

"This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article: "Urso, M., & Pumera, M. (2022). Nano/Microplastics Capture and Degradation by Autonomous Nano/Microrobots: A Perspective. Advanced Functional Materials, 32(20), 2112120", which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202112120>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions."

Nano/microplastics degradation by autonomous nano/microrobots: A perspective

Mario Urso¹, Martin Pumera^{1,2,3,4*}

¹ Future Energy and Innovation Laboratory, Central European Institute of Technology, Brno University of Technology, Purkyňova 123, 61200 Brno, Czech Republic

² Center for Advanced Functional Nanorobots, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague, Technická 5, 166 28 Prague, Czech Republic

³ Center for Nanorobotics and Machine Intelligence, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Mendel University in Brno, Zemedelska 1, CZ-613 00, Brno, Czech Republic

⁴ Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, No. 91 Hsueh-Shih Road, Taichung, Taiwan

E-mail: martin.pumera@ceitec.vutbr.cz

Abstract. The growing use of plastic materials has led to the continuous accumulation of wastes in marine environments, which fragment into hazardous micro- and nanoplastics. These plastic particles absorb toxic organic pollutants on their surface, support bacterial biofilms growth, and propagate through the food chain, posing serious risks for human health. Therefore, nano/microplastics pollution has become a global issue, making their definitive elimination compulsory. Self-propelled nano/microrobots have demonstrated efficient capture and removal of nano/microplastics from water combining enhanced physicochemical properties of nano/microscale materials and active motion. During the last year, the potential of this technology to further degrade nano/microplastics has been investigated. Here, the most advanced strategies for microplastics capture and degradation by autonomous nano/microrobots are critically reviewed. A short introduction to the main propulsion mechanisms and experimental techniques for studying nano/microplastics is also provided. Future challenges in this research field are discussed proactively. This review inspires future nano/microrobotics designs and approaches for water purification from nano/microplastics and other emerging pollutants.

Keywords: nanomotors, micromotors, nanoplastics, microplastics, removal, degradation, water remediation

1. Introduction

Plastics are synthetic polymers constituted by hundreds to thousands of organic subunits, called monomers, linked by strong covalent bonds.¹ Their excellent properties, among which high chemical and thermal stability, make them widely used and, at the same time, extremely difficult to eliminate. As a consequence, the amount of plastic waste accumulating in the world is continuously increasing.^{2,3} Particularly impressive are the images of marine environments full of plastic bags, bottles, and other products.^{4,5} However, the real danger associated with plastic pollution results from their gradual fragmentation into smaller particles under the action of the sea and weathering (Figure 1).⁶ Plastic pieces with sizes below 5 mm are named microplastics.⁷ These can serve as a substrate for the adsorption of other pollutants or the growth of bacterial biofilms, becoming more toxic.^{8,9} Microplastics have already entered the food chain. They can be ingested by animals, such as fish, propagate through the food chain until reaching our table, or directly contaminate drinking water systems, posing severe threats to all living beings' health.^{10,11} Unfortunately, microplastics can further break down into smaller, more hazardous pieces (<1 μm), termed nanoplastics.¹²⁻¹⁴ While microplastics tend to sediment on the seafloor, nanoplastics remain suspended in water due to their lightness and diffuse rapidly because of the currents.¹⁵ They also adsorb larger amounts of pollutants and bacteria due to their higher surface-to-volume ratio than microplastics and penetrate tissues easily.^{15,16} On these bases, microplastics and nanoplastics pollution represent a rising concern.

Traditional approaches for separating suspended matter, such as filtration, are effective against microplastics but unsuitable for nanoplastics due to their tiny size.¹⁷ Once removed, these have to be recycled or eliminated definitively. Incineration is efficient yet unsustainable due to the release of detrimental gases.¹⁸ For this reason, there is a pressing demand for the development of environmentally friendly solutions for nano/microplastics removal from contaminated waters and their subsequent total degradation.

Nano/microrobots are at the forefront of the research in materials science and nanotechnology. They are nano/micromaterials with the ability to harvest energy from their surroundings and convert it into self-propulsion while performing various tasks.^{19,20} Their active motion induces a local mixing effect, allowing them to overcome the diffusion limit that affects conventional water purification methods.²¹⁻²⁴ This, in turn, promotes contact with pollutants and accelerates their degradation. Simmchen's group reported the first

pioneering work on microplastics removal using UV-light-powered magnetic microrobots in the presence of H_2O_2 .²⁵ Microplastics extracted from personal care products were collected by phoretic interactions with microrobots or shoveling through self-assembled microchains formed under a magnetic field. Later, magnetic field-actuated microsubmarines based on sunflower pollen grains were used to capture, carry, and release microplastics.²⁶ Another removal mechanism consisted of the adsorptive bubble separation induced by iron oxide-manganese dioxide core-shell microrobots.²⁷ The oxygen bubbles generated during their movement trapped microplastics and suspended them in a foam layer on the top of the vessel, facilitating their collection. Despite promising, these approaches do not consider the further elimination of captured microplastics.

Recently, many efforts have been devoted to the “on-the-fly” degradation of microplastics by autonomous motile microrobots. This review briefly introduces their motion mechanisms and summarizes the experimental techniques to analyze nano/microplastics. Then it critically describes the most significant advances in nano/microrobotics for polymers and plastics degradation. The future challenges towards the practical application of these strategies are also discussed. The considerations and conclusions of this work are not limited only to nano/microplastics but, in principle, can be applied to other pollutants.




Figure 1. Plastic waste fragmentation into microplastics and nanoplastics.

2. Motion mechanisms of nano/microrobots against nano/microplastics

Nano/microrobots' can be categorized into two main groups, whether their self-propulsion is obtained by external energy sources or by consuming chemical fuels, as bacteria do.²⁸ Externally driven ones convert energy from light, magnetic and acoustic fields into mechanical power. Catalytic ones move thanks to catalyzed chemical reactions involving fuels in their surrounding. For example, Janus nano/microrobots can be prepared by asymmetrically coating nano/microparticles with a Pt layer, catalyzing H₂O₂ decomposition. Alternatively, enzymes (glucose oxidase, urease) can be asymmetrically immobilized to propel them by reacting with biological fuels (glucose, urea). Water represents fuel for disintegrating nano/microrobots, like Mg-based ones.

