

Geophysical Research Letters®

RESEARCH LETTER

10.1029/2022GL098915

Key Points:

- Greenland peripheral glacier mass loss increased from 27.2 Gt/yr (February 2003–October 2009) to 42.3 Gt/yr (October 2018–December 2021)
- Mass loss increased by a factor of four for peripheral glaciers in North Greenland during February 2003–December 2021
- Enhanced precipitation in northeast Greenland during October 2018–December 2021 resulted in ice thickening at higher elevations

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

S. A. Khan,
abbas@space.dtu.dk

Citation:

Khan, S. A., Colgan, W., Neumann, T. A., van den Broeke, M. R., Brunt, K. M., Noël, B., et al. (2022). Accelerating ice loss from peripheral glaciers in North Greenland. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 49, e2022GL098915. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL098915>

Received 29 MAR 2022

Accepted 25 MAY 2022

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Shfaqat A. Khan
Formal analysis: Shfaqat A. Khan
Methodology: Shfaqat A. Khan, William Colgan
Project Administration: Shfaqat A. Khan
Software: Shfaqat A. Khan
Validation: Shfaqat A. Khan
Writing – original draft: Shfaqat A. Khan
Writing – review & editing: William Colgan, Thomas A. Neumann, Michiel R. van den Broeke, Kelly M. Brunt, Brice Noël, Jonathan L. Bamber, Javed Hassan

© 2022. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Accelerating Ice Loss From Peripheral Glaciers in North Greenland

Shfaqat A. Khan¹, William Colgan², Thomas A. Neumann³, Michiel R. van den Broeke⁴, Kelly M. Brunt^{3,5}, Brice Noël⁴, Jonathan L. Bamber^{6,7}, Javed Hassan¹, and Anders A. Björk⁸

¹DTU Space, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark, ²Department of Glaciology and Climate, Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland, Copenhagen, Denmark, ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, ⁴Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, ⁵Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, ⁶Bristol Glaciology Centre, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK, ⁷Department of Aerospace and Geodesy, Data Science in Earth Observation, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany, ⁸Department of Geosciences and Natural Resources, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Abstract In recent decades, Greenland's peripheral glaciers have experienced large-scale mass loss, resulting in a substantial contribution to sea level rise. While their total area of Greenland ice cover is relatively small (4%), their mass loss is disproportionately large compared to the Greenland ice sheet. Satellite altimetry from Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) and ICESat-2 shows that mass loss from Greenland's peripheral glaciers increased from 27.2 ± 6.2 Gt/yr (February 2003–October 2009) to 42.3 ± 6.2 Gt/yr (October 2018–December 2021). These relatively small glaciers now constitute $11 \pm 2\%$ of Greenland's ice loss and contribute to global sea level rise. In the period October 2018–December 2021, mass loss increased by a factor of four for peripheral glaciers in North Greenland. While peripheral glacier mass loss is widespread, we also observe a complex regional pattern where increases in precipitation at high altitudes have partially counteracted increases in melt at low altitude.

Plain Language Summary The Arctic is warming more rapidly than the rest of the world. This warming has had an especially profound impact on Greenland's ice cover. Only 4% of Greenland's ice cover are small peripheral glaciers that are distinct from the ice sheet proper. Despite comprising this relatively small area, these small peripheral glaciers are responsible for 11% of the ice loss associated with Greenland's recent sea level rise contribution. Using the satellite laser platforms Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) and ICESat-2, we estimate that ice loss from these Greenland glaciers increased from 27 ± 6 Gt/yr (2003–2009) to 42 ± 6 Gt/yr (2018–2021). We find that the largest acceleration in ice loss is in North Greenland, where we observe ice loss to increase by a factor of four between 2003 and 2021. In some areas, it appears that recent increases in snowfall at high altitudes have partially counteracted recent increases in melt at low altitudes. While many recent Greenland ice loss assessments have focused on only the ice sheet, the recent sharp increase in ice loss from small peripheral glaciers highlights the importance of accurately monitoring Greenland's small peripheral glaciers. These small peripheral glaciers appear poised to play an outsized role in Greenland ice loss for decades to come.

1. Introduction

Greenland's peripheral glaciers are an important, but often not separately considered, element of the global sea level rise budget. Ice loss estimates from satellite gravimetry, which cannot separate the peripheral glaciers from the contiguous ice sheet, are often blended with altimetry and mass-budget ice loss estimates that only sample the contiguous ice sheet. Satellite gravimetry-based community assessments of Greenland's recent sea level rise contribution note that they effectively employ “Greenland ice loss” as being synonymous with “Greenland ice sheet ice loss” (IMBIE Team, 2020; Shepherd et al., 2012).

