Note on the Odd Perfect Numbers

Frank Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France

Abstract

The Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. We state the conjecture that $\frac{\pi^2}{6.4} \times e^{0.0712132519795} \times \log x \geq e^{\gamma} \times \log(x - K \times \sqrt{x})$ is satisfied for infinitely many natural numbers $x > 10^8$ where $K > 0$ is a constant. Under the assumption of this conjecture and the Riemann Hypothesis, we prove that there is not any odd perfect number at all.

Keywords: Riemann Hypothesis, Prime numbers, Odd perfect numbers, Superabundant numbers, Sum-of-divisors function
2000 MSC: 11M26, 11A41, 11A25

1. Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of n:

$$\sum_{d|n} d$$

where $d \mid n$ means the integer d divides n, $d \nmid n$ means the integer d does not divide n and $d^k \parallel n$ means $d^k \mid n$ and $d^{k+1} \nmid n$. Define $f(n)$ and $G(n)$ to be $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$ and $\frac{f(n)}{\log \log n}$ respectively, such that log is the natural logarithm. We know these properties from these functions:

Proposition 1.1. *[1]. Let $\prod_{i=1}^{r} q_i^{a_i}$ be the representation of n as a product of primes $q_1 < \cdots < q_r$ with natural numbers as exponents $a_1, \ldots, a_r$. Then,*

$$f(n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} \right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}} \right).$$

Proposition 1.2. *For every prime power q^a, we have that $f(q^a) = \frac{q^{a+1}-1}{q^a \times (q-1)}$ [2]. If $m, n \geq 2$ are natural numbers, then $f(m \times n) \leq f(m) \times f(n)$ [2]. Moreover, if p is a prime number, and a, b two positive integers, then [2]:*

$$f(p^{a+b}) - f(p^a) \times f(p^b) = -\frac{(p^a - 1) \times (p^b - 1)}{p^{a+b-1} \times (p-1)^2}.$$

Email address: vega.frank@gmail.com (Frank Vega)

Say Robins(n) holds provided

$$G(n) < e^{\gamma}$$

where the constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The importance of this property is:

Proposition 1.3. Robins(n) *holds for all natural numbers $n > 5040$ if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true [3].*

The Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x [4]. We state the following properties about this function:

Proposition 1.4. *[4]. For $x \geq 89909$:*

$$\theta(x) > (1 - \frac{0.068}{\log(x)}) \times x.$$

Proposition 1.5. *[5]. There is a constant $K > 0$ such that there are infinitely many natural numbers x:*

$$\theta(x) < x - K \times \sqrt{x}.$$

In mathematics, $\Psi = n \times \prod_{q|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)$ is called the Dedekind Ψ function. Say Dedekinds(q_n) holds provided

$$\prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \times \log \theta(q_n)$$

where q_n is the nth prime number, $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function and $\zeta(2) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$. The importance of this inequality is:

Proposition 1.6. Dedekinds(q_n) *holds for all prime numbers $q_n > 3$ if and only if the Riemann Hypothesis is true [6].*

Let $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 3, \ldots, q_k$ denote the first k consecutive primes, then an integer of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{k} q_i^{a_i}$ with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_k \geq 0$ is called an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [7]. A natural number n is called superabundant precisely when, for all natural numbers $m < n$

$$f(m) < f(n).$$

Proposition 1.7. *If n is superabundant, then n is an Hardy-Ramanujan integer [8]. Let n be a superabundant number, then $p \parallel n$ where p is the largest prime factor of n [8]. For large enough superabundant number n, we have that $q^{a_q} < 2^{a_2}$ for $q > 11$ where $q^{a_q} \parallel n$ and $2^{a_2} \parallel n$ [8]. For large enough superabundant number n, we obtain that $\log n < (1 + \frac{0.5}{\log p}) \times p$ where p is the largest prime factor of n [4]. Moreover, for large enough superabundant n, we know that $2^{a_2} < 2 \times p \times \log p$ such that p is the largest prime factor of n where $p \parallel n$ and $2^{a_2} \parallel n$ [8]. Let n be a superabundant number, then $f(n) > (1 - \varepsilon(p)) \times \prod_{q|n} \frac{q}{q-1}$ where $\varepsilon(p) = 1 - \frac{1}{\log p} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log p})$ and p is the largest prime factor of n [4].*

On the sum of the reciprocals of power prime numbers not exceeding x, we have these results:

Proposition 1.8. *[9]. For $x \geq 2278383$:*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \geq \log\log x + B - \frac{1}{5 \times \log^3 x}$$

where $B \approx 0.261497212847642$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant [10].

