

Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) TEMPLATE FOR COMPLETION

Edited by Julian PT Higgins, Jelena Savović, Matthew J Page, Jonathan AC Sterne
on behalf of the RoB2 Development Group

Version of 22 August 2019

The development of the RoB 2 tool was supported by the MRC Network of Hubs for Trials Methodology Research (MR/L004933/2- N61), with the support of the host MRC ConDuCT-II Hub (Collaboration and innovation for Difficult and Complex randomised controlled Trials In Invasive procedures - MR/K025643/1), by MRC research grant MR/M025209/1, and by a grant from The Cochrane Collaboration.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Study details

Reference

He et al. Effect of continuous nursing based on wechat platform on postoperative rehabilitation of patients with lumbar disc herniation. Jpn J Nurs Sci 2021;18:e12382.

Study design

- Individually-randomized parallel-group trial
- Cluster-randomized parallel-group trial
- Individually randomized cross-over (or other matched) trial

For the purposes of this assessment, the interventions being compared are defined as

Experimental: Continuous nursing using WeChat Comparator: Routine continuous nursing

Specify which outcome is being assessed for risk of bias

ODI, SF36

Specify the numerical result being assessed. In case of multiple alternative analyses being presented, specify the numeric result (e.g. RR = 1.52 (95% CI 0.83 to 2.77) and/or a reference (e.g. to a table, figure or paragraph) that uniquely defines the result being assessed.

Mean (SD)

Is the review team's aim for this result...?

- to assess the effect of *assignment to intervention* (the 'intention-to-treat' effect)
- to assess the effect of *adhering to intervention* (the 'per-protocol' effect)

If the aim is to assess the effect of *adhering to intervention*, select the deviations from intended intervention that should be addressed (at least one must be checked):

- occurrence of non-protocol interventions
- failures in implementing the intervention that could have affected the outcome
- non-adherence to their assigned intervention by trial participants

Which of the following sources were obtained to help inform the risk-of-bias assessment? (tick as many as apply)

- X Journal article(s) with results of the trial
- Trial protocol
- Statistical analysis plan (SAP)
- Non-commercial trial registry record (e.g. ClinicalTrials.gov record)
- Company-owned trial registry record (e.g. GSK Clinical Study Register record)
- "Grey literature" (e.g. unpublished thesis)
- Conference abstract(s) about the trial
- Regulatory document (e.g. Clinical Study Report, Drug Approval Package)
- Research ethics application
- Grant database summary (e.g. NIH RePORTER or Research Councils UK Gateway to Research)
- Personal communication with trialist
- Personal communication with the sponsor

Risk of bias assessment

Responses underlined in green are potential markers for low risk of bias, and responses in **red** are potential markers for a risk of bias. Where questions relate only to sign posts to other questions, no formatting is used.

Domain 1: Risk of bias arising from the randomization process

Signalling questions	Comments	Response options
1.1 Was the allocation sequence random?	1.1 <u>Y</u> 1.2 <u>Y</u>	<u>Y</u> / <u>PY</u> / PN / N / NI
1.2 Was the allocation sequence concealed until participants were enrolled and assigned to interventions?	Quote: "All the patients were randomly divided into the control group (n = 48) and the study group (n = 47) in accordance with a random number table" (p. 2).	<u>Y</u> / <u>PY</u> / PN / N / NI
1.3 Did baseline differences between intervention groups suggest a problem with the randomization process?	1.3 <u>N</u> Quote: "There were no differences in the age, gender, body mass index score, location of LDH, married status, education, employment status, smoking status or drinking status between the two groups, as shown in Table 1, which showed the two groups possessed comparability" (p. 3).	Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / <u>N</u> / NI
Risk-of-bias judgement	Low	Low / High / Some concerns
Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias arising from the randomization process?		NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable

Domain 2: Risk of bias due to deviations from the intended interventions (*effect of assignment to intervention*)

Signalling questions	Comments	Response options
2.1. Were participants aware of their assigned intervention during the trial?	2.1 Y 2.2 Y	Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
2.2. Were carers and people delivering the interventions aware of participants' assigned intervention during the trial?	Comment: It is not possible to blind the intervention.	Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
2.3. If <u>Y/PY/NI</u> to 2.1 or 2.2: Were there deviations from the intended intervention that arose because of the trial context?	2.3 NI	NA / Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
2.4 If <u>Y/PY</u> to 2.3: Were these deviations likely to have affected the outcome?		NA / Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
2.5. If <u>Y/PY/NI</u> to 2.4: Were these deviations from intended intervention balanced between groups?		NA / <u>Y/PY</u> / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
2.6 Was an appropriate analysis used to estimate the effect of assignment to intervention?	2.6 PY Quote: "SPSS 22.0 was used for statistical analysis. Measured data were expressed as the mean and standard deviation (SD) and analyzed with Student's t test or one-way analysis of variance. A paired t test was used to compare inter-group variables. Counting data were expressed in the rate (%) and analyzed with Chi-square test. p < .05 meant significant differences" (p. 3). Comment: The results are not given in % in the table. This is missing.	<u>Y</u> / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
2.7 If <u>N/PN/NI</u> to 2.6: Was there potential for a substantial impact (on the result) of the failure to analyse participants in the group to which they were randomized?		NA / Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI
Risk-of-bias judgement	Some concerns	Low / High / Some concerns

Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to deviations from intended interventions?

NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable

Domain 2: Risk of bias due to deviations from the intended interventions (*effect of adhering to intervention*)

Signalling questions	Comments	Response options
2.1. Were participants aware of their assigned intervention during the trial?		Y/PY/PN/N/NI
2.2. Were carers and people delivering the interventions aware of participants' assigned intervention during the trial?		Y/PY/PN/N/NI
2.3. [If applicable:] If Y/PY/NI to 2.1 or 2.2: Were important non-protocol interventions balanced across intervention groups?		NA/Y/PY/PN/N/NI
2.4. [If applicable:] Were there failures in implementing the intervention that could have affected the outcome?		NA/Y/PY/PN/N/NI
2.5. [If applicable:] Was there non-adherence to the assigned intervention regimen that could have affected participants' outcomes?		NA/Y/PY/PN/N/NI
2.6. If N/PN/NI to 2.3, or Y/PY/NI to 2.4 or 2.5: Was an appropriate analysis used to estimate the effect of adhering to the intervention?		NA/Y/PY/PN/N/NI
Risk of bias judgement		Low / High / Some concerns
Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to deviations from intended interventions?		NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable

Domain 3: Missing outcome data

Signalling questions	Comments	Response options
3.1 Were data for this outcome available for all, or nearly all, participants randomized?	3.1 Y p. 5, Table 3	<u>Y</u> / <u>PY</u> / <u>PN</u> / <u>N</u> / NI
3.2 If <u>N/PN/NI</u> to 3.1: Is there evidence that the result was not biased by missing outcome data?		NA / <u>Y</u> / <u>PY</u> / <u>PN</u> / <u>N</u>
3.3 If <u>N/PN</u> to 3.2: Could missingness in the outcome depend on its true value?		NA / <u>Y</u> / <u>PY</u> / <u>PN</u> / <u>N</u> / NI
3.4 If <u>Y/PY/NI</u> to 3.3: Is it likely that missingness in the outcome depended on its true value?		NA / <u>Y</u> / <u>PY</u> / <u>PN</u> / <u>N</u> / NI
Risk-of-bias judgement	Low	Low / High / Some concerns
Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to missing outcome data?		NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable

Domain 4: Risk of bias in measurement of the outcome

Signalling questions	Comments	Response options
<p>4.1 Was the method of measuring the outcome inappropriate?</p>	<p>4.1 N</p> <p>AIM</p> <p>Quote: “We conducted this study to investigate whether continuous nursing based on wechat platform could increase patients’ compliance and exercise frequency, and provide a reference for the clinic” p. 2.</p> <p>METHOD OF MEASURING THE OUTCOME</p> <p>Quote: “The 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey scale (SF-36 score), which includes Physical functioning, Role physical, Bodily pain, Social functioning, Role emotional, Mental health, General health, Physical component score and Mental component score, was used to evaluate the quality of life of patients before surgery and 3 months after surgery... Japanese Orthopedics Association (JOA) score was evaluated from subjective symptoms, sensory impairment, muscle strength decline, leg elevation test, daily activity limitation and bladder function... The lumbar function of patients was evaluated by Oswestry dysfunction index (ODI)... . During follow-up, patient compliance was recorded” p. 2-3.</p>	<p>Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI</p>
<p>4.2 Could measurement or ascertainment of the outcome have differed between intervention groups?</p>	<p>4.2. PN</p> <p>Comment: Comparable methods of outcome measurement and time points.</p>	<p>Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI</p>
<p>4.3 If <u>N/PN/NI</u> to 4.1 and 4.2: Were outcome assessors aware of the intervention received by study participants?</p>	<p>4.3 Y</p> <p>Comment: The outcome assessor is the study participant.</p>	<p>NA / Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI</p>
<p>4.4 If <u>Y/PY/NI</u> to 4.3: Could assessment of the outcome have been influenced by knowledge of intervention received?</p>	<p>4.4. PY</p>	<p>NA / Y / PY / <u>PN</u> / N / NI</p>

4.5 If Y/PY/NI to 4.4: Is it likely that assessment of the outcome was influenced by knowledge of intervention received?	<p>Comment: Knowledge of the assignment could influence participant-reported outcomes.</p> <p>4.5 PN</p> <p>Comment: There is no reason to believe that knowledge of the intervention status could have influenced outcome.</p>	NA / Y / PY / PN / N / NI
Risk-of-bias judgement		Low / High / Some concerns
Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias in measurement of the outcome?		NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable

Domain 5: Risk of bias in selection of the reported result

Signalling questions	Comments	Response options
5.1 Were the data that produced this result analysed in accordance with a pre-specified analysis plan that was finalized before unblinded outcome data were available for analysis?	5.1 NI Comment: The researchers' pre-specified intentions are not available in sufficient details.	<u>Y / PY</u> / PN / N / NI
Is the numerical result being assessed likely to have been selected, on the basis of the results, from...		
5.2. ... multiple eligible outcome measurements (e.g. scales, definitions, time points) within the outcome domain?	5.2 NI Comment: Analysis intentions are not available.	Y / PY / <u>PN / N</u> / NI
5.3 ... multiple eligible analyses of the data?	5.3 NI Comment: Analysis intentions are not available.	Y / PY / <u>PN / N</u> / NI
Risk-of-bias judgement	Some concerns	Low / High / Some concerns
Optional: What is the predicted direction of bias due to selection of the reported result?		NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable

Overall risk of bias

Risk-of-bias judgement		Low / High / Some concerns
Optional: What is the overall predicted direction of bias for this outcome?		NA / Favours experimental / Favours comparator / Towards null / Away from null / Unpredictable



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).