## **Biosecurity practices** to reduce the risk of hepatitis E virus in European pig farms

M. Meester, T. Dubbert, T.J. Tobias., W.H.M. van der Poel, on behalf of the BIOPIGEE consortium



**Utrecht University** 



UK

NĽ

PL

\*\*\*\***ne** 



Poster presenter: Marina Meester (DVM) **Utrecht University NL** Faculty of Veterinary Medicine m.meester@uu.nl

**Hepatitis E virus (HEV):** 

**Methods:** 



Important source of HEV is pigs

Control of HEV in pig farms is needed

**BIOPIGEE** project

- Case-control study
- Nine European countries
- Commercial-sized breeder, farrow-to-finish and specialist finisher farms
- Questionnaire with 72 questions about internal and external biosecurity
- 20 pooled fecal samples from sows, gilts and/or finishers, tested with PCR to determine risk status (NL historical surveillance data)
- Univariate logistic analysis for first variable selection (p-value < 0.25)
- Stepwise backward regression with 58 variables (p-value < 0.05, Likelihood Ratio Test for model selection)
- Final model has only variables with p-value < 0.05, adjusted for country and farm type

**Aim**: Identify effective biosecurity measures associated with HEV risk status of pig farms

## Conclusion

**External biosecurity** measures

(prevent pathogens from entering farms) reduce the odds on a high HEV risk status

HEV may be present in **drinking water** (In previous risk factor study, private well was risk, yet in this study it is protective<sup>1</sup>)

Discussion

## 231 farms

- N per country: median 30, range 3-47 •
- 117 (50.6%) farrow-to-finish
- 40 (17.3%) breeding

Results

- 74 (32.0%) finisher farms  $\bullet$
- 15.5% of fecal samples positive across countries  $\bullet$
- 102 farms all negative PCR results
- HEV risk status cut-off at 25% positive pools

161 low risk farms 70 high risk farms



Fig. 1 Histogram of number of positive pooled samples per farm

## Table 1 Odds ratios for a high HEV risk status on a farm, corrected for country and farm type

Measure	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence interval
Hygiene lock present	0.40	0.16 – 0.97
Number of people in charge of pigs lower than 6	0.11	0.03 – 0.35
Distributors have farm access	0.06	0.00 - 0.61
Drink water from private well	0.41	0.18 - 0.88
Hygienogram used after cleaning	0.10	0.02 - 0.40
Quarantine area present	0.24	0.08 - 0.61
Carcass storage not protected against pets	0.33	0.13 – 0.84

- Variation in HEV prevalence, sampling strategy and laboratories between countries
- Cross-sectional sampling may not represent

actual HEV status on farm perfectly

Hygiene lock, access of distributors and carcass storage sensitive to exclusion of country from the model.

1: Walachowski et al. 2014, Epidemiol. Infect

We would like to thank our partners from the BIOPIGEE project for their work to collect this data, and to the participating farmers for their time and support.

This work was supported by funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under grant agreement No 773830: One Health European Joint Programme (Project Biopigee)