While peripheral glaciers comprise 4% of Greenland's ice-covered area, their specific ice loss is disproportionately high in comparison to that of the ice sheet ($11 \pm 2\%$, as we describe here). The most recent laser altimetry estimates of Greenland peripheral glacier ice loss pertain to the 2003–2009 Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) observational period. These estimates range between 28 ± 12 and 44 ± 18 Gt/yr of ice loss, depending on which glaciers are classified as “peripheral” (Bolch et al., 2013; Gardner et al., 2013). This is comparable

to the ice loss from Ellesmere Island, adjacent to northeast Greenland (Sasgen et al., 2022; Wouters et al., 2019). Peripheral glaciers were responsible for >10% of the Greenland ice loss observed during this period (Colgan et al., 2013; Gardner et al., 2013).

Regional climate modeling suggests that increasing air temperatures and meltwater percolation have contributed to a recent, and sharp, decrease in firn pore volume across Greenland's peripheral glaciers (Noël et al., 2017). The ability of peripheral glaciers to buffer their response to climate change, by retaining meltwater via refreezing in porous firn, is expected to decrease with this decline in firn pore volume, promoting acceleration of mass loss (van Angelen et al., 2013). Here, we provide the first laser altimetry assessment of changing ice loss rates from Greenland peripheral glaciers that bridges both the ICESat and ICESat-2 periods of February 2003–December 2021.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Elevation Changes During February 2003–October 2009 From ICESat

We use ICESat data from February 2003 to October 2009 (Schenk & Csatho, 2012; Smith et al., 2020; Zwally et al., 2014) to estimate elevation changes over the ice surface. We estimate height changes over the ice surface on a regular grid with a resolution of 500×500 m that covers all of Greenland's peripheral glaciers. We use all available ICESat data to create height time series at each grid point. At each grid point, we fit a trend, a second-order surface topography, and a seasonal term to account for the annual surface changes (Khan et al., 2022; Schenk & Csatho, 2012; Sørensen et al., 2011) (see Supporting Information S1 for details).

The observed height change rates from ICESat are spatially interpolated into a regular grid of 500×500 m. The interpolation is performed using the ordinary kriging method (Hurkmans et al., 2014; Nielsen et al., 2013). We use the observed elevation change rates to estimate an empirical semivariogram. Next, we fit an exponential model variogram (with a range of 60 km) to the empirical semivariogram to take the spatial correlation of elevation change rates into account in the error budget. For each grid point, we estimate elevation change rate $dh_{i,krig}$, and associated uncertainty error.

We correct the observed height change rates for firn compaction, elastic uplift rates from present-day mass changes, and long-term past ice changes (Glacial Isostatic Adjustment—GIA). We correct for GIA using the GNET-GIA empirical model of Khan et al. (2016). For each grid point, we estimate the GIA uplift rate dh_{GIA} and the associated uncertainty s_{GIA} . To correct for elastic uplift of the bedrock, we convolve ice loss estimates of peripheral glaciers and the Greenland ice sheet with Green's functions derived by Wang et al. (2012) for elastic Earth model iasp91 (Wang et al., 2012) with a refined crustal structure from Crust 2.0 (Laske et al., 2012).

2.2. Elevation Changes During October 2018–December 2021 From ICESat-2

We estimated elevation changes for Greenland's peripheral glaciers using ICESat-2 data from October 2018 to December 2021. We use ICESat-2 Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document for Land Ice Height (ATL06) Release 004 retrieved from <https://nsidc.org/data/atl06> (Smith et al., 2021). We estimate elevation changes using the same method as described for ICESat data in the previous section. We also apply the same corrections for elastic uplift, GIA, and firn compaction.

2.3. Elevation Changes During October 2008–April 2019

We fill the gap between ICESat and ICESat-2 satellite missions by merging elevations from both missions. We estimate elevation changes for Greenland's peripheral glaciers by performing a crossover analysis between ICESat and ICESat-2 data. We use all available ICESat data points from October 2008 to October 2009 and ICESat-2 data points from October 2018 to April 2019. Our elevation changes of dh point measurements span between 9.0 and 10.5 years. We estimate elevation change rates based on dh differences and apply corrections for elastic uplift, GIA, and firn compaction (see Supporting Information S1).




