

AMERICAN JOURNAL OF PHARMTECH RESEARCH

Journal home page: http://www.ajptr.com/

Development of HPLC method for estimation of Ambrisentan from Immediate release tablets.

Ranjith Kumar*¹,Siva Kumar Ramaiah², Y. Madhusudhan Rao³

 1.Centre of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.
 2.Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Geethanjali College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
 3.Department of Pharmaceutics, Vaagdevi College of Pharmacy, Hanamkonda, Telangana, India

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present work was to develop and validate a simple and efficient method for the analysis of Ambrisentan in pharmaceutical dosage forms by reverse phase high pressure liquid chromatography. A stainless steel column 150 mm long, 4.6 mm internal diameter filled with octasilyl silica chemically bonded with silica gel particles of 5 μ m diameter was used for elution. The retention time of Ambrisentan was 4.451 min. The method showed a good linearity in the concentration range of 12.5-250 μ g/mL with a correlation coefficient of 1.000. The validation characteristics included specificity, linearity, limit of detection, limit of quantification, precision, robustness and stability. Validation acceptance criteria were met in all cases. The method could be successfully used for the analysis of Ambrisentan in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

Keywords: Ambrisentan, Accuracy, Precision, Linearity, Mobile Phase and Validation

*Corresponding Author Email: mamidala.ranjith@gmail.com Received 10 April 2022, Accepted 03 June 2022

Please cite this article as: Kumar R *et al.*, Development of HPLC method for estimation of Ambrisentan from Immediate release tablets. American Journal of PharmTech Research 2022.

INTRODUCTION

Ambrisentan (AMB) is anti-hypertensive drug used in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension. It functions as an endothelin receptor antagonist, and is selective for the type A endothelin receptor (ETA) ¹⁻³. Literature survey reveals that there are very few HPLC, UV methods were available⁴⁻⁸. Hence RP-HPLC method for estimation of Ambrisentan was developed. Hence, the present investigation was aimed at developing a validated RP-HPLC method for the analysis of AMB in pharmaceutical dosage forms which is simple, precise and economical.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Chemicals

Ambrisentan was obtained as gift sample from Glenmark Pharmaceuticals.Potassium Dihydrogen Phosphate, Acetonitrile, Orthophosphoric acid and methanol were purchased from Merck.

Instrumentation

A High Performance Liquid Chromatographic system with gradient/isocratic elution capability, a Spectrophotometer UV detector and an auto sampler with a stainless steel column 150 mm long, 4.6 mm internal diameter filled with octasilyl silica chemically bonded with silica gel particles of 5 µm diameter.

Preparation of mobile phase

Buffer preparation: Prepare 20mM Potassium dihydrogen phosphate in water. Adjust with dilute Phosphoric acid to pH of 2.5

Mobile phase: Mix Buffer and Methanol in the ratio of 35:65 v/v and degas.

Diluent: Mix Water and Acetonitrile in the ratio of 50:50 v/v.

Chromatographic conditions:

ColumnC18-150x4.6 mm, 5μmor equivalent.Flow rate: 1.0 mL/minDetection: UV, 260 nmInjection Volume: 20 μLData acquisition time: 8 minutesColumn temperature: 35°CPump mode: Isocratic

Preparation of solutions:

Blank Preparation: Use diluent as blank solution.

Standard solution: Prepare a solution containing 0.1mg/mL of Ambrisentan in diluent.

Weigh accurately 25.0 mg of Ambrisentan working standard into a 50 mL clean, dry volumetric flask. Add 30 mL of diluent and sonicate to dissolve. Make up to volume with diluent and mix. Dilute 5 mL to 25 mL with diluent and mix. Label this standard solution as STD-I. Prepare the standard solution in duplicate and label as STD-II.

Sample solution: Prepare a solution containing 0.1mg/mL of Ambrisentan in diluent.

Weigh and transfer 10 tablets into 100 mL clean, dry volumetric flask. Add about 70 mL of diluent and sonicate for 15 minutes with intermittent shaking. Make up to volume with diluent and mix. Centrifuge the sample for 5 minutes at 2500 rpm. Filter through 0.45µm syringe filter by discarding first 5 mL of filtrate. Dilute 5 mL to 25 mL with diluent and mix.

Evaluation of System Suitability:

Inject the blank solution into the liquid chromatographic system and record the chromatogram. Inject the STD-I solution, five times into the liquid chromatographic system and record the chromatograms and evaluate the system suitability. Symmetry factor should not be more than 2.0 for the Ambrisentan peak from the standard chromatogram.

% RSD for Ambrisentan peak areas of five injections from STD-I should not be more than 2.0.

