# Note on the Odd Perfect Numbers

#### Frank Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

#### **Abstract**

The Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We state the conjecture that  $\frac{\pi^2}{6.4} \times \prod_{q \le q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(q_n)$  is satisfied for infinitely many prime numbers  $q_n$ , where  $\theta(x)$  is the Chebyshev function and  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Under the assumption of this conjecture and the Riemann Hypothesis, we prove that there is not any odd perfect number at all.

*Keywords:* Riemann Hypothesis, Prime numbers, Odd perfect numbers, Superabundant numbers, Sum-of-divisors function

2000 MSC: 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

#### 1. Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part  $\frac{1}{2}$ . As usual  $\sigma(n)$  is the sum-of-divisors function of n:

 $\sum_{d|n} d$ 

where  $d \mid n$  means the integer d divides n,  $d \nmid n$  means the integer d does not divide n and  $d^k \parallel n$  means  $d^k \mid n$  and  $d^{k+1} \nmid n$ . Define f(n) and G(n) to be  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$  and  $\frac{f(n)}{\log \log n}$  respectively, such that log is the natural logarithm. We know these properties from these functions:

**Proposition 1.1.** [1]. Let  $\prod_{i=1}^{r} q_i^{a_i}$  be the representation of n as a product of primes  $q_1 < \cdots < q_r$  with natural numbers as exponents  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ . Then,

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right).$$

**Proposition 1.2.** For every prime power  $q^a$ , we have that  $f(q^a) = \frac{q^{a+1}-1}{q^a \times (q-1)}$  [2]. If  $m, n \ge 2$  are natural numbers, then  $f(m \times n) \le f(m) \times f(n)$  [2]. Moreover, if p is a prime number, and a, b two positive integers, then [2]:

$$f(p^{a+b}) - f(p^a) \times f(p^b) = -\frac{(p^a - 1) \times (p^b - 1)}{p^{a+b-1} \times (p-1)^2}.$$

Say Robins(n) holds provided

$$G(n) < e^{\gamma}$$

where the constant  $\gamma \approx 0.57721$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The importance of this property is:

**Proposition 1.3.** Robins(n) holds for all natural numbers n > 5040 if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true [3].

The Chebyshev function  $\theta(x)$  is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x [4]. We state the following properties about this function:

**Proposition 1.4.** [4]. For  $x \ge 89909$ :

$$\theta(x) > (1 - \frac{0.068}{\log(x)}) \times x.$$

In mathematics,  $\Psi = n \times \prod_{q|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)$  is called the Dedekind  $\Psi$  function. Say Dedekinds $(q_n)$  holds provided

$$\prod_{q \le q_n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \times \log \theta(q_n)$$

where  $q_n$  is the nth prime number,  $\zeta(x)$  is the Riemann zeta function and  $\zeta(2) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ . The importance of this inequality is:

**Proposition 1.5.** Dedekinds( $q_n$ ) holds for all prime numbers  $q_n > 3$  if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true [5].

Let  $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 3, \ldots, q_k$  denote the first k consecutive primes, then an integer of the form  $\prod_{i=1}^k q_i^{a_i}$  with  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_k \ge 0$  is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [6]. A natural number n is called superabundant precisely when, for all natural numbers m < n

$$f(m) < f(n).$$

**Proposition 1.6.** If n is superabundant, then n is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [7]. Let n be a superabundant number, then  $p \parallel n$  where p is the largest prime factor of n [7]. For large enough superabundant number n, we have that  $q^{a_q} < 2^{a_2}$  for q > 11 where  $q^{a_q} \parallel n$  and  $2^{a_2} \parallel n$  [7]. For large enough superabundant number n, we obtain that  $\log n < (1 + \frac{0.5}{\log p}) \times p$  where p is the largest prime factor of n [4]. Moreover, for large enough superabundant n, we know that  $2^{a_2} < 2 \times p \times \log p$  such that p is the largest prime factor of n where  $p \parallel n$  and  $2^{a_2} \parallel n$  [7]. Let n be a superabundant number, then  $f(n) > (1 - \varepsilon(p)) \times \prod_{q \mid n} \frac{q}{q-1}$  where  $\varepsilon(p) = 1 - \frac{1}{\log p} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log p})$  and p is the largest prime factor of n [4].