Figure 1. (a) Map of ice-covered areas in Greenland. Greenland ice sheet (white). Peripheral glaciers in the north (black dots), northeast (green dots), southeast (red dots), southwest (blue dots), and northwest (purple dots). Mean surface air temperature in °C during May–September in (b) the north, (c) northwest, (d) southeast, (e) southwest, and (f) northwest Greenland from RACMO2.3p2. The straight line in panel (b–f) denotes 1990–2021 trend with the rate listed above the line. Area size in km² for each peripheral glacier region and the Greenland ice sheet are listed in Table 1.

3. Results

3.1. Elevation Changes and Ice Loss

Figure 2 shows elevation change rates during February 2003–October 2009, October 2008–April 2019, and October 2018–December 2021.




Figure 2. Elevation change rates, in m/yr, during (a) February 2003–October 2009, (b) October 2008–April 2019, and (c) October 2018–December 2021.

Table 1 shows mass change for each of the five peripheral glacier regions considered in this study, along with the contemporaneous mass change of the Greenland ice sheet. The Greenland ice sheet mass change during February 2003–October 2009 was retrieved from Khan et al. (2016), and the mass change during October 2008–April 2019 was retrieved from Khan et al. (2022). For the period from October 2018 to December 2021, we used ICESat-2 data.

3.2. Complex Regional Ice Loss Patterns

We find large differences in the ice loss trends across the five peripheral glacier regions that we survey. Three regions—southeast, southwest, and northwest—each lost between 1.6 and 6.9 Gt/yr of ice mass. Though they show large temporal variability, their total contribution to ice loss is relatively small. Conversely, the north and northeast regions show striking features of a large and persistent thinning pattern.

Table 1

Peripheral Glacier (This Study) and Ice Sheet (Khan et al., 2016, 2022) Mass Change (Including Correction for Firn, Elastic, and GIA)

Region	Area [km ²]	Mass change [Gt/yr]		
		February 2003–October 2009	October 2008–April 2019	October 2018–December 2021
North	35,003	-6.7 ± 1.6	-11.7 ± 1.4	-26.1 ± 1.4
Northeast	21,943	-11.8 ± 2.2	-9.4 ± 2.7	-6.4 ± 2.3
Southeast	2,610	-2.3 ± 0.6	-1.6 ± 1.0	-2.6 ± 0.8
Southwest	7,011	-2.9 ± 0.9	-4.9 ± 0.9	-3.0 ± 0.9
Northwest	5,807	-3.5 ± 0.9	-6.9 ± 0.9	-4.2 ± 0.8
All peripheral glaciers	72,374	-27.2 ± 6.2	-34.5 ± 6.9	-42.3 ± 6.2
Greenland ice sheet	1,732,859	-218.1 ± 20.1	-258.6 ± 15.6	-262.3 ± 39.0
All Greenland	1,805,233	-245.3 ± 26.3	-293.1 ± 22.4	-304.6 ± 45.2

Note. Bold text indicate total Area or Mass Change.




Figure 3. Top row: Detailed elevation change rates of Figure 2 during (a) February 2003–October 2009, (b) October 2008–April 2019, and (c) October 2018–December 2021 for peripheral glaciers in the North. Bottom row: Detailed elevation change rates for peripheral glaciers in the northeast during (d) February 2003–October 2009, (e) October 2008–April 2019, and (f) October 2018–December 2021.

In North Greenland, we observe an increase in the thinning rate during February 2003–December 2021 (Figures 3a–3c), resulting in an increased mass loss rate from 6.7 ± 1.6 Gt/yr during February 2003–October 2009 to 11.7 ± 1.4 Gt/yr during October 2008–April 2019 and finally 26.1 ± 1.4 during October 2018–December 2021. Figures 3a–3c suggests that thinning is spreading to higher elevations over this time. While the highest elevations slightly thickened during February 2003–October 2009, these areas transitioned into slight thinning during October 2008–April 2019 followed by more extensive thinning during October 2018–December 2021.

