

# **Source Apportionment of Airborne Particulate Matter in the United Kingdom**

*Prepared on behalf of the*  
Department of the Environment, Transport  
and the Regions, the Welsh Office, the Scottish Office  
and the Department of the Environment (Northern Ireland)

January 1999

Report of the  
Airborne Particles Expert Group

*Additional copies are available from:*

Lucy Moore  
Zone 4/F15  
Air and Environment Quality Division  
Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions  
Ashdown House  
123 Victoria Street  
London  
SW1E 6DE

*and*

Dr Beth Conlan  
AEA Technology plc  
E5 Culham  
Abingdon  
Oxfordshire  
OX14 3DB

*Also available on DETR worldwide web address at:*

<http://www.environment.detr.gov.uk/airq/>

© Crown copyright

ISBN 0-7058-1771-7

# The Airborne Particle Expert Group

## *Chairman*

**Prof R M Harrison**  
University of Birmingham

## *Members*

**Dr H ApSimon**  
Imperial College

**Dr A G Clarke**  
University of Leeds

**Dr RG Derwent**  
Meteorological Office

**Prof B Fisher**  
University of Greenwich

**Mr J Hickman**  
Transport Research Laboratory

**Mr D Mark**  
University of Birmingham

**Dr T Murrells**  
AEA Technology

**Mr J McAughey**  
AEA Technology

**Prof F Pooley**  
University College, Wales

**Prof R Richards**  
University College, Wales

**Mr J Stedman**  
AEA Technology

**Dr Y Vawda**  
Stanger Science and Environment

## *Observers*

**Dr M Williams**  
Department of the Environment,  
Transport and the Regions

**Dr S Coster**  
Department of the Environment,  
Transport and the Regions

**Prof R L Maynard**  
Department of Health

**Dr H Prosser**  
Welsh Office

**Dr I Hall**  
Scottish Office

**Mr N McMahan**  
Department of Environment (Northern Ireland)

## *Secretariat*

**Dr B Conlan**  
AEA Technology

# Acknowledgements

Mrs M. Mille, School of Engineering, Cardiff University for data collection relating to section 3.8.3.

Dr H. S. Eggleston and Mr J. Goodwin, AEA Technology for assistance with compilation of emission inventory data.

Mr R.S. Appleby, Birmingham City Council for provision of Hodge Hill data.

Ms J. Yin, Institute of Public and Environmental Health, University of Birmingham for analyses of particle mass and number data.

CBD Porton Down, DERA for permission to use data from Lizard, Pershore, Lichfield and Birmingham collected under contract by the University of Birmingham.

Mr P. Willis, Mr A. Charlton, Mr A. Cook, Ms S. Espenhahn, AEA Technology for assistance with analysis of concentration data.

Dr Alison Malcolm, Meteorological Office for assistance with the NAME modelling of particulate sulphate.

Mr David Muir, Bristol City Council for assistance with the analysis and interpretation of the Marylebone Road, London data.

Dr Anson Mackay, Environmental Change Research Centre, University College London for the biological particles analysis.

Dr David Carruthers of CERC for model results.

Phillips Petroleum Company, United Kingdom Limited for the black smoke data from Bacton.

Dr Duncan Wyatt of University of Lancaster and Dr Sarah Metcalfe of University of Edinburgh for provision of HARM model results.

Airborne particulate matter has well recognised adverse effects upon health. Consequently, the UK government has adopted an air quality standard for particles measured as  $PM_{10}^*$  of 50 micrograms per cubic metre ( $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) expressed as a 24 hour running mean (the average of any consecutive 24 hourly measurements at an individual site), and the objective in the National Air Quality Strategy is a 99% compliance of daily maximum running 24 hour means with the air quality standard by 2005. The European Union has recently agreed limit values for  $PM_{10}$  of 50  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  measured over fixed 24 hour periods, not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year (equivalent to a 90th percentile compliance with 50  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ), and an annual average limit value of 40  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ , both to be achieved by the Year 2005. Indicative Stage II limit values have been set at 50  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  not to be exceeded more than seven times per year (equivalent to 98 percentile compliance of 50  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ) and an annual average of 20  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$   $PM_{10}$ , to be achieved by January 2010. Currently, there are widespread exceedences of both the UK National Air Quality Strategy objective and the EU Stage I limit values at urban sites across the UK. This report is concerned with identifying the sources of airborne particulate matter and in predicting the future changes in concentrations arising from controls applied to the various source categories.

