Twin prime conjecture

The smallest gap between primes

Frank $\operatorname{Vega}^{1^*}$

^{1*}Software Department, CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary, Montauban, 82000, Tarn-et-Garonne, France.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vega.frank@gmail.com;

Abstract

A prime gap is the difference between two successive prime numbers. Two is the smallest possible gap between primes. A twin prime is a prime that has a prime gap of two. The twin prime conjecture states that there are infinitely many twin primes. This conjecture has been one of the great open problems in number theory for many years. In May 2013, the popular Yitang Zhang's paper was accepted by the journal Annals of Mathematics where it was announced that for some integer N that is less than 70 million, there are infinitely many pairs of primes that differ by N. A few months later, James Maynard gave a different proof of Yitang Zhang's theorem and showed that there are infinitely many prime gaps with size of at most 600. A collaborative effort in the Polymath Project, led by Terence Tao, reduced to the lower bound 246 just using Zhang and Maynard results as the main theoretical background. In this note, using arithmetic operations, we prove that the twin prime conjecture is true. Indeed, this is a trivial and short note very easy to check and understand which is a breakthrough result at the same time.

Keywords: Twin prime conjecture, Prime numbers, Prime gap

MSC Classification: 11A41, 11A25

1 Introduction

Leonhard Euler studied the following value of the Riemann zeta function (1734).

2 The smallest gap between primes



Fig. 1 Roots of $H_2(x)$ [5]

Proposition 1 It is known that [1, (1) pp. 1070]:

$$\zeta(2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

where p_k is the kth prime number (We also use the notation p_n to denote the nth prime number.).

Franz Mertens obtained some important results about the constants B and H (1874). We define $H = \gamma - B$ such that $B \approx 0.2614972128$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant and $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [2, (17.) pp. 54].

Proposition 2 We have [3, Lemma 2.1 (1) pp. 359]:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) = \gamma - B = H,$$

where log is the natural logarithm.

For $x \ge 2$, the function u(x) is defined as follows [4, pp. 379]:

$$u(x) = \sum_{p_k > x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right).$$

We use the following function:

Definition 1 For all x > 1 and $a \ge 0$, we define the function:

$$H_a(x) = \log(\frac{x}{x-1}) - \frac{1}{x+a} + \log(\frac{x^2 - \sqrt[\log(x)+1]}{x^2}).$$

We state the following Propositions:



Fig. 2 Roots of $H_4(x)$ [6]

Proposition 3 For a sufficiently large positive value x, we have $H_2(x) < 0$. Certainly, $H_2(x)$ is negative for all $x \ge 60000$ since it is negative for x = 60000, strictly decreasing for $x \ge 60000$ (because its derivative is lesser than 0 for $x \ge 60000$) and its greatest root is between 50000 and 60000 (See Figure 1).

Proposition 4 For a sufficiently large positive value x, we have $H_4(x) > 0$. Certainly, $H_4(x)$ is positive for all $x \ge 1.5$ since it is positive for x = 1.5 and its unique root is between 1.4 and 1.5 (See Figure 2).

The following property is based on natural logarithms:

Proposition 5 [7, pp. 1]. For x > -1:

 $\log(1+x) \le x.$

Putting all together yields the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 1 The twin prime conjecture is true.

2 Infinite Sums

Lemma 1

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) = \log(\zeta(2)) - H.$$

4 The smallest gap between primes

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\zeta(2)) - H &= \log(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k^2}{(p_k^2 - 1)}) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{(p_k - 1) \cdot (p_k + 1)}) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) + \log(\frac{p_k}{p_k + 1}) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(\frac{p_k + 1}{p_k}) \right) - H \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) - \log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

by Prop

Lemma 2

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) = \log(\zeta(2)) + \log(\frac{3}{2}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} Proof \text{ We obtain that} \\ \log(\zeta(2)) + \log(\frac{3}{2}) &= \log(\zeta(2)) - H + H + \log(\frac{3}{2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k})\right) + H + \log(\frac{3}{2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k})\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) + \log(\frac{3}{2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) + \log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) + \log(\frac{3}{2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k})\right) + \log(\frac{3}{2}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}})\right) \end{aligned}$$
by Lemma 1.

by Lemma 1.

