



Research Article

Strongly λ – Convergence of Order α in Neutrosophic Normed Spaces

Hacer Şengül Kandemir^{a,*}, Mikail Et^b, Nazlım Deniz Aral^c

^a Faculty of Education, Harran University, Osmanbey Campus 63190, Şanlıurfa, Turkey

^b Department of Mathematics, Fırat University, 23119, Elazığ, Turkey

^c Department of Mathematics, Bitlis Eren University, 13000, Bitlis, Turkey

Cite as: Kandemir H.Ş., Et M., Aral N.D. 2022. Strongly λ – Convergence of Order α in Neutrosophic Normed Spaces, *Dera Natung Government College Research Journal*, 7, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.56405/dngcrj.2022.07.01.01>

Received on: 20.08.2022.

Revised on: 15.11.2022.

Accepted on: 19.11.2022

Published on: 28.12.2022

Corresponding Author: Hacer Şengül Kandemir
(hacer.sengul@hotmail.com)

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the concept of strongly λ –convergence of order α in the neutrosophic normed spaces. We investigate some fundamental properties of this new concept.

Keywords: λ –convergence, Neutrosophic normed space, λ sequence.

AMS subject classification (2015): Primary 40A05, 40C05; Secondary 46A45.

I. Introduction

The concept neutrosophy implies impartial knowledge of thought and then neutral describes the basic difference between neutral, fuzzy, intuitive fuzzy set and logic. The neutrosophic set (NS) was investigated by Smarandache (Smarandache, 2003) who defined the degree of indeterminacy (i) as independent component. In (Smarandache, 2013), neutrosophic logic was firstly examined. It is a logic where each proposition is determined to have a degree of truth (T), falsity (F), and indeterminacy (I). A neutrosophic set (NS) is determined as a set where every component of the universe has a degree of T , F and I . In intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS s) the 'degree of non-belongingness' is not independent but it is dependent on the "degree of belongingness". Fuzzy sets (FS s) can be thought as a remarkable case of an IFS where the "degree of non-belongingness" of an element is absolutely equal to "1- degree of belongingness". Uncertainty is based on the



belongingness degree in *IFSs*, whereas the uncertainty in *NS* is considered independently from *T* and *F* values. Since no any limitations among the degree of *T*, *F*, *I*. Neutrosophic sets (*NSs*) are actually more general than *IFS*. Neutrosophic soft linear spaces (*NSLSs*) were considered by Bera and Mahapatra (Bera and Mahapatra, 2017). Subsequently, in (Bera and Mahapatra, 2018), the concept neutrosophic soft normed linear space (*NSNLS*) was defined and the features of (*NSNLS*) were examined.

Kirişçi and Şimşek (Kirişçi and Şimşek, 2020a) defined new a concept known as neutrosophic metric space (*NMS*) with continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms. Some notable features of *NMS* have been examined. Neutrosophic normed space (*NNS*) and statistical convergence in *NNS* has been investigated by Kirişçi and Şimşek (Kirişçi and Şimşek, 2020b). Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic logic has used by applied sciences and theoretical science such as decision making, robotics, summability theory.

In (Kişi, 2020), lacunary statistical convergence of sequences in *NNS* was examined. Also, lacunary statistically Cauchy sequence in *NNS* was given and lacunary statistically completeness in connection with a neutrosophic normed space was presented. Kişi (Kişi, 2021a), defined lacunary ideal convergence and gave various results about lacunary ideal convergence in (Kişi, 2021a) and (Kişi, 2021b). Also, triple Lacunary Δ -statistical convergence and triple difference sequences of real numbers in neutrosophic normed space introduced by Kişi (Kişi, 2022a, 2022b).

Definition 1.1 (Menger, 1942): Let $*$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be an operation. When $*$ satisfies following situations, it is called continuous *TN* (Triangular norms (t-norms)). Take $p, q, r, s \in [0,1]$

- (i) $p * 1 = p$
- (ii) If $p \leq r$ and $q \leq s$, then $p * q \leq r * s$,
- (iii) $*$ is continuous,
- (iv) $*$ associative and commutative.

