# geodiversitas 2022-44-33

Biostratigraphy and taxonomy of polymerid trilobites of the Manuels River Formation (Drumian, middle Cambrian), Newfoundland, Canada

> Tanja UNGER, Anne HILDENBRAND, Wolfgang STINNESBECK & Gregor AUSTERMANN



art. 44 (33) — Published on 15 December 2022 www.geodiversitas.com

PUBLCATIONS SCIENTIFIQUES



DIRECTEUR DE LA PUBLICATION / PUBLICATION DIRECTOR : Bruno David, Président du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle

RÉDACTEUR EN CHEF / EDITOR-IN-CHIEF: Didier Merle

ASSISTANT DE RÉDACTION / ASSISTANT EDITOR: Emmanuel Côtez (geodiv@mnhn.fr)

MISE EN PAGE / PAGE LAYOUT: Emmanuel Côtez

COMITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE / SCIENTIFIC BOARD: Christine Argot (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris) Beatrix Azanza (Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid) Raymond L. Bernor (Howard University, Washington DC) Henning Blom (Uppsala University) Jean Broutin (Sorbonne Université, Paris, retraité) Gaël Clément (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris) Ted Daeschler (Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphie) Bruno David (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris) Gregory D. Edgecombe (The Natural History Museum, Londres) Ursula Göhlich (Natural History Museum Vienna) Jin Meng (American Museum of Natural History, New York) Brigitte Meyer-Berthaud (CIRAD, Montpellier) Zhu Min (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Pékin) Isabelle Rouget (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris) Sevket Sen (Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, retraité) Stanislav Štamberg (Museum of Eastern Bohemia, Hradec Králové) Paul Taylor (The Natural History Museum, Londres, retraité)

COUVERTURE / COVER: Réalisée à partir des Figures de l'article/Made from the Figures of the article.

Geodiversitas est indexé dans / Geodiversitas is indexed in:

- Science Citation Index Expanded (SciSearch®)
- ISI Alerting Services®
- Current Contents® / Physical, Chemical, and Earth Sciences®
- Scopus®

Geodiversitas est distribué en version électronique par / Geodiversitas is distributed electronically by: - BioOne® (http://www.bioone.org)

Les articles ainsi que les nouveautés nomenclaturales publiés dans *Geodiversitas* sont référencés par / Articles and nomenclatural novelties published in Geodiversitas are referenced by: - ZooBank® (http://zoobank.org)

Geodiversitas est une revue en flux continu publiée par les Publications scientifiques du Muséum, Paris Geodiversitas is a fast track journal published by the Museum Science Press, Paris

Les Publications scientifiques du Muséum publient aussi / The Museum Science Press also publish: Adansonia, Zoosystema, Anthropozoologica, European Journal of Taxonomy, Naturae, Cryptogamie sous-sections Algologie, Bryologie, Mycologie, Comptes Rendus Palevol Diffusion - Publications scientifiques Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle CP 41 - 57 rue Cuvier F-75231 Paris cedex 05 (France) Tél.: 33 (0)1 40 79 48 05 / Fax: 33 (0)1 40 79 38 40 diff.pub@mnhn.fr / http://sciencepress.mnhn.fr

© Publications scientifiques du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 2022 ISSN (imprimé / print): 1280-9659/ ISSN (électronique / electronic): 1638-9395

# Biostratigraphy and taxonomy of polymerid trilobites of the Manuels River Formation (Drumian, middle Cambrian), Newfoundland, Canada

### Tanja UNGER

Institut für Geowissenschaften, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 234, 69120 Heidelberg (Germany) and Institut für Geologie, Mineralogie und Geophysik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44801 Bochum (Germany) tanja.unger@ruhr-uni-bochum.de

# Anne HILDENBRAND Wolfgang STINNESBECK Gregor AUSTERMANN

Institut für Geowissenschaften, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 234, 69120 Heidelberg (Germany)

Submitted on 17 December 2021 | accepted on 9 May 2022 | published on 15 December 2022

#### urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:4DA9802D-9500-4FD8-96F5-F4DD3BBF56A3

Unger T., Hildenbrand A., Stinnesbeck W. & Austermann G. 2022. — Biostratigraphy and taxonomy of polymerid trilobites of the Manuels River Formation (Drumian, middle Cambrian), Newfoundland, Canada. *Geodiversitas* 44 (33): 1051-1087. https://doi.org/10.5252/geodiversitas2022v44a33. http://geodiversitas.com/44/33

#### ABSTRACT

Newfoundland's middle Cambrian fine-clastic Manuels River Formation is renowned for its wellpreserved trilobite fauna. Here we present a newly collected assemblage of 1184 specimens from the type locality at Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada. The faunal assemblage comprises detailed information about the accurate ranges of each species. Thus, the polymerid Paradoxides davidis and Paradoxides hicksi zones previously established for the region and the globally recognised agnostid Tomagnostus fissus, Hypagnostus parvifrons, Ptychagnostus atavus, and Ptychagnostus punctuosus zones are now precisely correlated. The polymerid fauna is comparable with that of other regions of Avalonia, Baltica, and partly with Gondwana. Our analysis of the faunal assemblage demonstrates that there is a need for comprehensive revisions of Cambrian trilobite faunas, including important and well-known taxa such as Agraulos Hawle & Corda, 1847, and Paradoxides sensu lato. Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846, was earlier documented from the Czech Republic, Spain and Germany, and is now first described from western Avalonia, while Bailiella aequalis (Linnarsson, 1882) was already mentioned for eastern Newfoundland and is now illustrated for the first time. The specimens presented here were assigned to the orders Ptychopariida, Redlichiida and Corynexochida and include Agraulos ceticephalus (Barrande, 1846); Jincella? applanata (Hicks in Salter & Hicks, 1869); Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846; Bailiella aequalis (Linnarsson, 1882); Bailiella tenuicinta (Linnarsson, 1879); Meneviella venulosa (Hicks, 1872); Paradoxides davidis Salter, 1863; Plutonides hicksii (Salter, 1866b); Clarella venusta (Billings, 1872); and Acontheus inarmatus Hutchinson, 1962.

KEY WORDS Miaolingian, Cambrian, Canada, Avalonia, polymerid trilobites, biostratigraphy, new synonyms.

#### RÉSUMÉ

Biostratigraphie et taxonomie des trilobites polymères de la formation de Manuels River (Drumien, Cambrien moyen), Terre-Neuve, Canada.

La formation cambrienne moyenne de Manuels River est renommée pour sa faune de trilobites bien préservés. Nous présentons ici un assemblage nouvellement collecté de 1184 spécimens provenant de la localité type de Conception Bay South, Terre-Neuve, Canada. L'assemblage faunique comprend des informations détaillées sur les aires de répartition précises de chaque espèce. Ainsi, les zones de polymérides Paradoxides davidis et Paradoxides hicksi précédemment établies pour la région et les zones d'agnostides Tomagnostus fissus, Hypagnostus parvifrons, Ptychagnostus atavus et Ptychagnostus punctuosus, reconnues mondialement, sont maintenant précisément corrélées. La faune de polymères est comparable à celle d'autres régions d'Avalonia, de Baltica et partiellement du Gondwana. Notre analyse de l'assemblage faunique démontre qu'il est nécessaire de procéder à des révisions complètes des faunes de trilobites du Cambrien, y compris des taxons importants et bien connus comme Agraulos Hawle & Corda, 1847 et Paradoxides sensu lato. Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846 a été précédemment documenté de la République Tchèque, de l'Espagne et de l'Allemagne, et est maintenant décrit pour la première fois de l'ouest d'Avalonia, tandis que Bailiella aequalis (Linnarsson, 1882) est déjà mentionné pour l'est de Terre-Neuve et est maintenant illustré pour la première fois. Les spécimens présentés ici ont été assignés aux ordres Ptychopariida, Redlichiida et Corynexochida et comprennent Agraulos ceticephalus (Barrande, 1846); Jincella? applanata (Hicks dans Hicks & Salter, 1869); Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846; Bailiella aequalis (Linnarsson, 1882); Bailiella tenuicinta (Linnarsson, 1879); Meneviella venulosa (Hicks, 1872); Paradoxides davidis Salter, 1863; Plutonides hicksii (Salter, 1866b); Clarella venusta (Billings, 1872); et Acontheus inarmatus Hutchinson, 1962.

MOTS CLÉS Miaolingien, Cambrien, Canada, Avalonia, trilobites polymères, biostratigraphie, synonymes nouveaux.

#### INTRODUCTION

In Newfoundland, eastern Canada, the Manuels River Formation of middle Cambrian (Drumian, Miaolingian) age is long known for its abundant, diverse and well-preserved trilobite assemblages (e.g., Howell 1925; Hutchinson 1962). Polymerids, such as *Paradoxides davidis* Salter, 1863, and *Plutonides hicksii* (Salter, 1866b), are still considered to be valuable index fossils for the biostratigraphy of the area, although agnostid trilobites are now used for global correlation (e.g., Geyer & Shergold 2000; Peng & Robinson 2000; Geyer & Landing 2001, 2004; Babcock *et al.* 2007; Geyer 2019).

The first bed-by-bed descriptions of the Manuels River Formation were executed by Howell (1925), based on trilobite assemblages at the type locality, at Conception Bay South (Fig. 1). While some of these specimens are still housed at the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC, United States, the major part of Howell's material has not been located by Hildenbrand (2016) and is considered as lost.

Subsequent to Howell (1925), the sediment succession and faunal assemblage at the type locality of the Manuels River Formation have variously been documented (e.g., Hutchinson 1962; Martin & Dean 1988; Landing & Westrop 1998a; Hildenbrand 2012, 2016; Austermann 2016; Hildenbrand *et al.* 2021). The authors emphasized on the excellent preservation of trilobites, brachiopods, hyolithids, small shelly fossils and acritarchs, preserved in grey to black shale with minor interbedded calcareous concretions. While Martin & Dean (1988) focused on acritarchs, Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978) studied *Paradoxides davidis* Salter, 1863, and Hildenbrand (2016) and Hildenbrand *et al.* (2021) revised agnostid trilobites. The specimens documented here were recently sampled bed-by-bed at the type locality by Austermann (2016) and Hildenbrand (2016).

## GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The *c*. 10° north dipping Cambrian shallow- to deep-marine sediment succession exposed on the southeastern shore of Conception Bay, south-eastern Newfoundland, is majorly continuous (Hutchinson 1962; Anderson 1987) and non-conformably overlies Neoproterozoic rocks of the Holyrood Horst (Rose 1952; Hutchinson 1962; Nautiyal 1966; King 1988; Landing & Westrop 1998a).

Cambrian Strata exposed along the Manuels River valley are assigned to the Brigus, Chamberlain's Brook, Manuels River and Elliot Cove formations. The contact of the Manuels River Formation with the underlying Chamberlain's Brook Formation is unconformable (e.g., Landing 1996; Landing & Westrop 1998a, b; Landing *et al.* 2022), while that with the overlying "Elliot Cove Group" (Hutchinson 1962), or Elliot Cove formation (Hayes 1948; Austermann 2016), is either conformable (Hutchinson 1962) or unconformable (Landing & Westrop 1998b; Austermann 2016).

Hutchinson (1962) defined the Manuels River Formation (Howell's beds 36-125) as a combination of Howell's (1925) Long Pond (11.28 m thickness) and overlying Kelligrew Brook (9.45 m thickness) formations, with bed 26 [sic] as the base of the Manuels River Formation. We agree with Fletcher (1972b) and Martin & Dean (1988) that this must have been



Fig. 1. — Map of Newfoundland with the Avalon and Burin peninsulas, the capital St. John's and the study area at Manuels River, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada (marked by a star). Abbreviations: CBS, Conception Bay South; FP, Fosters Point; HC, Highland Cove.

a typo. By Landing & Westrop (1998a) bed 125 is the remane sandstone that comprises the feather edge of the Cavendish Formation and is not part of the Manuels River Fm.

The Manuels River Formation is widely exposed in eastern Newfoundland, at e.g., Trinity Bay, the eastern part of Conception Bay, the western area of St. Mary's Bay, Placentia Bay, the southern part of Burin Peninsula, on Random Island, Red Island, Cape Breton Island (Nova Scotia), New Brunswick, and the islands of St. Pierre and Miquelon (Hutchinson 1962; Martin & Dean 1988; Fletcher 2006; Landing *et al.* 2022). In its type locality at Conception Bay South, the Manuels River Formation was measured to 19.07 m by Austermann (2016), but thickness may vary (e.g., 21.02 m by Landing & Westrop 1998a: fig. 7). For instance, 29-33 m thickness was presented for Fosters Point, Random Island, and Highland Cove, Trinity Bay (Hutchinson 1962). The lithology of the Manuels River Formation (Fig. 2) has variously been presented as a sequence of highly fossiliferous grey to black mudstone, interbedded with calcareous concretions and volcanic ash deposits (Howell 1925; Hutchinson 1962; Fletcher 1972a, 2006; Austermann 2016).

The type locality of the Manuels River Formation is exposed in the valley of the Manuels River, located in the community of Manuels, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada at  $47^{\circ}31'30.7704"N, 52^{\circ}57'4.5144"W$  (47.525214, -52.951254) (WGS 84). The base of the Manuels River Formation is there exposed *c*. 4 m above mean sea level (AMSL). The outcrop is approximately 20 m high and spans approximately 20 m along the river gorge. The valley, including the outcrop and its fossils, is under governmental protection by the Newfoundland and Labrador law, Regulation 67/11 "Palaeontological Resource Regulations under the Historic Resources Act".



Fig. 2. — Lithology of the type locality of the Manuels River Formation, range chart of the here described species. Agnostid biozones from Hildenbrand *et al.* (2021) and polymerid biozones from Howell (1925).



Fig. 3. - Visualization of measurement terms in cranidia (A) and pygidia (B).

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The fossil specimens described here (NFM F-2778-NFM F-3961, for further information see Appendix 1) were collected bed-by-bed by Austermann (2016) and Hildenbrand (2016) and are currently on loan to Heidelberg University as part of a formal agreement with The Rooms Corporation of Newfoundland and Labrador, Provincial Museum Division, Natural History Unit, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada. The specimens were photographed with a camera or a KEYENCE digital microscope VHX-6000 using the fully automated depth of focus under direct light from the upper left. Adobe Photoshop CC 2020 was used for illustration of the photographs. The width of the specimens is measured at the widest point of the cephalon/ cranidium, usually the anterior margin, and the widest point of the pygidium, respectively (Fig. 3). The length of the cephalon/cranidium is defined as the distance from the anterior frontal margin to the posterior end of the occipital ring (Fig. 3A). The pygidial length is measured from the first axial ring of the pygidium to the posterior margin (Fig. 3B).

#### INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS

- BGSBritish Geological Survey, Keyworth, United Kingdom;BGS(GSM)British Geological Survey, Keyworth (old Geological<br/>Survey Museum collection, London), United Kingdom;BMBritish Museum, London, United Kingdom;GSCGeological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Canada;
- NFM The Rooms Corporation of Newfoundland and Labrador, Provincial Museum Division, Natural History Unit, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada;
- NM L National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic (previously SBNM);
- SGU Swedish Geological Survey (Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning), Upsala, Sweden;
- SM Sedgwick Museum of Earth Sciences, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

#### SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

# Order PTYCHOPARIIDA Swinnerton, 1915 Suborder PTYCHOPARIINA Richter, 1933 Superfamily SOLENOPLEURACEA Angelin, 1854 Family AGRAULIDAE Howell, 1937

#### Genus Agraulos Hawle & Corda, 1847

Agraulos Hawle & Corda, 1847: 26, 27. — Type species: Arion ceticephalus Barrande, 1846, designated by Miller (1889).

*Arion* Barrande, 1846: 12, 13. — Type species: *Arion ceticephalus* Barrande, 1846, by monotypy (Barrande 1846).

Arionides - Barrande 1847: 391.

Herse Hawle & Corda, 1847: 19. — Type species: Herse neubergii Hawle & Corda, 1847, by monotypy (Hawle & Corda 1847).

Arionellus - Barrande 1852b: 404.

DIAGNOSIS. — Cranidium parabolic and domed; exoskeleton thick; glabella domed, equal-sided to trapezoidal; preglabellar field long; thorax of 16 segments (based on Lake 1932; Harrington *et al.* 1959; Fletcher 2017, with modifications).

#### Remarks

The genus was first described by Barrande (1846) as *Arion*. As the name *Arion* was occupied by a genus of gastropods, Hawle & Corda (1847) renamed the trilobite *Arion* to *Agraulos*. Barrande (1847) corrected his mistake and renamed the genus to *Arionides*. Barrande (1852b) re-renamed the genus to *Arionellus*, as in his opinion *Arionides* might still be challenged by other authors. He rejected *Agraulos* Hawle & Corda (1847) as he found the name too similar to *Agraulis*, a genus of butterflies. Nevertheless, Pompeckj (1896) and Lake (1932) stated that a similarity of names, i.e. *Agraulos* and *Agraulis*, was not an adequate reason to reject the name given by Hawle & Corda (1947). Therefore, *Agraulos* is now the name established for this genus. Lake (1932) mentioned that Hawle & Corda (1847) described juvenile forms of *Agraulos* as the new genus *Herse*.

The genus Agraulos is closely related to Skreiaspis Růžička, 1946, but differs by a longer preglabellar field (Harrington et al. 1959). Agraulos is here included in the family Agraulidae Howell, 1937, following e.g., Harrington et al. (1959), Martin & Dean (1988), Jell & Adrain (2002), Bentley & Jago (2004) and Weidner & Nielsen (2014). The limiting of Agraulidae to a subfamily of the family Solenopleuridae Angelin, 1854, as proposed by Fletcher (2017), is not followed herein. This latter author suggested that the same characters that support Agraulidae, as defined by Bentley & Jago (2004), are also seen in Parasolenopleura aculeata (Angelin, 1851), the reference species of the Solenopleuridae. However, the Solenopleuridae has a deep occipital furrow and a narrow border (Harrington et al. 1959), which differ from a weakly to effaced occipital furrow and presence of a preglabellar field, as characteristically seen in the Agraulidae (Harrington et al. 1959; Bentley & Jago 2004).

# Agraulos ceticephalus (Barrande, 1846) (Figs 4; 5)

Arion ceticephalus Barrande, 1846: 12, 13; 1852b: 405-412.

*Agraulos ceticephalus* – Hawle & Corda 1847: 27; 1848: 143. — Miller 1889: 527. — Pompeckj 1896: 548, pl. 17, figs 12, 13. — Grönwall 1902: 158, 159, pl. 4, fig. 25. — Lorenz 1906: unnamed text fig. p. 67 upper right corner. — Walcott 1913: pl. 15, figs 1, 1a, b. — Wurm 1925: 87, 88, pl. 3, figs 16, 17. — Roch 1930: 132 (?). — Thoral 1935: 50, 51, pl. 3, fig. 12. — Prantl 1952: 262, 263, unnamed fig. p. 264. — Hupé 1953: fig. 120.1; 1955: fig. 96.2. — Šnajdr 1958: 174-177, fig. 37; pl. 36, figs 1-13; 1990: 106, unnamed fig. p. 107. — Horný & Bastl 1970: pl. 4, fig. 9. — Fletcher 1972b: pl. 69, figs 3, 4, 5a-c, 6, pl. 70, figs 1a, b, 2; 2006: pl. 34, fig. 35.; 2017: 11-19, figs 5G-I, 6A-P, 7A-S, 8A-E, H-L, 16B, J, L (*partim*). — Whittington 1988: 594, text-fig. 14, pl. 55, figs 2, 4, 5, 8, 9; 1992: pls 7, 51. — Fatka 1990: unnamed fig. p. 13 upper right corner; 2011: fig. 17.6. — Müller 1994: 529, fig. 619. — Rudolph 1994: 217, pl. 24, fig. 5. — Cotton 2001: pl. 2, figs 4, 5. — Fletcher *et al.* 2005: 330, 331, figs 11.1-7. — Fatka *et al.* 2015: figs 4C, G.

*Arionellus ceticephalus* – Barrande 1852a: pl. 10, figs 6, 8-21; pl. 11, fig. 7 (*partim*). — de Verneuil & Barrande 1860: 526, 527, pl. 6, figs 13-17. — Gürich 1908: 19, pl. 5, fig. 2.

Arionellus longicephalus Hicks, 1872: 176, pl. 5, figs 20-26.

*Agraulos longicephalus* – Lake 1932: 157-159, pl. 20, figs 2, 7, 10. — Sdzuy 1961: 620-622, figs 32, 33. — Fletcher 1972b: pl. 68, figs 5a-d, 7-11; pl. 69, figs 1, 2; 2006: pl. 34, fig. 34; 2017: figs 22A, B. — Courtessole 1973: 138-140, pl. 10, figs 5, 6, 8-10; pl. 16, figs 12, 13. — Martin & Dean 1988: 21, 22, pl. 3, figs 10, 12, 13 (*partim*). — Rees *et al.* 2014: figs 1.8g, h. — Weidner & Nielsen 2014: 47, 48, figs 41A-F; 2015: 5-7, figs 3, 4A-L.

*Agraulos longicephalus longicephalus* – Liñan & Gozalo 1986: 78, pl. 35, figs 11-14; pl. 36, figs 4, 5 (*partim*).

*Agraulos longicephalus brevilimbarus* – Liñan & Gozalo 1986: 78, 79, pl. 35, figs 6-12; pl. 37, figs 1-8.

Agraulos (A.) ceticephalus – Schoenemann & Clarkson 2011: figs 5H, I.

Agraulos affinis – Fletcher 2017: fig. 11F.

Agraulos lewisi Fletcher, 2017: 19-21, figs 3A-C, 8F, G (partim), n. syn.

*Agraulos socialis* – Fletcher 2017: 23-27, figs 5J-L, 12A, K-Q, 13I-K, M, N, 14D, G, H, J, 16A, C.

Agraulos 1 - Fletcher 2017: figs 13D, E, 14A, 16F.

