis index.Mannheim peritonitis index (MPI)isa **specificscoringsystem** that facilitates early identification of patients with severe peritonitis for aggressive surgical approach and improved outcomes.

### Aim:-

Tostudytheprognosticfactorwhichdeterminestheoutcomeof the disease.

Patientfactors:-

Age, Sex, General Health.

Diseaseprocess

Siteofperforationii.Durationofperforation iii.The extentof peritonealcontamination. EffectofGeneralsystemiccomplicationslike Respiratoryii.CVSsystemiii.Shock iv.Multi-organ failure

# Corresponding Author:- Dr. Y. Chirimala M.S Gen. Surgery

Address:- 6-12-9/A2, 2nd floor K.B. Layout, Near Padmavathi park, Tirupati, 517501 AP,India. (Phone: 9247401893,8978248063).

# Mannheimperitonitisindex(MPI)

Risk factor	Score
Age >50 years	5
Female sex	5
Organ failure*	7
Malignancy	4
Preoperative duration of peritonitis >24 h	4
Origin of sepsis not colonic	4
Diffuse generalized peritonitis	6
Exudates:	
Clear	0
Cloudy, purulent	6
Fecal	12

<sup>\*</sup>Kidney failure: Creatinine level > 177 mmol/L or urea level > 167 mmol/L or oliguria < 20 mL/h; pulmonary insufficiency: PO<sub>2</sub> < 50 mmHg or PCO<sub>2</sub> > 50 mmHg; intestinal obstruction/paralysis > 24 h or complete mechanical ileus; shock hypodynamic or hyperdynamic

### **History:**

# Surgicalanatomyofperitoneumandperitonealcavity:

The anatomic relationship within the abdomen isessential in determining possible source and routes of spread of infection. In man, the peritoneal cavity is aclosed space. In women, it is perforated by the freeends of the fallopian tubes.

Anteriority the peritoneal cavity reflects onto the posterior aspect of the anterior abdominal musculature. Posteriorly, the peritoneal lining lies

superficial to the retroperitoneal layersare described collectively as the parietal peritoneum. The visceral peritoneum p

The peritoneum is covering the intestine sthese rosa of the bowel. Peritoneal reflections and them esentericattachments compartmentalize the intraperitoneal space and route, spreading exudates to site sthat are often distant from the source. The transverse mesocolon divides the peritoneal cavity horizontally into an upper and lower space.

Thegreateromentum, extending from the transverse mesocolon and the lower border of the stomach, covers the peritoneal cavity.

The peritoneal cavity has several recesses into which exudates may be come loculated. The most dependent recess of the peritone alcavity in the supine position is in the pelvis. In women, the uterus and fallopian tubes project into the pelvic recess.

## **MaterialsAnd Methods:-**

All patients of peritonitis are admitted to GovernmentGeneralHospital,SiddharthaMedicalCollege,Vijayawada or who have peritonitis due to variouscauses after being in a patient between the period ofDecember 2016toDecember 2018.

### Methodology:-

### **InclusionExclusion Criteria:**

Patientswithclinicalsuspicionandinvestigatory support for the diagnosis of peritonitisdue tohollow viscousperforationare included.

# **ExclusionCriteria:**

Patientswithassociated injuriesto otherorgans
Patientswithassociatedvascular,neurogenicinjuries
Patientswithanyothersignificantillnesswhichislikely toaffecttheoutcome morethanthedisease in the study.
Agebelow12yearsandabove75years.
Samplesize:100Patients

**Results:-**

PatientswithperitonitisadmittedinGovernmentGeneralHospital,SiddharthaMedicalCollege,Vijayawadawerestudiedfro mDecember 2016toDecember 2018, total number cases reviewed were100.

Study of Patient factors : age, sexAge:-

The patients with age ranging from 17 years — 75 years were considered. The maximum number of patients were in Middle age (21-50 years)-64 patients. But the mortality rate was more in extremes of age that is>50 years group. The mortality rate of the elderly patient was 100% (1 patient).

### Sex:-

A maximum number of patients were male -86, but the mortality rate was more in males(100%). Since maximum patients were males, the number of patients died were maximum male patients.

# Studyofthediseaseprocess

Mortalityv/stimeofpresentation:Thetimeofpresentationofpatientsrangedfrom <24hoursto>12days.Maximumpatientspresentedin1-3days(41%). Mortality increased correspondingly withthedelayinperforation.Itwas0% for <24hours, 80% > 9days, and 100% for more than 9 days.