In northeast Greenland, by contrast, thickening at higher elevations has become more extensive, while lower altitudes have continued to thin (Figures 3d–3f). As a result, the total mass loss rate of the northeastern sector declined from 11.8 ± 2.2 Gt/yr (February 2003–October 2009) to 9.4 ± 2.7 Gt/yr (October 2008–April 2019) and finally 6.4 ± 2.3 (October 2018–December 2021) (see Table 1). We note that the peripheral glacier plateaus in the North sector have a maximum altitude of about 1,000–1,200 m, while the glacier plateaus in the northeast sector have a maximum altitude of about 2,000–3,000 m. Plateau geometry likely plays a key role in sustaining a viable accumulation area.

4. Discussion

4.1. Disproportional Sea Level Rise Contribution

Previous laser altimetry assessments of peripheral glacier ice loss have excluded the ice sheet and did not use ICESat-2 data to assess the most recent changes (Bolch et al., 2013; Gardner et al., 2013; Noël et al., 2017). By combining our peripheral glacier assessment with an ice sheet assessment using an analogous altimetry approach

and ice mask (Khan et al., 2016, 2022), we present the first consistent, geodetic estimate of ice loss from all of Greenland's land ice derived from laser altimetry. By unambiguously identifying all of Greenland's land ice as either peripheral glacier or ice sheet (Pedersen et al., 2013), we resolve previous ambiguity associated with pairing independent peripheral glacier and ice sheet assessments (Bamber et al., 2018). The peripheral glacier area of the Pedersen et al. (2013) ice mask that we use (72,374 km²) is ~18% smaller than the 88,083 km² of Citterio and Ahlstrøm (2013) ice mask and ~20% smaller than the 89,720 km² ice mask of Rastner et al. (2012). Our assessment therefore represents a lower bound of peripheral glacier mass loss.

We assess the peripheral glacier contribution to Greenland ice loss as $11 \pm 2\%$ during both the February 2003–October 2009 and October 2008–April 2019 periods. During the October 2018–December 2021 period, however, the peripheral glacier contribution to Greenland ice loss increased sharply to $14 \pm 2\%$. This recent increase in the peripheral glacier contribution to Greenland ice loss is associated with a sharp increase in ice loss from North Greenland glaciers (discussed below). Given that Greenland's peripheral glaciers comprise 4% of land ice by area (Pedersen et al., 2013) but are responsible for $14 \pm 2\%$ of current Greenland ice loss, their sea level contribution is clearly disproportionately large. This is consistent with the expectation that smaller local glaciers with hypsometry peaking at lower elevations respond more rapidly to climate changes than a larger ice sheet with an extensive and highly elevated interior (Bahr et al., 1998; Noël et al., 2017, 2020).

4.2. Accelerated Ice Loss in North Greenland

Peripheral glacier mass loss in North Greenland has effectively quadrupled over the observational period, from 6.7 ± 1.6 Gt/yr during the February 2003–October 2009 ICESat period to 26.1 ± 1.4 Gt/yr during the October 2018–December 2021 ICESat-2 period. The large mass loss rate during the ICESat-2 period is strongly influenced by the major melt event in 2019 (Sasgen et al., 2020), followed by relative warm summers in 2020 and 2021 (see Figure 1c). North Greenland is now responsible for ~60% of all Greenland peripheral glacier mass loss—more than all other regions combined.

Figures 1b–1f shows regional mean surface air temperatures in °C during May–September (MJJAS) from the regional climate model RACMO2.3p2 (Noël et al., 2018). The mean air temperatures are estimates over peripheral glacier areas highlighted in Figure 1a. Since c. 1990, north, northeast, and northwest Greenland have been experiencing a greater rate of warming than South Greenland (Figures 1b–1f). We estimate an average air temperature trend of $0.048 \pm 0.020^\circ\text{C/yr}$ for North Greenland and $0.028 \pm 0.019^\circ\text{C/yr}$ for southeast Greenland (see Figure 1). This is attributable to large-scale and persistent changes in atmospheric circulation, which have resulted in the North Greenland ice sheet ablation area expanding about twice as fast as the South Greenland ablation area (Noël et al., 2019).

The North Greenland peripheral glacier mass loss during the October 2018–December 2021 period is clearly influenced by the extreme 2019 melt season. This extreme summer melt appears to have been caused by two compounding factors: relatively low winter snowfall, which preconditioned ice for an earlier and longer melt season, and relatively stable summer high-pressure systems, with enhanced melting through increased northward advection of midlatitude air masses (Hanna et al., 2016; Sasgen et al., 2020). As climate change is expected to both lengthen the melt season and enhance midlatitude atmospheric inflow to the Arctic (Cai et al., 2018; Overland et al., 2019; Sasgen et al., 2022), the extreme glacier loss that we observe in North Greenland in 2019 may be considered symptomatic of a future warmer Arctic.

