



Play a Part in Parkinson's Research

Impact of the Dopamine System on Long-term Cognitive Impairment in Parkinson Disease

Daniel Weintraub, Marina Picillo, Hyunkeun Ryan Cho, Chelsea Caspell-Garcia, Cornelis Blauwendraat, Ethan G. Brown, Lana M Chahine, Christopher S Coffey, Roseanne D Dobkin, Tatiana Foroud, Doug Galasko, Karl Kieburtz, Kenneth Marek, Kalpana Merchant, Brit Mollenhauer, Kathleen L Poston, Tanya Simuni, Andrew Siderowf, Andrew Siderowf, Andrew Siderowf, Andrew Siderowf, Andrew Siderowf, Andrew Siderowf, Caroline M Tanner, on behalf of the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative

Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Salernitana", University of Salerno, Italy; Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Salernitana", University of Salernitana", University of Salernitana", University of Salernitana", University of Salerno, Italy; Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Salernitana", University of Salernitana lowa, lowa City, IA, USA; Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, and the Integrative Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA; Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, and the Integrative Neurology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; Department of Reurology, University, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Piscataway, NJ, USA; Department of Neurology, University, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Piscataway, NJ, USA; Department of Neurology, University, Indianapolis, IN, USA; Department of Ne Neurology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA.; Institute for Neurology, University Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA.; Institute for Neurology, University Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA.; Institute for Neurology, University Medical Center, Rochester, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; Departments of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; Center for Alzheimer's and Related Dementias, and the Molecular Genetics Section, Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA; Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA.

BACKGROUND / RATIONALE

▶ Prospective, longitudinal studies have found that dementia (PDD) may actually occur in up to 80% of Parkinson disease (PD) patients. In addition, approximately 25-30% of non-demented patients have mild cognitive impairment (PD-MCI).

▶ Relatively little is known about how the dopamine system impacts global cognitive abilities and decline over time. It has long been hypothesized that early cognitive impairment in PD is driven mainly by dopaminergic deficits, and that later more severe impairment and dementia may depend on nondopaminergic, specifically cholinergic, cortical dysfunction. However, this "dual syndrome hypothesis" has not been well tested

▶ Impairment in the dopamine system, assessed primarily with dopamine transporter (DAT) SPECT imaging, has been associated with decreased global(6) and specific(7, 8) cognitive abilities in preliminary studies, including longitudinal decline in early PD(9-11).

▶ Regarding dopamine-related single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), the COMT val158met genotype has been associated with an increased cognitive decline or risk for developing MCI(13, 14), and the DRD2C957T genotype correlated with an overall increased risk of dementia(13). Another small, crosssectional study reported associations with two DRD2 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and

► Analyses: Data was downloaded from Laboratory of Neuroimaging (LONI) on February 1, 2021. Statistical analyses were performed using programming language R 4.2.0. Data out to seven years was utilized. Longitudinal impairment association between SNPs and cognitive impairment was assessed using generalized estimating equations (GEEs) under a first-order autoregressive (AR-1) correlation structure in generalized linear models (GLM) with the logit link function. To assess the long-term impact of changes in DaTscan and LEDD on cognitive impairment a two-step procedure was implemented: (1) mixed effect analysis was conducted to assess the individual level of changes in DaTscan and LEDD, and (2) GEEs under the AR-1 were used to assess the associations between the changes in DaTscan and LEDD and cognitive impairment during the follow-up period. Clinical variables with p-value < 0.3 on univariate analysis were included as covariates in all GLMs. For analyses of any cognitive impairment time-varying covariates were included, when applicable, and baseline cognitive impairment status was also included; for incident cognitive impairment baseline values for all covariates were utilized, and cognitive-enhancing medication use was not included as no participants were taking a cognitive-enhancing medication at baseline. As all analyses reported herein are considered exploratory and hypothesis-generating, no correction for multiple comparisons were made, and level of significance 0.05 is considered a preliminary finding requiring replication.

dementia in PD(15). In terms of PD medications, while research has demonstrated that levodopa may have acute or shortterm beneficial effects on cognitive performance(16, 17), there is no evidence that choice of initial dopamine replacement therapy (i.e., levodopa, dopamine agonist or monoamine oxidase B inhibitor) makes a difference in terms of subsequent dementia rates(18, 19), and a trial of a monoamine oxidase B inhibitor (rasagiline) was negative for treatment of PD-MCI(20). ► To date, few studies have examined a large cohort of PD patients from disease onset annually for up to 7 years focused on the onset of cognitive impairment. Furthermore, the specific impact of three distinct aspects of the dopamine system on cognition over time has not been evaluated in a single study: (1) DAT integrity; (2) dopamine-related SNPs; and (3) total dopaminergic medication exposure. The results will help inform whether cognitive impairment in PD is a dopaminergic or nondopaminergic feature, and whether disease-modifying therapies targeting the dopamine system might be expected to have beneficial effects on cognitive abilities.