Definition 1.2 (Menger, 1942): Let \diamond : $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be an operation. When \diamond satisfies following situations, it is said to be continuous *TC* (Triangular conorms (t-conorms)).

- (i) $p \diamond 0 = p$
- (ii) If $p \leq r$ and $q \leq s$, then $p \diamond q = r \diamond s$,
- (iii) \diamond is continuous,
- (iv) \diamond associative and commutative.

Definition 1.3 (Kirişçi and Şimşek, 2020b): Let *F* be a vector space, $N = \{(u, G(u), B(u), Y(u)) : u \in F\}$ be a normed space (*NS*) such that $N: F \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0,1]$. While following conditions hold, $V = (F, N, *, \diamond)$ is called to be *NNS*. For each $u, v \in F$ and $\vartheta, \mu > 0$ and for all $\sigma \neq 0$,

-
- (i) $0 \leq G(u, \vartheta) \leq 1, 0 \leq B(u, \vartheta) \leq 1, 0 \leq Y(u, \vartheta) \leq 1, \forall \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^+$
 - (ii) $G(u, \vartheta) + B(u, \vartheta) + Y(u, \vartheta) \leq 3, \forall \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^+$
 - (iii) $G(u, \vartheta) = 1$ (for $\vartheta > 0$) iff $u = 0$,
 - (iv) $G(\sigma u, \vartheta) = G\left(u, \frac{\vartheta}{|\sigma|}\right)$,
 - (v) $G(u, \mu) * G(v, \vartheta) \leq G(u + v, \mu + \vartheta)$
 - (vi) $G(u, .)$ is non-decreasing continuous function,
 - (vii) $\lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow \infty} G(u, \vartheta) = 1$,
 - (viii) $B(u, \vartheta) = 0$ (for $\vartheta > 0$) iff $u = 0$,
 - (ix) $B(\sigma u, \vartheta) = B\left(u, \frac{\vartheta}{|\sigma|}\right)$,
 - (x) $B(u, \mu) \diamond B(v, \vartheta) \geq B(u + v, \mu + \vartheta)$,
 - (xi) $B(u, .)$ is non-increasing continuous function,
 - (xii) $\lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow \infty} B(u, \vartheta) = 0$,
 - (xiii) $Y(u, \vartheta) = 0$ (for $\vartheta > 0$) iff $u = 0$,
 - (xiv) $Y(\sigma u, \vartheta) = Y\left(u, \frac{\vartheta}{|\sigma|}\right)$,
 - (xv) $Y(u, \mu) \diamond Y(v, \vartheta) \geq Y(u + v, \mu + \vartheta)$,
 - (xvi) $Y(u, .)$ is non-increasing continuous function,
 - (xvii) $\lim_{\vartheta \rightarrow \infty} Y(u, \vartheta) = 0$,
 - (xviii) If $\vartheta \leq 0$, then $G(u, \vartheta) = 0, B(u, \vartheta) = 1$ and $Y(u, \vartheta) = 1$.

Then $N = (G, B, Y)$ is called Neutrosophic norm (NN).

Definition 1.4 (Kirişci and Şimşek, 2020b): Let V be an NNS , the sequence (x_k) in V , $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\vartheta > 0$. Then, the sequence (x_k) is converges to ℓ iff there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon, B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$. That is, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) = 1, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) = 0$ as $\vartheta > 0$. In that case, the sequence (x_k) is named a convergent sequence in V . The convergent in NNS is indicated by $N - \lim(x_k) = \ell$.

Definition 1.5 (Kirişci and Şimşek, 2020b): Let V be an NNS . For $\vartheta > 0, w \in F$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$,

$$OB(w, \varepsilon, \vartheta) = \{u \in F : G(w - u, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon, B(w - u, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, Y(w - u, \vartheta) < \varepsilon\}$$

is called open ball with center w , radius ε .