3 Partial Sums

Lemma 3

$$\sum_{p_k \le x} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) = \log(\prod_{p_k \le x} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) - H + u(x).$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{split} \log(\prod_{p_k \leq x} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) - H + u(x) &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k^2}{(p_k^2 - 1)}) \right) - H + u(x) \\ &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{(p_k - 1) \cdot (p_k + 1)}) \right) - H + u(x) \\ &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) + \log(\frac{p_k}{p_k + 1}) \right) - H + u(x) \\ &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(\frac{p_k + 1}{p_k}) \right) - H + u(x) \\ &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) - \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) - \log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) + \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p_k \leq x} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) \end{split}$$
 by Propositions 1 and 2.

by Propositions 1 and 2.

Lemma 4

$$\sum_{p_k < p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) = \log(\frac{3}{2}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\frac{1}{p_k}) +$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\log(\frac{3}{2}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n})$$

$$= \log(\frac{3}{2}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) - H + u(p_{n-1}) + H - u(p_{n-1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n})$$

$$= \log(\frac{3}{2}) + \sum_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k})\right) + H - u(p_{n-1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n})$$

$$= \log(\frac{3}{2}) + \sum_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k})\right) + \sum_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n})$$

6 The smallest gap between primes

$$\begin{split} &= \log(\frac{3}{2}) + \sum_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{p_k} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) + \log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) \\ &= \log(\frac{3}{2}) + \sum_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \right) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) \\ &= \sum_{p_k < p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) \\ &\text{by Lemma 2} \end{split}$$

by Lemma 3.

4 Main Insight

Lemma 5

$$\sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_n}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_n}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_n}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) = \log(1 + \frac{1}$$

Proof We obtain that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) - \sum_{p_k < p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) \\ &= \log(\zeta(2)) + \log(\frac{3}{2}) - \log(\frac{3}{2}) - \log(\prod_{p_k \le p_{n-1}} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) + \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) \\ &= \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) \end{split}$$

by Lemmas 2 and 4.

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof Suppose that the twin prime conjecture is false. Then, there would exist a sufficiently large prime number p_n such that for all prime gaps starting from p_n , this implies that they are greater than or equal to 4. First, we need prove that

$$\log(1+\frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k) + 1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2}) \le 0$$

when p_n is large enough. That is the same as

$$\log(1+\frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) \le \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \log(p_k) + 1/p_k}).$$

That is equivalent to

$$\frac{p_n+1}{p_n} \cdot \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} \le \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \log(p_k) + \sqrt{p_k}}$$

So,

$$\frac{p_n+1}{p_n} \cdot \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} \le \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - (\sqrt[\log(p_k) + 1]{p_k})^{\log(p_k)}}$$

which is

$$\frac{p_n + 1}{p_n} \cdot \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} \le \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k) + 1}{\log(p_k) \sqrt{e}}}$$

since $x^{\frac{1}{\log x}} = e$ for x > -1. Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 2.71828} \le \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k) + 1}{\log(p_k) \sqrt{e}}}$$

for a sufficiently large prime number p_n . Indeed, we see that

$$(p_n+1) \cdot \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1} \le p_n \cdot \prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 2.71828}$$

trivially holds for large enough p_n since

$$\frac{p_n \cdot (p_n - 1)}{p_n^2 - 2.71828} > 1 - \frac{1}{p_n} > \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2}{p_n}}}, \text{ because of } \frac{2}{p_n} > \frac{e^{\frac{2}{p_n}}}{p_n} \ge \log(1 + \frac{e^{\frac{2}{p_n}}}{p_n})$$

