



Image credit: This Is Zun

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

ZERO LAB

Zero-carbon Energy Systems Research and Optimization Laboratory

Electricity Transmission is Key to Unlock the Full Potential of the Inflation Reduction Act

September 2022

Electricity Transmission is Key to Unlock the Full Potential of the Inflation Reduction Act

Jesse D. Jenkins¹, Jamil Farbes², Ryan Jones², Neha Patankar³, Greg Schivley⁴

1. Princeton University, Zero-carbon Energy Systems Research and Optimization Laboratory (ZERO Lab)
2. Evolved Energy Research
3. Binghamton University
4. Carbon Impact Consulting

Suggested citation: Jenkins, J.D., Farbes, J., Jones, R., Patankar, N., Schivley, G., “Electricity Transmission is Key to Unlock the Full Potential of the Inflation Reduction Act,” REPEAT Project, Princeton, NJ, September 2022. [DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7106176](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7106176)

This version updated September 22, 2022

Access and download data and other resources at repeatproject.org

Funding for the REPEAT Project was provided by a grant from the Hewlett Foundation.

Introduction

This report assesses the role of electricity transmission in enabling the full emissions reduction potential of the *Inflation Reduction Act (IRA)*.

Previously, [REPEAT Project estimated](#) that **IRA could cut U.S. greenhouse gas emissions by roughly one billion tons per year in 2030** and reduce cumulative greenhouse gas emissions by 6.3 billion tons of CO₂-equivalent over the decade (2023-2032).¹ **That outcome depends on more than doubling the historical pace of electricity transmission expansion over the last decade** in order to interconnect new renewable resources at sufficient pace and meet growing demand from electric vehicles, heat pumps, and other electrification.

While our modeling finds this outcome makes economic sense, current transmission planning, siting, permitting and cost allocation practices can all potentially impede the real-world pace of transmission expansion. We thus model the impact of constrained growth in U.S. electricity transmission on emissions outcomes and the pace of renewable electricity expansion under *IRA*.


1. Jenkins, J.D., et al., "Preliminary Report: The Climate and Energy Impacts of the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 ," REPEAT Project, Princeton, NJ, August 2022. [DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6992940](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6992940)

Summary of Findings

- Failing to accelerate transmission expansion beyond the recent historical pace (~1%/year) increases 2030 U.S. greenhouse emissions by ~800 million tons per year, relative to estimated reductions in an unconstrained *IRA* case. Emissions are 200 million tons higher if transmission growth is limited to 1.5%/year.
- Over 80% of the potential emissions reductions delivered by *IRA* in 2030 are lost if transmission expansion is constrained to 1%/year, and roughly 25% are lost if growth is limited to 1.5%/year.
- To unlock the full emissions reduction potential of the *Inflation Reduction Act*, the pace of transmission expansion must more than double the rate over the last decade to reach an average of ~2.3%/year. That rate of expansion is comparable to the long-term average rate of transmission additions from 1978-2020.
- To achieve *IRA*'s full emissions reduction potential, new clean electricity must be rapidly added to both meet growing demand from electrification and reduce fossil fuel use in the power sector. Constraining transmission growth severely limits the expansion of wind and solar power.
- If electricity transmission cannot be expanded fast enough, power sector emissions and associated pollution and public health impacts could increase significantly as gas and coal-fired power plants produce more to meet growing demand from electric vehicles and other electrification spurred by *IRA*.
- If transmission cannot be expanded faster than recent historical rates (~1%/year), growing demand from electric vehicles and other electrification spurred by *IRA* results in over 110 million tons of additional coal consumption in 2030 than a No *IRA* case and roughly 250 million tons more than if transmission expansion is unconstrained.
- Expanding transmission more rapidly enables growth of wind and solar power and substantially reduces U.S. natural gas consumption, which falls 17% from 2021 levels in the unconstrained *IRA* case. In contrast, if transmission expansion is limited to 1%/year, natural gas use increases to 4% above 2021 levels in 2030 and remains elevated through 2035.

Cumulative Expansion of U.S. High-Voltage Transmission Capacity

Percent growth in GW-miles vs 2020 installed capacity¹




1 – Relative to approximately 200,000 GW-miles of estimated installed high voltage (200 kV and above) transmission capacity based on U.S. DOE “Annual U.S. Transmission Data Review,” March 2018.

2 – Based on US DOE, UT Austin and S&P Global data sources as reported in Cembalest (2022), “[Eye on the Market 12th Edition: 2022 Annual Energy Paper](#)” p.12.

