

Audubon Core Maintenance Group  
Online meeting  
2020-07-21 12:00 UTC

## Meeting notes:

Participating: Steve Baskauf, Dan Stowell, Niels Klazenga, Ed Baker, Doug Boyer, Kate Webbink, Matt Blissett, Vijay Barve  
Regrets: Bob Morris, Rebecca Snyder

Notes taken during the meeting are in red.

- I. Task Group/Interest Group sessions at TDWG annual meeting: submit proposals by Aug. 1
  - A. Can have multiple (repeat) sessions for time zone differences.
  - B. The AC MG will have one as usual, let me know if you want to talk about the proposal, otherwise I'll submit what we've written so far (discuss sound terms, solicit suggestions, talk about annotations). I'm thinking we should have 2 sessions to increase opportunities for participation. **No objection to having 2 sessions.**
  - C. Doug, you can decide about the 3D task group.
  - D. I'll probably submit something for the Views TG.
- II. Issues <https://github.com/tdwg/ac/issues>
  - A. Did not work on controlled vocabulary for ac:subtype (Issues [85](#) and [156](#)), too much still unknown. **Doug said that the 3D group is no longer considering using subtype to categorize resources, so we should go ahead and create the controlled vocabulary using the existing values recommended in the term metadata.**
  - B. Waiting on updating DwC updated definitions (Issue [134](#)) until after DwC Maintenance Group meeting this week, when hopefully we will be able to bring DwC term versions up to date.
  - C. Added version info to terms and closed Issue [145](#)
  - D. Niels, do we still care about <https://github.com/tdwg/ac/issues/122> (making the AC web pages match the TDWG Jekyll template)? If not, let's close it. **I will have a look to see how much work it is. If it is too much, I'll close it.**
- III. Proposals
  - A. Controlled vocabularies for:
    1. ac:variant (Issue 85). Proposal at <https://github.com/tdwg/ac/issues/170>
      - a) possibility of adding "visualization/visualisation" for things like sonograms that are images that represent sounds. **(DS: might be worth adding "sonogram" as a subtype of "visualization" since so common? Note added to issue.)**

2. dc:format (Issues 98, 99, 125). Proposal at <https://github.com/tdwg/ac/issues/171>
  3. There were several issues raised about how these two controlled vocabularies might be used by the 3D TG, so we should not move forward with them yet. Steve will talk with Doug about it and plan to have any necessary revisions by the next meeting.
- B. Proposal to import dc:/dcterms:publisher <https://github.com/tdwg/ac/issues/173> Is this obvious enough that we should move directly to public comment? It could also be considered as part of the sound additions package, although it's not specifically sound-related. Needs guidance on when to use publisher and when to use provider. We need to have some example cases, then propose new text for the usage guidelines before moving forward on this.
  - C. Remove Biocomplexity Thesaurus from recommended sources of values for lptc4xmpExt:CVterm <https://github.com/tdwg/ac/issues/154> **Seems to be online again; the issue was closed without action.**  
[https://www1.usgs.gov/csas/biocomplexity\\_thesaurus/](https://www1.usgs.gov/csas/biocomplexity_thesaurus/)
- IV. Terms related to sound
- A. Draft documents to be discussed
    1. Use cases draft  
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1L2tZebPxrK5XIFspeX-N6KIVf77zU1N7fbSoip5s0wk/edit>
    2. Sound collections user guide draft  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1PQqTUeczf1qeUZicZ\\_7RFHsgkU5lEQi2rCPQyM6quqY/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1PQqTUeczf1qeUZicZ_7RFHsgkU5lEQi2rCPQyM6quqY/edit?usp=sharing)
    3. Proposed changes  
[https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XzyPsAj9TRCurkBvrjZsEQw2JBj3bfnwHR\\_EYyHc5Ow/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1XzyPsAj9TRCurkBvrjZsEQw2JBj3bfnwHR_EYyHc5Ow/edit?usp=sharing)
    4. Annotations  
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oTAbgSalhs7i9US4rrHzmESbD9HCftxbDTkmBPqz1M/edit?usp=sharing>
  - B. Web Annotation Model / IIIF - they both use **Media Fragments**. The Media Fragments syntax for time has simple time-bounds (e.g. "t=30,60") - could these be sufficient for audio data? (If so, MF could cover many different media within AC. If not - a separate annotation syntax for audio?) Needs more exploration.
  - C. If people do machine learning, how do they send that information back to GBIF?
  - D. We solicited Matt Blissett's comments on the controlled vocabularies and sound proposals. He did not raise any issues, but noted that these were not areas that GBIF was likely to be expending significant effort on in the near future.
- V. Next meeting date: Wednesday Aug 26, 12:00 UTC