38th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, 18-25 July 2010, Bremen, Germany E11: Neutral Iron K Lines in Type 1 Seyfert Galaxies observed with Suzaku

Hiroaki Takahashi (h-takahashi@ess.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp), Kiyoshi Hayashida, and Naohisa Anabuki (Osaka University)

Abstract

We report the systematical analysis of the iron line using the data of 35 type 1 Seyfert galaxies observed by Suzaku until October 2009. The iron line consists of two components, broad and narrow. A broad iron line appears broadened and skewed as a result of the Doppler effect and the gravitational redshift. A narrow iron line, which is peaking at ~ 6.4 keV, is most likely to be from the neutral iron. The origin of the narrow iron line is thought to be from the optical broad line region (BLR) or the molecular torus. It is not, however, clear.

We calculated the flux of emission line and examined the correlation of various physical quantities. We found the anti-correlation between the luminosity and the equivalent width of the narrow iron line (X-ray Baldwin Effect) and the correlation between the luminosity and the center energy of broad iron line.

In order to examine the origin of the narrow iron line, we referred the ionization parameter and the result of narrow iron line observed by the Chandra high-energy grating (HEG). We thought the BLR and the molecular torus are the most likely to be. It is required that the larger the luminosity gets, the smaller the solid angle emitting the narrow iron line becomes in order to explain the X-ray Baldwin Effect in either of them. The luminosity of the central BH has a large effect to the surrounding structure.



(1)The outer part of accretion disk •A rapidly variable narrow iron line in Mrk841[4].

(2) The optical broad line region (BLR) •The narrow iron line is agreement with the value measured for the broad component of H α in NGC7213[5].

4.Discussion1 : The origin of the narrow iron line

Overview : We examined the origin of the narrow iron line by using the ionization parameter and the velocity dispersion.

$$\xi = \frac{L}{n \cdot r^2} = \begin{cases} L \cdots \text{ ionizing luminosity } [\text{ergs s}^{-1}] \\ n \cdots \text{gas density } [\text{cm}^{-3}] \\ r \cdots \text{ distance of the material from the central BH } [\text{cm}] \end{cases} \quad \xi \leq 100:$$

the narrow iron line ••• neutral [1]

(3) The molecular torus •supersolar iron abundance •anisotropic illumination of the lineemitting matter[3,6]

Fig.1 The central structure of AGN

The systematical analysis of the iron line attending to the narrow iron line in particular

2.Approach

Overview : We examined the X-ray spectra in the 4.5-7.5 keV energy band of 35 type 1 Seyfert galaxies observed by Suzaku.

- 1. Fitting with only the power-law model (pow)
- 2. Adding the Gaussian model^{*1} (center energy : 6.4 keV, σ : 0.01 keV; fixed; gau1)
- 3. Adding the second Gaussian model^{*2} (center energy and σ are free; gau2) and the iron absorption edge (Fe_edge)
 - : 6 \leq (center energy) \leq 7 keV, 0 \leq σ 1 keV second Gaussian
 - iron absorption edge : $7 \leq (absorption energy) \leq 7.5 \text{ keV}; fixed$
- 1 : Assuming the iron emission line which is far from the central BH

top : wabs * pow



Fig.4 The range where each structure exists

4.Discussion 2 : The origin of the X-ray Baldwin Effect



Ionization for BLR proceeds

The boundary between the

into account for the unified schemes

•Although there was no correlation between the luminosity and the flux for broad iron line, we found that the larger the luminosity gets, the higher the line center energy for this line becomes. The BLR and the molecular torus are most likely to be the origin of the narrow iron line. In the case of the BLR, the X-ray Baldwin Effect is explained by the solid angle of BLR becoming smaller when the luminosity gets large. In the case of the molecular torus, in order to explain the X-ray Baldwin Effect, it is required that the height of torus shrinks when the luminosity gets large. In either of them, there is a implication between the central luminosity and surrounding structures.

References

1. Fabian et al. 2000, PASP, 112, 1145 2. K. Iwasawa, Y. Taniguchi. 1993, ApJ, 413, 15

3. Nandra, K., 2006, MNRAS, 368, L62 5. S. Bianchi et al. 2008, MNRAS, 389, L52 7. Shu et al. 2010, ApJS, 187, 581 4. P. O. Petrucci et al. 2002, A&A, 338, L5 6. Yaqoob & Padmanabhan. 2004, ApJ, 604, 63