Agraulos 2 - Fletcher 2017: figs 14I, 15A-C, 16E (partim).

Skreiaspis punctatissimus – Fletcher 2017: figs 18S, U, W.

LECTOTYPE. — Specimen NM L 12581(former ČC 345, No. 85 from SBNM collection Barrande), National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic, originally figured by Barrande (1852a) and designated as the lectotype by Šnajdr (1958). From the Buchava Formation, Skreyje Member, *Eccaparadoxides pusillus* Zone from Skryje-Týřovice Basin, Bohemia, Czech Republic.

DIAGNOSIS. — Portion in front of cranidium domed; front of glabella rounded to truncate; eyes distant at anterior half of glabella; pair of eye ridges expands from front of glabella towards eye lobes (based on Miller 1889; Fletcher 2017, with modifications).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — 537 cranidia of *Agraulos ceticephalus* (for NFM numbers see Appendix 1). 24 specimens are attached to the thorax and seven have at least one librigena attached. The specimens are well to very well-preserved as internal casts and moulds. Some are pyritized and in a few the exoskeleton is preserved. All specimens range between 1.94 and 9.11 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — Agraulos ceticephalus is a common middle Cambrian trilobite and has been documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the *Tomagnostus fissus* to *Ptychagnostus atavus* zones (Fletcher *et al.* 2005), *Ptychagnostus atavus* to *Hypagnostus parvifrons* zones (Fletcher 2017), and *Paradoxides hicksi* Zone (Fig. 2). It has also been reported from the United Kingdom in Wales (*Hypagnostus parvifrons* zone; Rees *et al.* 2014), Denmark (*Acidusus atavus zone*; Weidner & Nielsen 2014, 2015), in Bornholm (*Ptychagnostus punctuosus* zone; Rudolph 1994), France (Thoral 1935), Germany (Wurm 1925), the Czech Republic (*Eccaparadoxides pusillus* zone; e.g., Šnajdr 1990; Fletcher *et al.* 2005), and Spain (*Pardailhania* and *Solenopleuropsis* zones; Liñan & Gozalo 1986).

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidia range from 3.0 mm to 16.0 mm in width and from 2.0 mm to 11.0 mm in length. The shape of the glabella varies from slightly trapezoidal to more equal-sided, with a rounded to truncate front. Three to four pairs of glabellar furrows are preserved mainly in larger-sized specimens. In small-sized specimens, the glabella is steeply domed, while it is flatter in largesized specimens. Nevertheless, the glabella is always more domed than the cheeks. Eye ridges, if preserved, initiate near the front of the glabella and connect with the eye lobes in a horizontal line, some line in a backwards angle towards the sides. The occipital ring points backwards roughly triangularly and the length of the spine varies with size. Some moulds of cephala connected to the thorax spare spines to nodes on up to five axial segments. These and an ornamentation are usually preserved on moulds. Several cephala show up to three variably pronounced grooves. One of these reaches from the front of the glabella to the anterior margin. The other two are developed towards the sides, varying in position. The front of the cranidium is highly domed but a lateral view and microscope measurements indicate that this is an optical illusion and it is still rather flattened domed.



Fig. 4. — Agraulos ceticephalus (Barrande, 1846): **A**, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the thorax with preserved exoskeleton (NFM F-3476 from 5.97 m); **B**, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the thorax, partly covered with sediment, eye ridges and glabellar furrows slightly visible (NFM F-3951 from 7.10 m); **C**, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the thorax with preserved exoskeleton, eye ridges present (NFM F-3957 from 3.30 m); **D**, internal cast of a cephalon attached to the thorax, librigena attached, eye ridges present (NFM F-3621 from 9.08 m); **E**, mould of a cranidium attached to the thorax, spines on axial rings present, coarse ornamentation on thorax visible (NFM F-3476 from 5.98 m); **F**, mould of a cranidium attached to the thorax, occipital spine preserved (sedimentary filling laying in glabellar mould), coarse ornamentation on thorax visible (NFM F-3946 from 4.27 m); **G**, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the thorax, projection of axial spine preserved (NFM F-3831 from 7.43 m); **H**, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the thorax, sper ridges present (NFM F-3778 from 5.96 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.

# Remarks

Agraulos ceticephalus and Agraulos longicephalus are closely related species (e.g., Hicks 1872; Lake 1932; Sdzuy 1961; Weidner & Nielsen 2015) and both show a wide range of intraspecific variations, e.g. regarding the front of glabella or size and projection of the occipital spine (Barrande 1852b; Lake 1932; Sdzuy 1961). Barrande (1852b) documented ontogenetic changes in Ag. ceticephalus. He emphasized an increase in depth of the occipital furrow and dorsal furrows, less domed genal regions, and an increase in the thickness of exoskeletons in adult forms. The latter was also identified in Ag. longicephalus by Lake (1932). Accordingly, the development of glabellar, axial and other furrows as well as the occipital ring are not considered to be reliable diagnostic characteristics. Also, specimens with a preserved exoskeleton may show morphological details not identified in internal casts (Lake 1932; Sdzuy 1961). Despite the numerous descriptions and discussions about differences between Ag. ceticephalus and Ag. longicephalus, differentiation is hence questionable and this work agrees with Weidner & Nielsen (2014) that the genus Agraulos requires revision.

Barrande (1852a) illustrated several specimens of Ag. ceticephalus. The articulated specimens figured by the author on pl. 10, figs 1-5, and the cranidium on pl. 10, fig. 7, do not show the characteristic long preglabellar area of the genus Agraulos and are here excluded from Ag. ceticephalus, and even the genus. An enrolled specimen illustrated in lateral view of Barrande (1852a: pl. 10, fig. 21) shows no diagnostic characteristics and assignment to Agraulos is questionable. Hicks (1872) first described Ag. longicephalus based on a longer form and a more domed genal region than seen in Ag. ceticephalus. However, all cranidia figured by Hicks (1872), some attached to the thorax, are deformed, some elongated, and others compressed in length, as already mentioned by Fletcher (2017). The longer form of the cephalon is here interpreted as a result of tectonic deformation and the more domed genal region may also represent a preservational artefact. All seven type specimens illustrated by Hicks (1872) are here assigned to Ag. *ceticephalus*. Roch (1930) also referred to the similarity of Ag. ceticephalus and Ag. longicephalus. He compared specimens from Morocco and Algeria to Ag. ceticephalus from the type locality in Central Europe. Nevertheless, the assignment of the material to Ag. ceticephalus is questionable as no illustrations were presented by Roch (1930) and no information given regarding the number of studied specimens. Lake (1932) also discussed the morphological differentiation of Ag. longicephalus and Ag. ceticephalus. According to Lake (1932) Ag. longicephalus has an occipital ring ending in a triangular point and a more truncate glabella. However, Sdzuy (1961) suggested that the form of the occipital spine, or occipital node, is a variable characteristic and unsuited to define Agraulos. We here follow this latter view and interpret the more truncate glabella to fall within the intraspecific variation of Ag. ceticephalus. The presented specimens are here assigned to this latter species.

Sdzuy (1961) described a variable shape of the occipital ring of *Ag. longicephalus*, stating the shape as non-diagnostic. He followed Lake (1932) in that *Ag. longicephalus* is closely allied to *Ag. ceticephalus* but that the glabella of *Ag. longicephalus* is slightly more narrowed towards the front, and mentioned a more domed genal region. We rather suggest that the domed genal region of Ag. longicephalus results from preservational bias and that the narrowed front of the glabella ranges within the intraspecific variation of Ag. ceticephalus. Hence, all cranidia illustrated by Sdzuy (1961) are here assigned to Ag. ceticephalus. Cranidia assigned to Ag. longicephalus by Fletcher (1972b) and Courtessole (1973) also show the characteristics of Ag. ceticephalus and are here assigned to this species. Liñan & Gozalo (1986) described two subspecies of Ag. longicephalus based on a more extended, respectively narrower preglabellar area compared to the border. These variations are also interpreted here as an intraspecific variation of Ag. ceticephalus and both subspecies are considered to be synonyms of the latter species. This interpretation includes cranidia, some attached to the thorax, illustrated by Liñan & Gozalo (1986: pl. 35, figs 11-14, pl. 36, fig. 4, pl. 36, fig. 5), whereas cranidia illustrated by the authors in plate 36, figs 1-3, do not show the long preglabellar field typical for Agraulos and are here excluded from the species and even the genus. Specimens documented by Martin & Dean (1988) as Ag. longicephalus are here assigned to Ag. ceticephalus, except for pl. 3, figs 9 and 11. These images display the same cranidium devoid of a wide preglabellar field and are therefore atypical for Agraulos. Fletcher (2006) displayed Ag. ceticephalus and Ag. longicephalus. The two cranidia figured by the author have distant eyes and a rounded to truncate glabella; the cranidium illustrated on pl. 34, fig. 34, is here assigned to Ag. ceticephalus. Specimens presented by Rees et al. (2014) and Weidner & Nielsen (2014, 2015) as Ag. longicephalus show the characteristics of Ag. ceticephalus and are hence assigned to this species.

Fletcher (2017) described and illustrated several species of Agraulos. The cranidium illustrated in fig. 11F was assigned to Agraulos affinis Billings, 1872, by Fletcher (2017), but the specimen has eyes distant to the glabella. This character disagrees with the diagnosis of Ag. affinis, in which eyes are located close to the glabella. Instead, the position of the eyes matches that seen in Ag. ceticephalus and the specimen is here assigned to this species. Specimens illustrated in fig. 9A-C as Ag. ceticephalus, on the other hand, display cranidia too deformed to determine the species. Specimens figured by Fletcher (2017: figs 9D-I) as Agraulos lewisi Fletcher, 2017 are also too poorly preserved to be assigned specifically. Figures 3A and 8F of Fletcher (2017) reillustrated the same articulate and distorted specimen figured previously by Lake (1932: pl. 20, fig. 3). Fletcher (2017) edited the images using Photoshop (Fletcher 2017: figs 3B, C, 8G). The figures 3C and 8G show the same image. The single specimen illustrated in figs 3A-C and 8F, G as Ag. lewisi clearly shows the characteristics of Ag. ceticephalus. The diagnosis of Ag. lewisi presented by Fletcher (2017) is not unique to the species, and characteristics as a "librigenal spine extending back to the posterior end of the third thoracic pleura" and "prominent stout median spines on the succeeding five rings" (Fletcher 2017) cannot be applied to the illustrations. Another characteristic, i.e. the "sharply pointed occipital spine", is undiagnostic as discussed above. Consequently, Ag. lewisi is here interpreted to be a synonym of Ag. ceticephalus. Cranidia attributed by Fletcher (2017) to Ag. longicephalus and illustrated



Fig. 5. – Agraulos ceticephalus (Barrande, 1846): **A**, internal cast of a cranidium, eye ridges present, two grooves visible (NFM F-2785 from 1.96 m); **B**, internal cast of a cranidium, one groove visible, occipital ring triangular in shape with projection of spine preserved (NFM F-3062 from 2.10 m); **C**, internal cast of a cranidium, occipital ring ending in a spine (NFM F-2841 from 2.40 m); **D**, internal cast of a cranidium, projection of occipital spine preserved (NFM F-2919 from 2.44 m); **E**, internal cast of a cranidium, eye ridges and occipital spine preserved (NFM F-2846 from 2.53 m); **F**, internal cast of a cranidium, eye ridges present, projection of occipital spine preserved (NFM F-3321 from 5.52 m); **G**, internal cast of a cranidium, eye ridges present, projection of occipital spine preserved (NFM F-2826 from 2.52 m); **H**, internal cast of a cranidium, eye ridges present, projection of occipital spine preserved (NFM F-3225 from 5.19 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.

in figs 22A, B show eye ridges characteristic of Ag. ceticephalus and are here assigned to this species. Fletcher's (2007: figs 5J-L, 12A, K-Q, 13I-K, M, N, 14D, G, H, J, 16A, C) display cranidia, or cranidia attached to the thorax, and were attributed by the author to Agraulos socialis Billings, 1872. Nevertheless, these illustrated specimens morphologically agree with Ag. ceticephalus and are here assigned to this species. A new species, Agraulos 1 Fletcher, 2017, was introduced without a formal diagnosis or description. Fletcher (2017) only states that the "new species status is marked by the less trapezoidal aspect of the cranium with a longish occipital spine significantly different from associated similar-sized ceticephalus cranidia". These differences are here interpreted as intraspecific variations of Ag. ceticephalus. Therefore, the cranidia illustrated by Fletcher (2017: figs 13D, E, 14A, 16F) match the characteristics of Ag. ceticephalus and Agraulos 1 is here interpreted as synonym of Ag. ceticephalus. Fletcher (2017) also described the new species Agraulos 2 (Fletcher 2017: figs 14I, 15A-C, 16E) which is here also interpreted as an intraspecific variation of Ag. ceticephalus, while cranidia illustrated in figures 15D, E are too poorly preserved to be assigned to any species. Fletcher (2017) described Skreiaspis punctatissimus as a new species and illustrated several specimens. Cranidia illustrated in figures 18S, U and W do not show the short preglabellar field characteristic for Skreiaspis, but rather match Ag. ceticephalus.

# Family SOLENOPLEURIDAE Angelin, 1854 Subfamily SOLENOPLEURINAE Angelin, 1854

#### Genus Jincella Šnajdr, 1957

Jincella Šnajdr, 1957: 241.

TYPE SPECIES. — *Solenopleura prantli* Růžička, 1946, by original designation.

DIAGNOSIS. — Glabella bluntly conical, not reaching frontal border; three pairs of shallow glabellar furrows; arched preglabellar area; arched cephalic border, widest in front of cranidium; ornamentation covering cranidium except for furrows and palpebral lobes; occipital ring with small node; thorax of 14 segments; pygidium small, with four to five rings on axis (based on Šnajdr 1957, 1958; Álvaro *et al.* 2004, with modifications).

#### Remarks

The genus *Jincella* is closely related to the genus *Solenopleura* Angelin, 1854. *Jincella* has variously been treated as a junior synonym of *Solenopleura* (e.g., Rushton & Berg-Madsen 2002), while other authors (e.g., Geyer 1998; Álvaro *et al.* 2004) separated the two based on the relative convexity of the glabella, fixigenae, anterior border and eye lobes. Ornamentation is another reliable diagnostic characteristic in *Jincella*, even though this has been questioned by Fletcher (2007), who ranked *Jincella* as a subgenus to *Brunswickia* Howell, 1937. Based on the original description of Howell (1937) and images of the specimens assigned to the genus *Brunswickia*, the shape of the cranidium in *Brunswickia* is narrower than that of *Jincella*. We here follow Álvaro *et al.* 

(2004) and interpret the presence of ornamentation of *Jincella* as a diagnostic characteristic. We therefore maintain the separation of *Jincella* from *Brunswickia* and *Solenopleura* and treat the genus as a member of Solenopleuridae, thus following e.g., Harrington *et al.* (1959), Courtessole (1973), Geyer (1998) and Álvaro *et al.* (2004).

# *Jincella*? *applanata* (Hicks *in* Salter & Hicks, 1869) (Fig. 6)

*Conocoryphe applanata* Hicks *in* Salter & Hicks, 1869: 53, 54, pl. 2, figs 1, 2, 4, 5.

*Solenopleura applanata* – Reed 1900: 252, 257. — Illing 1915: 432, pl. 37, figs 8, 9. — Nicholas 1915: 463, 464, pl. 39, figs 8, 9 (?). — Lake 1931: 137-139, pl. 17, figs 2-12. — Cobbold & Pocock 1934: 365, pl. 43, fig. 1 (?).

Solenopleura cf. applanata - Illing 1915: 433, pl. 37, fig. 10 (?).

*Parasolenopleura? applanata* – Martin & Dean 1988: 20, 21, pl. 1, fig. 14; pl. 3, figs 3, 6, 8, 14, 15.

Bailiaspis venusta - Cotton 2001: pl. 4, fig. 7.

Parasolenopleura applanata - Young et al. 2002: pl. 4, fig. x.

Parasolenopleura cf. applanata - Young et al. 2002: pl. 4, fig. iv.

Solenopleura cf. applanata - Rushton & Berg-Madsen 2002: 341, figs 5d-f.

Jincella applanata - Fletcher 2006: pl. 34, fig. 36.

Brunswicki (Jincella) applanata – Fletcher 2007: 50, 51, figs 7C-G, K-M, T.

Solenopleura? applanata - Weidner & Nielsen 2014: 82, 83, figs 50 A-I.

SYNTYPES. — Specimen BGS 7004 (British Geological Survey, Keyworth, United Kingdom) originally figured by Salter & Hicks (1869), counterpart BGS 7005 figured by Lake (1931) and SM A 271, A 3238 and A 3248 (Sedgwick Museum of Earth Sciences, Cambridge, United Kingdom). All syntypes were identified by Weidner & Nielsen (2014). From the Menevian of Port-y-rhaw, St. David's, Wales.

DIAGNOSIS. — Glabella parabolic, with three pairs of furrows; preglabellar field less wide than the associated anterior border; surface finely granulated with scattered granules (based on Salter & Hicks 1869; Fletcher 2007, with modifications).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — 355 cranidia of *Jincella*? *applanata* (for NFM numbers see Appendix 1). Three are attached to the thorax and one has one librigena attached (NFM F-3595). The specimens are well to very well-preserved as internal casts and moulds. Some are pyritized. All specimens range between 4.44 and 16.67 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURENCE. — Jincella? applanata is documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the Tomagnostus fissus, Ptychagnostus atavus, Paradoxides hicksi and Paradoxides davidis zones (Martin & Dean 1988; Fletcher 2006, 2007). It has also been reported from the United Kingdom in Wales (Salter & Hicks 1869; Nicholas 1915; Young et al. 2002) and England (Tomagnostus fissus to Solenopleura brachymetop zones and Ptychagnostus atavus Zone; Illing 1915; Rushton & Berg-Madsen 2002), and from Denmark (Acidusus atavus Zone; Weidner & Nielsen 2014).



FIG. 6. — *Jincella? applanata* (Hicks *in* Salter & Hicks, 1869); **A**, **B**, internal cast and mould of the same specimen, glabellar furrows and ornamentation on internal cast preserved (NFM F-3398 from 8.94 m); **C**, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the thorax, ornamentation and occipital node preserved (NFM F-3401 from 8.94 m); **D**, internal cast of a cranidium with one librigena attached, venulose markings on librigena and cranidium anterior of eye ridges preserved (NFM F-3595 from 15.30 m); **E**, internal cast of a trapezoidal shaped cranidium, occipital ring and venulose markings anterior of eye ridges preserved, NFM F-3594 from 15.30 m; **F**, internal cast of a trapezoidal shaped cranidium, occipital ring and venulose markings anterior of eye ridges preserved, NFM F-2949 from 6.15 m); **G**, internal cast of a round shaped cranidium, some granuels have dents preserved, fixigenae slightly separated (NFM F-3297 from 6.18 m); **H**, internal cast of a round shaped cranidium, occipital ring and ornamentation preserved (NFM F-3616 from 9.33 m); **I**, mould of a round shaped cranidium, occipital node and ornamentation preserved (NFM F-3405 from 8.94 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.

#### Description

The size of the cranidium ranges from 3.5 mm to 16.0 mm width and from 2.1 mm to 12.0 mm length, while the cranidial shape varies from rounded to trapezoidal. In some rounded shaped cranidia the fixigena in front of the glabella are separated by a shallow depression from each other. Eye ridges are faint and frequently even absent in specimens with preserved ornamentation. Some moulds and occasional internal casts show vein-like markings in front of the eye ridges. One specimen (NFM F-3595) is preserved with an attached librigena, also showing vein-like markings on the cranidium and the librigena. The glabella is always more domed than the cheeks. The posterior pair of glabellar furrows bend down slightly towards the occipital ring. The second pair of furrows only reaches half the length of the posterior ones and parallels these. The anterior pair is shortest and crosses the glabella more horizontally. Some specimens show a small node on the occipital ring. Where preserved, ornamentation consists of more or less densely packed scattered granules on the cephalon, and scattered granules on the thorax.

# Remarks

Jincella? applanata was first assigned to the genus Conocoryphe Hawle & Corda, 1847, by Salter & Hicks (1869). Reed (1900) discussed Conocoryphe and concluded that J.? applanata was better assigned to Solenopleura Angelin, 1854. Subsequent authors assigned the species to *Parasolenopleura* Westergård, 1953 (Martin & Dean 1988; Young et al. 2002), Jincella Šnajdr, 1957 (Álvaro et al. 2004; Fletcher 2006), or Brunswickia (Jincella) Šnajdr, 1957 (Fletcher 2007). Martin & Dean (1988) and Weidner & Nielsen (2014) marked the genus with a question mark, which reflects the uncertainty regarding the generic ranking. As pointed out by Rushton & Berg-Madsen (2002), Fletcher (2007) and Weidner & Nielsen (2014), among other authors, note that the family is in need for a revision. Therefore, the present material is here provisionally assigned to the genus Jincella. Regarding the authorship of the species, it is remarkable that several authors (e.g., Illing 1915; Lake 1931; Fletcher 2007) attribute it to Salter. In Salter & Hicks (1869), a H.H. abbreviation following the description of *J.? applanata* clarifies that Henry Hicks has written this part. Consequently, the correct authorship must be J.? applanata (Hicks in Salter & Hicks, 1869) as done by Weidner & Nielsen (2014).