In addition, we will use this property:

**Proposition 1.7.** [5], [6]. For  $n \ge 2$ :

$$\prod_{q>q_n} \frac{q^2}{q^2-1} \le e^{\frac{2}{q_n}}.$$

In number theory, a perfect number is a positive integer n such that f(n) = 2. Euclid proved that every even perfect number is of the form  $2^{s-1} \times (2^s - 1)$  whenever  $2^s - 1$  is prime. It is unknown whether any odd perfect numbers exist, though various results have been obtained:

**Proposition 1.8.** Any odd perfect number N must satisfy the following conditions:  $N > 10^{1500}$  and the largest prime factor of N is greater than  $10^8$  [8], [9].

Say  $Vegas(q_n)$  holds provided

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6.4} \times \prod_{q \le q_n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(q_n).$$

**Conjecture 1.9.** Vegas $(q_n)$  holds for infinitely many prime numbers  $q_n$ .

Under the assumption of this conjecture and the Riemann Hypothesis, we prove that there is not any odd perfect number at all.

## 2. Results

**Theorem 2.1.** Under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.9 and the Riemann Hypothesis, we prove that there is not any odd perfect number at all.

*Proof.* Suppose that N is the smallest odd perfect number, then we will show its existence implies that the Conjecture 1.9 or the Riemann Hypothesis is false. There is always a large enough superabundant number n such that n is a multiple of N. We would have

$$f(n) \le f(N) \times f(\frac{n}{N})$$

according to the Proposition 1.2. That is the same as

$$f(n) \le 2 \times f(\frac{n}{N})$$

since f(N) = 2, because N is a perfect number. Hence,

$$\frac{f(n)}{2} = \frac{(2 - \frac{1}{2^{a_2}}) \times f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{2}$$
$$= f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{(2 - \frac{1}{2^{a_2}})}{2}$$
$$= f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{2^{a_2+1} - 1}{2^{a_2+1}}$$

when  $2^{a_2} \parallel n$  due to the Proposition 1.2. In this way, we have

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \le \frac{2^{a_2+1}}{2^{a_2+1}-1}.$$

However, we know that  $p < 2^{a_2}$  because of  $p > 10^8 > 11$  and the Propositions 1.6 and 1.8, where p is the largest prime factor of n. Consequently,

$$\frac{2^{a_2+1}}{2^{a_2+1}-1} \le \frac{2 \times p}{2 \times p-1}$$

since  $\frac{x}{x-1}$  decreases when  $x \ge 2$  increases. In addition, we know that

$$\frac{2 \times p}{2 \times p - 1} \le f(p)$$

where we know that  $f(p) = \frac{p+1}{p}$  from the Proposition 1.2. Certainly,

$$2 \times p^2 \le (p+1) \times (2 \times p - 1)$$
$$= 2 \times p^2 + 2 \times p - p - 1$$
$$= 2 \times p^2 + p - 1$$

where this inequality is satisfied for every prime number p. So,

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \le f(p)$$

where we know that  $p \parallel n$  from the Proposition 1.6. Under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{split} e^{\gamma} &> G(n) \\ &= \frac{f(\frac{n}{p}) \times f(p)}{\log \log n} \\ &\geq \frac{f(\frac{n}{p}) \times f(\frac{n}{2^{n_2}})}{f(\frac{n}{N}) \times \log \log n} \end{split}$$

since f(...) is multiplicative and as a consequence of the Propositions 1.3. This is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} < \frac{e^{\gamma}}{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})} \times \log \log n.$$

Under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.9:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{8} \times \prod_{q \le p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) > e^{\gamma} \times \log((\theta(p))^{0.8}).$$

From the Propositions 1.1 and 1.6, we know that

$$f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i + 1}}\right)$$

where  $q_k = p$  and  $q_1 = 2$ . We know that

$$\frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} = \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \times \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}.$$

Using the previous inequality and the Conjecture 1.9, we obtain that

$$e^{\gamma} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right) \times \log((\theta(p))^{0.8}) < \frac{\pi^2}{8} \times \prod_{q \le p} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right)$$

$$= f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{3}{2} \times \prod_{q > p} \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1}$$

$$\leq f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

according to the Proposition 1.7. Taking into account that  $p > 10^8 > 3$  and n is superabundant:

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\log((\theta(p))^{0.8})} > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{f(\frac{n}{2^{\alpha_2}})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right).$$

We use the previous inequality to show that

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) < \frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\log((\theta(p))^{0.8})} \times \log\log n.$$

