International Organization of Research & Development (IORD)
ISSN: 2348-0831
Vol 10 Issue 01 | 2022

A Review on Image retrieval using Hash Method

Ms. Shivani Gulabsingh Rajput[1],
[1]Lecturer Computer Science and Engineering Department, VBKCOE , Amravati University

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7161955

ABSTRACT

To represent each set images from multiple set effectively exploited for representation May probabilistic distribution method was use to compare the sets and makes the system slow for comparison. But for large set it is very difficult to compromise with system and hence compact representation of each set must require for retrieving images. Learning-based hashing is the method which was used on large scale for retrieving of data.
Whereas many hashing method encodes the image individually for representation of same object or the user. During the research resolving of the hash method by making use of some network model parameters helps to retrieve the image in less processing time and with greater accuracy. During the query of the image searching a CNN module is used to extract the feature which segregates the two types set including set specific and database specific. In this paper the proposed system make use of hashing method to retrieve the image in easy and less processing timemanner.

Keywords: ***Set, probabilistic, hashing, CNN, retrieve, segregate.***

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the images are captured and upload on the network it becomes easy for the system to rectify the set characteristics of the image. An image set stored on the network in unidentified and unordered manner such as an object, a human face and any other parameter. A set in an image itself show various different characteristics in terms of an object, or set of videos or anything which resembles the image. The content of the image can be classified by its feature set and can be able to detect as much as feature set which is sufficient to retrieve the images. Many researchers make use of hashing method and modeling concept where the distance measurement being the first motive with it. The proposed algorithm of learning based helps to detect the image and make use of binary code for each image due to which the problem which was associated with the storage and memory part was completely reduce.
Many benefits are available while using hashing method some are as follows:

1) Integration of every image with the proper set is possible.
2) due to optimization of hashing code the code matching of image become accurate.;
3) the problem associated with random size and memory of the image which creates retrieval problem was completely remove by using this method.
   The method of compact binary code helps to rectify the error associated with smaller distance of sets and helps in proper extraction of features. The set feature after extraction was fed to the model network for transformation in binary code. Evaluating the proposed method on dataset use to match the set.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

A. Image feature extraction

The method in the previous concepts was very clearly indicated to take in it as an image and try to extract the feature from it. Here CNN was used to extract the feature from the image. As discussed in various resercaher works the structure of CNN helps to work on various specific task and specific data domain.

B. Set feature computation

The method of merging the unified set of representation without considering the samples of the set helps to determine the distance from various models and it was all done without restoration of the image. Many image samples were gathered together for final representation of retrieval of the image and hence create the problem in accuracy parameters.

ANALYSIS OF PROBLEM

A. Image set representation and matching

The main problem associated with matching the set of images is during comparison of set. And to resolvethis issue the existing work was divided in parametric and non-parametric methods.
Parametric approach represents the distribution within a set due to which the comparison with other realtime set was not possible. And hence produces the statistical noise during the process of comparison.
The non-parametric methods required more accurate set representation a more effective set representation. Several works have focused on finding some representative exemplars: mean[38], affine hull or convex hull [4], approximated nearest neighbors [11], and regularized nearest points [42]. Other works use a geometric representation such as linear subspaces [6, 15] compared with the principal angles method [14] or the projection kernel met- ric [6]. Subspace based methods perform well when each set represents a dense sampling, but tends to struggle when the set is of small size with complex data variations. Linear subspace models are usually estimated from an eigen- decomposition of the covariance matrix but discarding non leading eigenvectors.
Hence, the covariance matrix characterizes the set structure more faithfully and has therefore also been used as a set representation [37] but its higher dimensionality is a burden when dealing with large scale problems. In the Hashing across Euclidean space and Riemannian manifold (HER) framework proposed in [21], video clips are encoded as covariance matrices and embed- ded into a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) before applying a SVM-based hash learning procedure. [38], affine hull or convex hull [4], approximated nearest neighbors [11], and regularized nearest points [42]. Other works use a geometric representation such as linear subspaces [6, 15] compared with the principal angles method [14] or the projection kernel met- ric [6]. Subspace based methods perform well when each set represents a dense sampling, but tends to struggle when the set is of small size with complex data variations. Linear subspace models are usually estimated from an eigen- decomposition of the covariance matrix but discarding non leading eigenvectors. Hence, the covariance matrix characterizes the set structure more faithfully and has therefore also been used as a set representation [37] but its higher dimensionality is a burden when dealing with large scale problems. In the Hashing across Euclidean space and Riemannian manifold (HER) framework proposed in [21], video clips are encoded as covariance matrices and embed- ded into a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) before applying a SVM-based hash learning procedure.