STUDY DESIGN

▶ Participants: Up to 417 participants had baseline data available, and up to 238 participants had year 7 data available (for the latter, N=234 for MoCA, N=232 for detailed cognitive testing, N=238 for Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS)(21) part I cognition score and N=235 for site investigator cognitive diagnosis).

► Clinical variables: Clinical variables were examined as possible co-variates if associated with cognitive impairment in previous research. Fixed variables were age at enrollment, sex, education level and race. Time-varying variables for longitudinal analyses were REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire (RBDSQ)(22) score, total State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)(23) score, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15)(24) score, MDS-UPDRS motor (UPDRS part 3) score ("off" score at baseline and "on" score at all subsequent visits), Anticholinergic Cognitive Burden (ACB) scale(25) score (an assessment of anticholinergic burden of prescribed medications), and cognitive-enhancing medication use (i.e., either acetylcholinesterase inhibitors or memantine).

► **Definition of cognitive impairment:** The definitions of cognitive impairment were: (1) MoCA: score <26; (2) detailed cognitive testing: ≥2 tests impaired (>1.5 below standardized mean) from a cognitive battery of 5 tests, as previously defined(26); (3) MDS-UPDRS part I cognition score ≥2; and (4) site investigator diagnosis of cognitive impairment (either MCI(27) or dementia(28) guided by consensus criteria). The site investigator cognitive categorization is a partial dataset (data for 104/417 participants at baseline and 269/391 participants at year 1).

Cognitive impairment was determined at baseline and each annual visit. The longitudinal characterization of cognitive impairment was done two ways: (1) including all participants and considering cognitive impairment at each visit separately, including baseline ("any impairment"); and (2) including a subgroup of participants who developed consistent, incident cognitive impairment versus those participants who were never cognitively impaired ("incident impairment"). For the latter categorization, the impaired group could not be impaired at baseline, were required to have at least one visit after conversion, and once converted had to stay converted at all future visits. The unimpaired could never be impaired at any study visit and were required to have at least one post-baseline assessment. All other participants were excluded from the consistent, incident cognitive impairment analyses.

STUDY DESIGN (CONT'D)

Dopamine system variables: PPMI methodology for biological variable collection and analysis has previously been reported(29, 30), as has calculation of levodopa equivalent daily dose (LEDD), using published recommendations(31).

- **DaTscan**: Two values for striatal dopamine integrity (DAT) based on DaTscan results were used: (1) mean striatal binding ratio (SBR) value (the average of right caudate, left caudate, right putamen and left putamen raw values), and (2) age- and sex-expected ratio for lowest putamen. DaTscan values for baseline and any values available for years 1 through 5 were used for longitudinal models. 413 participants had a DaTscan at baseline, 367 at year 1, 357 at year 2 or 3, and 299 at year 4 or 5.
- **Genetics:** Genetic data was obtained from https://www.ppmi-info.org/. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously associated or related to the dopamine system were included (Table 1). A SNP was removed if it was in high linkage disequilibrium (r2 > 0.8) with another SNP, resulting in the removal of DRD1 rs4532, DRD1 rs265981, SLC18A2 rs363224, and MAO-B rs6651806. SNPs were analyzed as dichotomous variable (presence of one or two copies of Allele 2).
- **Levodopa equivalent daily dose:** Total LEDD was zero at baseline as all participants were untreated, and was calculated at every post-baseline visit, including all PD medications prescribed(31).

