# Deep on Goldbach's conjecture

Frank Vega[1*]

[1*]Software Department, CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary, Montauban, 82000, Tarn-et-Garonne, France.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vega.frank@gmail.com;

**Abstract**

Goldbach's conjecture is one of the most difficult unsolved problems in mathematics. This states that every even natural number greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers. In 1973, Chen Jingrun proved that every sufficiently large even number can be written as the sum of either two primes, or a prime and a semiprime (the product of two primes). In 2015, Tomohiro Yamada, using the Chen's theorem, showed that every even number $> \exp \exp 36$ can be represented as the sum of a prime and a product of at most two primes. In 2002, Ying Chun Cai proved that every sufficiently large even integer $N$ is equal to $p + P_2$, where $P_2$ is an almost prime with at most two prime factors and $p \leq N^{0.95}$ is a prime number. In this note, we prove that for every even number $N \geq 32$, if there is a prime $p$ and a natural number $m$ such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $4 \cdot n^2 \geq (n + 2) \cdot \sigma(m) \cdot (p - 1)$ and $p$ is coprime with $m$, then $m$ is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$ and $\sigma(m)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of $m$. Indeed, this is a trivial and short note very easy to check and understand which is a breakthrough result at the same time.

**Keywords:** Goldbach's conjecture, Prime numbers, Sum-of-divisors function, Euler's totient function

**MSC Classification:** 11A41 , 11A25

# 1 Introduction

As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of $n$

$$\sum_{d|n} d,$$

where $d \mid n$ means the integer $d$ divides $n$. Define $s(n)$ as $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. In number theory, the *p-adic* order of an integer $n$ is the exponent of the highest power of the prime number $p$ that divides $n$. It is denoted $\nu_p(n)$. Equivalently, $\nu_p(n)$ is the exponent to which $p$ appears in the prime factorization of $n$. We can state the sum-of-divisors function of $n$ as

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{p|n} \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)+1} - 1}{p - 1}$$

with the product extending over all prime numbers $p$ which divide $n$. In addition, the well-known Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ can be formulated as

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Chen's theorem states that every sufficiently large even number can be written as the sum of either two primes, or a prime and a semiprime (the product of two primes) [1]. Tomohiro Yamada using an explicit version of Chen's theorem showed that every even number greater than $e^{e^{36}} \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^{1872344071119343}$ is the sum of a prime and a product of at most two primes [2]. A natural number is called $k$-almost prime if it has $k$ prime factors [3]. A natural number is prime if and only if it is 1-almost prime, and semiprime if and only if it is 2-almost prime. Let N be a sufficiently large even integer. Ying Chun Cai proved that the equation

$$N = p + P_2, \quad p \leq N^{0.95},$$

is solvable, where $p$ denotes a prime and $P_2$ denotes an almost prime with at most two prime factors [3]. In mathematics, two integers $a$ and $b$ are coprime, if the only positive integer that is a divisor of both of them is 1. Putting all together yields the proof of the main theorem.

**Theorem 1** *For every even number $N \geq 32$, if there is a prime $p$ and a natural number $m$ such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $4 \cdot n^2 \geq (n + 2) \cdot \sigma(m) \cdot (p - 1)$ and $p$ is coprime with $m$, then $m$ is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$.*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof* Suppose that there is an even number $N \geq 32$ which is not a sum of two distinct prime numbers. We consider all the pairs of positive integers $(n-k, n+k)$ where $n = \frac{N}{2}$, $k < n-1$ is a natural number, $n+k$ and $n-k$ are coprime integers and $n+k$ is prime. By definition of the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$, we know that

$$2 \cdot N = \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) - \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

when $n-k$ is also prime. We notice that

$$2 \cdot N < \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) - \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

when $n-k$ is not a prime. Certainly, we see that $(n-k)+(n+k) = N$ and thus, the inequality

$$2 \cdot ((n-k)+(n+k)) + \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) < \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

holds when $n-k$ is not a prime. That is equivalent to

$$2 \cdot ((n-k)+(n+k)) + \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k) < \sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k)$$

since the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ are multiplicative. Let's divide both sides by $(n-k) \cdot (n+k)$ to obtain that

$$2 \cdot \left(\frac{(n-k)+(n+k)}{(n-k) \cdot (n+k)}\right) + \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k} < s(n-k) \cdot s(n+k).$$

We know that

$$s(n-k) \cdot s(n+k) > 1$$

since $s(m) > 1$ for every natural number $m > 1$ [4]. Moreover, we could see that

$$2 \cdot \left(\frac{(n-k)+(n+k)}{(n-k) \cdot (n+k)}\right) = \frac{2}{n+k} + \frac{2}{n-k}$$

and therefore,

$$1 > \frac{2}{n+k} + \frac{2}{n-k} + \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}.$$

It is enough to see that

$$1 > \frac{2}{23} + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{2}{3} \geq \frac{2}{n+k} + \frac{2}{n-k} + \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k} \cdot \frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}$$

when $n+k$ is prime and $n-k$ is composite for $N \geq 32$. Under our assumption, every of these pairs of positive integers $(n-k, n+k)$ implies that

$$2 \cdot N < \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) - \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

holds when $n = \frac{N}{2}$, $k < n-1$ is a natural number, $n+k$ and $n-k$ are coprime integers and $n+k$ is prime. We can see that

$$2 = \sigma(n+k) - \varphi(n+k)$$

when $n+k$ is prime. Hence, we have

$$N < \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k) - \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k)).$$

We know that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k) - \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k))$$
$$= \frac{\sigma(n-k)}{2} \cdot \left(\sigma(n+k) - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{\sigma(n-k)} \cdot \varphi(n+k)\right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\sigma(n-k)}{2} \cdot \left(\sigma(n+k) - 2 + 2 - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{\sigma(n-k)} \cdot \varphi(n+k)\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma(n-k)}{2} \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) + 2 - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{\sigma(n-k)} \cdot \varphi(n+k)\right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma(n-k)}{2} \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{\sigma(n-k)}\right) + 2\right) \\
&= \sigma(n-k) \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{2 \cdot \sigma(n-k)}\right) + 1\right) \\
&= \sigma(n-k) \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{2 \cdot \sigma(n-k)}\right) + \frac{\varphi(n+k)}{\varphi(n+k)}\right) \\
&= \sigma(n-k) \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\varphi(n+k)} - \frac{\varphi(n-k)}{2 \cdot \sigma(n-k)}\right)\right) \\
&< \sigma(n-k) \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\varphi(n+k)}\right)\right) \\
&= \sigma(n-k) \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n+k-1}\right)\right) \\
&< \sigma(n-k) \cdot \left(\varphi(n+k) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\
&= \frac{\frac{n}{2} + 1}{n} \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k)
\end{aligned}
$$

when $n + k$ is prime. Finally, we would have that

$$2 \cdot n^2 = n \cdot N < (\frac{n}{2} + 1) \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k).$$

In this way, we obtain a contradiction when we assume that $4 \cdot n^2 \geq (n+2) \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k)$. By reductio ad absurdum, the natural number $n-k$ is necessarily prime when $4 \cdot n^2 \geq (n+2) \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k)$. □

# References

[1] C. Jing-Run, On the representation of a larger even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes. Sci. Sinica **16**, 157–176

[2] T. Yamada, Explicit Chen's theorem. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.03409v1 (2015)

[3] Y.C. Cai, Chen's Theorem with Small Primes. Acta Mathematica Sinica **18**(3) (2002). https://doi.org/10.1007/s101140200168

[4] R. Vojak, On numbers satisfying Robin's inequality, properties of the next counterexample and improved specific bounds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09307v1 (2020)