

## FACTORISATION OF $X^n - \alpha$ OVER FINITE FIELDS - II

ABSTRACT. In this note, we are studying factorization in finite fields of polynomials  $X^n - \gamma$  with factors grouping irreducible polynomials of same order. We describe a method of calcul of these factors by induction.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the previous note [1] is studied factorization of polynomials  $X^n - \gamma$  into product of irreducible polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ . We have seen that the polynomials  $X^n - \gamma$  with  $\gamma$  going through all  $d$ -th primitive roots, all have the same distribution of orders for their irreducible factors, and that the orders  $k$  present in this factorization are the integers of the set  $F_{n,d} = \text{Div}(nd) \setminus \bigcup_{l|d, l \neq d} \text{Div}(nl)$  where  $\text{Div}(q)$  denotes the divisors of  $q$ . So we have  $X^n - \gamma = \prod_{k \in F_{n,d}} \phi_{k,n,\gamma}$  where  $\phi_{k,n,\gamma}$  is grouping all factors of order  $k$ . The purpose of this note is to clarify the calculation of the polynomials  $\phi_{k,n,\gamma}$  by induction.

### 2. DEFINITION OF $\psi_{k,\alpha}$

Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $n$  an integer coprime with  $p$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ .

Let  $\xi$  be a primitive  $k$ -root i.e.  $\xi$  is in the group  $(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})^*$  and has order  $k$ . As  $(\mathbb{F}_p)^*$  is a subgroup of  $(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})^*$  we can consider the morphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})^* / (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$  defined by  $s \mapsto \xi^s$ . This morphism has a kernel of type  $r\mathbb{Z}$  with  $r = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid \xi^s \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*\}$ . As a result  $\xi^r = \alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ . Moreover, as  $\xi$  has order  $k$ , we can easily see that  $r \text{ord}(\alpha) = k$ . Let  $l_k$  be defined by  $l_k = \gcd(k, p-1)$ . We prove now that  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = l_k$ : we have  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \mid p-1$  because  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ , and we have  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \mid k$ , so  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \mid l_k$  hence  $\text{ord}(\alpha) \leq l_k$ . In order to show  $l_k \leq \text{ord}(\alpha)$ , as  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = \frac{k}{r}$  we have  $l_k \leq \text{ord}(\alpha) \iff l_k \leq \frac{k}{r} \iff r \leq \frac{k}{l_k}$ . To prove the last inequality it suffices to show that  $\xi^{k/l_k} \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$  because in that case, from the definition of  $r$ , we will have  $r \mid \frac{k}{l_k}$ . It is the case because  $(\xi^{k/l_k})^{l_k} = 1$  hence  $\text{ord}(\xi^{k/l_k}) \mid l_k$  so  $\text{ord}(\xi^{k/l_k}) \mid p-1$  and hence  $\xi^{k/l_k} \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ . As a result  $r = \frac{k}{l_k}$  and  $r$  only depends on  $k$  (and not on  $\xi$ ): let us denote it  $r_k$  and we have  $k = r_k l_k$ . The following proposition sums up the above paragraph.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $k \neq 0$  be an integer. Let  $l_k = \gcd(k, p-1)$  and  $r_k = \frac{k}{l_k}$ . Let  $\xi$  be a  $k$ -primitive root of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} r_k &= \inf\{s \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid \xi^s \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*\}, \\ \xi^{r_k} &= \alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^* \text{ and } \text{ord}(\alpha) = l_k. \end{aligned}$$

We can now introduce the definition of the polynomial  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$ .

**Definition 1.** *For all integer  $k \neq 0$ , let  $l_k = \gcd(k, p-1)$  and  $r_k = \frac{k}{l_k}$ . For all  $\alpha$   $l_k$ -th primitive root, we define the polynomial  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$  to be the product of all the irreducible factors of the cyclotomic polynomial  $\phi_k$  whose roots  $\xi$  verify  $\xi^{r_k} = \alpha$  (if a root verify that, all the roots verify that too because they are conjugated via Frobenius).*

In conjunction with proposition 1, the above definition gives the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $l_k$  and  $r_k$  as defined above. With notation  $P^k$  for the set of primitive  $k$ -roots,*

- (1) *for all  $\alpha \in P^{l_k}$ ,  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$  divides  $X^{r_k} - \alpha$ ,*
- (2)  *$\phi_k = \prod_{\alpha \in P^{l_k}} \psi_{k,\alpha}$  and hence for all  $\alpha \in P^{l_k}$ ,  $\deg(\psi_{k,\alpha}) = \frac{\varphi(k)}{\varphi(l_k)}$ ,*
- (3) *for all  $\alpha \in P^{l_k}$ , the polynomial  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$  is irreducible if and only if*

$$\varphi(l_k) \times \text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}(p) = \varphi(k).$$