Nano/microrobots employed in plastic waste removal and degradation are principally propelled by photocatalytic reactions, sometimes in the presence of H₂O₂, or by magnetic fields. Therefore, in the following paragraphs, the fundamentals of these motion mechanisms are presented. The reader can refer to some recent and relevant reviews for acoustically-triggered²⁹ and enzyme-based nano/microrobots,³⁰ whose description is excluded.

Light, particularly sunlight, is a powerful and abundant energy source to power nano/microrobots.³¹ These can be designed to respond to light stimuli by generating a gradient of temperature or (charged) products, leading to thermophoretic, diffusiphoretic, and electrophoretic propulsion.³² Photocatalytic semiconductors are the key building blocks for light-driven nano/microrobots for water purification.²³ They use light to move and, contemporarily, produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$, $\cdot\text{OH}$, $\text{HO}_2\cdot$, to induce the photocatalytic degradation of pollutants in water. Typically, the photocatalytic semiconductor is not able to generate the motion alone. A noble metal layer can be deposited to form a "two-faced" Janus nano/microrobot, breaking its symmetry.³³ The mobility mechanism of this type of nano/microrobot is illustrated in Figure 2(a). Under light irradiation, the photocatalytic semiconductor absorbs photons with energy equal to or higher than its bandgap. Electrons are promoted to the conduction band, leaving holes in the valence band. The metal/semiconductor junction favors photogenerated carriers separation, suppressing detrimental recombination phenomena. Transferred electrons from the semiconductor conduction band to the metal and holes left in its valence band decompose water and H₂O₂, if present. Protons (H⁺) consumption at the metal side generates a protons gradient, establishing a local electric field that causes nano/microrobot's movement

by self-electrophoresis.³⁴ As a replacement for expensive noble metals, heterojunctions between two different semiconductors have been suggested.^{35,36} Instead, some photocatalytic semiconducting microparticles can propel without noble metals or heterojunctions due to their intrinsic structural asymmetry.³⁷

Magnetic nano/microrobots offer the advantage of precise navigation, which is helpful in biomedicine and microplastics removal.³⁸ They can be manipulated to reach targeted microplastics, capture and collect them. Moreover, magnetic fields can produce and control swarms of nano/microrobots to perform complex tasks that single entities can not accomplish.³⁹ Magnetic actuation is achieved by integrating magnetic nanostructures into nano/microrobots, for example, magnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles. However, Purcell's scallop theorem states that, in a low Reynolds number fluid, the time-symmetric motion of a swimmer can not bring to a net displacement.⁴⁰ Asymmetric geometries and flexible structures are accordingly required to break this theorem. The movement of most magnetic nano/microrobots is based on a magneto-phoretic mechanism in magnetic field gradients, i.e., spatially inhomogeneous fields, or the magnetic torque transfer induced by rotating magnetic fields.²⁸ Three orthogonal coil pairs can generate rotating fields, as shown in Figure 2(b). These are characterized by a field vector rotating on a plane. Conical rotating fields are obtained by adding a magnetic field perpendicular to the rotating field plane. The latter are those utilized to power magnetic microrobots for microplastics removal.




Figure 2. Schematic illustrations of (a) light-driven propulsion mechanism of metal/semiconductor Janus nano/microrobots and (b) magnetically actuated microrobots moving in rolling and wobbling modes under rotating magnetic fields for cell manipulation. Adapted from reference⁴¹ with permission from The American Chemical Society.

3. Measurement techniques for nano/microplastics degradation

This section lists the most valuable techniques for studying nano/microplastics and assessing their degradation, which helps interpret results described in the following section. However, it is limited to illustrating their pros and cons rather than explaining their working principles.

The degradation of organic pollutants, such as dyes, is usually monitored by the decrease of their characteristic UV-Vis absorbance peaks.^{42,43} Most nano/microplastics do not possess these fingerprints or contain interfering additives, making UV-Vis spectroscopy unsuitable for evaluating their degradation. On the contrary, well-established techniques are Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FITR), Raman spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry methods.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ The latter allow qualitative and quantitative determination of degradation products, which permits the evaluation of degradation pathways and potential secondary

pollution. For instance, pyrolysis-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (Pyr-GC-MS) provided information on byproducts formed during the aging of various microplastics,⁴⁷ while size exclusion chromatography (SEC) disclosed the reduction of their molecular weight due to the induced oxidation. Smaller microplastics (<100 μm), and thus nanoplastics, are challenging to handle by Pyr-GC-MS.⁴⁸ Contrarily, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) is an ionization technique that offers mass spectra of high molecular weight polymers, including plastics, with a resolution of the individual n-mers within the polymer mass distribution.⁴⁹ Compared to typical ionization methods, it does not cause sample fragmentation. Hence, it is ideal for investigating the degradation of nano/microplastics unequivocally.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) can be used to observe variations of the chemical composition of nano/microplastics related to their oxidation.⁵⁰ Nevertheless, it is sensitive only to the sample surface because of the small X-ray penetration. Hence, it can be used only to confirm their initial degradation.

Optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) visualize changes in the topography, morphology, and composition of microplastics before and after the degradation. These comprise the formation of microcracks and cavities, as reported for photodegraded microfibers.⁵¹

Due to the minuscule size of nanoplastics, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) may be required for displaying them.⁵² Otherwise, nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) consents imaging nanoplastics by recording the diffracted light from an incident laser and furnishes information on their size distribution, concentration, and Brownian diffusion.⁵³ It has been employed to examine the temporal fragmentation of disposable polystyrene (PS) coffee cup lid into nanoplastics.⁵⁴ NTA shows potential in exploring the nanoplastics removal ability of nano/microrobots.

4. Strategies for nano/microplastics degradation by nano/microrobots

In this section, the most innovative approaches for microplastics degradation using self-propelled nano/microrobots are presented. They all show a common feature: the establishment of a close contact between microrobots and microplastics before their degradation. In fact, for conventional photocatalysts, it is well known that such contact remarkably improves the efficacy of the remediation process.⁵⁵ Generally, it is obtained under constant stirring. Instead, microrobots' self-propulsion can create a stirring effect locally in an energy-saving manner, enabling them to face more contaminants per unit time. To strengthen the contact,

microrobots must be equipped with adhesive properties. The strategies discussed below are relevant for the field since they describe different capture and degradation mechanisms against various polymers and microplastics, and they can be naturally extended to other emerging pollutants.