Illing (1915) figured one juvenile cranidium assigned to *J*.? applanata. The image on pl. 37, fig. 10, is overexposed and the assignment therefore questionable. Nicholas (1915) presented drawings of two cranidia, both attached to the thorax. In his plate 39, figures 8, 9, the preglabellar area is relatively wide. This is unlike the diagnosis of J.? applanata, therefore the assignment is considered as questionable. Lake (1931) presented drawings of specimens previously figured by Salter & Hicks (1869), Nicholas (1915) and Illing (1915). These drawings show a preglabellar area wider than in the original figures. As Lakes' (1931) description matches J.? applanata, the wider preglabellar area is here considered to be a matter of uncertainty in the author's drawings. Cobbold & Pocock (1934) listed measurements of one illustrated specimen. The drawing of the cranidium has a wide preglabellar area and the eyes are situated close to the glabella. This does not match the characteristic short preglabellar area and the range of cranidial outlines known from J.? applanata. Therefore, the specieslevel assignment is questionable. Cotton (2001) figured one cranidium assigned to Bailiaspis venusta Resser, 1937, without presenting a description. However, the figured specimen has eyes and can therefore not be assigned to *Bailiaspis*, nor to its family Conocoryphidae Angelin, 1854. Instead, it matches J.? applanata and is here assigned to this species.

#### Subfamily SAOINAE Hupé, 1953

#### Genus Sao Barrande, 1846

Sao Barrande, 1846: 13. — Type species: Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846, by original designation.

Monadina – Barrande 1846: 19.

- Monadella Barrande 1847: 391.
- Crithias Hawle & Corda 1847: 17.
- Tetracnemis Hawle & Corda 1847: 17.
- Goniacanthus Hawle & Corda 1847: 18.
- Enneacnemis Hawle & Corda, 1847: 19.
- Acanthocnemis Hawle & Corda 1847: 20.
- Acanthogramma Hawle & Corda 1847: 20.
- Endogramma Hawle & Corda 1847: 21.
- Micropyge Hawle & Corda 1847: 21.
- Selenosema Hawle & Corda 1847: 23.
- Staurogmus Hawle & Corda 1847: 28.

DIAGNOSIS. —Wide cephalic border, strongly convex; glabella with three deep glabellar furrows and one longitudinal furrow; preglabellar field concave without ornamentation; cephalon with densely packed tubercles and small spines; thorax of 17 segments; pygidium short with one segment and one terminal piece (based on Harrington *et al.* 1959; Laibl *et al.* 2014, with modifications).

# Remarks

Barrande (1852b) was the first to describe the different growth stages of *Sao*. He revised different genera previously introduced by Barrande (1846) and Hawle & Corda (1847). Šnajdr (1958) further described the wide morphological range of ontogenetic stages, which is followed here. For further details see Šnajdr (1958).

Richter (1941) made a formal request to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature in favour of *Sao* Barrande, 1846. He argued that the genus *Sao* Billberg, 1820, was preoccupied by a Stomatopoda, but that the name was not used subsequently. While *Monadina* Barrande, 1846 was available, *Sao* was already a well-established name for the trilobite. Richter's (1941) request was accepted and *Sao* Barrande, 1846 was given priority.

## Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846 (Fig. 7)

*Sao hirsuta* Barrande, 1846: 13. — Roemer 1876: pl. 1, figs 8ae. — Woodward 1905: fig. 1. — Wurm 1928: 38, pl. 5, figs 11a, b; 1928: 38, figs 12a, b. — Whittington 1957: 937-940, pl. 115, figs 21, 22; pl. 116, figs 14-21; 1988: 591, 592, figs 13A-D; pl. 53, figs 5-7; 1992: pl. 35, figs A-I; pl. 36, figs A-D; pl. 37. — Snajdr 1958: 205-214, figs 44.1-27, 45; pl. 42, figs 1-35; pl. 44, figs 1-25; pl. 45, figs 1-24 (see this work for further synonyms prior to 1958); 1990: 102, unnamed fig. p. 37; unnamed fig. upper right corner p. 45; unnamed fig. p. 103. — Harrington *et al.* 1959: figs 88A-I, 204.13a, b. — Horný & Bastl 1970: pl. 4, fig. 4. — Gil Cid 1982: 23, 24, figs 2a-e; pl. 1, figs 3-5. — Fatka 1990: unnamed fig. p. 16, unnamed fig. p. 17; 2011: figs 17.1-5, 7. — König 1992: pl. 1, fig. 3. — Gozalo *et al.* 1994: 48. — Sdzuy 2000: 310, pl. 5, figs 7-12. — Geyer 2010: 84, figs 12, 13. — Laibl 2012: 19-22, figs 6, 7; pl. 1, figs A-L; pl. 2, figs A-L; pl. 3, figs A-L; pl. 4, figs A-H; pl. 5, figs A-J; pl. 6, figs A-H; 2017: figs 4E-G. — Laibl *et al.* 2014: 297-302, figs 4A-L, 5A-L, 6A-F, 8A-F. — Fatka *et al.* 2015: fig. 4E.



Fig. 7. – Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846, internal cast of a cranidium (NFM F-3420 from 15.68 m). Scale bar: 1 mm.



Fig. 8. – Bailiella aequalis (Linnarsson, 1882) internal cast of a cranidium (NFM F-3811 from 5.90 m). Scale bar: 1 mm.

# Superfamily CONOCORYPHACEA Angelin, 1854 Family CONOCORYPHIDAE Angelin, 1854

#### Genus Bailiella Matthew, 1885

1. 2, Bailiella Matthew, 1885: 124. — Type species: Conocephalites baileyi Hartt in Dawson, 1868, designated by Miller (1889).

*Tangshiella* Hupé, 1953: 194. — Type species: *Bailiella ulrichi* Resser & Endo, 1937, by original designation (Hupé 1953).

DIAGNOSIS. — Cephalon semicircular; fixed cheeks continuous in front of glabella; border furrow extends around cranidium; surface ornamented (based on Resser 1936; Lake 1940, with modifications).

#### Remarks

Hupé (1953) introduced the genus *Tangshiella* without providing a description or discussion. The type species is *Bailiella ulrichi* Resser & Endo, 1937. The diagnosis of *B. ulrichi* matches that of the genus *Bailiella*; therefore, the genus *Tangshiella* is here considered to be a synonym of *Bailiella*. The genus is closely related to *Conocoryphe* Hawle & Corda, 1847, but differs in having a longer preglabellar area and the absence of furrows (Resser 1936). The taxonomic distinction from *Bailiaspis* Resser, 1936, is less distinct and presently unsolved (Resser 1936; Álvaro & Vizcaïno 2018). Kim *et al.* (2002) regarded the genus *Bailiella* as paraphyletic and did not provide a diagnosis. Clearly, the genus requires revision. *Bailiella* is here treated as genus separated from related genera.

### Bailiella aequalis (Linnarsson, 1882) (Fig. 8)

*Conocoryphe aequalis* Linnarsson, 1882: 25, 26, pl. 4, figs 12-15. — Grönwall 1902: 92, pl. 1, fig. 22.

Conocoryphe (C.) aequalis - Cobbold 1913: 32, pl. 3, figs 18a-c (?).

*Bailiella aequalis* – Westergård 1950: 28, 29, pl. 5, fig. 13; pl. 6, figs 1-3. — Rudolph 1994: 191, pl. 23, figs 6, 7 (?). — Sdzuy 2000: 308, pl. 3, figs 11-15; pl. 7, figs 4-6 (?).

Bailiella aff. aequalis - Šnajdr 1958: 166, pl. 35, figs 1-5.

Sao aff. hirsuta - Gozalo et al. 1994: 48-50, pl. 1, figs 2-6; pl. 2, figs 1-5.

LECTOTYPE. — Specimen NM L 12525 (former Br-184 from the SBNM collection Barrande), National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic, originally figured by Barrande (1852a) and designated as the lectotype by Šnajdr (1958). From the Buchava Formation, Skreyje Member, *Eccaparadoxides pusillus* Zone from Skryje-Týřovice Basin, Bohemia, Czech Republic

DIAGNOSIS. — As for the genus (Šnajdr 1958; Laibl et al. 2014).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — One cranidium of *Sao hirsuta* (NFM F-3420). Collected at 15.68 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — This is the first report of *Sao hirsuta* from eastern Newfoundland, Canada, where it is documented from the *Paradoxides davidis* Zone (Fig. 2). Elsewhere, the species is known from Europe where it was documented from the Czech Republic (*Eccaparadoxides pusillus* Zone; e.g., Šnajdr 1958), Spain (Gil Cid 1982; Gozalo *et al.* 1994), and Germany (Wurm 1928; Sdzuy 2000).

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidium is 7.9 mm wide and 5.4 mm long. The glabella is 3.0 mm wide and 3.3 mm long. It is well-preserved as an internal cast and partly covered with sediment. The longitudinal glabellar furrow is not straight axially but slightly tending to the left posterior end of the glabella.

#### Remarks

The ontogenetic development of the genus *Sao* and its species *Sao hirsuta* is well known (Warburg 1925). According to Šnajdr (1990), *S. hirsuta* has 22 synonyms which is one of the largest number of synonyms. For detailed list of synonyms, discussion and description of the species see Šnajdr (1958). This work follows his conclusions except for the works mentioned and added above. *Sao hirsuta* is common in the Czech Republic (e.g., Šnajdr 1958).



Fig. 9. – Bailiella tenuicincta (Linnarsson, 1879): A, internal cast of a cranidium, scattered granules and occipital node preserved (NFM F-2972 from 8.52 m); B, internal cast of a cranidium, scattered granules and occipital node preserved, partly covered with sediment (NFM F-3200 from 8.52 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.

LECTOTYPE. — Specimen originally figured by Linnarsson (1882: pl. 14, fig. 12) and designated as lectotype by Westergård (1950). From the *Ptychagnostus puntuosus* Zone, lower portion, Andrarum, Scania, Sweden.

DIAGNOSIS. — Cranidium width/length ratio of *c*. 2/1; anterior margin narrow; occipital ring without node; packed coarse granulose ornamentation, grains with flattened to impressed top (based on Linnarsson 1882, with modifications).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — One cranidium of *Bailiella aequalis* (NFM F-3811). Collected at 5.90 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — *Bailiella aequalis* is a rare middle Cambrian species (Westergård 1950), which is here documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the *Paradoxides hicksi* Zone (Fig. 2). It is also reported from United Kingdom, England (Cobbold 1913), Sweden (*Ptychagnostus punctuosus* Zone; Westergård 1950), Denmark in Bornholm (*Ptychagnostus punctuosus* Zone; Rudolph 1994) and Germany (Sdzuy 2000).

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidium is 40.0 mm wide and 21.0 mm long. The glabella is 11.0 mm wide and 12.0 mm long. It is very well-preserved as an internal cast, with an anterior margin that appears to be folded backwards.

## Remarks

*Bailiella aequalis* is closely related to *Bailiella tenuicincta* (Linnarsson, 1879), but differs by a shorter preglabellar area and packed granulose ornamentation. The ornamentation of *B. tenuicincta* is fine granulose with scattered grains (Linnarsson 1882). According to Linnarsson (1882) *B. aequalis* lacks a node on the occipital ring, differing from *B. tenuicincta* in which this node is present (Linnarsson 1879). Cobbold (1913) described *B. aequalis* based on six cranidia of which one was illustrated. He mentioned that these specimens were collected from a coarse sediment and that fine structures might therefore not be preserved. However, the shape of outline as well as the width/length ratio (*c.* 1.5/1) of the illustrated incomplete cranidium on his plate 3, figure 18a, does not match *B. aequalis*. We therefore

regard the assignment as questionable. A pygidium illustrated by Rudolph (1994: pl. 23, fig. 7) as *B. aequalis* is doubtful as no diagnosis is known to us for the pygidium of this species. Sdzuy (2000) described and figured several cranidia of *B. aequalis*. As he also mentioned the fragment of a fixed cheek on plate 3, figure15, cannot definitely be assigned to the species and hence the assignment is here considered questionable.

# Bailiella tenuicincta (Linnarsson, 1879) (Fig. 9)

Conocoryphe tenuicincta Linnarsson, 1879: 18, 19, pl. 2, figs 23-25.

*Bailiella tenuicincta* – Westergård 1950: 26, 27, pl. 5, figs 6a-d, 7a, b, 8a, b, 9 (?). — Hutchinson 1962: 105, 106, pl. 15, figs. 3, 4a-d. — Rudolph 1990: 19, text-fig. 4; 1994: 192, 193, pl. 23, fig. 3 (?). — Weidner & Nielsen 2014: 74, fig. 43B.

Bailiella aff. B. tenuicincta - Martin & Dean 1988: 19, pl. 2, figs 7, 12.

*Bailiella* cf. *tenuicincta* – Chirivella Martorell *et al.* 2015: 177, figs 4A-I; p. 179, figs 5A, B.

LECTOTYPE. — Specimen no. SGU 4524, Swedish Geological Survey (Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning), Uppsala, Sweden, originally figured in Linnarsson (1879) and designated as lectotype by Westergård (1950). From the Exsulans Limestone Bed, *Tomagnostus gibbus* Zone, Gislöv, on the shore 1 km south of Brantevik, Scania, Sweden.

DIAGNOSIS. — Anterior margin narrow; glabella with three pairs of shallow to faint furrows; occipital ring with node; dense fine granulose ornamentation with scattered small granules (based on Linnarsson 1879, with modifications).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — Four cranidia of *Bailiella tenuicincta* (NFM F-2972; NFM F-3168; NFM F-3169; NFM F-3200). All specimens range between 8.52 and 16.67 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — *Bailiella tenuicincta* is a rare middle Cambrian taxon, documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the *Paradoxides hicksi* and *Paradoxides davidis* Zones

(Hutchinson 1962; Martin & Dean 1988). The taxon also occurs in Sweden (*Ptychagnostus* (*Triplagn.*) gibbus Zone; Westergård 1950, 1953), Bornholm in Denmark, (*Ptychagnostus* (*Triplagn.*) gibbus Zone; Westergård 1950; *Agnostus atavus* Zone; Weidner & Nielsen, 2014) and Spain (upper *Badulesia tenera* to lower *Badulesia granieri* zones; Chirivella Martorell *et al.* 2015).

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidia range from 7.0 mm to 34.0 mm in width and 4.4 mm to 18.0 mm in length, while the glabella varies from 2.5 to 10.0 mm in width and 2.8 to 10.5 mm in length. All specimens are well to very well-preserved internal casts. One specimen (NFM F-2972) has two to three pairs of faint glabellar furrows. Posterior pair is aligned towards the occipital ring.

#### Remarks

The species is closely related to *B. aequalis* as discussed above. According to Weidner & Nielsen (2014) the stratigraphic range of *B. tenuicincta* is similar to that of *Bailiella impressa* (Linnarsson, 1879), from which it differs in having an evenly convex cranidium and shallow axial and border furrows. Westergård (1950) figured three cranidia and one pygidium. Granulation of the pygidium (Westergård 1950: pl. 5, fig. 9) corresponds to that of the cranidia and is therefore assigned to the species, but this assignment is here considered questionable. A cranidium illustrated by Rudolph (1990: 19, text-fig. 4) may correspond to *B. tenuicincta*, but the resolution of the image is too low to evaluate the assignment and is therefore questionable.

#### Genus Meneviella Stubblefield, 1951

*Meneviella* Stubblefield, 1951: 213. — Type species: *Erinnys venulosa* Hicks, 1872, by monotypy.

*Erinnys* Hicks, 1872: 177. — Type species: *Erinnys venulosa* Hicks, 1872, by monotypy (Hicks 1872).

Salteria - Walcott 1884: 31.

Menevia – Lake 1938: 270-272.

DIAGNOSIS. — Body elongate; micropygous; cephalon semicircular, wide and convex; fixigena meet in front of glabella; eye ridges at anterior third of glabella, tapering away; pair of librigenal spines; thorax of 25 segments or more with narrowing axis; pygidium small, axis tapering (based on Harrington *et al.* 1959, with modifications).

#### Remarks

Hicks (1872) first described *Erinnys venulosa*. The name *Erinnys* was occupied by Schrank & Schrank (1801) who named a genus of butterflies *Erynnis*. Walcott (1884) recognized the circumstances and renamed the genus *Salteria* in honour of Salter. However, *Salteria* was already in use for another trilobite described by Thomson (1864), which was mentioned by Lake (1938). Lake (1938) gave the name *Menevia* to the genus, but this name was preoccupied by Schaus (1928). Stubblefield (1951) introduced *Meneviella* as a new generic name, which is still recognized today.

### Meneviella venulosa (Hicks, 1872) (Fig. 10)

*Erinnys venulosa* Hicks, 1872: 177, pl. 6, figs 1-6. — Salter 1873: 5. — Illing 1915: 426.

Erinnys (Harpides) venulosa – Salter 1866a: 285 (?), nomen nudum.

Salteria venulosa - Walcott 1884: 31, 32.

Erinnys breviceps - Matthew 1899: 91-95, pl. 4, fig. 9.

Conocoryphe (Erinnys) venulosa – Grönwall 1902: 94-96, pl. 1, fig. 23.

Bailiella venulosa – Howell 1925: 30, 31 (?).

*Menevia venulosa* – Lake 1938: 272, pl. 39, figs 4-9; 1940: 273, 274. — Harrington *et al.* 1959: O244, fig. 181.10.

Menevia cf. venulosa; Kindle & Whittington 1959: fig. 3i (?).

*Meneviella venulosa* – Hutchinson 1962: 108, pl. 16, figs 2-7 (?). — Shaw 1966: 855, pl. 99, fig. 17. — Korobov 1973: 124-126, pl. 12, fig. 1. — Egorova *et al.* 1982: 110, pl. 3, fig. 10; pl. 9, fig. 10 (?). — Kindle 1982: pl. 1.2, fig. 7. — Morris & Fortey 1985: pl. 1, fig. 10. — Buchholz 1991: 222, pl. 2, fig. 2; 1997: 251, pl. 20, figs 7, 8. — Rudolph 1994: 197, 198, pl. 22, fig. 8 (?). — Cotton 2001: text.fig. 1A, pl. 3, figs 1-4. — Young *et al.* 2002:, pl. 4, fig. xiii (?). — Fletcher 2006: pl. 34, fig. 37. — Weidner & Nielsen 2014: 75, 76, figs 44A-E. — Bushuev & Makarova 2016: 15, 16, pl. 1, fig. 4.

*Meneviella viatrix* Shergold, 1973: 21-25, pl. 10, fig. 1; pl. 11, figs 1-4; pl. 12, figs 1-8.

Dasometopus groenlandicus - Babcock 1994: 87, 88, fig. 7.3.

LECTOTYPE. — Specimen no. SM A1033, Sedgwick Museum of Earth Sciences, Cambridge, United Kingdom, originally figured in Hicks (1872) and designated as lectotype by Stubblefield (1951), from the Menevian of Port-y-rhaw, St. David's, Wales.

DIAGNOSIS. — Cranidium with wide border; glabella two thirds of cranidial length with three pairs of furrows, S1 curves back to occipital furrow axially, S2 and S3 short; occipital furrow arching forward axially; occipital ring with node; fixigena divided by ridges that run out from eye ridges, tapering backwards and spread out to anterior part by splitting into venulose markings, posterior part granulated.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — 16 cranidia of *Meneviella venulosa* (for NFM numbers see Appendix 1). All specimens range between 10.00 and 16.55 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — Meneviella venulosa has a wide middle Cambrian distribution and has been documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the upper Paradoxides hicksi to Paradoxides davidis zones (Hutchinson, 1962). It has also been reported from western Newfoundland (Tomagnostus fissus and Ptychagnostus atavus bearing Zone 3; Kindle 1982), United States of America in Vermont (Paradoxides davidis Zone; Shaw 1966), Greenland (Ptychagnostus atavus Zone; Babcock 1994), United Kingdom in Wales (Hypagnostus parvifrons to Ptychagnostus punctuosus zones; Thomas et al. 1984; Young et al. 2002) and England (Paradoxides davidis Zone; Illing 1915), Denmark in Bornholm (Ptychagnostus punctuosus Zone; Buchholz 1991; Grönwall 1902; Rudolph 1994), Russia in Siberia (Tomagnostus fissus to Paradoxides hicksi zones and Anapolenus henrici Zone; e.g., Egorova et al. 1982) and Australia in Queensland (Ptychagnostus punctuosus and Goniagnostus nathorsti zones; Shergold 1973).



Fig. 10. – Meneviella venulosa (Hicks, 1872): A, B, internal cast and mould of the same juvenile specimen, venulose markings on mould visible (NFM F-3213 from 10.00 m); C, internal cast of cranidium, specimen covert in white (NFM F-3655 from 16.26 m); D, disarticulated internal cast of cranidium (NFM F-3600 from 15.73 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidia range from 11.0 mm to 17.0 mm width and from 4.5 mm to 8.0 mm length. They are well-preserved as internal casts and moulds. Some are broken along the dorsal furrow on one side of the glabella. In smaller specimens the venulose markings are less prominent and granulation covers the whole cranidium. Cranidia from stratigraphically lower beds (three cranidia from 10.00 m) have a more prominent granulation than those from stratigraphically higher beds (12 cranidia above 15.73 m). One internal cast has a white surface (NFM F-3655).

#### Remarks

Salter (1866a) first reported *Erinnys (Harpides) venulosa* as a *nomen nudum*. He doubted that it could be distinguished from *Harpides* Beyrich, 1846, but without supporting this view by additional information, e.g. descriptions or figures. Thus, the assignment is questionable and Hicks (1872) is the author who first described the species. Matthew (1899) distinguished *Erinnys breviceps* from *Erinnys venulosa* based on the marginal furrow and border of the former, which, according to him, does not border the entire cranidium of *E. breviceps*. Matthew's plate 4, fig. 9 (Matthew 1899) illustrates a cranidium attached to the anterior portion of the thorax with a marginal furrow and border surrounding the whole cranidium. Therefore, the illustrated specimen is here assigned to *M. venulosa*. Howell (1925) repported specimens of Salter's *Erinnys venulosa* and named them *Bailiella venulosa* without providing a description

or illustrations. The assignment of his unfigured specimens is questionable. Kindle & Whittington (1959) illustrated one cranidium of *Menevia venulosa* which does not show the characteristic vein-like markings of the cephalon. Hence, the assignment is questionable. Note that Kindle & Whittington (1959) used *Menevia* in the figure description and *Meneviella* in the written text. Hutchinson (1962) described and illustrated different growth stages of *M. venulosa*, reporting that meraspid specimens (described as younger specimens by Hutchinson (1962)) show a more prominent granulation and less venulose markings than meraspid to holaspid specimens. The assumption that the specimen figured on Hutchinson's plate 16, figure 2 (Hutchinson 1962), is a young specimen of *M. venulosa* cannot be assigned with certainty due to the low resolution of the image.