Airborne particulate matter has both a primary component, which is emitted directly from sources such as road traffic and industry, and a secondary component which is formed in the atmosphere by chemical reactions of gases, most notably sulphur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen and volatile organic compounds. Knowledge of emissions alone provides valuable insights into the sources of primary particles, but gives little insight into the contribution made by secondary particles to airborne concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ . The report therefore relies heavily upon receptor modelling techniques which use measurements of the chemical and physical properties of airborne particles to assign them to different source categories. The various receptor modelling

methods are consistent in indicating that there are three predominant contributors to  $PM_{10}$  mass in the UK atmosphere. These are respectively road traffic, secondary particles (largely sulphates and nitrates, but with a significant organic component in the summer months) and coarse particles arising from a number of sources including resuspension of surface soils and dusts, sea spray and construction activity. National emissions inventories attribute an important proportion of primary particle emissions to road traffic, but a number of other sources including industry and power stations, commercial, institutional and residential combustion, and industrial activities such as mining, quarrying and construction also contribute significantly, the contribution of road traffic becoming proportionately greater as the size of particles considered is reduced. Inventories of urban emissions attribute a relatively greater proportion of primary emissions to road traffic, and city centre concentrations of primary particles are largely explicable in terms of road traffic emissions and coarse particles. Local sources such as construction, quarrying and certain industries can, however, have an appreciable impact at some sites. Projections of future emissions of primary particles are available for the road traffic sector and indicate a reduction of 49% in emissions by 2005 from a 1996 baseline. This reduction will have a marked impact on future  $PM_{10}$  concentrations, especially at roadside sites where road traffic can contribute up to 15  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  of  $PM_{10}$  above the local background. A very significant proportion of the local elevation is however in the form of coarse particles attributable to resuspension of dusts from the road surface, which are unlikely to benefit from controls on exhaust emissions.

Secondary particles are much more spatially uniform across the UK than primary particles, although there is a general reduction in concentrations moving from a maximum in the south-east to a minimum in the north-west of the British Isles. Numerical models of the atmosphere indicate that both UK and mainland European

*\* $PM_{10}$  to a good approximation describes particles smaller than 10 micrometres diameter, determined by mass.*

sources of precursor emissions contribute substantially to secondary PM<sub>10</sub> and the best estimate of the Group based on the EMEP model is that concentrations of secondary particles will decrease by 30% by 2010 from a 1996 baseline.

The relative contributions of the various source categories to airborne particle concentrations vary substantially on a day-to-day basis. A novel technique has been developed which disaggregates the daily average PM<sub>10</sub> concentration into three components, a primary combustion-generated component, a secondary component, and “other” particles representing coarse particles as well as some other primary non-combustion emissions. Using data disaggregated in this way, the Group has applied reduction factors from a 1996 baseline to the primary combustion-related and secondary components in order to predict future concentrations. It is assumed that 75% of the primary combustion component is due to road traffic which will decrease by 49% by 2005 and 63% by 2010; the other 25% is assumed to remain constant. The secondary component is assumed to decrease by 19% by 2005 and 30% by 2010. The “other” particle component is assumed to remain constant, although given the considerable number of contributing sources, this assumption is uncertain.

The resultant predictions indicate reductions in annual mean PM<sub>10</sub> as measured by TEOM monitoring instruments at London Bloomsbury from 28 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in 1995 to 23 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in 2005 and at Birmingham Centre from 23 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in 1995 to 19 µg m<sup>-3</sup> by 2005. The 99th percentile of daily average concentrations declines from 80 µg m<sup>-3</sup> to 69 µg m<sup>-3</sup> at London Bloomsbury and from 77 µg m<sup>-3</sup> to 65 µg m<sup>-3</sup> at Birmingham Centre. Higher concentrations would be anticipated in years with adverse meteorology. Thus, on the basis of these predictions, current policy measures will not achieve compliance with the National Air Quality Strategy objective for PM<sub>10</sub> at urban background locations and further measures will be needed. An additional complication is provided by bonfire night celebrations which often continue over

several nights, and can be associated with up to four days exceedences of 50 µg m<sup>-3</sup> PM<sub>10</sub>. This number is likely to decrease due to a fall in the primary and secondary particle background upon which the bonfire and firework emissions are superimposed, but bonfire night activities alone will in some years bring PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations close to exceeding the strategy objective.