4.1. Electrostatic capture and photo-Fenton degradation of polymer chains

The electrostatic capture and subsequent degradation of polymer chains by self-propelled microrobots were first demonstrated (Figure 3(a)).⁵⁶ Although this work is not clearly related to microplastics, it provides direct proof of microrobots' capability to break solid chemical bonds as those present in polymeric and plastic materials. For this purpose, Janus microrobots were fabricated by asymmetrically depositing thin metal layers (Au, Au-Pd, Pt, Pt-Pd) on α -Fe₂O₃ (hematite) microspheres (3-4 μ m in size) prepared by a scalable hydrothermal process. Microrobots showed fuel-free self-electrophoretic motion under UV-light irradiation and magnetic field-controlled navigation (Figure 3(b)). Indeed, hematite is a visible light photocatalytic semiconductor and also possesses a weak intrinsic magnetism.^{41,57} Consequently, it is a valuable component for designing microrobots because it harbors both photocatalytic and magnetic properties. Furthermore, in the presence of H₂O₂ and light irradiation, it catalyzes the photo-Fenton reaction, greatly enhancing the production of ROS involved in plastics degradation.⁵⁸ The photo-Fenton mechanism is explained by the following reactions:⁵⁹



or



Briefly, electron-hole pairs are generated in hematite under light irradiation. Photogenerated electrons can be trapped by H₂O₂, creating OH• radicals, or by surface Fe³⁺, leading to Fe²⁺ and then OH• upon reaction with H₂O₂. In this context, a recent work illustrates how vital it is in water purification applications to properly balance H₂O₂ consumption for microrobots' propulsion and photo-Fenton reaction.⁶⁰

Hematite/Pt-Pd microrobots exhibited the highest speed in fuel-free water and increasing concentrations of H₂O₂, attributed to the largest electrochemical potential difference between the two components. Thus, they

were tested for the degradation of polymers in 1% H_2O_2 under UV-light irradiation and to exploit the photo-Fenton reaction. High molecular weight polyethylene glycol (PEG 4000) was selected as a model for polymer waste since its degradation can be easily studied by MALDI-MS. In addition, despite this polymer being widely used in cosmetics, its toxicity at higher molecular weights is controversial.⁶¹ To favor PEG capture by microrobots, their electrostatic attraction has been intensified. The pH-dependence of microrobots' Zeta potential was explored. This indicated the acid environment (pH 3) as the optimal one, being microrobots positively charged and PEG negatively charged (Figure 3(c)). Under these conditions, MALDI-MS spectra clearly proved microrobots' ability to break PEG 4000 chains into short oligomers within 24 h (Figure 3(d)). The broad distribution around m/z 4000 is disrupted into low mass products. This result was attributed to the synergistic combination of microrobots' self-propulsion, programmable and enhanced electrostatic interaction, and the photo-Fenton reaction, identified as the dominant degradation mechanism.

It is worth noting that H_2O_2 use in environmental applications is typically not recommended due to its toxicity. Nonetheless, in this case, it was shown that microrobots completely consumed H_2O_2 at the end of the process. So, it does not represent a limitation of the proposed strategy, differently from the obligatory use of a noble metal coating to enable microrobots' motion. In fact, it heavily affects microrobots fabrication cost, and its corrosion during microrobots movement may be responsible for secondary pollution.




Figure 3. (a) Schematic illustration of electrostatic capture and photo-Fenton degradation of polymer chains by self-propelled light-powered magnetic field-navigable hematite/Pt-Pd Janus microrobots. (b) Microrobots' motion resulting in square, triangular and circular trajectories in 1% H_2O_2 under UV-light irradiation using a magnetic field. (c) MALDI-MS spectra of untreated (PEG) and treated PEG 4000 under 24 h UV-light irradiation in 1% H_2O_2 in the absence (UV-irradiated PEG) and presence of microrobots (Microrobots-treated PEG). Adapted from reference⁵⁶ with permission from Wiley.

4.2. Photocatalytic degradation of microplastics in confined spaces

An important proof-of-concept study on the capture and photocatalytic degradation of microplastics in a confined space using smart microrobots was reported.⁶² These consisted of Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles-embedded star-shaped BiVO_4 microparticles (4-8 μm in size) prepared by a hydrothermal method (Figure 4(a)). BiVO_4 is a largely used visible light-activated photocatalyst.⁶³ Due to their asymmetrical geometry, microrobots were

capable of autonomous motion in water under visible light irradiation in the presence of a small amount of H_2O_2 (0.1%). This result constitutes a considerable advance compared to the conventional light-driven metal/semiconductor Janus microrobots, which require expensive noble metals to unlock the self-propulsion ability. Additionally, $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ microrobots could be easily navigated using external magnetic fields and collected through permanent magnets thanks to the incorporated magnetic nanoparticles. Such dual-movement was employed to attack various microplastics, including polylactic acid (PLA), polycaprolactone (PCL), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), and polypropylene (PP), in macroscale channels (Figure 4(b)). Specifically, it was shown that, when introduced to one end of the channel, these microrobots reached microplastics pieces due to their light-induced active movement. Microrobots attachment on microplastics surface was explained by an adsorption/precipitation mechanism, such as the one at the basis of heavy metals and organic pollutants accumulation on microplastics in the ocean. Their adhesion to the plastic pieces was strong enough that most microrobots were not detached even after 2 h intense shaking. By placing a magnet on the opposite end of the channel, they moved towards and cleaned it. The removal efficiency was higher for hydrophilic microplastics (~70% for PLA and PCL) than hydrophobic ones (~40% for PET and ~20% for PP) and decreased with the length of the channel. Capture and transport of PLA microplastics were verified in a system of 5 interconnected channels of variable sizes, proving their excellent performance in a complex maze of plastic waste.

Besides, the photocatalytic degradation of captured microplastics was investigated. Photocatalysis is a promising approach for the definitive elimination of microplastics since it necessitates only a photocatalyst, light, and water.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ It is based on ROS generation due to the reaction between photogenerated electron-hole pairs in the semiconductor and water, which oxidize and dissociate pollutants until they are mineralized into CO_2 and water.⁶⁷ For light-powered microrobots, the ROS formation occurs simultaneously to microrobots' motion. Upon exposure to microrobots under visible light irradiation in 0.01% H_2O_2 , microplastics gradually lost weight. After 7 days, a maximum weight loss of 3% was observed for the PLA (Figure 4(c)). The deterioration of microplastics surface properties was detected. In particular, they exhibited enhanced hydrophilicity through contact angle measurements, surface oxidation as attested by XPS, and increased roughness as evidenced by SEM analysis (Figure 4(d)). SEC and liquid chromatography-high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS) revealed the presence of oligomers and polymeric moieties in the treated microplastics solutions.