# AGE&SEX:

Age	Survived	Died	Total
Male	81 (94%)	5 (6%)	86
	(85%)	(100%)	(86%)
Female	14 (100%) (15%)	0	14 (14%)
Total	95 (95%)	5 (5%)	100
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)

Age	Survived	Died	Total
< 20	17 (100%) (18%)	0	17 (17%)
21 – 30	28 (100%) (29%)	0	28 (28%)
31 – 40	17 (100%) (18%)	0	17 (17%)
41 – 50	19 (100%) (19%)	0	19 (19%)
51 - 60	7 (70%) (7%)	3 (30%) (60%)	10 (10%)
61 – 70	7 (89%) (7%)	1 (13%) (20%)	8 (8%)
>71	0	1 (100%) (20%)	1 (1%)
Total	95 (95%) (100%)	5 (5%) (100%)	100 (100%)

**DurationOfPresentation:** 

Mortality Vs. type of perforation: Perforations were grouped into eightaetiologies. Appendicular perforation was the common est cause (29%) followed by gastric and enteric. The mortality rate of Gastric perforations 100%. Another type of perforations duodenal, entericand appendicular perforations contributed 0%

mortality.

Etiology	Survived	Died	Total
Duodenal	7 (100%)	0	7
Perforation	(7%)		(7%)
Enteric	17 (100%)	0	17
Perforation	(18%)		(17%)
Tubercular	6 (100%)	0	6
Perforation	(6%)		(6%)
Traumatic	16(100%)	0	16
Perforation	(17%)		(16%)
Malignant	2(100%)	0	2
Perforation	(2%)		(2%)
Non-specific Ileal	3(100%)	0	3
	(3%)		(3%)
Stomach	15 (75%)	5 (25%)	20
	(16%)	(100%)	(20%)
Appendicular		_	
perforation	29 (100%)	0	29
	(30%)		(29%)
Total	(95%)	(5%)	100
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)

### **EvaluationOfScoringSystem**

ResultsofMPI:-

Theminimumscoreofthepresentationwastenwhilethemaximumwas47. Maximumpatientswereintherage20-29. Themortality increased exponentiallyfor a score morethan 26. While the range of 10-19 had 0% mortality, the range 20-29 had 19(28%) mortality. It jumped to 79% for score 30-39, and only one case who had a scoreofabove40died(100%). To analyze the mortality rate more critically, an arbitrary cutoff point of MPI score 26 was taken 3 5. Sixty-five patients were inscore <26 while 40 had more than 26. The mortality rate was as low as 5(7%) with a score of <26 while it was a shigh as 35(86%) in patients with a score of more than 26.

MPI	Survived	Died	Total
≤ 26	49 (98%)	1(2%)	50
	(52%)	(20%)	(50%)
> 26	46 (92%)	4(8%)	50
	(48%)	(80%)	(50%)
Total	95	5	100
	(95%)	(5%)	(100%)

MPI, of  $\leq$  26 MPI, 25% of  $\leq$  20 sepsis score, 7% of patients  $\leq$  24 hr duration stayed more than 20 days. Itwasreversed in 71% in age >50 years, 100% in MPI>26,91% insepsis score>20 and 47% duration>24 hrs.

### **Discussion:-**

Peritonitisisadreadedcomplicationandifnottreatedintime,canterminatefatallyinourstudyon100patientsinGovernmentGe neralHospital,Siddhartha Medical College, Vijayawada. We

foundvariousfactorlikeage, associated medical illness, shock at the time of a dmission, and extent of peritoneal contamination as an important prognostic factor in the outcome of these patients. The data we obtained were tabulated and the percentage calculated wherever necessary, the significance of the difference invarious groups was calculated using  $\chi^2$  test, student-t-test and other statistical methods.

# Studyofpatientfactors

Age: Ageseems to be an important factor in determining the outcome. Extremes of age had increased mortality rates. This is in agreement with studies by Dellingeretal.

### Sex:

As in most studies males out number femalesby9:1.MortalityratewashigherinfemalesThisdifference is not significant, (p<0.05) may be because of very less number of females in our study (only 10).

Adegreeoffreedom:1 chi-square:0

# Study of the disease process

Mortality Vs. time of presentation: In our study, the duration of perforations from the time of presentations eemed to have the major impact. it can be seen that mortality for patients presenting within 24 hrs was 0%. And up to 100% for delayed presentation for more than one week. This is in complete agreement with the result of most other studies.

Onfurtheranalysis of the data using the X2 test (30.15) for group data the P < 0.001, confirming that the difference in mortality is highly significant. Hence, delay in presentation is associated with the corresponding increase in mortality.