Shergold (1973) described the new species *Meneviella viatrix*. According to the author, it differs from *M. venulosa* by a smaller size, fewer axial rings, more pygidial segments, and weaker geniculation in the posterior cranidial margin. The body size is a questionable taxonomic characteristic (Müller 1994). In combination with the fewer axial rings, Shergold's (1973) almost completely articulated specimens may represent a late meraspis stage. Cephala figured by Shergold (1973) are indistinguishable from *M. venulosa*, especially as no weaker geniculation (diagnostic character introduced by Shergold [1973]) is seen in the posterior cranidial margin. The different number of axial rings may represent a different ontogenetic stage or represent a possible variation within M. venulosa. Shergold (1973) only figured one disarticulated and two slightly deformed pygidia, all three attached to the thorax. The material is preserved and illustrated insufficiently to determine the number of pygidial axial rings. Therefore, M. viatrix is here interpreted to be a synonym of M. venulosa. One cranidium of M. venulosa figured by Egorova et al. (1982: pl. 3, fig. 10) is illustrated insufficiently. Therefore, the assignment is here considered questionable. Babcock (1994) defined the new species Dasometopus groenlandicus. The illustrated fragment in his figure 7.3 shows the vein-like markings of the cephalon characteristic for M. venulosa and was attributed to this species by Weidner & Nielsen (2014). Their suggestion is followed here. A small cranidium with a disarticulated glabella was illustrated as M. venulosa by Rudolph (1994: pl. 22, fig. 8), whose material includes several juvenile cranidida. The illustrated specimen does not show the characteristic vein-like markings of the cephalon. The case is similar to the incomplete cranidium illustrated by Young et al. (2002: pl. 4, fig. xiii). The illustrated specimen does not show the characteristic venulose markings, possibly due to the resolution of the illustration. In both cases, the assignment to *M. venulosa* is therefore questionable.

# Order REDLICHIIDA Richter, 1933 Suborder REDLICHIINA Richter, 1933 Superfamily PARADOXIDOIDEA Hawle & Corda, 1847 Family PARADOXIDIDAE Hawle & Corda, 1847

#### Genus Paradoxides Brongniart, 1822

*Paradoxides* Brongniart, 1822: 8. — Type species: *Entomostracites paradoxissimus* Wahlenberg, 1821, by subsequent designation by Poulsen (1956).

DIAGNOSIS. — Rounded anterior margin, S2 transglabellar, S3 and S4 short; palpebral lobe short, from S1 to S3; anterior and posterior section of facial suture moderately to strongly divergent; thorax with 19-21 segments; pleural spines progressively directed more strongly backwards, hindmost pairs of pleural spines increase in length, ending behind pygidial margin; pygidium small, subcircular to quadrat (based on Whittington *et al.* 1997; Fletcher *et al.* 2005, with modifications).

#### Remarks

The genus *Paradoxides* Brongniart, 1822, and the family Paradoxididae Hawle & Corda, 1847, need comprehensive revision, as pointed out by e.g., Sdzuy (1967), Geyer (1998), Geyer & Landing (2001), Kim *et al.* (2002) and Żylińska & Masiak (2007). According to Żylińska & Masiak (2007), the main problem within *Paradoxides* are different characters for subdividing the species, such as subdivisions based on holaspid forms, in contrast to ontogenetic differences in protaspid and holaspid forms. Šnajdr (1958) mentioned a wide range in opinions (e.g., Pompeckj 1896; Raymond 1914) regarding the ontogenetic development of Czech *Paradoxides*. As discussed by Whittington *et al.* (1997), many specimens assigned to *Paradoxides* are too poorly preserved to be determined confidently, leading to several species being based on poorly preserved material (Šnajdr 1958; Geyer 1998). According to Geyer & Landing (2001) there are more than 100 species and subspecies of *Paradoxides sensu lato*.

Šnajdr (1958) classified *Paradoxides* Brongniart, 1822; *Hydrocephalus* Barrande, 1846; *Eccaparadoxides* Snajdr, 1957; and Acadoparadoxides Snajdr, 1957, as genera of the Paradoxididae. Several authors followed this subdivision and included other genera as, for example, Plutonides Hicks, 1895 (e.g., Martinsson 1974; Whittington et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2002; Dean 2005; Rushton & Weidner 2007; Rushton et al. 2016). Others ranked clades as Hydrocephalus, Eccaparadoxides, Acadoparadoxides, and Plutonides as subgenera of Paradoxides (e.g., Solov'ev 1981; Martin & Dean 1988; Geyer 1998; Geyer & Landing 2001; Fletcher et al. 2005; Żylińska & Masiak 2007) and introduced new clades such as Paradoxides (Mawddachites) Fletcher, 2007 (Fletcher 2007). Kim et al. (2002) mentioned that diagnostic characteristics of (sub)genera differ substantially among authors. Here we apply the subdivision established by Whittington et al. (1997) following Šnajdr (1958).

# Paradoxides davidis Salter, 1863 (Figs 11; 12)

*Paradoxides davidis* Salter, 1863: 276, unnamed text fig. p. 275; 1864a: 234, 235, pl. 12, figs 1-3; 1864b: 1-4, pl. 10, figs 1-8. — Linnarsson 1882: 11-14, pl. 1, figs 14, 15; pl. 2, figs 1-9. — Grönwall 1902: 106-112, pl. 2, figs 3-7. — Cobbold 1911: 287, pl. 24, figs 17a, b, 18. — Illing 1915: 428, pl. 35, figs 9-11 (?). — Lake 1935: 203-208, pl. 27, figs 1, 2; pl. 28, figs 1-3; pl. 29, figs 1-3. — Hutchinson 1952: 76, 77, pl. 2, figs 6-8; 1962: 115, pl. 19, fig. 10; pls. 20, 21; pl. 22, figs 1-5. — Hupé 1955: fig. 77.1. — Fletcher 1972b: 92, pl. 49, fig. 11; pl. 50, figs 1-6; pl. 51, figs 1, 2. — Bergström & Levi-Setti 1978: 6, 7.

Paradoxides davidis brevispinus Bergström & Levi-Setti, 1978: 11, fig. 7c; pl. 9, fig. 5; pl. 10, figs 1-7, n. syn.

*Paradoxides davidis davidis* Bergström & Levi-Setti, 1978: 7, 8, fig. 7a; pl. 2, figs 1-3; pl. 3, figs 1-5; pl. 4, figs 1-11; pl. 5, figs 1-11 (?). — Levi-Setti 2014: pls 150-154, **n. syn**.

Paradoxides davidis intermedius Bergström & Levi-Setti, 1978: 9, 11, pl. 6, figs 1-7. — Levi-Setti 2014: pl. 159, n. syn.

*Paradoxides davidis trapezopyge* Bergström & Levi-Setti, 1978: 8, 9, fig. 7b; pl. 6, fig. 8; pl. 7, figs 1-5; pl. 8, figs 1, 3, 4, 7, 8; pl. 9, figs 1-3. — Whittington 1992: 121, pl. 46. — Levi-Setti 2014: pls 155-158, **n. syn.** 

*Paradoxides (Paradoxides) davidis davidis* – Morris & Fortey 1985: pl. 7, fig. 3, pl. 8, fig. 4 (?). — Martin & Dean 1988: 18, pl. 4, figs 11-17(*partim*). — Fletcher 2007: 47, figs 8G-I. — Fletcher & Greene 2013: 514, pl. 3, figs 7, 9-11, 14, 15.

Plutonides hicksi - Vaněk et al. 1999: 36, pl. 1, figs 1, 2, 5, 6.

*Paradoxides (P) davidis* – Fletcher 2006: 34, figs 9, 10. — Rees *et al.* 2014: figs 1.10, 1.11b.

HOLOTYPE. — Specimens no. BM 45083 and BM 45084, British Museum, London, United Kingdom by original designation, from the Menevian Group of Port-y-rhaw, St. David's, Wales, United Kingdom.



Fig. 11. — Paradoxides davidis Salter, 1863: **A**, internal cast of a pygidium attached to the posterior segments of the thorax (NFM F-3918 from 11.87 m); **B**, mould of a thorax with attached pygidium, thorax with preserved omamentation (NFM F-3912 from 11.85 m); **C**, internal cast of a pygidium (NFM F-3909 from 15.05 m); **D**, internal cast of a thorax with dislocated cranidium and librigenae, ornamentation on one librigena preserved (NFM F-2826 from 11.92 m). Scale bars: 5 mm.



FIG. 12. – Paradoxides davidis Salter, 1863: A, internal cast of a cranidium, ornamentation on fixigenae preserved, occipital ring bears a node (NFM F-3842 from 11.19 m); B, mould of a cranidium, ornamentation on fixigenae and parts of doublure preserved (NFM F-3908 from 11.85 m); C, internal cast of a cranidium with doublure attached (NFM F-3663 from 12.06 m); D, internal cast of cranidium, occipital node slightly visible (NFM F-3934 from 12.08 m). Scale bars: 5 mm.

DIAGNOSIS. — Glabella with S1 curved backwards, S2 curved forward abaxially and backward axially, S3 and S4 absent; frontal lobe more than half of total glabellar length; occipital furrow curves slightly forward axially; librigenal spines and doublure lineated bifurcating and anastomosing, creating a narrow mashed net; thorax with 19 segments; posterior pleural spines extend far beyond pygidium; pygidium with concave posterior margin and axis with one ring (based on Lake 1935; Fletcher 1972b, with modifications).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — 124 cephala, mostly cranidia, some doublures, and seven pygidia of *Paradoxides davidis* (for NFM numbers see Appendix 1). All specimens range between 9.56 and 17.89 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — Paradoxides davidis is an important regional biostratigraphical marker of the middle Cambrian (Howell 1925; Lake 1935; Hutchinson 1962), which has been documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the Paradoxides davidis and Ptychagnostus punctuosus zones (Hutchinson 1962; Fletcher 1972b; Martin & Dean 1988; Whittington 1992; Fletcher 2006,

2007; Fletcher & Greene 2013). It has also been reported from southeastern Canada in Nova Scotia (Hutchinson 1952), United Kingdom in Wales (*Paradoxides davidis* Zone; Salter 1863, 1864a; Lake 1935; Rees *et al.* 2014) and England (Cobbold 1911; Illing 1915), Denmark (Grönwall 1902), Sweden (*Ptychagnostus punctuosus* Zone; Linnarsson 1882; Westergård 1953) and the Czech Republic (*Eccaparadoxides pusillus* Zone; Vaněk *et al.* 1999).

# DESCRIPTION

The cranidia range from 38.0 mm to 62.0 mm width and 31.0 mm to 55.0 mm length, while the pygidia range from 7.0 to 9.0 mm width and 10.0 mm to 12.0 mm length. The preservation of the cranidia is very good and the preservation of the pygidia is good, both preserved as internal casts and moulds. Some cranidial internal casts have a groove lining from the frontal margin across the glabella towards the middle to outer margin of the occipital ring. Some cranidia bear a small, macroscopically slightly visible node on the occipital ring. Several specimens are pyritized. The glabella is always

the most well-preserved part of the cranidium. The pleural spines are usually broken. When preserved, the posterior pleural spines reach at least twice the pygidial length. Pygidia are shaped trapezoidally.

# Remarks

According to Lake (1935) *Paradoxides davidis* is closely related to *Paradoxides tessini* Brongniart, 1822. *Pa. tessini* has a longer palpebral lobe, a blunter front margin of the glabella, a less backward-curved S1 furrow, 21 thoracic segments and a rounded posterior margin of the pygidium (Lake 1935). Illing (1915) described and figured one doublure and two thoracic fragments of *Pa. davidis*. The fragment illustrated on pl. 35, fig. 10, only consists of four to possibly five outer pleurae without the axis, whereas the specimen on pl. 35, fig. 11, is overexposed. An assignment of the two specimens to any species is therefore questionable.

Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978) divided Pa. davidis into four subspecies: Paradoxides davidis davidis, Paradoxides davidis trapezopyge, Paradoxides davidis intermedius and Paradoxides davidis brevispinus. They distinguish them (Pa. davidis davidis, Pa. davidis trapezopyge and Pa. davidis intermedius) by their mean pygidial width ratio, while the fourth, Paradoxides davidis brevispinus, is distinguished by the mean pygidial width ratio, a coarse ornamentation and notably short pleural spines. We here propose, that the identification of this latter subspecies is thus only applicable when the pygidium is attached to the thorax and cephalon. The articulated specimens of Pa. davidis davidis figured by Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978: pl. 3, figs 1, 2) have poorly preserved cephala and pygidia; their assignment to any species is here suggested questionable. Pygidial variations identified in Pa. davidis, as described by Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978), are herein interpreted as an intraspecific variation. The coarse ornamentation described by Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978) is not identified in all specimens assigned to Pa. davidis brevispinus (e.g., Bergström & Levi-Setti 1978: pl. 10, fig. 6), while it is visible on the thorax on their pl. 8, fig. 8, assigned to Pa. davidis trapezopyge. The presence, or absence of ornamentation is therefore here interpreted as either an intraspecific variation or a matter of preservation. The short pleural spines are not as notable as mentioned by Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978) and are consequently not considered to be a reliable diagnostic characteristic. Based on the here described 123 cranidia and only seven pygidia, none of them attached to the cephalon, we suggest that a division of *Pa. davidis* into subspecies is not applicable.

*Pa. davidis davidis* figured by Morris & Fortey (1985: pl. 7, fig. 3) is a poorly preserved mould of a cephalon attached to the anterior portion of the thorax, crossed by several cracks. The S2 furrow apparently is aligned parallel to the S1 furrow, which does not match the diagnosis of *Pa. davidis*. Based on the preservation and the shape of S2 the assignment to this species is questionable. Martin & Dean (1988) assigned their specimens to the subspecies *Pa. davidis davidis* but included all subspecies introduced by Bergström & Levi-Setti (1978) in their list of synonyms. The juvenile cranidium illustrated by Martin & Dean (1988) on plate 4, figure 4, has four gla-

bellar furrows and eye lobes reaching from S4 to L1. These characteristics do not agree with the diagnosis of *Pa. davidis* which is characterised by two characteristic glabellar furrows. The specimen is here excluded from the genus. The nearly articulated specimens documented by Whittington (1992) as *Pa. davidis trapezopyge* match the characteristics of *Pa. davidis* and are here assigned to the species. Vaněk *et al.* (1999) discussed and figured specimens assigned to *Plutonides hicksi* (Salter, 1866b). The illustrated cranidia on plate 1, figures 1, 2 and 6, only have S1 and S2 furrows with the typical shape of those of *Pa. davidis*; they are here assigned to *Pa. davidis*. Specimens illustrated by Fletcher (2007) and Fletcher & Greene (2013) assigned to *Paradoxides (Paradoxides) davidis davidis* show the characteristics of *Pa. davidis* and are hence assigned to this species.

# Genus Plutonides Hicks, 1895

*Plutonides* Hicks, 1895: 230, 231. — Type species: *Plutonia sedgwickii* Hicks, 1871, designated by Whittington *et al.* (1997).

*Plutonia* Hicks, 1869: 69. — Type species: *Plutonia sedgwickii* Hicks, 1871, by monotypy.

Paradoxides (Mawddachites) Fletcher, 2007: 47. — Type species: Paradoxides hicksii Salter, 1866b, by original designation (Fletcher 2007).

DIAGNOSIS. — Surface ornamented; glabella widest at S4 furrow, frontal margin bluntly pointed; S2 to S4 present; palpebral lobes oblique, extending from S1 to S4; posterior section of facial suture sigmoidal; thorax with 19 segments; pygidium with one axial ring (based on Hicks 1869; Whittington *et al.* 1997, with modifications).

#### Remarks

Plutonia was first described by Hicks (1869) but the name Plutonia was used by Stabile (1864) for a genus of Mollusca. Therefore, Hicks (1895) renamed the genus Plutonides which is still recognized today. Fletcher (2007) introduced the subgenus Paradoxides (Mawddachites) based on 'Paradoxides hicksii' as type species. His diagnosis only includes Pl. hicksii. Diagnostic characteristics presented by the author included a glabella widest at S4, deep S1 to S4 furrows, palpebral lobes extending from the base of L5 to S1, a pygidium almost circular in outline and an exoskeleton ornamented with fine anastomosing venation or granulation. These characteristics match those given by Whittington et al. (1997) for Plutonides, e.g. the characteristic glabella widening to L4, well defined S2 to S4 furrows, palpebral lobes from L1 or S1 to S4, pygidium subhexagonal and a coarsely granulose surface with meshlike pattern of fine, anastomosing ridges. We therefore interpret Paradoxides (Mawddachites) as a synonym of Plutonides. The distinctly narrow occipital ring and the relatively narrow librigena extending backward into a long curving spine, as mentioned by Fletcher (2007) for Pa. (Mawddachites), are here considered not to be diagnostic. Hicks (1869) already mentioned a close relationship between Plutonides and Paradoxides, but the author still referred to Plutonides as differing by its ornamentation of tubercles, unusual position of the eye suture and straight thoracic pleurae. Fletcher et al. (2005)



FIG. 13. – Plutonides hicksii (Salter, 1866b); A, B, internal cast and mould of the same specimen, occipital node visible in both (NFM F-3717 from 10.38 m); C, internal cast of a thorax attached to the pygidium, pleural spines preserved, NFM F-3299 from 5.22 m; D, internal cast of a cranidium attached to the anterior 11 thoracic segments (NFM F-3352 from 7.56 m). Scale bars: 5 mm.

ranked *Plutonides* as subgenus of *Paradoxides*, but this view is not followed here. *Plutonides* does not have a transglabellar S2 furrow, which is characteristic for *Paradoxides*. Other diagnostic differences in *Plutonides* are a shorter palpebral lobe and a bluntly pointed frontal margin compared to a rounded in *Paradoxides*. They clearly mark the separation of the genus *Plutonides* from *Paradoxides*.

# *Plutonides hicksii* (Salter, 1866b) (Figs 13; 14; 15)

*Paradoxides hicksii* Salter, 1866b: 299, 300, pl. 4, fig. 12. — Salter & Hicks 1869: 55, pl. 3, figs 1-10. — Linnarsson 1882: 14, 15, pl. 3, figs 1-5. — Grönwall 1902: 117, 118. — Illing 1915: 428, 429, pl. 36, figs 1-7. — Nicholas 1915: 467, 469.

Paradoxides hicksii var. palpebrosus – Linnarsson 1879: 9-11, pl. 1, figs 5-11.

*Paradoxides hicksi* – Cobbold 1913: 47, 48, pl. 4, figs 1-5. — Lake 1934: 196, pl. 25, figs 4-9 (?);1935: 197-200, pl. 36, figs 1, 2, 2a. — Hutchinson 1952: 76, pl. 2, fig. 5(?); 1962: 113, 114, pl. 18,

figs 4-12; pl. 19, figs 1, 2. — Egorova *et al.* 1982: 77, pl. 1, figs 4-6; pl. 2, figs 10, 11; pl. 3, figs 11, 12.

*Hydrocephalus hicksii* – Fletcher 1972b: 93, 94, pl. 47, fig. 15; pl. 48, figs 2-6; pl. 49, figs 1-5, 7-10 (?).

Paradoxides (Paradoxides) hicksii - Morris & Fortey 1985: 7, fig. 2.

Paradoxides (Hydrocephalus) hicksii – Martin & Dean 1988: 19, pl. 3, figs 4, 7.

*Plutonides hicksii* – Whittington *et al.* 1997: figs 306.1a-c. — Young *et al.* 2002: pl. 4, figs xi, xii. — Rushton *et al.* 2007: fig. 8e (*non* Vaněk *et al.* 1999: 36, pl. 1, figs 1-6).

Paradoxides (= Plutonides) hicksii (sic) – Bridge et al. 1998: pl. 8, figs g-h, j.

Paradoxides (subgen. nov.) hicksii (sic) - Fletcher 2006: pl. 34, figs 6-8.

Paradoxides (Mawddachites) hicksii – Fletcher 2007: 48, figs 8A-F. — Rees et al. 2014: figs 1.5g, h, j.

Mawddachites hicksii - Weidner & Nielsen 2014: 80, 81, fig. 48.

Paradoxides aff. hicksi – Bushuev & Makarova 2016: 20, 21, pl. 1, figs 7, 7a.



Fig. 14. — *Plutonides hicksii* (Salter, 1866b): **A**, internal cast of a juvenile cranidium, smallest described specimen in this work with long preglabellar field (NFM F-3177 from 10.27 m); **B**, internal cast of a juvenile cranidium, deep glabellar furrows, ornamentation on fixigenae preserved (NFM F-3220 from 7.59 m); **C**, internal cast of a juvenile cranidium, deep glabellar furrows (NFM F-3026 from 9.63 m); **D**, internal cast of a juvenile cranidium, with occipital node and ornamentation on fixigenae preserved (NFM F-3176 from 10.27 m); **E**, internal cast of a juvenile cranidium, short preglabellar field, occipital node preserved (NFM F-3067 from 3.33 m); **F**, internal cast of a juvenile cranidium, short preglabellar field, glabellar furrows less deeply impressed than in junger specimens A-D, S2 furrow shallower axial to discontinuous (NFM F-3947 from 4.28 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.



Fig. 15. – *Plutonides hicksii* (Salter, 1866b): **A**, internal cast of a cranidium, occipital node preserved, with a vertical groove crossing the cranidium (NFM F-2781 from 4.52 m); **B**, internal cast of a cranidium with preserved exoskleleton (NFM F-3894 from 2.96 m); **C**, internal cast of a cranidium, occipital node and ornamentation on fixigenae preserved (NFM F-2861 from 2.53); **D**, internal cast of a cranidium, with a vertical groove crossing the cranidium (NFM F-3258 from 4.06 m). Scale bar: 3 mm.