5. Conclusions

We present the first comprehensive laser altimetry-based assessment of ice loss from all of Greenland's land ice, including both peripheral glaciers and the Greenland ice sheet, spanning the period February 2003–December 2021. While peripheral glaciers comprise only a small portion of Greenland's land ice area, mass loss is increasing faster in relation to the ice sheet. In North Greenland especially, peripheral glacier mass loss has increased fourfold over the satellite observation period. In recent years, this area of glaciers has contributed to approximately 10% (Table 1, bold entries) of the total Greenland ice loss.

Greenland's peripheral glaciers contain an estimated total ice volume of 4.7 ± 0.7 cm of sea level equivalent (Huss & Farinotti, 2012). This is comparable to the 4.6 ± 1.5 cm of sea level equivalent for Alaska and Western Canada combined (Millan et al., 2022). Numerical projections suggest that Greenland's peripheral glaciers will not reach peak specific mass loss within the 21st century. Greenland's peripheral glaciers are therefore poised to remain a major player in Greenland's ice loss budget for decades to come. This provides strong motivation to ensure explicit representation of peripheral glacier mass loss in community assessments of Greenland ice loss (IMBIE Team, 2020).

The trends and variability in Greenland's peripheral glacier mass loss that we document are clearly complex in both space and time. Better scientific understanding of the presatellite era mass balance of Greenland's peripheral glacier population would place contemporary mass loss rates into a better temporal context. At present, geodetic estimates of Greenland's peripheral glacier mass balance are a missing component of the 20th century global sea level budget.

Data Availability Statement

The Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) data are available at: <https://nsidc.org/data/icesat/data.html>. The Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2) data are available at: <https://nsidc.org/data/icesat-2>. Surface elevation change rates, firn compaction rates, elastic uplift rates from present-day mass changes, GIA vertical Land Motion, and air temperature time series are available at the following data repository: <https://data-dryad.org/stash/share/q3qZmdNu3RSZMmaxjtKQMSlR9pTFFASELjUPxAn3Hw>.