Comparison of the UK monitoring data determined with TEOM instruments with the European Union Directive limit values is not straightforward since the EU limits are based upon measurements of PM<sub>10</sub> by other instrumental techniques which yield higher data. The difference between the methods is typically 10-30% and the Group has therefore taken a conservative approach of increasing the UK TEOM measurements and associated future projections by 30% for comparison with the EU limit values. The results indicate likely compliance with the EU Stage I limit values for 2005 at urban background locations with the possible exception of Central London in years with adverse meteorology. However, predicted concentrations for 2010 based upon currently planned emission reductions exceed the indicative EU Stage II limit values by a considerable margin in urban background locations in most major cities.

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Review of Instruments Used to Obtain Measurements Used in this Report</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| 2.1      | MEASUREMENTS FROM NATIONAL NETWORKS IN THE UK  | 3        |
| 2.2      | AD-HOC MEASUREMENTS  | 5        |
| 2.3      | REGULATED MEASUREMENTS OF STATIONARY EMISSION SOURCES  | 6        |
| 2.4      | MEASUREMENTS OF THE EMISSION OF PARTICLES FROM VEHICLE EXHAUSTS  | 7        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Primary Particulate Matter</b>  | <b>9</b> |
| 3.1      | INTRODUCTION   | 9        |
| 3.2      | EMISSION INVENTORY   | 9        |
| 3.2.1    | INTRODUCTION   | 9        |
| 3.2.2    | PRIMARY EMISSION SOURCES OF PARTICULATE MATTER   | 11       |
| 3.2.2.1  | Road Transport   | 11       |
| 3.2.2.2  | Other Transport and Off-Road Sources   | 17       |
| 3.2.2.3  | Stationary Combustion  | 17       |
| 3.2.2.4  | Production Processes   | 18       |
| 3.2.2.5  | Mining and Quarrying   | 20       |
| 3.2.2.6  | Waste Treatment and Disposal   | 20       |
| 3.2.2.7  | Agriculture  | 21       |
| 3.2.3    | TIME SERIES OF NATIONAL EMISSION ESTIMATES   | 21       |
| 3.2.3.1  | PM <sub>10</sub>   | 21       |
| 3.2.3.2  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>1</sub> and PM <sub>0.1</sub>  | 22       |
| 3.2.3.3  | Black smoke  | 25       |
| 3.2.4    | UNCERTAINTIES IN EMISSION ESTIMATES AND SOURCES NOT COVERED  | 27       |
| 3.2.4.1  | Uncertainties in Road Transport Emissions  | 28       |
| 3.2.4.2  | Uncertainties in Emissions from Stationary Combustion  | 28       |
| 3.2.4.3  | Uncertainties in Emissions from Production Processes   | 28       |
| 3.2.4.4  | Estimated Overall Uncertainty  | 29       |
| 3.2.4.5  | Emission Sources Not Included  | 29       |
| 3.2.5    | PROJECTED EMISSIONS OF PM <sub>10</sub> FROM ROAD TRANSPORT  | 30       |
| 3.2.6    | SPATIALLY MAPPED UK EMISSIONS AND URBAN INVENTORIES OF PM <sub>10</sub>  | 32       |
| 3.2.6.1  | Urban Emission Inventories   | 33       |
| 3.2.6.2  | Primary PM <sub>10</sub> emissions in Greater Belfast  | 37       |
| 3.2.7    | EUROPEAN EMISSIONS INVENTORY OF PM <sub>10</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> AND PM <sub>0.1</sub>                               | 38       |
| 3.3      | INVENTORY VERIFICATION   | 42       |
| 3.4      | TRANSPORT OF PRIMARY PARTICULATE MATTER FROM MAINLAND EUROPE TO THE UK   | 45       |
| 3.4.1    | Modelling of Long Range Transport of Primary Particulate Matter  | 45       |