HOLOTYPE. — Specimen no. BGS(GSM) 10113, British Geological Survey, London, United Kingdom by original designation, from the Clogau Formation, Menevian Group of the Mawddach valley, Gwynedd, Wales, United Kingdom.

DIAGNOSIS. — S1 shallowing and curving backwards axially, S2 and S3 curving forward, S3 and S4 short, all well-defined; occipital ring broadens axially; pygidium nearly circular in outline with wide axis ending in a blunt point at about half pygidial length (based on Lake 1934, 1935; Fletcher 1972b, with modifications).

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — 101 cranidia and 21 pygidia of *Plutonides hicksii* (for NFM numbers see Appendix 1). All specimens range between 1.96 and 10.37 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — *Plutonides hicksii* is a regional biostratigraphical marker for the middle Cambrian (Howell 1925; Lake 1935; Hutchinson 1962) and has been documented from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the *Paradoxides hicksi* and *Paradoxides davidis* zones (Hutchinson 1962; Whittington *et al.* 1997; Fletcher 2006, 2007). Further occurrences are in southeastern Canada in Nova Scotia (Hutchinson 1952), United Kingdom in Wales (*Ptychagnostus gibbus* to *Tomagnostus fissus* zones; Salter & Hicks 1869; Lake 1934; Thomas *et al.* 1984; Whittington *et al.* 1997) and England (*Ptychagnostus gibbus* to *Tomagnostus fissus* zones; Cobbold 1913; Thomas *et al.* 1984; Bridge *et al.* 1998), Denmark (*Acidusus atavus* Zone; Grönwall 1902; Weidner & Nielsen 2014) and Sweden (*Tomagnostus fissus* and *Ptychagnostus atavus* zones; Linnarsson 1879, 1882; Westergård 1953).

# DESCRIPTION

The cranidia range from 3.0 mm to 51.0 mm width and from 2.5 mm to 41.0 mm length. The width of the pygidia varies from 5.0 to 28.0 mm and from 4.0 mm to 24.0 mm in length. Both cranidia and pygidia are well-preserved as internal casts and moulds. Some cranidial internal cast have a groove running from the frontal margin across the glabella towards the outer margin of the middle of the occipital ring. In at least two thirds of the specimens, the occipital ring bears a small node. On the cranidia, the finely reticulate, ridged surface ornamentation is best preserved on the genae. In smaller specimens, the glabellar furrows are more deeply impressed, with S2 almost transglabellar. The glabella is short with a long preglabellar field towards the outer margin. The glabella in the anterior third is more domed than in bigger specimens. In specimens with an occipital ring, the latter also bears a small node.

#### Remarks

*Plutonides hicksii* has been assigned to different genera (e.g., Fletcher 1972b, 2007; Whittington *et al.* 1997; Geyer *et al.* 2022). It was first described as *Paradoxides*, but this assignment is outdated as discussed above (see remarks *Plutonides*). Šnajdr (1958) assigned *Hydrocephalus hicksii hicksii as* a species within the genus *Hydrocephalus* without further discussion. Later, Fletcher (1972b) reported the species as *Hydrocephalus hicksii*, followed by Martin & Dean (1988) who assigned it to *Paradoxides (Hydrocephalus) hicksii*, but no discussion was presented by the authors. According to the diagnosis for the genus *Hydrocephalus*, given by Šnajdr (1958) and Whittington *et al.* (1997), the genus is characterized by a transglabellar S2 furrow, a subcircular glabellar front, short palpebral lobes not extending to close towards the posterior margin, and a posterior margin curving forward to an acute inner spine angle. These characteristics do not match Pl. hicksii. Whittington et al. (1997) mentioned that supposed examples described as the genus Hydrocephalus, from eastern Canada are referred to Plutonides. Morris (1988) marked the generic reference of 'Paradoxides' hicksii as provisional, pointing out the problem of the generic ranking of the species. Fletcher (2007) rejected the assignment of Pl. hicksii to Plutonides as done by Whittington et al. (1997). He referred to Fletcher et al. (2005), who described the cranidium of Acadoparadoxides as indistinguishable from Plutonides. He based his argument on a reconstructed photograph of the distorted lectotype of the type species of *Plutonia sedgwickii* Hicks, 1871. The image shows a small-sized specimen with deep, transglabellar S1 and S2 furrows, a rounded glabellar front and relatively long palpebral lobes. The bigger cranidia of the type species of *Plutonides* figured by Fletcher et al. (2005) have an S2 furrow that shallows axially to a degree in which they are not transglabellar any more, and palpebral lobes reaching from S1 to L1. These characteristics differ from the characteristics given for Acadoparadoxides, e.g., a transglabellar S2 furrow, long palpebral lobes reaching from L0 to the posterior marginal furrow (Snajdr 1958; Whittington et al. 1997). The assignment of Plutonides as a senior synonym of Acadoparadoxides as done by Fletcher et al. (2005) is not followed here. Rather, we follow the assignment of the species Pl. hicksii to the genus Plutonides as done by e.g., Whittington et al. (1997) and Young et al. (2002). Whittington et al. (1997) described the meraspis stage of *Plutonides* and documented a short frontal lobe, a long preglabellar field, and deep glabellar furrows. All these characteristics are present in small-sized specimens of Pl. hicksii in this work (e.g., NFM F-3177, NFM F-3220, NFM F-3026). It is noteworthy that various authors (e.g., Cobbold 1913; Lake 1934, 1935; Egorova et al. 1982; Bushuev & Makarova 2016) have referred to Pl. hicksii as "Pl. hicksi", with no further discussion.

Paradoxides hicksii var. palpebrosus described by Linnarsson (1879) matches Pl. hicksii and is here taken as a synonym of Pl. hicksii. Lake (1934: fig. 4) figured a hypostome, and Hutchinson (1952: pl. 2, fig. 5) a fragmentary librigena, but these assignments are questionable. This is also the case for two cranidia illustrated in Fletcher (1972b: pl. 47, fig. 15 and pl. 48, fig. 2) which are poorly preserved, both with a deep crack crossing the specimens. Cranidia figured by Vaněk et al. (1999: pl. 1, figs 1-3, 5, 6) have transglabellar S1 and S2 furrows and no S3 or S4 furrow. The glabellar furrows do not match the characteristic four furrows of Pl. hicksii. Vaněk et al.'s plate 1, figure 3 only shows a hypostome and plate 1, figure 4, illustrates a pygidium. Both pictures have a poor resolution and the pygidium appears to be elongate and not as rounded as in Pl. hicksii. Consequently, all illustrated specimens are excluded from Pl. hicksii. Instead, their characteristics match Pa. davidis and specimens illustrated on plate 1, figures 1-6, are assigned to this species.



Fig. 16. – Clarella venusta (Billings, 1872): A, mould of a cranidium (NFM F-3096 from 16.25 m); B, disarticulated internal cast of a cranidium (NFM F-3548 from 16.25 m). Scale bar: 1 mm.

#### Family CENTROPLEURIDAE Angelin, 1854

# Genus Clarella Howell, 1933

*Clarella* Howell, 1933: 219. — Type species: *Anapolenus venustus* Billings, 1872, designated as lectotype by Howell (1933).

DIAGNOSIS. — Glabella with great forward expansion anterior of S1; palpebral lobes slightly sigmoidal, reaching almost genal angle; thorax with 15 segments; pygidium without border, up to four pairs of marginal spines; short axis with one to two axial rings (based on Howell 1933; Whittington *et al.* 1997, with modifications).

#### Remarks

*Clarella* is distinguished from *Anapolenus* Salter, 1864a by sigmoidally shaped palpebral lobes that almost reach the genal angle. The palpebral lobes of *Anapolenus* curve in a uniform arc, reaching the genal angle. The pygidium of *Clarella* lacks a border, while that of *Anapolenus* is bordered (Howell 1933; Whittington *et al.* 1997).

# *Clarella venusta* (Billings, 1872) (Fig. 16)

#### Anapolenus venustus Billings, 1872: 474-476, fig. 11; 1874: 73, 74, fig. 42.

*Clarella venusta* – Hutchinson 1962: 111, 112, pl. 17, figs 7-10. — Martin & Dean 1988: 19, pl. 1, fig. 13. — Whittington *et al.* 1997: figs 308.1a, b. — Fletcher 2006: pl. 34, fig. 11 (*partim*).

LECTOTYPE. — Specimen GSC No. 284a, Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Canada, designated as lectotype by Whittington *et al.* (1997). From Chapel Arm, Trinity Bay, Newfoundland, Canada.

DIAGNOSIS. — Glabella not reaching anterior margin; L4 short; eye lobes from L1 to S4; pygidium with two pairs of short marginal spines.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — Three cranidia of *Clarella venusta* (NFM F-3069; NFM F-3548; NFM F-3657). All specimens range between

16.25 and 16.27 m (Fig. 2) within the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — *Clarella venusta* is rare in the middle Cambrian and only reported from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the *Paradoxides hicksi* and *Paradoxides davidis* zones (Hutchinson 1962; Martin & Dean 1988; Fletcher 2006).

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidium (NFM F-3096) is 22.2 mm in width and 15.8 mm in length. The width of the glabella is 10.3 mm and the length is 10.8 mm. Due to the preservation of NFM F-3548; NFM F-3657 a solid measurment was not possible. The three cranidia are preserved as one disarticulated internal cast and two moulds.

#### Remarks

The cranidium of *Clarella venusta* is similar to *Clarella impar* (Hicks, 1872). The palpebral lobes of *C. venusta* are narrower and curved more sigmoidally than those of *C. impar* (Cook, 1997). The librigena illustrated by Fletcher (2006: pl. 34, fig. 12) is disarticulated and its suture of the librigena does not match that of *C. venusta*. It is here excluded from the species.

Order CORYNEXOCHIDA Kobayashi, 1935 Family CORYNEXOCHIDAE Angelin, 1854 Subfamily ACONTHEINAE Westergård, 1950

Genus Acontheus Angelin, 1851

*Acontheus* Angelin, 1851: 5. — Type species: *Acontheus acutangulus* Angelin, 1851, by original designation.

Aneucanthus – Angelin 1851: X.

Aneuacanthus - Barrande 1857: 17.

DIAGNOSIS. — Cranidium with narrow margin; glabella expands anteriorly, extends to anterior margin; pygidium subelliptical; pygidial axis of three or four axial rings, not reaching pygidial margin; wide pleural furrows extend to border with marked posterior deflection (based on Angelin 1851; Jago *et al.* 2012, with modifications).

# Remarks

Angelin (1851) first described the genus *Acontheus* and renamed it in the same publication. He introduced the name *Aneucanthus* for the genus, but as this was preoccupied by the name of a snake, he renamed the trilobite *Aconthias*. Barrande (1857) used *Aneuacanthus* for the genus, which was also used by Lindström in the second edition of Angelin's (1851) work that was revised and published as Angelin (1878). We suggest that *Aneuacanthus* was incorrectly spelled as Barrande (1857) used the name without any further discussion or explanation. According to Westergård (1950: 8) *Acontheus* can be distinguished from *Aconthias* and therefore the original name *Acontheus* is used herein.

# Acontheus inarmatus Hutchinson, 1962 (Fig. 17)

Acontheus inarmatus Hutchinson, 1962: 109, pl. 16, figs 8a, b, 9.

*Acontheus inarmatus minutus* Sdzuy, 2000: 307, pl. 3, figs 1-5 (*partim*). — Geyer 2010: unnamed plate p. 84, fig. 7. — Heuse *et al.* 2010: fig. 4.16.

HOLOTYPE. — Specimen no. GSC No. 12053, Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, Canada, by original designation, from the Manuels River Formation on the north shore of Highland Cove, Trinity Bay, Newfoundland, Canada.

DIAGNOSIS. — Glabella expands anteriorly up to three times wider than posterior part; faint glabellar furrows; rounded, inflated fixigenae; rounded genal angle; punctuate exoskeleton.

MATERIAL EXAMINED. — 14 cranidia of *Acontheus inarmatus* (for NFM numbers see Appendix 1). All specimens range between 15.63 and 16.67 m (Fig. 2) of the Manuels River Formation, type locality, Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada.

OCCURRENCE. — *Acontheus inarmatus* is a rare middle Cambrian taxon, reported from southeastern Canada, eastern Newfoundland, in the *Paradoxides davidis* Zone (Hutchinson 1962). It has further been documented from Germany (Sdzuy 2000).

#### DESCRIPTION

The cranidia range from 1.4 mm to 4.1 mm in width and from 1.3 mm to 3.9 mm in length. The cranidia are well-preserved as internal casts and moulds. The glabella is more domed than the cheeks and glabellar furrows are faintly preserved in two specimens (NFM F-3143 and NFM F-3659). The specimens have a smooth to slightly punctuate surface and none has the exoskeleton preserved. Two specimens bear an occipital node (NFM F-3211 and NFM F-3701) and three are pyritized.

# Remarks

Sdzuy (2000) introduced *Acontheus inarmatus minutus* based on a smoother exoskeleton than in Hutchinson's (1962) specimens and a slightly differing occipital ring. Sdzuy (2000) acknowledged that these differences in eight cranidia and one pygidium, may have resulted from poor preservation of his specimens. We also refer to these differences as preservational and the result of intraspecific variation and assign *Ac. inarmatus minutus* as a synonym. The pygidium figured by Sdzuy (2000: pl. 3, fig. 6) does not match the characteristics of *Acontheus* and is here excluded from the genus. Geyer (2010) and Heuse *et al.* (2010) followed Sdzuy (2000) in applying the subspecies and illustrated both one of Sdzuy's (2000) cranidia. The cranidia show the characteristics of *Ac. inarmatus*, so the specimens are here assigned to the species.

# BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

Early studies on the Manuels River Formation mixed lithostratigraphic and biostratigraphic concepts and misleading terms were introduced such as "Paradoxides Beds in the upper part" (Murray 1869), "Horizon of Paradoxides davidis" (Matthew 1886), or "Subzone of Paradoxides davidis" (Matthew 1896). The first detailed bed-by-bed study of the Manuels River Formation was done by Howell (1925). This author also introduced the Paradoxides hicksi and Paradoxides davidis zones within today's Manuels River Formation using these to define formations. Hutchinson (1962) applied Howell's (1925) biozonation. Later, Martin & Dean (1988) studied trilobites and acritarches. They redefined the older zonation and introduced three biozones based on agnostids, the Tomagnostus fissus and Ptychagostus atavus Zone, the Hypagnostus parvifrons and the Ptychagnostus puntuosus zones. With the most recent study by Hildenbrand et al. (2021) four interval biozones based on the contained agnostid trilobites were introduced (from base to top): the Tomagnostus fissus Zone, the Hypagnostus parvifrons Zone, the Ptychagnostus atavus Zone and the Ptychagnotus puntuosus Zone (Fig. 2). This biozonal division based on agnostid trilobites is here maintained but supplemented and refined by the ranges of polymerid trilobites (Fig. 2).

# Tomagnostus fissus Zone

Polymerids are most abundant and diverse in this basal zone of the Manuels River section (478 specimens, 7 out of 10 described species). Agraulos ceticephalus first appears abundantly (first occurrence; FO) at 1.94 m of the section and is common to abundant in the section to its last occurrence (LO) at 9.11 m. Below the T. fissus Zone 204 specimens of Ag. ceticephalus occur within an acme of c. 1 m range between 1.94 m and 3.11 m of the section. *Plutonides hicksii* first occurs at 1.96 m and is rare to common within the T. fissus Zone up to its LO at 10.37 m. The FO of Jincella? applanata is at 4.44 m of the section. This species is rare in the decimeters above the FO but increasingly abundant towards the top of the T. fissus Zone. A single specimen of Bailiella aequalis was identified at 5.90 m within this Zone. Bailiella tenuicincta is a rare taxon which first occurs at 8.52 m. Paradoxides davidis and Meneviella venulosa are also rare and first occur at 9.56 m and 10.00 m, respectively.



FIG. 17. — Acontheus inarmatus Hutchinson, 1962: **A**, internal cast of a cranidium, faint glabellar furrows preserved, slightly punctuated ornamentation (NFM F-3143 from 16.67 m); **B**, internal cast of a cranidium, occipital node preserved (NFM F-3211 from 15.63 m); **C**, internal cast of a cranidium, occipital node preserved (NFM F-3211 from 15.63 m); **C**, internal cast of a cranidium, occipital node preserved (NFM F-3167 from 15.68 m); **D**, internal cast of a cranidium, slightly punctuated ornamentation (NFM F-3150 from 16.67 m); **E**, internal cast of a cranidium, glabellar furrows on left side of glabella slightly visible (NFM F-3659 from 16.67 m). Scale bars: 1 mm.

## Hypagnostus parvifrons Zone

Towards the top of the *H. parvifrons* Zone *Paradoxides davidis* is very abundant (48 specimens) between 11.66 and

11.90 m of the Manuels River section. Other polymerids are notably absent, even though *J.? applanata*, *B. tenuic-incta* and *M. venulosa* appear beneath and above this zone.

# PTYCHAGNOSTUS ATAVUS ZONE

Jincella? applanata is a rare taxon in the lower half of the zone but it increases in abundance in the upper part and reaches very high abundancy close to the LO of the species at 16.67 m. Bailiella tenuicincta is rare at its LO also at 16.67 m. Paradoxides davidis is very abundant at the bottom of the Pt. atavus Zone and is rare to common throughout the zone. Meneviella venulosa is common between 15.68 m and 15.76 m and rare above to its LO at 16.55 m. A single specimen of Sao hirsuta was identified at 15.68 m and thus close to the top of the Pt. atavus zone. Acontheus inarmatus occurs commonly from its FO at 15.63 m to LO at 16.67 m, while Clarella venusta is another rare species ranging from its FO at 16.25 m to LO at 16.27 m of the Manuels River section.

# PTYCHAGNOSTUS PUNCTUOSUS ZONE

*Paradoxides davidis* is the only polymerid described from the zone. It is a rare to common taxon with a LO at 17.89 m. Hildenbrand *et al.* (2021) noted that the top of the *Pt. punctuosus* zone is not within the Manuels River Formation but in the not yet formally defined Elliot Cove formation (Fig. 2).

# DISCUSSION

The collection of Howell (1925) is considered lost (Hildenbrand et al. 2021) and there are no taxonomic descriptions and photographic images of most of the taxa reported by Howell (1925), making it difficult to reproduce his taxonomic work. However, the biozones introduced by Howell (1925) appear to be applicable and were used here. Howell (1925) defined the base of the Paradoxides hicksi Zone at his bed 36, which corresponds to the base of the Manuels River Formation as defined by Hutchinson (1962). Plutonides hicksii is here first described at the 1.96 m level of the section, and is thus present above Howell's (1925) FO of Pl. hicksii. According to Austermann (2016) the FO of Paradoxides davidis in bed 93 (Howell 1925) corresponds to the 9.56 m level used here for the FO of the taxon. The FO of Pa. davidis as established by Howell (1925) thus corresponds to the FO as identified here, thus allowing for accurate correlations of Howell's (1925) work with the agnostid interval biozones introduced by Hildenbrand et al. (2021), to which we here refer to.

# *Tomagnostus fissus* Zone

Hildenbrand *et al.* (2021) established this zone as a local interval biozone. The *T. fissus* Zone is correlated with the upper part of the *Pa. hicksi* Zone and the lower 1.4 m of the *Pa. davidis* Zone as introduced by Howell (1925). *Pl. hicksii* is further known from the *T. fissus* Zones of the United Kingdom (Thomas *et al.* 1984) and Sweden (Westergård 1953). Howell (1925) did not mention *Agraulos ceticephalus* but described *Agraulos socialis* (Billings, 1872) within the *Pa. hicksi* Zone, but no systematic work and illustrations were presented by the author. We suggest that Howell (1925) misinterpreted the species. Our interpretation is based on the fact that the range of *Ag. socialis* roughly correlates with our range of *Ag.* 

*ceticephalus*. In addition, specimens of *Ag. socialis* previously described by Fletcher (2017) from Newfoundland, are here identified as *Ag. ceticephalus*. We here report a single occurrence of *B. aequalis* within this Zone. The species is further known from the *Pt. punctuosus* Zone from Sweden (Westergård 1953) and Denmark (Rudolph 1994).

# Hypagnostus parvifrons Zone

The zone correlates with the lower part of the *Pa. davidis* Zone as introduced by Howell (1925). The *Hypagnostus parvifrons* Zone lacks polymerid trilobites except for *Pa. davidis* which shows acme abundance near the upper zonal boundary.

# PTYCHAGNOSTUS ATAVUS ZONE

The zone correlates with the middle part of the *Pa. davidis* Zone as introduced by Howell (1925). Hildenbrand *et al.* (2021) documented abundant agnostid trilobites from this Zone, in particular from levels rare in polymerids. It is remarkable that the LOs of *J.? applanata, B. tenuicincta, M. venulosa,* and *Ac. inarmatus* are all close to the top of the *Pt. atavus* Zone. Within the zone *Sao hirsuta* is here reported. This is the first occurrence of the taxon from Avalonia. Elsewhere, the species is well known from the *Eccaparadoxides pusillus* Zone of the Czech Republic (Šnajdr 1958). *Clarella venusta* was described from this zone and is further only known from the *Pa. hicksi* Zone of Newfoundland (Hutchinson 1962; Fletcher 2006).

