

A comparative study of dark matter flow & hydrodynamic turbulence and its applications

May 2022

Zhijie (Jay) Xu

Multiscale Modeling Team Computational Mathematics Group Physical & Computational Science Directorate <u>Zhijie.xu@pnnl.gov; zhijiexu@hotmail.com</u>



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





Dark matter, if exists, accounts for five times as much as ordinary baryonic matter. Therefore, dark matter flow might possess the widest presence in our universe. The other form of flow, hydrodynamic turbulence in air and water, is without doubt the most familiar flow in our daily life. During the pandemic, we have found time to think about and put together a systematic comparison for the connections and differences between two types of flow, both of which are typical non-equilibrium systems.

The goal of this presentation is to leverage this comparison for a better understanding of the nature of dark matter and its flow behavior on all scales. Science should be open. All comments are welcome.

Thank you!

(all slides available at zenodo.org by searching "dark matter flow")



Northwest

inp-sta

eplace

Data repository and relevant publications Statistics (correlation-based) approach:

Structural (halo-based) approach:

Data https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6541230 0.

- Inverse mass cascade in dark matter flow and effects on halo mass functions https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2109.09985
- 2. Inverse mass cascade in dark matter flow and effects on halo deformation, energy, size, and density profiles https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2109.12244
- 3. Inverse energy cascade in self-gravitating collisionless dark matter flow and effects of halo shape https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.13885
- The mean flow, velocity dispersion, energy transfer and evolution of rotating 4. and growing dark matter halos https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2201.12665
- Two-body collapse model for gravitational collapse of dark matter and 5. generalized stable clustering hypothesis for pairwise velocity https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.05784
- Evolution of energy, momentum, and spin parameter in dark matter flow and 6. integral constants of motion https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.04054
- The maximum entropy distributions of velocity, speed, and energy from statistical mechanics of dark matter flow https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.03126
- Halo mass functions from maximum entropy distributions in collisionless 8 dark matter flow https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2110.09676

0.	Data <u>https://dx.doi.org/1</u>
1.	The statistical theory of da and potential fields <u>https://doi.org/10.48550/a</u>
2.	The statistical theory of da kinematic and dynamic re correlations <u>https://doi.org</u>
3.	The scale and redshift var distributions in dark matter pairwise velocity <u>https://de</u>
4.	Dark matter particle mass and energy cascade in da https://doi.org/10.48550/a
5.	The origin of MOND acce acceleration fluctuation ar flow <u>https://doi.org/10.485</u>
6.	The baryonic-to-halo mas cascade in dark matter flo https://doi.org/10.48550/a
7.	Universal scaling laws and halos from rotation curves https://doi.org/10.48550/a

0.5281/zenodo.6569898

ark matter flow for velocity, density,

rXiv.2202.00910

ark matter flow and high order lations for velocity and density a/10.48550/arXiv.2202.02991

riation of density and velocity er flow and two-thirds law for oi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2202.06515

and properties from two-thirds law ark matter flow rXiv.2202.07240

leration and deep-MOND from nd energy cascade in dark matter 550/arXiv.2203.05606

s relation from mass and energy

rXiv.2203.06899

d density slope for dark matter and energy cascade rXiv.2209.033





- Some fundamentals of dark matter research
- Basic concepts in hydrodynamic turbulence
- Dark matter flow (SG-CFD) vs. hydrodynamic turbulence
- Theory of dark matter flow
 - Structural (halo-based) approach
 - Statistical (correlation-based) approach
- Applications of dark matter flow
 - Predicting dark matter particle properties
 - Understanding the origin of MOND
 - The baryonic-halo mass ratio and total baron faction
 - Universal scaling laws and halo density slope



Applications of dark matter flow



Universal scaling laws and scales for dark matter halos from rotation curves and energy cascade

Xu, Zhijie arXiv:2209.03313 [astro-ph.GA] https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2209.03313