where $\prod_{p_k > p_n} \frac{p_k^2 - 1}{p_k^2} \ge \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2}{p_n}}} \ge \prod_{p_k > p_n} \frac{p_k^2 - 2.71828}{p_k^2 - 1}$ [8, Lemma 3.2 pp. 4]. Consequently, we can assure that

$$\log(1+\frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k) + 1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2}) \le 0$$

whenever p_n is large enough. In this way, we have

$$\sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \sqrt[\log(p_k) + 1]}{p_k^2}) \le 0$$

by Lemma 5. We verify that

$$\sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \right) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k) + 1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2})$$
$$\geq \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_{k+1}} \right) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \frac{\log(p_k) + 1}{\sqrt{p_k}}}{p_k^2})$$
$$\log(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}) \ge \frac{1}{p_k} \text{ by Proposition 5. Under our accumption we}$$

since $-\log(1 + \frac{1}{p_{k+1}}) \ge -\frac{1}{p_{k+1}}$ by Proposition 5. Under our assumption, we notice that

$$\sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \left(\log(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}) - \frac{1}{p_{k+1}} \right) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \sqrt[\log(p_k) - 1]}{p_k^2}) \ge \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} H_4(p_k)$$

since $-\frac{1}{p_{k+1}} \ge -\frac{1}{p_k+4}$. However, we know that

$$\sum_{p_k \ge p_n} H_4(p_k) > 0$$

due to Proposition 4. Hence, the inequality

$$\log(1+\frac{1}{p_n}) + \log(\prod_{p_k \ge p_n} \frac{p_k^2}{p_k^2 - 1}) + \sum_{p_k \ge p_n} \log(\frac{p_k^2 - \sqrt[\log(p_k) + 1]}{p_k^2}) \le 0$$

would not hold by transitivity. For that reason, we obtain a contradiction under the supposition that the twin prime conjecture is false. By reductio ad absurdum, we prove that the twin prime conjecture is true. $\hfill\square$

7

References

- R. Ayoub, Euler and the zeta function. The American Mathematical Monthly 81(10), 1067–1086 (1974). https://doi.org/10.2307/2319041
- F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie. J. reine angew. Math. 1874(78), 46–62 (1874). https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1874.78.46
- [3] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19(2), 357–372 (2007). https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.591
- [4] J.L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. Journal of number theory 17(3), 375–388 (1983). https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-314X(83) 90055-0
- [5] Equation Solver Wolfram Alpha. Roots for the function H in the value of a = 2. https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i2d=true&i=log%5C%2840%29Divide%5BX%2C%5C%2840%29X+-+1%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29+-+Divide%5B1%2C%5C%2840%29X+%2B+2%5C%2841%29%5D+%2B+log%5C%2840%29Divide%5B5%5C%2840%29Divide%5BX%2C2%5D++Power%5BX%2C%5C%2840%29Divide%5B1%2C%5C%2840%29log%5C%2840%29X%5C%2841%29+%2B+1%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29%2CPower%5BX%2C2%5D%5D%5C%2841%29%3D0. Accessed 15 November 2022
- [6] Equation Solver Wolfram Alpha. Roots for the function H in the value of a = 4. https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i2d=true&i=log%5C%2840%29Divide%5BX%2C%5C%2840%29X+-+1%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29+-+Divide%5B1%2C%5C%2840%29X+%2B+4%5C%2841%29%5D+%2B+log%5C%2840%29Divide%5B%5C%2840%29Divide%5BX%2C2%5D++Power%5BX%2C%5C%2840%29Divide%5B1%2C%5C%2840%29log%5C%2840%29X%5C%2841%29+%2B+1%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29%5D%5C%2841%29%2CPower%5BX%2C2%5D%5D%5C%2841%29%3D0. Accessed 15 November 2022
- [7] L. Kozma. Useful Inequalities. http://www.lkozma.net/inequalities_cheat_ sheet/ineq.pdf (2022). Accessed 15 November 2022
- [8] P. Solé, M. Planat, Extreme values of the Dedekind ψ function. Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory **3**(1), 33–38 (2011)