# PTYCHAGNOSTUS PUNCTUOSUS ZONE

The zone correlates with the upper Pa. davidis Zone as introduced by Howell (1925). Pa. davidis is the only polymerid trilobite species reported here from this zone. Hildenbrand et al. (2021) also remarked on the occurrence of only one agnostid species in this zone. As only two trilobite species (Pt. punctuosus and Pa. davidis) are reported from this zone (also see Hildenbrand et al. 2021), trilobite diversity must have decreased substantially compared to the zones below. The occurrence of Pa. davidis presented here matches with the range in Howell (1925). Paradoxides davidis is further known from the Pt. punctuosus Zone of Sweden (Westergård 1953). Howell (1925) also reported Paradoxides rugulosus Hawle & Corda, 1847; Centropleura henrici (Salter, 1864a); Solenopleuropsis (Solenopleura) variolaris (Salter, 1864a); Solenopleura communis (Billings, 1874); Holocephalina primordialis Salter, 1864a; and Corynexochus minor (Walcott, 1889), but these taxa were not identified in the present study. As noted above, Howell's (1925) study lacks images and taxonomic descriptions of almost all his taxa, all specimens of which are lost, and the names are here interpreted as dubious.

Polymerid trilobites have commonly been used to define biozones on Avalonia (e.g., Howell 1925; Landing & Westrop 1998a; Fletcher 2006) for historical reasons, their abundance, and due to their significance for regional correlation. More recently, however, agnostid trilobites are increasingly used for the chronostratigraphic division of the middle Cambrian, which is due to their abundant occurrence, their cosmopolitan distribution in open-marine facies and relatively short stratigraphic ranges (Robinson 1984; Peng & Robinson 2000; Hildenbrand 2016; Hildenbrand *et al.* 2021). Based on solid systematic documentation, we here suggest that a use of polymerids and agnostids combined (Fig. 2), provides a new chronostratigraphic tool for solid regional and intercontinental correlation.

#### CONCLUSION

The Drumian (middle Cambrian, Miaolingian) Manuels River Formation, Newfoundland, Canada comprises a well-preserved assemblage of polymerid trilobites, which is comparable with that of other parts of Avalonia, but also Baltica and even Gondwana. The Pa. davidis and Pa. hicksi zones are widely used on Avalonia and can be well correlated with established agnostid biozones, i.e. (from base to top) the Tomagnostus fissus, Hypagnostus parvifrons, Ptychagnostus atavus, and Ptychagnostus punctuosus zones. Agnostida are majorly used today for biostratigraphic assignment and correlation of the middle Cambrian. Nevertheless, a combination of both polymerid and agnostid trilobites may provide a new opportunity for refined intercontinental correlation of the study area. While Agraulos ceticephalus, Jincella? applanata, Bailiella tenuicincta, Meneviella venulosa, Paradoxides davidis, Plutonides hicksii, Clarella venusta and Acontheus inarmatus have previously been described from Newfoundland, Bailiella aequalis has not been illustrated yet and Sao hirsuta is described from eastern Avalonia for the first time. Both, the genus Agraulos and the clade of Paradoxides sensu lato, are in the need of comprehensive revisions. Paradoxides (Mawddachites) is here considered to be a synonym of *Plutonides* and *Pl. hicksii* is here assigned to represent the latter genus. Agraulos also requires revision and the relationship between Ag. ceticephalus and Ag. longicephalus cannot be fully resolved.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the ODWIN gGmbH for generous financial support. The authors acknowledge support by the Ministry of Science, Research and the Arts of the federal state Baden-Wuerttemberg through Community-spezifische Forschungsdatenpublikation. Logistical support was kindly provided by the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, the Manuels River Natural Heritage Society, and the town of Conception Bay South. The authors appreciate the help of M. Drake, D. Mercer, N. DjanChekar, G. Gallagher, S. Greene, E. Slaney, N. Noel, M. Boychuck (all Newfoundland), M. Burchard, and P.D. Emondt (both Heidelberg). An earlier version of the manuscript benefited from suggestions and reviews from Lukáš Laibl and Ed Landing.

#### Authors contributions

The study is based on fieldwork by AH and GA in 2012 and 2013. The study was designed by TU, AH and GA. Palaeontological interpretations and implications developed from discussions with AH, GA and WS. The manuscript was prepared with input from all co-authors.

# REFERENCES

- ÁLVARO J. J. & VIZCAÏNO D. 2018. The Furongian break-up (rift/drift) unconformity in the central Anti-Atlas, Morocco. *Journal of Iberian Geology* 44: 567-587. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s41513-018-0066-2
- ÁLVARO J. J., VIZCAĨNO D., KORDULE V., FATKA O. & PILLOLA G. L. 2004. — Some solenopleurine trilobites from the Languedocian (Late Mid Cambrian) of Western Europe. *Geobios* 37: 135-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geobios.2003.03.009
- ANDERSON M. M. 1987. Stratigraphy of Cambrian rocks at Bacon Cove, Duffs, and Manuels River, Conception Bay, Avalon Peninsula, eastern Newfoundland, *in* ROY D. C. (ed), Northeastern Section of the Geological Society of America. *Geological Society of America, Centennial Field Guide* 5: 467-472. https:// doi.org/10.1130/0- 8137-5405-4.467
- ANGELIN N. P. 1851. Palaeontologia Svecica, Pars I: Iconographia Crustaceorum Formationis Transitionis. Weigel, Leipzig and Lund, 24 p.
- ANGELIN N. P. 1854. Palaeontologia Scandinavica, Pars II: Crustacea Formationis Transitionis. Weigel, Leipzig and Lund, 92 p.
- ANGELIN N. P. 1878. Palaeontologia Scandinavica, Pars I: Crustacea Formationis Transitionis. Apud Samson & Wallin, Holmiae, 96 p. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.14890
- AUSTERMANN G. 2016. Sedimentology and Depositional Environment of the Middle Cambrian Manuels River Formation in the Type Locality at Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada. Unpublished PhD thesis, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, 356 p.
- BABCOCK L. E. 1994. Systematics and phylogenetics of polymeroid trilobites from the Henson Gletscher and Kap Stanton formations (middle Cambrian), North Greenland. *Grønlands Geologiske* Undersøgelse, Bulletin 169: 79-127.
- BABCOCK L. E., ROBISON R. A., REES M. N., PENG S. & SALTZMAN M. R. 2007. — The Global boundary Stratotype Section and Point (Gssp) of the Drumian Stage (Cambrian) in the Drum Mountains, Utah, USA. *Episodes* 30 (2): 85-95. https://doi. org/10.18814/epiiugs/2007/v30i2/003
- BARRANDE J. 1846. Notice préliminaire sur le système silurien et les Trilobites de Bohême. Hirschfeld, Leipzig, 96 p. https://doi. org/10.5962/bhl.title.9142
- BARRANDE J. 1847. Über das Hypostoma und Epistoma, zwei analoge, aber verschiedene Organe der Trilobiten. *Neues Jahrbuch* für Mineralogie, Geognosie Geologie und Petrefakten-Kunde 1847: 385-399. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/36376006
- BARRANDE J. 1852a. Système silurien du Centre de la Bohême. 1ère Partie: Planches. Crustacés: Trilobites. *Recherches paléontologiques* 1: 49 pls. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/47135930
- BARRANDE J. 1852b. Système silurien du Centre de la Bohême. 1ère Partie: Texte. Crustacés: Trilobites. *Recherches paléontologiques* 1: 1-935. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/57395510
- BARRANDE J. 1857. Parallèle entre les dépôts siluriens de Bohême et de Scandinavie. Abhandlungen der Königlichen Böhmischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaft 5 (9): 1-67.
- BENTLEY C. J. & JAGO J. B. 2004. Wuaniid trilobites of Australia. *Memoirs of the Association of Australasian Palaeontologists* 30: 179-191.
- BERGSTRÖM J. & LEVI-SETTI R. 1978. Phenotypic variation in the middle Cambrian trilobite *Paradoxides davidis* Salter at Manuels, Se Newfoundland. *Geologica et Palaeontologica* 12 (1): 1-40.
- BEYRICH E. 1846. Untersuchungen über Trilobiten: zweites Stück als Fortsetzung zu der Abhandlung "Ueber einige böhmische Trilobiten". G. Reimer, Berlin, 37 p.
- BILLBERG G. J. 1820. Enumeratio Insectorum in Museo. Typis Gadelianis, Stockholm, 138 p. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl. title.49763
- BILLINGS E. 1872. On some fossils from the primordial rocks of Newfoundland. *Canadian Naturalist and Quarterly Journal* of Science 6: 465-479. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.38279

- BILLINGS E. 1874. On some new species of fossils from the primordial rocks of Newfoundland. *Palaeozoic Fossils* 2 (1): 64-78. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/43230043
- BRIDGE D. M., CARNEY J. N., LAWLEY R. S. & RUSHTON A. W. A. 1998. — Geology of the Country around Coventry and Nuneaton. Stationery Office, London, 185 p.
- BRONGNIART A. 1822. Les Trilobites, in BRONGNIART A. & DESMAREST A.-G. (eds), Histoire naturelle des crustacés fossiles, sous les rapports zoologiques et géologiques. F.-G. Levrault, Paris and Strasbourg: 1-65. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.66799
- BUCHHOLZ A. 1991. Mittelkambrische Geschiebe vom Bornholm-Typ (Hyolithenkalk) mit Opsidiscus rugiensis n. sp. und einer reichen Begleitfauna. Archiv für Geschiebekunde 1 (3/4): 217-224.
- BUCHHOLZ A. 1997. Trilobiten mittelkambrischer Geschiebe aus Mecklenburg und Vorpommern (Norddeutschland). Archiv für Geschiebekunde 2 (4): 185-264.
- BUSHUEV E. B. & MAKAROVA A. L. 2016. Middle Cambrian polymerid trilobites of the Chaya Formation from Ust-Mayakaya 366 well (Southeastern Siberian platform). *Geology and Mineral Resources of Siberia* 3 (27): 11-23. https://doi.org/10.20403/2078-0575- 2016-3-11-23
- CHIRIVELLA MARTORELL J. B., LIÑÁN E., AHLBERG P. & GOZALO R. 2015. — A blind trilobite with Baltic affinities from Cambrian Series 3 of the Iberian Chains, Spain, and its stratigraphical and paleobiogeographical significance. *GFF* 137 (3): 175-180. https://doi.org/10.1080/11035897.2015.1061593
- COBBOLD E. S. 1911. Trilobites from the Paradoxides beds of Comley (Shropshire). The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London 67 (3): 282-300. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl. jgs.1911.067.01-04.12
- COBBOLD E. S. 1913. Two Species of *Paradoxides* from Neve's Castle (Shropshire). *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society* of London 69: 45-50. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.jgs.1913.069.01-04.05
- COBBOLD E. S. & POCOCK R. W. 1934. The Cambrian area of Rushton (Shropshire). *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 223: 305-409. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.1934.0008
- COOK A. F. 1997. Temporary exposure of the Middle Cambrian, Warwickshire, England. *Geological Magazine* 114 (1): 33-40. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800043405
- COTTON T. J. 2001. The phylogeny and systematics of blind Cambrian ptychoparioid trilobites. *Palaeontology* 44 (1): 167-207. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-4983.00176
- COURTESSOLE R. 1973. Le Cambrien moyen de la Montagne Noire : Biostratigraphie. Laboratoire de Géologie CEARN de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, Toulouse, 248 p.
- DAWSON J. W. 1868. Acadian Heology. The Geological Structure, Organic remains, and Mineral resources of Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island. Second Edition. MacMillan and Company, London, 694 p. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl. title.18064
- De VERNEUIL M. M. & BARRANDE J. 1860. Description des fossiles. *Bulletin de la Société géologique de France* 17 (2): 526-554. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/54397903
- DEAN W. T. 2005. Trilobites from the Çal Tepe Formation (Cambrian), Near Seydişehir, Central Taurides, southwestern Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences* 14 (1): 1-71.
- EGOROVA L. I., SHABANOV Y. Y., PEGEL T. V., SAVITSKY V. E., SUCHOV S. S. & TCHERNYSHEVA N. E. 1982. — The stratotype area of the Maya Stage (middle Cambrian of the south-eastern Siberian Platform). *Interdepartmental Stratigraphic Committee* of the USSR, Transactions 8: 1-145.
- FATKA O. 1990. Das Kambrium von Skryje und Týrovice, in WIEDER W. K. (ed). Klassissche Fundtsellen der Paläontologie. Band 2: 23. Fundgebiete und Aufschlüsse in Dänemark, Deutschland, England, Frankreich, Österreich, Schweiz und Tschechoslowakei. Goldschenk-Verlag Korb, Germany: 12-17.

- FATKA O. 2011. Kambrium na Křivoklátsku. *Bohemia Centralis* 31: 33-47.
- FATKA O., BUDIL P., CRÓNIER C., CUVELIER J., LAIBL L., OUDOIRE T., POLECHOVÁ M. & FATKOVÁ L. 2015. — Cambrian fossils from the Barrandian area (Czech Republic) housed in the Musée d'Histoire naturelle de Lille. *Carnets de géologie* 15 (9): 89-101. https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/56878
- FLETCHER T. P. 1972a. Geology and Lower to Middle Cambrian Trilobite Faunas of the Southwest Avalon, Newfoundland, Part One, Cape St. Mary's map-Area, Newfoundland. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 236 p.
- FLETCHER T. P. 1972b. Geology and Lower to Middle Cambrian Trilobite Faunas of the Southwest Avalon, Newfoundland, Part Two, Palaeontology & Bibliography. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 294 p.
  FLETCHER T. P. 2006. — Bedrock Geology of the Cape St. Mary's
- FLETCHER T. P. 2006. Bedrock Geology of the Cape St. Mary's Peninsula, Southwest Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland (includes parts of Nts map sheets 1M/1, 1N/4, 1L/16 and 1K13). Report, 06-02. Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Geological Survey, Department of Natural Resources, St. John's, 117 p.
- FLETCHER T. P. 2007. Correlating the zones of 'Paradoxides hicksii' and 'Paradoxides davidis' in Cambrian Series 3. Memoirs of the Association of Australasian Palaeontologists 33: 35-56. https://doi.org/10.1080/03115510701586855
- FLETCHER T. P. 2017. Agraulos ceticephalus and other Cambrian Trilobites in the subfamily Agraulinae from Bohemia, Newfoundland and Wales. Papers in Palaeontology 3 (2):175-217. https:// doi.org/10.1002/spp2.1071
- FLETCHER T. P. & GREENE B. A. 2013. An unusual mid-Cambrian faunule from St. John's Island, Fortune Bay, Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 50 (5): 503-518. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2012-0119
- FLETCHER T. P., THEOKRITOFF G., LORD G. S. & ZEOLI G. 2005. The early paradoxidid *harlani* trilobite fauna of Massachusetts and its correlatives in Newfoundland, Morocco, and Spain. *Journal of Paleontology* 79 (2): 312-336. https://doi.org/bdcjsg
- GEYER G. 1998. Intercontinental, trilobite-based correlation of the Moroccan early Middle Cambrian. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 35 (4): 374-401. https://doi.org/10.1139/e97-127
- GEYER G. 2010. Cambrian and lowermost Ordovician of the Franconian Forest, in FATKA O. & BUDIL P. (eds), The 15<sup>th</sup> Field Conference of the Cambrian Stage Subdivision Working Group, Abstracts and Excursion Guide. Czech Geological Suvey, Prague: 78-92.
- GEYER G. 2019. A comprehensive Cambrian correlation chart. *Episodes Journal of International Geoscience* 42 (4): 321-332. https://doi.org/10.18814/epiiugs/2019/019026
- GEYER G. & LANDING E. 2001. Middle Cambrian of Avalonian Massachusetts: stratigraphy and correlation of the Braintree trilobites. *Journal of Paleontology* 75 (1): 116-135. https://doi. org/b93qww
- GEYER G. & LANDING E. 2004. A unified lower-middle Cambrian chronostratigraphy for West Gondwana. *Acta Geologica Polonica* 54 (2): 179-218.
- GEYER G. & SHERGOLD J. 2000. The quest for internationally recognized divisions of Cambrian time. *Episodes* 23 (3): 188-195. https://doi.org/10.18814/epiiugs/2000/v23i3/006
- GEYER G., LANDING E. & ŻYLIŃSKA A. 2022. A new look at *Eccaparadoxides* (Cambrian, Trilobita) and its biostratigraphic significance. *PalZ* 2021: (online only). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12542-021-00580-9
- GIL CID M. D. 1982. Los Solenopleuropsidae del Cámbrico Medio de Zafra (Badajoz). *Boletín Geológico y Minero* 93 (1): 19-25.
- GOZALO R., LIÑAN E. & ÁLVARO J. J. 1994. Trilobites de la Subfamilia Solenopleurapsinae Thoral, 1947 del Cámbrico Medio de la Unidad de Alconera (Zona de Ossa-Morena, So de España). *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Española de Historia Natural. Sección Geológica* 89: 43-54.

- GRÖNWALL K. A. 1902. Bornholms Paradoxideslag og deres fauna. *Danmarks geologiske Undersøgelse, II Række* 13 (1): 1-230.
- GÜRICH G. 1908. Leitfossilien, ein Hilfsbuch zum Bestimmen von Versteinerungen bei geologischen Arbeiten in der Sammlung und im Felde; erste Lieferung: Kambrium und Silur. Berlin, 95 p. https://doi.org/10.1038/084200a0
- HARRINGTON H. J., HENNINGSMOEN G., HOWELL B. F., JAANUSSON V., LOCHMAN-BALK C., MOORE R. C., POULSEN C., RASETTI F., RICHTER E., RICHTER R., SCHMIDT H., SDUZY K., STRUVE W., STØRMER L., STUBBLEFIELD C. J., TRIPP R., WELLER J. M. & WHITTINGTON H. B. 1959. *Treatise on Invertebrate Paeontology, Part O, Arthropoda 1.* The Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, 560 p.
- HAWLE I. & CORDA A. J. C. 1847. Prodrom einer Monographie der böhmischen Trilobiten. J. G. Calve'sche Buchhandlung, Prague, 176 p. (Reprinted in 1848 in Abhandlungen der Königlichen Böhmischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften 5 (2): 117-292). https:// www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/45618120
- HAYES A. O. 1948. Geology of the area between Bonavista and Trinity bays, eastern Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Newfoundland, Bulletin* 32: 1-36.
- HEUSE T., BLUMENSTENGEL H., ELICKI O., GEYER G., HANSCH W., MALETZ J., SARMIENTO G.N. & WEYER D. 2010. — Biostratigraphy – The faunal province of the southern margin of the Rheic Ocean, in LINNEMANN U. & ROMER R.L. (eds), Pre-Mesozoic Geology of Saxo-Thuringia – From the Cadomian Active Margin to the Variscan Orogen. Schweizerbart, Stuttgart: 99-170.
- HICKS H. 1869. On some recent discoveries of fossils in the Cambrian rocks. Conference Proceedings of the Report of the Thirtyeighth Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, Norwich 38: 68-69. https://www.biodiversitylibrary. org/page/29388265
- HICKS H. 1871. Descriptions of new species of fossils from the Longmynd rocks of St. David's. *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 27 (1): 399-404. https://www. biodiversitylibrary.org/page/35591090
- HICKS H. 1872. On some undescribed fossils from the Menevian Group. *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 28 (1): 173-185. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.jgs.1872.028.01-02.17
- HICKS H. 1895. On the genus *Plutonides* (non *Plutonia*) from the Cambrian rocks of St. David's. *Geological Magazine* 2 (5): 230-231. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800121193
- HILDENBRAND A. 2012. "Small shelly fossils" der mittelkambrischen Manuels-River-Formation in Conception Bay South, Avalon-Halbinsel, Neufundland, Kanada. Unpublished thesis, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, 59 p.
- HILDENBRAND A. 2016. Agnostoid Trilobites and Biostratigraphy of the Middle Cambrian Manuels River Formation in the Type Locality at Conception Bay South, Newfoundland, Canada. Unpublished PhD thesis, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, 111 p.
- HILDENBRAND A., AUSTERMANN G., IFRIM C. & BENGTSON P. 2021. — Biostratigraphy and taxonomy of Drumian (Middle Cambrian) agnostid trilobites of the Manuels River Formation, Avalonian Newfoundland, Canada. *Papers in Palaeontology* 7 (3): 1657-1698. https://doi.org/10.1002/spp2.1358
- HORNÝ R. & BASTL F. 1970. *Type Specimens of Fossils in the National Museum Prague, Volume 1, Trilobita.* Museum of National History, Prague, 354 p.
- HOWELL B. F. 1925. The faunas of the Cambrian Paradoxides Beds at Manuels, Newfoundland. Bulletins of American Paleontology 11 (43): 1-140. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/ page/30406756
- HOWELL B. F. 1933. The classification of the trilobite Subfamily, Centropleurinae. *Meddelelser fra Dansk Geologisk Forening* 8 (3): 215-219.
- HOWELL B. F. 1937. Cambrian Centropleura vermontensis fauna of northwestern Vermont. Geological Society of America Bulletin 48 (8): 1147-1210. https://doi.org/10.1130/Gsab-48-1147