Let us prove the first point: the fact that  $\psi_{k,\alpha} \mid X^{r_k} - \alpha$  is a direct consequence of the definition of  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$ . The second point is a direct result of proposition 1 and the calculation of the degree is then the consequence of the following facts: there are  $\varphi(l_k)$   $l_k$ -primitive roots denoted  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\varphi(l_k)}$ , all the  $\psi_{k,\alpha_i}$  have same degree (from [1]) and  $\phi_k$  has degree  $\varphi(k)$ . The third point comes from the well-known fact that the minimal polynomials  $\phi_\xi$  of  $\xi \in P^k$  are of degree the order  $\text{ord}_{\mathbb{Z}_p^*}(p)$  of  $p$  in the group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .

### 3. APPLICATION TO FACTORIZATION OF $X^n - \gamma$

**3.1. Indistinguishability of irreducible factors of  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$ .** Let  $n$  be an integer and  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$  of order  $d$ . Let us remark firstly that for all  $k$ -primitive root  $\xi$  we have  $\phi_\xi \mid X^n - \gamma$  if and only if  $\xi^n = \gamma$ . In such a case, with  $r = r_k$ , we have from proposition 1  $r_k \mid n$  and  $\gamma = \xi^n = (\xi^{r_k})^{n/r_k} = \alpha^{n/r}$ . So by denoting  $P^k$  the  $k$ -th primitive roots and  $P_\alpha^k = \{\zeta \in P^k \mid \zeta^{r_k} = \alpha\}$ , for all  $\zeta \in P_\alpha^k$  we have  $\zeta^n = (\zeta^r)^{n/r} = \alpha^{n/r} = \gamma$  so  $\phi_\zeta \mid X^n - \gamma$ . As a result we can conclude that  $\psi_{k,\alpha} \mid X^n - \gamma$ . The following proposition sums up the above paragraph.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ . For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ , denoting*

$$P_\alpha^k = \{\xi \in P^k \mid \xi^{r_k} = \alpha\}$$

*we have*

- (1) *for all  $\xi \in P_\alpha^k$ , if  $\phi_\xi \mid X^n - \gamma$  then  $\psi_{k,\alpha} \mid X^n - \gamma$ ,*
- (2)  *$\psi_{k,\alpha} \mid X^n - \gamma$  if and only if  $r_k \mid n$  and  $\alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma$ .*

From the first point of this proposition we could say that the family of polynomials  $(X^n - \gamma)_{n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_p^*}$  doesn't distinguish or doesn't separate the irreducible factors of the  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$ .

**3.2. Factorization by order grouping of  $X^n - \gamma$ .** Let us search now the factorization of  $X^n - \gamma$  as expected in the introduction. We have seen in [1] that the polynomials  $X^n - \gamma$  for  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$  have for irreducible factors the irreducible factors of  $\phi_k$  for  $k \in \text{Div}(nd) \setminus \bigcup_{l \mid d, l \neq d} \text{Div}(nl)$  (recalling that  $d = \text{ord}(\gamma)$ ). From the

first subsection above we can deduce that the polynomial  $X^n - \gamma$  have as factors polynomials of type  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$  for  $k \in \text{Div}(nd) \setminus \bigcup_{l \mid d, l \neq d} \text{Div}(nl)$ . But from proposition 3

we have  $\psi_{k,\alpha} \mid X^n - \gamma$  if and only if  $r_k \mid n$  and  $\alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma$ . As a result, denoting  $F_{n,d} = \text{Div}(nd) \setminus \bigcup_{l \mid d, l \neq d} \text{Div}(nl)$ , we have

$$(1) \quad X^n - \gamma = \prod_{\substack{k \in F_{n,d} \\ r_k \mid n \\ \alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma}} \psi_{k,\alpha}$$

Let us remark that from [1], we know that if  $k \in F_{n,d}$  then there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\psi_{k,\alpha} | X^n - \gamma$ . As a result the condition  $r_k | n$  is superfluous, and so we can write

$$X^n - \gamma = \prod_{\substack{k \in F_{n,d} \\ \alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma}} \psi_{k,\alpha}$$

Let us remark that there might exist an order  $k$  with  $r_k | n$  without  $\psi_{k,\alpha} | X^n - \gamma$  because for all  $\alpha$  of order  $l_k$  we will never have  $\alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma$ .