These findings unambiguously confirmed the initial degradation of big microplastic pieces using self-propelled microrobots. Still, the degradation efficiency was relatively poor and limited to PLA due to the declining photocatalytic activity of BiVO_4 with time and the hydrophobicity of PET and PP. Therefore, more stable and efficient photocatalytic microrobots have to be developed to degrade real microplastics conclusively.

Similar single-component visible-light-driven Bi_2WO_6 microrobots have shown the ability to attach to synthetic textiles, a source of microplastics pollution,^{68,69} and destroy them collectively.⁷⁰




Figure 4. (a) Schematic illustration of the motion mechanism of $\text{BiVO}_4/\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ microrobots for microplastics photocatalytic degradation and SEM image of a microrobot. (b) Microplastics collection in a homemade channel (5 or 10 cm in length, 0.5 cm in depth, 1 cm in width) filled with 0.1% H_2O_2 under visible light irradiation: (i-ii) movement and adsorption of microrobots on microplastics' surface, as shown for a PLA microplastic by optical and SEM images, and (ii-iii) microplastics collection using a magnet. Columns indicate microplastic removal efficiency for PLA, PCL, PET, and PP in 5 cm- (black) and 10 cm-long channels (magenta). (c) Microplastics' weight loss after the treatment with microrobots in 0.01% H_2O_2 under visible light irradiation. (d) SEM image of a PLA microplastic before and after the photocatalytic degradation. Adapted from reference⁷⁰ with permission from The American Chemical Society.

4.3. Chemical adhesion and enzymatic degradation of microplastics

A completely different approach consisted of magnetic field-powered microrobots that chemically adhered to microplastics and enzymatically degraded them (Figure 5(a)).⁷¹ This work has been inspired by the ability of marine mussels to stick on the surface of rocks, corals, and marine plants to stand against the strong sea waves,

owing to the protein 3,4-dihydroxyphenyl-L-alanine (DOPA) secreted from their feet. DOPA and dopamine have similar chemical structures and, accordingly, adhesive properties. On this basis, mussel-like magnetic microrobots (MagRobots) were developed by coating magnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles with a polydopamine (PDA) layer through a simple, biocompatible, and low-cost self-polymerization process. $\text{PDA}@Fe_3O_4$ MagRobots were further functionalized with the enzyme lipase to induce microplastics enzymatic degradation by cleaving polymer chains. $\text{PDA}@Fe_3O_4/\text{Lipase}$ MagRobots showed a “near-surface walking” motion under a conical rotating magnetic field generated by homemade triaxial coils, allowing their precise navigation towards suspended microplastics (Figure 4(b)). Once MagRobots approached microplastic pieces, they firmly adhered to their surface and transported them independently from their size. Indeed, large microplastic fragments ($>50 \mu\text{m}$) were removed thanks to the coordinated action of several MagRobots. Afterward, MagRobots and captured microplastics were transferred and incubated for 24 h in a second vessel to perform their enzymatic digestion. Optical and electron microscopy demonstrated the successful degradation of PCL microplastics through evident structural and morphological variations (Figure 5(c)).

Enzymatic degradation is considered a safe, cost-effective, and reliable solution for the plastic pollution issue.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ The proposed strategy based on the combination of microplastics capture, collection, and separate digestion, permits better managing the problem of secondary pollution due to toxic byproducts. In fact, the latter would be eventually produced in a controlled environment, i.e., the vessel where the enzymatic degradation is conducted. Moreover, this mussel-inspired capture is more advantageous than the pH-triggered electrostatic interactions since it does not require pH adjustments. Instead, a main drawback is represented by the applicability of the magnetic setup used to power microrobots action in open water bodies like rivers, lakes, and seas.




Figure 5. (a) Schematic illustration of microplastics removal and enzymatic degradation by mussel-inspired adhesive PDA@Fe₃O₄/Lipase MagRobots. (b) Time-lapse images of a MagRobot approaching (i), adhering to (ii), and manipulating (iii-iv) a microplastic under a conical rotating magnetic field (scale bars are 50 μm). (c) SEM images of a PCL microplastic before and after overnight incubation with MagRobots. Adapted from reference⁷¹ with permission from Wiley.

5. Future challenges

Autonomous motile microrobots have demonstrated to be effective tools for the degradation of plastic waste, from polymer chains to microplastics. However, there are several challenges to be faced towards the practical application of this technology:

- Light source and H₂O₂: to fully exploit the potential of light-driven nano/microrobots, visible light should be preferred to UV-light for their actuation since the former represents the biggest portion of the solar irradiance spectrum. H₂O₂ should be avoided unless mandatory, like in the photo-Fenton reaction. In this case, potential residues in the treated water have to be considered and managed appropriately. Furthermore, it is responsible for ROS production under light irradiation, which could make it hard to discriminate the effective contribution of microrobots' action in proof-of-concept studies;
- Reducing nano/microrobots' components and cost: multifunctionality in nano/microrobots is usually achieved by combining several components with different properties (for example, photocatalytic semiconductors, metal layers, magnetic nanoparticles). As a result, the cost and complexity of nano/microrobots' fabrication increase, limiting their commercial value. In this regard, the development of metal-free nano/microrobots through intrinsically asymmetric multifunctional components or semiconducting heterojunctions is promising;
- Large-scale fabrication: to treat large volumes of polluted water (m³), a massive quantity of nano/microrobots is necessary. Hence, large-scale preparation processes are required. Compared to physical deposition methods or templated-assisted electrochemical deposition, chemical syntheses, like hydrothermal, are very appealing. Nevertheless, high control over their parameters must be attained to ensure particles' reproducibility in morphological properties (size, shape), crystallinity, and stability. 3D printing represents a valid approach towards low-cost, large-scale, and standardized manufacture of microrobots;⁷⁵
- Sedimentation: most reports on microrobots for water purification, including those on microplastics removal and degradation discussed in the previous section, deal with microparticles whose driving force is not strong enough to overcome the gravitation force exerted onto them. Consequently, they lie down at the bottom of the vessel. This problem may affect the speed and efficacy of the remediation process, in particular against suspended matter such as nanoplastics. Microrobots designed to move in the 3D space with six degrees-of-freedom⁷⁶ and colloidal nanorobots able to swim in the bulk of the medium are practical solutions;

