Counterexample of the Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

Abstract

Under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, von Koch deduced the asymptotic formula $\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x)$. A precise version of this was given by Schoenfeld. He found under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true that $|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$ for every $x \ge 599$. Using this result, we prove that if the Riemann hypothesis is true, then $\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < (e^{\gamma} \times \log x) \times \left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)$ for every $x \ge 599$. Hence, we obtain that if the Riemann hypothesis is true, then $x^{\left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)} > \theta(x)$ for every $x \ge 599$. However, this is false since $(\theta(x) - x)$ changes sign infinitely often. By contraposition, the Riemann hypothesis is false.

Keywords: Riemann hypothesis, Nicolas inequality, Chebyshev function, Prime numbers 2000 MSC: 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

1. Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. In mathematics, the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x, where log is the natural logarithm. Say Nicolas (p_n) holds provided

$$\prod_{q \le p_n} \frac{q}{q-1} > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(p_n).$$

The constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and p_n is the *n*th prime number. The importance of this property is:

Theorem 1.1. [1]. Nicolas (p_n) holds for all prime numbers $p_n > 2$ if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true.

We know the following properties for the Chebyshev function:

Email address: vega.frank@gmail.com (Frank Vega)

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

Theorem 1.2. [2]. If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then

$$\theta(x) = x + O(\sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x).$$

Theorem 1.3. [3]. If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then

$$|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$$

for every $x \ge 599$.

Theorem 1.4. [4]. $(\theta(x) - x)$ changes sign infinitely often.

Let's define $H = \gamma - B$ such that $B \approx 0.2614972128$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant [5]. We have the following formula:

Theorem 1.5. [6].

$$\sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \gamma - B = H.$$

For $x \ge 2$, the function u(x) is defined as follows

$$u(x) = \sum_{q > x} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

We use the following theorem:

Theorem 1.6. [7]. For x > -1:

$$\log(1+x) \le x.$$

Let's define:

$$\delta(x) = \left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log x - B\right).$$

Definition 1.7. We define another function:

$$\varpi(x) = \left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - \log \log \theta(x) - B\right).$$

,

Putting all together yields the proof that the inequality $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ is satisfied for a number $x \ge 3$ if and only if Nicolas(p) holds, where p is the greatest prime number such that $p \le x$. In this way, we use this well-known criterion and deduce its consequences.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ is satisfied for all numbers $x \ge 3$.

Proof. In the paper [1] is defined the function:

$$f(x) = e^{\gamma} \times (\log \theta(x)) \times \prod_{q \le x} \frac{q-1}{q}$$

We know that f(x) is lesser than 1 when Nicolas(p) holds, where p is the greatest prime number such that 2 . In the same paper, we found that

$$\log f(x) = U(x) + u(x)$$

where $U(x) = -\varpi(x)$ [1]. When f(x) is lesser than 1, then log f(x) < 0. Consequently, we obtain that

$$-\varpi(x) + u(x) < 0$$

which is the same as $\varpi(x) > u(x)$. Therefore, this is a consequence of the theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < (e^{\gamma} \times \log x) \times \left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)$$

for every $x \ge 599$.

Proof. We use the Theorem 1.3 to show that

$$|\theta(x) - x| < \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x$$

for every $x \ge 599$. That is

$$-\frac{1}{8\times\pi}\times\sqrt{x}\times\log^2 x < \theta(x) - x$$

which is

$$\log\left(x - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x\right) < \log \theta(x).$$

Hence,

$$\log \log \left(x - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x \right) < \log \log \theta(x).$$

We know that

$$\log \log \left(x - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi} \times \sqrt{x} \times \log^2 x \right) = \log \log \left(x \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x \right) \right)$$
$$= \log \left(\log x + \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x \right) \right)$$
$$= \log \left(\log x \times \left(1 + \frac{\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x \right)}{\log x} \right) \right)$$
$$= \log \log x + \log \left(1 + \frac{\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x \right)}{\log x} \right)$$

In this way,

$$\log\log x + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right) < \log\log\theta(x).$$

That is equivalent to

$$-\log\log\theta(x) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right) < -\log\log x.$$