3. PROPOSED WORK

Depending on the set of dataset different pre-trained CNN model was act as an extraction model.

- Deep Set Hashing Network

A deep hashing algorithm was design to process the input in the form of hash sets. This creates the network structure and applied to various layers. After computing the set of feature a hashing phase is used to encodes the feature setinto binary hash code.

- Effects of key configurations

Various important configurations are available which affects the final output.After conducting various operation these sets it then contained enough data to train the model from scratch.

- Set Feature Comparison

Evaluating the performance of the type set byusing its feature is an interesting part for comparing theset feature. So after the use of only one set we can determine the good result with highest processing time. Complementary properties of these two sets features were identified as local characteristics and global characteristics.

4. RESULT

So the image retrieval can be accessed by using hashing method and have the possibility of increasing theaccuracy of retrieving of the image search. The model used in the process helps to generate the hash bit feature and comparison of it become easy to retrieve the image configuration.
If we initialize the input query to the system then the Top10 search results for two query images on the CIFAR-10 dataset, when the aircraft image is retrieved, the 48-bit and 128-bit hash code is biased to detect the associated image of the same class. The hash code helps to retrieve the semantic similar images but sometime it also shows the reference of the images in all terms associated with the feature of the image.

5. CONCLUSION

After facing many difficulties for appropriate retrieval of image using hashing algorithm the accuracy with the proposed system was achieved and helps to determine the retrieval images so easily. The best way done in the process is to generate the model for feature extraction and comparing the generated hash bits to the result generated. It also helps to increase the processing time and provided the future reference about the image search. And after completing the process it become easy to retrieve the image in high accuracy and less processing time using hashing algorithm

6. REFERENCES

[1] M. Abadi, A. Agarwal, P. Barham, E. Brevdo, Z. Chen, C. Citro, G. S. Corrado, A. Davis, J. Dean, M. Devin, S. Ghemawat, Goodfellow, A. Harp, G. Irving, M. Isard, Y. Jia, R. Jozefowicz, L. Kaiser, M. Kudlur, J. Levenberg, D. Man´e, R. Monga, S. Moore, D. Murray, C. Olah, M. Schuster, J. Shlens, B. Steiner, I. Sutskever, K. Talwar, P. Tucker, V. Vanhoucke, V. Vasudevan, F. Vi´egas, O. Vinyals, P. Warden, M.Wattenberg, M.Wicke, Y. Yu, and X. Zheng. Tensor- Flow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems, 2015. Software available from tensorflow.org.

[2] O. Arandjelovi´c, G. Shakhnarovich, J. Fisher, R. Cipolla, and T. Darrell. Face recognition with image sets using manifold density divergence. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2005. CVPR 2005. IEEE Computer Society Conference on, volume 1, pages 581–588. IEEE, 2005.

[3] F. Bastien, P. Lamblin, R. Pascanu, J. Bergstra, I. J. Goodfellow, Bergeron, N. Bouchard, and Y. Bengio. Theano: new features and speed improvements. Deep Learning and Unsupervised Feature Learning NIPS 2012Workshop, 2012.

[4] H. Cevikalp and B. Triggs. Face recognition based on image sets. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on, pages 2567–2573. IEEE, 2010.

[5] Y. Gong, S. Lazebnik, A. Gordo, and F. Perronnin. Iterative quantization: A procrustean approach to learning binary codes for large- scale image retrieval. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 35(12):2916– 2929, 2013.

[6] J. Hamm and D. D. Lee. Grassmann discriminant analysis: a unifying view on subspace-based learning. In Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning, pages 376–383. ACM, 2008.