| 3.4.2    | Analysis of Rural Black Smoke Measurements in Norfolk  | 47       |
| 3.5      | ANALYSIS OF PM <sub>2.5</sub> /PM <sub>10</sub> DATA   | 48       |
| 3.5.1    | Comparison of daily mean concentrations of PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> at Marylebone Road | 52       |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.6      | ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM ROADSIDE SITES .....  | 53        |
| 3.6.1    | ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM TWO MOTORWAY SITES .....  | 56        |
| 3.6.1.1  | Introduction .....  | 56        |
| 3.6.1.2  | Site Descriptions .....   | 57        |
| 3.6.1.3  | Data summaries .....  | 58        |
| 3.6.1.4  | Sources of PM <sub>10</sub> .....   | 58        |
| 3.6.1.5  | Other roadside PM <sub>10</sub> monitoring .....  | 61        |
| 3.6.2    | TRAFFIC CONTRIBUTION TO PM <sub>10</sub> .....  | 65        |
| 3.7      | PARTICLE EPISODES AROUND BONFIRE NIGHT .....  | 65        |
| 3.8      | LOCAL SOURCES OF PARTICLES.....   | 67        |
| 3.8.1    | INTRODUCTION .....  | 67        |
| 3.8.2    | HIGH PEAK: PM <sub>10</sub> IN THE VICINITY OF QUARRY ACTIVITIES.....   | 67        |
| 3.8.3    | CORNWALL: PM <sub>10</sub> IN THE VICINITY OF CHINA CLAY WORKINGS.....  | 68        |
| 3.8.4    | NORTH DERBYSHIRE: PM <sub>10</sub> IN THE VICINITY OF A<br>RANGE OF SOURCES .....   | 70        |
| 3.8.5    | MEASUREMENTS AROUND INDUSTRIAL SOURCES OF PM <sub>10</sub><br>IN TYNE AND WEAR .....  | 71        |
| 3.8.6    | PM <sub>10</sub> MEASUREMENTS IN BELFAST .....  | 71        |
| 3.9      | BIOLOGICAL PARTICLES IN THE ATMOSPHERE .....  | 71        |
| 3.10     | COMPOSITION OF PARTICLES .....  | 73        |
| 3.10.1   | INTRODUCTION .....  | 73        |
| 3.10.2   | MEASUREMENTS FROM LEEDS.....  | 73        |
| 3.10.2.1 | Introduction.....   | 73        |
| 3.10.2.2 | Total Mass Concentrations.....  | 74        |
| 3.10.2.3 | Particle Size Distribution by Mass. ....  | 74        |
| 3.10.2.4 | Carbonaceous Content.....   | 77        |
| 3.10.2.5 | Sea-Salt and Road Salt .....  | 77        |
| 3.10.2.6 | Tyre Dust .....   | 79        |
| 3.10.3   | MEASUREMENTS FROM SOUTH WALES .....   | 81        |
| 3.10.3.1 | Source Apportionment .....  | 81        |
| 3.10.4   | COARSE PARTICLES - ORIGINS AND SPECIATION .....   | 83        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Secondary Particulate Matter .....</b>   | <b>87</b> |
| 4.1      | INTRODUCTION .....  | 87        |
| 4.1.1    | SULPHURIC ACID AND SULPHATE AEROSOL PARTICLES .....   | 87        |
| 4.1.2    | PARTICULATE AMMONIUM .....  | 90        |
| 4.1.3    | PARTICULATE NITRATE.....  | 91        |
| 4.1.4    | ORGANIC AEROSOL FORMATION .....   | 91        |
| 4.1.5    | SECONDARY PARTICULATE MATTER AND THE<br>MEASUREMENTS OF PM <sub>10</sub> AND PM <sub>2.5</sub> .....                              | 93        |
| 4.2      | MEASUREMENTS OF SECONDARY COMPONENTS.....   | 93        |
| 4.2.1    | UK MEASUREMENTS AT EMEP SITES .....   | 93        |
| 4.2.1.1  | Secondary PM <sub>10</sub> mapping. ....  | 94        |
| 4.2.2    | LEEDS STUDY.....  | 94        |
| 4.2.2.1  | Mass Concentrations of Sulphate and Nitrate .....   | 94        |
| 4.2.2.2  | Particle size .....   | 97        |
| 4.3      | MODELLING OF SECONDARY COMPONENTS.....  | 101       |
| 4.3.1    | ATTRIBUTION OF THE SOURCES OF THE SECONDARY PM <sub>10</sub><br>AND PM <sub>2.5</sub> OBSERVED IN THE UK MONITORING NETWORKS..... | 101       |