References

- Bahr, D. B., Pfeffer, W. T., Sassolas, C., & Meier, M. F. (1998). Response times of glaciers as a function of size and mass balance: 1. Theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(B5), 9777–9782. <https://doi.org/10.1029/98JB00507>
- Bamber, J. L., Westaway, R. M., Marzeion, B., & Wouters, B. (2018). The land ice contribution to sea level during the satellite era. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(6), 063008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aac2f0>
- Bolch, T., Sandberg Sørensen, L., Simonsen, S. B., Mölg, N., Machguth, H., Rastner, P., & Paul, F. (2013). Mass loss of Greenland's glaciers and ice caps 2003–2008 revealed from ICESat data. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 875–881. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50270>
- Cai, L. A., Vladimir, A., Walsh, J. E., & Bhatt, U. S. (2018). Patterns, impacts, and future projections of summer variability in the Arctic from CMIP5 models. *Journal of Climate*, 31(24), 9815–9833. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-18-01119.1>
- Citterio, M., & Ahlstrøm, A. P. (2013). Brief communication “The aerophotogrammetric map of Greenland ice masses”. *The Cryosphere*, 7(2), 445–449. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-445-2013>
- Colgan, W., Luthcke, S., Abdalati, W., & Citterio, M. (2013). Constraining GRACE-derived cryosphere-attributed signal to irregularly shaped ice-covered areas. *The Cryosphere*, 7(6), 1901–1914. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-1901-2013>
- Gardner, A., Moholdt, G., Cogley, J. G., Wouters, B., Arendt, A. A., Wahr, J., et al. (2013). A reconciled estimate of glacier contributions to sea level rise: 2003 to 2009. *Science*, 340(6134), 852–857. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1234532>
- Hanna, E., Cropper, T. E., Hall, R. J., & Cappelen, J. (2016). Greenland blocking index 1851–2015: A regional climate change signal. *International Journal of Climatology*, 36, 4847–4861. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4673>
- Hurkmans, R. T. W. L., Bamber, J. L., Davis, C. H., Joughin, I. R., Khvorostovsky, K. S., Smith, B. S., & Schoen, N. (2014). Time-evolving mass loss of the Greenland Ice Sheet from satellite altimetry. *The Cryosphere*, 8, 1725–1740. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1725-2014>
- Huss, M., & Farinotti, D. (2012). Distributed ice thickness and volume of all glaciers around the globe. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117, F04010. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JF002523>
- IMBIE Team. (2020). Mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet from 1992 to 2018. *Nature*, 579, 233–239. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1855-2>
- Khan, S. A., Bamber, J. L., Rignot, E., Helm, V., Aschwanden, A., Holland, D. M., et al. (2022). Greenland mass trends from airborne and satellite altimetry during 2011–2020. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 127(4), e2021JF006505. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006505>
- Khan, S. A., Sasgen, I., Bevis, M., vanDam, T., Bamber, J. L., Wahr, J., et al. (2016). Geodetic measurements reveal similarities between post-Last Glacial Maximum and present-day mass loss from the Greenland ice sheet. *Science Advances*, 2, e1600931. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600931>
- Laske, G., Masters, G., & Reif, C. (2012). *CRUST 2.0: A new global crustal model at 2x2 degrees*. Retrieved from <https://figppweb.ucsd.edu/~gabi/rem.html>
- Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., & Morlighem, M. (2022). Ice velocity and thickness of the world's glaciers. *Nature Geoscience*, 15, 124–129. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00885-z>
- Nielsen, K., Khan, S. A., Spada, G., Wahr, J., Bevis, M., Liu, L., & Dam, T. (2013). Vertical and horizontal surface displacements near Jakobshavn Isbræ driven by melt-induced and dynamic ice loss. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 118, 1837–1844. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrb.50145>
- Noël, B., Jakobs, C. L., vanPelt, W. J. J., Lhermitte, S., Wouters, B., Kohler, J., et al. (2020). Low elevation of Svalbard glaciers drives high mass loss variability. *Nature Communications*, 11, 4597. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18356-1>
- Noël, B., Jan van de Berg, W., Lhermitte, S., & vanden Broeke, M. R. (2019). Rapid ablation zone expansion amplifies north Greenland mass loss. *Science Advances*, 5(9), eaaw0123. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw0123>
- Noël, B., vande Berg, W., Lhermitte, S., Wouters, B., Machguth, H., Howat, I., et al. (2017). A tipping point in refreezing accelerates mass loss of Greenland's glaciers and ice caps. *Nature Communications*, 8, 14730. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14730>

Acknowledgments

S. A. Khan acknowledges support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark—Natural Sciences Grant Nos. 1026-00085B and Villum Fonden (Villum Experiment Programme) Project No. 40718. W. Colgan acknowledges support from the Independent Research Fund Denmark Grant No. 8049-00003. B. B. Noël was funded by the NWO VENI grant VI.Veni.192.019. M. R. van den Broeke acknowledges support from the Netherlands Earth System Science Centre. T. A. Neumann and K. M. Brunt acknowledge support from the ICESat-2 Project Science Office. J. L. Bamber received funding by the European Research Council (GlobalMass; Grant No. 694188) and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the framework of the international future lab AI4EO (Grant No. 01DD20001). Finally, we thank two anonymous reviewers for insightful and constructive comments.