- HUPÉ P. 1953. Classe des Trilobites, in PIVETEAU J. (ed.), Traité de paléontologie. Tome III. Les forms ultimes d'Invertébrés : morphologie et évolution. Onychophores, Arthropodes, Echniodermes, Stomocordés. Mason et Cie, Paris: 44-246.
- HUPÉ P. 1955. Classification des trilobites. *Annales de Paléontologie* 41: 91-345.
- HUTCHINSON R. D. 1952. The stratigraphy and trilobite faunas of the Cambrian sedimentary rocks of Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia. *Geological Survey of Canada Memoir* 263: 1-124. https://doi.org/10.4095/101599
- HUTCHINSON R. D. 1962. Cambrian stratigraphy and trilobite faunas of southeastern Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin* 88 (1): 1-156. https://doi.org/10.4095/123902
- ILLING V. C. 1915. The Paradoxidian fauna of a part of the Stockingford Shale. *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 71 (1-4): 386-450. https://doi.org/10.1144/ gsl.jgs.1915.071.01-04.17
- JAGO J. B., BENTLEY C. J. & COOPER R. A. 2012. A Cambrian Series 3 (Guzhangian) fauna with *Centropleura* from Northern Victoria Land, Antarctica. *Memoirs of the Association of Australasian Palaeontologists* 42: 15-35.
- JELL P. A. & ADRAIN J. M. 2002. Available generic names for trilobites. *Memoirs-Queensland Museum* 48 (2): 331-552. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/52968716
- KIM D. H., WESTROP S. R. & LANDING E. 2002. Middle Cambrian (Acadian series) conocoryphid and paradoxidid trilobites from the upper Chamberlain's Brook Formation, Newfoundland and New Brunswick. *Journal of Paleontology* 76 (5): 822-842. https://doi.org/cgxnvt
  KINDLE C. H. 1982. — The C.H. Kindle Collection: Middle
- KINDLE C. H. 1982. The C.H. Kindle Collection: Middle Cambrian to Lower Ordovician trilobites from the Cow Head Group, western Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Canada, Current research Part C* 82 (1C): 1-17. https://doi. org/10.4095/124681
- KINDLE C. H. & WHITTINGTON H. B. 1959. Some stratigraphic problems of the Cow Head area in western Newfoundland. *Transactions of the New York Academy of Sciences, Series II* 22: 7-18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2164-0947.1959.tb01722.x
- KING A. F. 1988. Geology of the Avalon Peninsula, Newfoundland (parts of 1K, 1L, 1M, 1 N and 2 C), Map 88-01. Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Geological Survey, Department of Mines and Energy, St. John's, 1 p.
- KOBAYASHI T. 1935. The Cambro-Ordovician formations and faunas of South Chosen (Part Iii). *Journal of the Faculty* of Science, Imperial University of Tokyo, Section II, Geology, Mineralogy, Geography, Seismology 4 (2): 49-344.
- KÖNIG W. 1992. Trilobiten aus Böhmen. Arbeitskreis Paläontologie, Hannover 20 (4): 77-83.
- KOROBOV M. N. 1973. Trilobites of the Conocoryphidae family and their importance for the stratigraphy of Cambrian deposits. *Transactions of the Academy of Science of the USSR* 211: 1-176.
- LAIBL L. 2012. Revize ontogeneze trilobita Sao hirsuta Barrande, 1846 z kambria Čr. Unpublished thesis, Univerzita Karlova v Praze, Prague, 61 p.
- LAIBL L. 2017. Ontogeny of Selected Taxa of Middle Cambrian Trilobites and Agnostoids of the Barrandian Area. Unpublished PhD thesis, Univerzita Karlova v Praze, Prague, 33 p.
- LAIBL L., FATKA O., CRONIER C. & BUDIL P. 2014. Early ontogeny of the Cambrian trilobite *Sao hirsuta* from the Skryje-Týřovice Basin, Barrandian area, Czech Republic. *Bulletin of Geosciences* 89 (2): 293-309. https://doi.org/10.3140/ bull.geosci.1438
- LAKE P. 1931. Monograph of the British Cambrian Trilobites, Part 6. *Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London* 83: 121-148. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693445.1931.12035616
- LAKE P. 1932. Monograph of the British Cambrian Trilobites, Part 7. Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London 84: 149-172. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693445.1932.12035620

- LAKE P. 1934. Monograph of the British Cambrian Trilobites, Part 8. *Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London* 86: 173-196. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693445.1934.12035626
- LAKE P. 1935. Monograph of the British Cambrian Trilobites, Part 9. Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London 88: 197-224. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693445.1935.12035634
- LAKE P. 1938. Monograph of the British Cambrian Trilobites, Part 11. Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London 91: 249-272. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693445.1938.12035656
- LAKE P. 1940. Monograph of the British Cambrian Trilobites, Part Xii. *Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society, London* 94: 273-306. https://doi.org/10.1080/02693445.1940.12035665
- LANDING E. 1996 Avalon: Insular continent by the latest Precambrian. *Geological Society of America, Special Papers* 304: 29-64. https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2304-3.29
- LANDING E. & WESTROP S. R. 1998a. Cambrian faunal sequence and depositional history of Avalonian Newfoundland and New Brunswick: field workshop, *in* Landing Landing E. & Westrop S. R. (eds), Avalon 1997: The Cambrian standard. *New York State Museum Bulletin* 492: 5-75.
- LANDING E. & WESTROP S. R. 1998b. Revisions in stratigraphic nomenclature of the cambrian of Avalonian North America and comparisons with Avalonian Britain, *in* LANDING E. & WESTROP S. R. (eds), Avalon 1997: The Cambrian standard. *New York State Museum Bulletin* 492: 76-87.
- LANDING E., KEPPIE J. D., KEPPIE D. F., GEYER G. & WESTROP S. R. 2022. — Greater Avalonia—latest Ediacaran-Ordovician "peribaltic" terrane bounded by continental margin prisms ("Ganderia", Harlech Dome, Meguma): Review, tectonic implications, and paleogeography. *Earth-Science Reviews* 224: (online only). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103863
- LEVI-SETTI R. 2014. The Trilobite Book: A Visual Journey. University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 273 p. https:// doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226124551.001.0001
- LIÑAN E. & GOZALO R. 1986. Trilobites del Cambrico inferior y medo de murero (Cordillera Iberica). *Memorias del Museo Paleontologico de la Universidad de Zaragoza* 2: 1-104.
- LINNARSSON G. 1879. Om faunan i kalken med Conocoryphe exsulans ("Coronatuskalken"). Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning, Ser. C 35: 1-31.
- LINNARSSON G. 1882. De undre Paradoxideslagren vid Andrarum. Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning, Ser. C 54: 1-48.
- LORENZ T. 1906. Beiträge zur Geologie und Palaeontologie von Ostasien unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Provinz Schantung in China: II. Palaeontologischer – Teil. Zeitschrift der Deutschen geologischen Gesellschaft 58 (1): 53-108. https:// www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/43913940
   MARTIN F. & DEAN W. T. 1988. — Middle and upper Cambrian
- MARTIN F. & DEAN W. T. 1988. Middle and upper Cambrian acritarch and trilobite zonation at Manuels River and Random Island, eastern Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin* 381 (1): 1-91.
- MARTINSSON A. 1974. The Cambrian of Norden, *in* HOL-LAND C.H. (ed.), *Cambrian of the British Isles, Norden, and Spitzbergen.* John Wiley & Sons, London, New York, Sydney, Toronto: 185-283.
- MATTHEW G. F. 1885. Illustrations of the Fauna of the St. John Group continued: on the Conocoryphea with futher remarks on *Paradoxides. Proceedings and Transactions of the Royal Society* of Canada for the Year 1884 2: 99-124. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/10764255
- MATTHEW G. F. 1886. Abstract of a paper on the Cambrian faunas of Cape Breton and Newfoundland. *Canadian Record* of Science 2 (4): 255-258. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/ page/33796717
- MATTHEW G. F. 1896. Faunas of the Paradoxides beds in eastern North America. *Transactions of the New York Academy* of Science 15: 192-247. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/ page/12781664

- MATTHEW G. F. 1899. Studies on Cambrian Faunas, No. 4 -Fragments of the Cambrian Faunas of Newfoundland. *Proceedings and Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada* 5: 67-95. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/10793335
- MILLER S. A. 1889. North American Geology and Paleontology for the Use of Amateurs, Students, and Scientists. Western Methodist book concern, Cincinnati, Ohio, 793 p. https://doi.org/10.5962/ bhl.title.28778
- MORRIS S. F. 1988. A review of British Trilobites, including a synoptic revision of Salter's monograph. *Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society* 140 (574): 1-316.
- MORRIS S. F. & FORTEY R. A. 1985. Catalogue of the Type and Figured Specimens of Trilobita in the British Museum (Natural History). Trustees of the British Museum (Natural History), Great Britain, London, 183 p.
- MÜLLER A. H. 1994. Band II: Invertebraten, Teil 2: Mollusca 2 – Arthropoda 1, 4., neu bearb. und erw. Aufl. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, 618 p.
- MURRAY A. 1869. Report upon the Geological Survey of Newfoundland for the Year 1868. Hon. House of Assembly, St. John's, 68 p.
- NAUTIYAL A. C. 1966. The Cambro-Ordovician Sequence in the Southeastern part of the Conception Bay Area, Eastern Newfoundland. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, 334 p.
- NICHOLAS T. C. 1915. Notes on the trilobite fauna of the Middle Cambrian of the St. Tudwal's Peninsula (Carnarvonshire). *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 71 (3): 451-472. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.jgs.1915.071.01-04.18
- PENG S. & ROBINSON R. A. 2000. Agnostoid biostratigraphy across the middle-upper Cambrian boundary in Hunan, China. *Paleontological Society Memoir* 53 (Suppl. 74): 1-104. https:// doi.org/cgs3pr
- POMPECKJ J. F. 1896. Das Kambrium von Tejřovic und Skrej in Böhmen. Jahrbuch der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Geologischen Reichsanstalt 94: 498-614. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/ page/35578547
- POULSEN C. 1956. Proposed use of the plenary powers to secure the availability of the generic names "*Olenus*" Dalman, [1827], and "*Paradoxides*" Brongniard, 1822 (Class Trilobita) for use in the sense which these names are customarily employed. *The Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 12 (1): 3-13. https://www. biodiversitylibrary.org/page/12157848
- PRANTL F. 1952. Život českých pramoří. Přírodovědecké Vydavatelství, Praze, 390 p.
- RAYMOND P. E. 1914. Notes on the ontogeny of Paradoxides, with the description of a new species from Braintree. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 58 (4): 225-244. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/5473357
- REED F. R. C. 1900. On the British species of the genus Conocoryphe, Woodwardian Museum Notes, 4. Geological Magazine 7 (6): 250-257. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800176885
- REES A., THOMAS A., LEWIS M., HUGHES H. & TURNER P. 2014. Cambrian of Sw Wales: towards a united Avalonian Stratigraphy. *Memoirs of the Geological Society London* 42: 1-135. https://doi. org/10.1144/m42.0
- RESSER C. E. 1936. Second contribution to nomenclature of Cambrian trilobites. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* 95 (4): 1-29. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/24739325
- RESSER C. E. 1937. New species of Cambrian trilobites of the family Conocoryphidae. *Journal of Paleontology* 11 (1): 39-42.
- RESSER C. E. & ENDO R. 1937. Description of the fossils, Part 2, in ENDO R. & RESSER C. E. (eds), *The Sinian and Cambrian Formations and Fossils of Southern Manchoukuo*. Educational Institute South Manchuria Ry. Co., Mukden: 103-301.
- RICHTER R. 1933. Crustacea (Paläontologie), in DITTLER R., JOOS G., KORSCHELT E., LINCK G., OLTMANN F. & SCHAUM K. (eds), Handwörterbuch der Naturwissenschaften. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena: 840-864.

- RICHTER R. 1941. Nomina conservanda: Antrag auf Aufhebung der "Regeln" zu Gunsten von Sao Barrande 1846. Senckenbergiana 23: 291-293.
- ROBINSON R. A. 1984. Cambrian Agnostida of North America and Greenland, Part I, Ptychagnostidae. *The University of Kansas, Paleontological Contributions, Paper* 109: 1-59.
- ROCH E. 1930. Etudes géologiques dans la région Méridionale du Maroc occidental. Protat Frères imprimeurs, Macon, 542 p.
- ROEMER F. 1876. Lethaea palaeozoica. Atlas. E. Schweizerbartsche Verlagshandlung, Stuttgart, 62 p.
   ROSE E. R. 1952. — Torbay map-area. Geological Survey of Canada,
- ROSE E. R. 1952. Torbay map-area. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 265: 1-64. https://doi.org/10.4095/123938
- RUDOLPH F. 1990. Bestimmungshilfen für Geschiebesammler, 8. Trilobiten der Familie Conocoryphidae aus dem Exulans-Kalk. *Geschiebekunde Aktuell* 6 (1): 17-19.
- RUDOLPH F. 1994. Die Trilobiten der mittelkambrischen Geschiebe: Systematik, Morphologie und Ökologie. Verlag Frank Rudolph, Wankendorf, 309 p.
- RUSHTON A. W. A. & BERG-MADSEN V. 2002. The age of the Middle Cambrian 'Paradoxides forchhammeri Grit' of the Wrekin district, Shropshire, England. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 92: 335-346. https://doi.org/10.1017/ s0263593300000274
- RUSHTON A. W. A. & WEIDNER T. 2007. The Middle Cambrian paradoxidid trilobite *Hydrocephalus* from Jämtland, central Sweden. *Acta Geologica Polonica* 57 (4): 391-401.
- RUSHTON A. W. A., WEIDNER T. & EBBESTAD J. O. R. 2016. Paradoxidid trilobites from a mid-Cambrian (Series 3, stage 5) limestone concretion from Jämtland, central Sweden. *Bulletin of Geosciences* 91 (3): 515-552. https://doi.org/10.3140/bull.geosci.1606
- RUSHTON A. W. A., WILLIAMS M., SIVETER D. & BERG-MADSEN V. 2007. — A new mid-Cambrian trilobite fauna from Shropshire. *Proceedings of the Geologists' Association* 118 (2): 129-142. https:// doi.org/10.1016/s0016-7878(07)80031-9
- RÚŽIČKA R. 1946. O některých význačných trilobitech skryjského kambria. Věstník Královské české společnosti nauk, Třída Mathematicko-Přírodovědecká: 1-26.
- SALTER J. W. 1863. On the discovery of *Paradoxides* in Britain. *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 19 (1): 274-277. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.jgs.1863.019.01- 02.28
- SALTER J. W. 1864a. On some new fossils from the Lingula-flags of Wales. *The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society* 20: 233-241. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.jgs.1864.020.01-02.33
- SALTER J. W. 1864b. Trilobites (chiefly Silurian). Figures and descriptions illustrative of British organic remains. *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of the United Kingdom* 11: 1-64. https://www. biodiversitylibrary.org/page/45186215
- SALTER J. W. 1866a. Notes on the sections and fossils. Report of the British Association for the Advancement of Science: 284-286. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/29381766
- SALTER J. W. 1866b. On the fossils of North Wales, *in* RAMSAY A.C. & SALTER J.W. (eds), *The Geology of North Wales*. Longmans, Green, Reader, and Dyer, London: 239-381.
- SALTER J. W. 1873. A Catalogue of the Collection of Cambrian and Silurian Fossils Contained in the Geological Museum of the University of Cambridge. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 204 p. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511710827
- SALTER J. W. & HICKS H. 1869. On some fossils from the "Menevian Group". The Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London 25 (1): 51-57. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.jgs.1868.024.01-02.61
- SCHAUS W. 1928. 10. Familie Mimallonidae, in SEITZ A. (ed.), Die Grossschmetterlinge des Amerikanischen Faunengebietes, 6. Band, Die Amerikanischen Spinner und Schwärmer. Alfred Kern Verlag, Stuttgart: 635-673. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/ page/56064676
- SCHOENEMANN B. & CLARKSON E. N. K. 2011. The eyes of Bohemian Trilobites. *Geologické výzkumy na Moravě a ve Slezsku* 18 (1): 45-50.

- SCHRANK F. & SCHRANK P. 1801. Vorläufige Abhandlung. Betrachtungen über die Verwandlungen der Insekten, die Lehre der Einschachtelung, und die Erzeugung organischer Körper. Fauna Boica - Durchgedachte Geschichte der in Baiern einheimischen und zahmen Thiere 2: 274 p. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/35751042
- SDZUY K. 1961. Das Kambrium Spaniens, Teil II: Trilobiten. 1 Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur, Mainz, Abhandlungen der mathematisch-naturwissenschaftlichen Klasse 7: 503-594.
- SDZUY K. 1967. Trilobites del Cámbrico medio de Asturias. Trabajos de geología 1: 77-134.
- SDZUY K. 2000. Das Kambrium des Frankenwaldes, 3. Lippertsgrüner Schichten und ihre Fauna. Senckenbergiana lethaea 79 (2): 301-327. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03043644
- SHAW A. B. 1966. Paleontology of Northwestern Vermont, Part 11, Fossils from the Middle Cambrian St. Albans Shale. *Journal of Paleontology* 40 (4): 843-858.
- SHERGOLD J. H. 1973. A new conocoryphid trilobite from the Middle Cambrian of western Queensland. Australian Bureau of Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics, Bulletin 126: 19-26.
- ŠNAJDR M. 1957. O nových trilobitech z českého kambria. *Vestnik Ústředního ústavu geologického* 32: 235-244.
- ŠNAJDR M. 1958. Trilobiti českého středního kambria. *Rozpravy* Ústředního ústavu geologického 24: 1-280.
- ŠNAJDR M. 1990. Bohemian Trilobites. Geological Survey, Prague, 265 p.
- SOLOV<sup>'</sup>EV I. A. 1981. Biostratigraphy of deposits in the Amga Stage in the north of the Siberian Platform. *Geologiya i Geofizika* 22 (5): 26-38.
- STABILE J. 1864. Mollusques terrestres vivants du Piémont. Atti della Società Italiana si Scienze Naturali 7: 1-141. https:// doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.12997
- STUBBLEFIELD C. J. 1951. New names for the trilobite genera Menevia Lake and Psilocephalus Salter. Geological Magazine 88 (3): 213-214. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800069272
- SWINNERTON H. H. 1915. Suggestions for a Revised Classification of Trilobites, Part 2. *Geological Magazine* 2 (11): 487-496. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800203634
- THOMAS A. T., ÖWENS R. M. & RUSHTON A. W. A. 1984. Trilobites in British stratigraphy. *Geological Society of London* Special Report 16: 1-78. https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.3350200210
- THOMSON P. W. 1864. British fossils. Memoirs of the Geological Survey of the United Kingdom 11: 1-5. https://www. biodiversitylibrary.org/page/45185852
- THORAL M. 1935. Contribution a l'étude paléontologique de l'Ordovicien inférieur de la Montagne Noire et révision sommaire de la faune cambrienne de la Montagne Noire. Unpublished PhD thesis, Université de Paris, Montpellier, 362 p.
- VANĚK J., VALÍČEK J. & VOKAČ V. 1999. Plutonides hicksi (Salter) from the Middle Cambrian of Skryje-Týřovice are (Czech Republic). Palaeontologia Bohemiae 5 (6): 36-38.
- WAHLENBERG G. 1821. Petrificata Telluris Svecanae Examinata. Nova Acta Regiae Soceitatis Scientarium Upsaliensis 8: 1-116. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/2560273
- WALCOTT C. D. 1884. On the Cambrian faunas of North America: preliminary studies. *Bulletin of the United States Geological Survey* 10: 1-75. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.38396
- WALCOTT C. D. 1889. Description of new genera and species of fossils from the middle Cambrian. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 11: 441-446. https://www. biodiversitylibrary.org/page/7608667
- WALCOTT C. D. 1913. The Cambrian faunas of China, in Walcott C. D. (ed.), Research in China vol. 3. Carnegie Institution Publication 54 (3): 3-277.
- WARBURG E. 1925. The trilobites of the Leptaena Limestones in Dalarne. Bulletin of the Geological Institution of the University of Upsala 17: 1-446. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756800105886

- WEIDNER T. & NIELSEN A. T. 2014. A highly diverse trilobite fauna with Avalonian affinities from the middle Cambrian Acidusus atavus Zone (Drumian Stage) of Bornholm, Denmark. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 12 (1): 23-92. https://doi.org/10.10 80/14772019.2012.740080
- WEIDNER T. & NIELSEN A. T. 2015. Agraulos longicephalus and Proampyx? depressus (Trilobita) from the Middle Cambrian of Bornholm, Denmark. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark 63: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.37570/bgsd-2015-63-01
- WESTERGARD A. H. 1950. Non-agnostidean trilobites of the Middle Cambrian of Sweden 2. Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning, Ser. C 511 (9): 1-32.
- WESTERGÅRD A. H. 1953. Non-agnostidean trilobites of the Middle Cambrian of Sweden 3. Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning, Ser. C 526 (2): 1-59.
- WHITTINGTON H. B. 1957. Ontogeny of Elliptocephala, Paradoxides, Sao, Blainia and Triarthrus (Trilobita). Journal of Paleontology 31 (5): 934-946.
- WHITTINGTON H. B. 1988. Hypostomes and ventral cephalic sutures in Cambrian trilobites. *Palaeontology* 31 (3): 577-609. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/49775869
- WHITTINGTON H. B. 1992. *Trilobites*. Boydell Press, Woodbridge, Suffolk, 145 p.
- WHITTINGTON H. B., CHATTERTON B. D. E., SPEYER S. E., FORTEY R. A., OWENS R. M., CHANG W. T., DEAN W. T., JELL P. A.,

LAURIE J. R., PALMER A. R., REPINA L. N., RUSHTON A. W. A., SHERGOLD J. H., CLARKSON E. N. K., WILMONT N. V. & KELLY S. R. A., 1997. — *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Part O, Arthropoda 1, Trilobita, Revised.* Geological Society of America and University of Kansas, Boulder, Colorado, and Lawrence, Kansas, 530 p.