# MortalityVs.typeofperforations

AswithmoststudiesGastricperforationfromcontributedmaximumMortalityofthecases(20/100). They contributed as much as 100% to themortality. They had a mortality rate of 100%. Entericperforationhadthemortalityof0% eventhough delaying resentation, typical clinical features, the general complication of typhoid seem to contribute to higher mortality rate comparison of MORTALITY with other studies.

Etiology	Our Study	Nair <sup>33</sup>	Mishra	Tripathi <sup>31</sup>	Golighe r	Delinger <sup>2</sup>
Duodenal perforation	0 %	•	-	16.6%	•	41%
Enteric	0%	48%	-	32%	-	
Tubercular	0%	100%	30%	-	-	-
Malignant perforation	0%	-	-	-	71%	-
Traumatic perforation	0%	-	-	33%	-	-
Gastric Perforatio n	5%	-	-	-	-	-

Foreachanalysis, the factoris divided into two groups.

### Survivorgroup:

Age≤50years,MPI≤26,Perforationduration≤24 hrs.

### **Mortality group:**

Age > 50 years, MPI > 26,(High-risk group)Perforationduration>24hrs.

Inourstudywefoundthatinsurvivorgroupofpatients tend to have less General complication and less serious local complications conversely, the mortality group had more serious local complications like fecal fistula, deep seated abscess.

Complications	Our study	Tripathi et al. <sup>32</sup>
Fecal fistula	7 %	7.5 %
Wound sepsis	24 %	26 %
MOF	15 %	11 %

An interesting aspect in our study was in perforation duration, patients presenting with 24 hours had a verygood prognosis with fewer complications both general and local. This is in agreement with current studies We advocate needing for further studies on Mannheim Peritonitis index to include a colonic origin of sepsis and to remove female sex as variables of adverse outcome in Mannheim Peritonitis index.

### Conclusion:-

The prospective study was done on 100 patients inGovernmentGeneralHospital,SiddharthaMedicalCollege, Vijayawada.

Extremes of age (≤ 20 yrs, > 50 yrs) seem to hurtthe outcome - other comorbidities likeHIV and renalfailure.

Type, Time and extent of peritoneal contaminationseem to have a bearing on mortality. Patients with diffuse peritonitis, fecal contamination do worse.

Associated factors likedia betes, cardiovas cular problems add to mortality.

There is wides cope for the use of Mannheim peritonitis index, in the present context. It helps in determine the risk of patient preoperatively.

### surgicaldecision.

definitivesurgerycanbedonesafelyinlowscorepatient.

Aggressive,newermodalitiesoftreatmentneed to be tried in high score patients toimprove mortality by Intensive therapyunit&dialysis.

To compare the efficacy of various treatmentcanbeaccuratelycomparedbytakingintoconsideration their effect on mortality with respect totheir scores.

Patient with high scores needs to be managedinsurgicalICUcenterswhichareadequatelyequipped and well equipped with trained personneland facilities.

Only adequate Health education, proper referralmechanism canhelpinreducing this.

Peritonitis and its sequalae management involvelots of skill, expensive modalities of monitoring andtreatment which has to be utilized judiciously basedon riskstratification.

# **References:-**

- 1. Billing A, Frohlich D, Schildberg F.W., Prediction of outcome using the Mannheim peritonitis index in 2003 patients. Peritonitis study group. Br. J. Surg 1994 Feb: 81(2): 209-13.
- 2. Dellinger P.E. et al. Surgical infection stratificationsystemforintraabdominalinfection.Arch.Surg.1985Jan;120:21.
- 3. PacelliF,etal.Prognosisinintra-abdominalinfectins. Multivariate analysis on 604 patients. ArchSurg.1996June; 131(6):641-5

- 4. DurhamH.Themechanismofreactiontoperitoneal infection. J. Pathol.Bacteriol. 1897;4:338-82.
- 5. MelaneyF.L.OlipJ,etal.Peritonitis:II.Synergism of bacteria commonly found in peritonealexudates.ArchSurg.1932;25:709.
- 6. FryD.E.GarrisonR.N.etal.Determinantsofdeath in patients with the intra-abdominal abscess.Surgery.1980;88:517.
- 7. Elebute E.A., Stoner H.B. The grading of sepsis.Br.J. Surg. 1983;70:2931.
- 8. PineR.W.WertzM.J.et.Al.Determinantsoforganmalfunctionordeathinpatientswithintra-abdominalsepsis. Arch Surg.1983;118:242-249.
- 9. Stevens L.E. Gauging these verity of surgical sepsis. Arch Surg. 1983;118:1190-1192.
- 10. KnausW.A, DraperE.A, WagnerD.P. et al. Prognosis in acuteorgan—system failure. Ann. Surg. 1985;202:685-693.