



Production of sustainable and recyclable phosphorus flame retardant additives

Alejandro Fonseca



This Project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation Programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant Agreement No.859885

A little bit of context: Plastics in a circular economy

"We must change how we design, use, and reuse plastics. We cannot simply recycle or reduce our way out of the plastic pollution crisis. If we don't act now, by 2050 there could be more plastic than fish in the oceans"

Ellen MacArthur Foundation

Plastics must be designed for circularity!



A little bit of context: Plastics chemical composition





A little bit of context: Phosphorus as an alternative







- Recognized global contaminants
- Associated with adverse health effects
- Use of highly toxic reagents during synthesis

- Non-toxic
- Biodegradable/Recyclable
- Very efficient

Rev Environ Health. 2010 Oct-Dec;25(4):261-305 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 10450 – 10467



A little bit of context: Phosphorus based flame retardants

'Molecules that incorporate phosphorus in their structure'

Their structure can vary greatly:



Among them there's a very interesting kind of P-FR!

Phosphoramidates



Multiple flame retardancy mechanisms due to P+N synergistic effects!

Fire Mater.2013;37:259–279 ACS Appl. Polym. Mater. 2019, 1, 1118–1128



My research: Obtention of phosphoramidates

Synthetic approaches in literature:

a Direct nucleophilic substitution



b Atherton Todd Reaction









Phosphoramidates are common and widespread backbones for a great variety of chemicals!

Non-desired precursors/reagents, poor atom or unpractical reaction conditions

What if...

Non-toxic reagents/precursors

- Good atom economy
- Mild reaction conditions



Where do we start from?



Preliminary experiments results

Route	Results
Nucleophilic substitution	Discarded
Direct condensation	Discarded
Oxidative coupling	It may work!





 Phosphate esters were inert to the tested reaction conditions



 Phosphoric acids were not able to couple with amines (formation of salt)

KU LEUVEN



 Phosphites coupled well with amines in the presence of a Cu catalyst!



Reaction optimization





Fraser et. al.

We can play with the variables of the reaction!

Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 8919



Improvement points

- Higher yield
- Lower reaction time
- Recyclable catalyst

Reaction optimization: Catalyst



Catalyst	Yield	
None	>1%	
Cul	73%	
FeCl ₂	>1%	
AICI ₃	>1%	
ZnCl ₂	>1%	
Zr(acac) ₂	>1%	
TiO ₂	>1%	
CuO	>1%	
Cu(OAc) ₂	4%	
Cu-Co DMC	6%	
Cu-BTC	2%	
Cu/SiO ₂	>1%	
Cu _{0.33} Mg _{0.33} Al _{0.33} CO ₃	11%	
Many more	Not so good :C	

What makes Cul such a good catalyst?

Fraser et. al.



According to the mechanism, Cu should be able to promote the reaction But why other Cu-based catalyst yield so little product?

Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 8919

Reaction optimization: Catalyst

HO`O

Organic oxidant



Chen et. al.



According to Chen et. al. an lodide species is needed to promote the reaction!

Reaction optimization: Catalyst



Catalyst (10 mol %)	Yield (adding 15 mol % of I_2)	
None	15%	
FeCl ₂	12%	
AICI ₃	13%	
ZnCl ₂	13%	
Zr(acac) ₂	5%	
TiO ₂	14%	
CuO	20%	
Cu(OAc) ₂	86%	
Cu-Co DMC	40%	
Cu-BTC	96%	
Cu/SiO ₂	10%	
Cu _{0.33} Mg _{0.33} Al _{0.33} CO ₃	27%	

Some interesting results showed up!

- Indeed, the addition of lodine to the reaction greatly improved the overall yield
- In general, stoichiometric amounts of phosphoramidate were formed compared to the amount of I₂ added
- Only in three cases the yield was abnormally high:

• Homogeneous Cu salt, Cu(OAc)₂

- Heterogeneous Cu based catalyst, Cu-Co DMC
- $\circ~$ Heterogeneous Cu based catalyst $\mbox{Cu-BTC}$

Reaction optimization: Catalyst and additive

Why only these three catalysts worked we

- Homogeneous Cu salt, Cu(OAc)₂
- $\circ~$ Heterogeneous Cu based catalyst, $\mbox{Cu-Co}~\mbox{DMC}$
- Heterogeneous Cu based catalyst Cu-BTC

Both reactions shared two common things:

An lodine species An oxidant

That's why Cul was such a good catalyst!