[7] M. Harandi, M. Salzmann, and M. Baktashmotlagh. Beyond gauss: Image-set matching on the riemannian manifold of pdfs. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference onComputer Vision, pages 4112–4120, 2015.

[8] M. Hayat, M. Bennamoun, and S. An. Learning non-linear reconstruction models for image set classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Visionand Pattern Recognition, pages 1907–1914, 2014.

[9] M. Hayat, M. Bennamoun, and S. An. Reverse training: An efficient approach for image set classification. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2014, pages 784–799. Springer, 2014.

[10] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03385, 2015.

[11] Y. Hu, A. S. Mian, and R. Owens. Sparse approximated nearest points for image set classification. In Computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR), 2011 IEEE conference on, pages 121–128. IEEE, 2011.

[12] H. J´egou, M. Douze, C. Schmid, and P. P´erez. Aggregating local descriptors into a compact image representation. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2010 IEEE Conference on, pages 3304–3311. IEEE, 2010.

[13] M. Kim, S. Kumar, V. Pavlovic, and H. Rowley. Face tracking and recognition with visual constraints in real-world videos. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2008. CVPR 2008. IEEE Conference on, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2008.

[14] T.-K. Kim, O. Arandjelovi´c, and R. Cipolla. Boosted manifold principal angles for image set-based recognition. Pattern Recognition, 40(9):2475–2484, 2007.

[15] T.-K. Kim, J. Kittler, and R. Cipolla. Discriminative learning and recognition of image set classes using canonical correlations. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on, 29(6):1005–1018, 2007.

[16] A. Krizhevsky and G. Hinton. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images, 2009.

[17] H. Lai, Y. Pan, Y. Liu, and S. Yan. Simultaneous feature learning and hash coding with deep neural networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 3270–3278, 2015.

[18] Y. LeCun, C. Cortes, and C. J. Burges. The mnist database of handwritten digits, 1998. [19] K.-C. Lee, J. Ho, M.-H. Yang, and D. Kriegman. Videobased face recognition using probabilistic appearance manifolds. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2003. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE Computer Society Conference on, volume 1, pages I–313. IEEE, 2003. B. Leibe and B. Schiele. Analyzing appearance and contour based methods for object categorization. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2003. Proceedings. 2003 IEEE Computer Society Conference on, volume 2, pages II–409. IEEE, 2003.

[19] Y. Li, R. Wang, Z. Huang, S. Shan, and X. Chen. Face video retrieval with image query via hashing across Euclidean space and riemannian manifold. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4758–4767, 2015.

[20] K. Lin, H.-F. Yang, J.-H. Hsiao, and C.-S. Chen. Deep learning of binary hash codes for fast image retrieval. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Visionand Pattern Recognition Workshops, pages 27–35, 2015.

[21] W. Liu, J. Wang, R. Ji, Y.-G. Jiang, and S.-F. Chang. Supervised hashing with kernels. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on, pages 2074–2081. IEEE, 2012.

[22] J. Lu, G. Wang, W. Deng, P. Moulin, and J. Zhou. Multimanifold deep metric learning for image set classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference onComputer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1137–1145,2015.

[23] O. M. Parkhi, A. Vedaldi, and A. Zisserman. Deep face recognition. Proceedings of the British Machine Vision, 1(3):6, 2015.

[24] F. Perronnin and C. Dance. Fisher kernels on visual vocabularies for image categorization. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2007. CVPR'07. IEEE Conference on, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2007.

[25] O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein, et al. Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge.

[26] International Journal of Computer Vision, 115(3):211–252, 2015.

[27] F. Schroff, D. Kalenichenko, and J. Philbin. Facenet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering. In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Visionand Pattern Recognition, pages 815–823, 2015.

[28] S. A. A. Shah, M. Bennamoun, and F. Boussaid. Iterative deep learning for image set based face and object recognition. Neurocomputing, 174:866–874, 2016.

[29] G. Shakhnarovich, J. W. Fisher, and T. Darrell. Face recognition from long-term observations. In ECCV 2002, pages 851–865. Springer, 2002.