- WOODWARD H. 1905. Further Note on Cyclus Johnsoni, from the Coal-Measures near Dudley, Part 3. *Geological Magazine* 2 (11): 490-492. https://doi.org/10.1017/s001675680012847x
- WURM A. 1925. Úeber ein Vorkommen von Mittelcambrium (Paradoxidesschichten) im bayrischen Frankenwald bei Wildenstein südlich Presseck. *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Geognosie Geologie und Paläontologie* 52 (1): 71-93.
- WURM Å. 1928. Ueber eine neue mittelcambrische Fauna aus dem bayrischen Frankenwald und ihre Bedeutung für die Stratigraphie des älteren Paläozoikums. (Conocoryphe-Schichten von Lippertsgrün). Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Geognosie Geologie und Paläontologie 59: 31-47.
- YOUNG T. P., GIBBONS W. & MCCARROLL D. 2002. Geology of the country around Pwllheli. The Stationery Office, London, 151 p.
- ŻYLIŃSKA A. & MASIAK M. 2007. Cambrian trilobites from Brzechów, Holy Cross Mountains (Poland) and their significance in stratigraphic correlation and biogeographic reconstructions. *Geological Magazine* 144 (4): 661-686. https://doi.org/10.1017/ s0016756807003366

Submitted on 17 December 2021; accepted on 9 May 2022; published on 15 December 2022. APPENDIX 1. - List of specimen NFM catalogue

NFM F-3221, NFM F-3222, NFM F-3223, NFM F-3224, Acontheus inarmatus ..... NFM F-3143, NFM F-3144, NFM F-3150, NFM F-3151, NFM F-3167, NFM F-3211, NFM F-3225, NFM F-3226, NFM F-3229, NFM F-3233, NFM F-3417, NFM F-3418, NFM F-3659, NFM F-3660, NFM F-3234, NFM F-3235, NFM F-3240, NFM F-3242, NFM F-3693, NFM F-3701, NFM F-3707, NFM F-3708. NFM F-3245, NFM F-3250, NFM F-3251, NFM F-3254, NFM F-3255, NFM F-3256, NFM F-3257, NFM F-3260, Agraulos ceticephalus ..... NFM F-2779, NFM F-2780, NFM F-3261, NFM F-3262, NFM F-3263, NFM F-3264, NFM F-2785, NFM F-2786, NFM F-2787, NFM F-2788, NFM F-3265, NFM F-3266, NFM F-3267, NFM F-3268, NFM F-2790, NFM F-2791, NFM F-2797, NFM F-2798, NFM F-3269, NFM F-3270, NFM F-3271, NFM F-3273, NFM F-2799, NFM F-2800, NFM F-2801, NFM F-2802, NFM F-3274, NFM F-3275, NFM F-3276, NFM F-3277, NFM F-2803, NFM F-2804, NFM F-2807, NFM F-2808, NFM F-3278, NFM F-3279, NFM F-3280, NFM F-3281, NFM F-2809, NFM F-2810, NFM F-2811, NFM F-2812, NFM F-3284, NFM F-3285, NFM F-3287, NFM F-3288, NFM F-2834, NFM F-2835, NFM F-2836, NFM F-2837, NFM F-3289, NFM F-3290, NFM F-3291, NFM F-3293, NFM F-2838, NFM F-2839, NFM F-2850, NFM F-2851, NFM F-3294, NFM F-3295, NFM F-3296, NFM F-3298, NFM F-2852, NFM F-2853, NFM F-2854, NFM F-2855, NFM F-3305, NFM F-3306, NFM F-3307, NFM F-3310, NFM F-2856, NFM F-2857, NFM F-2858, NFM F-2859, NFM F-3313, NFM F-3314, NFM F-3315, NFM F-3316, NFM F-2860, NFM F-2862, NFM F-2863, NFM F-2864, NFM F-3317, NFM F-3318, NFM F-3319, NFM F-3321, NFM F-2865, NFM F-2866, NFM F-2867, NFM F-2868, NFM F-3322, NFM F-3330, NFM F-3331, NFM F-3332, NFM F-2869, NFM F-2870, NFM F-2871, NFM F-2872, NFM F-3333, NFM F-3341, NFM F-3344, NFM F-3345, NFM F-2873, NFM F-2874, NFM F-2875, NFM F-2876, NFM F-3346, NFM F-3348, NFM F-3349, NFM F-3351, NFM F-2877, NFM F-2879, NFM F-2880, NFM F-2881, NFM F-3355, NFM F-3356, NFM F-3357, NFM F-3359, NFM F-3363, NFM F-3365, NFM F-3369, NFM F-3371, NFM F-2882, NFM F-2883, NFM F-2884, NFM F-2885, NFM F-2886, NFM F-2887, NFM F-2888, NFM F-2889, NFM F-3372, NFM F-3373, NFM F-3381, NFM F-3383, NFM F-2900, NFM F-2901, NFM F-2902, NFM F-2903, NFM F-3384, NFM F-3385, NFM F-3386, NFM F-3387, NFM F-2904, NFM F-2905, NFM F-2906, NFM F-2907, NFM F-3388, NFM F-3389, NFM F-3390, NFM F-3391, NFM F-2908, NFM F-2909, NFM F-2910, NFM F-2911, NFM F-3392, NFM F-3393, NFM F-3394, NFM F-3395, NFM F-2912, NFM F-2913, NFM F-2914, NFM F-2915, NFM F-3396, NFM F-3397, NFM F-3434, NFM F-3435, NFM F-2916, NFM F-2917, NFM F-2918, NFM F-2919, NFM F-3436, NFM F-3437, NFM F-3438, NFM F-3439, NFM F-2920, NFM F-2921, NFM F-2922, NFM F-2923, NFM F-3440, NFM F-3443, NFM F-3447, NFM F-3449, NFM F-2924, NFM F-2925, NFM F-2926, NFM F-2927, NFM F-3451, NFM F-3456, NFM F-3457, NFM F-3458, NFM F-2928, NFM F-2929, NFM F-2931, NFM F-2932, NFM F-3459, NFM F-3463, NFM F-3464, NFM F-3465, NFM F-2933, NFM F-2935, NFM F-2936, NFM F-2937, NFM F-3466, NFM F-3467, NFM F-3468, NFM F-3469, NFM F-2938, NFM F-2939. NFM F-3470, NFM F-3471, NFM F-3472, NFM F-3473, NFM F-3474, NFM F-3476, NFM F-3477, NFM F-3478, Agraulos ceticephalus ..... NFM F-2945, NFM F-2946, NFM F-3479, NFM F-3480, NFM F-3481, NFM F-3482, NFM F-2947, NFM F-2948, NFM F-2950, NFM F-2951, NFM F-3483, NFM F-3484, NFM F-3485, NFM F-3486, NFM F-2952, NFM F-2953, NFM F-2954, NFM F-2955, NFM F-3487, NFM F-3488, NFM F-3489, NFM F-3490, NFM F-2956, NFM F-2957, NFM F-2958, NFM F-2959, NFM F-3491, NFM F-3492, NFM F-3493, NFM F-3494, NFM F-2960, NFM F-2961, NFM F-2962, NFM F-2963, NFM F-3497, NFM F-3498, NFM F-3499, NFM F-3500, NFM F-2966, NFM F-2967, NFM F-2968, NFM F-2969, NFM F-3501, NFM F-3502, NFM F-3503, NFM F-3504, NFM F-2973, NFM F-2974, NFM F-2983, NFM F-2984, NFM F-3505, NFM F-3506, NFM F-3507, NFM F-3508, NFM F-2985, NFM F-2986, NFM F-2987, NFM F-2989, NFM F-3509, NFM F-3510, NFM F-3511, NFM F-3512, NFM F-2990, NFM F-2991, NFM F-3007, NFM F-3029, NFM F-3513, NFM F-3514, NFM F-3515, NFM F-3516, NFM F-3034, NFM F-3035, NFM F-3037, NFM F-3038, NFM F-3517, NFM F-3518, NFM F-3519, NFM F-3520, NFM F-3039, NFM F-3040, NFM F-3041, NFM F-3042, NFM F-3521, NFM F-3522, NFM F-3523, NFM F-3524, NFM F-3043, NFM F-3044, NFM F-3045, NFM F-3046, NFM F-3525, NFM F-3621, NFM F-3668, NFM F-3725, NFM F-3049, NFM F-3050, NFM F-3051, NFM F-3052, NFM F-3745, NFM F-3746, NFM F-3748, NFM F-3749, NFM F-3053, NFM F-3054, NFM F-3055, NFM F-3056, NFM F-3750, NFM F-3751, NFM F-3752, NFM F-3753, NFM F-3057, NFM F-3058, NFM F-3059, NFM F-3060, NFM F-3754, NFM F-3755, NFM F-3756, NFM F-3757, NFM F-3061, NFM F-3062, NFM F-3063, NFM F-3064, NFM F-3758, NFM F-3759, NFM F-3762, NFM F-3763, NFM F-3065, NFM F-3066, NFM F-3068, NFM F-3069, NFM F-3764, NFM F-3765, NFM F-3766, NFM F-3767, NFM F-3070, NFM F-3071, NFM F-3072, NFM F-3073, NFM F-3768, NFM F-3769, NFM F-3770, NFM F-3771, NFM F-3074, NFM F-3076, NFM F-3077, NFM F-3079, NFM F-3772, NFM F-3773, NFM F-3774, NFM F-3775, NFM F-3199, NFM F-3201, NFM F-3202, NFM F-3203, NFM F-3776, NFM F-3777, NFM F-3778, NFM F-3779, NFM F-3204, NFM F-3205, NFM F-3206, NFM F-3207, NFM F-3780, NFM F-3782, NFM F-3783, NFM F-3784,

NFM F-3785, NFM F-3786, NFM F-3787, NFM F-3788, NFM F-3789, NFM F-3790, NFM F-3791, NFM F-3792, NFM F-3793, NFM F-3794, NFM F-3795, NFM F-3796, NFM F-3797, NFM F-3798, NFM F-3799, NFM F-3800, NFM F-3801, NFM F-3802, NFM F-3803, NFM F-3804, NFM F-3805, NFM F-3806, NFM F-3807, NFM F-3809, NFM F-3813, NFM F-3814, NFM F-3815, NFM F-3816, NFM F-3817, NFM F-3818, NFM F-3819, NFM F-3820, NFM F-3823, NFM F-3831, NFM F-3832, NFM F-3833, NFM F-3835, NFM F-3843, NFM F-3844, NFM F-3845, NFM F-3846, NFM F-3850, NFM F-3851, NFM F-3852, NFM F-3853, NFM F-3854, NFM F-3855, NFM F-3856, NFM F-3857, NFM F-3858, NFM F-3859, NFM F-3860, NFM F-3861, NFM F-3862, NFM F-3863, NFM F-3864, NFM F-3865, NFM F-3866, NFM F-3867, NFM F-3868, NFM F-3869, NFM F-3870, NFM F-3871, NFM F-3872, NFM F-3873, NFM F-3874, NFM F-3875, NFM F-3876, NFM F-3877, NFM F-3878, NFM F-3881, NFM F-3883, NFM F-3885, NFM F-3886, NFM F-3887, NFM F-3888, NFM F-3889, NFM F-3890, NFM F-3891, NFM F-3892, NFM F-3893, NFM F-3895, NFM F-3946, NFM F-3951, NFM F-3952, NFM F-3953, NFM F-3954, NFM F-3955, NFM F-3956, NFM F-3957, NFM F-3958, NFM F-3959, NFM F-3960, NFM F-3961.

Bailiella aequalis ..... NFM F-3811.

*Bailiella tenuicincta* ...... NFM F-2972, NFM F-3168, NFM F-3169, NFM F-3200.

*Clarella venusta .....* NFM F-3096, NFM F-3548, NFM F-3657.

Jincella applanata ..... NFM F-2794, NFM F-2820, NFM F-2825, NFM F-2831, NFM F-2832, NFM F-2934, NFM F-2949, NFM F-2964, NFM F-2965, NFM F-2975, NFM F-2976, NFM F-2977, NFM F-2978, NFM F-2979, NFM F-2993, NFM F-2994, NFM F-2995, NFM F-2996, NFM F-2997, NFM F-2998, NFM F-2999, NFM F-3000, NFM F-3001, NFM F-3003, NFM F-3005, NFM F-3006, NFM F-3010, NFM F-3012, NFM F-3013, NFM F-3014, NFM F-3015, NFM F-3018, NFM F-3019, NFM F-3020, NFM F-3021, NFM F-3022, NFM F-3023, NFM F-3024, NFM F-3025, NFM F-3032, NFM F-3033, NFM F-3080, NFM F-3082, NFM F-3083, NFM F-3084, NFM F-3085, NFM F-3086, NFM F-3087, NFM F-3088, NFM F-3089, NFM F-3090, NFM F-3091, NFM F-3092, NFM F-3093, NFM F-3094, NFM F-3103, NFM F-3104, NFM F-3105, NFM F-3106, NFM F-3107, NFM F-3110, NFM F-3111, NFM F-3112, NFM F-3113, NFM F-3114, NFM F-3115, NFM F-3116, NFM F-3117, NFM F-3118, NFM F-3119, NFM F-3120, NFM F-3121, NFM F-3122, NFM F-3123, NFM F-3124, NFM F-3125, NFM F-3126, NFM F-3127, NFM F-3128, NFM F-3129, NFM F-3130, NFM F-3131, NFM F-3132, NFM F-3133, NFM F-3134, NFM F-3135, NFM F-3136, NFM F-3137, NFM F-3138, NFM F-3139, NFM F-3140, NFM F-3141, NFM F-3142, NFM F-3145, NFM F-3146, NFM F-3147, NFM F-3148, NFM F-3149, NFM F-3152, NFM F-3153, NFM F-3154, NFM F-3155, NFM F-3157, NFM F-3158, NFM F-3159, NFM F-3160, NFM F-3163, NFM F-3164, NFM F-3165, NFM F-3166, NFM F-3181, NFM F-3182, NFM F-3183, NFM F-3184, NFM F-3185, NFM F-3186, NFM F-3187, NFM F-3188, NFM F-3189, NFM F-3192, NFM F-3195, NFM F-3196, NFM F-3197, NFM F-3198, NFM F-3212, NFM F-3215, NFM F-3216, NFM F-3217, NFM F-3218, NFM F-3219, NFM F-3238, NFM F-3297, NFM F-3323, NFM F-3324, NFM F-3325, NFM F-3326, NFM F-3327, NFM F-3328, NFM F-3329, NFM F-3334, NFM F-3335, NFM F-3336, NFM F-3337, NFM F-3338, NFM F-3339, NFM F-3342, NFM F-3343, NFM F-3358, NFM F-3362, NFM F-3375, NFM F-3376, NFM F-3398, NFM F-3401, NFM F-3402, NFM F-3403, NFM F-3404, NFM F-3405, NFM F-3406, NFM F-3407, NFM F-3410, NFM F-3411, NFM F-3412, NFM F-3413, NFM F-3414, NFM F-3415, NFM F-3416, NFM F-3421, NFM F-3422, NFM F-3423, NFM F-3424, NFM F-3425, NFM F-3426, NFM F-3427, NFM F-3428, NFM F-3429, NFM F-3430, NFM F-3431, NFM F-3432, NFM F-3441, NFM F-3442, NFM F-3446, NFM F-3448, NFM F-3453, NFM F-3454, NFM F-3455, NFM F-3531, NFM F-3538, NFM F-3539, NFM F-3540, NFM F-3541, NFM F-3542, NFM F-3543, NFM F-3544, NFM F-3545, NFM F-3546, NFM F-3547, NFM F-3560, NFM F-3561, NFM F-3562, NFM F-3563, NFM F-3564, NFM F-3565, NFM F-3566, NFM F-3567, NFM F-3568, NFM F-3569, NFM F-3570, NFM F-3571, NFM F-3572, NFM F-3573, NFM F-3574, NFM F-3575, NFM F-3578, NFM F-3579, NFM F-3580, NFM F-3581, NFM F-3582, NFM F-3583, NFM F-3584, NFM F-3585, NFM F-3586, NFM F-3587, NFM F-3588, NFM F-3594, NFM F-3595, NFM F-3596, NFM F-3597, NFM F-3598, NFM F-3599, NFM F-3605, NFM F-3606, NFM F-3607, NFM F-3608, NFM F-3609, NFM F-3620, NFM F-3630, NFM F-3634, NFM F-3635, NFM F-3636, NFM F-3637, NFM F-3638, NFM F-3639, NFM F-3640, NFM F-3641, NFM F-3642, NFM F-3647, NFM F-3648, NFM F-3649, NFM F-3650, NFM F-3651, NFM F-3652, NFM F-3653, NFM F-3654, NFM F-3658, NFM F-3677, NFM F-3678, NFM F-3681, NFM F-3682, NFM F-3683, NFM F-3684, NFM F-3685, NFM F-3686, NFM F-3687, NFM F-3688, NFM F-3689, NFM F-3690, NFM F-3691, NFM F-3692, NFM F-3694, NFM F-3695, NFM F-3696, NFM F-3697, NFM F-3698, NFM F-3699, NFM F-3703, NFM F-3704, NFM F-3705, NFM F-3706, NFM F-3709, NFM F-3710, NFM F-3711, NFM F-3712, NFM F-3713, NFM F-3714, NFM F-3715, NFM F-3718, NFM F-3719, NFM F-3720, NFM F-3721, NFM F-3722, NFM F-3723, NFM F-3724, NFM F-3726, NFM F-3727, NFM F-3728, NFM F-3729, NFM F-3730, NFM F-3731, NFM F-3732, NFM F-3733, NFM F-3734, NFM F-3735, NFM F-3739, NFM F-3740, NFM F-3741, NFM F-3742, NFM F-3743, NFM F-3747, NFM F-3822, NFM F-3825, NFM F-3837, NFM F-3838, NFM F-3847, NFM F-3848, NFM F-3896, NFM F-3897, NFM F-3849, NFM F-3900, NFM F-3901, NFM F-3914, NFM F-3942, NFM F-3943, NFM F-3944.

*Meneviella venulosa* ...... NFM F-3178, NFM F-3210, NFM F-3213, NFM F-3214, NFM F-3419, NFM F-3600, NFM F-3601, NFM F-3602, NFM F-3603, NFM F-3604, NFM F-3655, NFM F-3656, NFM F-3736, NFM F-3737, NFM F-3738, NFM F-3930.

Paradoxides davidis ..... NFM F-2814, NFM F-2815, NFM F-2817, NFM F-2818, NFM F-2823, NFM F-2824, NFM F-2826, NFM F-2827, NFM F-2980, NFM F-2981, NFM F-2982, NFM F-3011, NFM F-3081, NFM F-3095, NFM F-3097, NFM F-3098, NFM F-3099, NFM F-3100, NFM F-3101, NFM F-3102, NFM F-3156, NFM F-3171, NFM F-3172, NFM F-3173, NFM F-3174, NFM F-3175, NFM F-3179, NFM F-3191, NFM F-3374, NFM F-3377, NFM F-3378, NFM F-3379, NFM F-3380, NFM F-3526, NFM F-3527, NFM F-3528, NFM F-3529, NFM F-3530, NFM F-3532, NFM F-3533, NFM F-3534, NFM F-3535, NFM F-3536, NFM F-3537, NFM F-3549, NFM F-3576, NFM F-3577, NFM F-3589, NFM F-3590, NFM F-3591, NFM F-3592, NFM F-3593, NFM F-3622, NFM F-3623, NFM F-3624, NFM F-3625, NFM F-3626, NFM F-3627, NFM F-3628, NFM F-3629, NFM F-3631, NFM F-3632, NFM F-3633, NFM F-3643, NFM F-3644, NFM F-3645, NFM F-3646, NFM F-3661, NFM F-3662, NFM F-3663, NFM F-3664, NFM F-3665, NFM F-3666, NFM F-3670, NFM F-3671, NFM F-3672, NFM F-3673, NFM F-3674, NFM F-3675, NFM F-3676, NFM F-3679, NFM F-3680, NFM F-3826, NFM F-3827, NFM F-3828, NFM F-3829, NFM F-3830, NFM F-3839, NFM F-3840, NFM F-3841, NFM F-3842, NFM F-3902, NFM F-3903, NFM F-3904, NFM F-3905, NFM F-3906, NFM F-3907, NFM F-3908, NFM F-3909, NFM F-3910, NFM F-3911, NFM F-3912, NFM F-3913, NFM F-3916, NFM F-3917, NFM F-3918, NFM F-3919, NFM F-3920, NFM F-3921, NFM F-3922, NFM F-3923, NFM F-3924, NFM F-3925, NFM F-3926, NFM F-3927, NFM F-3928, NFM F-3931, NFM F-3932, NFM F-3933, NFM F-3936, NFM F-3937, NFM F-3938, NFM F-3939, NFM F-3940, NFM F-3941.

Plutonides hicksii ...... NFM F-2778, NFM F-2781, NFM F-2782, NFM F-2783, NFM F-2784, NFM F-2789, NFM F-2792, NFM F-2793, NFM F-2795, NFM F-2796, NFM F-2805, NFM F-2806, NFM F-2813, NFM F-2816, NFM F-2819, NFM F-2821, NFM F-2822, NFM F-2828, NFM F-2829, NFM F-2830, NFM F-2833, NFM F-2861, NFM F-2878, NFM F-2930, NFM F-2970, NFM F-2971, NFM F-3002, NFM F-3004, NFM F-3008, NFM F-3009, NFM F-3016, NFM F-3017, NFM F-3026, NFM F-3027, NFM F-3028, NFM F-3030, NFM F-3031, NFM F-3036, NFM F-3067, NFM F-3075, NFM F-3176, NFM F-3177, NFM F-3193, NFM F-3194, NFM F-3208, NFM F-3209, NFM F-3220, NFM F-3227, NFM F-3228, NFM F-3230, NFM F-3231, NFM F-3232, NFM F-3236, NFM F-3241, NFM F-3243, NFM F-3244, NFM F-3246, NFM F-3247, NFM F-3248, NFM F-3249, NFM F-3252, NFM F-3253, NFM F-3258, NFM F-3259, NFM F-3272, NFM F-3282, NFM F-3283, NFM F-3286, NFM F-3292, NFM F-3299, NFM F-3300, NFM F-3301, NFM F-3302, NFM F-3303, NFM F-3304, NFM F-3308, NFM F-3309, NFM F-3320, NFM F-3340, NFM F-3347, NFM F-3350, NFM F-3352, NFM F-3353, NFM F-3354, NFM F-3360, NFM F-3361, NFM F-3367, NFM F-3368, NFM F-3370, NFM F-3382, NFM F-3433, NFM F-3444, NFM F-3445, NFM F-3450, NFM F-3452, NFM F-3460, NFM F-3461, NFM F-3462, NFM F-3475, NFM F-3716, NFM F-3717, NFM F-3744, NFM F-3781, NFM F-3808, NFM F-3821, NFM F-3824, NFM F-3834, NFM F-3836, NFM F-3849, NFM F-3882, NFM F-3884, NFM F-3894, NFM F-3898, NFM F-3945, NFM F-3947, NFM F-3948, NFM F-3949, NFM F-3950.

Sao hirsuta ...... NFM F-3420.