Pacific Northwest Introduction

- Standard CDM Models' successes for large scale structure formation and evolution.
- Small scale challenges suggest missing pieces:
 - Galaxy scale (<1Mpc)
 - Core-cusp problem
 - Missing satellite
 - Too-big-to-fail
 - **Baryonic Tully-Fisher and MOND**
- Core-cusp problem
 - Dark matter halo density $\rho(r) \propto r^{\gamma}$
 - Cored density ($\gamma = 0$) from observational data
 - Cuspy density ($\gamma \sim -1$) from N-body simulations
 - No consensus
 - γ =-1.0 in NFW profile
 - $\gamma = -1.2$ (Diemand & Moore 2011)
 - $\gamma = -1.3$ (Governato et al. 2010)
 - $\gamma = -1.3$ (McKeown et al. 2022)

- Is there an asymptotic density slope for halos?
- Why exists a nearly universal density profile?
- Why different inner slopes γ exist in simulations?
- Core-cusp solutions
 - Within CDM framework
 - Baryonic feedback processes
 - **Beyond CDM**
 - Self-interacting dark matter

No matter collisionless or self-interacting

- What are the critical length or density scales for dark matter if exist?
- What is the effect of self-interaction on these scales?
- What are the fundamental properties (mass, crosssection etc.) of dark matter?



There exist an inertial range with a scaleindependent rate of energy cascade (ε does not depend on eddy size *l*) for eddy size $\eta < l < L$. η is a dissipative scale determined by viscosity v and ε .

Pacific

Northwest

In inertial range, inertial force is dominant over viscous force. A general scaling for velocity $S_m(r) \propto \left(\varepsilon_u\right)^{m/3} r^{m/3} \stackrel{\mathsf{m}=2}{\longrightarrow} S_2 \propto \left(-\varepsilon_u\right)^{2/3} r^{2/3}$ $T_{\mathsf{MC}} \stackrel{\mathsf{H}}{\longrightarrow} S_2 \propto \left(-\varepsilon_u\right)^{2/3} r^{2/3}$ structure functions $S_m(r)$ for pairwise velocity Δu_1

х

 $S_{m}(r,a) = \left\langle \left(\Delta u_{L}\right)^{m} \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(u_{L}^{'} - u_{L}\right)^{m} \right\rangle$

Big whirls have little whirls. That feed on their velocity: And little whirls have lesser whirls, And so on to viscosity.



Mass/Energy cascade in dark matter flow (SG-CFD) Northwest

Collisionless nature and long-range interaction.

Pacific

- Long-range gravity requires a broad spectrum of halos to be formed to maximize system entropy.
- A continuous cascade of mass/energy from smaller to larger mass scales with a scale-independent rate of mass transfer ε_m and ε_u in a certain range of mass scales (propagation range).
- The mass/energy cascade is an intermediate statistically steady state for non-equilibrium systems to continuously maximize system entropy.
- The maximum entropy distribution of dark matter flow. Ξ

Little halos have big halos, That feed on their mass; And big halos have greater halos, And so on to growth





Pacific

Mass/Energy cascade in dark matter flow (SG-CFD) Northwest

- Collisionless, no dissipation range in SG-CFD.
- The smallest length scale of inertial range is not limited by viscosity.
- This enable us to extend the scale-independent ε_{μ} down to the smallest scale, where quantum effects become important
- Dark matter flow exhibits scale-dependent flow behaviors for peculiar velocity, i.e. a constant divergence flow on small scales and an irrotational flow on large scales.
- The constant divergence flow shares the same even order kinematic relations with those of incompressible (divergence free) flow. This hints to similar scaling laws holds for dark matter.



Constant (time and scale independent) rate of Pacific Northwest energy cascade

Power-law time evolution for energy in terms of rate of energy cascade ε_{..}:

> Power-law for Peculiar kinetic energy

 $P_{y} = \frac{7}{5} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{u}} t$

 $K_{p} = -\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}t$

Power-law for potential energy

$$\varepsilon_{u} = -\frac{K_{p}}{t} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{0}^{2}}{t_{0}} \approx -4.6 \times 10^{-7} \frac{m^{2}}{s^{3}}$$

Also see detail analysis for inverse kinetic energy cascade.