RESULTS

Table 1. Participant characteristics longitudinally

										estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	
Variable	Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7		(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	
Cognitive Impairment	(N=417)	(N=391)	(N=375)	(N=363)	(N=344)	(N=314)	(N=273)	(N=239)	Any cognitive impairmen	t									
									МоСА	0.117 (0.57)	-0.291 (0.11)	-0.127 (0.51)	-0.030 (0.87)	0.250 (0.16)	0.164 (0.46)	-0.202 (0.27)	-0.202 (0.33)	0.399 (0.03)	
MoCA, %	21.6	34.5	32.4	31.9	30.1	28.3	32.1	28.2	Test scores	0.076 (0.73)	0.016 (0.94)	0.093 (0.67)	0.265 (0.18)	0.201 (0.31)	0.133 (0.57)	0.037 (0.85)	0.052 (0.81)	0.246 (0.22)	
Cognitive test scores, %	15.4	18.5	16.0	18.6	17.5	18.4	17.3	19.8	MDS-UPDRS	0.014 (0.96)	0.118 (0.62)	0.280 (0.29)	0.051 (0.82)	0.036 (0.87)	0.075 (0.77)	0.138 (0.55)	0.132 (0.59)	0.062 (0.77)	
MDS-UPDRS part I, %	3.1	4.1	8.5	9.4	11.4	12.4	12.6	16.4	Site investigator Incident cognitive impair	0.351 (0.12) ment	-0.201 (0.34)	-0.078 (0.71)	-0.114 (0.57)	0.034 (0.87)	0.155 (0.52)	-0.197 (0.31)	-0.317 (0.16)	0.367 (0.07)	
Site investigator, %	7.7	14.5	16.3	21.9	21.7	19.7	21.8	28.5	МоСА	0.169 (0.77)	-0.617 (0.26)	0.499 (0.38)	0.003 (0.99)	0.519 (0.32)	0.238 (0.66)	-0.524 (0.29)	-0.493 (0.33)	0.532 (0.29)	
Denemine system									Test scores	0.500 (0.39)	0.328 (0.59)	0.375 (0.56)	0.347 (0.56)	0.359 (0.54)	0.100 (0.88)	-0.582 (0.32)	-0.580 (0.40)	0.610 (0.30)	
Dopamine system									MDS-UPDRS	-0.006 (0.99)	0.334 (0.53)	1.287 (0.05)	-0.174 (0.71)	-0.094 (0.85)	0.754 (0.21)	-0.397 (0.42)	-0.770 (0.20)	0.178 (0.71)	
Total striatum SBR, mean (SD)	1.40 (0.39)	1.24 (0.35)	1.16 (0.37)	0.96 (0.27)	1.02 (0.34)	0.91 (0.47)	NA	NA	lable _{ig} 4-r Dop	aminer	gicither	apycano	longote	erm (Cogi		n past(mer	10 .658 (0.35)	-0.299 (0.66)	
Lowest putamen SBR, mean (SD)	0.33 (0.12)	0.29 (0.10)	0.27 (0.11)	0.21 (0.08)	0.24 (0.10)	0.22 (0.18)	NA	NA	Cognitivo impoirment outcomo					Change in LEDD over time					
LEDD, mean (SD)	N/A	180.95	333.19	444.68	529.68	613.85	709.20	763.63	Cognitive impairin										
		(231.14)	(314.89)	(349.06)	(352.03)	(325.05)	(438.98)	(463.12)							estima	te (p value)			
		、	、	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. ,	、	、	、	Any cognitive impa	airment									
Covariates									МоСА						0.18	83 (0.20)			
RBDSQ, mean (SD)	4.2 (2.7)	4.2 (2.8)	4.6 (3.0)	4.7 (2.9)	5.0 (3.2)	5.0 (3.1)	5.2 (3.2)	5.7 (3.3)	Test scores	Test scores 0.408 (0.01)									
STAI, mean (SD)	65.3 (18.1)	65.1 (18.7)	65.0 (18.6)	64.8 (18.8)	64.7 (18.8)	64.9 (19.4)	66.2 (19.0)	67.9 (18.9)	MDS-UPDRS 0.629 (<0.001)										
GDS, mean (SD)	2.3 (2.4)	2.6 (2.9)	2.6 (2.9)	2.6 (2.8)	2.6 (2.8)	2.8 (2.8)	2.8 (2.8)	3.2 (3.1)	Site investigator					0.187 (0.22)					
UPDRS3, mean (SD)	20.9 (8.9)	23.1 (10.8)	22.9 (11.3)	23.9 (12.1)	24.0 (12.8)	24.5 (13.2)	24.1 (12.3)	24.4 (12.2)	Incident cognitive impairment										
ACB, mean (SD)	0.7 (1.3)	1.1 (1.6)	1.2 (1.8)	1.4 (1.9)	1.4 (1.9)	1.5 (1.9)	1.5 (1.9)	1.7 (2.0)	MoCA				0.901 (0.01)						
Cognitive-enhancing medication	0	0.5	1.3	2.8	4.7	5.1	5.1	6.3	Test scores	Test scores				-0.062 (0.92)					
use, %									MDS-UPDRS	MDS-UPDRS				1.183 (<0.001)					
									Site investigator						1.74	9 (0.005)			

