



TEACHING GRAMMAR USING INDUCTIVE METHOD

Ahmedova Lola Abdivasi kizi


Annotation. The importance of teaching grammar in English language is an ongoing debate. Where on one hand theorists and practitioners have felt that its significance in language learning cannot be mitigated, on the other hand it is seen as nothing but a set of arbitrary rules and merely an exercise in naming parts of a sentence; something that can easily be done away with. An analysis of this ongoing debate has nevertheless brought to the fore the necessity of grammar teaching and its significance in language learning and enhancement.

Key words: grammar , ESL learner , inductive, deductive , methods..

Grammar is the base of English language. English especially as a second or a foreign language is not acquired naturally; instruction and structured learning are important. Through grammar, an ESL learner learns how to operate at the sentence level and studies the governance of the syntax or word orders that are the rule of the game in the language. While, it is argued that some learners ‘notice’ grammar rules and logic naturally, yet it cannot be denied that if one hopes to acquire and use English language accurately and fluently, grammar learning is necessary.

There are two main ways that we tend to teach grammar: deductively and inductively. Both deductive and inductive teaching have their pros and cons and which approach we use when can depend on a number of factors, such as the nature of the language being taught and the preferences of the teacher and learners. It is, however, perhaps generally accepted that a combination of both approaches is best suited for the EFL classroom.

Features of deductive and inductive methods:



Deductive reasoning is essentially a top-down approach which moves from the more general to the more specific. In other words, we start with a general notion or theory, which we then narrow down to specific hypotheses, which are then tested. Inductive reasoning is more of a bottom-up approach, moving from the more specific to the more general, in which we make specific observations, detect patterns, formulate hypotheses and draw conclusions.

Inductive learning is an option for language with salient features and consistency and simplicity of use and form. The basic forms of comparative adjectives, as shown above, is an example of this. Conversely, teaching the finer points of the use of articles (a/an, the) inductively, for example, would most probably be problematic. The metalinguistic tools that the learners will need to accomplish the task is also a factor.

These two approaches have been applied to grammar teaching and learning. A deductive approach involves the learners being given a general rule, which is then applied to specific language examples and honed through practice exercises. An inductive approach involves the learners detecting, or noticing, patterns and working out a 'rule' for themselves before they practice the language.

In inductive method, the learners are more engaged in the teaching – learning process. With our facilitating skills, the learners formulate the generalization or rule. Learning becomes more interesting at the outset because we begin with the experience of our students. We begin with what they know. It helps the development

of our learners' higher-order thinking skills(HOTS). To see patterns and analyze the same in order to arrive at generalization requires analytical thinking.

Reference:

1. Abbasova, N. K. (2018). Using Effective Techniques Developing Learners' Critical Thinking in Teaching English Proverbs and Sayings. *Eastern European Scientific Journal*, (6).
2. Abbasova, N. (2019). Using effective techniques for developing critical thinking of the learners while teaching english proverbs and sayings. *Scientific journal of the Fergana State University*, 2(3), 107-110.
3. Ulugbekovich, R. A. Formation of Styles of Managerial Thinking as a Factor in the Preparation of a Future Specialist for Managerial Activities in the Field of Physical Culture and Sports. *JournalNX*, 7(03), 172-176.
4. Khakimov, M. K. (2021). VISUAL POETIC TEXTS AS MULTISEMIOTIC SYSTEM. *Theoretical & Applied Science*, (8), 185-188.
5. Maxmudova, O. (2022). ONA TILINI ZAMONAVIY TEXNOLOGIYALAR ASOSIDA O 'RGANISH. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, 2(Special Issue 4), 97-104.
6. Uzakova, L. (2021). O 'ZBEK VA INGLIZ NUTQIY ETIKETLARINING QIYOSIY TADQIQI. *Scientific progress*, 1(3), 213-216.
7. Uzakova, Q. Y. (2021). OLIY TEXNIKA TA'LIMI TIZIMIDA TALABALARINING KOMMUNIKATIV MULOQOT MADANIYATINI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING DEONTOLOGIK ASOSLARI. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 2(6), 641-645.
8. Ulugbekovich, R. A. Formation of Styles of Managerial Thinking as a Factor in the Preparation of a Future Specialist for Managerial Activities in the Field of Physical Culture and Sports. *JournalNX*, 7(03), 172-176.
9. Khakimov, M. K. (2021). VISUAL POETIC TEXTS AS MULTISEMIOTIC SYSTEM. *Theoretical & Applied Science*, (8), 185-188.

10. Sultonova, M. Y. (2015). Encouraging Women's Education and Creating Healthy Future Generation in Uzbekistan. *이화여자대학교 아시아여성학센터 학술대회자료집*, 87-91.
11. Nasriddinova, S. Z. (2022, April). CLASSIFICATION OF SYNTACTIC RELATIONS IN COMPOUND SENTENCES. In *International Conference on Research Identity, Value and Ethics* (pp. 121-123).
12. Nasriddinova, S. Z. (2022). International Study of Syntactical Relations of Compound Sentences in Uzbek Linguistics.
13. Kizi, Y. H. R., & Kizi, N. D. A. (2022). On the study of the structure of phraseological units with toponyms in the English and Uzbek languages.
14. Kizi, Y. H. R. (2021). Category of informativity in visual poetic texts. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(9), 389-393.
15. Yunusova, H. R. Q. (2021). VIZUAL POETIK MATNLARDA GRAFIK BIRLIKLAR TAHLILI (HOZIRGI O'ZBEK SHE'RIYATI ASOSIDA). *Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences*, 1(7), 26-30.
16. Nasriddinova, S. Z. (2022, April). CLASSIFICATION OF SYNTACTIC RELATIONS IN COMPOUND SENTENCES. In *International Conference on Research Identity, Value and Ethics* (pp. 121-123).
17. Khursandovich, B. D., Rakhimova, O. N., & Kizi, N. Z. B. (2021). Liotryphon punctulatus-the ectoparasite of codling moth. *ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 11(4), 963-967.
18. Xusan o'g'li, R. A., & Yusupovich, R. A. (2022). QASHQADARYO VOHA ORNITOFAUNASI. *THEORY AND ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF RECENT RESEARCH*, 1(5), 607-609.
19. Yusupovich, R. A. (2022). ECOLOGICAL-FAUNIST ANALYSIS OF COXINALLIDES (COLEOPTERA, COCCINELLIDAE) OF THE KARSHI OAKH. *Spectrum Journal of Innovation, Reforms and Development*, 4, 331-335.

20.Xusanboevna, S. A. (2021). Linguopragmatic Properties of Language. *International Journal of Discoveries and Innovations in Applied Sciences*, 1(4), 10-12.