The two-thirds law on small scales from N-body Pacific Northwest Simulations

Odd order moment (generalized stable clustering hypothesis):

$$S_{2n+1}^{lp}(r) = (2n+1)S_1^{lp}(r)S_{2n}^{lp}(r) \propto r^1$$

Even order (two-thirds law):

$$S_{2n}^{lp}(r) - 2^{n} u^{2n} K_{2n}(\Delta u_{L}, 0) = \beta_{2n}^{*} (r/r_{s})^{2/3} \propto r^{2/3}$$

Second order (<u>two-thirds law</u>):

$$S_{2}^{lp}(r) - 2u^{2} = S_{2r}^{lp} = \beta_{2}^{*}(r/r_{s})^{2/3} \propto r^{2/3}$$

Introduce a velocity scale:







Pacific Northwest Constant rate of cascade from galaxy rotation curves

 $v_s^2 = Gm_r(r_s)/r_s \quad (-\varepsilon_u) = \frac{v_s^3}{\gamma_s r_s}$

 $\gamma_s \approx 6.83$

- Confirm the existence of a constant rate of cascade;
- Dispersion from spatial intermittence of energy cascade;
- Halos in different local environment may have different ε_{μ}
- Dwarf galaxies tends to have smaller ε_{II} due to tidal stripping.



SPARC NFW fitting SPARC pISO fitting DMS NFW fitting DMS pISO fitting SOFUE NFW fitting • $-\varepsilon_u = 4.6 \times 10^{-7} m^2 / s^3$

		÷					_	_	_			_						_	_	_			_				_		-	ΈΠ.
	p =	71		-1 1-	- 1			-		p				- 1-	- 1 -									-1 -				r = 1 ·		
		1								1																				51
		÷		1.1.1	- 14			-		·						÷ -								÷						<u>i - 1</u>
	53	3	3.5	355	5.5	ΕΞ.	==	3	= =	28	8.82		28.8	8.5	53	3.3	133	EE	= =		3 3	Ξ.	==	38	33	33	23	523	33	33
	22	5	2.2	555	2.8	83	-	3	= =	33	2.22			1 E	2.2	5.5		EE	-				==	5.5	3 3				1.5	53
		-			- 1	11	- 1																_							а н
		÷	- 1	1.14	6 I			-					i	. i.,	-1-	a	i					i		÷.,	- 3			i.		44
					- 24					I																				1.1
2	["	٦,		177	N			-		đ	1.10		<u> </u>	۰.	- I -	1 1	177					۱ <u>۳</u> .		٦.	- 1	- 1	- 1	L _ I.	۰٦	17
	з.	-74		1	<u>n</u> 1		٦.			·																				- 1
c	z	je,	L÷	11-1			- 6	-		<u></u>			<u> </u>	cia.																11
3	12	5			101		7	X.		22			12 3	12																3 -
	17	4			D-i	1	-	7	-	-	-		12	Ε.	-	5	5.5	E =						5.5					1	3 -
	24				ь.			-		-					٠	i –	÷2					i- 1		÷-	- i			i - i	٠i	iH.
Ŀ	r -	ч	·	1000	¥1			-		7-				1.11		1.7	0.00					, - · ·			- 1		- 1	r '	11	17
Ŀ	L	J.	- 1	بار. د.)	ы			-		L	- 1		l., .	. I.,	-1-	1.1						l		- I	- 1			L		44
		1	1							1																			1	- 1
	L	1	. 1		5											1							_	1					1	1.1
	53	3	Ξ.		53	11	= =	1	= =	58				19	11	11	188	12 2				Ξ.	12	2 E	= 3	12		5 213	н.	33