Finally, we can prove that among the three conditions under the product of equation 1 we can remove the condition  $k \in F_{n,d}$ : let us consider  $(k, \alpha)$  such that  $r_k | n$  and  $\alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma$ . First of all, for all  $\xi \in P_\alpha^k$ ,  $\xi^{nd} = (\xi^r)^{\frac{n}{r}d} = \alpha^{\frac{n}{r}d} = \gamma^d = 1$  so  $k | nd$ . Next  $\text{ord}(\alpha^{n/r_k}) = \text{ord}(\gamma)$ , but as  $\text{ord}(\alpha^{n/r_k}) = l_k / \gcd\left(\frac{n}{r_k}, l_k\right)$  (recalling that  $\text{ord}(\alpha) = l_k$ ) then  $l_k = d \times \gcd\left(\frac{n}{r_k}, l_k\right) = \gcd\left(\frac{nd}{r_k}, l_k d\right)$ . As  $k = r_k l_k$  then  $l_k = \gcd\left(\frac{nd}{k} l_k, d l_k\right) = \gcd\left(\frac{nd}{k}, d\right) l_k$  and hence  $\gcd\left(\frac{nd}{k}, d\right) = 1$  i.e.  $\frac{nd}{k}$  is coprime to  $d$ . This imply directly that  $k \notin \bigcup_{l|d, l \neq d} \text{Div}(nl)$ : else  $nl = km$  with  $d = lf$  and

$f \neq 1$  hence  $nd = kfm$  and  $\frac{nd}{k} = fm$  is so not coprime with  $d$ . So we get the below theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n$  be an integer,  $\gamma \in (\mathbb{F}_p)^*$ , then denoting*

$$F_{n,d} = \text{Div}(nd) \setminus \bigcup_{l|d, l \neq d} \text{Div}(nl)$$

we have

$$X^n - \gamma = \prod_{\substack{k \in F_{n,d} \\ \alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma}} \psi_{k,\alpha} = \prod_{\substack{r_k | n \\ \alpha^{n/r_k} = \gamma}} \psi_{k,\alpha}.$$

#### 4. EXAMPLE

Let us compute by induction the polynomials  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$  and the factorizations of some polynomials  $X^n - \gamma$  in  $\mathbb{F}_7$ . The order of elements of  $(\mathbb{F}_7)^* = (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$  are given in the following table.

element	1	2	3	4	5	6
order	1	3	6	3	6	2

Let us now compute the polynomials  $\psi_{k,\alpha}$  for various  $k$ .

- For the order  $k = 1$  we have  $l_1 = \gcd(1, 6) = 1$  so  $\alpha = 1$  and  $r_1 = 1$  hence  $\psi_{1,1} = \phi_1 = X - 1$ .
- For the order  $k = 2$  we have  $l_2 = \gcd(2, 6) = 2$  so  $\alpha = 6$  and  $r_2 = 1$  hence  $\psi_{2,6} = \phi_2 = X - 6$ .
- For the order  $k = 3$  we have  $l_3 = \gcd(3, 6) = 3$  so  $\alpha \in \{2, 4\}$  and  $r_3 = 1$  hence  $\psi_{3,2} = X - 2$  and  $\psi_{3,4} = X - 4$ .
- For the order  $k = 4$  we have  $l_4 = \gcd(4, 6) = 2$  so  $\alpha = 6$  and  $r_4 = 2$  hence  $\psi_{4,6} = \phi_4$  divides  $X^2 - 6$ . But  $\deg(\phi_4) = \varphi(4) = 2$  so  $\psi_{4,6} = X^2 - 6$ .
- For the order  $k = 5$  we have  $l_5 = \gcd(5, 6) = 1$  so  $\alpha = 1$  and  $r_5 = 5$  hence  $\psi_{5,1} = \phi_5$  divides  $X^5 - 1$ . But  $X^5 - 1 = \phi_5 \phi_1 = \phi_5 \times (X - 1)$  so  $\phi_5 = \frac{X^5 - 1}{X - 1} = 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 + X^4$ .
- For the order  $k = 6$  we have  $l_6 = \gcd(6, 6) = 6$  so  $\alpha \in \{3, 5\}$  and  $r_6 = 1$  hence  $\psi_{6,3} = X - 3$  and  $\psi_{6,5} = X - 5$ .
- For the order  $k = 7$  we have  $l_7 = \gcd(7, 6) = 1$  so  $\alpha = 1$  and  $r_7 = 7$  hence as in the case  $k = 5$  we have  $\psi_{7,1} = \phi_7 = 1 + X + \dots + X^6$ .

