

# Using a Sharp bound for the Chebyshev function

Frank Vega

*CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France*

---

## Abstract

Under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, von Koch deduced the asymptotic formula  $\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)$ . A precise version of this was given by Schoenfeld. He found under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true that  $|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$  for every  $x \geq 599$ . Using this result, we prove that if the Riemann hypothesis is true, then  $\prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q}{q-1} > (e^\gamma \times \log x) \times \left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right)$  for every  $x \geq 599$ .

*Keywords:* Riemann hypothesis, Nicolas criterion, Chebyshev function, Prime numbers  
*2000 MSC:* 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

---

## 1. Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In mathematics, the Chebyshev function  $\theta(x)$  is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers  $p$  that are less than or equal to  $x$ , where  $\log$  is the natural logarithm. Say  $\text{Nicolas}(p_n)$  holds provided

$$\prod_{q \leq p_n} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^\gamma \times \log \theta(p_n).$$

The constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and  $p_n$  is the  $n$ th prime number. The importance of this property is:

**Theorem 1.1.** [1]. *Nicolas( $p_n$ ) holds for all prime numbers  $p_n > 2$  if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true.*

We know the following properties for the Chebyshev function:

**Theorem 1.2.** [2]. *If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then*

$$\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x).$$

---

*Email address:* vega.frank@gmail.com (Frank Vega)

**Theorem 1.3.** [3]. *If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then*

$$|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$$

for every  $x \geq 599$ .

Let's define  $H = \gamma - B$  such that  $B \approx 0.2614972128$  is the Meissel-Mertens constant [4]. We have the following formula:

**Theorem 1.4.** [5].

$$\sum_q \left( \log\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \gamma - B = H.$$

For  $x \geq 2$ , the function  $u(x)$  is defined as follows

$$u(x) = \sum_{q>x} \left( \log\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

Let's define:

$$\delta(x) = \left( \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log x - B \right).$$

**Definition 1.5.** *We define another function:*

$$\varpi(x) = \left( \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log \theta(x) - B \right).$$

Putting all together yields the proof that the inequality  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$  is satisfied for a number  $x \geq 3$  if and only if Nicolas( $p$ ) holds, where  $p$  is the greatest prime number such that  $2 < p \leq x$ . In this way, we use this well-known criterion and deduce some of its consequences.

## 2. Results

**Theorem 2.1.** *The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$  is satisfied for all numbers  $x \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* In the paper [1] is defined the function:

$$f(x) = e^\gamma \times (\log \theta(x)) \times \prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q-1}{q}.$$

We know that  $f(x)$  is lesser than 1 when Nicolas( $p$ ) holds, where  $p$  is the greatest prime number such that  $2 < p \leq x$ . In the same paper, we found that

$$\log f(x) = U(x) + u(x)$$

where  $U(x) = -\varpi(x)$  [1]. When  $f(x)$  is lesser than 1, then  $\log f(x) < 0$ . Consequently, we obtain that

$$-\varpi(x) + u(x) < 0$$

which is the same as  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ . Therefore, this is a consequence of the theorem 1.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then*

$$\prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q}{q-1} > (e^\gamma \times \log x) \times \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right)$$

for every  $x \geq 599$ .

*Proof.* We use the Theorem 1.3 to show that

$$|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$$

for every  $x \geq 599$ . That is

$$-\frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x < \theta(x) - x$$

which is

$$\log \left( x - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x \right) < \log \theta(x).$$

Hence,

$$\log \log \left( x - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x \right) < \log \log \theta(x).$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \log \left( x - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x \right) &= \log \log \left( x \times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x \right) \right) \\ &= \log \left( \log x + \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x \right) \right) \\ &= \log \left( \log x \times \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right) \right) \\ &= \log \log x + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this way,

$$\log \log x + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right) < \log \log \theta(x).$$

That is equivalent to

$$-\log \log \theta(x) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right) < -\log \log x.$$

That is the same as

$$\varpi(x) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right) < \delta(x)$$

after adding

$$\left( \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} - B \right)$$

to the both sides. We can note that

$$u(x) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right) < \delta(x)$$

under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, since we know from the theorem 2.1 that  $\varpi(x) > u(x)$  for every  $x \geq 599$ . Therefore,

$$-u(x) > -\delta(x) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right)$$

and

$$H - u(x) > H - \delta(x) + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right)$$

after adding the constant  $H$  to the both sides. So,

$$H - u(x) > H + B + \log \log x - \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right).$$

We use the theorem 1.4 to show that

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \left( \log\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = H - u(x)$$

and  $\gamma = H + B$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \left( \log\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) - \frac{1}{q} \right) > \gamma + \log \log x - \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q} + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right).$$

Let's remove the value of

$$- \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{1}{q}$$

from the both sides to obtain that

$$\sum_{q \leq x} \left( \log\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) \right) > \gamma + \log \log x + \log \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right).$$

We can apply the exponentiation to show that

$$\prod_{q \leq x} \frac{q}{q-1} > (e^\gamma \times \log x) \times \left( 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right)$$

and thus, the proof is done. □

## References

- [1] J.-L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler, *Journal of number theory* 17 (3) (1983) 375–388. doi:10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0.
- [2] H. Von Koch, Sur la distribution des nombres premiers, *Acta Mathematica* 24 (1) (1901) 159. doi:10.1007/BF02403071.
- [3] L. Schoenfeld, Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions  $\theta(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ . II, *Mathematics of computation* 30 (134) (1976) 337–360.
- [4] F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie., *J. reine angew. Math.* 1874 (78) (1874) 46–62. doi:10.1515/crll.1874.78.46.
- [5] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.