|--|

Table 2. Dopamine transporter SBR and cognitive impairment

seline total striatum SBR	Baseline lowest nutamen		
	busenne iowest putamen	Change in total striatum	Change in lowest putamen
estimate (p value)	SBR	SBR over time	SBR over time
	estimate (p value)	estimate (p value)	estimate (p value)
-0.038 (0.87)	-0.223 (0.76)	-3.224 (0.38)	-7.450 (0.59)
-0.723 (0.005)	-1.109 (0.16)	3.007 (0.45)	21.124 (0.18)
-0.502 (0.10)	-1.037 (0.36)	-3.072 (0.53)	6.929 (0.71)
0.126 (0.61)	-0.195 (0.82)	-5.845 (0.13)	-9.804 (0.52)
-0.547 (0.41)	0.418 (0.83)	7.333 (0.43)	0.128 (0.99)
-3.201 (0.003)	-4.652 (0.11)	25.880 (0.12)	31.880 (0.41)
-1.627 (0.02)	-3.880 (0.08)	-4.679 (0.65)	22.109 (0.54)
-1.640 (0.07)	-6.361 (0.08)	1.106 (0.91)	14.906 (0.74)
	-0.038 (0.87) -0.723 (0.005) -0.723 (0.005) -0.502 (0.10) 0.126 (0.61) -0.547 (0.41) -3.201 (0.003) -1.627 (0.02) -1.640 (0.07)	estimate (p value) 35K estimate (p value) estimate (p value) -0.038 (0.87) -0.223 (0.76) -0.723 (0.005) -1.109 (0.16) -0.723 (0.005) -1.037 (0.36) -0.502 (0.10) -1.037 (0.36) 0.126 (0.61) -0.195 (0.82) -0.547 (0.41) 0.418 (0.83) -3.201 (0.003) -4.652 (0.11) -1.627 (0.02) -3.880 (0.08) -1.640 (0.07) -6.361 (0.08)	SBK SBK Over time estimate (p value) estimate (p value) estimate (p value) estimate (p value) -0.038 (0.87) -0.223 (0.76) -3.224 (0.38) -0.723 (0.005) -1.109 (0.16) 3.007 (0.45) -0.502 (0.10) -1.037 (0.36) -3.072 (0.53) 0.126 (0.61) -0.195 (0.82) -5.845 (0.13) -0.547 (0.41) 0.418 (0.83) 7.333 (0.43) -3.201 (0.003) -4.652 (0.11) 25.880 (0.12) -1.627 (0.02) -3.880 (0.08) -4.679 (0.65) -1.640 (0.07) -6.361 (0.08) 1.106 (0.91)