Proposition 1.9. *[11]. For $y \geq 10^8$:*

$$\sum_{p \geq x} \frac{1}{p^2} \leq \frac{1}{y \times \log y} - \frac{1}{y \times \log^2 y} + \frac{2}{y \times \log^3 y} - \frac{2.07}{y \times \log^4 y}.$$

In addition, we will use these properties:

Proposition 1.10. *[6]. For $n \geq 2$:*

$$\prod_{q > q_n} \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \leq e^{\frac{2}{q_n}}.$$

Proposition 1.11. *[12]. For $x \geq 1$:*

$$\frac{1}{x + 0.5} < \log(1 + \frac{1}{x}).$$

In number theory, a perfect number is a positive integer n such that $f(n) = 2$. Euclid proved that every even perfect number is of the form $2^{s-1} \times (2^s - 1)$ whenever $2^s - 1$ is prime. It is unknown whether any odd perfect numbers exist, though various results have been obtained:

Proposition 1.12. *Any odd perfect number N must satisfy the following conditions: $N > 10^{1500}$ and the largest prime factor of N is greater than 10^8 [13], [14].*

Say $\mathsf{Vegas}(x)$ holds provided

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6.4} \times e^{0.0712132519795} \times \log x \geq e^{\gamma} \times \log(x - K \times \sqrt{x})$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant.

Conjecture 1.13. $\mathsf{Vegas}(x)$ *holds for infinitely many natural numbers $x > 10^8$.*

Under the assumption of this conjecture and the Riemann Hypothesis, we prove that there is not any odd perfect number at all.

2. Numerical Calculations

Theorem 2.1.

$$\sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q \times (q + 0.5)} \right) < 0.380503927189989469441$$

Proof. Using the Proposition 1.9, we check by computer that,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q}\left(\frac{1}{q\times(q+0.5)}\right) &< \sum_{q<10^8}\left(\frac{1}{q\times(q+0.5)}\right)+\sum_{q\geq 10^8}\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\\ &< 0.380503926673572+\frac{1}{10^8\times\log 10^8}-\frac{1}{10^8\times\log^2 10^8}+\frac{2}{10^8\times\log^3 10^8}-\frac{2.07}{10^8\times\log^4 10^8}\\ &< 0.380503927189989469441.\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Central Lemma

Lemma 3.1. *For all prime numbers $q_n > 10^8$, we have that*

$$\prod_{q\leq q_n}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right) > e^{0.0712132519795}\times\log q_n$$

is satisfied.

Proof. We apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality,

$$\sum_{q\leq q_n}\log(1+\frac{1}{q}) > 0.0712132519795+\log\log q_n.$$

We use the Proposition 1.11,

$$\sum_{q\leq q_n}\frac{1}{q+0.5} > 0.0712132519795+\log\log q_n.$$

This is the same as

$$\sum_{q\leq q_n}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)-\sum_{q\leq q_n}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{q+0.5}\right) > 0.0712132519795+\log\log q_n.$$

We know that

$$\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{q+0.5}=\frac{1}{2\times q\times(q+0.5)}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{q\leq q_n}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)-\log\log q_n > 0.0712132519795+\sum_{q\leq q_n}\left(\frac{1}{2\times q\times(q+0.5)}\right).$$

We use that Proposition 1.8,

$$B-\frac{1}{5\times\log^3(q_n)} > 0.0712132519795+\sum_{q\leq q_n}\left(\frac{1}{2\times q\times(q+0.5)}\right)$$

that is equivalent to

$$B > 0.0712132519795+\sum_{q\leq q_n}\left(\frac{1}{2\times q\times(q+0.5)}\right)+\frac{1}{5\times\log^3(q_n)}.$$

Using the numerical computation in the Lemma 2.1, we only need to prove that

$$B > 0.0712132519795 + \frac{0.380503927189989469441}{2} + \frac{1}{5 \times \log^3(10^8)}$$

since $\frac{1}{5\times\log^3(q_n)}$ decreases as q_n increases. In this way, we obtain that

$$B > 0.261497212847634$$

and thus, the proof is done. □

4. Main Insight

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.13, we prove that*

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6.4} \times \prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(q_n)$$

is satisfied for infinitely many prime numbers $q_n > 10^8$.