Definition 1.6 (Kirişci and Şimşek, 2020b): The set $A \subset F$ is called neutrosophic-bounded NB in $NNS V$, if there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that $G(u, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon, B(u, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$ and $Y(u, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$ for each $u \in A$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$. The generalized de la Vallée-Poussin mean is defined by

$$t_n(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k,$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. A sequence (x_k) is said to (V, λ) –summable to a number L if $t_n(x) \rightarrow L$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $(\lambda_n) = n$, then (V, λ) –summability is reduced to Cesàro summability. By Λ we denote the class of all non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$. λ –convergence was studied by Mursaleen (Mursaleen, 2000), Şengül et. al. (Şengül, 2019, 2018), Başarır et. al. (Başarır, 2019) and some investigations concerning this concept refer to (Aral, 2022) and (Çakallı, 2019).

Statistical convergence of order α is more general than statistical convergence for sequences. This has motivated us to study the strongly λ –convergence of order α in NNS. In this paper, we introduce the concept of strongly λ –convergence of order α in NNS by using the λ –summable and we obtain some inclusion results.

II. Main Results

Definition 2.1: Take an NNS V . For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, a sequence $x = (x_k)$ is named to be strongly λ –convergent to $\ell \in F$ of order α with regards to NN (LC – NN), if for every $\vartheta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. We indicate $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$. For $(\lambda_n) = n$, we shall write $(G, B, Y)^\alpha$ instead of $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha$ and in the special case $\alpha = 1$ and $(\lambda_n) = n$, we shall write (G, B, Y) instead of $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha$.

Theorem 2.2: Let V be an NNS. If x is strongly λ –convergent of order α with regards to NN, then $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$ is unique.

Proof: Suppose that $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell_1$, $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell_2$ and $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, select $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that $(1 - \rho) * (1 - \rho) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $p \diamond p < \varepsilon$. For each $\vartheta > 0$, there is $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell_1, \vartheta) > 1 - \rho \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell_1, \vartheta) < \rho, \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell_1, \vartheta) < \rho$$

for all $n \geq n_1$. Also, there is $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell_2, \vartheta) > 1 - \rho \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell_2, \vartheta) < \rho, \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell_2, \vartheta) < \rho$$

for all $n \geq n_2$. Assume that $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Then for $n \geq n_0$, we can find a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$G(\ell_1 - \ell_2, \vartheta) \geq G\left(x_m - \ell_1, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) * G\left(x_m - \ell_2, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) > (1 - \rho) * (1 - \rho) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

$$B(\ell_1 - \ell_2, \vartheta) \leq B\left(x_m - \ell_1, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) \diamond B\left(x_m - \ell_2, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) < \rho \diamond \rho < \varepsilon$$

and

$$Y(\ell_1 - \ell_2, \vartheta) \leq Y\left(x_m - \ell_1, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) \diamond Y\left(x_m - \ell_2, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) < \rho \diamond \rho < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $G(\ell_1 - \ell_2, \vartheta) = 1$, $B(\ell_1 - \ell_2, \vartheta) = 0$ and $Y(\ell_1 - \ell_2, \vartheta) = 0$ for all $\vartheta > 0$, which gives that $\ell_1 = \ell_2$.

Now, we give an example to denote the sequence strongly λ – convergence of order α in an *NNS*.

Example 2.3: Let $(F, \|\cdot\|)$ be an *NNS*. For all $u, v, \alpha \in [0, 1]$, define $u * v = uv$ and $u \diamond v = \min\{u + v; 1\}$. For all $x \in F$ and every $\vartheta > 0$, we take $G(x, \vartheta) = \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta + \|x\|}$, $B(x, \vartheta) = \frac{\|x\|}{\vartheta + \|x\|}$ and $Y(x, \vartheta) = \frac{\|x\|}{\vartheta}$. Then V is an *NNS*.

We define a sequence (x_k) by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = t^2 (t \in \mathbb{N}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Consider

$$A = \{k \in I_n : G(x, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } B(x, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, Y(x, \vartheta) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Then, for any $\vartheta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, the following set

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left\{k \in I_n : \frac{\vartheta}{\vartheta + \|x_k\|} > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \frac{\|x_k\|}{\vartheta + \|x_k\|} < \varepsilon, \frac{\|x_k\|}{\vartheta} < \varepsilon\right\} \\ &= \left\{k \in I_n : \|x_k\| \leq \frac{\vartheta\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \text{ and } \|x_k\| < \vartheta\varepsilon\right\} \\ &\subset \{k \in I_n : \|x_k\| = 1\} = \{k \in I_n : k = t^2\} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$A_n(\varepsilon, \vartheta) = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k, \vartheta) < \varepsilon\right\}$$

will be a finite set.