- Improving the degradation efficiency: photocatalysis, photo-Fenton reaction, and enzymatic degradation are promising ways to remove microplastics ultimately. Nonetheless, they rely on the accomplishment of microplastics mineralization before the photocatalytic semiconductor or immobilized enzyme loses its activity, which is far from reality. Thus, the degradation process must be accelerated by exploring better photocatalysts and enzymes or combining different mechanisms (for instance, photocatalytic and enzymatic degradation);
- Additional parameters influencing the degradation efficiency: so far, the influence of some critical parameters on the degradation efficiency, such as the irradiation time until total mineralization of microplastics, light source intensity, nano/microrobots and microplastics concentrations, has not been investigated. In addition, only models for microplastics have been degraded. This is explained by the necessity of studying standards whose degradation can be easily monitored by different techniques. Still, real samples are much more difficult to degrade due to various stabilizers in the matrix (for example, UV stabilizers).⁷⁷ The interference due to a mixture of pollutants, like persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, and microorganisms, in the medium and on the surface of microplastics has not been considered yet. Light-powered photocatalytic microrobots with antibacterial properties may be helpful.⁷⁸ Besides, the viscosity and salinity of water, affecting microrobots motility, have not been examined. Investigating these parameters is imperative for nano/microrobots devised to operate in oceans. Finally, microrobots' reusability and performance deterioration (motility, photoactivity, etc.) have been rarely studied;
- Applicability in open water bodies: light-driven nano/microrobots are preferred over magnetic ones owing to their simpler actuation. The use of magnetic nano/microrobots on a large scale would need vast and expensive magnetic systems. In spite of this, magnetic properties in light-powered nano/microrobots are generally integrated to permit their collectability at the end of treatment. However, it is worth noting that the intensity and penetration of light in real water bodies are reduced by obstacles (for example, aquatic plants) and depth;
- Secondary pollution: microrobots could release harmful substances in water because of their corrosion during utilization. For this reason, the development of nano/microrobots with low environmental impact is essential. Moreover, the degradation of microplastics could potentially liberate in the

environment more dangerous compounds if not completely mineralized. These phenomena cause unwanted secondary pollution. Careful studies of plastic degradation byproducts have to be conducted by analyzing the treated waters through mass spectrometry. Apropos, the strategies presented in this review foresee first a microplastics capture step, followed by their magnetic collection and transfer into a second vessel where the degradation is carried out. This allows to limit and control potential secondary pollution;

- Nanoplastics: nanoplastic pollution, the ultimate product of plastic waste fragmentation in the environment, is an emerging global issue due to their tiny size, which increases their hazard and difficulty of removing them. Nano/microrobots have never been tested against nanoplastics. We expect that novel designs will soon be developed to trap and entirely degrade these elusive plastic nanoparticles, learning from the progress in the remediation of their bigger counterparts.

6. Conclusions and Future Outlook

Recent advances and future challenges on microplastics degradation by self-propelled nano/microrobots have been critically reviewed. Presently, only microrobots have been explored for this application. Their motility was triggered mainly by light irradiation. Magnetic properties were highly desired, especially for collecting microrobots together with captured microplastics. All strategies were based on the synergy between microrobots' active motion and programmed anchoring ability to "on-the-fly" capture polymer chains and microplastics rapidly. These were then transferred into a second vessel where the degradation was performed according to different mechanisms, such as photocatalysis, photo-Fenton reaction, and enzymatic degradation, avoiding secondary pollution resulting from toxic byproducts. The future challenges towards the practical application of autonomous nano/microrobots for the definitive elimination of nano/microplastics were exhaustively described. These relate to improving the degradation efficiency and the applicability on a large scale of nano/microrobots, and reducing the environmental impact and costs associated with this technology. Possible solutions to overcome current limitations were also proposed. Indeed, the considerations in this review are of general validity and can be extended to other types of water contaminants. In the near future, we expect the development of innovative nano/microrobot designs that induce nano/microplastics' total mineralization due to the combined effect of multiple mechanisms.

Acknowledgments

M.P. was supported by Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (Czech Republic) grant LL2002 under ERC CZ program. M.U. acknowledges the financial support by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101038066.

References

- (1) Chamas, A.; Moon, H.; Zheng, J.; Qiu, Y.; Tabassum, T.; Jang, J. H.; Abu-Omar, M.; Scott, S. L.; Suh, S. Degradation Rates of Plastics in the Environment. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8* (9), 3494–3511. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b06635>.
- (2) Kane, I. A.; Clare, M. A. Dispersion, Accumulation, and the Ultimate Fate of Microplastics in Deep-Marine Environments: A Review and Future Directions. *Front. Earth Sci.* **2019**, *7*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00080>.
- (3) Patil, S. M.; Rane, N. R.; Bankole, P. O.; Krishnaiah, P.; Ahn, Y.; Park, Y.-K.; Yadav, K. K.; Amin, M. A.; Jeon, B.-H. An Assessment of Micro- and Nanoplastics in the Biosphere: A Review of Detection, Monitoring, and Remediation Technology. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2022**, *430*, 132913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.132913>.
- (4) MacLeod, M.; Arp, H. P. H.; Tekman, M. B.; Jahnke, A. The Global Threat from Plastic Pollution. *Science* **2021**, *373* (6550), 61–65. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg5433>.
- (5) Nanoplastic Should Be Better Understood. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *14* (4), 299. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-019-0437-7>.
- (6) Issac, M. N.; Kandasubramanian, B. Effect of Microplastics in Water and Aquatic Systems. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28* (16), 19544–19562. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13184-2>.
- (7) Thompson, R. C.; Olson, Y.; Mitchell, R. P.; Davis, A.; Rowland, S. J.; John, A. W. G.; McGonigle, D.; Russell, A. E. Lost at Sea: Where Is All the Plastic? *Science* **2004**, *304* (5672), 838. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094559>.
- (8) Fu, L.; Li, J.; Wang, G.; Luan, Y.; Dai, W. Adsorption Behavior of Organic Pollutants on Microplastics. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2021**, *217*, 112207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112207>.