Proof. We know there is a constant $K > 0$ such that there are infinitely many prime numbers $q_n > 10^8$:

$$\theta(q_n) < q_n - K \times \sqrt{q_n}$$

according to the Proposition 1.5. Hence, it is enough to show there are infinitely many prime numbers $q_n > 10^8$ such that

$$\prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\frac{\pi^2}{6.4}} \times \log\left(q_n - K \times \sqrt{q_n}\right).$$

The previous inequality will be satisfied when

$$e^{0.0712132519795} \times \log q_n \geq \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\frac{\pi^2}{6.4}} \times \log\left(q_n - K \times \sqrt{q_n}\right)$$

due to the Lemma 3.1. That is equivalent to

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6.4} \times e^{0.0712132519795} \times \log q_n \geq e^{\gamma} \times \log\left(q_n - K \times \sqrt{q_n}\right)$$

which is true for infinitely many prime numbers $q_n > 10^8$ under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.13. □

5. Main Theorem

Theorem 5.1. *Under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.13 and the Riemann Hypothesis, we prove that there is not any odd perfect number at all.*

Proof. Suppose that N is the smallest odd perfect number, then we will show its existence implies that the Conjecture 1.13 or the Riemann Hypothesis is false. There is always a large enough superabundant number n such that n is a multiple of N. We would have

$$f(n) \leq f(N) \times f(\frac{n}{N})$$

according to the Proposition 1.2. That is the same as

$$f(n) \leq 2 \times f(\frac{n}{N})$$

since $f(N) = 2$, because N is a perfect number. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(n)}{2} &= \frac{(2 - \frac{1}{2^{a_2}}) \times f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{2} \\ &= f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{(2 - \frac{1}{2^{a_2}})}{2} \\ &= f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{2^{a_2+1} - 1}{2^{a_2+1}}\end{aligned}$$

when $2^{a_2} \parallel n$ due to the Proposition 1.2. In this way, we have

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \leq \frac{2^{a_2+1}}{2^{a_2+1} - 1}.$$

However, we know that $p < 2^{a_2}$ because of $p > 10^8 > 11$ and the Propositions 1.7 and 1.12, where p is the largest prime factor of n. Consequently,

$$\frac{2^{a_2+1}}{2^{a_2+1} - 1} \leq \frac{2 \times p}{2 \times p - 1}$$

since $\frac{x}{x-1}$ decreases when $x \geq 2$ increases. In addition, we know that

$$\frac{2 \times p}{2 \times p - 1} \leq f(p)$$

where we know that $f(p) = \frac{p+1}{p}$ from the Proposition 1.2. Certainly,

$$\begin{aligned}2 \times p^2 &\leq (p + 1) \times (2 \times p - 1) \\ &= 2 \times p^2 + 2 \times p - p - 1 \\ &= 2 \times p^2 + p - 1\end{aligned}$$

where this inequality is satisfied for every prime number p. So,

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \leq f(p)$$

where we know that $p \parallel n$ from the Proposition 1.7. Under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\gamma} &> G(n) \\ &= \frac{f(\frac{n}{p}) \times f(p)}{\log\log n} \\ &\geq \frac{f(\frac{n}{p}) \times f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})}{f(\frac{n}{N}) \times \log\log n} \end{aligned}$$

since $f(\ldots)$ is multiplicative and as a consequence of the Propositions 1.3. This is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} < \frac{e^{\gamma}}{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})} \times \log\log n.$$

Under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.13 and using the Lemma 4.1 and the Proposition 1.12:

$$\frac{\pi^2}{8} \times \prod_{q \leq p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > e^{\gamma} \times \log((\theta(p))^{0.8}).$$

From the Propositions 1.1 and 1.7, we know that

$$f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} \frac{q_i}{q_i - 1}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right)$$

where $q_k = p$ and $q_1 = 2$. We know that

$$\frac{q_i}{q_i - 1} = \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i} \times \frac{q_i^2}{q_i^2 - 1}.$$

Using the previous inequality and the Conjecture 1.13, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\gamma} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \times \log((\theta(p))^{0.8}) &< \frac{\pi^2}{8} \times \prod_{q \leq p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\ &= f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{3}{2} \times \prod_{q > p} \frac{q^2}{q^2 - 1} \\ &\leq f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}}) \times \frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

according to the Proposition 1.10. Taking into account that $p > 10^8 > 3$ and n is superabundant:

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\log((\theta(p))^{0.8})} > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{f(\frac{n}{2^{a_2}})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right).$$

We use the previous inequality to show that

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) < \frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\log((\theta(p))^{0.8})} \times \log\log n.$$

For large enough superabundant number n and $p > 10^8$, then

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{p}}}{\log((\theta(p))^{0.8})} \times \log\log n \leq \frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{10^8}}}{\log\left(((1 - \frac{0.068}{\log 10^8}) \times 10^8)^{0.8}\right)} \times \log\left((1 + \frac{0.5}{\log 10^8}) \times 10^8\right)$$

because of the Propositions 1.4 and 1.7. We obtain that

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2} \times e^{\frac{2}{10^8}}}{\log\left(((1 - \frac{0.068}{\log 10^8}) \times 10^8)^{0.8}\right)} \times \log\left((1 + \frac{0.5}{\log 10^8}) \times 10^8\right) < 1.87811.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) < 1.87811.$$