|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4.3.1.1  | The July 1996 Episode.....  | 101        |
| 4.3.1.2  | The March 1996 Episode.....   | 105        |
| 4.3.2    | MODELLING OF SECONDARY PARTICULATE MATTER<br>REDUCTIONS BY 2010.....  | 108        |
| 4.4      | FUTURE CONCENTRATIONS OF SECONDARY PARTICULATE MATTER<br>IN THE UNITED KINGDOM.....   | 110        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Receptor Modelling.....</b>  | <b>113</b> |
| 5.1      | INTRODUCTION.....   | 113        |
| 5.2      | RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PM <sub>10</sub> AND CO.....  | 113        |
| 5.3      | ANALYSIS OF PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> /NO <sub>x</sub> /WINDSPEED DATA.....   | 117        |
| 5.3.1    | AN ESTIMATION OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF WIND BLOWN<br>DUSTS TO COARSE PARTICLE CONCENTRATIONS.....   | 117        |
| 5.3.2    | EPISODICITY OF COARSE PARTICLE MASS.....  | 121        |
| 5.4      | PARTICLE NUMBER AS A TRACER FOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS.....  | 122        |
| 5.4.1    | PARTICLE SIZE OF VEHICLE EMISSIONS.....   | 122        |
| 5.4.2    | PARTICLE NUMBER VERSUS PM <sub>10</sub> DATA FOR<br>HIGH VEHICLE DENSITIES.....   | 123        |
| 5.4.3    | PARTICLE NUMBER MONITORING OF DIESEL EMISSIONS.....   | 123        |
| 5.4.4    | PARTICLE NUMBER MONITORING AT THREE LOCATIONS.....  | 126        |
| 5.5      | RECEPTOR MODELLING OF SPECIFIC CHEMICAL COMPONENTS.....   | 128        |
| 5.5.1    | SEA-SALT.....   | 128        |
| 5.5.2    | ESTIMATED CONTRIBUTION OF SEASALT AND SECONDARY<br>AMMONIUM NITRATE AND AMMONIUM SULPHATE TO<br>PM <sub>10</sub> MASS AT URBAN AND RURAL SITES..... | 129        |
| 5.5.3    | SECONDARY ORGANIC CONTRIBUTION OF PARTICLE MASS.....  | 130        |
| 5.6      | ATTRIBUTION OF PM <sub>10</sub> MASS TO COMBUSTION EMISSIONS,<br>SECONDARY COMPONENTS AND 'OTHER' PARTICLES.....                                    | 130        |
| 5.6.1    | INTRODUCTION.....   | 130        |
| 5.6.2    | THE FREQUENCY OF SECONDARY PARTICLE PM <sub>10</sub> EPISODES.....  | 135        |
| 5.6.3    | ANALYSIS OF DATA FOR INDIVIDUAL CITIES.....   | 137        |
| 5.6.4    | PREDICTING PM <sub>10</sub> CONCENTRATIONS IN 2005 FROM<br>CURRENT MEASUREMENTS.....  | 142        |
| 5.6.5    | ALTERNATIVE MODEL OF DAILY PM <sub>10</sub> CONCENTRATIONS<br>USING NO <sub>x</sub> MEASUREMENTS.....   | 145        |
| 5.6.6    | PREDICTING PM <sub>10</sub> CONCENTRATIONS FOR COMPARISON<br>WITH EU 'DAUGHTER DIRECTIVE' LIMIT VALUES.....   | 146        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Summary &amp; Conclusions.....</b>   | <b>149</b> |
| 6.1      | SAMPLING METHODOLOGY.....   | 149        |
| 6.2      | EMISSIONS INVENTORIES.....  | 149        |
| 6.3      | PRIMARY PARTICULATE MATTER.....   | 150        |
| 6.4      | SECONDARY PARTICULATE MATTER.....   | 152        |
| 6.5      | RECEPTOR MODELLING.....   | 152        |
| 6.6      | THE FUTURE.....   | 153        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Annex 1.....</b>   | <b>155</b> |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Annex 2.....</b>   | <b>157</b> |