- (9) He, S.; Jia, M.; Xiang, Y.; Song, B.; Xiong, W.; Cao, J.; Peng, H.; Yang, Y.; Wang, W.; Yang, Z.; Zeng, G. Biofilm on Microplastics in Aqueous Environment: Physicochemical Properties and Environmental Implications. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *424*, 127286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127286>.
- (10) Toussaint, B.; Raffael, B.; Angers-Loustau, A.; Gilliland, D.; Kestens, V.; Petrillo, M.; Rio-Echevarria, I. M.; Van den Eede, G. Review of Micro- and Nanoplastic Contamination in the Food Chain. *Food Addit. Contam. - Part A Chem. Anal. Control. Expo. Risk Assess.* **2019**, *36* (5), 639–673. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2019.1583381>.
- (11) Rahman, A.; Sarkar, A.; Yadav, O. P.; Achari, G.; Slobodnik, J. Potential Human Health Risks Due to Environmental Exposure to Nano- and Microplastics and Knowledge Gaps: A Scoping Review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *757*, 143872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143872>.
- (12) Gigault, J.; El Hadri, H.; Nguyen, B.; Grassl, B.; Roweczyk, L.; Tufenkji, N.; Feng, S.; Wiesner, M. Nanoplastics Are Neither Microplastics nor Engineered Nanoparticles. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2021**, *16* (5), 501–507. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00886-4>.
- (13) Mitrano, D. M.; Wick, P.; Nowack, B. Placing Nanoplastics in the Context of Global Plastic Pollution. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2021**, *16* (5), 491–500. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-021-00888-2>.
- (14) Gigault, J.; Halle, A. ter; Baudrimont, M.; Pascal, P. Y.; Gauffre, F.; Phi, T. L.; El Hadri, H.; Grassl, B.; Reynaud, S. Current Opinion: What Is a Nanoplastic? *Environ. Pollut.* **2018**, *235*, 1030–1034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.024>.
- (15) Wagner, S.; Reemtsma, T. Things We Know and Don't Know about Nanoplastic in the Environment. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *14* (4), 300–301. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-019-0424-z>.
- (16) Triebkorn, R.; Braunbeck, T.; Grummt, T.; Hanslik, L.; Huppertsberg, S.; Jekel, M.; Knepper, T. P.; Kraus, S.; Müller, Y. K.; Pittroff, M.; Ruhl, A. S.; Schmieg, H.; Schür, C.; Strobel, C.; Wagner, M.; Zumbülte, N.; Köhler, H. R. Relevance of Nano- and Microplastics for Freshwater Ecosystems: A Critical Review. *TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *110*, 375–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.11.023>.
- (17) Nguyen, B.; Claveau-Mallet, D.; Hernandez, L. M.; Xu, E. G.; Farner, J. M.; Tufenkji, N. Separation and Analysis of Microplastics and Nanoplastics in Complex Environmental Samples. *Acc. Chem. Res.*

- 2019, 52 (4), 858–866. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00602>.
- (18) Tsydenova, O.; Bengtsson, M. Chemical Hazards Associated with Treatment of Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment. *Waste Manag.* **2011**, 31 (1), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.08.014>.
- (19) Xu, K.; Liu, B. Recent Progress in Actuation Technologies of Micro/Nanorobots. *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* **2021**, 12, 756–765. <https://doi.org/10.3762/bjnano.12.59>.
- (20) Yu, S.; Cai, Y.; Wu, Z.; He, Q. Recent Progress on Motion Control of Swimming Micro/Nanorobots. *View* **2021**, 2 (5), 20200113. <https://doi.org/10.1002/viw.20200113>.
- (21) Zarei, M.; Zarei, M. Self-Propelled Micro/Nanomotors for Sensing and Environmental Remediation. *Small* **2018**, 14 (30), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201800912>.
- (22) Ying, Y.; Pumera, M. Micro/Nanomotors for Water Purification. *Chem. - A Eur. J.* **2019**, 25 (1), 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201804189>.
- (23) Kong, L.; Mayorga-Martinez, C. C.; Guan, J.; Pumera, M. Photocatalytic Micromotors Activated by UV to Visible Light for Environmental Remediation, Micropumps, Reversible Assembly, Transportation, and Biomimicry. *Small* **2020**, 16 (27), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201903179>.
- (24) Ye, H.; Wang, Y.; Xu, D.; Liu, X.; Liu, S.; Ma, X. Design and Fabrication of Micro/Nano-Motors for Environmental and Sensing Applications. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2021**, 23, 101007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2021.101007>.
- (25) Wang, L.; Kaeppler, A.; Fischer, D.; Simmchen, J. Photocatalytic TiO₂ Micromotors for Removal of Microplastics and Suspended Matter. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, 11 (36), 32937–32944. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b06128>.
- (26) Sun, M.; Chen, W.; Fan, X.; Tian, C.; Sun, L.; Xie, H. Cooperative Recyclable Magnetic Microsubmarines for Oil and Microplastics Removal from Water. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2020**, 20, 100682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2020.100682>.
- (27) Ye, H.; Wang, Y.; Liu, X.; Xu, D.; Yuan, H.; Sun, H.; Wang, S.; Ma, X. Magnetically Steerable Iron Oxides-Manganese Dioxide Core–Shell Micromotors for Organic and Microplastic Removals. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2021**, 588, 510–521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.12.097>.
- (28) Fernández-Medina, M.; Ramos-Docampo, M. A.; Hovorka, O.; Salgueiriño, V.; Städler, B. Recent