Inject STD-II solution in duplicate into the liquid chromatographic system and record the chromatogram. Calculate the similarity factor between two standard preparations. The similarity factor between two standard preparations should be between 0.98 to 1.02

Calculation of similarity factor:

Similarity factor =
$$\frac{\text{Average area of STD-I}}{\text{Average area of STD-II}} X \frac{\text{Weight of STD-II}}{\text{Weight of STD-I}}$$

Procedure:

Inject the sample solutions into the liquid chromatographic system and record the chromatogram. Retention time of Ambrisentan is about 4.5 minutes.

Calculation:

%Label claim =
$$\frac{At}{As} \times \frac{Ws}{50} \times \frac{5}{25} \times \frac{100}{W_t} \times \frac{25}{5} \times P \frac{AW}{LC}$$

Where,

At = Area of peak corresponding to Ambrisentan in sample solution chromatogram.

As = Average area of peak corresponding to Ambrisentan in STD-I chromatograms

 W_s = Weight of Ambrisentan working standard used for STD-I in mg

P = % Assay of Ambrisentan working standard on as is basis

W_t= Weight of sample in mg

- AW = Average weight of the tablets in mg
- LC = Label claim of Ambrisentan film-coated tablets in mg

VALIDATION OF THE HPLC METHOD

The proposed method was validated as per ICH guidelines

Linearity:

A series of standard dilutions of Ambrisentan were prepared from stock solution. Linearity is evaluated by a plot of peak areas as a function of analyte concentration and the test results were evaluated by appropriate statistical methods where by slope, intercept, and regression (R2) correlation coefficients (R) were calculated.

Precision:

Precision is the measure of closeness of the data values to each other for a number of measurements under the same analytical conditions. Repeatability was assessed by using a minimum of six determinations at 100% of the test concentration. The standard deviation and the relative standard deviation were reported for precision.

Specificity:

The specificity of the method was determined by comparing the chromatograms obtained from the drug substance with that obtained from the tablet solution. The chromatograms of diluents, standard and sample were shown.

Accuracy:

Accuracy was established across the specified range of the analytical procedure. To ascertain the accuracy of the proposed method recovery studies were performed by the standard addition method by spiking 50%, 100%, and 150% of the known quantities of standard.

Robustness:

To determine the robustness of the method developed, the experimental conditions were deliberately altered and the chromatographic parameters viz., tailing factor, no. of theoretical plates and % assay were recorded.

System suitability

System suitability was carried out by injecting a standard concentration at different injection volumes. The system suitability test parameters were noted and % RSD was calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SPECIFICITY

Inference

The blank solution, placebo solution, standard solution, impurity solutions, sample solutions and Impurity spiked sample solutions are analyzed by HPLC system and checked for interference. There is no interference peak was observed due to blank, placebo and known Impurity at the retention time of Ambrisentan.

Acceptance criteria

No significant Interference of blank, placebo and known impurities should be observed at the retention time of analyte peak.

Peak purity should pass for analyte.

Table 1: Interference table for blank, placebo, standard and assay sample

| S.No | Sample | Retention time (min) | Purity angle | Purity threshold | Peak Purity |
|------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Blank | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Placebo solution | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Standard solution | 4.451 | 0.424 | 1.009 | Pass |
| 4 | Assay sample | 4.451 | 0.387 | 1.002 | Pass |



Figure 1: Blank solution – Chromatogram











Figure 4: Chromatogram of Assay sample solution

Linearity

The linearity of response for Ambrisentan is determined at different concentration levels as shown in the following table and enclosed graphically. The results are calculated from linearity graph using the linearity equation: Y = BX + A (Where as B is the slope and A is the intercept)

| S. No. | Concentration (mg/mL) | Area |
|---------|------------------------------------|---------|
| 1 | 0.0125 | 228965 |
| 2 | 0.0800 | 1465415 |
| 3 | 0.1000 | 1824748 |
| 4 | 0.1200 | 2199028 |
| 5 | 0.250 | 4545745 |
| Correla | tion Coefficient [R] | 1.0000 |
| Regress | sion Coefficient [R ²] | 1.0000 |

Table 2: Linearity Table

Acceptance criteria

Correlation coefficient (R) should not be less than 0.99 within the specified range.

Regression coefficient should (\mathbf{R}^2) be not less than 0.98.

The correlation coefficient is 1.0000 and the regression coefficient is 1.0000. The regression line of analysis shows linear relationship between concentration and response of Ambrisentan.

Precision

Repeatability

Injected six preparations of Ambrisentan Tablets into HPLC system. The results of analysis are shown below.

| Table 3: Precision Table | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Preparation No | % Label claim | | | | | |
| 1 | 100.4 | | | | | |
| 2 | 100.8 | | | | | |
| 3 | 100.7 | | | | | |
| 4 | 100.8 | | | | | |
| 5 | 99.9 | | | | | |
| 6 | 100.5 | | | | | |
| Mean | 100.6 | | | | | |
| Std Dev | 0.34 | | | | | |
| % RSD | 0.34 | | | | | |

Acceptance criteria: % RSD for replicate analysis should not be more than 2.0.