- Noël, B., vande Berg, W. J., van Wessem, J. M., van Meijgaard, E., van As, D., Lenaerts, J. T. M., et al. (2018). Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice sheets using RACMO2, Part 1: Greenland (1958–2016). *The Cryosphere*, 12, 811–831. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-811-2018>
- Overland, J., Dunlea, E., Box, J. E., Corell, R., Forsius, M., Kattsov, V., et al. (2019). The urgency of Arctic change. *Polar Science*, 21, 6–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2018.11.008>
- Pedersen, M., Weng, W. L., Keulen, N., & Kokfelt, T. (2013). A new seamless digital 1:500,000 scale geological map of Greenland. *Geol. Surv. Den. Greenl.*, 28, 65–68. <https://doi.org/10.34194/geusb.v28.4727>
- Rastner, P., Bolch, T., Mölg, N., Machguth, H., Le Bris, R., & Paul, F. (2012). The first complete inventory of the local glaciers and ice caps on Greenland. *The Cryosphere*, 6, 1483–1495. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1483-2012>
- Sasgen, I., Salles, A., Wegmann, M., Wouters, B., Fettweis, X., Noel, B. P. Y., & Beck, C. (2022). Arctic glaciers record wavier circumpolar winds. *Nature Climate Change*, 12, 249–255. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01275-4>
- Sasgen, I., Wouters, B., Gardner, A. S., King, M. D., Tedesco, M., Landerer, F. W., et al. (2020). Return to rapid ice loss in Greenland and record loss in 2019 detected by the GRACE-FO satellites. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 1, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-020-0010-1>
- Schenk, T., & Csatho, B. (2012). A new methodology for detecting ice sheet surface elevation changes from laser altimetry data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 50(9), 3302–3316. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2011.2182357>
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Barletta, V. R., Bentley, M. J., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K. H., et al. (2012). A reconciled estimate of ice-sheet mass balance. *Science*, 338(6111), 1183–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1228102>
- Smith, B., Fricker, H. A., Gardner, A., Siegfried, M. R., Adusumilli, S., Csathó, B. M., et al. (2021). *ATLAS/ICESat-2 L3A land ice height, Version 4*. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <https://doi.org/10.5067/ATLAS/ATL06.004>
- Smith, B., Fricker, H. A., Gardner, A. S., Medley, B., Nilsson, J., Paolo, F. S., et al. (2020). Pervasive ice sheet mass loss reflects competing ocean and atmosphere processes. *Science*, 368(6496), 1239–1242. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz5845>
- Sørensen, L. S., Simonsen, S. B., Nielsen, K., Lucas-Picher, P., Spada, G., Adalgeirsdottir, G., et al. (2011). Mass balance of the Greenland ice sheet (2003–2008) from ICESat data – The impact of interpolation, sampling and firm density. *The Cryosphere*, 5, 173–186. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-5-173-2011>
- van Angelen, J. H., Lenaerts, J. T. M., vanden Broeke, M. R., Fettweis, X., & van Meijgaard, E. (2013). Rapid loss of firm pore space accelerates 21st century Greenland mass loss. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 2109–2113. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50490>
- Wang, H., Xiang, L., Jia, L., Jiang, L., Wang, Z., Hu, B., & Gao, P. (2012). Load Love numbers and Green's functions for elastic Earth models PREM, iasp91, ak135, and modified models with refined crustal structure from Crust 2.0. *Computers & Geosciences*, 49, 190–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.06.022>
- Wouters, B., Gardner, A. S., & Moholdt, G. (2019). Global glacier mass loss during the GRACE satellite mission (2002–2016). *Frontiers of Earth Science*, 7, 96. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00096>
- Zwally, H. J., Schutz, R., Hancock, D., & Dimarzio, J. (2014). *GLAS/ICESat L2 Global Antarctic and Greenland ice sheet altimetry data (HDF5), Version 34. [2003-2009]*. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <https://doi.org/10.5067/ICESAT/GLAS/DATA209>

References From the Supporting Information

- Csatho, B. M., Schenk, A. F., vander Veen, C. J., Babonis, G., Duncan, K., Rezvanbehbahani, S., et al. (2014). Laser altimetry reveals complex pattern of Greenland Ice Sheet dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academies of Sciences*, 111(52), 18478–18483. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411680112>
- Herron, M. M., & Langway, C. C. (1980). Firm densification: An empirical model. *Journal of Glaciology*, 25(93), 373–385. <https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000015239>
- Khan, S., Kjær, K., Bevis, M., Bamber, J. L., Wahr, J., Kjeldsen, K. K., et al. (2014). Sustained mass loss of the northeast Greenland ice sheet triggered by regional warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 292–299. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2161>
- Kuipers Munneke, P., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Noel, B. P. Y., Howat, I. M., Box, J. E., Mosley-Thompson, E., et al. (2015). Elevation change of the Greenland Ice Sheet due to surface mass balance and firm processes, 1960–2014. *The Cryosphere*, 9(6), 2009–2025. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-2009-2015>
- Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Kuipers Munneke, P., Noël, B. P. Y., & vanden Broeke, M. R. (2018). Brief communication: Improved simulation of the present-day Greenland firm layer (1960–2016). *The Cryosphere*, 12, 1643–1649. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1643-2018>
- Reeh, N., Fisher, D. A., Koerner, R. M., & Clausen, H. B. (2005). An empirical firm-densification model comprising ice lenses. *Annals of Glaciology*, 42, 101–106. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756405781812871>
- Zwally, H. J., & Li, J. (2002). Seasonal and interannual variations of firm densification and ice-sheet surface elevation at the Greenland summit. *Journal of Glaciology*, 48(161), 199–207. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756502781831403>