	h =	4	:;	(=)	11	: :	= =	= :	= =	2 =	: :)	= =	(= :	: 1	=1=	1:	10	= =	: :	::	: :	= :	: =	11	= :	= =	= :		4	÷=
	1.	4	- 1	552	11			-		2.5	1.12		(n. 1	1	-1-	1 -	165					÷.,		11	- 1				1	12
					11			-																						17
	ĩ.	ï	- î	1.1	Ξſ	-				г. —			1.	Τ.	ТĽ	1.7	1.1.					i		1.	- i	- 1	- 1	i i	1	ĩΠ.
		7		-1 1-	- 1			-						- 1-	- 1 -									-1 -				r = 1 ·	1	27
					- 1																									11
	L.,				_					I												L		_					_	-
	5 3	2	3.5	225	5.5	ΕΞ.	==	3	= =	28			28.3	2	212	3 3	22	EE	= =			2	==	23	3 3	32	23	C 2 * 3	22	33
	53	3	3.5	355	5.5	ΕΞ	2.2	3	= =	28	8.82		28.8	12	515	3.3	135	ΕΞ	3.3				Ξ.	38.	33	33	23	521	33	33
		-			- 1																									÷7
		-			11			-						÷																
	i	1	. 1	1.1		_				i			i	÷.					_		_		_	1.						1
	ī	1	- i	100	11					· · ·				ī.		1								1	- 1			Г. I	1	Э
										1	1				1									1						51
	1:	1	= 1	1 = 1	- 1	: =	= =	= :	= =	1 =	: : :	= =	::::	: =	:':	1 1	1 - 1 -	= =	= :	= =	= =	1 =	= =		: :	= =		h = " :	: 1	:=
	68	3	36	382	- 5 6			-		28	8.82		18.3	16		3.3	123	E =						38	33			22.3		33
		5		556	- 2.2			-		28					-,-	5.5								52						iΞ.
	÷ -	÷	- 1	111	11			-					i	÷	-1-	1 -								÷					÷ ÷	11
	r -	7	- r	100	11			-		è –			÷	· [*	-1-	1 1	101					-		- i -	- 1		- 1	r - i -	1	17
	h	-1	- 5	-1-1-	-			-		l	1-		i		-1-		I -I -		-			l		- 1-				h = 1 -	1	-
		1								1																		11		51
	_	-			-					-				-	-	-								-	_				-	-
	23	5	= 7	552	23	= =	= =	= :	= =	2 =	2			1	-;-	15	55	= =	= :	= =				58	= 1	= 5	= =	1 - 1	1	13
	F =	ĩ	÷ř	582	i i		==	= :	= =	28	2	= =		1	-,-	11					= =	1		5, 5	= 1	= =	= ;		1	17
	: :	ä	11	522	11	1		= :			1.11			: ::		11	122	= =						52	13	10			12	22
	L	J.	. L	2.45	ъļ			-		·				. L.	_ ! _									1.					ч.	44
		1		1.1	51											1								1						ы.
	57	1	1	775	51					F				1	1.	11	171				1			11	11	- 7			1	17
		1	1		11											1								1.1						11
	ÈE	5	12	532		=	12	Ξ	= =	23	: :2	==	SE 3	÷	111	11	155		1	1		ίΞ.	=	É.	11	35		i di	1	韻
	ĒĒ	ŝ	11	520	11	1	11	=		£	1.10			Ē	111	15	55	15 5	11			÷.	= =	51	11	3.5				13
	1.1	÷.	11		1			-						1	-1-	11		1.1	11		1				11					1
		٦		-1 - 1-	-			-							- 1 -													1 -	1	27
		1			51			-							-1-	1.7									- 1			1 -	1	37
	L -	÷	-ŀ		Ŀ.			-		5	5		(n. 1	÷		1.						-		44	!				-1	44
			- 1	1.1	11						- 1			1		1														11
_		1	_				_	_	_	L			_	_	_	1			_	_			_	1	_				_	1

