Good performance, less computation: A new ionospheric model for the Galileo Open Service

Knowledge for Tomorrow

Mainul Hoque¹, Matteo Sgammini², Francesco Menzione², Raul Orus Perez³, Eric Chatre⁴

¹ German Aero Space Center (DLR),
² Joint Research Center (JRC/EC),
³ European Space Agency (ESA) and
⁴ European Commission (EC)



Overview

- Ionosphere correction models for GNSS
- Neustrelitz Total Electron Content Model (NTCM) approaches
- Fast ionospheric correction using Galileo Az coefficients and the NTCM model
- Validation against GPS Vertical Total Electron Content (VTEC) data
- Validation against GPS Slant Total Electron Content (STEC) data
- Validation against 3D position data
- Summary



GALILEO STAR Klobuchar / GPS mod. Klobuchar NTCM-BC **GLONASS** ICA **NeQuick Galileo** 8 broadcast 9 broadcast no ionos \bullet 8 broadcast 3 Az coeffi. coeffi. coeffi. correction coeffi. BDS-3, BDGIM 9 broadcast coeffi.

Ionosphere correction models for GNSS

DLR has developed Neustrelitz TEC BroadCast Model NTCM-BC for next generation GPS, Galileo systems



Neustrelitz TEC Model (NTCM) approaches



Neustrelitz TEC Model (NTCM) G



GALILEO

GNSS MARKET &

APPLICATIONS

European GNSS Service Centre

GSC PRODUCTS

SUPPORT TO

DEVELOPERS

Good performance, less computation: A new ionospheric model for the Galileo Open Service

SYSTEM &

SERVICE STATUS

ELECTRONIC

LIBRARY

https://www.gsceuropa.eu/news/good-performanceless-computation-a-new-ionosphericmodel-for-the-galileo-open-service, May 2022

- ✓ NTCM-G has been endorsed by EC as an alternative model to Nequick-G
- ✓ Nequick-G remains the official Galileo reference model for all OS users
- ✓ Due to its low computational load, NTCM-G can be exploited for particular user communities, in particular Aviation

Q



DLR.de • Chart 6 > 2nd International Symposium of Commission 4 > Hoque et al. • NTCM G > 5 - 8 Sep 2022



NTCM driven by GPS and Galileo ionospheric coefficients



- ✓ NTCM-Klobpar model is proposed as an alternative to the GPS Klobuchar model.
- ✓ NTCM-GIAzpar model is proposed as an alternative to the NeQuick Galileo model.

GPS-Klobuchar versus NTCM

GPS Klobuchar Model





1 TECU is equivalent to 16.3 cm range error at GPS L1 frequency



NeQuick-Galileo versus NTCM

NeQuick-Galileo Model



NTCM Model

parameter	model	# coefficients		NTCM	NTCM-	NTCM-	NTCM-
peak ionization	24 maps	24 * 988 = 23,712			BC	Klobpar	GlAzpar
peak height	24 maps	24 * 441 = 10,584	# coeffi.	12	9	12	12



Fast ionospheric correction using Galileo Az coefficients and the NTCM model



Hoque, M.M., Jakowski, N. & Orús-Pérez, R. GPS Solut (2019) 23: 41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-019-0833-3 Comparisons of daily F10.7 and GlAzpar during 2013-2017. Special thanks to ESA for providing historical data of Az coefficients.



Vertical TEC performance-1

VTEC residuals (VTEC_{model} - VTEC_{igsg}) statistics considering **all local time**

- Standard Deviation (STD)
- Root Mean Square (RMS)





Vertical TEC performance-2

VTEC residuals statistics considering daytime hours **12-15 LT**

- Standard Deviation (STD)
- Root Mean Square (RMS)





Slant TEC performance-1

The performance of *NTCM G* and *NeQuick G* is assessed using independent Slant Total Electron Content (STEC) measurements computed at about 120 - 160 worldwide IGS ground stations for every day in the period March 2013 to December 2021



Statistics of daily STEC residuals (monthly average) for the period March 2013
 December 2022 using NeQuick G and NTCM G





Global mean of 3D Position error-1

 An implementation of the precise point position (PPP) technique, following Sanz Subirana et al.
 (2013), is used to obtain the daily reference position for the receivers that are going to be used for the test.



 Global set of IGS receivers (about 47) used for the position domain performance for 2014 and 2019.



Global mean of 3D Position error-2



 3D error as a function of the day for different percentiles and years. Left is 2014 and Right is 2019. Notice that the error is the 15-day weighted average



Global mean of 3D Position error-3



2019

2014

 3D error as a function of the day for 68% percentile and years. Left is 2014 and Right is 2019. Notice that the error is the 15-day weighted average



Summary

- The investigation shows that the NTCM can be successfully driven by Galileo broadcast ionization coefficients.
- The global and regional performance analysis with reference VTEC data from IGS shows that the performance of the NTCM was at least equal to, or better than, the NeQuickG model in the global average.
- A comparison with reference STEC data shows that there is no significant difference between both models performance in terms of RMS residual statistics.
- A comparison with reference 3D position data shows that there is no significant difference between both models performance in terms of RMS residual statistics.
- NTCM-G runtimes (in C under VS) more than x1000 faster than NeQuick-G (from F. Menzione, M. Sgammini, "NTCM G Software Package," May 2022)
- Due to its low computational load, NTCM-G can be exploited for particular user communities, in particular Aviation
- It is assumed that most mass market and geodetic receiver manufacturers would favor a compact algorithm.



Thank you!



Contact: Dr. M Mainul Hoque Institute for Solar-Terrestrial Physics German Aerospace Center (DLR) Kalkhorstweg 53 17235 Neustrelitz, Germany E-mail: <u>Mainul.Hoque@dlr.de</u>