For every prime p_i that divides N such that $p_i^{a_i} \parallel N$ and $p_i^{a_i+b_i} \parallel n$ for a_i, b_i two natural numbers, we have that

$$f(p_i^{a_i+b_i}) - f(p_i^{a_i}) \times f(p_i^{b_i}) = -\frac{(p_i^{a_i} - 1) \times (p_i^{b_i} - 1)}{p_i^{a_i+b_i-1} \times (p_i - 1)^2}$$

in the Proposition 1.2. This is equal to

$$\frac{f(p_i^{a_i+b_i})}{f(p_i^{b_i})} = f(p_i^{a_i}) - \frac{(p_i^{a_i} - 1) \times (p_i^{b_i} - 1)}{f(p_i^{b_i}) \times p_i^{a_i+b_i-1} \times (p_i - 1)^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})} \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) &= \prod_i\left(\frac{f(p_i^{a_i+b_i})}{f(p_i^{b_i})}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\
&= \prod_i\left(f(p_i^{a_i}) - \frac{(p_i^{a_i} - 1) \times (p_i^{b_i} - 1)}{f(p_i^{b_i}) \times p_i^{a_i+b_i-1} \times (p_i - 1)^2}\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\
&\approx \prod_i\left(f(p_i^{a_i})\right) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\
&= f(N) \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\
&= 2 \times \prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) \\
&> 2 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log p}) - \log(1 - \frac{1}{2^{a_2+1}})\right) \\
&> 2 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log p} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log p}) - \log(1 - \frac{1}{4 \times p \times \log p})\right) \\
&> 2 \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log 10^8} \times (1 + \frac{1.5}{\log 10^8}) - \log(1 - \frac{1}{4 \times 10^8 \times \log 10^8})\right) \\
&> 1.88 \\
&> 1.87811
\end{aligned}$$

using the Propositions 1.7 and 1.1 since we know that the expression

$$\frac{(p_i^{a_i}-1)\times(p_i^{b_i}-1)}{f(p_i^{b_i})\times p_i^{a_i+b_i-1}\times(p_i-1)^2}$$

tends to 0 as b_i tends to infinity for every odd prime p. Certainly, the fraction $\frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})}$ gets closer to 2 as long as we take n bigger and bigger. However,

$$1.87811 < \frac{f(\frac{n}{p})}{f(\frac{n}{N})}\times\prod_{i=2}^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{q_i^{a_i+1}}\right) < 1.87811$$

is a contradiction. By contraposition, the number N does not exist under the assumption of the Conjecture 1.13 and the Riemann Hypothesis. The smallest counterexample N must comply that $N > 10^{1500}$ and therefore, we will always be capable of obtaining a large enough superabundant number n that is multiple of N. Note that, this proof fails for even perfect numbers. □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank his mother, maternal brother and his friend Sonia for their support.

References

[1] A. Hertlein, Robin's Inequality for New Families of Integers, Integers 18, (2018).

[2] R. Vojak, On numbers satisfying Robin's inequality, properties of the next counterexample and improved specific bounds, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.09307(2020).

[3] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann, J. Math. pures appl 63 (2) (1984) 187–213.

[4] S. Nazardonyavi, S. Yakubovich, Superabundant numbers, their subsequences and the Riemann hypothesis, arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.2147(2012).

[5] E. Schmidt, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter gegebener Grenze, Mathematische Annalen 57 (2) (1903) 195–204.

[6] P. Solé, M. Planat, Extreme values of the Dedekind ψ function, Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory 3 (1) (2011) 33–38.

[7] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 19 (2) (2007) 357–372. doi:doi:10.5802/jtnb.591.

[8] L. Alaoglu, P. Erdős, On highly composite and similar numbers, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 56 (3) (1944) 448–469. doi:doi:10.2307/1990319.

[9] C. Axler, New Estimates for Some Functions Defined Over Primes, Integers 18, (2018).

[10] F. Mertens, Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie., J. reine angew. Math. 1874 (78) (1874) 46–62. doi:10.1515/crll.1874.78.46.

[11] J.-L. Nicolas, The sum of divisors function and the Riemann hypothesis, The Ramanujan Journal (2021) 1–45.

[12] A. Ghosh, An asymptotic formula for the Chebyshev theta function, Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics 25 (4) (2019) 1–7. doi:10.7546/nntdm.2019.25.4.1-7.

[13] P. Ochem, M. Rao, Odd perfect numbers are greater than 10^{1500}, Mathematics of Computation 81 (279) (2012) 1869–1877. doi:doi:10.1090/S0025-5718-2012-02563-4.

[14] T. Goto, Y. Ohno, Odd perfect numbers have a prime factor exceeding 10^8, Mathematics of Computation 77 (263) (2008) 1859–1868.