- I⁻ as lodine species
- $Cu-O_2$ as oxidant



Cu is not able to easily change oxidation states



Reaction optimization: Catalyst and additive

Our focus went to both heterogeneous catalyst:

- Cu-Co DMC
- Cu-BTC

Catalyst	Yield
Cu-BTC	96%

However, analysis showed the catalyst was not stable and therefore not recoverable ... (ICP-OES, Hot filtration test, XRD)

Even after changing some reaction conditions ...





Metal Organic Framework

What about Cu-Co DMC?	Catalyst	Yield (adding 15 mol % of I ₂)
	Cu-Co DMC	40%

Reaction optimization: Solvent



Simply using a less polar solvent greatly improved the yield! And catalyst was stable!



Acetonitrile is a polar solvent and can block the catalyst sites, where the catalysis happens!



Reaction optimization: Source of O₂ and reaction time



Reaction optimization: Final touches

Other parameters were refined

- Catalyst loading
- Amount of additive
- Reaction concentration
- Phopshite to amine ratio

Final reaction conditions!



Table 1 Reaction optimization



Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Solvent	Phosphite/Amine/I ₂ (equivalents)	Source of O ₂	Yield
1	No Cat.	ACN	1/2/0	Air	>1% ^c
2	Cul (20%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	73% ^c
3	Cu(OAc) ₂ (20%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	4% ^c
4	CuO (20%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	>1%°
5	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	6% ^c
6	Cu BTC (3%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	2% ^c
7	Cu/SiO ₂ (3%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	>1% ^c
8	Cu _{0.33} Mg _{0.33} Al _{0.33} CO ₃ (3%)	ACN	1/2/0	Air	11% ^c
9	Cu(OAc) ₂ (20%)	ACN	1/2/0.20	Air	86% ^c
10	No Cat	ACN	1/2/0.20	Air	15% ^c
11	Cu(OAc) ₂ (20%)	ACN	1/2/0.20	O2 balloon	90%
12	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	ACN	1/2/0.2	O ₂ balloon	49%
13	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	THF	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	90%
14	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	Dioxane	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	89%
15	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	DCM	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	98%
16	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	Toluene	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	84%
17	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	2-MeTHF	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	90%
18	Cu-Co-DMC (1%)	DCM	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	72%
19	Cu-Co-DMC (6%)	DCM	1/2/0.2	O2 balloon	99%
20	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	DCM	1/2/0.15	O2 balloon	99%
21	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	DCM	1/2/0.1	O2 balloon	87%
22	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	DCM	1/2/0.05	O2 balloon	53%
23	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	DCM	1/1/0.15	O2 balloon	42%
24	Cu-Co-DMC (3%)	DCM	1/3/0.15	O2 balloon	90%
25	Cu BTC (3%)	DCM	1/2/0.15	O ₂ balloon	98%
26	CuO	DCM	1/2/0.15	O2 balloon	20%
27	Cu/SiO ₂ (3%)	DCM	1/2/0.15	O2 balloon	12%
28	Cu _{0.33} Mg _{0.33} Al _{0.33} CO ₃	DCM	1/2/0.15	O2 balloon	35%

^a All reactions were performed using a dibutyl phosphite as limiting reagent at a scale of 2 mmol, amine, catalyst, solvent (4 ml), lodine and a source of oxygen at room temperature for half an hour. ^b Yields were determined on dibutyl phenylethyl phosphoramidates by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. Reaction time 3 h

A. Fonseca et. al (to be published in Commun Chem, 2022-23)

Cu-Co DMC = Copper-Cobalt Double Metal Cyanide

- A class of molecular salts made up of a crystalline metal cyanide framework
- Theoretical formula: Cu₃[Co(CN)₆]₂
- Other DMC with different metal combination exist: Zn₃[Co(CN)₆]₂ structure is very similar

Was characterized by:

- Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)
- Inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES)
- N₂ physisorption
- Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)
- X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS)



Cu-Co DMC synthesis: Cu₃[Co(CN)₆]₂

Very easy to prepare!





Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem., 1978, 34, 3558-3561



ICP-OES \rightarrow Cu:Co ratio of 1.7

But for $Cu_3[Co(CN)_6]_2$ ratio should be 3/2 = 1.5?

Little excess of Cu in the structure.



Shift of the CN⁻ signal towards higher energy indicates Cu-CN-Co bond formation

Heterogeneity of the reaction needed to be proved!

This was done by:

- ICP-OES of filtered solution after reaction → only **1.7%** of the total Copper in the catalyst was lost
- Reusing the catalyst for several iterations of the reaction → It was possible to reuse it for at least 5 times!

Something curious showed during this study...



This didn't affect the catalytic activity!

We wanted to know more!



Crystalline

We wanted to know more about this structural change!

XAS spectroscopy \rightarrow Allows us to know what atoms are near the metallic centers (Cu and Co) and their disposition in space

For the **spent** (just after reaction) Cu-Co DMC catalyst:

- Co atoms electronic and spatial structure was not changed
- However, Co-Cu long distance coordination was partially lost
- Cu appeared to exchange CN⁻ ligands for other ones
- Identifying this exact ligands is hard, but O and N atoms give a good fitting
- Cu adopts a newly square planar geometry





We wanted to know more about this structural change!

XAS spectroscopy \rightarrow Allows us to know what atoms are near the metallic centers (Cu and Co) and their disposition in space

For the **recycled** Cu-Co DMC catalyst:

- Co atoms remain unchanged, but this time Co-Cu coordination was recovered
- Part of Cu atoms returned to their octahedral geometry
- Part of the Cu atoms stayed in the square planar geometry
- Part of the Cu atoms adopted a new tetrahedral geometry!

These findings explained what we saw in the PXRD!





Reaction mechanism

How does the reaction work?

What we know so far:

- Face centered crystalline unit cell
- Cu and Co adopt an octahedral geometry
- Cu atoms have vacancies around them
- Co atoms remain in a single electronic and geometrical structure during the reaction
- Cu atoms geometry changes during the reaction

It's safe to assume that Cu atoms are responsible for the catalysis (literature supports this hypothesis!)



We can prove that Cu can oxidize I⁻ back to $I_2!$

Reaction mechanism



Reaction scope

Are we able to produce different phosphoramidates?

- Yes, we are!
- Different phosphoramidates could be synthetized with this method
- Yields are good!
- Clean reaction (little formation of side products)
- Catalyst can be easily recovered and reused!

		Cu-Co DMC (3 mol %) I ₂ (15 mol %)		
	RO R"	O ₂ (1 atm) DCM (0.5 M) RT, 0.5h	$- \operatorname{RO}_{-} - \operatorname{RO}_{-} + \operatorname{R}_{2} \operatorname{OR}_{-} \operatorname{R}_{-}$	
Entry	Phosphite	Amine	Product	Yield ^a
1	О ВиО-Р–Н ВиО	H ₂ N	O BuO-P-NH OBu	99%
2	O BuO-P-H BuO	H ₂ N	BuO-P-NH OBu	81%
3	O BuO-P–H BuÓ	H ₂ N	O BuO-P-NH OBu	21%
4	O BuO-P-H BuÓ	HN	BuO-P-N OBu	26%
5	O BuO-P-H BuÓ	H ₂ N	O BuO-P-NH OBu	89%
6	0 ∺ EtO−P−H EtO	→ H ₂ N	O EtO-P-NH OEt	82%
7	O EtO−P−H EtO	H ₂ N		94%
8	O MeO−P−H MeÓ	H ₂ N	MeO-P-NH OMe	71%
9	O HeO−P−H MeO	H ₂ N	MeO-P-NH OMe	61%

Future plans

- MUL secondment: Analyzing the fate of flame retardants during the processing and reprocessing of polymers
- \odot Physical and chemical behavior
- Can it indeed be recycled?
- How are the polymer properties affected
- o Do they really act as good flame retardancy agents?



Conclusions

- An insight on a chemical synthesis investigation
- Modern trends in flame retardant additives
- Creation of a new method of producing phosphoramidates

KU LEUVEN





Thank you!

Alejandro Fonseca alejandro.fonseca@kuleuven.be



This Project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation Programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant Agreement No.859885