Table 5. Dopamine-related SixPS and Cognitive Impairment

Cognitive outcome	Genetic SNP								
	DRD3	DRD5	DRD5	SLC6A3	DRD1	DDC	SLC18A2	SLC18A2	SLC18A2
	rs6280	rs6283	rs1967550	rs27072	rs686	rs1451375	rs363387	rs2015586	rs363227
	estimate	Estimate	estimate	Estimate	Estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate
	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)
Any cognitive impairment									
MoCA	0.081 (0.65)	-0.005 (0.98)	0.082 (0.67)	-0.006 (0.98)	-0.052 (0.78)	-0.071 (0.70)	-0.391 (0.20)	0.143 (0.46)	-0.067 (0.76)
Test scores	0.248 (0.21)	0.027 (0.89)	0.097 (0.64)	-0.062 (0.77)	0.098 (0.62)	-0.181 (0.36)	-0.303 (0.39)	0.030 (0.89)	-0.357 (0.13)
MDS-UPDRS	0.243 (0.29)	0.333 (0.17)	0.222 (0.35)	0.030 (0.90)	0.439 (0.06)	0.017 (0.94)	-0.968 (0.01)	0.152 (0.55)	-0.139 (0.62)
Site investigator	0.095 (0.63)	0.043 (0.83)	0.124 (0.57)	-0.006 (0.98)	0.079 (0.70)	0.013 (0.95)	-0.260 (0.45)	0.307 (0.15)	0.212 (0.39)
Incident cognitive impair	ment								
MoCA	-1.246 (0.02)	-0.004 (0.99)	0.310 (0.56)	-0.091 (0.87)	-0.959 (0.06)	-0.218 (0.68)	0.095 (0.91)	0.538 (0.33)	0.623 (0.29)
Test scores	0.089 (0.88)	0.654 (0.27)	0.312 (0.62)	-0.372 (0.56)	-0.246 (0.67)	0.569 (0.41)	0.455 (0.59)	1.139 (0.15)	0.440 (0.47)
MDS-UPDRS	0.164 (0.73)	0.269 (0.58)	-0.642 (0.17)	0.706 (0.13)	0.829 (0.12)	0.135 (0.78)	0.032 (0.96)	0.847 (0.15)	0.064 (0.91)
Site investigator	0.691 (0.36)	1.346 (0.10)	0.004 (0.10)	-0.460 (0.56)	-0.746 (0.29)	-0.274 (0.68)	-0.381 (0.76)	-0.249 (0.73)	1.636 (0.05)
Cognitive outcome	Genetic SNP								
	SLC18A2	DRD4	DRD4	тн	DRD2	COMT	МАОВ	МАОВ	МАОВ
	rs363276	rs747302	rs1800955	rs6356	rs1800497	rs4680	rs1799836	rs10521432	rs5905512
	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate	estimate
	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)	(p value)
Any cognitive impairment	t								
MoCA	0.117 (0.57)	-0.291 (0.11)	-0.127 (0.51)	-0.030 (0.87)	0.250 (0.16)	0.164 (0.46)	-0.202 (0.27)	-0.202 (0.33)	0.399 (0.03)
Test scores	0.076 (0.73)	0.016 (0.94)	0.093 (0.67)	0.265 (0.18)	0.201 (0.31)	0.133 (0.57)	0.037 (0.85)	0.052 (0.81)	0.246 (0.22)
MDS-UPDRS	0.014 (0.96)	0.118 (0.62)	0.280 (0.29)	0.051 (0.82)	0.036 (0.87)	0.075 (0.77)	0.138 (0.55)	0.132 (0.59)	0.062 (0.77)
Site investigator	0.351 (0.12)	-0.201 (0.34)	-0.078 (0.71)	-0.114 (0.57)	0.034 (0.87)	0.155 (0.52)	-0.197 (0.31)	-0.317 (0.16)	0.367 (0.07)
Incident cognitive impairment									
МоСА	0.169 (0.77)	-0.617 (0.26)	0.499 (0.38)	0.003 (0.99)	0.519 (0.32)	0.238 (0.66)	-0.524 (0.29)	-0.493 (0.33)	0.532 (0.29)
Test scores	0.500 (0.39)	0.328 (0.59)	0.375 (0.56)	0.347 (0.56)	0.359 (0.54)	0.100 (0.88)	-0.582 (0.32)	-0.580 (0.40)	0.610 (0.30)
MDS-UPDRS	-0.006 (0.99)	0.334 (0.53)	1.287 (0.05)	-0.174 (0.71)	-0.094 (0.85)	0.754 (0.21)	-0.397 (0.42)	-0.770 (0.20)	0.178 (0.71)
Table 4. Dop	aminer	eie ₁ ther	abyan	longat	erm (cogi	nitivesim	pairmer	1 0.658 (0.35)	-0.299 (0.66)

CONCLUSIONS

The findings provide preliminary evidence that the dopamine system is involved with cognitive decline in PD, including incident cognitive impairment.

The predictive measures were nigrostriatal dopaminergic integrity, multiple dopamine system-related genes, and chronic dopamine replacement therapy exposure.

In addition, multiple other demographic and non-motor clinical variables predicted long-term cognitive decline (e.g., increasing age, male sex, lower level of education, non-White race, and increasing severity of anxiety and depression).

While the dopamine system, including dopaminergic medications, have been implicate in the etiology of certain psychiatric features in PD (e.g., depression, anxiety, impulse control disorder and psychosis), little is known about its impact on long-term cognitive course. The results reported herein, from a relatively large, longitudinal, biomarker-rich cohort study with a range of cognitive assessments, suggest that the dopamine system in PD is implicated not only in acute, early or domain-specific cognitive changes, but also in long-term cognitive impairment outcomes of great clinical significance to patients.