Total Modeled U.S. High-Voltage Transmission Capacity


GW-miles vs 2020 installed capacity¹



1 – Relative to approximately 200,000 GW-miles of estimated installed high voltage (200 kV and above) transmission capacity based on U.S. DOE “Annual U.S. Transmission Data Review,” March 2018.

Impact of Transmission Expansion Constraints on Modeled Net U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Including Land Carbon Sinks)

billion metric tons CO₂-equivalent (Gt CO₂-e)¹



Failing to accelerate transmission expansion beyond the recent historical pace (~1%/year) increases 2030 U.S. greenhouse emissions by ~800 million tons per year, relative to estimated reductions in an unconstrained IRA case.

Emissions are 200 million tons higher if transmission growth is limited to 1.5%/year.

To unlock the full emissions reduction potential of the *Inflation Reduction Act*, the pace of transmission expansion must more than double the rate over the last decade to reach an average of ~2.3%/year.

That rate of expansion is comparable to the long-term average rate of transmission additions from 1978-2020.

1 - CO₂-equivalent emissions calculations use IPCC AR4 100 year global warming potential as per [EPA Inventory of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks](#). All values should be regarded as approximate given uncertainty in future outcomes.


2 - Historical data from [US EPA Inventory](#) for 2005-2020; 2021 preliminary emissions estimate assumes total net emissions change in proportion to 6.7% year-on-year change in CO₂ emissions from energy and industrial processes estimated by [Global Carbon Monitor](#).

3 - Modeled emissions exclude any changes in passenger and freight miles traveled due to surface transportation, rail, and transit investments in IIJA. [According to the Georgetown Climate Center](#), emissions impact of these changes depend heavily on state implementation of funding from IIJA, which could result in anywhere from -14 Mt to +25 Mt change in CO₂ emissions from transportation in 2030.


4 - Results reflect average of estimated high and low oil & gas production scenarios, which span +/- 20 Mt CO₂-e in 2030 (see p. 13-14). Impact on land carbon sinks based on analysis by [Energy Innovation](#).

Annual Change in Net U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Relative to No IRA (Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Only)

million metric tons CO₂-equivalent (Mt CO₂-e)¹




Annual Average Capacity Additions
gigawatts




U.S. Coal Consumption

million short tons per year




Change in U.S. Natural Gas Consumption vs 2021

trillion cubic feet per year



Power Sector Annual CO₂ Emissions

million metric tons




- coal and coke
- diesel
- natural gas
- other fossil
- geologic co2 sequestrati..
- net emissions

If new transmission capacity cannot be added at a faster pace, greenhouse emissions from coal and gas-fired power plants remain high, along with associated pollution and public health impacts.

Accelerating transmission expansion is critical to reduce power sector emissions and avoid premature deaths from air pollution.

Electricity Generation
terawatt-hours (TWh)



About REPEAT Project

A New Toolkit for Evaluating Energy and Climate Policy

The **REPEAT Project** provides regular, timely and independent environmental and economic evaluation of federal energy and climate policies as they're proposed and enacted, offering a detailed look at the United States' evolving energy and climate policy environment and the country's progress on the road to net-zero greenhouse gas emissions.

Approach: employ geospatial planning and analysis tools coupled with detailed macro-energy system optimization models to **rapidly evaluate federal policy and regulatory proposals at politically-relevant spatial resolutions** (e.g., state, county, and finer resolutions). A refinement of methods used in the Princeton [*Net-Zero America*](#) study.

Goal: provide independent, timely, and credible information and analysis for broad educational purposes, including as a resource available publicly for stakeholders, decision-makers, and the media.

Funding: funding for the REPEAT Project was provided by a grant from the Hewlett Foundation.

The REPEAT Team

Princeton ZERO Lab: Prof. Jesse D. Jenkins (PI); Dr. Qingyu Xu (postdoc); Annie Jacobson (PhD candidate); Undergraduate research assistants: Claire Wayner, Aneesha Manocha, Riti Bhandakar, Cady Feng

Dartmouth College: Prof. Erin Mayfield (co-PI);

Binghamton University: Prof. Neha Patankar;

Evolved Energy Research: Ryan Jones, Jamil Farbes (macro-energy systems modeling)

Carbon Impact Consulting: Greg Schivley (scenario inputs, transmission interconnection routing, etc.)

Montara Mountain Energy: Emily Leslie, Andrew Pascale (renewable energy candidate project areas, transmission cost surface).

Website development by [Hyperobjekt](#).



PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

ZERO LAB



EVOLVED
ENERGY
RESEARCH