The result indicates of precision of analytical method for the assay of Ambrisentan in Ambrisentan Tablets.

Accuracy for Assay

A known amount of analyte, both above and below the normal levels expected in the sample spiked with placebo and analyzed by the proposed HPLC method and the results are shown below

| Level | Theoretical | Experimental | % |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| | Concentration | Concentration | Recovery |
| | (mg/mL) | (mg/ml) | |
| 50%-T1 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 102.5 |
| 50%-T2 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 102.8 |
| 50%-T3 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 102.6 |
| 100%-T1 | 0.100 | 0.102 | 102.5 |
| 100%-T2 | 0.100 | 0.102 | 102.2 |
| 100%-T3 | 0.100 | 0.102 | 102.3 |
| 150%-T1 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 102.5 |
| 150%-T2 | 0.150 | 0.154 | 102.8 |
| 150%-T3 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 102.3 |
| Mean | | | 102.5 |
| Standard dev | viation | | 0.21 |
| % RSD | | | 0.21 |
| Minimum | | | 102.2 |
| Maximum | | | 102.8 |

Table 4: Accuracy for assay

Am. J. PharmTech Res. 2022;12(03)

| Precision and Accuracy at 50% Level | | | Precision and Accuracy at 150% level | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Theoretical | Experimental | % | Theoretical | Experimental | % | |
| Concentration | Concentration | Recovery | Concentration | Concentration | Recovery | |
| (mg/mL) | (mg/ml) | | (mg/mL) | (mg/mL) | | |
| 0.050 | 0.0513 | 102.5 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 102.5 | |
| 0.050 | 0.0514 | 102.8 | 0.150 | 0.154 | 102.8 | |
| 0.050 | 0.0513 | 102.6 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 102.3 | |
| 0.050 | 0.0515 | 102.9 | 0.150 | 0.154 | 102.6 | |
| 0.050 | 0.0515 | 103.0 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 102.5 | |
| 0.050 | 0.0516 | 102.9 | 0.150 | 0.154 | 102.6 | |
| | | 102.8 | Mean | | 102.6 | |
| rd deviation | | 0.19 | Standard deviati | on | 0.16 | |
|) | | 0.19 | % RSD | | 0.16 | |
| um | | 102.5 | Minimum | | 102.3 | |
| um | | 103.0 | Maximum | | 102.8 | |
| | Precision and A Theoretical Concentration (mg/mL) 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 o.050 o.050 rd deviation | Precision and Accuracy at 50% Theoretical Experimental Concentration Concentration (mg/mL) (mg/ml) 0.050 0.0513 0.050 0.0514 0.050 0.0513 0.050 0.0515 0.050 0.0515 0.050 0.0515 0.050 0.0516 | Precision and Accuracy at 50% Level Theoretical Experimental % Concentration Concentration Recovery (mg/mL) (mg/ml) 0.050 0.0513 102.5 0.050 0.0514 102.8 0.050 0.0515 102.9 0.050 0.0515 102.9 0.050 0.0515 103.0 0.050 0.0516 102.9 102.8 102.8 rd deviation 0.19 102.5 103.0 um 102.5 103.0 102.5 | Precision and Accuracy at 50% Level Precision and Theoretical Experimental Concentration % Theoretical Concentration Concentration Recovery Concentration (mg/mL) Concentration (mg/mL) Concentration (mg/mL) Concentration (mg/mL) Concentration (mg/mL) 0.050 0.0513 102.5 0.150 0.050 0.0514 102.8 0.150 0.050 0.0515 102.9 0.150 0.050 0.0515 102.9 0.150 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.19 % RSD Mean um 102.5 Minimum um 103.0 Maximum | Precision and Accuracy at 50% LevelPrecision and Accuracy at 150TheoreticalExperimental%TheoreticalExperimentalConcentrationConcentrationRecoveryConcentrationConcentration(mg/mL)(mg/ml)(mg/mL)(mg/mL)(mg/mL) 0.050 0.0513 102.5 0.150 0.153 0.050 0.0514 102.8 0.150 0.153 0.050 0.0513 102.6 0.150 0.153 0.050 0.0515 102.9 0.150 0.153 0.050 0.0515 103.0 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.050 0.0516 102.9 0.150 0.154 0.19 $\%$ RSD 0.19 $\%$ RSD 0.19 $\%$ RSD 103.0 $Maximum$ | |

Table 5: Precision and Accuracy at 50% and 150%.

Acceptance criteria:

% Recovery of analyte should be 97 to 103 within specified Range.

The % Recovery was found in between 97 to 103 for all accuracy level. The results indicate the precision and accuracy of analytical method is good with in the specified range.