Theorem 2.4: If $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$, then there is a subsequence (x_{ρ_k}) of x such that $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x_{\rho_k} = \ell$.

Proof: Take $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$. Then, for every $\vartheta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Obviously, for each $n \geq n_0$, we choose $\rho_k \in I_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_{\rho_k} - \ell, \vartheta) &> \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \\ B(x_{\rho_k} - \ell, \vartheta) &< \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon \\ Y(x_{\rho_k} - \ell, \vartheta) &< \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x_{\rho_k} = \ell$.

Theorem 2.5: Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then $(G, B, Y)^\alpha \subset (G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha$.

Proof: Take $(G, B, Y)^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) - \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \\ &= \frac{(n)^\alpha}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(n)^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \right) - \frac{(n-\lambda_n)^\alpha}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(n-\lambda_n)^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \right) \\ &> \frac{(n)^\alpha}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(n)^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \right) - \frac{(n)^\alpha}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{(n-\lambda_n)^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

From here, $\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$ are obtained. Thus, $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$.

Theorem 2.6: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n), \mu = (\mu_n) \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_n \leq \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, α and β be fixed real numbers such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \frac{(\mu_n)^\beta}{(\lambda_n)^\alpha} > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{(\lambda_n)^\beta} = 1 \quad (1)$$

holds and $A \subset F$ is neutrosophic-bounded (NB) in NNS V , then $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha \subset (G, B, Y)_\mu^\beta$.

Proof: Let $x \in (G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha$ and assume that (1) holds. Since $A \subset F$ is neutrosophic-bounded (NB) in NNS V , then there exists some $\vartheta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon \text{ for each } (x_k - \ell) \in A.$$

Now, since $\lambda_n \leq \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may write

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in J_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta)$$

$$= \frac{(\mu_n)^\beta}{(\lambda_n)^\alpha (\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) &= \frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n - I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) + \frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_n - \lambda_n}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_n - (\lambda_n)^\beta}{(\lambda_n)^\beta} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{(\lambda_n)^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_n}{(\lambda_n)^\beta} - 1 \right) \varepsilon + \frac{1}{(\lambda_n)^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} G(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} B(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$. It can be shown to be $\frac{1}{(\mu_n)^\beta} \sum_{k \in J_n} Y(x_k - \ell, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$ by similar operations. $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha \subset (G, B, Y)_\mu^\beta$ is obtained as the result.

Thus in the light of Theorem 2.6, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.7: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$, $\mu = (\mu_n) \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_n \leq \mu_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If (1) holds and NB then,

- (i) $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha \subset (G, B, Y)_\mu$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,
- (ii) $(G, B, Y)_\lambda \subset (G, B, Y)_\mu$.

Definition 2.8: Take an $NNS V$. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is named to be strongly λ –Cauchy of order α with regards to $NN N (LCA - NN)$, if for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\vartheta > 0$, there are $n_0, p \in \mathbb{N}$ is satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - x_p, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - x_p, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - x_p, \vartheta) < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

Theorem 2.9: If a sequence $x = (x_k)$ in $NN S$ is strongly λ –convergent of order α with regards to $NN N$, then it is strongly Cauchy of order α with regards to $NN N$.