REFERENCES

- Kehagia AA, Barker RA, Robbins TW. Cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: the dual syndrome hypothesis. Neurodegener Dis. 2013;11(2):79-92. 2. 2. Ravina B, Marek K, Eberly S, Oakes D, Kurlan R, Ascherio A, et al. Dopamine transporter imaging is associated with long-term outcomes in Parkinson's disease. Movement Disorders. 2012;27:1392-7
- 3. 3. Liu R, Umbach DM, Troster AI, Huang X, Chen H. Non-motor symptoms and striatal dopamine transporter binding in early Parkinson's disease.
- Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2020;72:23-30. 4. 4. Fornari LHT, da Silva Junior N, Muratt Carpenedo C, Hilbig A, Rieder CRM. Striatal dopamine correlates to memory and attention in Parkinson's disease.
- Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;11(1):10-9. 5. 5. Schrag A, Siddiqui UF, Anastasiou Z, Weintraub D, Schott JM. Clinical variables and biomarkers in prediction of cognitive impairment in patients with newly diagnosed Parkinson's disease: a cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16(1):66-75
- 6. 6. Pasquini J, Durcan R, Wiblin L, Gersel Stokholm M, Rochester L, Brooks DJ, et al. Clinical implications of early caudate dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2019;90(10):1098-104.
- 7. 7. Bayram E, Kaplan N, Shan G, Caldwell JZK. The longitudinal associations between cognition, mood and striatal dopaminergic binding in Parkinson's Disease. Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn. 2020;27(4):581-94.
- 8. 8. Nakano Y, Hirano S, Kojima K, Li H, Sakurai T, Suzuki M, et al. Dopaminergic correlates of regional cerebral blood flow in parkinsonian disorders. Mov **Disord. 2022**
- 9. 9. Backstrom D, Eriksson Domellof M, Granasen G, Linder J, Mayans S, Elgh E, et al. Polymorphisms in dopamine-associated genes and cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease. Acta Neurol Scand. 2018;137(1):91-8.
- 10. 10. Chung J, Ushakova A, Doitsidou M, Tzoulis C, Tysnes OB, Dalen I, et al. The impact of common genetic variants in cognitive decline in the first seven years of Parkinson's disease: a longitudinal observational study. Neurosci Lett. 2021:136243.
- 11. 11. Zapala B, Stefura T, Piwowar M, Czekalska S, Zawada M, Hadasik M, et al. The role of single nucleotide polymorphisms of monoamine oxidase B, dopamine D2 receptor, and DOPA decarboxylase receptors among patients treated for Parkinson's disease. J Mol Neurosci. 2022;72(4):812-9.
- 12. 12. Ikeda M, Kataoka H, Ueno S. Can levodopa prevent cognitive decline in patients with Parkinson's disease? Am J Neurodegener Dis. 2017;6(2):9-14. **13.** 13. Growdon J, Kieburtz K, McDermott M, Panisset M, Friedman J, Group PS. Levodopa improves motor function without impairing cognition in mild non-demented Parkinson's disease patients. Neurology. 1998;50:1327-31.
- 14. 14. Group PDMC, Gray R, Ives N, Rick C, Patel S, Gray A, et al. Long-term effectiveness of dopamine agonists and monoamine oxidase B inhibitors compared with levodopa as initial treatment for Parkinson's disease (PD MED): a large, open-label, pragmatic randomised trial. Lancet. 2014:384(9949):1196-205.
- 15. 15. Verschuur CVM, Suwijn SR, Boel JA, Post B, Bloem BR, van Hilten JJ, et al. Randomized delayed-start trial of levodopa in Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med. 2019:380(4):315-24.
- 16. 16. Weintraub D, Hauser RA, Elm JJ, Pagan F, Davis MD, Choudhry A, et al. Rasagiline for mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: A placebocontrolled trial. Mov Disord. 2016;31:709-14.

PPMI CONTINU	JES TO GARNER SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRY SUPPORT
PPMI is sponsored and partners include a cons	partially funded by The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research. Other funding ortium of industry and non-profit organizations.
	AskBio Avid Biotech Biogen
	(h Bristol Myers Squibb' Calico Cerevel Score Corevel
FOR PARKINSON'S RESEARCH	Canada and a set of the set of th
Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative	

PPMI data downloaded from PPMI website: http://www.ppmi-info.org/