Robustness

To establish the robustness of the HPLC method employed for analysis of assay of Ambrisentan in Ambrisentan Tablets, the method was challenged for various parameters like stability of analytical solutions, change in wavelength, effect of mobile phase flow, column oven temperature, mobile phase composition, filter interference and filter saturation volume.

Solution Stability

To establish the stability of analytical solutions of the proposed analytical method employed for analysis of Ambrisentan in Ambrisentan Tablets, stability of analytical solutions was evaluated during method validation. The observations in different conditions are tabulated below.

| Table | 6: | Solution | stability |
|-------|----|----------|-----------|
|-------|----|----------|-----------|

| Parameter | Limit | Initial | On Bench Top | | In Refrigerator | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|----------|-----------------|---------|
| | | | 48 hours | 72 hours | 48 hours | 72hours |
| Similarity Factor with Fresh standard | 0.98 to 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| % Label claim | 95.0 to 105.0 | 100.8 | 102.1 | 101.5 | 103.1 | 101.2 |

Analytical solutions were stable up to 72 Hours on bench top and in Refrigerator.

| Details | Limit | Change of wavelength | | | Change of Flow rate | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|----------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | | Initial | Change-1 | Change-2 | Initial | Change-1 | Change-2 | |
| | | (260nm) | (258nm) | (262nm) | (1.0 ml/min) | (0.8 ml/min) | (1.2 ml/min) | |
| % Label claim | 95.0 to 105.0 | 102.0 | 101.0 | 102.0 | 101.2 | 101.5 | 100.2 | |
| Symmetry Factor | NMT 2.0 | 0.96 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 0.96 | 1.12 | 1.07 | |
| % RSD | NMT 2.0 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.08 | |
| Similarity Factor | 0.98 to 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| Table 8: Effect of change of temperature and mobile phase. | | | | | | | | |
| Limit Change of column temperature Change of Mobile Dhage | | | | | | | | |

 Table 7: Effect of change of wavelength and Flow rate.

| Details | Limit | Change | inge of column temperature | | Change of Mobile Phase | | |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|
| | | Initial (35°C) | Change-1 (30°C) | Change-2 (40°C) | Initial (buffer: Methanol 30:60) | Change-1 (buffer: Methanol 30:70) | Change-2 (buffer: Methanol 40:60) |
| % Label claim | 95.0 to 105.0 | 100.6 | 100.8 | 102.5 | 101.2 | 101.9 | 100.9 |
| Symmetry Factor | NMT 2.0 | 0.96 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 1.14 | 1.05 |
| % RSD | NMT 2.0 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.13 |
| Similarity Factor | 0.98 to 1.02 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.01 |

There is no significant variation observed in the results obtained by doing small variation in the process parameters.

System Suitability

The system suitability chromatograms were obtained during validation. System suitability parameters show no significant difference in the values during validation.

Table 9: System suitability

| S.No | Parameter | Results | | Limit | Pass/Fails |
|------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|------------|
| | | System-1 | System-2 | | |
| 1 | % RSD of Area / 5 injections | 0.28 | 0.17 | RSD ≤ 2.0 | Pass |
| 2 | Symmetry Factor | 0.96 | 1.32 | Not more than 2.0 | Pass |
| 3 | Similarity factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | Between 0.98 to 1.02 | Pass |

CONCLUSION

The experimentally obtained results meet the limits of specificity, linearity, range, precision, and accuracy, stability of analytical solutions, robustness and system suitability. Thus determination of Assay of Ambrisentan method of analysis for Ambrisentan Tablets can be adopted day to day analysis.

REFERENCES

- 1. Vatter H and Seifert V. Ambrisentan a nonpeptide endothelin receptor antagonist. Cardiovasc Drug Rev. 2006; 24(1): 63-76.
- 2. Klinger JR. Long-term pulmonary hemodynamic effects of Ambrisentan in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Am J Cardiol. 2011; 108(2): 302-307.
- 3. Oudiz RJ. Long-term Ambrisentan therapy for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54(21): 1971-81.
- 4. AG. Turpie, J Vascular Biology, 27, 2007, 1238-1247.
- 5. M.R. Lassen, B.L. Davidson, A. Gallus, G. Pineo, J. Ansell, D. Deitchman, Journal of Thrombosis and Hemostasis, 5, 2007, 2368-2375.
- T. Gous, L. Couchman, J.P. Patel, C. Paradzai, R. Arya, R.J. Flanagan, Therapeutic Drug Monitoring, 36, 2014, 597-605.
- M.R. Lassen, A. Gallus, G.E. Raskob, G. Pineo, D. Chen, L.M. Ramirez, The New England Journal of Medicine, 363, 2010, 2487-2498.
- M.L. Pujadas, G Escolar G., E Arellano-Rodrigo, E. and Galan, Drugs Today , ,49, 2013, 425-436.