 10^{3}

 10^{2}

 10^{4}



Pacific

Relevant scales for self-interacting dark matter Northwest



Relevant scales for collisionless dark matter Northwest

Pacific



Pacific Northwest Uncertainty principles & energy cascade

Position (**x**), Velocity ($\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{x}/dt$), Acceleration ($\mathbf{a} = d\mathbf{v}/dt$)

For fully collisionless dark matter:

- 1) A unique "symmetry" between **x** and **v** in phase space:
- At given x, particles can have multiple v (multi-stream)
- With given v, particles can be at different x
- NOT possible for non-relativistic baryons
- 2) Due to the long-rang gravitational interaction,
- Fluctuations (uncertainty) in **x**
- Fluctuations (uncertainty) in v
- Fluctuations (uncertainty) in a
- 3) Two pairs of conjugate variables:
- Position x and momentum p
- Momentum p and acceleration a

Postulated uncertainty principle for **a** and **p** leads to the constant rate of energy cascade:

Wave function for position: $\psi(x)$ $\varphi(p)$ Wave function for momentum: $\mu(a)$ Wave function for acceleration:

$$\mu_X = -m_X \varepsilon_u = 7$$



Uncertainty principles: $\sigma_x \sigma_n \ge \hbar/2$

 $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(p) e^{ipx/\hbar} dp$ $\varphi(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu_X}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(a) e^{ipa/\mu_X} da$ $\sigma_p \sigma_a \geq \mu_X/2$ $\mathcal{E}_{\mu} = \mu_{\chi} / m_{\chi} = a_{\chi} v_{\chi}$



 $V.44 \times 10^{-22} kg \cdot m^2/s^3$

Northwest Universal halo density from energy cascade

 $\partial \ln t / 2$

Reduced spatial/ temporal coordinate:

Function
$$F(x)$$
 for
enclosed mass at given r :

$$x(r,t) = \frac{r}{r_s(t)} = \frac{c(t)r}{r_h(t)}$$

$$m_{r}(r,t) = m_{h}(t)\frac{F(x)}{F(c)}$$

Halo density:

Pacific

$$\rho_h(r,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \frac{\partial m_r(r,t)}{\partial r} = \frac{m_h(t)}{4\pi r_h^3} \frac{c^3 F'(x)}{x^2 F(c)}$$

Isothermal: F(x) = x/cNFW: $F(x) = \ln(1+x) - x/(1+x) \propto x^2$ Einasto: $F(x) = \Gamma(3/\alpha) - \Gamma(3/\alpha, 2x^{\alpha}/\alpha) \propto x^{3}$

Density slope is dependent on

Gradient of radial flow (spatial variation)

Energy

Radial continuity equation:

> Radial flow equation:

Density slope:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_h(r,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \left[r^2 \rho_h(r,t) u_r(r,t)\right]}{\partial r} = 0$$

 $\frac{\partial \ln \rho_h}{\partial x} = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial \ln m_r(r_s, t)}{\partial \ln t} - \frac{\partial \ln r_s}{\partial \ln t}}{\partial \ln t}$

 $\partial \ln x$

$$\frac{\rho_h(r,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \left[r^2 \rho_h(r,t) u_r(r,t)\right]}{\partial r} = 0$$

 $\overline{\partial} \ln r_s = u_h$

х

 $\partial \ln t$

$$r = -4/3 \rho_h(r) \propto r^2$$



Evolution of halo density profile Northwest

Nearly universal density profile for fully virialized halos with $u_{\rm h}=0$;

Pacific

- Asymptotic density slope $\gamma = -4/3$;
- Simulated halos have different slope due to u_h and mass accretion
- Halos in different local environment may have different γ
- A modified Einasto profile is proposed to reflect different slopes y



Pacific Northwest Summary and keywords

Small scale challenge	Two-thirds law	Four-thirds
Core-cusp	Density slope	Uncertainty pr

- Smalls scale challenges suggest missing pieces in our current understandings of dark matter
- Review inverse mass and energy cascade in dark matter flow with a constant rate ε_{u}
- Energy cascade leads to a 2/3 law for kinetic energy $\propto r^{2/3}$ on scale r, as confirmed by N-body simulation
- Energy cascade leads to a -4/3 law for halo density $\propto r^{-4/3}$ on scale r, as confirmed by rotation curves.
- The largest halo scale is determined by u_0^3 / ϵ_u
- The smallest halo scale is dependent on the nature of dark matter:
 - Collisionless dark matter: $r_n \propto (Gh \epsilon_u)^{1/3}$, where h is Planck constant
 - Self-interacting dark matter: $r_n \propto G^{-3} \epsilon_u^2 (\sigma/m)^3$, where (σ/m) is cross-section
- Asymptotic density slope -4/3 for virialized halos with vanishing radial flow
- Simulated halos have different slope due to radial flow and mass accretion

law inciple



Backup Slides

309



PROFILE: Zhijie (Jay) Xu

- Computational Scientist
- Team lead

EXPERIENCE:

Idaho National Laboratory (INL) Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)

Grand canyon Yellowstone

EDUCATION:

- Zhejiang University

CONTACT:

- zhijiexu@hotmail.com
- Zhijie.xu@pnnl.gov

INTERESTS:

- Fluid dynamics
- Cosmological flow
- **Multiscale Modeling**

HOBBIES:

- Travel
- Hiking
- **Biking**

Civil Engineering National University of Singapore Structural Engineering Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Mechanical Engineering