

Revista de Administração Contemporânea Journal of Contemporary Administration



e-ISSN: 1982-7849

Peer Review Report

PEER REVIEW REPORT FOR:

Genaro, A., & Astorino, P. (2022). A tutorial on the generalized method of moments (GMM) in finance. *Revista de Administração Contemporânea, 26*(Sup), e210287. https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-7849rac2022210287.en

HOW TO CITE THIS PEER REVIEW REPORT:

Genaro, A., Astorino, P., Bressan, A. A., & Portela, A. A. (2022). Peer review report for: A tutorial on the generalized method of moments (GMM) in finance. RAC. Revista de Administração Contemporânea. *Zenodo*. <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6941264</u>

REVIEWERS:

Aureliano Angel Bressan (Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, CEPEAD, Brazil)
André Alves Portela (Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil)

ROUND 1

Reviewer 1 report

Reviewer: André Alves Portela Date review returned: November 11, 2021 Recommendation: Accept

Comments to the authors

(There are no comments.)

Additional Questions:

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?: Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?:

Disclaimer: The content of the Peer Review Report is the full copy of reviewers and authors' reports. Typing and punctuation errors are not edited. Only comments that violate the journal's ethical policies such as derogatory or defamatory comments will be edited (omitted) from the report. In these cases, it will be clearly stated that parts of the report were edited. Check <u>RAC's policies</u>.

6

Is the problem significant and concisely stated?: Are the methods described comprehensively?: Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?: Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?: Is the language acceptable?: Does the article have data and / or materials that could be made publicly available by the authors?: Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state "none" if this is not applicable).:

Rating: Interest: Quality: Originality: Overall:

Reviewer 2 report

Reviewer: Aureliano Angel Bressan Date review returned: November 18, 2021 Recommendation: Accept

Comments to the authors

(There are no comments.)

Additional Questions:

Does the manuscript contain new and significant information to justify publication?: Yes Does the Abstract (Summary) clearly and accurately describe the content of the article?: Yes Is the problem significant and concisely stated?: Yes Are the methods described comprehensively?: Yes Are the interpretations and conclusions justified by the results?: Yes Is adequate reference made to other work in the field?: Yes Is the language acceptable?: Yes Does the article have data and / or materials that could be made publicly available by the authors?: Yes

Please state any conflict(s) of interest that you have in relation to the review of this paper (state "none" if this is not applicable).: There are no conflicts of interest of any kind.

Rating: Interest: 1. Excellent Quality: 1. Excellent Originality: 1. Excellent Overall: 1. Excellent



Authors' Responses

Prezado Editor-Chefe,

Encaminho, em anexo, a versão do artigo contendo as correções solicitas:

1) Ajuste no artigo para refletir o escopo da RAC deixando isso evidente na introdução e nas conclusões.

2) Envio dos códigos em R no formato txt.

Espero com isso ter atendido as solicitações integralmente.

Atenciosamente,

Disclaimer: The content of the Peer Review Report is the full copy of reviewers and authors' reports. Typing and punctuation errors are not edited. Only comments that violate the journal's ethical policies such as derogatory or defamatory comments will be edited (omitted) from the report. In these cases, it will be clearly stated that parts of the report were edited. Check <u>RAC's policies</u>.