- For the order  $k = 8$  we have  $l_8 = \gcd(8, 6) = 2$  so  $\alpha = 6$  and  $r_6 = 4$  hence  $\psi_{8,6} = \phi_8$  divides  $X^4 - 6$ . But  $\deg(\phi_8) = \varphi(8) = 4$  so  $\psi_{4,6} = X^4 - 6$ .
- For the order  $k = 9$  we have  $l_9 = \gcd(9, 6) = 3$  so  $\alpha \in \{2, 4\}$  and  $r_9 = 3$  hence  $\psi_{9,2} \mid X^3 - 2$  and  $\psi_{9,4} \mid X^3 - 4$ . But  $\psi_{9,2}$  and  $\psi_{9,4}$  have same degree and multiplied give  $\phi_9$  which has degree  $\varphi(9) = 6$  so they both have degree 3. As a result  $\psi_{9,2} = X^3 - 2$  and  $\psi_{9,4} = X^3 - 4$ .
- For the order  $k = 10$  we have  $l_{10} = \gcd(10, 6) = 2$  so  $\alpha = 6$  and  $r_{10} = 5$  hence  $\psi_{10,6} = \phi_{10}$  divides  $X^5 - 6$ . But as  $F_{5,2} = \text{Div}(10) \setminus \text{Div}(5) = \{10, 2\}$  then  $X^5 - 6 = \phi_{10}\phi_2 = \phi_{10} \times (X + 1)$  so by euclidean division we get  $\psi_{10,6} = X^4 - X^3 + X^2 - X + 1$ .
- For the order  $k = 11$  we have  $l_{11} = \gcd(11, 6) = 1$  so  $\alpha = 1$  and  $r_{11} = 11$  hence as in case  $k = 5$  we get  $\phi_{11,1} = 1 + X + \dots + X^{10}$ .
- For the order  $k = 12$  we have  $l_{12} = \gcd(12, 6) = 6$  so  $\alpha \in \{3, 5\}$  and  $r_{12} = 2$  hence  $\psi_{12,3} \mid X^2 - 3$  and  $\psi_{12,5} \mid X^2 - 5$ . As in case  $k = 9$  we have  $\deg(\psi_{12,\alpha}) = \frac{\varphi(12)}{2} = 2$  so  $\psi_{12,3} = X^2 - 3$  and  $\psi_{12,5} = X^2 - 5$ .
- For the order  $k = 13$  we have  $l_{13} = \gcd(13, 6) = 1$  so  $\alpha = 1$  and  $r_{13} = 13$  hence as in case  $k = 5$  we get  $\phi_{13,1} = \phi_{13} = 1 + X + \dots + X^{12}$ .
- For the order  $k = 14$  we have  $l_{14} = \gcd(14, 6) = 2$  so  $\alpha = 6$  and  $r_{14} = 7$  hence  $\psi_{14,6} = \phi_{14}$  divides  $X^7 - 6$ . But as  $F_{7,2} = \text{Div}(14) \setminus \text{Div}(7) = \{14, 2\}$  then  $X^7 - 6 = \phi_{14}\phi_2 = \phi_{14} \times (X + 1)$  so by euclidean division we get  $\psi_{14,6} = X^6 - X^5 + \dots - X + 1$ .
- For the order  $k = 15$  we have  $l_{15} = \gcd(15, 6) = 3$  so  $\alpha \in \{2, 4\}$  and  $r_{15} = 5$  hence  $\psi_{15,2} \mid X^5 - 2$  and  $\psi_{15,4} \mid X^5 - 4$ . But  $F_{5,3} = \text{Div}(15) \setminus \text{Div}(5) = \{15, 3\}$  then  $X^5 - 2 = \psi_{15,2}\psi_{3,4}$  (because  $4^{15/3} = 2$ ) and  $X^5 - 4 = \psi_{15,4}\psi_{3,2}$  (because  $2^{15/3} = 4$ ). As a result

$$\psi_{15,2} = \frac{X^5 - 2}{X - 4} = X^4 + 4X^3 + 2X^2 + X + 4$$

$$\psi_{15,4} = \frac{X^5 - 4}{X - 2} = X^4 + 2X^3 + 4X^2 + X + 2$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gabriel Soranzo. “Factorisation of  $X^n - \alpha$  over finite fields”. In: (July 2022). DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6789183. URL: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6789183>.

*Email address:* gabriel.soranzo@u-pec.fr