# Martin Kanovský



Status quo ante:

The last evaluation of research in Slovakia was conducted in 2014, with materials submitted for the period 2008 - 2013

Recovery and Resilience Plan (RPP):

**Component 8** 

Reform 2

**Basic principles:** 

Period of Assessment: 2014-2019

#### **Units of Assessment:**

- 28 fields of science in 7 broad areas Applicants:
- public higher education institutions
- public research institutions (Slovak

**Academy of Sciences)** 

#### Data:

308 applications

7 700 outputs

8 379 researchers

165 evaluators (66 universities, 19 countries)

#### **Compliance to the core commitments:**

AGREEMENT ON REFORMING RESEARCH ASSESSMENT

20 July 2022



#### **Compliance to the core commitments:**

1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research

- 1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research
- 2. Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators

- 1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research
- 2. Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators
- 3. Abandon inappropriate uses in research assessment of journal- and publication based metrics, in particular inappropriate uses of Journal Impact Factor (JIF) and h-index

- 1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research
- 2. Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators
- 3. Abandon inappropriate uses in research assessment of journal- and publication based metrics, in particular inappropriate uses of Journal Impact Factor (JIF) and h-index
- 4. Avoid the use of rankings of research organisations in research assessment

- 1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research
- 2. Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators
- 3. Abandon inappropriate uses in research assessment of journal- and publication based metrics, in particular inappropriate uses of Journal Impact Factor (JIF) and h-index
- 4. Avoid the use of rankings of research organisations in research assessment
- 5. Commit resources to reforming research assessment as is needed to achieve the organisational changes committed to

- 1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research
- 2. Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators
- 3. Abandon inappropriate uses in research assessment of journal- and publication based metrics, in particular inappropriate uses of Journal Impact Factor (JIF) and h-index
- 4. Avoid the use of rankings of research organisations in research assessment
- 5. Commit resources to reforming research assessment as is needed to achieve the organisational changes committed to
- 6. Review and develop research assessment criteria, tools and processes

- 1. Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research
- 2. Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators
- 3. Abandon inappropriate uses in research assessment of journal- and publication based metrics, in particular inappropriate uses of Journal Impact Factor (JIF) and h-index
- 4. Avoid the use of rankings of research organisations in research assessment
- 5. Commit resources to reforming research assessment as is needed to achieve the organisational changes committed to
- 6. Review and develop research assessment criteria, tools and processes
- 7. Raise awareness of research assessment reform and provide transparent communication, guidance, and training on assessment criteria and processes as well as their use