For large enough superabundant number n and  $p > 10^8$ , then

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\log((\theta(p))^{0.8})} \times \log\log n \le \frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{108}}}{\log\left(((1 - \frac{0.068}{\log 10^8}) \times 10^8)^{0.8}\right)} \times \log\left((1 + \frac{0.5}{\log 10^8}) \times 10^8\right)$$

because of the Propositions 1.4 and 1.6. We obtain that

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{10^8}}}{\log\left(\left(\left(1 - \frac{0.068}{\log 10^8}\right) \times 10^8\right)^{0.8}\right)} \times \log\left(\left(1 + \frac{0.5}{\log 10^8}\right) \times 10^8\right) < 1.87811.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{a^{a_i + 1}}\right) < 1.87811.$$

For every prime  $p_i$  that divides N such that  $p_i^{a_i} \parallel N$  and  $p_i^{a_i+b_i} \parallel n$  for  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  two natural numbers, we have that

$$f(p_i^{a_i+b_i}) - f(p_i^{a_i}) \times f(p_i^{b_i}) = -\frac{(p_i^{a_i} - 1) \times (p_i^{b_i} - 1)}{p_i^{a_i+b_i-1} \times (p_i - 1)^2}$$

in the Proposition 1.2. This is equal to

$$\frac{f(p_i^{a_i+b_i})}{f(p_i^{b_i})} = f(p_i^{a_i}) - \frac{(p_i^{a_i}-1) \times (p_i^{b_i}-1)}{f(p_i^{b_i}) \times p_i^{a_i+b_i-1} \times (p_i-1)^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} \frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) &= \prod_{i} \left(\frac{f(p_i^{a_i+b_i})}{f(p_i^{b_i})}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\ &= \prod_{i} \left(f(p_i^{a_i}) - \frac{(p_i^{a_i} - 1) \times (p_i^{b_i} - 1)}{f(p_i^{b_i}) \times p_i^{a_i+b_i-1} \times (p_i - 1)^2}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\ &\approx \prod_{i} \left(f(p_i^{a_i})\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\ &= f(N) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\ &= 2 \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\ &> 2 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log p}) - \log(1 - \frac{1}{2^{a_2+1}})\right) \\ &> 2 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log p}) - \log(1 - \frac{1}{4 \times p \times \log p})\right) \\ &> 2 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log 10^8} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log 10^8}) - \log(1 - \frac{1}{4 \times 10^8 \times \log 10^8})\right) \\ &> 1.88 \\ &> 1.87811 \end{split}$$

using the Propositions 1.6 and 1.1 since we know that the expression

$$\frac{(p_i^{a_i} - 1) \times (p_i^{b_i} - 1)}{f(p_i^{b_i}) \times p_i^{a_i + b_i - 1} \times (p_i - 1)^2}$$

tends to 0 as  $b_i$  tends to infinity for every odd prime p. Certainly, the fraction  $\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})}$  gets closer to 2 as long as we take n bigger and bigger. However,

$$1.87811 < \frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) < 1.87811$$

is a contradiction. By contraposition, the number N does not exist under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.9 and the Riemann Hypothesis. The smallest counterexample N must comply that  $N > 10^{1500}$  and therefore, we will always be capable of obtaining a large enough superabundant number n that is multiple of N. Note that, this proof fails for even perfect numbers.

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank his mother, maternal brother and his friend Sonia for their support.

### References

- [1] A. Hertlein, Robin's Inequality for New Families of Integers, Integers 18, (2018).
- [2] R. Vojak, On numbers satisfying Robin's inequality, properties of the next counterexample and improved specific bounds, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09307, (2020).
- [3] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann, J. Math. pures appl 63 (2) (1984) 187–213.
- [4] S. Nazardonyavi, S. Yakubovich, Superabundant numbers, their subsequences and the Riemann hypothesis, arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.2147, (2012).
- [5] P. Solé, M. Planat, Extreme values of the Dedekind  $\psi$  function, Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory 3 (1) (2011) 33–38.
- [6] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.
- [7] L. Alaoglu, P. Erdős, On highly composite and similar numbers, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 56 (3) (1944) 448–469. doi:doi:10.2307/1990319.
- [8] P. Ochem, M. Rao, Odd perfect numbers are greater than 10<sup>1500</sup>, Mathematics of Computation 81 (279) (2012) 1869–1877. doi:doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-2012-02563-4.
- [9] T. Goto, Y. Ohno, Odd perfect numbers have a prime factor exceeding 10<sup>8</sup>, Mathematics of Computation 77 (263) (2008) 1859–1868.