- Advances in Nano- and Micromotors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30* (12), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201908283>.
- (29) Li, J.; Mayorga-Martinez, C. C.; Ohl, C. D.; Pumera, M. Ultrasonically Propelled Micro- and Nanorobots. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, 2102265. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202102265>.
- (30) Hermanová, S.; Pumera, M. Biocatalytic Micro- and Nanomotors. *Chem. - A Eur. J.* **2020**, *26* (49), 11085–11092. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202001244>.
- (31) Dong, R.; Cai, Y.; Yang, Y.; Gao, W.; Ren, B. Photocatalytic Micro/Nanomotors: From Construction to Applications. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51* (9), 1940–1947. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00249>.
- (32) Yuan, K.; Bujalance-Fernández, J.; Jurado-Sánchez, B.; Escarpa, A. Light-Driven Nanomotors and Micromotors: Envisioning New Analytical Possibilities for Bio-Sensing. *Microchim. Acta* **2020**, *187* (10), 187–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-020-04541-y>.
- (33) Maric, T.; Nasir, M. Z. M.; Webster, R. D.; Pumera, M. Tailoring Metal/TiO₂ Interface to Influence Motion of Light-Activated Janus Micromotors. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30* (9), 1908614. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201908614>.
- (34) Dong, R.; Zhang, Q.; Gao, W.; Pei, A.; Ren, B. Highly Efficient Light-Driven TiO₂-Au Janus Micromotors. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10* (1), 839–844. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b05940>.
- (35) Sridhar, V.; Park, B. W.; Guo, S.; Van Aken, P. A.; Sitti, M. Multiwavelength-Steerable Visible-Light-Driven Magnetic CoO-TiO₂ Microswimmers. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12* (21), 24149–24155. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c06100>.
- (36) Chen, X.; Ding, X.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Liu, W.; Lu, X.; Gu, Z. Highly Efficient Visible-Light-Driven Cu₂O@CdSe Micromotors Adsorbent. *Appl. Mater. Today* **2021**, *25*, 101200. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2021.101200>.
- (37) Heckel, S.; Simmchen, J. Photocatalytic BiVO₄ Microswimmers with Bimodal Swimming Strategies. *Adv. Intell. Syst.* **2019**, *1* (8), 1900093. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aisy.201900093>.
- (38) Venugopalan, P. L.; Esteban-Fernández De Ávila, B.; Pal, M.; Ghosh, A.; Wang, J. Fantastic Voyage of Nanomotors into the Cell. *ACS Nano* **2020**, *14* (8), 9423–9439. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c05217>.

- (39) Xie, H.; Sun, M.; Fan, X.; Lin, Z.; Chen, W.; Wang, L.; Dong, L.; He, Q. Reconfigurable Magnetic Microrobot Swarm: Multimode Transformation, Locomotion, and Manipulation. *Sci. Robot.* **2019**, *4* (28), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scirobotics.aav8006>.
- (40) Zhou, H.; Mayorga-Martinez, C. C.; Pané, S.; Zhang, L.; Pumera, M. Magnetically Driven Micro and Nanorobots. *Chem. Rev.* **2021**, *121* (8), 4999–5041. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01234>.
- (41) Lin, Z.; Fan, X.; Sun, M.; Gao, C.; He, Q.; Xie, H. Magnetically Actuated Peanut Colloid Motors for Cell Manipulation and Patterning. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12* (3), 2539–2545. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b08344>.
- (42) Ussia, M.; Ruffino, F.; Bruno, E.; Spina, E.; Conticello, I.; Privitera, V.; Carroccio, S. C. The Role of Solvent on the Formulation of Graphene/Polyporphyrin Hybrid Material versus Photocatalytic Activity. *Polym. Bull.* **2020**, *77* (4), 2073–2087. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00289-019-02849-1>.
- (43) Salzano de Luna, M.; Sirignano, M. Upcycling Soot Particles into Chitosan-Based Aerogels for Water Purification from Organic Pollutants. *J. Hazard. Mater. Lett.* **2021**, *2*, 100019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hazl.2021.100019>.
- (44) Chen, X.; Xu, M.; Yuan, L. ming; Huang, G.; Chen, X.; Shi, W. Degradation Degree Analysis of Environmental Microplastics by Micro FT-IR Imaging Technology. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *274*, 129779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129779>.
- (45) Dong, M.; Zhang, Q.; Xing, X.; Chen, W.; She, Z.; Luo, Z. Raman Spectra and Surface Changes of Microplastics Weathered under Natural Environments. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *739*, 139990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139990>.
- (46) Velimirovic, M.; Tirez, K.; Verstraelen, S.; Frijns, E.; Remy, S.; Koppen, G.; Rotander, A.; Bolea-Fernandez, E.; Vanhaecke, F. Mass Spectrometry as a Powerful Analytical Tool for the Characterization of Indoor Airborne Microplastics and Nanoplastics. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **2021**, *36* (4), 695–705. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ja00036e>.
- (47) Biale, G.; La Nasa, J.; Mattonai, M.; Corti, A.; Vinciguerra, V.; Castelvetro, V.; Modugno, F. A Systematic Study on the Degradation Products Generated from Artificially Aged Microplastics. *Polymers* **2021**, *13* (12), 1997. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13121997>.
- (48) Wu, P.; Tang, Y.; Cao, G.; Li, J.; Wang, S.; Chang, X.; Dang, M.; Jin, H.; Zheng, C.; Cai, Z.

- Determination of Environmental Micro(Nano)Plastics by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **2020**, *92* (21), 14346–14356. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01928>.
- (49) Montaudo, G.; Samperi, F.; Montaudo, M. S.; Carroccio, S.; Puglisi, C. Current Trends in Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization of Polymeric Materials. *Eur. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2005**, *11* (1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1255/ejms.718>.
- (50) Liu, P.; Qian, L.; Wang, H.; Zhan, X.; Lu, K.; Gu, C.; Gao, S. New Insights into the Aging Behavior of Microplastics Accelerated by Advanced Oxidation Processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (7), 3579–3588. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b00493>.
- (51) Lee, J. M.; Busquets, R.; Choi, I. C.; Lee, S. H.; Kim, J. K.; Campos, L. C. Photocatalytic Degradation of Polyamide 66: Evaluating the Feasibility of Photocatalysis as a Microfibre-Targeting Technology. *Water (Switzerland)* **2020**, *12* (12), 3551. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123551>.
- (52) Ekvall, M. T.; Lundqvist, M.; Kelpsiene, E.; Šileikis, E.; Gunnarsson, S. B.; Cedervall, T. Nanoplastics Formed during the Mechanical Breakdown of Daily-Use Polystyrene Products. *Nanoscale Adv.* **2019**, *1* (3), 1055–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8na00210j>.
- (53) Molenaar, R.; Chatterjee, S.; Kamphuis, B.; Segers-Nolten, I. M. J.; Claessens, M. M. A. E.; Blum, C. Nanoplastic Sizes and Numbers: Quantification by Single Particle Tracking. *Environ. Sci. Nano* **2021**, *8* (3), 723–730. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0en00951b>.
- (54) Lambert, S.; Wagner, M. Characterisation of Nanoplastics during the Degradation of Polystyrene. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *145*, 265–268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.078>.
- (55) Xu, H.; Hao, Z.; Feng, W.; Wang, T.; Li, Y. Mechanism of Photodegradation of Organic Pollutants in Seawater by TiO₂-Based Photocatalysts and Improvement in Their Performance. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6* (45), 30698–30707. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c04604>.
- (56) Urso, M.; Ussia, M.; Pumera, M. Breaking Polymer Chains with Self-Propelled Light-Controlled Navigable Hematite Microrobots. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31* (28), 2101510. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202101510>.
- (57) Piccinin, S. The Band Structure and Optical Absorption of Hematite (α -Fe₂O₃): A First-Principles GW-BSE Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21* (6), 2957–2967. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cp07132b>.