That is the same as

$$\varpi(x) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right) < \delta(x)$$

after adding

$$\left(\sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} - B\right)$$

to the both sides. We can note that

$$u(x) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right) < \delta(x)$$

under the assumption that the Riemann hypothesis is true, since we know from the theorem 2.1 that $\varpi(x) > u(x)$ for every $x \ge 599$. Therefore,

$$-u(x) > -\delta(x) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right)$$

and

$$H - u(x) > H - \delta(x) + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right)$$

after adding the constant H to the both sides. So,

$$H - u(x) < H + B + \log \log x - \sum_{q \le x} \frac{1}{q} + \log \left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right).$$

We use the theorem 1.5 to show that

$$\sum_{q \le x} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = H - u(x)$$

and $\gamma = H + B$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{q \le x} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) < \gamma + \log\log x - \sum_{\substack{q \le x \\ 4}} \frac{1}{q} + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x} \right).$$

Let's remove the value of

$$-\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{1}{q}$$

from the both sides to obtain that

$$\sum_{q \le x} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) \right) < \gamma + \log\log x + \log\left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right).$$

We can apply the exponentiation to show that

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < (e^{\gamma} \times \log x) \times \left(1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}\right)$$

which is equal to

$$\left(\frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log x} \times \prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} - 1\right) < \frac{\log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)}{\log x}$$

and

$$\left(e^{-\gamma} \times \prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} - \log x\right) < \log(1 - \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x)$$

after multiplying the both sides by $\log x$. We use the Theorem 1.6 to show that

$$\left(e^{-\gamma} \times \prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} - \log x\right) < -\frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x$$

when $-\frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x > -1$. That would be equal to

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < e^{\gamma} \times \log x - e^{\gamma} \times \frac{1}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}} \times \log^2 x.$$

and finally

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < (e^{\gamma} \times \log x) \times \left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)$$

Theorem 2.3. If the Riemann hypothesis is true, then

$$x^{\left(1-\frac{\log x}{8\times\pi\times\sqrt{x}}\right)} > \theta(x)$$

for every $x \ge 599$.

Proof. Using the previous result, we see that if the Riemann hypothesis is true, then

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < (e^{\gamma} \times \log x) \times \left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)$$
5

for every $x \ge 599$. That is the same as

$$\prod_{q \le x} \frac{q}{q-1} < e^{\gamma} \times \log x^{\left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)}.$$

However, we know that Nicolas(p) holds when the Riemann hypothesis is true, where p is the greatest prime number such that $p \le x$. So,

$$e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(x) < e^{\gamma} \times \log x^{\left(1 - \frac{\log x}{8 \times \pi \times \sqrt{x}}\right)}$$

since $\theta(x) = \theta(p)$ and the Theorem 1.1. That would be equivalent to

$$x^{\left(1-\frac{\log x}{8\times\pi\times\sqrt{x}}\right)} > \theta(x)$$

for every $x \ge 599$.

Theorem 2.4. The Riemann hypothesis is false.

Proof. We know the inequality

$$x^{\left(1-\frac{\log x}{8 imes\pi imes\sqrt{x}}
ight)} > heta(x)$$

is not satisfied for infinitely many natural numbers *x*. Indeed, there exist infinitely many natural numbers *x* such that $\theta(x) > x$ according to the Theorem 1.4.

References

- J.-L. Nicolas, Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler, Journal of number theory 17 (3) (1983) 375–388. doi:10.1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0.
- [2] H. Von Koch, Sur la distribution des nombres premiers, Acta Mathematica 24 (1) (1901) 159. doi:10.1007/BF02403071.
- [3] L. Schoenfeld, Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. II, Mathematics of computation 30 (134) (1976) 337–360.
- [4] D. J. Platt, T. S. Trudgian, On the first sign change of $\theta(x) x$, Math. Comput. 85 (299) (2016) 1539–1547. doi:10.1090/mcom/3021.
- [5] F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie., J. reine angew. Math. 1874 (78) (1874) 46–62. doi:10.1515/crll.1874.78.46.
- [6] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.
- [7] L. Kozma, Useful Inequalities, http://www.lkozma.net/inequalities_cheat_sheet/ineq.pdf, accessed on 2022-06-27 (2022).