## *MoS*<sub>2</sub>/Quantum Dot Hybrid Photodetectors on Flexible Substrates

Ozan Yakar<sup>1,2</sup>, Burkay Uzlu<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel S. Schneider<sup>1,2</sup>, Annika Grundmann<sup>3</sup>, Sören Becker<sup>4</sup>, Jan S. Niehaus<sup>4</sup>, Hendrik Schlicke<sup>4</sup>, Michael Heuken<sup>3, 5</sup>, Holger Kalisch<sup>3</sup>, Andrei Vescan<sup>3</sup>, Zhenxing Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Max C. Lemme<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> AMO GmbH, Advanced Microelectronic Center Aachen (AMICA), 52074 Aachen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Chair of Electronic Devices, RWTH Aachen University, Otto-Blumenthal-Str. 25, 52074 Aachen, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Compound Semiconductor Technology, RWTH Aachen, Sommerfeldstraße 18, 52074 Aachen

<sup>4</sup>Fraunhofer Center for Applied Nanotechnology CAN, Grindelallee 117, 20146 Hamburg, Germany <sup>5</sup>AIXTRON SE; Dornkaulstraße 4, 52134, Herzogenrath, Germany

\*Email: wang@amo.de; max.lemme@eld.rwth-aachen.de / Phone: (+49) 241-8867-200

**Introduction:** MoS<sub>2</sub> is a semiconducting transition-metal dichalcogenide and an attractive candidate for optoelectronics and flexible electronics due to its strong excitonic interactions, low dark current (I<sub>Dark</sub>) and high mechanical strength. Photodetectors (PDs) based on MoS<sub>2</sub> have been demonstrated with high responsivities and low I<sub>Dark</sub> [1-3]. However, long response times, often in the range of several seconds, severely limit their use for imaging applications. Hybrid structures made of MoS<sub>2</sub> and colloidal quantum dots (CQDs) have been shown to improve the response times down to the ms range [4]. These devices were made from exfoliated materials and on rigid substrate. Here, we present hybrid MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQDs based PDs with high performance using a scalable fabrication approach on flexible polyimide (PI) substrates with metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) grown MoS<sub>2</sub>. Our MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQDs PDs show fast response times in the ms range and withstand mechanical strain, which provides evidence that our scalable process on PI substrates is a promising approach towards flexible optoelectronics, e.g. wearable sensors or healthcare systems.

**Device Fabrication:** Flexible substrates were prepared by spin coating liquid PI on silicon (Si) substrates, which was used as a support layer through the fabrication. After coating, the samples were cured at 350 °C, resulting in ~ 8  $\mu$ m thick PI films. Local back gates were defined by lithography, sputter deposition of 30/10 nm Al/Ti and a lift-off process. 40 nm of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was deposited as a gate dielectric using atomic layer deposition (ALD) and fluorine based reactive ion etching (RIE) was used to open vias in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to access the gate electrode contacts. Few-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> films grown by MOVPE on sapphire substrates [5] were transferred by a PMMA assisted transfer method. MoS<sub>2</sub> channels with lengths/widths of 4/25  $\mu$ m were defined using contact lithography and patterned using fluorine based RIE. 30/50 nm Ni/Al contacts were sputtered to provide edge contacts to the MoS<sub>2</sub> channels. The PbS CQDs were spin coated on the PDs in octane solution, resulting in a thickness of ~ 100 nm. The devices were encapsulated by 100 nm of electron beam evaporated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (optical micrograph and device schematic in Fig. 1).

Results: An absorption spectrum of the CQDs is shown in Fig. 2. The MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQDs PDs were first characterized in the dark and with optical power densities from 3.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> to 19 W/m<sup>2</sup> under ambient conditions. An LED with a wavelength of 630 nm with a spectral full width at half maximum of 10 nm was used as an illumination source. Transfer characteristics show that the MoS<sub>2</sub> is n-type and upon illumination, the channel conductance increases (Fig. 3). This indicates that the photogenerated electrons are transferred to the MoS<sub>2</sub> channel while the photogenerated holes remain trapped in the CQDs layer [4]. Output characteristics of the PDs were measured under dark and illuminated conditions with the phototransistor in the on-  $(V_G = 10 \text{ V})$  and off-state  $(V_G = -10 \text{ V})$ , respectively (Fig. 4). Gating allows the tuning of  $I_{Dark}$ , which is reduced by a factor of ~ 100 when applying a  $V_G = -10$  V. Fig. 5 shows the calculated responsivity as a function of source-drain voltage ( $V_{DS}$ ) for different  $V_G$ . The responsivity in the off-state reaches up to  $10^2$  A/W for V<sub>DS</sub> = 3 V while I<sub>Dark</sub> is kept to ~  $10^{-9}$  A. In the onstate, the responsivity values reach up to  $10^4$  A/W at an expense of an increased I<sub>Dark</sub> of ~  $10^{-6}$  A. High specific detectivities (D\*), ~  $10^{12}$  Jones and ~  $10^{13}$  Jones in the off- and on-states are achieved, respectively (Fig. 6). Transient characteristics were investigated by measuring the time delay between the illumination and the PD responses (Fig. 7). The rise time of our MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQDs PDs is ~40 ms, a value that is limited by our measurement setup and sufficient for imaging applications [6]. After the PI was peeled off from Si substrate, the mechanical durability of the PDs was tested by measuring the IDark and photocurrent after up to 500 bending cycles with a radius of 6.4 mm. No significant degradation of the PD performance was observed (Fig. 8-9).