- (58) McGinnis, B. D.; Adams, V. D.; Middlebrooks, E. J. Degradation of Ethylene Glycol in Photo Fenton Systems. *Water Res.* **2000**, *34* (8), 2346–2354. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(99\)00387-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00387-5).
- (59) Yu, J.; Yu, X.; Huang, B.; Zhang, X.; Dai, Y. Hydrothermal Synthesis and Visible-Light Photocatalytic Activity of Novel Cage-like Ferric Oxide Hollow Spheres. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2009**, *9* (3), 1474–1480. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cg800941d>.
- (60) Peng, X.; Urso, M.; Pumera, M. Photo-Fenton Degradation of Nitroaromatic Explosives by Light-Powered Hematite Microrobots: When Higher Speed Is Not What We Go For. *Small Methods* **2021**, *5* (10), 2100617. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.202100617>.
- (61) Ussia, M.; Urso, M.; Miritello, M.; Bruno, E.; Curcuruto, G.; Vitalini, D.; Condorelli, G. G.; Cantarella, M.; Privitera, V.; Carroccio, S. C. Hybrid Nickel-Free Graphene/Porphyrin Rings for Photodegradation of Emerging Pollutants in Water. *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9* (52), 30182–30194. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra06328e>.
- (62) Beladi-Mousavi, S. M.; Hermanová, S.; Ying, Y.; Plutnar, J.; Pumera, M. A Maze in Plastic Wastes: Autonomous Motile Photocatalytic Microrobots against Microplastics. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13* (21), 25102–25110. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.1c04559>.
- (63) Malathi, A.; Madhavan, J.; Ashokkumar, M.; Arunachalam, P. A Review on BiVO₄ Photocatalyst: Activity Enhancement Methods for Solar Photocatalytic Applications. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2018**, *555*, 47–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2018.02.010>.
- (64) Nabi, I.; Bacha, A. U. R.; Li, K.; Cheng, H.; Wang, T.; Liu, Y.; Ajmal, S.; Yang, Y.; Feng, Y.; Zhang, L. Complete Photocatalytic Mineralization of Microplastic on TiO₂ Nanoparticle Film. *iScience* **2020**, *23* (7), 101326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101326>.
- (65) Uheida, A.; Mejía, H. G.; Abdel-Rehim, M.; Hamd, W.; Dutta, J. Visible Light Photocatalytic Degradation of Polypropylene Microplastics in a Continuous Water Flow System. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, *406*, 124299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124299>.
- (66) Ouyang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, C.; Zhu, S.; Qin, L.; Wang, W.; He, D.; Zhou, Y.; Luo, H.; Qin, F. Recent Advances in Photocatalytic Degradation of Plastics and Plastic-Derived Chemicals. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2021**, *9* (23), 13402–13441. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ta12465f>.
- (67) Chong, M. N.; Jin, B.; Chow, C. W. K.; Saint, C. Recent Developments in Photocatalytic Water

- Treatment Technology: A Review. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44* (10), 2997–3027.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.02.039>.
- (68) Mishra, S.; Rath, C. charan; Das, A. P. Marine Microfiber Pollution: A Review on Present Status and Future Challenges. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2019**, *140*, 188–197.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.01.039>.
- (69) De Falco, F.; Di Pace, E.; Cocca, M.; Avella, M. The Contribution of Washing Processes of Synthetic Clothes to Microplastic Pollution. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9* (1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43023-x>.
- (70) Villa, K.; Děkanovský, L.; Plutnar, J.; Kosina, J.; Pumera, M. Swarming of Perovskite-Like Bi₂WO₆ Microrobots Destroy Textile Fibers under Visible Light. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30* (51), 2007073.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202007073>.
- (71) Zhou, H.; Mayorga-Martinez, C. C.; Pumera, M. Microplastic Removal and Degradation by Mussel-Inspired Adhesive Magnetic/Enzymatic Microrobots. *Small Methods* **2021**, *5* (9), 2100230.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/smt.202100230>.
- (72) Vogel, K.; Wei, R.; Pfaff, L.; Breite, D.; Al-Fathi, H.; Ortmann, C.; Estrela-Lopis, I.; Venus, T.; Schulze, A.; Harms, H.; Bornscheuer, U. T.; Maskow, T. Enzymatic Degradation of Polyethylene Terephthalate Nanoplastics Analyzed in Real Time by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *773*, 145111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145111>.
- (73) Mohanan, N.; Montazer, Z.; Sharma, P. K.; Levin, D. B. Microbial and Enzymatic Degradation of Synthetic Plastics. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 580709. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.580709>.
- (74) Othman, A. R.; Hasan, H. A.; Muhamad, M. H.; Ismail, N. 'Izzati; Abdullah, S. R. S. Microbial Degradation of Microplastics by Enzymatic Processes: A Review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *19* (4), 3057–3073. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01197-9>.
- (75) Li, J.; Pumera, M. 3D Printing of Functional Microrobots. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2021**, *50* (4), 2794–2838.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cs01062f>.
- (76) Ying, Y.; Plutnar, J.; Pumera, M. Six-Degree-of-Freedom Steerable Visible-Light-Driven Microsubmarines Using Water as a Fuel: Application for Explosives Decontamination. *Small* **2021**, *17* (23), 2100294. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.202100294>.

- (77) Kühn, S.; van Oyen, A.; Booth, A. M.; Meijboom, A.; van Franeker, J. A. Marine Microplastic: Preparation of Relevant Test Materials for Laboratory Assessment of Ecosystem Impacts. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *213*, 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.09.032>.
- (78) Ussia, M.; Urso, M.; Dolezelikova, K.; Michalkova, H.; Adam, V.; Pumera, M. Active Light-Powered Antibiofilm ZnO Micromotors with Chemically Programmable Properties. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31* (27), 2101178. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202101178>.