Proof: Let $(G, B, Y)_\lambda^\alpha - \lim x = \ell$. Select $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, for a given $\rho \in (0,1)$, $(1 - \rho) * (1 - \rho) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\rho < \varepsilon$. Then, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G\left(x_k - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) > 1 - \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B\left(x_k - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) < \rho, \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y\left(x_k - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) < \rho.$$

We have to show that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} G(x_k - x_m, \vartheta) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} B(x_k - x_m, \vartheta) < \varepsilon, \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_n^\alpha} \sum_{k \in I_n} Y(x_k - x_m, \vartheta) < \varepsilon.$$

We have three possible cases

Case (i) we get for $\vartheta > 0$

$$G(x_k - x_m, \vartheta) \geq G\left(x_k - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) * G\left(x_m - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) > (1 - \rho) * (1 - \rho) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Case (ii) we obtain

$$B(x_k - x_m, \vartheta) \leq B\left(x_k - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) \diamond B\left(x_m - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) < p \diamond p < \varepsilon.$$

Case (iii) we have

$$Y(x_k - x_m, \vartheta) \leq Y\left(x_k - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) \diamond Y\left(x_m - \ell, \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) < p \diamond p < \varepsilon.$$

This shows that (x_k) is strongly Cauchy of order α with regards to $NN N$.

III. Conclusion and Recommendations

In this paper, we define strongly λ –convergence of order α of sequences with in NNS . Some fundamental theorems and example are given. The notation of strongly λ –Cauchy of order α for sequences in NNS is examined and relations between this new concepts is established.

The results of the paper are expected to be a source for sequences and applications in NNS . In future studies on this topic, it is also possible λ – ideal convergence in NNS .

References

- Aral, N.D. (2022).** ρ –statistical convergence defined by a modulus function of order (α, β) . Maltepe Journal of Mathematics, 4(1), 15-23.
- Çakallı, H. (2019).** A new approach to statistically quasi Cauchy sequences. Maltepe Journal of Mathematics, 1(1), 1-8.
- Bera, T. and Mahapatra, N.K. (2017).** On neutrosophic soft linear spaces. Fuzzy Inform. Engineering, 9 (3), 299-324.
- Bera, T. and Mahapatra, N.K. (2018).** Neutrosophic soft normed linear spaces. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 23, 52-71.
- Kirişci, M. and Şimşek, N. (2020a).** Neutrosophic metric spaces. Math. Sci, 14, 241-248.
- Kirişci, M. and Şimşek, N. (2020b).** Neutrosophic normed spaces and statistical convergence. The Journal of Analysis, 28, 1059-1073.
- Kiş, Ö. (2020).** Lacunary statistical convergence of sequences in neutrosophic normed spaces. 4th International Conference on Mathematics: An Istanbul Meeting for World Mathematicians, Istanbul, 2020, 345-354.
- Kiş, Ö. (2021a).** On I_θ –convergence in neutrosophic normed spaces. Fundamental Journal of Mathematics

-
- and Applications, 4(2), 67-76.
- Kişi, Ö. (2021b).** *Ideal convergence of sequences in neutrosophic normed spaces.* Journal of Intelligent&Fuzzy Systems, 41(2), 2581-2590.
- Kişi, Ö. And Gürdal, V. (2022a).** *Triple lacunary Δ -statistical convergence in neutrosophic normed spaces.* Konuralp J. Math., 10(1), 127-133.
- Kişi, Ö. And Gürdal, V. (2022b).** *On triple difference sequences of real numbers in neutrosophic normed spaces.* Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences, 5(1), 35–45.
- Menger, K. (1942).** *Statistical metrics.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 28(12), 535-537.
- Mursaleen, M. (2000).** λ –statistical convergence. Math. Slovaca, 50(1), 111-115.
- Smarandache, F. (2003).** *A unifying field in logics: Neutrosophic logic. Neutrosophy, Neutrosophic Set.* Neutrosophic Probability and Statistics, Phoenix: Xiquan.
- Smarandache, F. (2013).** *Introduction to neutrosophic measure, neutrosophic integral, and neutrosophic probability.* Sitech-Education, Columbus, Craiova, 1-143.
- Şengül, H. and Koyun, Ö. (2019).** *On (λ, A) –statistical convergence of order α .* Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat., 68(2), 2094-2103.
- Şengül, H. and Et, M. (2018).** *On (λ, I) –statistical convergence of order α of sequences of function.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A 88 (2018), no. 2, 181-186.
- Tok, N. and Başarır, M. (2019).** *On the λ_h^α – Statistical Convergence of the functions defined on the time scale.* Proceedings of International Mathematical Sciences, 1(1), 1-10.