**Conclusions:** We have demonstrated hybrid  $MoS_2/CQDs$  photodetectors with MOVPE-grown  $MoS_2$  with comparable performance to the state-of-the-art in literature from exfoliated materials (Table 1). Our fabrication approach is scalable to wafer scale, and the performance has been achieved on flexible substrates. The results indicate the potential of  $MoS_2/CQDs$  PDs for applications in flexible electronics.

Acknowledgements: European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreements GrapheneCore3 (881603), MISEL (101016734), 2Exciting (956813), QUEFORMAL (829035); DFG: GLECS2 (273177991), 2D-MOCVD (VE 597/5-1), MOSTFLEX (407080863), ULTIMOS2 (LE 2440/8-1)

[1] D. Schneider, et al. ACS Photonics, 7.6, 1388, (2020).

[4] O. Özdemir, et al., ACS Photonics, 6, 2381, (2019).

[2] J. Marin, et al. npj 2D Mater Appl., 3, 1-6, (2019).

[5] M. Marx, *et al. J. of Crystal Growth*, 464, 100, (2017).

[3] Y. Lim, et al. Adv. Materials, 28(25), 5025. (2016).

[6] G. Konstantatos, et al., Nature Nano, 7, 363 (2012).



Fig. 1: a) Microscope image and b) schematic of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQD hybrid photodetector. Green bar represents Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. 4: Photocurrent and the  $I_{Dark}$  of the photodetector for V<sub>G</sub>= 10 V (onstate) and V<sub>G</sub>= -10 V (off-state)



Fig. 7: Transient characteristics of a MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQD photodetector.



Fig. 2: Absorbance spectrum of the PbS CQDs in octane solution.



Fig. 5: Responsivity as a function of V<sub>DS</sub> for varying gate voltages.  $\lambda = 630$  nm, P<sub>LED</sub>= 3.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>.



Fig. 8: Photograph of an array of MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQD photodetectors on polyimide substrate.

25 Dark 3.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> 20 12 W/m<sup>2</sup> Current (µA) 0 12 0 19 W/m<sup>2</sup> 5 0 -5 10 -10 0 5 V<sub>Gat</sub> (V)

Fig. 3: I/V characteristics of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQD based photodetector in dark and under illumination at  $\lambda$ = 630 nm for V<sub>DS</sub>= 3 V.



Fig. 6: Specific detectivity of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQD photodetector as a function of drain voltage.



Fig. 9: On/off ratio in dark and photocurrent of  $MoS_2$  photodetector, measured before bending and after each bending cycle (1, 100, 500) with a bending radius of 6.4 mm.

Device	Responsivity	Resp.	Idark (A)	Device	Responsivity	Resp.	Idark (A)
Туре	(A/W)	Time		Туре	(A/W)	Time	
Si <sup>6</sup>	0.5	1-500 ns	$\sim 10^{-10}$	MoS <sub>2</sub> <sup>1</sup>	$\sim 10^2 - 10^3$	~500 ms	$\sim 10^{-10}$ -10 <sup>-4</sup>
GFET/	$\sim 10^7$	$\sim 30 \text{ ms}$	~ 105	MoS <sub>2</sub> /	$\sim 10^{0} - 10^{2}$	$\sim 30 \text{ ms}$	$\sim 10^{-10} - 10^{-6}$
CQD <sup>6,*</sup>	10	50 113	10	CQD <sup>4,*</sup>	10-10	50 113	10 -10
WS <sub>2</sub> /	$\sim 10^2 - 10^3$	$\sim 200 \text{ ms}$	~ 10-8-10-6	This	$\sim 10^2 \cdot 10^4$	$\sim 40 \text{ ms}$	~ 10-9-10-5
CQD <sup>4,*</sup>	10 -10	200 ms	~ 10 -10	Work	10-10		10 -10

Table 1: Comparison of the state-of-the-art photodetectors with MoS<sub>2</sub>/CQD photodetectors in terms of the responsivity, response time and dark current. \* *exfoliated materials were used*.